Plasmodium falciparum 19-Kilodalton Merozoite Surface Protein 1 (MSP1)-Specific Antibodies That Interfere with Parasite Growth

In Vitro Can Inhibit MSP1 Processing, Merozoite Invasion, and Intracellular Parasite Development
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Merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP1) is a target for malaria vaccine development. Antibodies to the 19-kDa carboxy-terminal region referred to as MSP119 inhibit erythrocyte invasion and parasite growth, with some MSP1-specific antibodies shown to inhibit the proteolytic processing of MSP1 that occurs at invasion. We investigated a series of antibodies purified from rabbits immunized with MSP119 and AMA1 recombinant proteins for their ability to inhibit parasite growth, initially looking at MSP1 processing. Although significant inhibition of processing was mediated by several of the antibody samples, there was no clear relationship with overall growth inhibition by the same antibodies. However, no antibody samples inhibited processing but not invasion, suggesting that inhibition of MSP1 processing contributes to but is not the only mechanism of antibody-mediated inhibition of invasion and growth. Examining other mechanisms by which MSP1-specific antibodies inhibit parasite growth, we show that MSP119-specific antibodies are taken up into invaded erythrocytes, where they persist for significant periods and result in delayed intracellular parasite development. This delay may result from antibody interference with coalescence of MSP119-containing vesicles with the food vacuole. Antibodies raised against a modified recombinant MSP119 sequence were more efficient at delaying intracellular growth than those to the wild-type protein. We propose that antibodies specific for MSP119 can mediate inhibition of parasite growth by at least three mechanisms: inhibition of MSP1 processing, direct inhibition of invasion, and inhibition of parasite development following invasion. The balance between mechanisms may be modulated by modifying the immunogen used to induce the antibodies.

Malaria remains a major disease in Africa, Asia, and Latin America with an estimated 300 to 500 million cases and up to a million deaths per year (41). To date, no registered vaccine has been developed, and artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) are currently the main treatment for clinical disease due to infections with Plasmodium falciparum, the principal human pathogen. There is an ever-present risk of parasites developing drug resistance and thus a clear public health need to develop an effective vaccine. RTS,S, a vaccine based on the circumsporozoite surface protein, is currently undergoing phase III trials, but there is still a major interest to develop a vaccine that includes a component directed at the asexual blood stage (22). Two P. falciparum proteins that have been researched extensively as vaccine targets are merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP1) and apical merozoite antigen 1 (AMA1), but there is still uncertainty about how a protective immune response against these targets may act. Recent vaccine trials with these antigens have produced disappointing results (31, 37), suggesting that we need to understand better the mechanisms of immunity and potentially engineer the antigens to improve the responses (21).

MSP1 is the most characterized merozoite surface protein (21) and is essential during the invasive blood stage (11). It is synthesized in schizonts as an ~190-kDa protein, which is cleaved by P. falciparum subtilisin 1 (PfSUB1) at the end of schizogony into four polypeptides of characteristic length: p83, p42, p38, and p30 (9). These fragments remain associated together on the parasite’s surface via noncovalent bonds, along with several other surface proteins (33, 38), and anchored to the plasma membrane via the C-terminal glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) moiety located on the 42-kDa fragment (MSP142) (17). MSP1 may play a role in the initial binding of the merozoite to an erythrocyte (34). During the final stages of erythrocyte invasion, MSP142 undergoes a second cleavage event called secondary processing and mediated by another parasite subtilisin (PfSUB2 [20]), generating MSP133 and MSP119. The result of this cleavage is the shedding of the majority of the MSP1 and its associated protein complex, a process that has been linked with loss of the merozoite coat during erythrocyte invasion (6). However, MSP119 remains attached to the merozoite due to the GPI anchor and is taken into the erythrocyte (5, 7, 13). The role MSP119 plays in subsequent intracellular parasite development is unclear, although it is the first known marker for the developing food vacuole where it persists until the end of the intracellular cycle and is discarded in the residual body together with products of digestion such as hemozoin (13). There is abundant...
evidence that antibodies to MSP119 can interfere with parasite growth, and a range of mechanisms have been proposed, ranging from steric inhibition of parasite binding to erythrocytes and inhibition of SUB2-mediated secondary processing to the recruitment of cellular functions through Fc-mediated mechanisms (2, 7, 18, 19, 27, 30).

