Asynchronous Transmitter Release from Cholecystokinin-Containing Inhibitory Interneurons Is Widespread and Target-Cell Independent
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Neurotransmitter release at most central synapses is synchronized to the timing of presynaptic action potentials. Here, we show that three classes of depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition-expressing, cholecystokinin (CCK)-containing, hippocampal interneurons show highly asynchronous release in response to trains of action potentials. This asynchrony is correlated to the class of presynaptic interneuron but is unrelated to their postsynaptic cell target. Asynchronous and synchronous release from CCK-containing interneurons show a slightly different calcium dependence, such that the proportion of asynchronous release increases with external calcium concentration, possibly suggesting that the modes of release are mediated by different calcium sensors. Asynchronous IPSCs include very large (up to 500 pA/nS) amplitude events, which persist in low extracellular calcium and strontium, showing that they result from quantal transmitter release at single release sites. Finally, we show that asynchronous release is prominent in response to trains of presynaptic spikes that mimic natural activity of CCK-containing interneurons. That asynchronous release from CCK-containing interneurons is a widespread phenomenon indicates a fundamental role for these cells within the hippocampal network that is distinct from the phasic inhibition provided by parvalbumin-containing interneurons.

Introduction

The majority of neurotransmitter release at central synapses is highly synchronized to presynaptic action potentials (Isaacson and Walmsley, 1995). In cultured neurons asynchronous transmitter release also occurs (Goda and Stevens, 1994) and even contributes to the kinetics of seemingly single component EPSCs (Diamond and Jahr, 1995). In cerebellum, asynchronous release from granule cells was identified in situ leading to the hypothesis that residual intracellular Ca $^{2+}$, which is thought to be responsible for frequency dependent facilitation (Younkin, 1974; Landfield et al., 1986), may also cause asynchronous transmitter release (Arlur and Regehr, 1998). The differential mechanisms responsible for the two modes of release are unresolved, with both higher (Goda and Stevens, 1994) and similar (Sun et al., 2007) calcium affinity processes being linked to asynchronous release. It has also been hypothesized that asynchronous and synchronous release use the same mechanism, with the former occurring after the depletion of the readily releasable pool of vesicles (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Otsu et al., 2004).

Inhibitory synaptic transmission in the hippocampus is mediated by a variety of local interneuron classes showing unique patterns of inputs and outputs and synaptic properties, which vary dependent on the identity of both the presynaptic and postsynaptic cell (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996; McBain and Fisahn, 2001; Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005). One of the primary roles of interneurons is to entrain the timing of action potential firing in pyramidal cells (Pouille and Scanziani, 2001) and consequently to synchronize large populations of cells during network oscillations (Whittington and Traub, 2003). Indeed, the firing of different classes of interneurons during discrete phases of these oscillations (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008) suggests that specific roles in oscillatory activity may be one of the main reasons for the wide variety of interneuron classes. Such roles in temporally precise patterns of activity must require highly coordinated firing of interneurons and synchronized transmitter release.

In the dentate gyrus region of the hippocampus, however, a class of cholecystokinin (CCK)-containing interneurons targeting the proximal dendritic region of dentate granule cells shows a strikingly large component of asynchronous release in response to trains of action potentials. In contrast, somatically targeted parvalbumin (PV) containing interneurons exhibit almost exclusively synchronous release (Hefft and Jonas, 2005). Such asynchronous release is inconsistent with the role in close synchronization of target cell action potential timing described above. Multiple classes of CCK-containing interneurons exist in the hippocampus (Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005) targeting dif-
dents of postsynaptic cells. However, it is unclear whether asynchronous release is confined to one inhibitory interneuron type within the dentate gyrus or is a more general principle that extends to other CCK-containing interneurons and all of their downstream targets within the hippocampus proper.

Here, we identify three morphologically distinct classes of putatively CCK-containing interneurons in the hippocampal CA fields, which, in contrast to the highly synchronous release from PV basket cells, show asynchrony of release onto both inhibitory and principal cells. We show that asynchronous release occurs in response to both high frequency bursts and to trains mimicking the natural firing activity of CCK-containing interneurons. Asynchronous release also reveals that quantal events are of surprisingly large variable amplitude.

