



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Protocol for a Systematic Scoping Review of Literature on PGT

Citation for published version:

MacLeod, G, Matthews-Smith, G, Marvell, R & Dozier, M 2019, *Protocol for a Systematic Scoping Review of Literature on PGT*.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Protocol for a Systematic Scoping Review of Literature on PGT

Title: Current research into postgraduate taught education in the United Kingdom: methods, areas of focus, gaps. A systematic scoping review.

Gale Macleod (University of Edinburgh), Gerri Matthews-Smith (Edinburgh Napier University), Rosa Marvell (University of Sussex), Marshall Dozier (University of Edinburgh)

Background/rationale

Taught postgraduate (PGT) study is the new frontier for many topics in higher education that were previously studied primarily in undergraduate populations. This sector of higher education is increasingly the focus of research. Common areas of study include:

- widening participation (e.g. Wakeling and Laurison, 2017);
- transition into PGT (Bamber, *et al.*, 2019; Bownes, *et al.*, 2017; Evans, *et al.*, 2018);
- motivations for PGT study (Bowman, 2005; Ho *et al.* 2012; Morgan 2015)
- PGT student identity (Chapman and Pyvis, 2005; Tobbell and O'Donnell, 2013);
- the challenges facing PGT programme directors (Macleod, *et al.*, 2018; Mitchell, 2015)
- PGT student perceptions of support (Hallet, 2010);
- building PGT student communities (Schartner, 2015);
- a focus on online communities (Joksimović, *et al.* 2015; Mello, 2016), and
- blended learning (Adekola, *et al.*, 2017);
- employability (Li, 2013);
- PGT assessment (Brown, 2014; Dunworth and Sanchez, 2018) including,
- the dissertation stage (Ginn, 2014).

In addition to this expansion of research interest into the PGT domain (see Figure 1. Publication year chart) the PGT student population profile raises distinctive issues to that of UG and PGR populations. The larger proportion of non-UK students makes the experience of international students a significant area of interest (e.g. Coates and Dickinson, 2012; Fakunle, Allison and Fordyce, 2016; Menzies and Baron, 2014; Skyrme and McGee, 2016). Over 20 years ago Burgess, *et al.*, (1998) observed that overseas students on postgraduate programmes were, 'a key issue for the financial stability of many UK universities.' (1998,155). While the numbers of part-time students are in decline, in 2017/8 they still accounted for 43.8% of PGT student enrolments (HESA, 2019). These students are often returners to study and many are managing their studies alongside work and other responsibilities (Murray, *et al.*, 2014), and the issues around part-time study have also been the subject of research (e.g. Ho, *et al.*, 2012; Pratt, *et al.*, 2007).

Over ten years ago Pereda, *et al.*, (2007) suggested that it was growth in PGT provision and the function it serves as an income generator for universities that explained the increase in attention it was receiving from researchers (and we could add, policy bodies). The higher

education sector is heavily populated with agencies which produce reports, many of which contain primary data, however these reports are not peer reviewed. The diversity of the sector and its students, along with this rapid expansion of research and interest in this area, makes it increasingly difficult to keep up with developments and maintain an awareness of relevant evidence which could inform policy and practice in the area.

The aim of this systematic review is to generate an overall view of the current state of knowledge regarding the PGT sector in the UK, including the quality of the evidence, areas of focus and gaps in existing knowledge.

RQs:

1. What are the methodological features of research into the PGT sector in the UK?
2. What are the areas of focus of research into PGT in the UK in the last 10 years?
3. Where are there gaps in robust evidence?

Inclusion criteria

- published from Jan 2008 onwards
- reporting data from the UK (may be alongside reports of data from other national contexts)
- peer reviewed or grey literature or PhD theses
- reporting primary data in which data for PGT students/ sector is discrete and identifiable or secondary analysis of such data
- with a description of the sampling strategy, data collection procedures and the type of data-analysis considered
- There are no inclusion/exclusion criteria based on quality

Exclusion criteria

- No UK data presented
- Data presented but no information on how they were collected beyond naming a method
- PGT related data are not identifiable
- A purely conceptual or theoretical paper

Search strategy

Literature databases BEI, ERIC, Web of Science, and IBSS will be searched using thesaurus terms (where available) and free-text searches. The searches will be adapted for each database as required.

Google Scholar will be used for forward citation tracking of included studies to identify more recent publications meeting the inclusion criteria.

The reference lists of publications meeting inclusion criteria will be screened to identify other publications meeting the inclusion criteria.

Research into Higher Education Abstracts will be hand-searched from 2008 - present.

Grey literature: Key organisations will be identified (through professional networks) and a Google advanced search within those organisation domain names, using search strings adapted for the more basic Boolean search options, will be performed to find grey literature. Google

advanced search results (title, URL, description extract) will be scraped in bulk using DataMiner (<https://data-miner.io/>) and exported into Excel for screening offline.

