



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The suitability of the Attitudes Ageing (AAQ) for Spanish older adults.

Citation for published version:

Lucas-Carrasco, R, Laidlaw, K, Gomez-Benito, J & Power, M 2013, 'The suitability of the Attitudes Ageing (AAQ) for Spanish older adults.', *International Psychogeriatrics*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 490-499.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610212001809>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1017/S1041610212001809](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610212001809)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Early version, also known as pre-print

Published In:

International Psychogeriatrics

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Lucas-Carrasco, R., Laidlaw, K., Gomez-Benito, J., & Power, M. (2013). The suitability of the Attitudes Ageing (AAQ) for Spanish older adults. *International Psychogeriatrics*.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





**Reliability and Validity of the Attitudes to Ageing
Questionnaire (AAQ) in older people in Spain**

Journal:	<i>International Psychogeriatrics</i>
Manuscript ID:	IPG-02-12-052.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Lucas-Carrasco, Ramona; University of Barcelona, Methodology and Behavioral Sciences Laidlaw, Ken; University of Edinburgh, Clinical Psychology Gómez-Benito, Juana; University of Barcelona, Methodology and Behavioral Sciences Power, Michael; University of Edinburgh, Clinical Psychology
Keywords:	Rating scales, Aging, Carers, Depression, Primary care

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

1
2
3
4
5 Reliability and Validity of the Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire (AAQ) in older people
6 in Spain.
7
8
9

10
11 Authors: Ramona Lucas-Carrasco^{1*}, Ken Laidlaw², Juana Gómez-Benito¹, Michael J.
12 Power^{2,3}
13
14
15

16
17
18 ¹Dept. of Methodology of the Behavioural Sciences, Faculty of Psychology, University
19 of Barcelona & SGR822 Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain
20
21

22 ²Clinical Psychology, School of Health in Social Science,
23 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9AG, UK
24
25

26
27 ³Department of Psychology, University of Tromso, Tromso, Norway.
28
29

30 **Running title:** Reliability and validity of the AAQ in Spanish elderly.
31
32

33 **Address for Correspondence:**

34 Dept of Methodology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Barcelona. Barcelona,
35 Spain
36
37

38 Passeig Vall d'Hebron, 171
39

40 08035 Barcelona, Spain
41

42 Phone: + 34 93 312 5177
43
44

45 Lucas.Ramona@gmail.com
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Title: Reliability and Validity of the Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire (AAQ) in older
4 people in Spain.
5
6

7
8 **Background:** As ageing is a personal experience, an attitude to ageing questionnaire is
9 essential for capturing the most realistic appraisal of this important life stage. Our aim
10 was to study the psychometric properties of the Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire
11 (AAQ) in a sample of Spanish older people.
12
13

14 **Methods:** Two hundred forty two participants aged 60 years and older were recruited
15 from community centres, primary care centres, and family associations for the mentally
16 ill and dementia. In addition to the AAQ, participants provided information on
17 demographics, self-perception of health, comorbidity, health status (SF-12), depressive
18 symptoms (GDS-30), and quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF and WHOQOL-OLD).
19 Analysis was performed using standard psychometric techniques with SPSS v15.0.
20
21

22 **Results:** no floor and ceiling effects were found, and missing data was low. The internal
23 consistency measured by Cronbach's alpha for AAQ subscales were .59, .70 and .73.
24 Exploratory Factor Analysis produced a three factors solution accounting for 34% of the
25 variance. A priori expected associations were found between some AAQ subscales with
26 WHOQOL-BREF domains, WHOQOL-OLD, SF-12 and the GDS-30, indicating good
27 construct validity. In general, AAQ subscales differentiated between participants with
28 lower and higher levels of education, and between a priori defined groups of older
29 people (nondepressed vs. depressed; those with higher vs. lower physical comorbidities
30 and non-carers vs. carers).
31
32

33 **Conclusions:** The Spanish version of the AAQ questionnaire showed acceptable
34 psychometric properties in a convenience sample of Spanish older people. It is a useful
35 measure of attitude for use with older people in social and clinical services.
36
37
38

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Keywords: Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire, AAQ, elderly, reliability, validity

