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ABSTRACT

The mechanism and role of RNA structure elements in the replication and translation of Caliciviridae remains poorly understood. Several algorithmically independent methods were used to predict secondary structures within the Norovirus, Sapovirus, Vesivirus and Lagovirus genera. All showed profound suppression of synonymous site variability (SSSV) at genomic 5′ ends and the start of the sub-genomic (sg) transcript, consistent with evolutionary constraints from underlying RNA structure. A newly developed thermodynamic scanning method predicted RNA folding mapping precisely to regions of SSSV and at the genomic 3′ end. These regions contained several evolutionarily conserved RNA secondary structures, of variable size and positions. However, all caliciviruses contained 3′ terminal hairpins, and stem–loops in the anti-genomic strand invariably six bases upstream of the sg transcript, indicating putative roles as sg promoters. Using the murine norovirus (MNV) reverse-genetics system, disruption of 5′ end stem–loops produced ~15- to 20-fold infectivity reductions, while disruption of the RNA structure in the sg promoter region and at the 3′ end entirely destroyed replication ability. Restoration of infectivity by repair mutations in the sg promoter region confirmed a functional role for the RNA secondary structure, not the sequence. This study provides comprehensive bioinformatic resources for future functional studies of MNV and other caliciviruses.

INTRODUCTION

The virus family Caliciviridae is a heterogeneous group of non-enveloped viruses with positive-stranded RNA genomes. Caliciviruses are currently classified into five genera based on differences in genome organization, coding strategies, host range and persistence (Figure 1) (1–3). Caliciviruses infecting humans are found in two of the genera, the noroviruses and sapoviruses, both responsible for highly infectious, acute gastroenteritis. Viruses in the Vesivirus genus are widely distributed in a variety of mammalian species, where they cause systemic and frequently persistent infections, often with high morbidity and mortality. Vesiviruses fall into two main groups, feline calicivirus (FCV) infecting domestic and wild cats, and a second ‘ocean virus’ group, including San Miguel sea lion virus, which are widely distributed in marine and terrestrial mammals (4). Lagoviruses [rabbit haemorrhagic fever virus (RHDV) and the related European brown hare syndrome virus (EHHSV)] are restricted to rabbits and hares, in which they cause rapidly progressive and frequently fatal haemorrhagic disease and necrotizing hepatitis (1). Finally, two groups of caliciviruses that cause diarrhoea and acute intestinal diseases in calves have been recently characterized (3,5,6). One group is related to human noroviruses and has been classified as genogroup III in the Norovirus genus; the second group is genetically distinct from other genera and its classification as members of a fifth genus in the Caliciviridae has been proposed [Becovirus or Nabovirus; (3)].

Recently, a new calicivirus was characterized as the causative agent of a highly transmissible virus among immunosuppressed laboratory mice in which it caused a fatal hepatitis and encephalitis (7). Subsequently it was
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shown that the virus is widely distributed in laboratory mice worldwide, shows genetic diversity and altered patterns of disease among different laboratory strains of immunocompetent mice, generally establishing persistent infections and little or no disease (8,9). Because of its genetic relatedness to human noroviruses, it has been named murine norovirus (MNV), and is classified as genogroup V within the Norovirus genus.

Caliciviruses are thought to replicate in a manner typical of positive-stranded RNA viruses, through the synthesis of a full-length anti-genomic strand (reverse complement copy) using the virally encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) translated initially from the RNA genome entering the cell (10). The minus strand then acts as a template for the synthesis of full-length genomic RNA from which non-structural proteins are translated, including the RdRp, helicase and protease. In a manner comparable to the replication of Togaviridae and hepatitis E virus, caliciviruses express a downstream sub-genomic (sg) transcript encoding structural genes.

Studies of the replication of caliciviruses have been hampered in the past through the difficulty in culturing infectious virus in mammalian cell culture. Particularly intractable are human noroviruses and sapoviruses for which no efficient cell culture system exists. However, recent reports have demonstrated limited genome replication and encapsidation following transfection of cDNA constructs and subsequent infection with recombinant Vaccinia virus expressing T7 RNA polymerase (11,12).
In these systems, replication occurs despite the initial lack of a covalently attached, virally encoded VPg protein at the 5’ end of the genomic RNA that is required for translation initiation (13). In addition, studies suggest that a differentiated intestinal cell culture may support Norwalk virus replication (14). Although these systems represent a significant advance in the study of norovirus biology, they lack the efficiency with which to study the basic mechanisms of calicivirus translation and replication.

In contrast, FCV and MNV can be efficiently grown in tissue culture (7). Infectious virus can be artificially generated through transfection of in vitro transcribed 5’ capped RNA transcripts in the case of FCV (15) or cDNA clones under control of T7 RNA polymerase for both FCV (16) and MNV (17). These advances provide the means for much more detailed characterization of the calicivirus replication cycle and its mechanisms of transcription and translation.

