



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Is coronary heart disease rising in India?

A systematic review based on ECG defined coronary heart disease

Citation for published version:

Ahmad, N & Bhopal, R 2005, 'Is coronary heart disease rising in India? A systematic review based on ECG defined coronary heart disease', *Heart*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 719-25. <https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2003.031047>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/hrt.2003.031047](https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2003.031047)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Heart

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



REVIEW

Is coronary heart disease rising in India? A systematic review based on ECG defined coronary heart disease

N Ahmad, R Bhopal

Heart 2005;91:719–725. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2003.031047

The aim of this study was to investigate whether coronary heart disease (CHD) is rising in India and assess the quality of the evidence. Thirty one studies were reviewed. The sample sizes of the studies varied from approximately 500 to 14 000, with response rates generally over 90%. Many did not fulfil basic requirements for epidemiological research and most studies were in or around Delhi. The three incidence studies used different diagnostic criteria; however, the incidence of myocardial infarction (MI) in urban India in the 14 years to 1991 remained similar at about 6/1000 in males and 2/1000 in females. Prevalence range was higher in urban than rural areas in men (35–90/1000 v 17–45/1000) and women (28–93/1000 v 13–43/1000). There was no clear rise in age specific rates in men over a 27 year period, whereas a rise was seen in women. In conclusion, using a relatively objective measure of CHD it was found that CHD is more common in urban than rural areas of India, but there was little evidence of a rise in CHD over time, especially in men.

then examined the citations in the papers found to identify studies not indexed by Medline or Embase. Studies were limited to those published in English language journals and based on populations residing in India. We also searched RSB's personal collection of books and papers on the subject as well as the World Health Organization⁸ and Global Cardiovascular Infobase⁹ websites during March 2003. We extracted data from community based research.

Our search highlighted 3278 references. After removing duplicates and those not obviously relating to CHD frequency, 336 potentially relevant references remained of which 305 were excluded (list of rejected studies available from the authors) as follows: 24 outside India, 33 were hospital studies, 15 CHD was not defined using ECG, 96 measured CHD risk factors, 11 were on diseased populations (for example, diabetic patients), 23 examined CHD prevention or treatment strategies, 60 summarised data in the form of reviews, and 43 were on other topics (for example, case reports). We focused on studies conducted after 1960 because the Minnesota code was published in 1969.¹⁰ The first Indian study using the Minnesota code was published in 1974.¹¹

The hospital studies were excluded from this review as they did not permit robust conclusions because they were from cities from several regions and from different types of hospital. No study commented upon the accuracy of data and few stated diagnostic criteria. Many did not state type of hospital, location, or catchment area. While CHD comprised 0–2% of all admissions in the 1950s, it comprised about 10% in the 1970s and 1980s.

Community studies generally included both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. Asymptomatic CHD cases had characteristic changes on the ECG but no symptoms. Of the nine studies presenting data from the asymptomatic population, only three^{12–14} detailed how this population was defined—that is, whether negative on the Rose questionnaire or without clinical history. Most studies used Minnesota codes 1.1.1–1.1.7, 1.2.1–1.2.7 (pathological Q), 4.1.1, 4.1.2 (ST depression), or 5.1, 5.2 (T wave inversion) to define CHD. However, some studies used the additional ST change code 9.2^{15–18} in their definition and occasionally included clinical cases.^{19, 20} One did not include t wave inversion data in their definition.²⁰ Probable CHD was, therefore, not defined absolutely consistently.

We extracted the following information from publications: time and location of fieldwork, criteria for diagnosing CHD, method of determining

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is forecast to be the most common cause of death globally, including India, by 2020.¹ CHD is high among people with Indian origins who are now living abroad.^{2–6}

Gupta and Gupta⁷ reviewed studies determining CHD prevalence in India. They found that CHD prevalence increased in urban areas from 1% in the 1960s to 9% in the 1990s. In rural areas the rate increased from 2% in the 1970s to 4% in the 1990s. Their meta-analysis did not comment upon the quality of the studies included and did not separate the prevalence on clinical data, and clinical and ECG data. Their conclusions, therefore, need re-examination.

To investigate how common the disease was we reviewed data published in journals (including the prevalence data used in Gupta's report) on the frequency of CHD in India. To increase comparability we focused on studies including Minnesota coded ECG as part of the definition of CHD.