Materials and Methods

Slice preparation. Hippocampal slices (300 μm thick) were prepared from mice aged postnatal day 12–22 (P12–22). All animals were anesthetized with isoflurane before decapitation according to National Institutes of Health animal welfare guidelines. C57BL/6, GAD-65 green fluorescent
protein (GFP), or PV GFP mice were used as indicated (Brager et al., 2003). Brains were rapidly dissected out and placed in cold partial sucrose artificial CSF (aCSF) containing 80 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM H3PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 4.5 mM MgSO4, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 10 mM glucose, and 90 mM sucrose equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2. Brains were hemisected and transverse sections were cut with a Leica VT1000S microtome. Slices were incubated at 35°C for 30 min and at least a further 30 min at room temperature (~22°C) in partial sucrose solution before recording.

Whole-cell recordings. Slices were transferred to a recording chamber and perfused with aCSF containing 125 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM H3PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 1.5 mM MgSO4, 2.5 mM CaCl2 (or as indicated), and 20 mM glucose, equilibrated with 95% O2/5% CO2, and maintained at 33–35°C. For striontium experiments, CaCl2 was replaced with 4 mM SrCl2 and 1 mM EGTA. Cells were visualized using 40× objective and infrared differential interference contrast video microscopy (Zeiss Axioskop 2 FS Plus). Fluorescence of GFP-containing cells was excited by a Lambda LS light source (Sutter Instruments) and visualized online using Spot Basic imaging software and RT KE camera (both Diagnostic Instruments). Whole-cell recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier (Molecular Devices). Recording electrodes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with a solution containing 130 mM KCl, 8.5 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgATP, 0.3 mM Na GTP, 5 mM HEPES, and 0.5 mM EGTA adjusted to pH 7.3 using KOH and 290 mM osmol sucrose. Biocytin (2 mg/ml) was added on the day of recording. Uncompensated series resistance (8–25 MΩ for interneurons, 5–20 MΩ for pyramidal cells) was monitored in postsynaptic cells via a −5 mV voltage step, and recordings were stopped after changes >30%. Firing patterns were investigated by giving a series of incrementing 500 ms duration current steps from −70 mV. Increments were between 20 and 150 pA, with the first step being of double the increment amplitude and the last step at least double action potential threshold amplitude. Presynaptic trains were induced using 25 2 ms 1–2 nA current pulses at 0.05 Hz. The effect of lowering amplitude. Presynaptic trains were induced using 25 2 ms 1–2 nA current steps from 500 ms duration current steps from −70 mV while holding the postsynaptic cell at −70 mV in voltage clamp. Ten trains were delivered at 0.1 Hz. During striontium experiments, trains were delivered at 0.05 Hz. The effect of lowering [Ca2+]i was monitored with a paired pulse paradigm of two 2 ms current steps at 50 Hz delivered every 10 s. Depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition (DSI) was induced by depolarizing the postsynaptic cell to 0 mV for 1 s before every fourth trial, using the same paired-pulse paradigm as above. DSI was calculated as the amplitude of the average of 10 IPSCs after depolarization as a percentage of the average of 10 IPSCs immediately preceding depolarization. Data were acquired at 10 kHz using Pclamp 9.2 (Molecular Devices) and filtered at 4 kHz.

Data analysis. Trains were deconvolved as follows using IgorPro (WaveMetrics). An artificial miniature IPSC (mIPSC) was created using the rise to peak of a recorded unitary IPSC and a single exponential decay to baseline calculated from a fit of the IPSC to remove noise. Separate artificial mIPSCs were created for each pair analyzed based on the kinetics of the IPSCs recorded in that pair. This mIPSC was then scaled to give a peak of 20 pA. This value was chosen as close to the smallest events detected after the train in most cells. Baseline was subtracted from postsynaptic waveforms and 20 repetitions of binomial (Gaussian) smoothing were applied. Fourier transforms of the mIPSC and individual trains were calculated. The Fourier transform of the mIPSC was then divided, point by point, in to the Fourier transform of the train. The quotient of this division was returned to the time domain via the inverse Fourier transform to produce the release rate histogram (Diamond and Jahr, 1995).

Synchronous release was calculated from the area under the release rate histogram for the 5 ms after the onset of the presynaptic current step, whereas asynchronous release was the area of the following 15 ms (i.e., until the start of the next current step (see Fig. 4A, bottom panel, and supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material)) and, when calculating asynchronous release for the entire burst, for the period after the last step until the end of the trace. Asynchronous release after the end of the train was included only for data referring to the entire train. This method almost certainly underestimates the degree of asynchronous release (e.g., one third of truly random release would be regarded as synchronous) but does so equally across all cells and allows for jitter in spike timing and in the delay to the onset of the synchronous IPSC. For natural trains, the time window used to calculate asynchronous was from 5 ms after the start of one current pulse until the start of the next pulse in all cases. Both modes of release were calculated from an average of 10 release rate histograms each calculated from a single postsynaptic waveform. Synchronicity ratio (SR) was simply synchronous release/asynchronous release for the given time period.