The structure of *P. falciparum* MSP1, has been elucidated and used to define the epitopes recognized by monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) that when bound inhibit secondary processing (28, 29, 35). In addition, the modification of MSP119 by substitution of different amino acid residues has been helpful not only to identify the epitopes of these classes of antibody, but also to define a third class of antibody (called blocking antibodies) that competes with inhibitory antibodies and therefore allows secondary processing to proceed even in the presence of the inhibitory antibodies (19, 39). Some of these modified MSP119 antigens have been proposed to offer advantages over the wild-type antigen in that they may induce fewer blocking antibodies when used as an immunogen (21, 23, 39).

AMA1 reaches the merozoite surface from the microneme organelles. Although its role in invasion is different from that of MSP1, it is also shed from the merozoite surface by the action of SUB2 (24). Antibodies to AMA1 have been shown to be highly effective at reducing parasite invasion *in vitro* and also to interfere with AMA1 processing (14, 15).

In a search for the antigens to include in an asexual blood-stage vaccine, a number of MSP1 constructs have been compared (1, 36). This comparison has included proteins produced via different expression systems, modified forms of MSP1 to include amino acid substitutions, and chimeric proteins consisting of fused AMA1 and MSP1 sequences (1, 16). In this work, we used reagents previously developed to some of these antigens (1) to further clarify the importance and mechanism by which MSP119 antibodies can inhibit parasite growth and development. We show that although MSP1 processing by SUB2 is inhibited by some of the IgGs tested, this activity alone cannot account for the observed inhibition of growth. However, in no instance was a high inhibition of MSP1 processing associated with a low inhibition of growth, suggesting that SUB2 processing of MSP1 is a key prerequisite for erythrocyte invasion. We provide evidence that MSP119-specific IgG is taken up along with the invading merozoite into an erythrocyte and that these antibodies can delay the intracellular development of the parasite, possibly by interfering with the formation of the food vacuole. Based on these observations, we propose a model in which MSP119-specific IgGs can inhibit parasite growth by at least three mechanisms: inhibition of MSP1 secondary processing, direct inhibition of invasion, and inhibition of an MSP1 function that is important postinvasion, for example at its location in the food vacuole. These results suggest that a modified protein is more effective than the wild-type recombinant protein in inducing antibodies that delay intracellular development.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**IgG production.** The antibodies raised against a variety of recombinant MSP119 and AMA1 proteins used in this study were purified from serum produced as part of the EUROMALVAC II Integrated Project (1). Groups of individual rabbits were immunized with one of six antigen preparations: AMA1, a mixture of AMA1 and wild-type MSP119 (mix), an AMA1-MSP119 fusion protein (fusion) (16), wild-type MSP119 expressed in *Pichia pastoris* (MSP1wt), wild-type MSP119 expressed from recombinant baculovirus in insect cells (MSP1bac; kindly provided by Shirley Longacre, Institut Pasteur), and mutant MSP119 expressed in *Pichia pastoris* (MSP1mut; Cys12 and Cys28 replaced by Ile and Trp, respectively); further details of antigens have been described previously (1). All IgGs used in these studies were purified from individual serum samples by affinity chromatography on protein G columns. Total IgG concentration was measured by spectrosopy.