A call for additional grey literature will be issued through UKCGE networks, JISCmail lists, and PhD theses will be searched using ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global.

Search terms

Appropriate search terms will be used for each database, and will include flexible formulations of the keywords 'Taught postgraduate' or M-Level or Master* as well as equivalent database thesaurus terms where available.

An indicative search for the database BEI (EBSCO) is provided here:

(TX (taught OR diploma OR certificate) N2 (postgraduate OR post graduate OR post-graduate) OR TX (master* OR m-level) OR (DE "MASTER'S degree" OR DE "MASTER of arts degree" OR DE "MASTER of business administration degree" OR DE "MASTER of library science degree")) AND (TX British or Britain or UK or United Kingdom or England or Scotland or Ireland or Wales OR GE Great Britain)

Where the database allows, a full-text search will be carried out. Searches will be limited by date of publication (2008-present), country (United Kingdom), and will be carried out by MD.

Managing the data and selection

All search results from databases will be exported to Endnote to a shared library. After duplications are removed (by Endnote and by hand) the remaining results will be exported to Excel, at which point the results of the other search strategies will be added.

Screening will be carried out first of title and abstract and then of full-text. The database search results will be split 50-50 between two screeners (GM and GMS) who will independently check a 10% sample of one another's decisions at the outset for calibration.

Screening of grey literature results will be done by a single reviewer (RM) again with 10% independent checking at the outset (GM).

Data extraction

A data extraction framework will be used to record the following information:

- Study details (authors, year, citation, country of study,)
- Methodology (aim of study, research design, methods of data collection, methods of analysis)
- Sample characteristics (size, setting, demographics [age, sex, country of origin], mode of study (full-time, part-time, online, face to face, blended), recruitment, whether intended by authors to be representative)
- Disciplinary field of participants where stated
- Focus of study (e.g. employability, assessment, sense of belonging, internationalisation, motivations for study, transitions)
- Theoretical framework adopted where stated
- Results/ Findings reported
- Quality score using the appropriate Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist

Dozier is leading on developing the search strategy and search terms
 Macleod and Matthews-Smith will carry out the sifting and extraction for academic literature,
 Marvell will lead on the grey literature sifting and extraction.

Reporting the Findings

A qualitative synthesis structured by the research questions will be produced. Some numerical data will also be presented, e.g. number of papers by topic over time.




Figure 1. Publication Year Chart. Data from Web of Science (<https://wok.mimas.ac.uk>) 1969-present, generated with search string: TS=(((taught OR diploma OR certificate) NEAR/5 (postgraduate OR "post graduate" OR post-graduate)) OR ((master OR masters OR master's) NEAR/5 (taught OR degree OR postgraduate OR student*)) OR m-level) AND (TS=(British OR Britain OR UK OR "united kingdom" OR England OR Scotland OR Ireland OR Wales) OR CU= (Britain OR UK OR "united kingdom" OR England OR Scotland OR Ireland OR Wales))

References

- Adekola, J., Dale, V.H.M., Gardiner, K., & Fischbacher-Smith, M. (2017) Student Transitions to Blended Learning: An Institutional Case Study. *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, 5(2), 58 – 65. doi: [10.14297/jpaap.v5i2.273](https://doi.org/10.14297/jpaap.v5i2.273)
- Bamber, V., Choudhary, C.J., Hislop, J. & Lane, J. (2019) Postgraduate taught students and preparedness for Master's level study: polishing the facets of the Master's diamond. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 43(2), 236 – 250, doi: [10.1080/0309877X.2017.1359502](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1359502)
- Bowman, H. (2005). 'It's a year and then that's me': masters students' decision-making'. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 29(3): 233 – 249.

Bownes, J., Labrosee, N., Forrest, D., Mactaggart, D., Senn, H., Fischbacher-Smith, M., Jackson, M., McEwan, M., Pringle Barnes, G., Sheridan, N. & Biletskaya, T. (2017) Supporting students in the transition to postgraduate taught study in STEM subjects. *Journal of Perspectives in Applied Academic Practice*, 5(2), 3 – 11. doi:[10.14297/jpaap.v5i2.280](https://doi.org/10.14297/jpaap.v5i2.280)

Brown, S. (2014). What Are the Perceived Differences Between Assessing at Masters Level and Undergraduate Level Assessment? Some Findings from an NTFS-funded Project. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* 51 (3): 265–276. doi:10.1080/14703297.2013.796713.

Burgess, R. G., Band, S., & Pole, C. J. (1998). Developments in Postgraduate Education and Training in the UK. *European Journal of Education* 33(2): 145–159.