Individual events in the release rate histogram were detected by threshold detection (Clampfit 9.2). Amplitude of the event was calculated from the area under the curve 0.5 ms either side of the peak. Asynchronous event amplitudes used to calculate distributions in Figure 7, F and G, were detected by template search also in Clampfit 9.2.

Statistical significance between four cell classes are results of single factor ANOVA. Significance values for amplitude distributions were results of Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All other values of statistical significance are results of two-tailed t tests. All statistics are shown ±SEM.

Figure 2. Non-FS interneuron classes express DSI and mRNA for CCK. A, Example recording showing TLC (green trace) to PC (voltage-clamped at −70 mV) pair. Black traces show 10 control trials, and red traces show 10 interleaved trials 1 s after holding at 0 mV for 1 s. B, IPSC amplitude after DSI for BSCs (n = 7), TLCs (n = 3), and CCK BCs (n = 10). All recordings are in CA1. Circles show mean amplitude for individual pairs, bars show average of all pairs for each group. C, Example recording showing the postsynaptic response in a pyramidal cell (purple here and throughout figures) to a train of action potentials in a TLC (green). D, The molecular analysis of the presynaptic cell shown in C. All PCR products were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis with 0.3% X174/ Haellı as a molecular weight marker.
Table 2. Properties of synaptic transmission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Latency (ms)</th>
<th>Latency SD (ms)</th>
<th>Amplitude (pA)</th>
<th>Rise time (ms)</th>
<th>Decay (ms)</th>
<th>PPR</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Failures</th>
<th>CV (EF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN to IN</td>
<td>CCK BC</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.05</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>89 ± 29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.49 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSC</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61 ± 17</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.07</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.32</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64 ± 27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.59</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV BC</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.07</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>328 ± 92</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IN to PC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Latency (ms)</th>
<th>Latency SD (ms)</th>
<th>Amplitude (pA)</th>
<th>Rise time (ms)</th>
<th>Decay (ms)</th>
<th>PPR</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>Failures</th>
<th>CV (EF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCK BC</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>316 ± 78</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.68 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSC</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>184 ± 64</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>158 ± 62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.75 ± 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV BC</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>308 ± 62</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All statistics are based on the response to the first of two presynaptic spikes (except PPR) delivered every 10 s, calculated from 30 trials, and categorized by presynaptic interneuron class for interneuron to interneuron (IN to IN) and interneuron to PC (IN to PC) pairs. Latency is average onset latency relative to peak of presynaptic spike; latency SD is the SD of onset latency (jitter). Rise time is 10–90% rise of average IPSC. Decay τ was calculated from a single exponential fit of average IPSC. PPR is a ratio of peak amplitude of second IPSC to that of first IPSC. CV is coefficient of variation of peak amplitude (EF, excluding failures).

Results

Identification of interneuronal classes

To test whether highly asynchronous release occurs in multiple CCK-containing interneuron classes, we made whole-cell recordings from synaptically connected interneuron-interneuron or interneuron-pyramidal cell pairs in the CA1 and CA3 regions of the hippocampus. No significant differences (p ≥ 0.2) were found between any properties of recordings made in CA1 and CA3, so data were pooled. Physiological properties of cells were tested with a series of incrementing current steps (500 ms duration). Presumed CCK interneuron recordings were made from GFP positive cells in a mouse line expressing enhanced GFP (EGFP) under a GAD-65 promoter (Brager et al., 2003). EGFP in this mouse line labels many CCK-containing cells but few, if any, PV-containing cells in cortex (López-Bendito et al., 2004; Zhang...
et al., 2006) a finding which we have confirmed in the hippocampus (supplemental Figs. S3, S4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These interneurons had somata located in stratum pyramidale (SP), stratum lucidum, or the inner third of stratum radiatum (s.rad) closest to the cell layer with radially orientated dendrites crossing all layers from stratum oriens (SO) to stratum lacunosum moleculare (SLM). Although many cell types express GFP in this mouse line, we focused on interneurons comprised of three axonal morphological classes: basket cells (BCs) with axon restricted to SP (Fig. 1A), bistratified cells (BSCs) with axon located primarily in s.rad and SO (Fig. 1B), and trilaminar cells (TLCs) with axon evenly distributed between SO, SP, and s.rad (Fig. 1C). All GAD-65 BC-pyramidal cell (PC) pairs tested showed pronounced depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition (DSI: defined as >30% suppression) (IPSC 21 ± 10% baseline, n = 9, p < 0.00005) (Fig. 2B), which, together with the lack of FS characteristics, confirms that these BCs correspond to CCK BCs (Pitler and Alger, 1992; Katona et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2001; Foldy et al., 2007) and will be referred to as such throughout. For

