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Structural requirements for efficient prion protein conversion

Cofactors may promote a conversion-competent structure for \( \text{PrP}^C \)

Andrew C. Gill,1* Sonya Agarwal,1 Teresa J.T. Pinheiro2 and James F. Graham1

1The Roslin Institute and R(D)SVS; University of Edinburgh; Easter Bush Veterinary Centre; Edinburgh, UK; 2Department of Biological Sciences; University of Warwick; Coventry, UK

To understand why cross-species infection of prion disease often results in inefficient transmission and reduced protein conversion, most research has focused on defining the effect of variations in \( \text{PrP} \) primary structures, including sequence compatibility of substrate and seed. By contrast, little research has been aimed at investigating structural differences between different variants of \( \text{PrP}^C \) and secondary structural requirements for efficient conversion. This is despite a clear role for molecular chaperones in formation of prions in non-mammalian systems, indicating the importance of secondary/tertiary structure during the conversion process. Recent data from our laboratory on the cellular location of disease-specific prion cofactors supports the critical role of specific secondary structural motifs and the stability of these motifs in determining the efficiency of disease-specific prion protein conversion. In this paper we summarize our recent results and build on the hypothesis previously suggested by Wuthrich and colleagues, that stability of certain regions of the prion protein is crucial for protein conversion to abnormal isoforms in vivo.

Introduction

Prion diseases in mammals, or transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), exist as a family of different strains that appear to be encoded by distinct conformations of the disease-associated form of the prion protein, \( \text{PrP}^S \). How a single, rather small protein can fold into multiple discrete structures that are all capable of causing disease remains puzzling. It has been hypothesized that strain-specific cofactors exist that are capable of catalyzing the formation of \( \text{PrP}^S \)-like conformations from the native isoform, \( \text{PrP}^C \). Indirect evidence for the existence of cofactors comes from the repeated failure to refold purified recombinant \( \text{PrP} \) (rec\( \text{PrP} \)) into a structure that recapitulates the infectivity levels associated with \( \text{PrP}^S \) or replicates all characteristics of a natural TSE disease.5-8 However, the Supattapone laboratory has recently published studies demonstrating that purified \( \text{PrP}^C \) can be refolded, in the presence of lipid, nucleic acid and a seed of \( \text{PrP}^S \), into forms that are fully infectious.9-11 Earlier this year, these studies culminated when Wang et al.12 published results that appear to show the generation of prion infectivity from recombinant \( \text{PrP} \) and purified components in the absence of \( \text{PrP}^S \). Many of these recent studies use the protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA) technology13 to induce misfolding. This technique incorporates sequential sonication steps, presumably to break up aggregates and propagate greater quantities of smaller seeds.14 However, there are a range of problems associated with the use of sonication to aid protein misfolding; sonication is clearly non-physiological and the energy imparted may overcome the absence of as yet undiscovered cofactors. The lack of quantitative data from PMCA applications does not allow certain
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mechanistic aspects of protein misfolding to be investigated and sonication has also been shown to generate amyloid-like fibrils from proteins not known to fibrillize in vivo.\textsuperscript{15,16} Even in the absence of known prion cofactors or understanding of the role of sonication in prion amplification, it is critically important to investigate prion misfolding mechanisms under physiological conditions.

We have traditionally used a modified version\textsuperscript{17} of the cell-free conversion assay (CFCA), a technique pioneered during the 1990s by the group of Byron Caughey.\textsuperscript{18} This assay is a physiological prion conversion system that mimics many aspects of TSE disease, including species barriers and the effect of polymorphisms. Conversion of recombinant PrP in the assay is also inhibited by known anti-prion drugs.\textsuperscript{19} By use of the CFCA, we have recently published two studies\textsuperscript{20,21} that appear unrelated, but which taken together suggest that partially stabilizing the structure of PrPC in a form that is amenable for conversion to PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} is a potential role for prion cofactors. Our data agree with and extend previous observations on the balance between different misfolding pathways of PrP and the role of key areas of secondary structure of PrPC in directing conversion.