Introduction

Profound demographic change affecting the age distribution of societies is a global phenomenon, with the population ageing we are witnessing today unprecedented in the whole of human history (UN, 2009). Commonly, in Western Societies the social stereotype of ageing is a negative one (Levy, 2009) and Spain is not an exception to this. Surprisingly, when older people are asked about ageing, their experience of ageing is often seen in a more positive light, in which growth, development, and positive change are still possible and commonplace (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007; Vaillant, 2002). The majority of older people experience ageing as just another stage of life where loss and change is a universal experience accepted as part of a longer lifespan (Boerner and Jopp, 2007). Consistent with this is the phenomenon of the ageing paradox, where older people typically report high levels of life satisfaction at the stage of life most associated with cognitive and physical decline (Carstensen and Lockerhoff, 2003). Recent research suggests older people do not necessarily, nor universally experience ageing as a negative event in life as longitudinal data demonstrates many older people experience ageing as a relatively benign experience with the result that they develop enhanced well-being and greater emotional stability across the lifespan (Carstensen *et al.*, 2011).

Ageing is a process rather than a state and is a uniquely personal experience so that an individual's attitude towards the ageing process may affect the quality of later years as well as long-term health related outcomes (Levy *et al.*, 2002; Harrison *et al.*, 2008), including mortality (Levy and Myers, 2005). Social support may act as a buffer between stressful situations and mental health outcomes (Cohen and Wills, 1985), and physical health outcomes and functional limitations (Harrison *et al.*, 2008; Fong *et al.*, 2006). In addition, perceptions of ageing may be influenced also by how fast function declines (Harrison *et al.*, 2008). Nevertheless, studies of how social support might impact attitudes toward ageing are scarce (Harrison *et al.*, 2008). As such when trying to understand the experience of ageing, older people are the only section of society qualified to comment on this. Thus older people have the most intimate knowledge of adaptation to the ageing process, and therefore an attitudes to ageing questionnaire that can capture personal experiences of ageing in the context of more general attributes about attitudes to ageing is an important assessment tool (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007).

1
2
3 Until now, with the development of the Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire (AAQ)
4 (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007) there have been very few measures that explicitly assess the
5 individual experience of ageing in a more contemporary and broad manner. Prior to the
6 development of the AAQ researchers commonly used a subscale of the Philadelphia
7 Geriatric Morale Scale (PGCMS) (Lawton, 1975) to index attitudes to ageing. The
8 PGCMS was designed to provide a measure of morale or psychological well-being in
9 social studies on the field of gerontology. The PGCMS subscale, attitude toward own
10 ageing consists of five items that capture a very restricted snapshot of the experience of
11 ageing. While it has been used in a variety of gerontological studies in a number of
12 countries, including Spain (Stock *et al.*, 1994), it is nevertheless inadequate as a general
13 tool to flexibly and comprehensively measure attitudes to ageing (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 The AAQ has been developed using the methodology for scale development collated by
24 the World Health Organisation Quality of Life Group (The WHOQOL Group, 1998a, b)
25 in the context of the development of an adaptation of the WHOQOL measures for use
26 with older adults (Power *et al.*, 2005). It was based on an intense theoretical debate
27 among international experts, as well as in focus groups carried out with older adults to
28 confirm or adjust the instrument items. Barcelona was one of the centers used in the
29 original focus groups for development of the AAQ.
30
31
32
33
34

35 The AAQ assesses the subjective perception of ageing focussing primarily on
36 three different aspects of ageing. The first subscale focuses on psychosocial losses
37 relevant to older adults in which the perceived negative experiences of ageing are
38 collected together in a single composite scale. This subscale functions as a proxy for
39 negative attitudes to ageing where old age is seen primarily as a negative experience
40 involving psychological and social loss. The second subscale (physical change) has a
41 more mixed physical functioning focus with items related primarily to health, exercise
42 and the experience of ageing itself. The third subscale (psychological growth) has an
43 explicitly positive focus and could be summarised as 'Wisdom' or 'Growth' as it
44 recognises a lifespan development perspective on ageing as viewed by the individual.
45 Thus, the three domain structure of the AAQ reflects both positive and negative aspects
46 of ageing. The subjective perspective of ageing is important in the AAQ, as it allows
47 researchers a way of measuring attitudes toward ageing from the perspective of the
48 older people themselves as this provides researchers with a unique insight into the
49 experience of ageing (Chachamovich *et al.*, 2008). The AAQ utilises two different
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 answer formats in order to provide an experimental and general approach to
4 understanding an individual's attitudes. Thus section one comprises of personal
5 experiential questions such as 'growing older has been easier than I thought' and
6 section two comprises of more general attitudes such as 'wisdom comes with age'. This
7 feature adds to the potential usefulness of this tool as it was designed to be used by
8 researchers, clinicians and policy makers (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007).
9
10
11
12