In the current study, we have applied a number of algorithmically independent bioinformatic methods to identify, locate and characterize RNA secondary structure potentially implicated in the replication cycle of different calicivirus genera. This approach was prompted by the success of such methods in the definition of RNA secondary structures subsequently shown to play essential roles in the replication and translation of other positive-stranded RNA viruses, such as members of the Picornaviridae (18–22) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) (23–25). Bioinformatic methods for RNA structure prediction in caliciviruses are becoming increasingly feasible through the growing availability of nucleotide sequence data in each of the genera that enables evolutionary constraints, phylogenetic conservation of RNA structures and co-variant substitutions to be identified. We subsequently used our predictions as the basis for a preliminary reverse genetic analysis of MNV replication. These functional studies strongly support our bioinformatic predictions and define RNA secondary structures involved in virus replication. The catalogue of evolutionarily conserved RNA secondary structures provides a valuable resource for future investigations of the replication of MNV, FCV and members of other calicivirus genera.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nucleotide sequences**

RNA structure analysis was based on sets of available complete genome sequences of epidemiologically unlinked variants from *Norovirus*, *Sapovirus*, *Vesivirus* and *Lagovirus* genera (Genbank in May, 2007; Table 1; individual sequences listed in Supplementary Table S1).

Because of the high sequence divergence of variants within the *Norovirus* and *Vesivirus* genera, subsets corresponding to previously assigned genogroups were used. For noroviruses, sequences from MNV and human norovirus genogroup II were separately analysed, the GII/4 subset of human noroviruses used for RNA structure analysis of the 3’ end of the genome, while in the *Vesivirus* genus, analysis was restricted to FCV (there were too few sequences from the ocean virus group for separate analysis). However, the limited availability of complete genome sequences of sapoviruses precluded a separate analysis of individual genogroups, and analysis was carried out on the genus collectively. All nucleotide (non-coding) and codon-based (coding region) alignments were created using ClustalW with default settings.

Comparative analysis was carried out with sequences from human enteroviruses species A, B and C. To maximize the amount of phylogenetic information, single example of each classified serotype within each species was used (Table S1).

**Suppression of synonymous site variation**

Synonymous sequence variability was determined by measurement of mean pair wise distances at each codon position in the two or three open reading frames (ORFs) of caliciviruses and the ORF of enteroviruses. Variability at each codon was calculated using the program Sequence Scan in the Simmonic sequence editor (26). Mean pair wise synonymous variability was restricted to aligned codons, where the translated amino acid was the same. Each pair wise value was normalized by dividing by the degeneracy of the codon, with normalization factors for 2-fold degenerate sites of 0.5, 3-fold: 0.6666; 4-fold: 0.75 and 6-fold: 0.8333. This takes into account the different sequence distances achievable at maximally diverged sites. Variability at each codon position was averaged over a sliding window of 21 or 41 codons.

**RNA secondary structure prediction**

Secondary structure predictions with PFOLD (27) and Alifold (28) used web interfaces provided by the authors at: http://www.daimi.au.dk/~compbio/mafold/ and http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/alifold.cgi, respectively. Both programs were run with default settings.

High-resolution thermodynamic scanning was carried out using a locally implemented compiled version of the program UNAFold (29) downloaded from http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/~7ezukerm/export/mfold-3.html. Each sequence in alignments of calicivirus genera/groups and enterovirus species was split into sets of 200 base fragments overlapping by 152 bases (~130–170 fragments per virus genome). Fifty copies of each fragment were also generated and the sequence order scrambled using the algorithm NDR that preserves the dinucleotide

---

**Table 1. Sequence divergence in different calicivirus genera/groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus/Group</th>
<th>Total Non-structural* (%)</th>
<th>Structural* (%)</th>
<th>ORF2/3* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norovirus GII</td>
<td>20 13.1 (5.8) 26.1 (23.7) 28.6 (29.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV</td>
<td>9 10.8 (3.0) 9.5 (4.0) 7.8 (4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagovirus</td>
<td>13 11.4 (5.8) 9.7 (6.2) 8.9 (7.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesivirus</td>
<td>14 19.9 (8.8) 21.7 (11.6) 13.4 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapovirus</td>
<td>15 31.0 (25.8) 43.5 (48.0) NA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beco/Nabovirus</td>
<td>3 16.3 (6.1) 10.5 (4.2) 12.3 (5.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean pairwise nucleotide sequence divergence between group members (amino acid sequence divergence in parentheses).