**Specific Fractions of Scrapie-Susceptible Cells Enhance Disease-Specific PrP Misfolding but Inhibit Generic Misfolding**

In work published in the *Journal of Biological Chemistry*,\textsuperscript{20} we described experiments by which we investigated the location of prion conversion cofactors through fractionation of scrapie-susceptible cells and use of the subcellular fractions to supplement our cell-free conversion assay. The CFCA involves the incubation of recPrP with a seed of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} enriched from mouse brains and, after protease K (PK) digestion, we can measure the amount of recPrP that has converted to a protease-resistant isoformal, following which the percent efficiency of conversion can be assessed.\textsuperscript{17} We found several subcellular fractions that had little or no effect on conversion efficiency when added to the assay while other fractions resulted in degradation of the recombinant PrP substrate, which demonstrates the presence of proteases in those preparations. Crucially, however, we found some subcellular fractions that double the efficiency of conversion of recombinant PrP compared to control reactions. Subcellular fractions were prepared by ultracentrifugation through a gradient of OptiPrep and fractions enhancing PrP conversion were from the top of the gradient, representing low density species. We showed that these low density fractions were composed of proteins from both the cell membrane and the cytoplasm and enhancement of PrP conversion proceeded in a dose-dependent manner. Thus, the moieties in these fractions enhance cell-free conversion and are putative prion misfolding cofactors.

In order to test specificity of these results, we used a conversion system seeded with PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} derived from mice infected with ME7 scrapie and demonstrated enhanced conversion in the presence of low density fractions from both ME7-susceptible LD9 cells and ME7 non-susceptible SMB cells.\textsuperscript{22} This result was surprising since SMB cells are not susceptible to stable infection with ME7 scrapie (Ruth Hennion, personal communication), a phenomenon that has been suggested to result from the lack of strain-specific cofactors.\textsuperscript{1} A similar result was achieved using low density fractions from LD9 cells and a conversion assay system seeded with PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} from the 79A strain of scrapie, a strain that has not been shown to infect LD9 cells.\textsuperscript{3} Thus, we concluded that the effects of the subcellular fractions on conversion were at least partly nonspecific. In order to investigate the mechanism of conversion enhancement, we also tested the effect of these subcellular fractions on an alternative misfolding pathway of PrP: denaturant- and shaking-induced fibrillization.\textsuperscript{23} Surprisingly, the same low density, subcellular fractions that enhanced disease-specific misfolding in the CFCA inhibited fibrillization of recombinant murine PrP. In more recent work, we have also tested the species specificity of subcellular fractions by fibrillizing ovine recombinant PrP in the presence of the fractions (derived from the murine LD9 cell line). The results are summarized in Figure 1, which shows fibrillization curves of ovine recombinant PrP in the presence of fractions 1, 2 and 10, as monitored by thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence, together with a bar chart of lag times of all fibrillation reactions. These data essentially replicate our previous findings using murine recombinant PrP; low density fractions that enhance cell-free conversion (fractions 2–5) also inhibit fibrillization, in this case across an ovine-murine species barrier, further demonstrating the lack of specificity of the cofactors present in the subcellular fractions.

If the cofactors present in our low density subcellular fractions act non-specifically, both in terms of TSE strain and species, to enhance disease-specific prion protein misfolding and inhibit in vitro fibrillization, then what is the mechanism of action? Furthermore, how are species barriers mediated and what limits the ability of different scrapie strains to infect particular cells and/or individuals? Traditional dogma suggests that compatibility between exogenous PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} and host PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} is an important factor in mediating species barriers\textsuperscript{24} but is not sufficient to explain all aspects of TSE infections. Equally, cell-specific strain tropism is believed to result from restricted expression of cellular cofactors,\textsuperscript{1} but our data argues against this. Differential clearance of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} from TSE-infected cells may also play a key role in suppressing certain infections, be that different TSE strains or infections passed from other species. Certainly, our data, albeit using in vitro systems, suggest that cell-derived, low density cofactors may help replicate all forms of TSE disease and that some mechanisms limiting infection may operate on a cellular rather than molecular level.

