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Horses for courses. Comparative gastroenterology: common ground and collaborative potential

Neil P H Hudson, Malcolm G Dunlop

Gastrointestinal diseases, including motility and obstructive disorders, are common in both human and veterinary medicine. Such disorders tend to be clinically important problems in both disciplines, with extensive impact on veterinary practice as well as having public health and economic implications. Considerable time is lost from work due to gut disorders. Motor disorders of the gastrointestinal tract are particularly common, and understanding the physiology of motility and gut function is key to examination of the pathophysiology of intestinal disease. Few animal models of functional gastrointestinal disorders exist, however, and so there is considerable rationale for comparative study of disease in veterinary and human medicine. Such study affords the opportunity to shed new light on disease processes that so far have proved difficult to study for practical, ethical, and biological reasons. Comparable intestinal disorders between species include postoperative ileus, intestinal obstruction, pseudo-obstruction, irritable bowel syndrome, and inflammatory bowel diseases.

Sources and selection criteria
We used personal archives of references and, when appropriate, carried out PubMed searches on relevant veterinary and human gastroenterological topics.

Constipation and pseudo-obstruction syndromes
Pseudo-obstruction and enteric dysmotility syndromes are increasingly being recognised in medical gastroenterology and are thought to represent an impairment of the intrinsic neuromuscular or extrinsic control of gut motility. Constipation is one of the most common digestive disorders in humans and, therefore, animal examples such as feline constipation provide opportunity for novel insight into disease mechanism in humans, free from confounding effects accumulated over the lifespan of a human. Feline constipation is common in small animal veterinary practice. Acquired idiopathic megacolon occurs in middle aged to older cats. The pathogenesis is unclear but may involve an impairment of colonic smooth muscle function. The feline syndrome appears to bear many similarities to megacolon in humans. In the horse, a case of myenteric ganglionitis classified as an equine form of chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction has been reported. In dogs, dysmotility syndromes are rarer but include chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction and functional intestinal hypomotility associated with myenteric neuronal disease. In humans, the majority of pseudo-obstruction that is not associated with electrolyte imbalance or trauma is also neurogenic. In contrast, gut myopathy is the most common single observation in chronic pseudo-obstruction. Thus, there are useful paradigms in veterinary practice that could lead to novel insight, even through descriptive research that would not be possible in human practice.

Dysautonomia
Dysautonomias occur in several species including the cat, dog, hare, rabbit, and humans. An important syndrome in veterinary medicine is grass sickness (equine dysautonomia; fig 1), which is a common and often fatal disease of unknown cause characterised by autonomic dysfunction of the alimentary tract of equids kept at grass. Presenting clinical signs include colic (abdominal pain), ileus, anorexia, dysphagia, tachycardia, excessive salivation, patchy sweating, ptosis, and rhinitis sicca. The disease varies in duration and severity of clinical signs, from acute through to chronic manifestations. In certain chronic mild cases, recovery is possible with intensive nursing. The cause remains enigmatic, but study of the basic mechanisms of grass sickness holds potential to inform human studies aimed at understanding chronic gut motility syndromes thought to have a post-infective component.

Hirschsprung’s disease and lethal white foal syndrome
Hirschsprung’s disease is a human congenital intestinal aganglionosis characterised by signs of intestinal obstruction or severe chronic constipation. Cardinal features are an absence of ganglion cells and their intrinsic nerve fibres and proliferation of extrinsic nerve fibres. Mutations in several genes have been causally implicated, including RET, endothelin-3, endothelin-B receptor, Sox10, and SMADIP1. Ileocolonic aganglionosis (lethal white foal syndrome), involving the absence of myenteric ganglia in the white progeny of overo spotted horses, has been described. This disease could be considered an equine version of the human Hirschsf
Impaired transit through the gastrointestinal tract usually results from mechanical obstruction, but failure of normal motility (ileus) is also important. In human intestinal obstruction and ileus, patients present with varying degrees of colicky abdominal pain, tenderness and distension, vomiting, and constipation. In equine medicine, colic (fig 2) is reported by insurance companies and universities as the single greatest killer of horses. In a multicentre study of 4279 cases of colic, 35% were classified as obstructions, 21% as strangulating obstructions, and 25% as undiagnosed colics. Colic in the horse ranges from mildly painful cases that may resolve with little or no medical therapy through to severe forms that may require surgery. Although some causes of colic are clearly identifiable at surgery, the cause of many disease processes resulting in colic in horses remains complex and often enigmatic.

**Inflammatory bowel diseases**

Inflammatory bowel diseases are chronic inflammatory disorders affecting an increasing number of human patients, the main forms being Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Although the cause remains unclear, research is focusing on genetic, inflammatory, immunological, and infectious factors. In veterinary medicine inflammatory bowel diseases have been described in a range of species including the dog, cat, and horse, with many similarities to the conditions in humans. As genetic loci contributing to the cause of inflammatory bowel disease are discovered, it becomes possible to study the homologous genes in animals in order to inform breeding strategies, as well as allowing possibilities of generating “natural” comparable animal models through selective breeding approaches.

**Comparative gastroenterological research**

Comparative research offers mutual benefits to researchers working in human and veterinary medicine, thus benefiting both human and animal patients. Research modalities such as immunohistochemistry, tissue culture, electrophysiology, and molecular biology are widely used in both disciplines.
Enteric nervous system, interstitial cells of Cajal, and immunohistochemistry

The enteric nervous system has a central role in the control of most gastrointestinal functions. Immunohistochemistry is a useful research tool for investigating the anatomy and function of this system. Much of the knowledge of the immunohistochemistry of the enteric nervous system has been gathered from the guinea pig, but there is an increasing amount of work in other species such as the pig and in humans.

The interstitial cells of Cajal are the pacemakers and mediators of neurotransmission in the gastrointestinal tract. These cells have been implicated, either primarily or secondarily, in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal disease processes in which there is a prominent element of disturbance to motility.

Antibodies to c-Kit protein are now readily available for the immunohistochemical labelling of the interstitial cells of Cajal. Abnormalities of these cells have been associated with disorders of the human gastrointestinal system, including Hirschsprung’s disease, infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, ulcerative colitis, chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction, slow transit constipation, and Chagas’ disease. To date, the only studies of the interstitial cells of Cajal in the numerous veterinary gastrointestinal disorders have been in the horse, implicating their involvement in grass sickness and obstructive colic.

Electrophysiology

The interstitial cells of Cajal are the initiators of slow waves in the gastrointestinal tract. Slow wave activity is the rate limiting step for peristaltic activity. Slow waves can be recorded in vitro using microelectrodes to record electrical activity in individual smooth muscle cells. Recordings have been made in a range of species such as the cat, dog, and mouse. Limited experiments have been carried out on porcine, human, and equine intestinal smooth muscle. Good correlation exists between findings in vitro and the observed contractile properties in vivo. Pacemaking in human intestine bears many similarities to that in other species such as the pig, cat, and dog. Indeed, the pig is a useful model, not only because of the abundant supply of abattoir derived tissue, but because intestine from the pig possesses functional and pathological similarities to human intestine.

To date there have been few in vitro studies of the electrophysiological activity of diseased intestine. Kubota et al. found that the aganglionic segments of colon in Hirschsprung’s disease were electrically quiescent. Hudson et al. found that the intestine of horses with grass sickness exhibited prominent slow wave activity despite severe damage to the enteric neurones. Therefore, comparative electrophysiological studies in health and disease represent a novel approach to examining the physiology and pathophysiology of intestinal function.

Tissue culture and molecular biology

The development of techniques for culturing the enteric nervous system has led to greater understanding of gut physiology. Cultures are useful for correlating the morphological, biophysical, pharmacological, and synaptic properties of neurones, and for testing the ability of altered environmental conditions to change these properties. Therefore, culture systems offer considerable potential for the development of in vitro models for diseases of the enteric nervous system. Myenteric neurones have been cultured from the human large intestine, but there are few reports of equine enteric neurones being grown in tissue culture.

Molecular biological approaches have allowed closer analysis of gastrointestinal function, including investigation of expression of the c-Kit protein (encoded by the c-kit gene) in the interstitial cells of Cajal. Information about the genetic basis of gastrointestinal diseases is expanding rapidly. For example, gastrointestinal stromal tumours, which have been reported in the horse, are well recognised in human medicine, and in these cases gain-of-function mutations or amplification of c-kit is often observed. Indeed, gastrointestinal stromal tumours are now graded by c-Kit expression and mitotic index to determine the degree of malignancy and whether specific therapy is required. Studies can be carried out that examine the role of various possible candidate genes such as RET, endothelin, and c-kit in gastrointestinal diseases.

Collaborative initiatives between researchers in veterinary and human medicine are to be welcomed because both have much to gain. Work in different species holds promise to elucidate disease causality and pathogenesis, particularly for disorders that have proved difficult to study in humans—namely, motility disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome. Although there are obvious differences between humans and animals in terms of anatomy and diet, the pathophysiological and clinical parallels may be greater than previously thought. Comparative study can encompass investigation of animal intestinal tissues (abattoir derived and clinical material) and surgically resected human tissue. An advantage of this approach is that the use of experimental animals can be minimised. Once normal physiology is better understood, direct pathological comparisons can be made both within and between different species.

Conclusions

Many benefits exist in the study of both the human and animal forms of disease. We have highlighted some of the common elements in gastrointestinal diseases across...
species and discussed some of the research approaches used in their investigation. Recent initiatives that bear this out are the establishment by the Medical Research Council of the Comparative Clinical Science Panel to foster research links between human and veterinary medicine, and scientific symposia in comparative medicine organised by bodies such as the Royal Society of Medicine. Many clinical benefits are also to be derived from collaboration between the professions in terms of diagnostics and therapeutics (both medical and surgical). In gastroenterology, these have included improved surgical techniques, management of adhesions, advances in critical care, drug therapies, and parenteral nutrition. The direction of this knowledge transfer has traditionally been from human to veterinary medicine, but it is now clear that the movement of information can be two way, with mutual benefit.
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