Region could not be aligned.
predicted RNA secondary structure in the 2C-encoding region, superimposable with the known location of the predicted RNA secondary structure (i.e. secondary structures dependent on order of bases in the nucleotide sequence, rather than compositional variables such as G + C content), consecutive, free energies on folding closely spaced fragments were determined for sequences in each alignment and compared to those of the same sequences in which sequence order was randomized. For human enteroviruses, substantial differences in folding energies between native and scrambled sequences were detected in the 5’UTR, in the terminal region of the 3Dpol gene and the 3’UTRs, consistent with previous studies. For the rest of the coding region, folding energy differences approximated to zero (indicating an absence of sequence order-dependent RNA structure), except for a restricted area of predicted RNA secondary structure in the 2C-encoding region, superimposable with the known location of the CRE (Figure 2A; MFED values on right-hand γ-axis). For the 5’UTR and 2C CRE region, MFEDs for sequences in their sense orientation were consistently higher than those calculated for anti-sense sequences, a difference that reflects the preferential distribution of G:U pairings in the sense sequence and asymmetries in folding energies between 5’G:C3’ and 5’C:G3’ Watson–Crick pairings (29), and consistent with the function of these sequences in the positive-sense genome.

High-resolution thermodynamic scanning similarly identified regions of RNA secondary structure in the four calicivirus genera (Figure 2B–F). For each, the 5’ end of the genome, including the short 5’UTR and 200–300 bases of coding sequence showed evidence for substantial RNA structure formation. Folding energies for sequences
in the genomic orientation were more energetically favoured than anti-sense sequences. All five groups additionally showed localized regions of high-folding energy differences around the NS/S junction, particularly marked in the Norovirus, Sapovirus and Lagovirus genera that coincided precisely with regions of suppression of synonymous variability. Folding energies for anti-genomic sequences were frequently greater (sapoviruses,

Figure 2. Scanning alignments of complete genome sequences of enteroviruses and caliciviruses for RNA secondary structure. Variability at synonymous sites (left y-axis) was computed at each codon position in alignments, plotted with a window size of 41 codons. MFED values (right y-axis) for sense and anti-sense RNA sequences were calculated for 200 base fragments, incrementing by 48 bases; values plotted represent mean values of five consecutive fragments. All nucleotide positions were calculated relative to reference sequences listed in methods; gene names, boundaries and other structural features followed the annotation provided for the reference sequences used for numbering. A higher resolution vector diagram of the figure is available from Supplementary Data (Figure S1).
lagoviruses; Figure 2E and F) or similar (noroviruses, vesiviruses; Figure 2B–D) to those of the genomic strand. There was frequent evidence for RNA secondary structure at the 3' end of the genomic strand, frequently overlapping the coding region of ORF2/3, and associated with the observed SSSV. Vesiviruses showed a further region of suppression of synonymous variability in the NS encoding region between positions 2350 and 2600, although this was not associated with higher MFEDs (Figure 2D). Similar findings were made for the area of SSSV in MNV between positions 2600 and 2800 (Figure 2B).

Identification of specific RNA structures in 5', NS/S junction and 3' regions of calicivirus genomes

Paired, co-variant substitutions provide independent evidence supporting RNA secondary structure models, and can be used to refine predictions from energy-minimizing methods. To identify and characterize RNA secondary structures in caliciviruses, we used the programs Alifold and PFOLD, an algorithmically independent, non-energy-minimizing algorithm that also exploits comparative sequence information (27,36). Outputs from both programs provide probabilities for specific pairings, from which specific structures can be visualized in the form of a half-diagonal matrix (Figures 3–5). For both programs, probabilities of pairings are reflected in the size of the points plotted, while Alifold output shows additional information on the variability (degree of co-variance) of each pairing through different colours (red: invariant; green: variable). To assist comparison of the predictions from each program, output from Alifold was plotted in the lower right quadrant, and PFOLD in the upper left (Figures 3 and 4).

The ability of the two programs to predict RNA secondary structures were assessed by analysing human enterovirus 5'UTR and 2C/CRE sequences (Figures 3A and 4A). In the 5'UTR, predictions from Alifold and PFOLD were highly concordant, and both identified the six main stem–loops [labelled I–VI; (37)], including the 5' proximal cloverleaf (stem-loop I) and the IRES structure, stem-loop IV (Figure 3A). Predictions for species B and C 5'UTR sequences were comparable to those of species A (data not shown). Program output from both the methods was in all cases characterized by a virtual absence of predicted alternative or long-range predicted pairings in the 5'UTR. In the 2C/CRE region, a single well-defined stem–loop was predicted by both methods corresponding to the previously described CRE between positions 4442 and 4493 (Figure 4A). For all three enterovirus species, the position of the CRE co-localized precisely to a region of suppression of synonymous variability.