**MSP1 and AMA1 processing assay.** Each of the IgG fractions produced was tested for its ability to block secondary processing of MSP1, using a modified processing assay and merozoites (4). In brief, merozoites (3D7 line) were harvested in a medium containing EGTA to chelate Ca$^{2+}$ and prevent SUB2 activation and then stored at −80°C. Prior to use, merozoites were thawed on ice, washed, and then resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). To aliquots of merozoites, IgG samples were added to a final concentration of 5 mg ml$^{-1}$ and SUB2 was activated by the addition of CaCl$_2$ to 5 mM (final). Samples were incubated for 15 min at 37°C before centrifugation and supernatant collection. Processing was determined by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting to detect shed products. MSP1wt using the specific antibody X509 (8), and the 44-kDa fragment of AMA1 using the 4G2 antibody (10). Following chemiluminescence and fluorography, signals were analyzed using ImageJ software to determine relative band intensities and calculate the percentage of processing in the presence of each IgG, compared to controls using the following equation: percentage processing = 100 × [(Igg Int − negative control Int)/(positive control Int − negative control Int)], where Int is band intensity. The positive control sample contained neither IgG nor EGTA, and the negative control contained an excess of EGTA to suppress further processing (6).

**Growth and manipulation of *P. falciparum* cultures.** *P. falciparum* 3D7 parasites were routinely cultured at 2% hematocrit and 37°C in RPMI 1640 medium containing Albumax (Gibco 041-91762A) and glutamine. Merozoites were collected as described previously (4). For the inhibition of growth assays, parasites were first partially synchronized using magnetic collection of schizonts. Two hours postinvasion, the cells were pelleted by centrifugation and then suspended in a final concentration of 5% sorbitol at 37°C for 10 min to remove any remaining schizonts. Following sorbitol treatment, the cells were pelleted again and washed twice in fresh medium prior to resuspension in medium at 1% parasitemia and 2% hematocrit for further culture. Synchronized cultures were grown for approximately 40 h or until the next schizogony.

**Growth assay by FACS analysis of hydroethidine (HE)-stained parasites and by microscopy of Giemsa-stained smears.** Assays to examine the effect of antibodies on parasite growth were carried out in a 96-well plate format, with a final volume of 100 µl for each culture (75 µl of stock culture plus 25 µl of a 20 mg ml$^{-1}$ IgG stock). Each assay was performed in duplicate. Following setup, plates were incubated in a humid chamber containing 90% nitrogen, 5% carbon dioxide, and 5% oxygen at 37°C. From this gated population, the HE staining was determined using the FL2 detector (585/42 nm) with 50,000 cells counted. When expressed as a histogram of HE intensity versus cell number, a distinct population representing trophozoite/schizont-infected erythrocytes is evident and can
be used to calculate the parasitemia as follows: growth inhibition = 100 – (100 × average parasitemia in the presence of IgG/control parasitemia).

To confirm the stage of parasites within the asexual cycle, thin smears were prepared, methanol fixed, stained with Giemsa’s reagent, and examined by microscopy. The parasites were divided into three classes: ring stage (early trophozoite), late trophozoite (hemzouin clearly evident, single nucleus), and schizont (multiple nuclei with or without segmentation).

IgG uptake assay. Parasite cultures were set up as described above for FACS analysis, and IgG was added to each well at a final concentration of 0.5 mg ml–1 to (a non-invasion-inhibitory concentration). Parasites were allowed to invade and then following invasion (determined by the presence of early-ring-stage parasites in control cultures), plates were briefly centrifuged to pellet cells. The cells were then washed with fresh medium (3 × 100 µl), resuspended to 100 µl, and incubated for various periods in the gassed humid chamber. To examine for the presence of IgG that had been taken up at various time points, smears were prepared, dried, and stored (–20°C) for subsequent immunolabeling. The smears were fixed in 4% formaldehyde-PBS for 15 min, extracted with 1% Triton X-100 (vol/vol) in PBS for 5 min, and then blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (wt/vol) in PBS for 60 min. Antibodies were detected by the addition of Alexa Fluor488-taged goat anti-rabbit IgG (A11034; Molecular Probes) and mounted in ProLong Gold containing DAPI (4’6-di-aminidino-2-phenylindole; P771644; Invitrogen). Slides were examined using a Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope using a 100× objective, and images were acquired using Axiosvision 4.0 software (Zeiss), with additional processing using Adobe Photoshop.

