Distinguishing the Roles of Topoisomerases I and II in Relief of Transcription-Induced Torsional Stress in Yeast rRNA Genes
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To better understand the role of topoisomerase activity in relieving transcription-induced supercoiling, yeast genes encoding rRNA were visualized in cells deficient for either or both of the two major topoisomerases. In the absence of both topoisomerase I (Top1) and topoisomerase II (Top2) activity, processivity was severely impaired and polymericases were unable to transcribe through the 6.7-kb gene. Loss of Top1 resulted in increased negative superhelical density (two to six times the normal value) in a significant subset of rRNA genes, as manifested by regions of DNA template melting. The observed DNA bubbles were not R-loops and did not block polymerase movement, since genes with DNA template melting showed no evidence of slowed elongation. Inactivation of Top2, however, resulted in characteristic signs of slowed elongation in rRNA genes, suggesting that Top2 alleviates transcription-induced positive supercoiling. Together, the data indicate that torsion in front of and behind transcribing polymerase I has different consequences and different resolution. Positive torsion in front of the polymerase induces supercoiling (writhe) and is largely resolved by Top2. Negative torsion behind the polymerase induces DNA strand separation and is largely resolved by Top1.

Eukaryotic cells have two major topoisomerases that are capable of efficiently relaxing torsionally stressed DNA: topoisomerase I (Top1) and topoisomerase II (Top2) (75). They are both abundant nuclear proteins with roles in many DNA activities, and since they both can relax positive and negative torsion, they can substitute for each other in most situations (11, 28, 29, 35, 62). In spite of this partial functional redundancy, they control DNA topology by very different mechanisms (65). Top1 (a type IB topoisomerase) makes transient single-strand breaks in torsionally stressed DNA (recognizing the torque in such DNA), followed by controlled rotation of the nicked strand and rescaling of the DNA in a more relaxed state (38). Top2 (a type IIA topoisomerase) recognizes juxtaposed DNA helices (as in supercoiled DNA) and passes one DNA helix through the other by making a transient double-strand break in one of the helices (61, 65). Top2 plays an essential role during S phase because it is required to decatenate chromosomes, thus preventing their breakage and loss during cytokinesis (5). Yeast cells without Top1 grow very well, whereas cells lacking functional Top2 remain viable if they are prevented from completing mitosis (5, 11, 28, 29, 70).

Topoisomerase activity is required during DNA synthesis due to transcription-induced supercoiling of DNA, as originally described in the “twin-domain” model (46, 76). During transcription, DNA turns on its axis relative to the polymerase, with one turn of the helix every ~10.5 bp (due to the twist of Watson-Crick DNA). If there are no topoisomerases to allow the DNA to rotate relative to the polymerase, the DNA becomes overwound (positive torsion) ahead of the polymerase and underwound (negative torsion) behind it. Polymerase I (Pol I) transcription of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is very active and therefore topologically demanding (11, 66). Saccharomyces cerevisiae has 150 to 200 copies of the 35S rRNA gene in one array, which account for ~60% of total transcription in growing cells (77). These genes have high reinitiation rates and generate long, bulky transcripts on multiple, tandemly linked genes—conditions that demand efficient topoisomerase activity to alleviate transcription-induced torsion.

Both Top1 and Top2 are found in nucleoli and are associated with initiation-competent Pol I (7, 22). They play partly redundant roles in Pol I transcription (66) and in suppression of mitotic recombination in rDNA (17). Top1, which is concentrated in nucleoli and has target sites in rDNA (9, 53, 81), has additional nucleolar roles including ribosome biogenesis (44) and rRNA silencing (13, 68). Synthetic lethal genetic interactions have been reported between yeast TOPI and several genes encoding proteins involved in rRNA transcription (6, 14, 26, 30, 78). In spite of these multiple indications for a role of Top1 in the nucleolus, pre-rRNA transcription is only slightly compromised in S. cerevisiae strains lacking Top1 as long as Top2 is present (11, 12, 23). There is, however, evidence that the rDNA has an unusual topology in top1Δ cells because it becomes highly recombinogenic (17), refractory to analysis by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (18) and unusually accessible to psoralen cross-linking (15).

In yeast deficient for Top1 and Top2 activity, Pol I is able to transcribe short reporter genes but is unable to elongate through the 6.7-kb rRNA gene (11, 23, 66). This is presumably due to extreme positive torsion, which resists strand separation.
and can twist the DNA into positive supercoils. In the absence of only Top1, plasmid templates may be subject to high negative torsion when transcribed (12). Negative torsion can be relieved either by negative supercoiling or by unwinding of the DNA duplex, suggesting that the helix might become unwound in short regions behind polymerases (12) as has indeed been shown to occur in the C-myc promoter (39, 40). Studies on *Escherichia coli* strains deficient in topoisomerases (21) have shown that negative torsion is conducive to hybrid formation between unwound template DNA and nascent RNA. Such DNA:RNA hybrids together with the displaced nontemplate strand are called R-loops. R-loops block transcription elongation (32, 71) and can twist the DNA into positive supercoils. In the absence of DNA strand are called R-loops. R-loops block transcription elongation (32, 71), which block elongation (23, 32, 71). These results provide insight into the abnormal DNA topology and genetic instability found in yeast rDNA in topoisomerase-deficient strains.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and growth conditions.** Yeast strains were grown in YPD at 30°C unless otherwise indicated. Yeast strains used were control strains JS772 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ2 ura3Δ0), BY4741 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ2 met15Δ0 trpl40Δ0) (10), and BY160 (BY4741 but Top-RFA1::HIS3) (the present study). TOP1 deletion strains were SY84 (BY4741 but top1Δ:KanM4) (79), W1854-2A (W303 but top1Δ::LEU2 RADS) (kindly provided by Rodney Rothstein), and YB168 (BY4741 but top1Δ::KanM4 Top-RFA1::HIS3) (the present study). Control and experimental strains for RNase H under- and overexpression were YAEH271 [BY4741 but F*adh2-HIS4-TOP1 (KanM6)], YAEH275 [BY4741 but F*adh2-HA-TOP1 (KanM6), mla20Δ (MalM6) mla1Δ (HphM6)], YAEH267 [BY4741 but top1Δ (HphM6) pGAL1], and YAEH269 [BY4741 but top1Δ (HphM6) pGAL1-RNH201] (23). The *TOP2* temperature-sensitive (ts) strain was HT2C1A2 (MATa his7 ura1 top1-2°) (kindly provided by Dan Burke). The *TOP1* mutant strain was W1477-5B (MATa leu3-3,112 trp1 can1-100 ura3-1 ade2-1 his1-11.15 top1-1 his2-4°) (kindly provided by Rodney Rothstein).