METHODS

We searched Medline between 1966 and March 2003 and Embase between 1980 and March 2003 using the following text words and MeSH headings: India\$ or Asia\$ and heart or coronary disease or myocardial infarction or angina. We

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr Naseer Ahmad, 4
Hyldavale Avenue, Gatlay,
Cheadle, Stockport SK8
4DE, UK; naseer102@
hotmail.com

Accepted 7 July 2004

Table 1 Summary of methods and results of incidence and mortality studies examining CHD frequency in India

	Incidence	Prevalence	Mortality
Number reviewed (n=31)	n=3	n=27	n=1
Timing	1977–1992	1974–2002	1958–1962
Main outcome	New cases of MI or CHD per 1000 population	Prevalence of probable CHD on ECG per 1000 population	Mortality/100000
Source of diagnosis data	Hospital records and/or individual follow up	ECG (Minnesota coded)	Death certificates
Range	MI Males 4–6 Females 2	Probable* (symptomatic and asymptomatic) Urban Rural Males 35–90 17–45 Females 28–93 13–43 Probable (asymptomatic)† Urban Rural Males 25–77 6–18 Females 31–76 11–34	Males 20–135
Results for incidence and mortality (see table 2 and 3 for prevalence)	Gupta ⁴¹ (≥30 years) Urban Rohtak, 1977–1978; MI Men 6 Women 2 Chadha ⁴² (25–64 years) Urban Delhi, 1990–1991; MI Men 4 Women 2 Trivedi ³⁸ (30–62 years) Rural Gujrat, 1987–1992; CHD Men and women 1987–1988 6 1991–1992 3 Average annual rate 5		Malhotra ³⁷ (18–55 years) 8 Indian railway regions, 1958–1962 Men Northern 20 Southern 135 All 59

*Based on Minnesota coded ECG; studies were assumed to present data from the symptomatic and asymptomatic unless stated otherwise.

†Subjects who respond negatively to the Rose angina questionnaire and/or are known not to have CHD but have ECG changes of probable CHD. CHD, coronary heart disease; MI, myocardial infarction.

denominator populations, technique of selecting sample, age range of subjects, response rates, and the rate of CHD in males, females, and both combined. The incidence and prevalence rates were rounded to the nearest integer and expressed per 1000, and mortality rates per 100 000.

The quality of the totality of publications was judged on basic requirements of epidemiological design (for example, whether location and date of fieldwork was given), the definition of the measures of disease and hence accuracy of the numerator, the source and accuracy of the denominator, sampling strategy, response rates, and definitions used to do subgroup analysis by ethnic or religious group.²¹

RESULTS

Community based studies

For ease of reference, studies will be referred to in the text by their number in the table under consideration, shown in brackets. The methods employed in the included studies are tabulated in the appendix (table A1) (to view the appendix please visit the *Heart* website—<http://www.heartjnl.com/supplemental>).

Location of Studies

Table 1 shows there were three studies on incidence, 27 on prevalence, and one on mortality. Of these, 28 were from North India, mostly around Delhi, the capital of India (fig 1). Mortality data were only on Indian men.

Observations on methods of community studies

Of 31 studies, 21 did not state the date of fieldwork^{11 13 15–19 22–35} (table A1, studies 4–6, 8, 11–15, 17–24, 26, and 28–30). Three studies used the census to define study areas and denominators^{19 27 36} (table A1, studies 14, 16, and 18) though the accuracy outside the census year is questionable. Malhotra³⁷ (table A1, study 31) determined the denominator for his railway mortality study from pay roll data. Trivedi³⁸

(table A1, study 3) provided detailed information on the method of sampling.

The response rate was sometimes near 100% and generally over 85%, although lower in females (table A1, studies 12, 17, 20, 24, and 29). There was dual publication of data. Two urban datasets supplied six papers^{13 29 34 36 39 40} (table A1, studies 7, 9, and 16 and studies 17, 21, and 29). One rural dataset supplied four papers^{15 17 29 31} (table A1, studies 12, 20, 21, and 24).

None of the three studies reporting ethnic differences^{12 34 36} (table A1, studies 10, 16, and 29) indicated the criteria for categorising people into different ethnic groups.



Figure 1 Map of India showing location of areas studied.

Findings of community studies: incidence

The three incidence studies used different methods to attain data and so are not directly comparable. However, Gupta and Khetrappaul⁴¹ (register based study) and Chadha *et al*⁴² (cohort study) describe a similar incidence of MI 14 years apart. The decreasing incidence in the Trivedi and co-workers cohort study³⁸, from 6/1000 to 3/1000, probably reflects early cases arising in people with prevalent disease, possibly exacerbated by including 172 volunteers, who may have had high risk of CHD.