RESULTS

MSP142 processing assay. The initial aim of this study was to determine whether antibodies raised by immunization with a variety of recombinant MSP119 proteins could inhibit the secondary processing of MSP142, and if so to what extent this activity contributed to their overall ability to inhibit parasite growth in vitro. A previous study had shown that these sera contained antibodies that inhibited parasite growth (1). Secondary processing was determined by the detection of MSP133, using Western blotting and ImageJ analysis. By comparing the amount of MSP133 produced in the presence of antibody to that in the positive (absence of IgG) and negative (no the presence of EGTA to inhibit SUB2 processing) controls, we were able to calculate the percentage of MSP1 processing in the presence of each IgG (Fig. 1A). The assay showed a general trend for decreased MSP1 processing when the IgG samples were grouped according to the antigen they had been raised against, from high to low: AMA1 alone, AMA1-MSP1 mix/fusion, MSP1wt, MSP1mut, and MSP1bac (Fig. 1B). Although the assay was rigorously controlled at all steps through sample preparation, minimal handling, and the inclusion of controls on each gel, a significant variability in MSP1 processing was noted, which is reflected in the error bars. IgGs that were raised against antigen containing an AMA1 component also inhibited the processing of AMA1 (Fig. 1C). These assays were carried out at 2.5 mg ml–1 to avoid background problems. Importantly, when we grouped IgG samples according to their antigen specificity, there was a distinct difference in their inhibition of either AMA1 or MSP1 processing.

Although for the MSP1 assay all IgGs were used at the same concentration, to clarify whether the observed inhibition of processing was due to MSP1-specific IgG, we compared the MSP1 processing inhibition to its MSP1-specific titer, using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) data from reference 1 (Fig. 1D). From this analysis there was no clear relationship between ELISA titer and percentage processing in most cases; MSP1wt and MSP1mut gave the highest correlation (R² = 0.69 and 0.56, respectively). Within the MSP1bac group, all IgGs gave significant inhibition of MSP1 processing (>60%), but there was a range of IgG titers (Fig. 1D).

Relationship between inhibition of MSP1 processing and inhibition of parasite growth. The primary role of MSP1 during invasion is believed to be in host cell recognition and attachment, with the SUB2-mediated cleavage acting to remove the majority of the complex, presumably after this role is completed. Accordingly, it has been proposed that a primary mechanism by which MSP1-specific antibodies may inhibit parasite growth is via the inhibition of secondary processing (7, 19). We therefore looked for any correlation between the extent of antibody-mediated inhibition of parasite growth and MSP1 secondary processing. Since our processing assays were performed with merozoites from the 3D7 line, we used the same parasite to carry out growth inhibition assays using the vital stain hydroethidine (HE) and a FACS procedure (Fig. 2A).

If inhibition of secondary processing is a principal factor in the inhibition of parasite invasion and growth, then we would predict a direct relationship between the inhibition of growth and the reciprocal of the MSP1 processing (1/P) in the presence of antibody, i.e., the less MSP1 secondary processing the greater the inhibition of parasite growth. However, for none of the IgG groups tested was there a tight correlation observed (Fig. 2B, R² values), although we did note a general grouping of results based on the antigen used to raise the antibodies. Nevertheless, in no instance was there inhibition of MSP1 processing in the absence of growth inhibition (Fig. 2B, top left quadrant), indicating that when the antibodies did inhibit MSP1 processing it was contributing in some degree to the overall inhibition of parasite growth. IgG samples produced by immunization with AMA1-based antigens all fall in the lower half of the graph (Fig. 2B). This was expected as each IgG contains an AMA1 antibody component capable of contributing to parasite growth inhibition but which will have no effect on the measured MSP1 processing. Of the three groups of antibody samples raised to recombinant MSP1, those produced by immunization with the wild-type MSP1 produced in Pichia gave the most consistent but lowest inhibition of processing, while the two remaining groups (MSP1bac and MSP1mut) had several IgG samples giving significant inhibition of MSP1 processing, though as groups these also had the widest range. Based on the titer of MSP1-specific IgG in the samples, there was a strong direct relationship between the level of specific antibody and inhibition of parasite growth (Fig. 2C), which was not present when the level of specific antibody was compared to inhibition of processing (Fig. 1D).