**ChIP.** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIPs) were performed as previously described (19). Exponentially growing cells (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] of ~1.0) in 100 ml of YPD medium were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 20 min and then washed twice with 10 ml of cold TBS (300 mM NaCl, 40 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5]). The cell pellets were resuspended in 0.6 ml of FA-lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, 140 mM NaCl, 1.0% Triton X-100, 1.0 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate) containing protease inhibitors and then disrupted in a FastPrep FP120 machine (Bio 101/Thermosavant) at 4°C (shaking 7 x for 45 s each with 1 min on ice in between shakings). The cell extracts were collected into microfuge tubes, sonicated with eight 10-s pulses (30% output, 90% duty cycle) on ice, and then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatants were transferred to a new microfuge tube, and the protein content was quantified by using a Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). For DNA immunoprecipitations (IPs), equal protein content (1.25 to 1.5 mg) per extract was incubated in 0.6-ml microfuge tubes with FA-lysis 140 solution in a total volume of 400 μl. For the input chromatin controls, 1/10 of the extract volume used for IP was processed independently without addition. An anti-protein A antibody was added to the IP samples (Sigma; 1:50,000 dilution), and the tubes were rotated overnight at 4°C. One-tenth of the chromatin extract volume used for IP was used as the input control extract. The IP supernatants were added to new 0.6-ml microfuge tubes containing 60 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads (50% slurry in FA-140 buffer) and rotated for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were then washed four times with 0.5 ml of FA-lysis 140 buffer, four times with 0.5 ml of FA-lysis 500 buffer (50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 1.0% Triton X-100, 1.0 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), and four times with 0.5 ml of LiCl detergent wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.2], 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate). The immunoprecipitated material was eluted twice with 75 μl of elution buffer (5X TE + 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate) and then incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse the cross-links. DNA was purified by using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen). PCR conditions were as follows: 92°C for 3 min (1 cycle); 92°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s (22 cycles for rDNA or 29 cycles for the ChIP) followed: 92°C for 3 min (1 cycle); 92°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s for the rRNA transcript), 52°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s (22 cycles for rDNA or 29 cycles for *ACTI*); and 72°C for 5 min (1 cycle). Reactions were run on a 1.5% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. The band intensities were quantified by using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics) and used to determine IP/input ratios for each primer in both cell types. IP/input ratios were normalized to the rDNA5 primer signal within each data set. The average of normalized values from three independent experiments was calculated with the standard errors.

The ChIP primers were as follows. Primer sets were as follows: rDNA1 (nu-cleotide [nt] positions 3 to 213 relative to +1 for the rRNA transcript), 5′-CGGAAACACGAGTAAAGGCATGG-3′ and 5′-CACCTTCTGGAAATTTTCTCCTC-3′; rDNA2 (positions 1061 to 1274), 5′-CGGGGAATT GAGTTTGATCCCGGCGG-3′ and 3′-CGGTCTGCTGGCAACAGGACTTGCCC-3′; rDNA3 (positions 2051 to 2250), 5′-GGTTGCTAGTATTCTTCCG-3′ and 3′-GTCTCACCTCTGAGCAGCCGGAG-3′; rDNA4 (positions 3001 to 3214), 5′-GCCGGTTTGGATCTCTTCTCCTC-3′ and 3′-CCGATTACGTTAAGCTGCATC-3′; rDNA5 (positions 3867 to 4074), 5′-GGGTTAATGAATGTTCTCGGCATC-3′ and 3′-GCGATAGTTCACCATTTCTGCCGGT-3′; rDNA6 (positions 5007 to 5224), 5′-CAGCATCTCGGTGCTTCCGGTGC-3′ and 3′-CTGCAACACCTACCTCGTAC-3′; rDNA7 (positions 5851 to 6045), 5′-CAGTGGGAGATTGGGTCGCGG-3′ and 3′-CCTCTAGGCTAAAATCGCGGAG-3′; before a 1/10 volume of 0.1 M sucrose 10% formalin solution (pH 8.7 to 8.8) was added. Aliquots (~70 μl) were centrifuged onto carbon-coated copper electron microscopy grids at 9,000 rpm for 5 min in an HB-4 rotor. The grids were stained with phosphotungstic acid and uranyl acetate and viewed in a JEOL 100 CX transmission electron microscope. For some experiments (e.g., see Fig. 4A), method 2 chromatin spreading conditions were used (57), which includes adding 11 mM KCl to the lysing buffer.