Findings of community studies: prevalence of probable CHD in Indian urban and rural areas

Over the 27 year period represented in table 2, there was little evidence of a rise in prevalence in urban areas, particularly in men. Evidence suggesting an increased urban prevalence over time in women in the symptomatic and asymptomatic population came from Gupta and Malhotra²² (table 2, study 1) and Gupta *et al*¹³ (table 2, study 2) where rates were 28/1000 in 1975 and 84/1000 in 1995 respectively. Gupta *et al*³⁵ (table 2, study 8) measured prevalence in the same population (but not the same individuals) approximately eight years after the initial study¹³ (table 2, study 2). They found no increase in the prevalence of CHD in men or women. Of the five studies presenting data from asymptomatic populations, two reported from the same dataset (table 2, studies 10 and 12) with rates of 56/1000 in men and 76/1000 in women; the remaining three (table 2, studies 9, 11, and 13) reported rates between 25/1000 and 77/1000 in men and 31/1000 and 56/1000 in women with no trend. The

study of Singh and co-workers in Moradabad (table 2, study 11) was not directly comparable with the other urban studies as their population was all over 49 years old.

In the rural studies (table 3), again the evidence for a rise in prevalence was very limited. Assessing change over time was tentatively possible between Deewan *et al*¹¹ (table 3, study 1) and Wander *et al*¹⁹ (table 3, study 3). Neither gave fieldwork dates but were published 20 years apart. Prevalence of probable CHD in the symptomatic and asymptomatic populations increased from 17/1000 to 26/1000 in men and 13/1000 to 38/1000 in women. Among the asymptomatic population, prevalence appeared to decrease over time, at least in women. Jajoo *et al*²³ (table 3, study 8), reported prevalence as 34/1000 in 1988 and this decreased by 1997 to between 11/1000 and 27/1000 as reported by Singh *et al*¹⁸ (table 3, study 11) and Chadha *et al*¹⁴ (table 3, study 10), respectively. Only Chadha *et al*¹⁴ (table 3, study 10) gave fieldwork dates. Of the 10 studies that gave both male and female rates, six (table 3, studies 2, 3, 7–10) reported rates higher in females than males of between 20% (table 3, studies 2 and 4) and 450% (table 3, study 10). Artefacts, particularly the validity of the ECG in the diagnosis of CHD, need to be considered with such a female excess.

Three studies explicitly compared urban and rural prevalence rates. The urban prevalence of Gupta and Malhotra²² (table 2, study 1) was higher than Deewan *et al*¹¹ (table 3, study 1) rural prevalence in men (45/1000 *v* 17/1000) and women (28/1000 *v* 13/1000). Chadha¹⁴ compared the asymptomatic urban (table 2, study 10) and rural Delhi population (table 3, study 10) and found rates to be higher in urban

Table 2 Urban prevalence rates of probable CHD per 1000, in males and females

Study number	Author/date publication	Location and date of fieldwork	Group	Male	Female
Symptomatic and asymptomatic					
1	Gupta 1975 ²²	Rohtak, Haryana, dates not given	Adults >30 years	45	28
2*†	Gupta 1995 ¹³	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	35	84
3*	Gupta 1996 ²⁹	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adult males >20 years	35	NS
4	Singh 1998 ³²	Moradabad, dates not given	Adults 25–64 years	90	60
5‡	Ramachadran 1998 ²⁰	Madras, 1994	Adults >40 years	35	45
6	Mohan 2001 ³³	Chennai (formerly Madras), dates not given	Adults >20 years	62	93
7*	Gupta 2002 ³⁴	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years		
			Hindu	43	89
			Muslim	7	66
8†	Gupta 2002 ³⁵	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	38	72
Asymptomatic					
9	Chadha 1992 ¹²	Delhi, 1988	Adults 25–64 years		
			Gujeratis	67	31
10§	Gopianth 1992 ⁴⁰	Delhi, 1985–1987	Adults 25–64 years	56	76
11	Singh 1995 ²⁷	Moradabad, dates not given	Adults >49 years	77	56
12‡	Gopianth 1996 ³⁶	Delhi, 1985–1987	Adults 25–64 years		
			Asymptomatic		
			Hindus	50	61
			Muslims	95	85
			Sikhs	54	114
			Christians		48
			All	56	76
13	Singh 1997 ¹⁸	Rural and urban Moradabad, dates not given	Adults 25–64 years	25	34

*Use the same dataset; †studies 2 and 8 are the prevalence rates of the same population, but not the same individuals, measured years later; ‡T wave inversion data not given in sex prevalence (accounts for an extra 10% CHD in combined male/female rate); §uses the same dataset (Chadha 1990³⁹ also uses the same dataset but this data is not in the table as individual male and female rates were not given); NS, not stated.