13
14 The AAQ demonstrates good psychometric performance, acceptable Cronbach's alpha
15 coefficients, good test-retest reliability, discriminant validity and concurrent validity
16 (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007). Our objective was to assess the reliability and validity of the
17 Spanish version of the AAQ.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 25 **Methods**

26 **Participants**

27
28 A convenience sample of 242 Spanish older people was recruited from
29 community centres, primary care centres and family associations (including caregivers of
30 patients with severe mental disorders, as schizophrenia, and caregivers of patients with
31 dementia). One of the authors (RLC) contacted centres, provided information to staff and
32 explained the purpose of the study. At each site, a staff member (primary care physician,
33 social worker) invited participants to take part in the study and obtained written informed
34 consent. Participants were included if they were at least 60 years of age and able to read
35 and write. Persons with severe visual or hearing impairment, memory problems or
36 cognitive impairment were excluded. Participants completed measures at each
37 participating centre. All information was self-reported. This study was part of a larger
38 Project, the WHOQOL-OLD which aimed to develop a cross-cultural specific module to
39 appraise QoL in older people in order to supplement the existing WHOQOL questionnaire
40 (The WHOQOL Group, 1998a, b). The project was financed by the European Commission
41 Fifth Framework Programme (QLRT-2000-00320) (Power *et al.*, 2005).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Procedures and measures**

56 *Attitudes to Ageing Questionnaire (AAQ)*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The AAQ assesses the subjective perception of ageing; it contains three subscales
4 (psychological growth, physical change, and psychosocial loss) of eight items each (Table
5 1). Each item is scored on a five-point scale, with scores ranging from 8 to 40. The total
6 scores on the physical change and psychological growth domain of the AAQ are summated
7 to give an indication of the attitudes to ageing with higher scores indicating a more
8 positive appraisal of ageing. Total scores on the psychosocial loss domain can be
9 summated to give an indication of the attitudes to ageing with higher scores (stronger
10 endorsement of items in this domain) indicating a more negative appraisal of ageing
11 (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007).
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE
19

20 21 *World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) -BREF* 22

23 The WHOQOL-BREF is a generic QoL questionnaire comprising 26-items; 24-
24 items covering four domains (physical, psychological, social relationships and
25 environment) and two global questions about Overall QoL and Satisfaction with Health.
26 The items have a 5-point Likert response scale; scores range from 4 to 20, with higher
27 scores representing higher QoL; and a time base relating to the previous two weeks (The
28 WHOQOL Group, 1998b).
29
30
31
32
33

34 *WHOQOL-OLD* 35

36 The WHOQOL-OLD is a QoL module specific for older people that can be used
37 in addition to the generic WHOQOL-BREF. It consists of 24 items rated on a five-point
38 Likert response scale covering six facets: sensory abilities; autonomy; past, present and
39 future activities; social participation; death and dying; and intimacy. Scores range from 4
40 to 20, with higher scores representing higher QoL. The time frame for assessment is the
41 past 2 weeks (Power *et al.*, 2005). The Spanish version was used (Lucas-Carrasco *et al.*,
42 2011).
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 *Short Form Health Survey (SF-12)* 50

51 It is a measure of health status developed for the Medical Outcomes Study
52 (MOS) containing 12 items summarized as two scores: physical (PCS-12) and mental
53 (MCS-12). Time reference for assessment is the preceding four weeks (Ware *et al.*, 2002).
54
55
56
57

58 *Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-30)* 59 60

1
2
3 The GDS is a 30-item self-reported questionnaire that measures depressive symptoms in
4 older people with answers reported on a simple yes/no format. The time frame for the
5 measure is the past week. High scores indicating more severe depression with a cut-off
6 score of 10-19 indicating mild depression and 20-30 indicating severe depression
7
8 (Yesavage *et al.*, 1983).
9

10
11
12 In addition, sociodemographic information was obtained using a semi-structured interview
13 format developed for use in all the centers (for details see Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007) that
14 recruited participants into the development study for the AAQ.
15
16
17