Alifold and PFOLD were used to detect RNA secondary structures in different calicivirus groups in regions showing SSSV and large folding energy differences between native and control sequences [5' end (Figure 3B–F), NS/S junction (Figures 4B–5F) and the 3' end (Figure 5A–D)]. Regions of SSSV in MNV and vesiviruses within the NS gene were additionally examined (Figure 5E and F). In the 5' 400 bases of each virus group, two or more discrete, highly conserved RNA stem–loops were detected, with highly concordant results between the two prediction methods (Figure 3B–F). Analysis was repeated using anti-genomic sequences, and produced comparable results but with generally less support for the identified structures [fewer base pairings and lower probability values for identified pairings (data not shown)].

Other than a tendency for the predicted structures to be situated in the first 150 nt of the genome, there was little similarity in shape or position of the individual structures between groups, or even within a genus. For example, the predicted structure for the genogroup II noroviruses showed no resemblance to the structure prediction for MNV (Figure 3B and C) and were similarly distinct from those previously predicted using Foldrna for the genogroup I sequence M87661 (38). However, there was a correlation between the location of predicted stem–loops and marked regions of SSSV (blue line), within the first 100–170 nt of the genome. Beyond position 150, the occurrence and conservation of predicted RNA secondary structures was highly variable. However, MNV showed an uninterrupted series of stem–loops throughout the analysed region, although this was not associated with SSSV. These additional structures likely comprise elements of genome-scale ordered RNA structure (GORS) found specifically in viruses causing persistent infections (30). While the ability of the bioinformatic prediction methods to identify phylogenetically conserved structures is influenced by the degree of naturally occurring sequence diversity within the analysed groups, this was not the explanation for the differing extents of structure observed in the 5' region. For example, the sequence diversity of lagoviruses and human noroviruses used in the analysis is comparable to that of MNV, while vesiviruses were more divergent than all three (Table 1).

In the NS/S junction region, suppression of synonymous variability localized to a region just upstream of the NS/S junction in human norovirus, vesivirus, lagovirus and sapovirus sequences (Figure 4C–F). MNV differed in showing a more generalized suppression in variability throughout and 3' to the junction region, potentially reflecting further sequences constraints (see Discussion section). In the region of every localized suppression, one or more stem–loops were predicted (almost invariably concordantly by Alifold and PFOLD) in each virus group, with a stem–loop localized just before the transcription start site (pink box). The absence of consistently predicted structures in sapoviruses may have been the result of the high divergence of sequences used for analysis (see below).

The structures predicted 5' to the transcription site in each genus comprised unbranched stem–loops of varying duplex length and sizes of terminal loops (Figure 6). Because of the frequently observed greater folding energy differences (MFEDs) for anti-genomic sequences in this region (Figure 1), the structures have been depicted in their anti-sense orientation. The occurrence of several G:U pairs in the stems (and absence of opposed C–A mismatches) in sapoviruses, MNV and vesiviruses provides further evidence that this represents the functional orientation of the RNA structures, and is supported by prediction of the depicted structures using AliFold and PFOLD (data not shown). Although no structures were
Figure 3. RNA structure prediction for the first 400 bases of genome sequence alignments of (A) the first 800 bases of genome sequence alignments of human enteroviruses species A, and (B–F) the first 400 bases of genome sequence alignments of different calcivirus genera/groups. Pairing predicted by Alifold shown in lower right quadrant by PFOLD in the upper right. For both predictive algorithms, the size of the dots is proportional to the probability of pairing; predicted paired sites containing co-variant or semi-co-variant substitutions shown in green. Synonymous variability averaged over a window size of 21 codon shown as blue line (right-hand y-axis). A higher-resolution vector diagram of the figure is available from Supplementary Data (Figure S2).
Figure 4. Predicted RNA secondary structures using Alifold and PFOLD (see legend to Figure 2) in (A) 2C/CRE region human enterovirus species A and (B–F) regions of maximum SSSV in different calicivirus genera. For human enterovirus sequences, separate plots of synonymous variability were shown for species A–C. The positions of the CRE in 2C and the arrangement of NS (green) and S (red) gene reading frames in caliciviruses shown to scale on the x-axis, with the sub-genomic transcript shown in pink. A higher-resolution vector diagram of the figure is available from Supplementary Data (Figure S3).
predicted using the total dataset of sapoviruses, structurally related stem–loops were found on analysis of subsets of sapoviruses restricted to individual genogroups. For example, the five available genotype II (GII) sequences were predicted to form a stem–loop with a terminal unpaired region of 6 nt. The same structure was predicted for GIV (n = 5), but with several G:C/G:U semi-co-variant substitutions in the stem (data not shown).
Predicted stem–loops for GI and GV were similar, even though there was little or no sequence conservation of nucleotides forming the stem. In each case, however, the terminal loop sequence, UUC(G/A)U was highly conserved between genogroups, an observation also consistent with the identity of this region between MNV and human viruses in the Norovirus genus (UGCG).