Table 3 Rural prevalence rates of probable CHD per 1000 in males and females

Study number	Author/date publication	Location and date of fieldwork	Group	Male	Female
Symptomatic and asymptomatic					
1	Dewan 1974 ¹¹	Haryana, dates not given	Adults >30 years	17	13
2*	Gupta 1994 ¹⁵	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	28	33
3	Wander 1994 ¹⁹	Punjab, dates not given	Adults >30 years	26	38
4	Gupta 1994 ¹⁶	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >25 years	45	43
5*	Gupta 1996 ²⁹	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	28	NS
6	Singh 1997 ³⁰	Moradabad, dates not given	Adults 25–64 years	33	20
7*	Gupta 1997 ³¹	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	28	33
Asymptomatic					
8	Jajoo 1988 ²³	Sevagram, dates not given	Adults >30 years	17	34
9*	Gupta 1996 ¹⁷	Rajasthan, dates not given	Adults >20 years	13	24
10	Chadha 1997 ¹⁴	Delhi, 1984–1987	Adults 25–64 years	6	27
11	Singh 1997 ¹⁸	Rural and urban Moradabad, dates not given	Adults 25–64 years	18	11

*Use the same dataset; NS, not stated.

Delhi men (56/1000 v 6/1000) and women (76/1000 v 27/1000) compared with their rural counterparts. Singh *et al*¹⁸ also found a similar pattern in the urban (table 2, study 13) and rural (table 3, study 11) asymptomatic Moradabad population (men 25/1000 v 18/1000; women 34/1000 v 11/1000). Urban prevalence rates therefore exceeded rural rates by between 40% and 900% in men and between 220% and 300% in women.

No studies presenting ECG defined CHD explicitly compared rates between North and South India. South Indian prevalence rates were not consistently higher than North India (table 2, studies 5 and 6).^{20–33} However, Beegom and Singh²⁸ (table A1, study 19) found the rates of MI (clinical CHD; defined using the Rose angina questionnaire) to be higher in both South Indian males (174/1000 v 100/1000) and females (102/1000 v 69/1000) than North Indians.

Ethnic groups in India

Few studies reported on Indian ethnic subgroups, with some suggestion of heterogeneity. Gopianth³⁶ (table 2, study 12) reported on the asymptomatic Delhi population by religious group without stating how religion was determined. Among the male population, Muslims experienced the highest rates (95/1000) with no cases in Christian men. Hindus and Sikhs had similar rates (50/1000 and 54/1000, respectively). Among females, Sikhs experienced the highest rate (114/1000), Christians the lowest (48/1000; but only comprised 0.9% of the study population) while Muslims and Hindus had rates in between (85/1000 and 61/1000 respectively). Gupta *et al*³⁴ (table 2, study 7) found rates to be higher in Hindus, particularly men, than Muslims but there were only two cases in the 272 Muslim men. Chadha *et al*¹² and Gopianth *et al*³⁰ (table 2, studies 9 and 10) found that Gujerati males in Delhi had a prevalence rate that was higher than the general population (67/1000 v 56/1000) whereas Gujerati females had a lower rate compared with their counterparts (31/1000 v 76/1000).

Comparison over time in age specific prevalence rates

Five urban (table 4) and five rural (table 5) studies reported prevalence of probable CHD by age groups. Only one gave a date for fieldwork. Among the symptomatic and asymptomatic urban populations, Gupta *et al*¹³ (table 4, study 2) and Gupta *et al*³⁵ (table 4, study 3) published prevalence in the same Rajasthan area some years apart. Although no difference in total prevalence was reported, age specific rates show a decline in males less than 40 years old, but an increase in those aged 40–59 years but no important changes in females. However, comparing Gupta *et al*³⁵ (table 4, study 3) with Gupta and Malhotra²² (table 4, study 1), over a 27

year period, there appeared to be no difference in age specific rates between males whereas an increase was clear cut in females. Among the asymptomatic urban population (table 4, studies 4 and 5), there was no evidence of a rise in either males or females.

Among the rural population (table 5), a clear cut rise among the symptomatic and asymptomatic females was seen with some evidence of a rise in men.

Mortality from CHD

The study by Malhotra³⁷ is the only study reporting CHD mortality from the Indian subcontinent (table 1). Indian rates were presented by railway zones and ranged from 20/100 000 to 135/100 000 in Northern and Southern railway zones respectively.

DISCUSSION

Search strategy and methods

We reviewed 31 studies found by searching Medline and Embase and examining citations. We searched some grey literature—for example, from the World Health Organization website. The World Health Organization statistical information system (WHOSIS)⁸ and Global Cardiovascular Infobase⁹ provide epidemiological profiles of cardiovascular disease internationally. Neither source yielded relevant information, in the case of WHOSIS because death registration was considered to be too low. It is unlikely that additional grey literature sources would yield higher quality information than we found. Much Indian scientific, medical, and academic research uses English, particularly for publication. While our search strategy may have missed work, it seems unlikely that it would give higher quality information than we found, or that our conclusions would have changed substantially. Our search was, therefore, limited to articles in English for pragmatic reasons.