Figure 4. Interneuron cell classes display different proportions of asynchronous release onto postsynaptic pyramidal cells. A, Example recording showing the postsynaptic response in a pyramidal cell (purple here and throughout figures) to a train of action potentials in a PV BC (red throughout). Middle trace shows voltage clamp recording at −70 mV; lower trace shows the corresponding deconvolved release rate histogram. Lower inserts show expanded time course for 1st (left) and 25th (right) action potentials. Box marked S represents 5 ms used to calculate synchronous release; box marked AS represents 15 ms for asynchronous release. qs, Quanta per second. B, Example CCK BC (black throughout) to pyramidal cell pair arranged as in A. C, Example BSC (blue throughout) to pyramidal cell pair. D, Example TLC (green throughout) to pyramidal cell pair. E, SR versus spike number plot for example recording shown in C. Data calculated from average of 10 sweeps. F, Full train SR versus interneuron class histogram for all interneuron to pyramidal cell pairs. CCK BC n = 28 (CA1 n = 26, CA3 n = 2), BSC n = 30 (CA1 n = 27, CA3 n = 3), TLC n = 20 (CA1 n = 19, CA3 n = 1), PV BC n = 12 (all CA1); p < 5 × 10^{-19}. G, Histogram plotting SR against grouped spikes throughout the train for all interneuron to pyramidal cell pairs. Spikes 1–5 p < 0.2, 6–10 p < 0.0005, 11–15 p < 10^{-10}, 16–20 p < 5 × 10^{-18}, 21–25 p < 5 × 10^{-19}.
Because of the FS phenotype and PV-GFP expression in a sub-set of cells, these interneurons will be referred to as PV BCs.

CCK-containing interneurons produce highly variable IPSCs

We made paired recordings from interneuron—interneuron and interneuron—PC pairs. Synaptic connections were identified in 326/1521 (21%) GAD65-GFP to GAD65-GFP pairs, 168/825 (20%) GAD-65 GFP to PC pairs, 24/29 (82%) FS to FS pairs, and 38/82 (46%) FS to PC pairs. We did not systematically test for electrical coupling, but spikelets were very rarely seen in postsynaptic cells except in PV BC to PV BC pairs. We analyzed the properties of synaptic transmission by inducing pairs of action potentials separated by 20 ms in the presynaptic cell every 10 s while holding the postsynaptic cell at −70 mV in voltage clamp; the results are shown in Table 2. A striking property of transmission from CCK interneurons, especially BSCs and TLCs, is the large variability both in onset latency (Table 2; Fig. 3A–D) and peak amplitude (Table 2; Fig. 3A–G) of IPSCs. In part, the variability in BSC and TLC IPSC amplitude was attributable to a high proportion of failures (Fig. 3, B, C, F); however, even when failures were excluded from analysis variability was still high. In comparison, latency and amplitude of PV BC IPSCs were very consistent with almost no failures (Fig. 3D–F); in fact only 1 of 29 pairs with a presynaptic PV BC showed any failures of transmission in 30 trials. One explanation for the difference in proportion of failures may be a larger number of release sites mediating transmission from BCs (as indicated by higher average peak amplitude); however, even TLCs and BSCs capable of producing large IPSCs show a larger proportion of failures (Fig. 3G).