For in vivo fixation prior to EM visualization, formaldehyde (Sigma; 37% [wt/vol]) was added to yeast cultures at a concentration of 1% for 6 min prior to cell lysis for chromatin spreading. When used, mycophenolic acid (MPA; Sigma) was added to yeast cultures at a concentration of 50 μM/ml for 1 to 4 h prior to cell lysis. For analysis of polymerase density or topo-bubble frequency in gene populations, all examples of active rRNA gene regions seen on multiple EM grids from at least two separate experiments were photographed, mapped, and included in the compilation. For measurement of bubble frequency, all active rRNA genes that exhibited a polymerase-free gap in which a bubble might occur were included, corresponding to the great majority of dispersed active genes. Gene and topo-bubble lengths were measured by using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

**Cellular contents were allowed to disperse at room temperature for 20 to 60 min prior to fixation.**
RESULTS

Unusual appearance of rRNA genes in top1Δ cells. EM visualization of nucleolar chromatin dispersed by Miller spreading allows quantitative analysis of many rRNA genes from multiple nucleoli (25, 57), thus combining the advantages of studying both individual genes and gene populations. The method was used to analyze rDNA from control yeast strains and strains lacking Top1 (top1Δ). These genes were robustly transcribed in top1Δ cells (Fig. 1A), as expected given the near-normal growth rate of top1Δ. In a companion study, we found that rRNA genes from top1Δ had, on average, somewhat more polymerases per gene compared to the control strain (examples in Fig. 1B) and more polymerase pileups than the control strain (23). In the present study we focus on the unusual bubble structures seen within the template rDNA in a subset of rRNA genes in top1Δ. These bubbles occurred in some but not all polymerase-free gaps in active genes and appeared to be regions of DNA strand separation. Inspection of the genes in Fig. 1A revealed 7 examples of such bubbles (arrows 1 to 7) in seven different genes from this field of ~20 active genes. Additional examples are shown in Fig. 1C (arrows 8 to 10), as are enlarged views of bubbles. Such bubbles occurred in up to ~30% of active genes from top1Δ cells. The bubbles were seen in rRNA genes from four Top1-deficient strains (Table 1) but were not seen in Top2-deficient cells (below) and have not been seen in our previous EM analyses of many different yeast strains. We call these structures “topo-bubbles.”

Topo-bubbles in rDNA in top1Δ cells are not replication bubbles. Newly initiated DNA replication events also appear as bubbles; we sought to determine whether these could be distinguished from topo-bubbles in the rDNA. There is an origin of replication in each nontranscribed spacer (NTS) in all ~150 rDNA repeats (r-ARS in Fig. 2A); ~20% of these fire during each S phase (45). Replication bubbles seen shortly after firing occurred at the expected position in the NTS (63), shown in the upper EM image in Fig. 2A from top1Δ cells. For comparison, a topo-bubble in the 5’ region of an rRNA gene is shown in the lower EM image in Fig. 2A (enlarged image in inset). A set of neighboring replication and topo-bubbles is shown in Fig. 2B, as are examples of longer replication and topo-bubbles (Fig. 2C). The two types of bubbles differed by several criteria. First, replication bubbles typically had a particle at each end, corresponding to the replication machinery, whereas topo-bubbles were only rarely bracketed by particles, which could be identified as RNA polymerases (see below). Second, topo-bubbles were within the active genes, whereas short replication bubbles originated in the NTS. Third, replication bubbles ranged widely in length, from very small to 8 to 9 µm, corresponding to 27 to 30 kb or ~3 rDNA repeats (Fig. 2D). Topo-bubbles were on average much shorter, 0.12 µm or
~420 nt, with the largest seen being ~2.1 kb (Fig. 2D and Table 1). Lastly, multiple topo-bubbles (two to four) occurred in 27% of bubble-containing rRNA genes, whereas only a single replication origin is present per repeat. We conclude that the DNA bubbles seen in active genes in top1 strains are not replication intermediates.

Topo-bubbles are not R-loops, but their appearance is dependent on RNase H activity. Since R-loops might appear as bubbles in transcribed DNA (32), we addressed the relationship of topo-bubbles to transcriptional R-loops. R-loops are increased in DNA that is under negative torsional stress, including rRNA genes in E. coli top1 mutants (21, 50) or in yeast cells lacking both Top1 and RNase H (23). RNase H removes R-loops by digesting the RNA strand of RNA-DNA hybrids (16). If topo-bubbles are R-loops, we anticipated that they would be stabilized when RNase H is inhibited in Top1-depleted strains, leading to increased numbers and/or length of bubbles, and removed when RNase H is overexpressed. This was found not to be the case.

To decrease RNase H activity, it was necessary to inhibit the expression of both RNase H1 and RNase H2, which have overlapping functions (1). In rnh1 rnh201 double-mutant strains, RNase H activity is decreased to a small percentage of normal (1, 23). Growth and rRNA synthesis are not clearly affected, but the combined mutation is synthetic lethal with top1 (23). We analyzed rRNA genes in PGAL-TOP1 rnh1 rnh201 strains following depletion of Top1 by transfer from galactose to glucose medium for 6 h. This is 4 h prior to