Using ECG based definitions made it possible to compare studies. We have, therefore, focused on ECG defined probable CHD in populations that were asymptomatic or included both asymptomatic and symptomatic people. We excluded studies using only the Rose angina questionnaires because they seldom commented on translation and validation. Without this information we cannot judge the accuracy of the translation or the ability of the population to understand it correctly.⁴³ The questionnaire has lesser validity in women⁴⁴ and is probably less valid in non-white populations.⁴⁵ One Indian study concluded that the questionnaire was highly sensitive but not highly specific for angina.²⁴

However, ECG based definitions also have limitations. Non-specific ECG changes make interpretation difficult—for example, Q waves, a sign of MI, can be produced by bundle

Table 4 Urban prevalence rates of probable CHD per 1000 by age group (numbers in parenthesis represent numbers studied in each age band)

Author	Location	Males					Females				
		20-29 years	30-39 years	40-49 years	50-59 years	60-69 years	20-29 years	30-39 years	40-49 years	50-59 years	60-69 years
		Symptomatic and asymptomatic									
1	Gupta 1975 ²²	NS	4 (241)	36 (252)	84 (215)	NS	0 (176)	2 (176)	46 (153)		
2*	Gupta 1995 ¹³	23 (526)	32 (379)	27 (183)	57 (211)	52 (136)	76 (157)	85 (211)	80 (151)		
3*	Gupta 2002 ¹⁵	10 (99)	7 (53)	34 (117)	80 (100)	44 (90)	53 (151)	76 (132)	78 (113)		
Asymptomatic†											
4	Chadha 1992 ²²	25-34 years	25-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years						
5	Singh 1997 ¹⁶	0 (69)	66 (61)	132 (38)	160 (25)	16 (191)	46 (108)	27 (74)	65 (46)		
		23 (304)	41 (290)	6 (182)	23 (128)	34 (354)	31 (254)	35 (171)	48 (123)		

*Studies 2 and 3 represent the same population (not same individuals) re-investigated some years apart; †asymptomatic studies used mid decade cut offs, that is 25-64 years; NS, not studied.

Table 5 Rural prevalence rates of probable CHD per 1000 by age group (numbers in parenthesis represent numbers studied in each age band)

Author	Location	Males					Females				
		30-39 years	40-49 years	50-59 years	60-69 years	70-79 years	30-39 years	40-49 years	50-59 years	60-69 years	70-79 years
		Symptomatic and asymptomatic									
1	Deewan 1974 ¹¹	0 (192)	6 (314)	34 (269)	42 (46)	0 (163)	11 (184)	20 (196)	22 (90)		
2	Jajoo 1988 ²³	5 (408)	14 (353)	26 (265)	27 (222)	30 (365)	43 (323)	25 (202)	31 (159)		
3	Gupta 1997 ²¹	20 (495)	30 (366)	30 (282)	60 (282)	23 (342)	38 (212)	87 (127)	68 (103)		
Asymptomatic											
4	Wander 1996 ¹⁹	5 (213)	13 (150)	47 (128)	53 (94)	30 (133)	15 (136)	56 (125)	75 (53)		
5†	Singh 1997 ¹⁸	25-34 years	25-44 years	45-54 years	55-64 years						
		23 (296)	17 (178)	8 (120)	8 (120)	11 (264)	12 (165)	31 (96)			

*No fieldwork dates given; †Singh used mid decade cut offs; NS, not studied.

branch block, and flat/inverted T waves in leads II, III and AVF are not necessarily myocardial ischaemia.⁴⁶ ST depression may not have the same diagnostic power in females and may over diagnose.⁴⁷ Patel *et al* concluded that ECG changes suggestive of ischaemia are common in South Asians and may not be associated with clinical evidence of CHD.⁴⁸ Our finding of more probable CHD in women than men suggests that this may be partially true for women.

Prevalence of CHD measured clinically produces rates higher than that measured solely by Minnesota coded ECG. For example, the prevalence of probable CHD based on ECG, in Moradabad²⁷ was 67/1000 but it was 121/1000 when based on clinical and ECG data. A recent review by Gupta⁷ did not take such factors into account. For example, the prevalence in Rohtak in 1975 was reported to be 3.6% (our calculation was 3.8%) and 8.6% in Moradabad in 1995 (table A1, study 21). The Rohtak study presented ECG defined probable CHD whereas clinical CHD was presented in the Moradabad study. To infer an increase over time, as Gupta did, was misleading. This report was cited by the key publication of Yusuf *et al*¹ as demonstrating the alarming increase of CHD in India.