IgG uptake at merozoite invasion delays intracellular parasite development. The FACS-based growth inhibition assay uses HE as a vital stain that requires the parasite to be metabolically active to convert the HE to ethidium, which then binds to DNA. The amount of DNA in the cell reflects the parasite’s stage of development; ring (early trophozoite) and trophozoite stages have a single copy of the genome; schizogyony requires extensive DNA synthesis, replication, and nuclear division. Therefore, as the parasite progresses through its intracellular development more DNA is present, and this higher DNA content results in greater incorporation of ethidium and higher fluorescence intensity. This is indicated by the right shift in the histogram generated by the FACS.
Although the overall parasitemia was measured and used to calculate growth inhibition mediated by the presence of specific IgG, it was noted that for some groups there was a left shift in the histograms, indicating the presence of earlier-stage or delayed parasites (Fig. 3A). To confirm this observation, the growth inhibition assays were repeated with a selection of IgGs and the parasite morphology analyzed by microscopy of smears stained with Giemsa’s reagent. Interestingly, when the parasites were treated with antibodies raised to a recombinant protein including MSP1, there was a noted delay in parasite development in the parasites present compared to controls (Fig. 3A). This was particularly evident in the presence of antibodies raised to MSP1mut. For such developmental delay and morphological changes to occur, it was predicted that some of the antibody must be taken into the erythrocyte with the invading merozoite, a feature previously observed for the monoclonal antibodies 111.4 and 1E1, which accumulate together with MSP119 in the food vacuole (13). To determine if any IgG was taken into the erythrocyte, we repeated the assays.
using a low concentration of IgG (0.5 mg ml\(^{-1}\)). Thin smears were prepared, and the parasites were examined at 5, 15, and 35 h post-invasion using immunofluorescence following permeabilization of the cells and the addition of a labeled second antibody. We identified antibody within infected erythrocytes, indicating that some of the IgG was taken in along with the invading merozoite and persisted until at least 35 h postinvasion (Fig. 3B). There was no detectable uptake of AMA1-specific antibodies or IgG controls. These results are consistent with the uptake of antibody bound directly to MSP1 and agree with our FACS and parasite staging data (Fig. 3A). Previous studies have reported the specific uptake of MSP1\(_{19}\)-specific antibodies (4, 13). Although labeling was present in the parasites incubated with all the MSP1-specific IgGs, the intensity and duration of label were greatest for those samples produced by immunization with MSP1\(_{wt}\) and MSP1\(_{mut}\). In late-stage parasites, the IgG was detected in the food vacuole as identified by the hemozoin crystal (Fig. 3C, 35 h) but also elsewhere throughout the cytoplasm, presumably in vesicles that had failed to coalesce with the food vacuole; such vesicles had been identified previously in MSP1 uptake studies (13). In contrast, the MAb 1E1 labeling coalesced to a single location by 15 to 17 h postinvasion, as described previously (13). These results indicate that the MSP1\(_{19}\)-specific antibodies may delay or obstruct food vacuole formation (Fig. 3C).

**DISCUSSION**

The initial aim of this work was to evaluate the contribution made by antibodies that inhibit secondary processing of MSP1 to the antibody-mediated inhibition of parasite growth in vitro. We used preparations of rabbit antibodies that had been raised by immunization with a range of recombinant proteins comprised of MSP1\(_{19}\) sequences, either alone or in combination with AMA1-derived sequences (29). By applying tight protocols, the pooling of merozoite sample preparations and the inclusion of reference samples on each SDS-PAGE gel, we have been able to detect the contribution processing inhibition makes to the mechanisms of
overall growth inhibition by these antibodies. The specificity of the assay was confirmed by including AMA1-specific antibodies, which as a group showed no MSP1 processing inhibition (Fig. 1A and B) (average 95% of control). Furthermore, the IgG inhibition of MSP1 processing by SUB2 does not affect the SUB2 processing of AMA1 or vice versa.