Synchrony of release onto pyramidal cells corresponds to interneuron class

We tested the response of pairs to trains of 25 action potentials at 50 Hz in the presynaptic cell. As in the dentate gyrus (Hefft and Jonas, 2005), the postsynaptic response to the train in PV BCs was a train of IPSCs, which remained highly synchronous throughout (Fig. 4A, middle trace). In contrast, while initially synchronous the responses to CCK BCs (Fig. 4B, middle trace), BSCs (Fig. 4C, middle trace) and TLCs (Fig. 4D, middle trace) became desynchronized later in the train. To quantify the level of synchrony in the response process, postsynaptic responses were deconvolved with a simulated mIPSC. The simulated mIPSC consisted of the rise and peak of the unitary IPSC and a single exponential fit to the decay of the same IPSC. This was then scaled to a peak amplitude of 20 pA; close to the amplitude of the smallest observed events. This method allows an estimate of the number and timing of release of individual quanta of transmitter (Diamond and Jahr, 1995). The resulting release rate histograms are shown for individual examples in Figures 4A–D (lower traces). The ratio of synchronous to asynchronous release (SR) (see Materials and Methods) was then calculated for each presynaptic action potential (Fig. 4E, corresponding to the pair in 4C) and for the entire train (Fig. 4F). Higher values of SR represent more synchronous release. SR for the entire train was greatest for PV BCs (6.2 ± 0.6, n = 12) (Fig. 4A, F) followed by CCK BCs (2.1 ± 0.3, n = 28) (Fig. 4B, F), TLCs (1.3 ± 0.2, n = 20) (Fig. 4D, F), and the greatest asynchrony was observed in BSCs (1.1 ± 0.1, n = 30) (Fig. 4C, F) (all groups, p < 5 × 10^{-16}, one-factor ANOVA). Separating the train
Asynchronous release is determined by presynaptic cell type

We have shown that the synchrony of release onto pyramidal cells depends on interneuron class however presynaptic properties often depend on target cell identity (Pelkey and McBain, 2007). To establish whether the high level of asynchronous release is unique to interneuron-principal cell synapses we made recordings from synaptically connected pairs of interneurons. All PV BCs were recorded in pairs with other PV BCs, whereas all combinations of the three CCK-containing classes were recorded. In all recordings postsynaptic cells were GFP-positive interneurons.

Again, PV BC pairs showed the most synchronous release throughout the entire train (SR = 4.7 ± 0.9, n = 8) (Fig. 5A, C) followed by pairs in which CCK BCs were presynaptic to other CCK interneurons (2.2 ± 0.7, n = 9) (Fig. 5C). Presynaptic BSCs (1.1 ± 0.1, n = 39) (Fig. 5B, C) and TLCs (1.0 ± 0.2, n = 9) (Fig. 5C) (all groups, p < 5 × 10−9) showed the lowest degree of synchrony of release. Morphology was recovered for ~50% of postsynaptic cells and was consistent with those cell classes recorded presynaptically (CCK BC n = 10, BSC n = 13 and TLC n = 4) (Fig. 1). In recordings where BSCs were presynaptic, there was no difference in the synchronicity ratio when the postsynaptic cell was either a CCK BC (1.2 ± 0.4, n = 7) (Fig. 5C) or a BSC (1.2 ± 0.2, n = 10, p = 0.9) (Fig. 5C). The number of pairs where anatomy was recovered for both the presynaptic and postsynaptic cell did not allow this comparison for presynaptic CCK BCs or TLCs.

Importantly, no value of SR was significantly different from that seen in the respective interneuron-PC pairs, and the time course of SR throughout the trains closely resembled that seen in postsynaptic PCs (Figs. 4G, 5D). Figure 5, E and F, an average of all non-PS interneuron-interneuron pairs, shows that the decrease in SR early in the train is due primarily to the rapid onset of asynchronous release, whereas the further decrease in SR later in the train is caused both a decrease in synchronous release and a further increase in asynchronous release. Together, these data show that the degree of asynchronous release is dictated solely by the identity of the presynaptic cell rather than a postsynaptic factor.

Calcium dependence of synchronous and asynchronous release

The calcium dependence of synchronous and asynchronous release and the implications of this for their respective mechanisms has been a focus of interest and contention (Goda and Stevens, 1994; Sun et al., 2007). The unusually large amount of asynchronous release at CCK interneuron synapses makes them particularly suitable for the study of these mechanisms.