To accurately determine prevalence, a precise baseline denominator and a representative sample of individuals are required. To gauge CHD in India we need studies from across the country. In fact, most have been done in and around Delhi. Five rural studies^{11 17 19 23 26} all studied subjects in their chosen area but the study areas were usually chosen because of their proximity to educational institutions. Only three studies^{13 35 36} used random number methods to select subjects. The other methods used included blind selection of streets^{18 27 32} (but not houses/individuals), and selecting houses at varying intervals.^{11 12 14 22 36 39 40} These methods may not draw random samples.

Generally the studies were large, the number of participants ranging from approximately 500 to 14 000. A power calculation was done in three studies.^{18 27 39} These studies assumed prevalence rates of 40/1000,³⁹ 50/1000,¹⁸ and 80/1000²⁷ and had sample sizes of 14 000, 2000, and 600. These assumed rates were based on clinical CHD. The two studies accounting for clustering^{27 39} did so by doubling the sample size required. The statistical basis upon which this decision was made was not stated. Response rates were high (over 90%) and frequently approached 100%. The methods are worthy of deeper examination to understand how such high rates were achieved.

In the studies that examined subgroup differences, no mention was made as to how religious affiliation was assessed^{24 36} or how Gujarati identity was established.¹²

Comparisons by time, regional and ethnic/religious subgroups

The three incidence studies did not show a rise over time. Trivedi³⁸ reported that the incidence of CHD from the same Gujarat village cohort halved over the years 1987–1992 (6/1000 to 3/1000), probably because early cases were arising from the pool of prevalent disease. Trends in prevalence data over a 27 year period were difficult to interpret, partly because many studies did not give dates of fieldwork. This is a major weakness in the set of studies²¹; however, using publication date as a proxy measure the re-examination of prevalence in urban Rajasthan by Gupta *et al* showed no increase between 1995 and 2002 in either men or women. Overall and age specific prevalence data over a 27 year period suggests an increase in women, and less evidence for changes in men. On the ECG alone, however, the male to female ratio was inconsistent, with female rates exceeding those of men in several studies, especially more recent ones. This most likely reflects the sex differences in the validity of the ECG in

diagnosing CHD, though the sex ratio may be changing as the epidemic of CHD affects women more than men.

There are few data on regional variations within India. Malhotra³⁷ showed that mortality from CHD differed between employees of Indian railways across railway zones. The rates were lowest in Northern areas (20/100 000), higher in Eastern and central areas (50/100 000 and 63/100 000, respectively) and highest in Southern areas (135/100 000). The lack of mortality studies reflect no uniform completion of death certificates and no centralised death registry for cardiovascular disease.⁴⁹ Prevalence data did not accord with such huge variation. Within urban Delhi^{12 36} and Rajasthan³⁵ there was evidence that prevalence might differ by religion and ethnic group, but larger and more clearly documented studies are needed. The prevalence rates are higher in urban than rural India.

In conclusion, there is a shortage of good quality comparable epidemiological data from India. The evidence does not suggest a rising incidence of CHD to the extent predicted. However, there is evidence of increasing prevalence, mainly in women, but it is based on few studies. India may be on the cusp of an explosive epidemic of CHD, but the evidence for this is weak. The impression of a higher prevalence in recent decades may reflect better clinical diagnosis, more health care facilities, more cases of CHD in hospitals, greater survival, and population aging. There is an urgent need for new epidemiological research in India. Future studies should randomly select subjects, provide dates of fieldwork, be powered to test for variation in time and place, provide age specific and age adjusted rates, diagnose CHD using agreed and consistent definitions, including ECG based measures, and represent more regions of the country.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Simon Capewell for comments on a student project of N Ahmad arising from this research.



To view the appendix (table A1) please visit the *Heart* website—<http://www.heartjnl.com/supplemental>

Authors' affiliations

N Ahmad, Mid Cheshire Hospitals Trust, Crewe, UK
R Bhopal, Division of Community Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