18 19 **Analysis**

20
21 Acceptability, reliability and validity were assessed using standard
22 psychometric methods. To assess acceptability, we examined floor and ceiling effects
23 and missing data for summary scores. Floor and ceiling effects were present if high
24 percentages of respondents achieved the lowest or highest possible score (Streiner and
25 Norman, 1995). Internal consistency reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha
26 ($\geq .70$) (Cohen, 1988). We used exploratory factor analysis to examine the factor
27 structure and verify support for scales from the original measure. The Kaiser-Meyer-
28 Olkin statistic (KMO) and the Bartlett test of sphericity were used to test if there was
29 underlying structure to the data; $KMO > .5$ was required as well as a significant Bartlett
30 test. We used principal components as the extraction method and used factor loadings
31 $\geq .40$ as a criterion to define a "salient" factor loading (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994).
32 A Varimax rotation procedure was applied to place items with their appropriate factor.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 To assess convergent validity, we examined the association of the AAQ with age, the
44 WHOQOL-BREF domains, WHOQOL-OLD, SF-12 components and GDS-30. Pearson
45 correlation analyses were performed to explore related factors, we considered a correlation
46 of $< .3$ to be small, $.3 - .5$ moderate and $\geq .5$ large (Cohen, 1988). A p value $< .05$ was
47 regarded as statistically significant.
48
49
50
51

52 To assess discriminant validity, we examined the association of the AAQ subscales with
53 sociodemographic variables: gender and education (primary school and lower vs. higher
54 than primary school). Finally, to assess "contrasting" group differences, we compared
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 AAQ for subgroups of participants defined on the basis of significant depressive
4 symptoms measured with GDS-30 (GDS-30 < 11 vs. GDS-30 ≥ 11), number of self-
5 reported chronic health conditions (< 4 vs. ≥ 4) and groups of participants (from
6 primary care and community centres vs. caregivers). Based on previous research we did
7 not expect to find associations with sociodemographic variables. We expected
8 nondepressed, participants with a lower number of chronic health conditions (i.e < 4),
9 those from primary care and community centres to score higher on the AAQ
10 psychological growth and physical change subscales and lower on the psychosocial loss
11 subscale. Thus, we expected healthy non-depressed participants to endorse more
12 positive attitudes to ageing compared to depressed participants, or those with higher
13 number of chronic health conditions, or those who are caregivers. T-tests were
14 performed to examine group differences with a two-tailed p-value <.05 regarded as
15 statistically significant. All statistical calculations were performed with SPSS for
16 Windows v19.0 (SPSS v19.0 for Windows; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Results**

31 **Sample characteristics**

32 Nearly seventy percent of participants were from primary care centres and
33 community centres; the remaining 29.8 percent were caregivers. Table 2 shows
34 participant characteristics.
35
36

37 INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE
38
39
40
41

42 **Psychometric properties of the AAQ**

43 *Acceptability.* There were no floor/ceiling effects. Percentage of missing information
44 was low.
45

46 *Reliability.* Internal consistency measured by Cronbach's alpha was .59 for
47 Psychological Growth, .73 for Physical change and .70 for Psychosocial Loss (Table 3).
48
49

50 INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE
51
52
53
54

55 *Factor Structure.* The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin statistic (KMO) was greater than .5
56 (KMO=.703) and the Bartlett test of sphericity was significant (p < .05), indicating an
57 underlying structure in the scale. Table 4 presents the factor solution for the Spanish
58
59
60

1
2
3 version of AAQ. After principal components factor extraction and Varimax rotation, the
4 solution indicated a model with three factors that accounted for 34% of the variance.
5
6 Visual inspection of the Scree Plot also strongly supported the three factors solution.
7
8 Results using principal axis factoring were basically unchanged. All items, except two
9 items (*Important to take exercise at any age* and *Want to give a good example*), loaded
10 $\geq .40$ on any factor, one item (*Growing older easier than I thought*) loaded $\geq .40$ on two
11 factors, and one item (*Believe my life has made a difference*) loaded on another factor.
12
13

14 INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE
15
16
17

18 *Convergent validity.* A statistically significant negative correlation was found
19 between AAQ Physical change and age ($r = -.137, p = .046$). As expected, moderate
20 correlations were found between AAQ physical change and psychosocial loss subscales
21 with the physical and psychological WHOQOL-BREF domains; the WHOQOL-OLD;
22 the total GDS and the PCS-12 (Table 5).
23
24
25

26 INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE
27
28
29

30 *Discriminant validity* showed that men scored significantly higher on the
31 psychosocial loss subscale compared to females [$t(219) = 2.229, p = .027$, two tailed].
32 Participants with higher educational level scored significantly higher on the physical
33 change [$t(211) = -2.138, p = .034$ two tailed] and lower on the psychosocial loss
34 subscales [$t(217) = 2.641, p = .009$ two tailed] (Table 6).
35
36
37
38