In pairs exhibiting marked asynchrony (SR < 2.5 for all pairs), after the control period of 10 trains, we altered the extracellular calcium concentration ([Ca2+]o) (from 2.5 mM to 4, 2 or 1.5 mM) while monitoring the effect on IPSC amplitude. As expected, an increase in [Ca2+]o to 4 mM caused an increase in IPSC amplitude (first IPSC 18% baseline, n = 6, data not shown). A small but consistent decrease in SR was seen when 50 Hz trains were delivered in the presence of 4 mM Ca2+ (SR 79 ± 6% of baseline, n = 6, p < 0.05 paired t test) (Fig. 6A, B, E). Decreasing [Ca2+]o to 1.5 mM caused a decrease in IPSC amplitude (1.5 mM Ca2+: first IPSC 27 ± 5% baseline, n = 5, p < 5 × 10−5) and a trend to an increase in SR, which did not reach significance (SR 119 ± 18% baseline, n = 5, p = 0.3) (Fig. 6C–E). We fit these SR change data, together with further experiments reducing [Ca2+]o to 2 mM, to a variety of functions. All functions assuming no change in SR < 2.5 mM produced a very poor fit (e.g., single exponential fit R2 = 0.07), whereas a linear fit had an R2 value of
Large amplitude posttrain events persist in Sr2+/Ca2+ solutions. This suggests that the trend to increasing SR amplitude is the mean value of the largest individual posttrain event in 10 sweeps for each condition.

Lowering [Ca2+]E would be expected to distinguish the first possibility by lowering release probability and, therefore, separating individual events. To study only “asynchronous” release we analyzed the portion of the train after the final action potential. Analysis was performed on the deconvolved traces to temporally separate events. Lowering [Ca2+]E had no effect on the amplitude of the largest individual events in each condition (baseline: 13.3 ± 2.9 quanta, low [Ca2+]E: 10.1 ± 1.9 quanta, n = 10, p = 0.2) (Fig. 7B,E) indicating that summation of coincident events is unlikely to explain the large amplitude.

Lowering [Ca2+]E would also be expected to decrease the amplitude of synchronous release delayed from the action potential but to further test for the second possibility we replaced extracellular Ca2+ with Sr2+ which desynchronizes release at central synapses (Goda and Stevens, 1994). This would be expected to remove any remaining synchrony among these delayed events. As expected, Sr2+ desynchronized release throughout the train (Fig. 7D) but caused no change in the amplitude of the largest events (Ca2+: 12.2 ± 5.1 quanta, Sr2+: 11.6 ± 3.4 quanta, n = 6, p = 0.8) (Fig. 7C,E). The example traces in Figure 5C also show large (~300 pA, 4 nS) events in the absence of a high frequency of smaller events confirming that summation of small events is an unlikely explanation. Similar results were produced when detection of IPSCs was performed on the original traces (data not shown). These data indicate that the most likely explanation is that a subset of very large amplitude quantal events are triggered by asynchronous release at CCK-containing interneurons.

We also analyzed the amplitude distribution of asynchronous events (after the end of the presynaptic train) for 22 interneuron to interneuron (total 1718 events) and 27 interneuron to PC pairs (total 2211 events). We found that the amplitude distribution is dependent on both presynaptic and postsynaptic cell type with large events being more frequent when PCs are postsynaptic or when TLCs are presynaptic (Fig. 7F,G). For example, >14% of asynchronous events from TLCs to PC have a peak amplitude of >200 pA.

This skewed distribution of quantal amplitudes makes it impossible to derive the exact number and timing of vesicles released during asynchronous release at CCK-containing interneurons.
leased by deconvolution from a mean value of quantal amplitude. The purpose of this study, however, is not to determine the exact number of vesicles released, rather, it is to determine the time course of inhibitory drive to the postsynaptic cell produced by transmitter released throughout the train. As such, although the units used may be invalid, the conclusions we have drawn are not. This does, however, show that great care should be used in interpreting the results of this type of analysis.

Asynchronous in response to natural trains
We have shown that neurotransmitter release becomes desynchronized in response to 50 Hz trains of action potentials; however, such long trains may be unlikely to occur in vivo. We studied the time course of release from CCK interneurons in response to trains of action potentials designed to mimic natural firing. In vivo recordings in anesthetized rats have shown that CCK interneurons are highly active during theta oscillations firing several times during each cycle (Klausberger et al., 2005). To reproduce this, we induced five bursts of three action potentials with an intraburst frequency of 40 Hz and an interburst frequency of 5 Hz (theta frequency). We found that release became desynchronized during these trains (Fig. 8A, C) with an SR for the entire train of 1.9 ± 0.3 (n = 12) compared with an SR of 1.13 ± 0.1 for 50 Hz trains in the same pairs. Klausberger et al. (2005) also reported that in awake, behaving animals CCK interneurons probably fire even more frequently, so to mimic this we induced five 50 Hz bursts of five action potentials again at 5 Hz in a subset of recordings. We also find a high proportion of asynchronous release in response to these trains (Fig. 8B–E) with an SR for the entire train of 1.6 ± 0.4 (n = 6, p = 0.6 vs 3 spike trains). Figure 8E shows that the amount of asynchronous release increases throughout these bursts and then decays until the start of the next burst. This shows that asynchronous release will have the greatest functional significance when CCK interneurons fire bursts of at least five action potentials.