### Table 1. Topo-bubble length in different top1Δ yeast strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Avg (μm)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range (μm)</th>
<th>No. of bubbles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined top1Δ strains</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.023–0.635</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual top1Δ strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY84 (top1Δ)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.035–0.635</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1854-2A (top1Δ)</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.024–0.498</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YB168 (top1Δ Top-RFA1)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.047–0.373</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAEH267 (top1Δ)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.042–0.271</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1477-5B (top1Δ top2-ts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23°C</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.023–0.378</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35°C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ranges in parentheses were derived by assuming an average DNA compaction of 3,370 bp per 1 μm of rRNA gene length in EM spreads, which was determined empirically from rRNA genes measured for this study. This is somewhat compacted from B-form DNA (2,940 bp/μm). NA, not applicable because no topo-bubbles were seen in rRNA genes in this strain after inactivation of Top2 at 35°C for 2 to 4 h.*

---

FIG. 2. Topo-bubbles can be distinguished from replication bubbles. (A) Schematic of the tandem rDNA repeat showing position of the origin of replication (r-ARS) and replication fork barrier (RFB) in the nontranscribed spacer (NTS). Shown below this, and aligned in terms of gene-spacer-gene position, are rDNA regions from top1Δ cells displaying either a replication bubble in the NTS (bracketed arrows) or a topo-bubble within and near the 5′ end of the 35S rRNA gene (arrow). Bubbles are enlarged in inset. Bar, 0.5 μm. (B) Examples of replication and topo-bubbles from nearby regions on an EM grid. (C) Examples of longer bubbles of both types. Vertical arrows indicate bubble ends. Replication bubble (top) is ~10 kb long. Topo-bubbles (bottom) are ~1.7 and ~1.35 kb. (D) Plot of bubble length distribution for 147 topo-bubbles and 20 replication bubbles, from SY84 and W1854-2A top1Δ strains.
manifestation of a growth defect and 8 to 10 h prior to growth inhibition (23), thus avoiding any indirect effects of poor growth rate. For comparison, TOP1 expression was similarly repressed for 6 h in a strain expressing wild-type (WT) RNase H levels. As shown in Fig. 3A, topo-bubble frequency decreased by more than 90% in cells deficient for both RNase H and Top1 compared to cells deficient for Top1 only. Analysis of individual rRNA genes revealed 23 bubbles in 376 genes (6.1%) after 6 h depletion of Top1, whereas only 2 of 513 genes displayed bubbles (0.4%) in mΔ cells after 6 h Top1 depletion (Fig. 3A; examples in Fig. 3B). In the presence of Top1 (growth in galactose), no topo-bubbles were seen in either strain (not shown). The higher topo-bubble frequency in top1Δ strains (~30% of genes) compared to GAL::TOP1 strains grown for 6 h on glucose (6% of genes) may reflect the different degrees of Top1 depletion.

We sought to determine whether topo-bubbles were reduced upon overexpression of RNase H, as might be expected for R-loops. To increase RNase H activity, RNase H2 overexpression was achieved by Gal-induction of RNH201 in strain YAEH267 (top1Δ pGAL-RNH201), a treatment shown to increase digestion of telomeric R-loops in yeast (48). Western blotting confirmed the robust induction of RNH201 by galactose in strain YAEH267, which is also top1Δ (23). We measured topo-bubble length and frequency in YAEH269 after growth in galactose and compared these parameters to top1Δ cells in the same conditions. There was no significant difference in the frequency (30 versus 31% of genes) or average length (0.12 versus 0.13 μm) of topo-bubbles in top1Δ cells in the presence of endogenous versus overexpressed levels of RNase H (Fig. 3A; examples in Fig. 3C).

Combined data indicate that topo-bubbles are not R-loops, since they were not stabilized when RNase H was deficient and they were not destabilized when RNase H was overexpressed. Rather, efficient formation of topo-bubbles was dependent on RNase H activity (Fig. 3A). We propose that in the absence of Top1, digestion of R-loops is needed to clear blocks to transcription elongation and thus allow the buildup of torsional stress that generates topo-bubbles (see model in Fig. 8).

Evidence that topo-bubbles are regions of DNA helix melting. Since topo-bubbles did not have characteristics of replication bubbles or R-loops, we considered that they might consist of unwound single-stranded DNA. Their appearance in EM images supported this conclusion, since both sides of the topo-bubbles had a similar form, which is expected of single-stranded DNA bubbles but not of R-loops. Also, there was no visible evidence of RNA involvement in the bubbles; that is, no RNA attached to a nearby polymerase could be seen feeding into them, and no free RNA tails emerged (Fig. 4A). The presumed single-stranded DNA within the topo-bubbles gen-

---

**FIG. 3.** Effect of RNase H under- and overexpression on topo-bubbles in Top1-deficient cells. (A) The left plot shows the topo-bubble frequency in Top1-deficient cells in conditions of RNase H under- and overexpression. For RNase H underexpression, strain YAEH275 (PGAL-TOP1 mΔ m201Δ) was switched from galactose to glucose medium for 6 h to deplete Top1. Its control strain was YAEH273 (PGAL-TOP1), which was also depleted of Top1 for 6 h. For RNase H overexpression, strain YAEH269 (top1Δ pGAL-RNH201) was grown in galactose medium for expression of RNH201. Its control strain YAEH267 (top1Δ pGAL) was similarly grown. Topo-bubble frequency in experimental strains (i.e., Top1-deficient and plus or minus RNase H) is shown as a fraction of the frequency in Top1-

deficient control strains; (see the text for the frequency of bubble-containing genes). The bar graph on the right shows the average topo-bubble length (error bars indicate the standard deviation) in YAEH267 compared to YAEH269 using same gene populations used for topo-bubble frequency. (B) Representative rRNA genes from RNase H underexpression experiment in panel A, showing examples with (+) and without (−) topo-bubbles from both strains. Topo-bubbles within genes are enlarged in insets (arrows). (C) Same as panel B for RNase H overexpression experiment.
gerally appeared similar to the flanking double-stranded DNA (Fig. 4A, left panel), but typical Miller-spread conditions are known to remove some nucleic-acid-bound proteins. When we used more physiological spreading conditions (56, 57), both strands of DNA within the bubble still appeared similar, but were now thicker than the flanking DNA (Fig. 4A right). Single-stranded DNA forms complexes with ssDNA binding proteins and has been shown to appear thicker than a DNA helix in EM preparations (73).