39 *A priori defined groups.* Participants with lower depressive symptoms ($GDS-30 < 11$)
40 compared to those with higher symptoms ($GDS-30 \geq 11$) scored significantly higher on
41 the physical change and lower on the psychosocial loss subscales. A similar result was
42 found between participants self-reporting lower number of chronic conditions (< 4)
43 compared to participants reporting greater number of chronic conditions (≥ 4).
44
45 Compared to caregivers, participants from primary care and community centres scored
46 significantly higher on the physical change and psychological growth subcales (Table
47 6).
48
49
50
51

52 INSERT TABLE 6 HERE
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

Our aim was to study the psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the AAQ among Spanish elderly. The Spanish version of the AAQ showed satisfactory psychometric properties (acceptability, internal consistency, and construct, convergent and discriminant validity). The AAQ was designed to assess attitudes towards ageing across cultures. All subscales had lower reliabilities than those reported in the international study (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007) where each domain reported very similar PSI scores (the IRT equivalent of Cronbach alphas). In the current study the Psychological growth subscale demonstrates the lowest reliability. Deleting the item *Believe my life has made a difference* increases reliability to .62, though this remains lower than acceptable level. This apparent breakdown in reliability is difficult to explain given that the larger original data sample (Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007) reports no problems with reliability. It is possible that some of the conceptual ideas contained in the psychological growth domain may be more sensitive to the difference in the participant sample recruited here. Thus in the current sample here there is more variability because of the mix of caregivers and non-caregivers. As a consequence we have inherited a larger degree of heterogeneity in our sample in terms of an individual attribution towards the experience of ageing. For example, becoming a dementia caregiver is a major life event involving both negative (Pinquart and Sorensen, 2011) and positive aspects (Cohen *et al.*, 2002) and it is highly likely the reduction in reliability on the AAQ domain of Psychological Growth is a consequence of this. Given the challenges and variability in providing caregiving, items like wisdom accruing and becoming more accepting of oneself may become polarized between caregiving and non-caregiving. Two recommendations flow from this issue. First, in future research participants should be more homogenous in terms of current life experiences, and second, a study exploring the impact of caregiving on attitudes to ageing is urgently recommended.

Three factors emerged that correspond to the identical factor structure as found in the international study. The three-factors solution were psychosocial loss, physical change and psychological growth/ wisdom. All items, except two items loaded $\geq .40$ on any factor, though these two items loaded more than .35.

In terms of discriminant validity, regarding associations between the AAQ and sociodemographic variables, level of education showed significant differences in two

1
2
3 AAQ subscales and gender in one subscale. In this current study, participants with more
4 chronic medical conditions, significant depressive symptoms, and caregivers also had
5 more negative attitudes toward ageing (lower AAQ scores). These findings are
6 consistent with previous reports about negative images of poor physical health and its
7 association with negative attitudes toward ageing (Harrison *et al.*, 2008; Bryant *et al.*,
8 2012), which in turn has detrimental effects on future health outcomes (Levy *et al.*,
9 2002). Social support may act as a buffer between stressful situations and physical and
10 mental health outcomes in the case of caregivers (O'Connor and McCabe, 2011).

11
12
13
14
15
16 In Spain, a recent survey indicated that caregivers of older people are mostly
17 female (82.6%), and nearly one third are 60 years and older. However, health and social
18 care services are limited to satisfy adequately their needs. Additionally, Spanish
19 caregivers of persons with dementia (mostly spouses, daughters) talking about their
20 experiences of caregiving reported not being listened to by their primary care physicians
21 when noticing early stage symptoms of dementia such as forgetfulness, irritability,
22 confusion, disorientation or lack of initiative (Lucas & Monteserín, 2007). These early
23 symptoms of dementia may be dismissed as 'normal' experiences of ageing. This may
24 suggest that healthcare providers endorse a negative attitude to ageing that may result in
25 people not experiencing help at a time when it is needed. Perhaps as the AAQ research
26 enterprise develops, attitudes of healthcare providers may become the subject of
27 scrutiny.

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 In a recent study looking at QoL in caregivers providing care to recipients with four
38 different illnesses, mood proved to be a significant predictor for QoL (O'Connor and
39 McCabe, 2011). Likewise in this current study, mood is hypothesised to have an
40 important impact on AAQ scores. Levy (2003) states that ageist attitudes internalized
41 during childhood become negative age-stereotypes that are reinforced by an attentional
42 bias to negative information about ageing and eventually these beliefs become negative
43 self-stereotypes. Thus as individuals age, internalised age stereotypes operating outside
44 the individual's conscious awareness, become activated by congruent negative
45 experiences attributed to ageing (the stress is only relevant as it is congruent with the
46 diathesis).