Chapman, A. & Pyvis, D. (2005). Identity and social practice in higher education: student experiences of postgraduate courses delivered ‘offshore’ in Singapore and Hong Kong by an Australian University. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 25(1), 39 – 52

Coates, N., & Dickinson, J. (2012). Meeting International Postgraduate Student Needs: A Programme-Based Model for Learning and Teaching Support. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* 49 (3): 295–308. doi:10.1080/14703297.2012.703018.

Dunworth, K., & Sanchez, H.S. (2018). Mediating factors in the provision of lecturers’ written feedback to postgraduate taught students. *International Journal of Educational Research* 90, pages 107-116.

Evans, C., Nguyen, T., Richardson, M. & Scott, I. (2018). Managing the transition from undergraduate to taught postgraduate study: perceptions of international students studying in the UK. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, 23(2), 249 – 265, doi: [10.1080/13596748.2018.1444386](https://doi.org/10.1080/13596748.2018.1444386)

Fakunle, L., Allison, P. & Fordyce, K. (2016). Chinese postgraduate students’ perspectives on developing critical thinking on a UK Education Masters. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 5(1), 27 – 38

Ginn, F. (2014). “Being like a researcher”: supervising Masters dissertations in a neoliberalizing university, *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 38(1), 106 – 118, doi: [10.1080/03098265.2013.836746](https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2013.836746)

Hallett, F. (2010). The Postgraduate Student Experience of Study Support: A Phenomenographic Analysis. *Studies in Higher Education* 35 (2): 225–238.

Ho, A., Kember, D. & Hong, C. (2012). What motivates an ever increasing number of students to enroll in part-time taught postgraduate awards? *Studies in Continuing Education* 34 (3) 319 – 338, doi: [10.1080/0158037X.2011.646979](https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2011.646979)

Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., Loughin, T. M., Kovanović, V., & Hatala, M. (2015). Learning at distance: Effects of interaction traces on academic achievement. *Computers & Education*, 87, 204 – 217. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2015.07.002

Li, Z. (2013) A critical account of employability construction through the eyes

of Chinese postgraduate students in the UK. *Journal of Education and Work*, 26(5), 473 – 493, doi:10.1080/13639080.2012.710740

Macleod, G., Barnes, T. & Huttly, S. R. A. (2018) Teaching at Master's level: between a rock and a hard place, *Teaching in Higher Education*, 24 (4) 493 - 509, doi: [10.1080/13562517.2018.1491025](https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1491025)

Mello, L.V. (2016). Fostering postgraduate student engagement: online resources supporting self-directed learning in a diverse cohort. *Research in Learning Technology*, 24, doi: 10.3402/rlt.v24.29366.

J.L. Menzies & Baron, R. (2014) International postgraduate student transition experiences: the importance of student societies and friends. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 51(1), 84 – 94, doi: [10.1080/14703297.2013.771972](https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2013.771972)

Mitchell, R. (2015). ‘If there is a job description I don’t think I’ve read one’: a case study of programme leadership in a UK pre-1992 university. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 39(5),713-732, doi: [10.1080/0309877X.2014.895302](https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2014.895302)

Morgan, M. (2015). Study Expectations of Different Domiciled Postgraduate Taught Students in a UK Post-92 Institution. *Quality Assurance in Education* 23 (3): 233–249.

Murray, D., Connelly, L. and Panesar, R. (2013) Transitions as a Framework for PGT Provision”, published by the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education through Enhancement Themes [online] at: <https://studylib.net/doc/8398932/--the-enhancement-themes-website>, accessed on 8th May 2019.

Pereda, M., D. Airey & Bennet, M. (2007). Service Quality in Higher Education: The Experience of Overseas Students. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education* 6 (2): 55–67

Pratt, J., Hillier, Y. & Mace, J. (1999). Markets and motivation in part-time postgraduate education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 24(1), 95 – 107, doi: [10.1080/03075079912331380168](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079912331380168)

Skyrme, G., & McGee, A. (2016). Pulled in Many Directions: Tensions and Complexity for Academic Staff Responding to International Students. *Teaching in Higher Education* 21 (7): 759–772.

Schartner, A. (2015) ‘You cannot talk with all of the strangers in a pub’: a longitudinal case study of international postgraduate students’ social ties at a British university. *Higher Education*, 69 (2) 225–241.

Tobbell, J., & O’Donnell, V.L. (2013). Transition to Postgraduate Study: Postgraduate Ecological Systems and Identity. *Cambridge Journal of Education* 43 (1): 123–138. doi:10.1080/0305764X.2012.749215.

Wakeling, P. B. J., & Laurison, D. (2017). Are postgraduate qualifications the ‘new frontier of social mobility’? *British Journal of Sociology*, 1 – 23. doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12277