Analysis of the 400-base fragment at the NS/S junction of genogroup II human noroviruses in its anti-sense orientation did not predict RNA secondary structures in the same region as found in other calicivirus genera. However, a stem–loop comparable in size and containing the same UGCG tetraloop as MNV could potentially form, and was the most energetically favoured structure predicted in separate analysis using individual sequences with MFOLD (data not shown). The potential additional U:A pairing at the base of the stem (Figure 6) was not predicted because the A base-paired downstream as part of another stem–loop. To investigate whether other human norovirus variants formed structures comparable to those of genogroup I and MNV, MFOLD was used to predict secondary structures in sequences of the available genogroup I sequences. For these sequences, a more stable stem–loop was predicted with an uninterrupted duplex stem of 11 bp, and a terminal loop containing the UGCG unpaired terminal loop (Figure 6).

Despite the lack of comparative sequence data for the beco/naboviruses, similarly positioned although structurally different stem–loops were found in the Newbury1 and NB genogroups, both with a terminal CAUGU pentanucleotide terminal sequence.

Despite the diversity of structures between genera, there was a remarkably conserved positional relationship between the 5' limit of the predicted stem–loops and the start of the anti-genomic template for the sg transcript (boxed). Although the start of the sg transcript of beco/naboviruses has not yet been mapped experimentally, the boxed region depicted starts with the canonical CACU sequence typical of the 5' ends of transcripts in other calicivirus genera. Observations that first 12 bases of the proposed transcript were an exact repeat of the first 12 bases at the 5' end of the beco/nabovirus genome, and the identity of 17 from 22 bases before the start codon of the putative sg RNA (sgRNA), further supports this proposed assignment. For all five calicivirus genera, there was a gap (invariably of 6 nt) that was predicted to be unpaired in each virus group. This positional relationship was shared between genera despite the lack of any obvious sequence homology of the six unpaired bases, nor between sequences or structural features of the upstream stem–loops. It likely reflects some commonality in the mechanism of transcription initiation of the sgRNA, perhaps also reflected in the high degree of sequence conservation of the initial 4–6 bases of the sgRNA, and the occurrence of repeated sequences at the start of the genomic RNA in all five genera.

Conserved secondary structures were detected in the 3' ends of MNV, human noroviruses, vesiviruses and sapoviruses (Figure 5). Sequences from sapoviruses were highly divergent and aligned poorly in this region and were therefore excluded from analysis, as were beco/naboviruses because of lack of comparative sequence data. Similar problems with high diversity and problematic alignment were encountered for the human norovirus GII sequences which were highly divergent (~29%) in the ORF2/3 region (Table 1); analysis was therefore restricted.

Figure 6. Consensus RNA secondary structures upstream of the sub-genomic transcript (grey filled boxes; initiating anti-codons blocked) for the five calicivirus genera predicted by Alifold, shown in anti-sense orientation in a 3' to 5' left to right direction. Separate structure predictions were made for different human norovirus and sapovirus genogroups and for Newbury1- and NB-like beco/naboviruses because of their high sequence variability in this region (see text). The unpaired 6-base sequences found in all genera/groups between the predicted RNA structures and the start of the transcript are underlined.
to the 11 available sequences in the GII/4 subset. Alifold and PFOLD prediction algorithms detected a 3′ terminal hairpin in human norovirus sequences (GII/4) similar in position and size but with an entirely different primary nucleotide sequences to the previously reported RNA secondary structure in human norovirus GI [Figure 5C; (39)]. Terminal stem–loop structures were additionally detected in vesivirus and lagovirus sequences (Figure 5B and D), consistent with previous predictions (40). There were also a number of other upstream structures; particularly striking was the large predicted stem–loop between positions 7478 and 7554 in vesiviruses, associated with suppression of variability in the terminal coding region. This structure was distinct from the small stem–loop previously predicted immediately downstream of the frameshift site (at position 7314) between the capsid gene and ORF2 (41). It did however, co-localize precisely with the previously described cis-acting replication element in the ORF3 coding sequence of FCV (42) (see Discussion section)

MNV sequences showed evidence for two similarly positioned large stem–loops, including a terminal hairpin from positions 7330 to the end of the genome (Figure 7), and a large stem–loop of 164 bases centring around position 7239. The unpaired region in the MNV 3′ terminal stem–loop accommodates a variable number of inserted pyrimidines in many naturally occurring sequence variants of MNV without disrupting the overall structure (Figure 7).