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 Older people may be prone to attribute negative events in later life as a
55 consequence of ageing resulting in an enhanced psychological vulnerability in later life
56 for those who endorse negative beliefs about age (Levy, 2009). In other words negative
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 events are attributed to the ageing process and this plausible explanatory construct
4 reinforces an individual's negative appraisals congruent with a negative self-stereotype
5 of ageing so that the older person misattribute symptoms of depression (sleep
6 disturbance, anhedonia, hopelessness about the future, etc) as being merely the negative
7 consequences of ageing and thus fails to seek help for a treatable illness. Consistent
8 with this idea is evidence that depressed older people who attribute depression to ageing
9 are less likely to seek treatment for it (Sarkisian *et al.*, 2003).
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 Improving mood results in an improvement in attitudes to ageing (Chachamovich *et al.*,
17 2008). Therefore, the difficulty may be that others (social and healthcare professionals
18 inexperienced in working with older people) may also unwittingly endorse negative
19 stereotypical beliefs (e.g. Burroughs *et al.*, 2006) and the older person is not empowered
20 to challenge their negative attributions.
21
22
23

24 This information is important for professionals because interventions targeted
25 to improved social support in persons with depression, functional and social limitations
26 have been proved beneficial (Fong *et al.*, 2006; Harrison *et al.*, 2008). Until now models
27 of negative attributions about ageing have been limited by the lack of appropriate tools.
28 The AAQ allows for the above hypothesis to be further explored in clinical settings and
29 with clinical populations (i.e., depressed) and special populations (i.e., caregivers).
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Limitations of the study.

37 First and most importantly, a convenience sample was recruited in this study and
38 therefore it is difficult to say with any precision how representative these results are for
39 the wider population. Nonetheless the same factor structure was achieved in comparison
40 to that reported for the international sample. While all participants were community
41 dwelling and free from cognitive impairment a large subset of the sample reported here
42 were caregivers. There is no report of the AAQ being used with caregivers before,
43 however as they were merely asked to complete the AAQ using the standard
44 instructions, they approached this task free from any external consideration of being a
45 caregiver and as such there ought to be no reliability issues. It would be interesting to
46 follow this issue up further and examine the impact that caring for another person dying
47 from a disease associated with old age has upon one's attitudes to ageing.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 While questions are always raised about samples recruited opportunistically, basic
4 sociodemographic characteristics such as gender and educational level did not differ
5 from those reported on the Catalan health survey (ESCA - Enquesta de Salut de
6 Catalunya, 2002). Second, we did not include participants living in care facilities. Third,
7 we did not assess cognitive status of participants as no individuals with cognitive
8 impairment would have met inclusion criteria hence the sample is free from impairment.
9 Fourth, we did not collect information on the stability of the measure (test-retest). Fifth,
10 the cross-sectional nature prevents a determination of causality.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 In conclusion, it is expected that the AAQ will have high utility for professionals
19 working with older people in distress. The AAQ can be useful as a direct measure of an
20 individual's attitude to ageing. As studies have demonstrated that most older people
21 endorse positive attitudes to ageing (Bryant *et al.*, 2012; Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007) the
22 healthcare practitioner may wish to assess whether there is evidence for negative
23 misattributions of the cause of their problems to ageing. Negative attitudes to ageing
24 appear to be mood-state dependent (Bryant *et al.*, 2012; Chachamovich *et al.*, 2008)
25 rather than a fixed unchangeable appraisal. This would suggest that practitioners may
26 wish to assess mood-state at the same time as they assess attitudes.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conflict of interest:

None. All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Description of authors' roles:

Dr. Lucas-Carrasco had full access to the data and was responsible for carrying out the data analysis; she and Dr. Laidlaw interpreted the results and prepared the draft manuscript. Prof. Power and Prof. Gómez-Benito reviewed results and contributed to the drafting of the final manuscript by commenting on earlier versions.

Acknowledgments:

We thank all the Centers, and specially the persons who participated in the study. The study was funded by the European Commission Fifth Framework, QLRT-2000-00320, and was carried out under the auspices of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Group (WHOQOL Group). The funder did not have any role in the analysis of the data or in the preparation of the manuscript. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Autonomous University of Barcelona.

References

- Boerner, K. and Jopp, D.** (2007). