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Direct comparison of selective endothelin A and non-selective endothelin A/B receptor blockade in chronic heart failure

S J Leslie, J C S Spratt, S P McKe, F E Strachan, D E Newby, D B Northridge, M A Denvir, D J Webb

Objective: To investigate the potential differential effects of selective endothelin (ET) A and dual ET-A/B receptor blockade in patients with chronic heart failure.

Methods: Nine patients with chronic heart failure (New York Heart Association class II–III) each received intravenous infusions of BQ-123 alone (selective ET-A blockade) and combined BQ-123 and BQ-788 (dual ET-A/B blockade) in a randomised, placebo controlled, three way crossover study.

Results: Selective ET-A blockade increased cardiac output (maximum mean (SEM) 33 (12)%), p < 0.001) and reduced mean arterial pressure (maximum 13 (4)%), p < 0.001) and systemic vascular resistance (maximum 26 (8)%), p < 0.001) without changing heart rate (p = 0.38). Dual ET-A/B blockade significantly reduced the changes in all these haemodynamic variables compared with selective ET-A blockade (p < 0.05). Selective ET-A blockade reduced pulmonary artery pressure (maximum 25 (7)%), p = 0.01) and pulmonary vascular resistance (maximum 72 (39)%, p < 0.001). However, there was no difference between these effects and those seen with dual ET-A/B blockade. Unlike selective ET-A blockade, dual ET-A/B blockade increased plasma ET-1 concentrations (by 47 (4)% with low dose and 61 (8)% with high dose, both p < 0.05).

Conclusions: While there appeared to be similar reductions in pulmonary pressures with selective ET-A and dual ET-A/B blockade, selective ET-A blockade caused greater systemic vasodilatation and did not affect ET-1 clearance. In conclusion, there are significant haemodynamic differences between selective ET-A and dual ET-A/B blockade, which may determine responses in individual patients.

Endothelin (ET) 1 is a potent endogenous vasoconstrictor in humans and contributes to the maintenance of basal vascular tone and blood pressure in healthy people and patients with systemic arterial hypertension. It acts through two receptor subtypes: the ET-A and ET-B receptors. While both receptors are expressed on vascular smooth muscle cells and mediate vasoconstriction, only the ET-B receptor is located on the endothelium, where it produces a prostanoid and nitric oxide mediated vasodilatation. Thus, ET-B receptor mediated effects are complex and include vasoconstriction, endothelial dependent vasodilatation, and a role in the clearance of ET-1. In healthy people, in contrast to the vasodilator and vasodepressor effects of ET-A receptor blockade, systemic ET-B receptor blockade has vasoconstrictor and pressor effects, suggesting that the vascular balance of basal ET-B receptor activation favours vasodilatation.

Chronic heart failure is associated with neurohumoral activation as a consequence of reductions in cardiac reserve, systemic blood pressure, and renal perfusion. This leads to peripheral vasoconstriction, increased systemic vascular resistance, and sodium and water retention, which together increase cardiac work and further compromise cardiac performance. Many regulatory mechanisms are involved in this maladaptive response, including the renin–angiotensin, sympathetic nervous, and vasopressin systems. The ET system also appears to contribute to the pathophysiology of heart failure, which is associated with increased plasma ET-1 concentrations that correlate with haemodynamic changes, reduced exercise capacity, and a poor prognosis.

In patients with chronic heart failure, systemic administration of both selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B blockade reduces systemic vascular resistance and increases cardiac output. In patients with acute decompen-sated heart failure systemic administration of non-selective ET-A/B blockade has been shown to have beneficial effects. However, systemic ET-B blockade increases systemic vascular resistance and has potentially detrimental effects in patients with chronic heart failure.

Therefore, the question arises as to whether differences between selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B blockade can influence haemodynamic responses to ET blockade, which in turn might have contributed to the recent failure of ET blockade as a treatment approach for patients with chronic heart failure.

However, to date, there have been no direct studies comparing these two approaches.

The objectives of this placebo controlled study, in patients with stable chronic heart failure, were to compare in a head to head manner the effects of selective ET-A blockade with non-selective ET-A/B blockade on systemic and pulmonary haemodynamic function.

METHODS

Patient selection

Nine patients with chronic heart failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II–III) caused by left ventricular dysfunction were recruited if they had an ejection fraction < 35% (by echocardiography with the biplanar Simpson’s rule) and had been stable with treatment, including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor antagonist, for at least three months. Patients were all in sinus rhythm and no patient had a pacemaker or implantable cardiac defibrillator. Patients were excluded if they had insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, abnormal liver function, renal impairment (creatinine > 200 μmol/l for
men; > 180 µmol/l for women) or a systolic blood pressure > 190 or < 90 mm Hg, or within three months had undergone coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention or had an acute coronary syndrome, myocardial infarction, or cerebrovascular accident.

The study was undertaken with the approval of the local research ethics committee and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before entry into the study.

Measurements
Blood pressure and heart rate were measured non-invasively with a Datasamp compact TS (Critikon LLC, Ascot, UK). Cardiac output, mean pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary artery wedge pressure, and central venous pressure were measured continuously with a single microtumorphman the diffusion cardiac output pulmonary artery catheter (Swan-Ganz CCOmbo—CCO/SVO2; Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, California, USA). Cardiac output was calculated automatically (Vigilence, Edwards Critical Care, Baxter’s Healthcare Corporation, Irvine, California, USA) and, at each time point, the cardiac output was taken as the mean of three measurements.

Protocol
All patients attended fasted at 7.30 am on three occasions at least one week apart. Patients were asked to omit their regular medications on the morning of the study. The studies were conducted in a quiet, draught-free room maintained at a constant temperature (22–24°C). A pulmonary artery catheter was inserted through a 9 French femoral venous catheter was inserted under local anaesthesia. Pharmaceutical grade BQ-123 and BQ-788 (Clinalfa AG, Laufelfingen, Switzerland) were dissolved in 0.9% saline (Baxter Healthcare Ltd, Thetford, UK). On each study day, patients received a low dose infusion at t = 0 for 15 minutes followed by a high dose infusion at t = 60 minutes apart. Study drugs were administered by 15 minute infusion in two incremental doses 60 minutes apart.

Drug administration
A venous cannula for drug administration was inserted under stable, with three consecutive measurements within 10%. Drug administration was 15 minute infusion in two incremental doses 60 minutes apart.

Blood sampling and plasma assays
Venous blood for ET-1 and big ET-1 (the 38 amino acid precursor of ET-1) assay was taken from the femoral vein. Blood was collected into 0.16% EDTA (Sarstedt, Aktiengesellschaft & Co, Numbrecht, Germany) and immediately separated by centrifugation (2500 g for 20 minutes at 4°C) and stored at −80°C until analysis. Following extraction in Bond Elut columns (Varian, Harbor City, California, USA), ET-1 (Peninsula Laboratories Europe Ltd, St Helens, UK) and big ET-1 (Peninsula Laboratories Europe Ltd) concentrations were determined by radioimmunoassay as previously described. The detailed rationale for these doses has been discussed elsewhere.

### Table 1: Baseline parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 9)</th>
<th>BQ-123 (n = 9)</th>
<th>BQ-123 + BQ-788 (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>64 (5)</td>
<td>62 (4)</td>
<td>63 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>91 (7)</td>
<td>87 (6)</td>
<td>90 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>106 (8)</td>
<td>104 (9)</td>
<td>106 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>69 (4)</td>
<td>68 (6)</td>
<td>71 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (l/min)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.3 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR (dyn.s/cm²)</td>
<td>1462 (150)</td>
<td>1266 (138)</td>
<td>1344 (138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>16 (1)</td>
<td>16 (1)</td>
<td>17 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAWP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>11 (2)</td>
<td>11 (1)</td>
<td>12 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR (dyn.s/cm²)</td>
<td>83 (12)</td>
<td>91 (14)</td>
<td>78 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SEM). CO, cardiac output; CVP, central venous pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; PAWP, diastolic blood pressure; SVR, systemic vascular resistance.

### Table 2: Patient characteristics and medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>HR (beats/min)</th>
<th>MPAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>PAWP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Cause of HF</th>
<th>NYHA</th>
<th>Drugs and dose*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>186/79</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ischaemic III</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ASA75, En20, Bu1, Sim20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>120/74</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ASA75, En20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>122/58</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Frus40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>98/64</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls20, Frus40, Car12.5, Dig250, Sim20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>139/88</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Frus40, Spir25, Dig125, Sim20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>136/77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Frus40, Bis10, Sim10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>184/106</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Ls15, Frus20, Aten100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>143/75</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Frus40, Bis10, Sim10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>142/78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Aten50, Pro20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>142/78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ischaemic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>ASA75, Ls10, Aten50, Pro20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average BMI 29.2 ± 0.6
Average BP 142/78 ± 10
Average HR 70 ± 5
Average MPAP 14 ± 2
Average PAWP 11 ± 1
Average Causes of HF Ischaemic III
Average NYHA II

* Doses in mg except for digoxin (µg).

ASA, aspirin; En, enalapril; Bu, buvetindane; Car, carvedilol; Dig, digoxin; Frus, furosemide; HF, heart failure; HR, heart rate; Lis, lisinopril; MPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PAWP, pulmonary artery wedge pressure; Pro, pravastatin; Sim, simvastatin; Spir, spironolactone; Val, valsartan.
Data and statistical analyses
Data are expressed as mean (SEM) change from baseline or mean (SEM) area under the curve (AUC) unless otherwise specified. Data were examined by analysis of variance with repeated measures over time and Student’s \( t \) test with correction for multiple measures where appropriate (Excel version 5.0, Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA). Significance was taken at the 5% level.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows baseline patient characteristics and medications. There were no adverse events and the study was well tolerated by all patients. There were no significant differences in baseline haemodynamic variables between study visits (table 2). Placebo administration caused no significant changes in haemodynamic variables throughout the course of the study (analysis of variance \( p > 0.9 \)).

Cardiac output and heart rate
In comparison with placebo, BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p < 0.001 \)) but not BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p = 0.08 \)) increased cardiac output with a maximum increase of 33 (12)% at 75 minutes. Infusion of BQ-123 alone increased cardiac output compared with BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.001 \)) (fig 1C, fig 2). There was no significant change in heart rate with either BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p = 0.38 \)) or BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p = 0.39 \)) (fig 1A, fig 2).

Left ventricular filling pressure and systemic haemodynamic variables
In comparison with placebo, BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p = 0.01 \)) and BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.01 \)) reduced pulmonary artery wedge pressure by a maximum of 19 (7)% at 150 minutes and 26 (7)% at 105 minutes, respectively (fig 2, fig 3C). There was no difference between the magnitude of reduction in pulmonary artery wedge pressure between BQ-123 alone and BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p = 0.47 \)). BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p < 0.001 \)) and BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.05 \)) reduced mean arterial pressure by a maximum of 14 (5)% and 12 (4)%, respectively, at 150 minutes. BQ-123 alone reduced mean arterial pressure to a greater degree than BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.05 \)) (fig 1B, fig 2).

BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p < 0.001 \)) and BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.05 \)) reduced systemic vascular resistance by a maximum of 26 (8)% and 16 (5)%, respectively, at 75 minutes in comparison with placebo. BQ-123 alone reduced systemic vascular resistance to a greater degree than BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p < 0.05 \)) (fig 1D, figs 2 and 3).

Right ventricular filling pressure and pulmonary haemodynamic variables
In comparison with placebo, neither BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p = 0.17 \)) nor BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p = 0.69 \)) changed central venous pressure (fig 2, fig 3A). BQ-123 alone (AUC \( p = 0.01 \)) and BQ-123/788 (AUC \( p = 0.02 \)) reduced mean pulmonary arterial pressure by a maximum of 25 (7)% and 26 (6)%, respectively, at 90 minutes. There was no significant difference between these responses (AUC \( p = 0.98 \)) (fig 2, fig 3B).

In comparison with placebo, both BQ-123 alone and BQ-123/788 (AUC both \( p < 0.001 \)) reduced pulmonary vascular resistance by a maximum of 72 (39)% and 40 (16)%, respectively, at 75 minutes. There was no significant difference between these responses (AUC \( p = 0.49 \)) (fig 2, fig 3D).

Plasma ET-1 and big ET-1
There was no change in plasma concentrations of big ET-1 with placebo, BQ-123 alone, or BQ-123/788. There was no significant change in plasma ET-1 concentrations with
placebo or BQ-123 alone, whereas BQ-123/788 caused an increase in plasma ET-1 concentrations (47% with low dose and 61% with high dose, both $p < 0.05$) (fig 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this randomised placebo controlled crossover study, we have shown, for the first time, that there are small but significant haemodynamic differences between the responses to selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade in patients with chronic heart failure. Both selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade increased cardiac output and reduced mean arterial pressure and systemic vascular resistance. However, selective ET-A receptor blockade caused a greater increase in cardiac output and reduction in systemic vascular resistance than non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade. In contrast, selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B blockade caused similar reductions in both pulmonary artery pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance. There was a greater reduction in pulmonary artery pressure with non-selective blockade than with selective ET-A blockade after low dose infusion, although this difference was not apparent after high dose infusion.
There is a higher density of ET-B receptors in the pulmonary vasculature and these may be upregulated in pulmonary arterial hypertension, though selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade have not yet been compared head to head in this condition. Also, ET-1 release across the pulmonary vascular bed correlates strongly with the pulmonary vascular resistance in chronic heart failure. Raised pulmonary artery pressure is an independent risk factor in chronic heart failure and responds poorly to conventional treatments. Here, we showed that both selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade reduce pulmonary artery pressures. These observations suggest that ET antagonism may benefit patients with heart failure who also have raised pulmonary artery pressures, although we did not directly address this condition in our study. Indeed, the non-selective antagonist bosentan has recently been approved to treat primary pulmonary arterial hypertension based on its effectiveness in this situation. The long term clinical effects of ET receptor blockade in patients with pulmonary hypertension secondary to chronic heart failure are unknown, but it is tempting to speculate that ET receptor blockade may also be more effective in this setting. We have failed to show convincingly whether there are true haemodynamic differences between selective ET-A and non-selective ET-A/B receptor antagonism in the pulmonary circulation. However, none of the patients in the present study had significant pulmonary hypertension. We believe that the role of ET antagonism now warrants further careful assessment in a much larger trial of patients with both heart failure and a significant degree of pulmonary hypertension.

Many studies use agents that, while termed “selective” or “dual” inhibitors of ET-A and ET-B receptors, have a range of receptor selectivities, mostly inhibiting the ET-A receptor at much lower concentrations than at the ET-B receptor. In this study we have used two receptor antagonists, BQ-123 and BQ-788, given separately and with selectivity for the ET-A and ET-B receptor, respectively. Therefore, it is important to recognise that we have examined mechanistically the influence of major blockade of the ET-B receptor on responses to full ET-A blockade. This may not exactly represent the clinical situation that exists with non-selective antagonists, such as bosentan, which are relatively selective for the ET-A receptor (ET-A:ET-B selectivity > 10). The doses of BQ-123 given here have been shown to produce maximum haemodynamic effects and to block responses to forearm artery infusion of ET-1, but not to increase plasma ET-1 concentrations. Given that BQ-123 caused greater systemic vasodilatation than the combination with BQ-788, the overall haemodynamic effect of ET-B blockade in patients with heart failure is likely to be vasoconstriction, a finding consistent with other work.

As a limitation, this was an acute haemodynamic study and we have not assessed whether these effects are sustained in the long term. Nevertheless, previous haemodynamic studies indicate that the acute effects of both selective ET-A and non-selective ET receptor blockade are maintained, or even enhanced, over several weeks and therefore likely to be sustained. The clinical impact of these haemodynamic changes is, of course, uncertain and can only be clarified in the context of large scale clinical outcome studies. We have shown that selective ET-A blockade causes more major systemic vasodilatation than non-selective ET-A/B receptor blockade in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II–III patients with heart failure. To date, there have been only two as yet unpublished large scale, randomised controlled trials of ET receptor blockade in patients with heart failure (NYHA class III–IV), both of which observed no major clinical benefit of either bosentan (ET-A:ET-B selectivity

Figure 4  Effects of placebo (white), selective ET-A blockade (grey), and combined ET-A/B blockade (black) on plasma concentration of (A) big ET-1 and (B) ET-1. *p < 0.05 v baseline.
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