Discussion
In this study, we have shown that the synchrony of GABA release during trains of presynaptic action potentials is highly variable and is dependent on the class of presynaptic interneuron but independent of postsynaptic cell type. In particular, synchronicity is highest in PV BCs and lowest in BSCs and TLCs with CCK BCs intermediate. This discrepancy is largely attributable to release later in the train, with all cell types mediating mainly synchronous release for the first five action potentials. We find that the asynchronous release increases more rapidly than synchronous release with increasing [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{E}}\). We also find that even in the highly asynchronous activity which follows the end of the presynaptic train, large individual IPSCs occur which persist in low [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{E}}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\), suggesting very large quantal events. Fi-
nally, we show that asynchronous release also occurs in response to natural trains of presynaptic action potentials.

These findings confirm and expand a previous finding in the dentate gyrus (Hefft and Jonas, 2005), in which CCK-positive interneurons mediate asynchronous transmitter release onto granule cells. These neurons have a similar axonal distribution to the BSCs we recorded from, and the asynchrony of release appears similar to that reported here. Compared with BSCs, CCK BCs show a smaller, but still large, amount of asynchronous release, and we found a further highly asynchronous class of interneuron in TLCs. The relatively low level of CCK immunoreactivity in the granule cell layer suggests that these cell classes may not have a counterpart in the dentate gyrus (Hefft and Jonas, 2005). BSCs are classically defined as fast-spiking, PV-containing cells (Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005), and as such, the cells in this study may more closely resemble those often referred to as Schaffer-associated cells (Vida et al., 1998), but CCK-containing, nonfast spiking cells have also been previously termed BSCs (Pawelzik et al., 2002), a term we use because of the presence of these cells in the absence of Schaffer collaterals in CA3. Pawelzik et al. (2002) also report CCK immunoreactivity in cells they term wide-arbor basket cells that closely resemble our TLCs. TLCs reported here should not be confused with the mGlurR8-expressing trilaminar cell with a projection to the subiculum (Ferraguti et al., 2005) but may correspond to apical dendritic targeting cells [proposed type 9 interneurons (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008)]. We have shown that BSCs and TLCs cell classes included in this study represent CCK-containing interneurons both directly using scRT-PCR and indirectly by demonstrating the expression of DSI (Pitler and Alger, 1992; Katona et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2001; Földy et al., 2007).

Inhibition by hippocampal interneurons can act to synchronize activity (Cobb et al., 1995) by allowing action potential firing only in a narrow temporal window via feedforward inhibition (Pouille and Scanziani, 2001). The properties of PV-containing interneurons are particularly well suited to imposing temporal precision (Freund, 2003), and PV-containing or fast-spiking interneurons are the main mediators of feedforward inhibition in many brain areas (Liu et al., 2002; Mallett et al., 2005; Daw et al., 2007). Maintaining synchrony of release throughout trains of presynaptic activity is clearly vital for carrying out this function. It seems likely that the function of the profound asynchrony of release in CCK BCs, BSCs, and TLCs is to “smooth out” the total inhibitory drive to postsynaptic cells resulting in a prolonged temporal window of inhibition of postsynaptic spiking, which can extend beyond the entire period of presynaptic activity (Hefft and Jonas, 2005). We have shown that this smoothing of inhibition is not restricted to one presynaptic/postsynaptic cell-type combination but occurs at synapses made by a whole group of CCK-expressing interneuron classes onto a wide range of postsynaptic cell types. A similar lack of dependence on postsynaptic cell type has also recently been reported for CCK BCs (Karson et al., 2009). Activation of these cells, therefore, will result in an episode of dampened activity in multiple somatodendritic domains of all cells in a region of the hippocampus. Furthermore, whereas CCK cells fire preferentially during a specific phase of theta oscillations (Klausberger et al., 2005), our recordings suggest that the inhibition of postsynaptic firing they impose will be effective throughout the period of theta oscillation.