Finally, a limited analysis of RNA secondary structure was carried out in the NS regions of MNV and vesiviruses in which further regions of marked SSV were detected around positions 2700 and 2400, respectively (Figure, 5E and F). In both cases, there was evidence for conserved secondary structures coinciding with the region of SSV. Most notably, the vesivirus sequences formed a long duplex stem bounding a large internal stem–loop and second double loop, a structural complex occupying nearly 350 nt.

Functional investigation of predicted RNA secondary structures in MNV

The recent development of a reverse genetic system for MNV (17) allowed RNA secondary structures described earlier to be functionally analysed. Substitutions were introduced into secondary structures predicted for the 5′ end of the genome (stem–loops starting at positions 8 and 29, SL8 and SL29), in the predicted anti-genomic structure upstream of the sgRNA transcript (SLa5045), and in the 3′ terminal hairpin (SL7330) to generate mutants m50, m51, m53 and m54, respectively (Figure 7). SL8, altered in the mutant m50 and SL29 (m51) and SL7330 (m54) have been drawn in their sense (genomic) orientation while the predicted RNA structure at the NS/S junction in MNV should be considered as an anti-sense structure (SLa5045, as altered in m53).

In these preliminary investigations, mutations introduced in all four regions disrupted structure in either orientation of the sequence (data not shown). To determine whether these alterations in the RNA stem–loops influenced infectivity or phenotype, virus recovery was attempted from the WT and mutant cDNA constructs (17) by transfection of cDNA constructs under the control of fowl-pox virus expressed T7 RNA polymerase [FPV-T7; (43)] into BHK cells which support genomic replication, assembly and release of infectious virions but not MNV infection. The yield of virus obtained after 24 h therefore reflects a single round of virus replication.

Virus yield from the m50 and m51 mutants showed relatively modest 15–21-fold reductions in virus titre after 24 h respectively, and in the case of m51, no effect on plaque size. In contrast, mutants m53 and m54 produce no detectable virus as determined by either TCID50 or plaque assay (log_{10} reductions of >2.9; Figure 8B). To determine whether it was disruption of the secondary structure or changes to the primary sequence that was responsible for the reduction in replication of the mutants, a further mutant, m53r was constructed that contained compensatory mutations at synonymous sites that restored RNA base pairings (Figure 7). Mutant m53r showed replication titres restored to close to wild-type levels, with log_{10} reductions in recovery of −0.62 (compared to an absence of replication of the original mutant m53). In all cases, western blot analysis of transfected cells demonstrated that the levels of the RdRp NS7 expressed during virus recovery was unaffected by the introduction of the mutations in m50, m51, m53 or m54 (Figure 8A).

DISCUSSION

Bioinformatic RNA secondary structure prediction

This study used a variety of established and newly developed RNA structure prediction methods to identify and characterize regions of secondary structure formation in each genus of the Caliciviridae. These approaches offer profound advantages over simple energy-minimizing algorithms such as MFOLD on single sequences used for previous RNA structure predictions in caliciviruses (40,38). The limitations of MFOLD and other energy-minimizing algorithms to predict RNA structure arise from difficulties in determining correct structures from a frequently wide range of alternative, similarly energetically favoured configurations. This problem is compounded by the observation that RNA may not even adopt the most energetically favoured structure after transcription (44,45), particularly if chaperoned by proteins (such as the pyrimidine tract-binding protein) into functionally active secondary and tertiary RNA structures that would otherwise not spontaneously form (46–49). Furthermore, the algorithms used by almost all RNA structure prediction methods cannot predict tertiary structure elements (pseudo-knots, kissing loops), yet these may contribute to the stability of secondary structures (50,51).

For all these reasons, we have been necessarily cautious and conservative in our structure predictions for caliciviruses. Predictions represent, in almost all cases, a consensus of several algorithmically independent methods that can exploit the increasing amount of comparative sequence data for each genus. Whole-genome sequences were initially analysed to localize sites and favoured
Figure 7. Upper panels: Alifold predictions of RNA secondary structures in 5', NS/S junction and 3' terminal region of MNV for sequences in sense (lower right quadrant), and anti-sense (upper left quadrant). Lower figure: predicted RNA secondary structures for the prototype MNV-1 sequence (NC_008311), showing positions of introduced substitutions in mutants m50, m51, m53 and m54 to disrupt secondary structure (filled and unfilled circles) and compensatory changes in m53r to restore pairings (unfilled and filled squares; see Legend). SL8, SL29 and SL7330 were drawn in their sense orientation; SLa5045 in anti-sense orientation (see text). The position of naturally occurring insertions of pyrimidines in the 3' terminal loop (SL7330) is indicated.
orientation of RNA structures using high-resolution thermodynamic scanning. Although RNA structure is clearly not the only cause of suppression of sequence variability at synonymous sites, occurring for example also in overlapping gene sequences (52), there was an impressive co-localization of the major sites of suppression of synonymous site variability and thermodynamically predicted structure, that occurred in homologous positions in different calicivirus genera. These methods also identified the CRE of enteroviruses, the existence of which is defined both genetically and biochemically, and which therefore forms an effective ‘positive control’ for the methods used.