Suggestions of how our cell-derived cofactor preparations may function can be derived by considering details of the two different conversion systems used. The CFCA uses physiological salts supplemented with detergent and a seed of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} to convert recombinant PrP. Basic research into the mechanism of this assay suggests that the correct fold of the substrate is essential,\textsuperscript{25} although sub-denaturing concentrations of guanidinium hydrochloride can also enhance the assay efficiency, presumably by “loosening” the structure of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}. Conversely, the fibrillation experiment, as pioneered by Baskakov et al.\textsuperscript{25}
been shown to have profound effects on the susceptibility of sheep to both natural and experimental scrapie. One such polymorphism, discovered recently by colleagues at The Roslin Institute, involves the amino acid substitution Pro-Leu at codon 168 (P168L) and the mutation confers dramatically increased resistance of sheep to experimental BSE infection. We previously showed that recombinant ovine PrP carrying the P168L substitution was resistant to conversion in vitro and, furthermore, that murine PrP carrying the equivalent substitution (P164L) was also resistant to conversion. To investigate the mechanism of this polymorphism-mediated resistance to conversion (and disease) we expressed a range of murine proteins carrying different substitutions at codon 164 (164P, 164L, 164Q, 164E and 164S) and studied the thermal stability of the proteins and their ability to convert to a protease-resistant isoform in the CFCA. We found a correlation between stability implications for therapeutics that seek to stabilize the structure of PrPC; they may inadvertently stabilize PrP C in a structure more amenable for disease-specific conversion.

An Ovine-Specific Mutation Reduces Stability of Murine Recombinant PrP but Also Reduces Efficiency of Conversion

We have also recently published work in Biochemistry that indirectly supports the hypothesis that a well-folded and stable structure for PrP C is critically important for efficient conversion to PrP C. This paper describes experiments in which we investigated the effect of a specific polymorphism in ovine PrP and the data obtained may point, more generally, to principles underlying PrP structure and conversion. The ovine prnp gene is highly polymorphic and a number of polymorphisms have been shown to have profound effects on the susceptibility of sheep to both natural and experimental scrapie. One such polymorphism, discovered recently by colleagues at The Roslin Institute, involves the amino acid substitution Pro-Leu at codon 168 (P168L) and the mutation confers dramatically increased resistance of sheep to experimental BSE infection. We previously showed that recombinant ovine PrP carrying the P168L substitution was resistant to conversion in vitro and, furthermore, that murine PrP carrying the equivalent substitution (P164L) was also resistant to conversion. To investigate the mechanism of this polymorphism-mediated resistance to conversion (and disease) we expressed a range of murine proteins carrying different substitutions at codon 164 (164P, 164L, 164Q, 164E and 164S) and studied the thermal stability of the proteins and their ability to convert to a protease-resistant isoform in the CFCA. We found a correlation between stability
converts efficiently in the CFCA and is thermally more stable. Oligomerization of recombinant PrP was carried out under partly denaturing conditions at acidic pH, thus our data is consistent with an increased tendency of unstable proteins.

and extent of conversion, such that the two most thermally stable proteins (164P and 164S) were converted the most efficiently, while the other three variants had reduced stability and were converted less efficiently. Consistent with our hypothesis that reduced stability promotes non-disease-specific misfolding, we further found that in vitro oligomerization of the 164L variant, which converts inefficiently in the CFCA and is thermally less stable, was more rapid than the 164P variant, which converts efficiently in the CFCA and is thermally more stable. Oligomerization of recombinant PrP was carried out under partly denaturing conditions at acidic pH, thus our data is consistent with an increased tendency of unstable proteins...
to adopt intermediates on the pathway to generically misfolded isoforms. These observations agree with the basic schematic depicted in Figure 3.

Other than codon 164 amino acid changes, the destabilizing effects of other amino acid substitutions on PrP structure have been published, most notably ovine-specific polymorphisms.32-34 These studies indicate that the substitution Gln-Arg at codon 171, which is close to residue 168 and is associated with extreme resistance of sheep to classical scrapie, produces a protein with reduced stability. This effect has been used to posit the hypothesis that such proteins misfold generically in vivo more readily and hence are cleared more rapidly leading to reduced protein half-life and reduced potential substrate for conversion. It is not facile to generate directly comparable data when measuring differences in protein turnover rates as a function of amino acid changes and this hypothesis remains controversial. However, our data would suggest that increased turnover of “resistant” proteins need not be the only mechanism by which disease resistance is modulated. Instead, we note that less stable protein variants convert less readily in the CFCA, a system in which increased protein turnover cannot be invoked as an argument.30 This leads to the conclusion that a stable and well-folded substrate is a prerequisite for efficient conversion, a conclusion that correlates directly with our proposed mechanism for the enhancement of conversion by our low density subcellular fractions.

Further evidence of the role of stability in this region of PrP comes from studies of the molecular differences between cervid and murine PrP. Cervids appear exceptionally susceptible to chronic wasting disease (CWD) and it has always been puzzling why the disease has spread so readily in elk and deer in the US. Part of this appears to involve the ready secretion of CWD infectivity from deer,55 but following determination of the NMR structure of elk prion protein by the group of Wuthrich, another possible reason for the apparent susceptibility of deer became apparent.56 The deer prion protein contains a well-defined loop region connecting the second β-sheet to the second α-helix. In most other species studied, this loop is at least partially mobile and Gossert et al. argued that a “rigid loop” may correlate with prion disease susceptibility. Support for this comes from the finding that bank vole PrP also contains a rigid β2-α2 loop—bank voles are highly susceptible to many strains of TSE disease38 and the reasons for this have been tracked to specific amino acid residues in the loop region of bank vole PrP that affect protein misfolding both in vitro59 and in vivo.60 As previously discussed, the β2-α2 loop contains the polymorphic ovine residues 168 and 171, key determinants of the susceptibility of sheep to prion disease and mediators of protein stability.

If a rigid β2-α2 loop is responsible for increased susceptibility of cervids to TSE disease then engineering such a loop in the prion protein of an alternate species should render that species more susceptible than wildtype counterparts. Sigurdson et al. created transgenic mice expressing PrP in which two endogenous murine amino acids of the loop region had been replaced by cervid counterparts41 at codons 169 and 173. These mice, therefore, expressed “rigid loop” PrP and the mice acquired a spontaneous neurodegenerative disease that was transmissible. The mice are also differentially susceptible to prion diseases from a variety of species and susceptibility was shown to be determined by compatibility between the amino acid at codon 169 in inoculum (PrPSc) and host (PrPC) prion proteins.42 At a protein level, we have recently confirmed that the double-mutated murine protein (S169N, N173T) is thermally more stable than wildtype mouse PrP and fibrillizes less readily (Agarwal et al. in preparation) consistent with our proposed misfolding pathway in Figure 3. It is also notable that this is the region of the protein that was suggested to bind to the putative cofactor protein X.43 The involvement of a proteinaceous cofactor was invoked to explain the differential susceptibility of transgenic mice expressing various mouse/human chimeric PrP molecules. However, a similar experiment involving mouse/cattle chimeric protein44 failed to replicate the previous results, casting the involvement of protein X into doubt. While it remains to be tested, it appears plausible that the different protein variants involved in the studies have different levels of stability around the β2-α2 loop region, possibly through disruption of the native salt bridges45 in PrPC, and that no other protein was involved.

The recent finding that the effects of chimeric murine/human prion proteins are replicable in purified systems in vitro adds weight to this argument.66 Thus, several lines of evidence support the hypothesis that stability in PrPC and, in particular the β2-α2 loop region, is a critical determinant for disease-specific prion misfolding.

While there exists significant evidence supporting the importance of stability, there are also elements of TSE science that argue against prion protein stability as a general factor in determining disease susceptibility. Firstly, many disease-associated mutations in the human prion gene appear to reduce protein stability, apparently in direct disagreement with our hypothesis. However, the diseases that result from prion mutations do so without apparent exogenous infection and manifest only late in life, suggesting that the proteins involved may have compromised conversion efficiency once the misfolding cascade has started. Other disease-causing mutations have little effect on stability or are slightly stabilizing and despite much research (reviewed in ref. 47) mechanistic aspects of these mutations remain to be established. Secondly, the recently identified atypical scrapie has a pattern of susceptibility that is essentially the reverse of that known for classical scrapie, such that sheep expressing proteins that are less stable are more susceptible to disease.48 While atypical scrapie has been shown to be transmissible,59 the small number of cases encountered and late age of onset is more suggestive of a sporadic disease and in such cases a less stable protein may be critical to initiate the infection. The structure of the PrPSc deposited during pathogenesis of atypical scrapie also appears different to that formed during classical scrapie, as evidenced by the presence of a low molecular weight isoform after proteinase K digestion.50 This is somewhat more reminiscent of the PK-resistant cores of certain forms of sporadic CJD and fibrils of recombinant PrP.51 As more research in this area is published it may become evident that protein stability is important only for certain TSE
strains. It is also possible that, while stability in the loop region is important for efficient conversion, reduced stability in other regions may also play a role in mediating conversion. Due to loss of key hydrogen bonds or salt bridges, it is possible that single amino acid substitutions can have stabilizing effects in some parts of the molecules but destabilizing effects in others. Hence, the importance of secondary structural stability in protein conversion may be dependent on the particular amino acid substitution, prion protein structural motif or TSE strain in question.

What are the In Vivo Cofactors that Enhance Prion Conversion in Our Low Density Subcellular Fractions?

Although our combined data are consistent with alterations in conversion efficiency resulting from the ability of low density subcellular fractions to modulate protein folding and stability, we do not yet know the identities of the conversion enhancing cofactors. By use of what knowledge we have about our low density fractions and comparisons to recent literature we can speculate on the presence of particular molecules or molecular subsets that may increase PrPC stability. In our previous work we probed subcellular fractions for markers of specific organelles by western blotting and found that active fractions contained the plasma membrane marker annexin II. By use of proteomic methods, we also found a large number of cytoplasmic proteins in low density subcellular fractions and certain aspects of PrP cell biology could allow interactions with molecules in either location. After expression in the ER, PrPC is secreted and is anchored on the cell membrane by means of its glycosylphosphatidyl inositol membrane anchor. Here PrPC has been shown to be localized to detergent-resistant membranes (lipid rafts), but then leaves these to be endocytosed and recycled. Thus, interaction with other molecules on the plasma membrane is not only likely to allow PrPC to carry out its normal function, but interaction with a receptor is an obligatory step in endocytosis of a molecule without cytoplasmic domains. Likewise, although PrPC is probably not localized to the cytoplasm during its normal cell biology, cytoplasmic PrP has been detected after proteasome inhibition and these are most likely aberrantly folded PrP isoforms, formed in the ER/golgi body during normal post-translational folding and targeted for proteasomal degradation.

Figure 4. Western blot of the cell-free conversion assay of 3F4 antibody-tagged murine recombinant PrP in the presence of glycogen. Assays were seeded with PrP Sc purified from ME7-infected mice and, in each case, 9/10th of the reaction was treated with PK while the remaining 1/10th was not. In the absence of PrP Sc, recombinant PrP is digested away by PK (lanes 1 and 2) while in the presence of PrP Sc a protease-resistant isofrom is produced (lanes 3 and 4). In the presence of low concentrations of glycogen, cell-free conversion is inhibited (lanes 5–7) while at higher concentrations of glycogen conversion is similar to control levels (lanes 9 and 10). Lanes 11–14 contain the non-PK treated samples in the presence of glycogen to demonstrate that similar levels of recPrP substrate were present in each assay.
glycogen was shown to promote fibrillation of PrP in vitro. We have also tested to look for prion cofactors in the PrPSc-fibrils.

Identification of molecules co-purifying with PrPSc in scrapie-associated fibrils. One of the more obvious places to look for prion cofactors is in the PrPSc-containing plaques and fibrils that are deposited during TSE disease. If cofactors are involved in the PrPSc-PrPSc misfolding process then it seems reasonable that they should become integrated into the growing fibril. Various molecules have been suggested to co-purify with PrPSc in scrapie-associated fibrils (SAF) including polysaccharide (which may act as a scaffold), small nucleic acids (see below) and other proteins. The polysaccharide has been suggested to consist of α-linked polysaccharide, similar to glycogen, and purified glycogen was shown to promote fibrillization of PrP in vitro. We have also tested glycogen in the cell-free conversion assay for its ability to enhance PrPSc catalyzed misfolding. Typical results are shown in Figure 4 and show clearly that, at low concentrations, glycogen inhibits disease-specific misfolding of PrP, although this effect is diminished at higher concentrations. This is a curious result and suggests that the effect of glycogen is related to its concentration, perhaps through formation of glycogen multimers at higher concentrations that lose inhibitory activity. In any case, based on the previous fibrillization data, inhibition of cell-free conversion at low glycogen concentration is surprising, but suggests that glycogen may not act as a prion conversion cofactor. The opposite effects of glycogen in vitro fibrillation and cell-free conversion, however, agree with our model of PrP misfolded presented in Figure 3 and suggest that glycogen may act, at low concentrations, to destabilize recombinant PrP structure.

By use of proteomic techniques, several groups have identified different proteins co-purifying with PrPSc in SAF preparations. Co-purifying proteins include ferritin, CaMKII and various cytoskeletal and structural proteins including actin and tubulin isoforms. However, some previous studies omitted control preparations from either uninfected or PrP-null mice in proteomic identifications; we have found that some of the proteins previously suggested to be specific to SAF preparations are also purified after performing mock SAF preparations on control brains, a finding confirmed by Moore et al. This presumably results from the large size and general insolubility of these proteins. Ferritin, for example, exists as a multimer of molecular weight ~0.5 MDa but can form higher molecular weight oligomers. We have compiled lists of proteins that are present specifically in SAF preparations after subtraction of those proteins co-purifying simply because of their biophysical properties (Graham et al. in preparation). Within the refined list are several proteins that are usually resident on the plasma membrane, cytoskeletal proteins and some cytoplasmic proteins. We are currently trialing these proteins for their ability to enhance prion protein misfolding in the cell-free conversion assay and their effects on stability of the structure of recombinant PrP.

Molecules used in misfolding studies to generate de novo infectivity. Initial studies reporting the generation of prion infectivity de novo from purified components revealed the role for both lipids and specific nucleic acids (poly(A)RNA) in enhancing conversion of PrP. However, recent data from use of PMCA suggest that the requirement for nucleic acid for efficient prion amplification may be species-dependent; cofactors for propagation of murine and bank vole PrPSc were degraded by proteases indicating that they are at least partially proteinaceous in nature. It is also worth noting that nucleic acid can be replaced by similarly charged glycosaminoglycans in hamster PMCA systems indicating that the mode of action for such cofactors may be related to charge and, on this basis, they may be playing a biophysical structural role as opposed to a biological functional role. Glycosaminoglycans have been shown to stimulate cell-free conversion of recombinant PrPSc although their effect on the structural stability of the protein is not known and the mechanism by which both CFCA and PMCA assays are enhanced by polyanionic substances is not clear. Likewise, although the interaction of PrP with lipids has been studied extensively, the mechanism of its apparent role as a cofactor in PrP amplification studies is not known and may simply bring together PrP and PrPSc in vesicles to facilitate conversion. It remains possible that our low density fractions that enhance cell-free conversion contain both lipids and polyanionic species and the mechanism of conversion enhancement is similar to that described in PMCA studies.

An interesting variation to the constituents used for PMCA studies has been reported by Murayama et al. In their studies they found that the spontaneous formation of non-disease-specific protease-resistant PrP could be inhibited by the addition of digitonin, a glycoside that aids solubilization of lipids and membrane proteins. Digitonin consists of a steroid covalently linked to a small sugar moiety and the stabilizing effect of small saccharides, such as trehalose, on protein structure is well known. It is likely that digitonin helps to maintain the structural stability of the PrP substrate during PMCA applications, thereby inhibiting non-specific fibrilization and promoting disease-specific misfolding.

Heat shock proteins as potential cofactors in mammalian systems. In yeast, a system that is genetically tractable, the importance of heat shock proteins (HSPs) in propagation of the prion states is well established although the exact role of each HSP is still the subject of much research. HSPs can function by ensuring that individual proteins are correctly folded but also appear to help in disaggregating misfolded protein aggregates. It has been suggested continually that HSPs are important in mammalian prion diseases and various members of the HSP family have been found to bind PrPSc co-purify with PrPSc fibrils or aid the conversion process in vitro. We know that cytoplasmic HSPs are present in our low density subcellular fractions since Hsp90 isoforms were detected by proteomic methods. They may represent the cofactors that enhance cell-free conversion by ensuring...
a correctly folded substrate and may act similarly to inhibit in vitro fibrillation of recombinant PrP. Whether cytoplasmic HSPs interact with PrP in vivo is not clear, although retrograde transport of PrP from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cytoplasm provides a mechanism by which this interaction may occur.52

Experimental Procedures

All experimental procedures used to generate data shown in this paper have been previously published.20,21

Conclusion

Contrary to expectations, resistance-associated PrP proteins appear less stable than proteins associated with disease susceptibility, highlighting the possibility that a stable PrP molecule, in particular the β2-α2 loop, may be a prerequisite for efficient PrP conversion in acquired prion diseases. Our recent data supports this hypothesis through the identification of PrP loop mutants that correlates stability with in vitro conversion and through the identification of low density subcellular fractions that enhance cell-free conversion but inhibit fibrillation. To fully understand implications of both findings for TSE disease it will be important to determine completely the role of PrP structure in the conversion process.
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