REFERENCES

- 1 Yusuf S, Reddy S, Ounpuu S, *et al*. Global burden of cardiovascular disease. Part II. Variations in cardiovascular disease by specific ethnic groups and geographic regions and prevention strategies. *Circulation* 2001;**104**:2855–64.
- 2 McKeigue PM, Sevak L. *Coronary heart disease in South Asian communities*. London: Health Education Authority, 1994.
- 3 Smaje C. *Health and ethnicity: a review of the literature*. London: Kings Fund Institute, 1994.
- 4 Shaikat N, de Bono DP. Are Indo-origin people especially susceptible to coronary artery disease? *Postgrad Med J* 1994;**70**:315–8.
- 5 Enas EA, Yusuf S, Mehta JL. Prevalence of coronary artery disease in Asian Indians. *Am J Cardiol* 1992;**70**:945–9.
- 6 Bhopal R. What is the risk of coronary heart disease in South Asians? A review of UK research. *J Public Health Med* 2000;**22**:375–85.
- 7 Gupta R, Gupta VP. Meta-analysis of coronary heart disease prevalence in India. *Indian Heart J* 1996;**48**:241–5.
- 8 World Health Organisation Statistical Information System (WHOSIS). <http://www3.who.int/whosis/menu.cfm?path=whosis&language=english>, 2003.
- 9 Global Cardiovascular Infobase. <http://cvdinfobase.ic.gc.ca/>, 2003.
- 10 Blackburn H. Electrocardiographic classification for population comparisons. The Minnesota code. *J Electrocardiol* 1969;**52**:5–9.
- 11 Deewan BD, Malhotra KC, Gupta SP. Epidemiological study of coronary heart disease in rural community Haryana. *Indian Heart J* 1974;**26**:68–78.
- 12 Chadha SL, Gopianth N, Ramachandran K. Epidemiological study of coronary heart disease in Gujaratis in Delhi (India). *Indian J Med Res* 1992;**96**:115–21.

- 13 Gupta R, Prakesh H, Majumder S, et al. Prevalence of coronary heart disease and coronary risk factors in an urban population of Rajasthan. *Indian Heart J* 1995;**47**:331-8.
- 14 Chadha SL, Gopianth N, Shekhawat S. Urban-rural differences in the prevalence of coronary heart disease and its risk factors in Delhi. *Bull World Health Org* 1997;**75**:31-8.
- 15 Gupta R, Gupta VP, Ahluwalia NS. Educational status, coronary heart disease, and coronary risk factor prevalence in a rural population of India. *BMJ* 1994;**309**:1332-6.
- 16 Gupta R, Gupta HP, Keswani P, et al. Coronary heart disease and coronary risk factor prevalence in rural Rajasthan. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1994;**42**:24-6.
- 17 Gupta R, Sharma S. Prevalence of asymptomatic electrocardiographic abnormalities in a rural population. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1996;**44**:775-7.
- 18 Singh RB, Sharma JP, Rastogi V, et al. Prevalence of coronary artery disease and coronary risk factors in rural and urban populations of north India. *Eur Heart J* 1997;**18**:1728-35.
- 19 Wander GS, Khurana SB, Gulati R, et al. Epidemiology of coronary heart disease in a rural Punjab population--prevalence and correlation with various risk factors. *Indian Heart J* 1994;**46**:319-23.
- 20 Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Latha E, et al. Clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in urban Asian Indians. *Diabetes Care* 1998;**21**:967-71.
- 21 Bhopal R. Some questions relevant to the appraisal of epidemiological research. *Concepts of Epidemiology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003:290.
- 22 Gupta SP, Malhotra KC. Urban-rural trends in the epidemiology of coronary heart disease. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1975;**23**:885-92.
- 23 Jajoo UN, Kalantri SP, Gupta OP, et al. The prevalence of coronary heart disease in rural population from central India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1988;**36**:689-93.
- 24 Kutty VR, Balakrishnan KG, Jayasree AK, et al. Prevalence of coronary heart disease in the rural population of Thiruvananthapuram district, Kerala, India. *Int J Cardiol* 1993;**39**:59-70.
- 25 Sharma S. Clinical, biochemical, electrocardiographic and noninvasive hemodynamic assessment of cardiovascular status in natives at high to extreme altitudes (3000m-5500m) of the Himalayan region. *Indian Heart J* 1990;**42**:375-9.
- 26 Kaushal SS, DasGupta DJ, Prashar BS, et al. Electrographic manifestations of healthy residents of a tribal Himalayan village. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1995;**43**:15-6.
- 27 Singh RB, Niaz MA, Ghosh S, et al. Epidemiological study of coronary artery disease and its risk factors in an elderly urban population of north India. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1995;**14**:628-34.
- 28 Beegom R, Singh RB. Prevalence of coronary artery disease and its risk factors in the urban population of South and North India. *Acta Cardiol* 1995;**50**:227-40.
- 29 Gupta R. Lifestyle risk factors and coronary heart disease prevalence in Indian men. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1996;**44**:689-93.
- 30 Singh RB, Sharma JP, Rastogi V, et al. Social class and coronary disease in rural population of north India. The Indian social class and heart survey. *Eur Heart J* 1997;**18**:588-95.
- 31 Gupta R, Prakesh H, Gupta VP, et al. Prevalence and determinants of coronary heart disease in a rural population of India. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1997;**50**:203-9.
- 32 Singh RB, Niaz MA, Ghosh S, et al. Low fat intake and coronary artery disease in a population with higher prevalence of coronary artery disease: the Indian paradox. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1998;**17**:342-50.
- 33 Mohan V, Deepa R, Rani SS, for the Chennai Urban Population Study, et al. Prevalence of coronary artery disease and its relationship to lipids in a selected population in South India: The Chennai urban population study (CUPS No. 5). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;**38**:682-7.
- 34 Gupta R, Gupta VP, Prakash H, et al. Hindu-Muslim differences in the prevalence of coronary heart disease and risk factors. *J Indian Med Assoc* 2002;**100**:227-30.
- 35 Gupta R, Gupta VP, Sarna M, et al. Prevalence of coronary heart disease and risk factors in an urban Indian population: Jaipur Heart Watch-2. *Indian Heart J* 2002;**54**:59-66.
- 36 Gopianth N, Chandha SL, Jain P, et al. An epidemiological study of coronary heart disease in different ethnic groups in Delhi urban population. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1995;**43**:30-3.
- 37 Malhotra SL. Epidemiology of ischaemic heart disease in India with special reference to causation. *Br Heart J* 1967;**29**:895-905.
- 38 Trivedi DH, Sharma V, Pandya H, et al. Longitudinal epidemiological study of coronary heart disease in a rural population of Kheda district, Gujarat, India. *Soz Praventivmed* 1996;**41**:373-9.
- 39 Chadha SL, Radhakrishnan S, Ramachandran K, et al. Epidemiological study of coronary heart disease in urban population of Delhi. *Indian J Med Res* 1990;**92**:424-30.
- 40 Gopianth N, Kaul U, Chandha SL, et al. Asymptomatic coronary heart disease detected on epidemiological survey of urban population of Delhi. *Indian Heart J* 1992;**44**:95-8.
- 41 Gupta SP, Khetrapaul NK. Incidence of acute myocardial infarction and sudden death in Rohtak City. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1981;**29**:365-72.
- 42 Chadha SL, Ramachandran K, Shekhawat S, et al. A 3 year follow up study of coronary heart disease in Delhi. *Bull World Health Org* 1993;**71**:67-72.
- 43 Rose GA. The diagnosis of 'ischaemic' heart pain and intermittent claudication in field surveys. *Bull World Health Org* 1962;**27**:645-58.
- 44 Harris RB, Weissfield LA. Gender differences in the reliability of reporting symptoms of angina pectoris. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991;**44**:1071-8.
- 45 Fischbacher CM, Bhopal R, Unwin N, et al. The performance of the Rose angina questionnaire in South Asian and European origin populations: a comparative study in Newcastle, UK. *Int J Epidemiol* 2001;**30**:1009-16.
- 46 Higgins ITT, Kanel WB, Daeber TR. The ECG in epidemiological studies, reproducibility, validity and international comparison. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 1965;**19**:53-68.
- 47 Rossouw JE, Weich HFF, Steyn K, et al. The prevalence of ischaemic heart disease in three rural South African communities. *J Chronic Dis* 1984;**37**:37-106.
- 48 Patel DJ, Winterbotham M, Sutherland SE, et al. Comparison of methods to assess coronary heart disease prevalence in South Asians. *Natl Med J India* 1997;**10**:210-3.
- 49 Reddy KS. Cardiovascular diseases in India. *World Health Stat Q* 1993;**46**:101-7.

ELECTRONIC PAGES

Heart Online case reports: www.heartjnl.com

The following electronic only articles are published in conjunction with this issue of *Heart*.

Complications of supra-annular mitral valve placement in infants

C L Barker, P E F Daubeney, E A Shinebourne

Two infants underwent supra-annular placement of prosthetic mitral valves. The objective of this strategy was to insert a larger valve and delay replacement. This approach was initially successful but by two and three years later the patients developed impairment of cardiac function. The prosthesis decreased the volume and compliance of the left atrium causing high left atrial and pulmonary venous pressures. The "ventricularised" atrium below the prosthesis dilated. In neither case was it possible to delay second valve replacement.

(*Heart* 2005;**91**:e48) www.heartjnl.com/cgi/content/full/91/6/e48

Left atrial myxoma in transplanted heart

W W Yap, K Bhattacharya, V Pathi

Primary cardiac tumours are discovered in about 1 in 2000 necropsies. Cardiac myxomas make up half of all benign intracardiac tumours. Seventy five per cent are located in the left atrium. The first left atrial myxoma arising from the left atrium of a transplanted heart is reported.

(*Heart* 2005;**91**:e49) www.heartjnl.com/cgi/content/full/91/6/e49