Prediction of specific pairings within regions of suspected RNA structure formation used the comparative thermodynamic prediction algorithm, Alifold, in which secondary structure predictions from RNAFold were weighted by their phylogenetic conservation and occurrence of co-variant sites (53). These predictions were compared directly with a non-thermodynamic-based method (PFold), in which pairing rules and prediction of specific pairings were determined using a reconstructed evolutionary history and stochastic context-free grammar to give a probability distribution of structures (27). Both methods produced strikingly concordant predictions during the analysis of divergent sequence datasets (Table 1).

RNA structure predictions were consistent with published analyses based on thermodynamic folding of individual sequences (40,38,39). This includes predictions for 3' terminal hairpins in RHDV and FCV (40) and genogroup I noroviruses (39), a finding which we can generalize to all calicivirus groups and genera where suitable comparative data were available (Figure 5).
Although frequently variable in position and size, structures at the 5' end of the genome were a consistent feature within the first 150 bases of each analysed sequence alignment, again consistent with the position of a paired stem–loop found in group I noroviruses (38).

Notably, we also demonstrate the marked suppression of variability at synonymous sites which precisely coincides with predicted structures in the 5' end of the genome, the NS/S region and—to a lesser extent—the 3' end of the genome. SSSV would be expected wherever functions additional to encoding a single polypeptide occur, for example the presence of conserved binding motifs for cellular or viral proteins or overlapping (out-of-frame) alternative reading frames (ARFs). ARFs have been reported in sapovirus genogroups I and IV or V (54) of 161 aa or 155 aa (nt 5183–5665 or 5183–5647) respectively (but are absent from genogroup II) and in the Lagoviruses and Vesiviruses. Among noroviruses, MNV exhibits a 213 aa conserved ARF (35) in an analogous position (5069–5707 nt) and characterized by marked suppression of synonymous variation (ORF4, Figure 2B), while there is no comparable coding sequence in genogroups I and II. The beco/naboviruses also possess a similarly positioned +1 ARF of 87 amino acids conserved in all 31 sequences available for analysis (data not shown).

In the future, the availability of more sequences within the main genogroups of sapoviruses, genogroup I of noroviruses, sequences from the ocean virus group of vesiviruses and of beco/naboviruses should help complete the structure predictions for this virus family. Nevertheless, the data obtained here provides a valuable catalogue of bioinformatically well-supported RNA secondary structure predictions for future functional investigations in calicivirus cell culture models (FCV and MNV).

Role(s) of RNA secondary structure in calicivirus replication

It is likely that all positive-strand RNA viruses exhibit an underlying conservation of replication mechanisms, reflected in the caliciviruses for example in the common NS gene core and features of the RdRp (55). As a general phenomenon, positive-strand mammalian viruses use a wealth of RNA secondary structures to control or promote different steps in their replication cycles (20,56–59). In picornaviruses, CRE elements comprising stem–loops of varying lengths in different genome regions template the uridylylation of VPg protein (60), while 5' UTRs contain extensive RNA secondary structures with demonstrated roles in translation initiation and replication (61–67). Replication and control structures are increasingly recognized in flaviviruses, including the well-characterized IRES of HCV and pestiviruses (68–70), and secondary structures required for genome replication within NS5B and perhaps the core coding sequences of HCV (23–25), as well as in the 3'UTRs of vector-borne flaviviruses (71,72) and pestiviruses (73). It is therefore unsurprising to identify conserved concordantly predicted RNA structures in caliciviruses, and encouraging that functional analysis demonstrates an essential role in replication.

To date, our understanding of the RNA structures or sequences required for calicivirus translation and replication has been very limited. Previous reports have identified a number of host cell factors, including the poly-pyrimidine tract-binding protein and La autoantigen, which interact with the 5' and 3' extremities of the Norwalk virus genome (39,42). A role for these interactions which were observed in vitro has yet to be described, although our recent work demonstrated that the interaction of the poly-pyrimidine tract-binding protein with the FCV genome is required for efficient virus replication in a temperature-dependent manner (75). This fits with the hypothesis that it functions as an RNA chaperone aiding the folding of the viral RNA into a conformation required for efficient virus replication.