Many properties of presynaptic terminals have been shown to be specific to the identity of the target cell including presynaptic receptor expression (Shigemoto et al., 1996) and short-term (Scanziani et al., 1998) and long-term (Maccarferri et al., 1998) plasticity (for review see (Pelkey and McBain, 2007). The finding that SR is independent of target cell identity, therefore, suggests that asynchronous release is fundamental to the function of these cells and that CCK cells are specialized to regulate the overall activity of their local region of the hippocampus.

No consensus exists as to the mechanisms leading to asynchronous release. In fact, the calcium dependence has been shown to be both lower (Sun et al., 2007) and higher (Goda and Stevens, 1994) affinity than that for synchronous release. Alternatively, asynchronous release has been attributed to the build up of residual or bulk calcium (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Otsu et al., 2004) during bursts of activity. Knock out of isoforms of the presynaptic calcium sensor synaptotagmin at the calyx of Held (synaptotagmin 2) (Sun et al., 2007) or dentate granule cell synapses (synaptotagmin 1) (Kerr et al., 2008) selectively blocks synchronous release, revealing asynchronous release in its place, showing that separate calcium sensors mediate synchronous and asynchronous release. Different calcium dependence of synchronous and asynchronous release in our study is consistent with two calcium sensors, such as separate synaptotagmin isoforms, being responsible for the two modes of release from an individual cell. If varying proportions of transmitter release in the different cell types is mediated by each of these sensors it would result in different SR.

Mean mIPSC amplitude in hippocampal cells recorded with near symmetrical [Cl−] has often been reported to be in the range of 30–40 pA (∼0.4–0.6 nS) (Capogna et al., 1993; Thompson et al., 1997). As such, our finding that quantal events can have amplitudes >500 pA (∼7 nS) is surprising. Such large miniature conductances are not, however, unprecedented: conductances up to 13.6 nS for mEPSCs at mossy fiber-CA3 pyramidal cell synapses (Henze et al., 2002) and almost 12 nS for mIPSCs in cerebellar stellate cells (Nusser et al., 1997) have been reported. Quantal size up to 94 pA (∼1.3 nS) has been calculated for CCK interneuron synapses onto CA3 pyramidal cells (Biró et al., 2006). Biró et al. (2006), however, predict that much larger currents occur at individual synapses as a result of multivesicular release being misinterpreted as release at separate sites. The insensitivity of the largest events to low [Ca2+]E (Biro´ et al., 2006), similar to that which we have shown that quantal events can have amplitudes >500 pA (∼7 nS) is surprising. Such large miniature conductances are not, however, unprecedented: conductances up to 13.6 nS for mEPSCs at mossy fiber-CA3 pyramidal cell synapses (Henze et al., 2002) and almost 12 nS for mIPSCs in cerebellar stellate cells (Nusser et al., 1997) have been reported. Quantal size up to 94 pA (∼1.3 nS) has been calculated for CCK interneuron synapses onto CA3 pyramidal cells (Biró et al., 2006). Biró et al. (2006), however, predict that much larger currents occur at individual synapses as a result of multivesicular release being misinterpreted as release at separate sites. The insensitivity of the largest events to low [Ca2+]E (Biro´ et al., 2006), similar to that which we used. In the cerebellum, the large variability in mIPSC amplitude closely mirrors the variability in synaptic area, which is proportional to GABA receptor number as determined by immunogold labeling (Nusser et al., 1997). A 10-fold range in synaptic area determined at EM level was also found at CCK interneuron synapses in the hippocampus (Biró et al., 2006) from a sample of only 25 synapses, so variation in postsynaptic receptor number could well account for the ∼25-fold range (20–500 pA) of IPSC amplitudes seen here. We have also shown that these large amplitude quantal events are more common when TLCs or BSCs are presynaptic. This may in part explain the large variability in amplitude and high proportion of failures seen in IPSCs from these cells; i.e., large amplitude connections formed by these interneurons may be mediated by a very small number of connections of which one or more produce large amplitude IPSCs. Such large quantal amplitudes, which create brief periods of high inhibitory drive, are at odds with the role of asynchronous release in smoothing inhibition. If, however, a large number of CCK interneurons are active during the same time period the overall result would still be an episode of smoothed inhibition.

We have shown here that highly asynchronous release is a common property of multiple interneuron classes, innervating multiple somatodendritic domains of a variety of postsynaptic cells. These
interneurons are ideally suited to produce prolonged inhibitory episodes throughout a whole region of the hippocampus.
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