Although antibodies from these serum samples have been examined previously for their ability to inhibit parasite growth in vitro, these studies used the Wellcome and FCR3 lines of *P. falciparum* in a lactate dehydrogenase-based assay (1), and there is evidence for varying responses between parasite lines (2, 3). As part of this work, we retested each IgG sample in HE and FACS-based...
growth inhibition assay (3). This system incorporates a measure of parasite viability and gives an indication of the developmental stage of the parasite population by DNA content as measured by the amount of ethidium bound. From this, we show that for the MSP1-specific IgGs there was limited correlation between IgG-mediated inhibition of processing and growth. This correlation was reduced even further when antibodies to AMA1 were present (mix and fusion antigens) and was negligible for AMA1-specific antibodies. However, none of the IgG samples gave a high inhibition of MSP1 processing but a low level of growth inhibition, indicating that when present, inhibition of MSP1 processing contributes to growth inhibition.

Although there is evidence for a correlation between the MSP1-specific antibody titer and inhibition of growth, there was little if any correlation between MSP1 antibody titer and inhibition of MSP1 processing. It is of note that in two of the antigen groups (MSP1bac and MSP1mut), there were IgG samples with low MSP1 titers but which gave significant MSP1 processing inhibition (>80%), a level that was comparable with that of other IgG samples tested with significantly higher MSP1 titers by ELISA. Thus, MSP1 processing inhibition is not merely dependant on IgG concentration.

Together, these results indicate that antibodies that inhibit MSP1 secondary processing are not a major component of the IgG fraction and that IgGs act via other mechanisms to contribute to the overall inhibition of parasite growth. However, the results do not rule out the potential of engineering the antigen, for example enhancing the processing inhibition activity by manipulating the sequence of the antigen. Reducing the induction of blocking antibodies that prevent the binding of processing inhibitory antibodies is one strategy to achieve this (39).

Several aspects of our data show that when MSP1-specific IgG was present, the parasites that developed were significantly delayed compared to controls. This is in agreement with a previous study using the same sera that noted a change in parasite morphology (1). Using non-growth-inhibiting concentrations of IgG, we were able to detect MSP19-specific IgG within infected erythrocytes up to at least 35 h postinvasion, indicating that IgG was taken into the parasitophorous vacuole bound to MSP19 of the invading merozoite. The presence of MSP19-specific IgGs within erythrocytes is in agreement with a previous study using MAbs (13) and morphological observations made using polyclonal antibodies (1, 2, 40). It is known that MSP19 is carried into the infected cell and persists postinvasion (5, 26), accumulating in the food vacuole and finally shed within the residual body (13). The fact that IgGs are taken in with the merozoite and remain bound over a significant period of the parasite’s life cycle places them in an appropriate location to inhibit intracellular development, thus contributing to inhibition of parasite growth. We observed IgG labeling around the hemozoin, indicating association with the food vacuole as well as IgG within subcystoplasmic compartments, possibly the early vesicles that coalesce to form the food vacuole (13). The extensive cytoplasmic labeling was not evident in the MAb 1E1 control, consistent with a possible disruption or delay in food vacuole formation.

The mechanisms by which an antibody response to MSP19 contributes to protective immunity in vivo are still not fully resolved (30). However, antibody fine specificity (12, 32) and Fc-mediated mechanisms (25, 27) are important. Data acquired in this study suggest that polyclonal antibodies to MSP19 can inhibit parasite invasion of erythrocytes by inhibiting MSP1 processing and by a second, potentially more important mechanism, perhaps steric hindrance of parasite binding. The fact that another factor other than MSP1 processing is involved means that the processing assay alone is an inadequate method to assay antibody-mediated inhibition of parasite growth. However, since some MAbs show good activity in both processing and invasion inhibition (7, 19, 25), it remains a viable tool to dissect the mode of action of MSP1-specific antibodies. The contribution inhibition of intracellular growth makes toward the protective effect of anti-MSP1 antibodies needs further investigation, but it is interesting that an engineered variant of MSP19 appears able to induce antibodies that are more active in this assay than those induced by the wild-type protein, suggesting that the fine specificity of the antibodies may contribute to this mechanism. These results are consistent with the notion that engineering or modifying the MSP1 antigen may convey properties that improve its potential for vaccine development.
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