Mapping of topo-bubble positions revealed that they occurred preferentially in AT-rich regions of the rRNA gene (Fig. 4B and C). AT-rich helices have fewer hydrogen bonds than GC-rich helices and thus are more susceptible to thermal and torsional denaturation (54). Bubble positions were mapped for 101 genes from four different *top1Δ/H9004* strains with similar results; combined data are shown in Fig. 4B, and representative genes in Fig. 4C. The three most frequently melted DNA regions mapped within the first half of the gene and overlapped substantially with the three most AT-rich regions (Fig. 4B). Although the nontranscribed spacer is AT-rich, bubbles were not seen in this region, strengthening the association between topo-bubbles and transcription-induced torsional stress. A gene with two topo-bubbles (black brackets) in AT-rich regions is shown at the top of Fig. 4C, which also shows

**Fig. 4.** Topo-bubbles appear as regions of DNA helix melting and preferentially occur in AT-rich regions of the gene. (A) Shown at left are portions of four active rRNA genes from *top1Δ* with topo-bubbles showing typical characteristics: both sides of the bubble appear similar and with no evidence of RNA involvement, even when immediately juxtaposed to RNA polymerases. At the right are portions of two active rRNA genes from *top1Δ* obtained using modified Miller spreading conditions (KCl concentration increased by 11 mM) (57). In these conditions, DNA in topo-bubbles appears thicker than flanking double-stranded DNA (see the text). Bar, 0.1 μm. (B) Schematic of rDNA repeat at top is aligned with a graph showing the percent AT content across the repeat (middle) and a plot of bubble position across the repeat (bottom). The latter was derived by normalizing gene length to 100 U, dividing each gene into 50 bins of 2 U each, and scoring each bin across the gene as positive or negative (for denatured DNA at that position) for each bubble-containing gene analyzed. *n* = 101 genes. (C) Gene schematic is aligned with EM of an active rRNA gene with two topo-bubbles (bracketed black arrows). Double-headed red arrows indicate nearest-neighbor RNA polymerases up- and downstream of both bubbles. This gene and 35 additional genes are schematized below the EM image, with each gene normalized in length and with topo-bubble occurrence shown. Nearest-neighbor polymerases up- and downstream of each bubble are shown as red ovals. Shaded bars indicate the three most AT-rich regions, as shown in panel B.
Topo-bubbles do not appear to interfere with Pol I elongation. We analyzed the position of polymerases flanking topo-bubbles (Fig. 5) to determined whether topo-bubbles impair elongation, such as occurs with cotranscriptional R-loops (23, 32, 71). For example, polymerases upstream of the bubble might be closer in distance and/or denser in distribution if elongation is impaired, and polymerases downstream might be farther away and lower in density, as they run off the gene. We measured the distance of the nearest upstream polymerase to the upstream fork of the bubble and the distance of the nearest downstream polymerase to the downstream fork for 85 topo-bubbles. As diagrammed in Fig. 5A, these average distances were similar for the nearest upstream and downstream polymerases, (ca. 225 to 250 nt), with no evidence that polymerases were running up to the bubble or running off downstream of the bubble. Some of the nearest polymerases were immediately apposed to the fork, indicating that such placement is topologically possible but is not a necessity, e.g., as a physical barrier to stop DNA unwinding. In general, there was a broad range in the distances between nearest polymerases and topo-bubbles, as shown in Fig. 5B to D. The plots show lack of correlation between bubble length and nearest polymerase distance and lack of correlation between the nearest 5’ and 3’ polymerase for a bubble. These data provide no evidence that polymerases are either blocked upstream or run off downstream of bubbles and also no evidence that neighboring polymerase position is affected by the degree of topological stress (as measured by the degree of DNA melting) and thus no evidence that elongation is affected.

Pileups of closely spaced polymerases are also indicative of slowed elongation, occurring with a certain frequency in WT strains and increasing in elongation mutants (23, 82). Visual inspection of all bubble-containing rRNA genes from the different top1Δ strains indicated that pileups were no more frequent or longer in these genes than in genes from WT cells. Furthermore, the observed pileups were not preferentially upstream of bubbles. This visual inspection was confirmed by quantitative analysis of genes from PGAL-TOP1 cells after 6 h Top1 depletion (same population analyzed in Fig. 3A and B). That is, pileups of five or more polymerases were not more frequent in bubble-containing genes in Top1-depleted cells (occurring in 22% of genes) than in genes in the control strain not depleted of Top1 (occurring in 37% of genes).

In conclusion, analyses of nearest up- and downstream polymerases as well as polymerase pileups in bubble-containing genes provided no evidence that elongation was slowed by negative torsional stress sufficient to melt the template DNA.

Topo-bubbles are not seen when elongation rate is slowed in top1Δ cells. Bubble length was similar in different top1Δ strains and in a top1Δ top2-ts strain at permissive temperature (Table 1, Fig. 3A, and Fig. 5A). Based on correlation of the bubble position with AT-richness of the rDNA and the lack of correlation of bubble length with polymerase-free gap size (Fig. 5E), it appears that DNA base composition strongly influences the extent of template melting seen in chromatin spreads. No conditions were noted that influenced bubble length, but three conditions were found that significantly decreased bubble frequency, all of which are predicted to slow Pol I elongation: depletion of Top1 in the absence of RNase H (Fig. 3A) (23), inactivation of Top2 in the absence of Top1 (see below), and treatment of top1Δ cells with MPA, which decreases intracellular guanine nucleotide concentration, thus slowing elongation (6, 67). In the latter experiment, 1-h treatment of SY84 top1Δ cells with MPA resulted in a 8.5-fold decrease in topo-bubbles, from 10.5% of genes with topo-bubbles in untreated cells (n = 95) to 1.6% in treated cells (n = 184). In addition to slowing elongation, these three conditions also increased polymerase density on rRNA genes (23) (Fig. 7 and data not shown). The absence of topo-bubbles may be due to fewer polymerase-free gaps and/or to slowed polymerase movement such that negative torsional stress is less likely to build up. These results support our hypothesis that topo-bubbles represent the buildup of negative torsional stress in polymerase-free gaps behind elongating polymerases.
Single-stranded gene regions exist in vivo in rRNA genes in top1Δ cells. Unconstrained negative topological stress in DNA can be absorbed either by DNA unwinding or by negative supercoiling (Fig. 6A). These inter-convertible configurations have the same linking number (Lk) but differ in twist and writhe. (“Twist” refers to the number of double helical turns [one per 10.5 bp in B-form DNA], and “writhe,” or supercoiling, refers to the number of times the double helix crosses over itself when projected in two dimensions [65]). Since the melted form is favored by conditions encountered in Miller chromatin spreading, i.e., by low ionic strength and by dispersing DNA into a more linear configuration, we sought to determine whether there was evidence that the single-stranded form, rather than the supercoiled form, exists in vivo. We reasoned that if melted DNA exists it is likely to be bound by RPA, which is the most abundant single-stranded DNA-binding protein in eukaryotic cells (34), is present in nucleoli (33), and is expected to quickly bind any ssDNA that forms in the cell (20). This was tested by ChIP analysis using TAP-tagged Rfa1 (TAP-RFA1), the large subunit of the RPA complex, in control and top1Δ strains. EM analysis confirmed topo-bubble occurrence at a typical frequency and length in this top1Δ strain (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 6B, top1Δ cells showed a reproducible increase in Rfa1 bound to transcribed regions of the rDNA compared to control cells, but no increase was seen over the rDNA spacer or the ACT1 gene.

In another approach to determine whether topo-bubbles exist in cells, chromatin spreading was performed following in vivo formaldehyde fixation, as is done in standard ChIP procedures and is generally accepted to capture in vivo interactions (41). Although this fixation rendered chromatin much more difficult to spread, topo-bubbles could be seen in active rRNA genes in top1Δ cells, with two examples shown in Fig. 6C. Thus, based on the increased presence of RPA (Fig. 6B) and the visualization of topo-bubbles after in vivo formaldehyde fixation (Fig. 6C), we conclude that at least some of the torsional stress is absorbed by DNA denaturation in vivo.

When Top2 is inactivated, rRNA genes exhibit signs of positive topological stress. Full-length rRNA is not made if Top1 and Top2 activity are both absent, but there are no major rRNA synthesis defects if either is inactivated individually (11, 23, 66). We visualized rDNA genes after Top2 inactivation to compare their features to those from top1Δ cells. Hundreds of rRNA genes were inspected from top2-ts cells grown for 2 to 3 h at 35°C or for 2 to 4 h at 35°C in the presence of nocodazole to prevent completion of mitosis (29). No topo-bubbles were observed, even in genes with polymerase-free gaps. (A control experiment showed the appearance of topo-bubbles in top1Δ cells at 35°C [data not shown]). Instead, inactivation of Top2 resulted in increased polymerase density on active genes, a finding consistent with slowed elongation, as seen in the nucleolar overviews in Fig. 7A and B comparing genes from permissive (23°C) to Top2-inactivated cells (35°C). Although genes at both temperatures display many nascent transcripts, note the increase in Pol I density after Top2 inactivation as shown by the dense polymerase “backbones” along the rDNA at 35°C (Fig. 7B). This visual impression was confirmed by counting polymerases on >100 active rRNA genes from both permissive and restrictive temperatures (inset Fig. 7A), with results showing a 26% increase in average number of poly-

![FIG. 6. Evidence that some negative topological stress occurs as melted DNA in top1Δ cells in vivo.](image-url)
merases/gene after Top2 inactivation (see the legend for details; $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-9}$).

A second characteristic indicator of slowed elongation was seen in ~15% of rRNA genes as Top2 was inactivated, i.e., a "double gradient" of transcript length, as seen in the gene in Fig. 7D. We previously showed that pre-rRNA cleavage at site A2 to separate small and large subunit pre-rRNAs typically occurs on nascent transcripts at about the time that Pol I reaches the latter third of the gene (37, 56). In WT rRNA genes, this manifests as a series of transcripts in the latter portion of the gene that are shorter than expected and are missing the large 5'-terminal knobs (see Fig. 7C, bracketed transcripts). When elongation was slowed as Top2 was inactivated, A2 cleavage plus subsequent processing events proceeded efficiently, while the polymerases dawdled, resulting in a very obvious double gradient of transcript length (Fig. 7D).
This double-gradient pattern, which is also sometimes seen after depletion of Top1 in rnhΔ strains (Fig. 3B, third gene down), was not seen on bubble-containing genes from top1Δ strains, adding to the evidence that elongation was not slowed by topo-bubbles, as argued above. The simplest explanation for slowed elongation when Top2 is inactivated is increased positive topological stress impeding the forward movement of Pol I.

We next examined rRNA genes in top1Δ top2-ts cells at both permissive and restrictive temperatures (Fig. 7E to H). At permissive temperature (23°C), rRNA genes resembled those from top1Δ cells (Fig. 7E), including many genes with topo-bubbles (e.g., Fig. 7G), as expected due to the absence of Top1. However, at 35°C in the double mutant no bubbles were visible, but a severe processivity defect was observed (Fig. 7F and H). Polymerases and attached transcripts were densely packed toward the 5' half of the gene and were generally absent at the 3' end of the gene. That is, rRNA genes had recognizable 5' ends but were shorter than expected in length (Fig. 7F and H), a finding consistent with the inability of polymerases to elongate to the end of the genes due to extreme positive topological stress in front of the leading polymerase. When both Top1 and Top2 activity are very deficient, many rRNA genes are excised as extrachromosomal rings (36). Although our EM analysis has focused on chromosomal rRNA genes, biochemical evidence indicates a general failure in Pol I processivity in these conditions (23, 66).

These EM results show that inactivation of Top2 results in slowed elongation, a finding consistent with a net increase in positive supercoiling in active rRNA genes. The severe processivity defect seen when both Top1 and Top2 activity are deficient confirms and extends this result seen previously using biochemical methods (23, 66) and demonstrates that results obtained by EM analysis are consistent with results obtained with more standard approaches.

**DISCUSSION**

This report represents the first gene visualization approach to study the in vivo effects of topoisomerase depletion on transcription, focusing on the rRNA gene in its normal chromosomal context, thus bypassing problems that arise when studying genes on circular plasmids (27, 66, 69, 80). The results are summarized in the model in Fig. 8. In comparison to the WT (Fig. 8A), many rRNA genes in cells deficient in Top2 activity (Fig. 8B) exhibited two indicators of slowed elongation rate: higher polymerase density and an advanced (double-gradient) rRNA processing pattern. These results suggest a net
increase in positive torsion in active genes in cells lacking Top2 activity (Fig. 8B). In cells deficient for both Top1 and Top2 activity (Fig. 8C), polymerases were rarely seen to progress beyond the first half of the gene, which is consistent with DNA so tightly wound as to resist the unwinding necessary for transcription (23, 66). Although DNA writhing is not seen in our chromatin spreads, its in vivo presence is inferred when Top2 activity is deficient (Fig. 8B and C) since wrinked DNA is the Top2 substrate (61, 65).

In cells deficient for Top1 (Fig. 8D) many active genes accumulated high levels of negative torsional stress, which was dependent on RNase H activity (top gene) and appeared as melted DNA (bottom gene). The combined absence of Top1 and RNase H (Fig. 8E) greatly decreased topo-bubble formation (Fig. 3A) while increasing Pol I pausing and DNA:RNA hybrid occupancy on the gene (23). These results indicate a role for RNase H in accumulation of hypernegative topological stress, which we attribute to its role in digesting R-loops, allowing continued transcription. Although we did not see any convincing R-loops in the presence or absence of RNase H (perhaps because they may be considerably shorter than topo-bubbles and/or less amenable to visualization by our EM approach), there is suggestive evidence to include them in our model. Thus, a transient R-loop is shown in a region where DNA is beginning to unwind (Fig. 8D), and undigested R-loops are shown impeding transcription when both Top1 and RNase H are deficient (Fig. 8E).

Does negative topological stress exist as melted DNA in vivo? In highly transcribed genes, the negative torsion generated behind one polymerase may be cancelled by the positive torsion generated ahead of the next one, lessening the need for topoisomerase activity (Fig. 8A and D) (46, 76). However, this canceling effect is lost if a polymerase is not followed closely by another polymerase, allowing negative torsional stress to accumulate in the resulting polymerase-free gap. Such stress can be absorbed either by partial DNA melting or by increased negative writhe (Fig. 6A), both of which allow most of the DNA to maintain a relatively constant twist near 10.5 bp/turn, which is preferred due to the stability conferred by base-pairing and stacking (8, 60, 65). Our observations of DNA bubbles in active genes after in vivo cross-linking (Fig. 6C), together with the increased presence of the ssDNA binding protein RPA in the gene (Fig. 6B), suggest that DNA melting absorbs at least some of the negative stress in cells. Additional indirect evidence for the existence of topo-bubbles is that they are not relaxed by Top2, which would be able to resolve the crossovers in negatively wrinked DNA, but would not recognize unwound DNA. The greatly enhanced psoralen accessibility of rDNA observed in topo1Δ cells but not in Top2-deficient cells (15) also points to a loosened rather than a supercoiled structure in the former but not the latter, in agreement with our results.

Dynamic breathing in torsionally stressed DNA, which is more likely to occur in AT-rich regions, would provide an opportunity for RPA to bind. Such binding is energetically favorable because it relaxes negative torsional stress and is self-limiting, proceeding until the driving stress is removed (20, 54). RPA needs only a short (8 to 10-nt) region of ssDNA to initiate binding and in vitro studies have shown RPA-induced unwinding at AT-rich regions on plasmids under negative torsional stress (73), similar to the scenario we propose here.

Relationship of topo-bubbles to RNase H activity and R-loops. One explanation for the dependency of topo-bubble formation on RNase H activity is that elongation is slowed in its absence (due to unresolved R-loops) such that sufficient negative stress does not accumulate (Fig. 8E). It is also possible that transient R-loop formation plays a more direct role in DNA melting, e.g., the strand separation that occurs in R-loop formation might provide the entry point for RPA binding and topo-bubble formation rather than, or in addition to, dynamic breathing in the DNA as proposed above.

Extensive studies in E. coli have examined the interplay between topoisomerase activity, negative torsion, and R-loop formation (21). Although results in E. coli and yeast are not directly comparable due to differences in topoisomerase specialization (such as no gyrase counterpart in eukaryotes) and differences in the ability of endogenous RNase H to efficiently digest R-loops (2, 31), the results agree that transcription-induced negative torsion leads to R-loop formation, which contributes to elongation pauses and aberrant cleavage of nascent RNA (3, 23). It appears that S. cerevisiae is more efficient than E. coli in digesting R-loops (consistent with the more highly processive nature of eukaryotic RNase H [16]), thus allowing continued transcription and viability in topo1Δ strains but leading to significant negative torsional stress in active genes.

Quantifying negative superhelical density. In previous studies, transcription-induced negative torsional stress has been found to dissipate upstream of active promoters and may play a role in activating transcription or replication at a nearby origin (42, 72, 80). Although we cannot rule out that this happens for a short distance upstream of the rDNA promoter or that it happens but does not melt the template, we found that topo-bubbles were not seen to extend beyond the limits of the transcribed gene even though the nontranscribed spacer is quite AT-rich (Fig. 4B). This finding is consistent with the lack of correlation between active transcription of rRNA genes and firing of the adjacent upstream replication origin (52). DNA-binding proteins and chromatin structures can form barriers that impede diffusion of torsional stress and thus increase localized supercoiling (43, 64). The promoter-bound UAF complex, which includes histones H3 and H4 and is essential for Pol I transcription and rDNA silencing, is a strong candidate for blocking such dissipation (55).

In estimating superhelical density in affected rRNA genes, we used the 6,740-bp gene as the unit in which stress can dissipate for reasons just discussed. This length of DNA will have a relaxed linking number (Lk0) of 642 (6,740/10.5). Assuming Lk0 of 41 twists of the DNA helix, this corresponds to a ΔLk of −41 and a superhelical density (σ, where σ = ΔLk/Lk0) of −0.06 for a nucleosome-free rRNA gene in control strains. The average topo-bubble was 415 bp long, corresponding to a loss of −0.40 twists of the DNA helix. Thus, ΔLk increases to −81 (−41 + −40) and σ = −0.13 in the average bubble-containing gene from topo1Δ cells, about
twice the normal value. By similar calculations, genes with higher levels of melting (up to 2 kb or 30% of the gene length) due to either single large bubbles or, more frequently, to multiple smaller bubbles, have $\sigma = -0.37$, or 6 times the normal value. For comparison, the AT-rich FUSE element in the human MYC promoter melts when $\sigma$ reaches $-0.07$ (40). Transcription-induced negative superhelical density in top1 cells has been reported to be more than twice the normal value (12), with more precise determination limited by the chloroquine gel method used to count topoisomers.

**Transcription in genes with high negative torsion.** Although unconstrained negative supercoiling has been detected in the rDNA of normal cells (47), it was somewhat surprising that genes with such high levels of negative $\sigma$ continued transcribing and that top1 cells grew quite well even when 30% of rRNA genes displayed template melting. For example, hyper-negative supercoiling causes growth inhibition in E. coli (21), and although transcription initiation increases as $-\sigma$ increases, this is true only to a certain point ($\sigma \sim -0.07$), after which it decreases (58). We presented evidence that transcription elongation was not slowed in genes with topo-bubbles. We also observed that polymerases were not seen within topo-bubbles, indicating that Pol I does not transcribe the single-stranded template. Thus, hypernegative topological stress (either as negatively writhed or melted DNA) forms no barrier to polymerase elongation, indicating that any denatured DNA reanneals as it enters the polymerase. This helical reformation would be driven by the powerful force of multiple RNAPs (4, 24) and by transcription-induced positive torsion in DNA entering the polymerase, which would cancel the negative torsion and facilitate reannealing and removal of RPA (20). Thus, as transcription continues, the melted DNA might be transiently reannealed—only to reappear as the polymerase moves down the gene. The preferential occurrence of bubbles in AT-rich regions supports their transient existence, and their dynamic appearance probably requires contributions from polymerase positions, negative torsion, and base composition of the template. In molecular simulations, denaturation bubbles due to negative torsional stress are very dynamic, moving erratically along DNA and converting to other topologies (60, 74).

**Functions of Top1 and Top2 during Pol I transcription.** Both Top1 and Top2 can relax positive and negative supercoiling and are abundant in the nucleolus. The fact that their combined absence results in the inability of polymerases to elongate through the gene shows that either can relieve positive stress in front of advancing polymerases. However, the absence of Top1 resulted in net negative torsion in many active rRNA genes (as manifested by topo-bubbles), while the inactivation of Top2 resulted in slowed transcription elongation, strongly suggesting net positive supercoiling. Top1 is a torque-sensitive topoisomerase and works most efficiently on protein-deficient DNA; Top2 recognizes the double-strand DNA crossovers characteristic of writhed DNA and works better than Top1 on nucleosomal DNA (64). The inability of Top2 to relax the negative topological stress in top1/Δ thus supports the conclusion that such DNA takes the form of melted rather than supercoiled DNA. Lavalle recently speculated (42) that Top1 would be more effective in relaxing negative torsion behind Pol II, whereas Top2 would be more effective in relaxing positive supercoils in front of Pol II. Our findings demonstrate such specialization for Pol I genes.
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