Calicivirus genomes have short UTRs preceding coding regions, only 5 and 4 nt in the case of the MNV genomic and sgRNAs, respectively. UTRs in picornaviruses and other plus strand RNA viruses are much larger and are known to interact with the cellular translation machinery and/or the viral replicase components to control translation and/or replication. Given the lack of such UTRs in calicivirus genomes, it is likely the stem–loops present within the coding region play similar roles. We have previously determined that caliciviruses use a novel protein-directed translation initiation mechanism which relies on the interaction of eIF4E with the VPg protein covalently linked to the 5' end of the viral genomic and sgRNA (76,77). It is possible that the stem–loop sequences within the 5' end of the coding regions contribute to viral translation through interactions with either canonical or non-canonical translation initiation factors to direct ribosome assembly and translation initiation. However, although associated with SSSV, the actual predicted structures were highly variable both between genera, and in the case of noroviruses, also between genogroups. Furthermore, disruption of the two predicted stem–loops in the 5' region of MNV showed only a limited effect on replication, with ~20 fold reduction in titre, and a reduced plaque size for m50, phenotypes, observations that do not indicate a critical role in translation initiation. Although the m50 and m51 mutants showed similar expression of NS7 (Figure 8), the positions of SL8 and SL29 immediately downstream of the ribosomal binding site may indicate a role in controlling the rate of translation processivity; potential roles in translation and RNA replication that underlie the observed reductions in virus titre of the mutants can be readily dissected in the future using the MNV system.

RNA structures at the 3' end of the genome were similarly variable in size between genogroups and genera, although each sequence set analysed showed evidence for phylogenetically conserved terminal stem–loops, consistent with previous predictions for individual sequences (40,39). Despite the variability between different genogroups within noroviruses [Figure 5; (39)], disruption of SL7330 (mutant m54) entirely destroyed its replication ability, implying an essential role of RNA structure and/or primary sequence, for example during the initiation of minus-strand replication.
Upstream from the 3′ terminal hairpin, MNV, vesivirus, norovirus GI/4 show evidence for further stem-loops. Although we have not attempted to assess the functional role of the (extremely large) penultimate MNV RNA structure, previously published data reveal a potential role for stem–loops in the analogous position in FCV (42). While the FCV ORF3 protein is required for the generation of infectious FCV virions, the underlying RNA sequence also contains an essential cis-acting role for FCV replication, mapped by deletion analysis to a 5′ boundary between 7482 and 7512, and a 3′ boundary between 7542 and 7572 (using numbering in the current study). These experimentally determined limits align almost exactly to the stem–loop predicted for FCV sequences, and the associated region of SSSV (Figure 5B), strongly suggesting that the function of the replication element is mediated through interactions with a structured RNA sequence.

Overall, the most consistently detected RNA secondary structures were found around the NS/S junction within each genus of noroviruses (Figures 5 and 6). Unlike SL7330, mutagenesis studies in MNV were able to demonstrate a functional role for RNA structure rather than primary sequence; restoration of replication ability was observed for the m53r mutant containing compensatory changes that restored base pairing in the duplex region of the stem–loop (Figures 7 and 8).

Three features of the MNV structure and homologues in other calicivirus genera provide compelling evidence that they are components of a sg transcriptional promoter; (i) their predicted favoured location on the anti-genomic strand, (ii) their highly conserved positional relationship with the sg transcript and (iii) the unpaired nature of the 6–nt spacer between the structure and the sg transcript (actual or inferred). The stem–loops may for example interact directly with the viral RdRp complex to initiate transcription from a site made accessible by the unpaired spacer. The structures may mediate transcriptional control associated with the switch from genome replication to virus assembly and release through the synthesis of encoded structural proteins. The common features of the structure and location suggest that these viruses all utilize a conserved mechanism for initiation of the sg transcript.

The RNA structure prediction for lagoviruses (Figure 6) is moreover entirely consistent with the only published functional analysis of the sg promoter in caliciviruses (78). In vitro transcription using a recombinant RHDV RdRp from anti-genomic template sequences mapped the minimal promoter region to between positions –40 and –60 (between positions 5266 and 5246) and position +1 (i.e. 5296, the first base of the transcript) for correct initiation of sgRNA synthesis. This upstream boundary coincides precisely with the 5′ base of the predicted stem–loop on the anti-genomic strand of lagoviruses (Figure 6). These data suggest that the stem–loop and downstream 6 base unpaired region may be necessary and sufficient for sgRNA transcription in vitro in lagoviruses and perhaps in other genera too. Our mutational analysis of the stem–loop in MNV indeed demonstrates that it is critical for replication (Figure 7). Further studies are underway to characterize the nature of the defect in the m53 virus.

In summary, this study represents the first attempt to comprehensively map RNA secondary structures in caliciviruses. The development of more effective bioinformatic prediction methods that exploit the increasing amount of comparative sequence data for the different genera and genogroups of viruses in this family, combined with the development of experimental systems to study calicivirus replication in vitro provides the starting materials for further studies of the mechanisms of and molecular interactions in calicivirus replication.
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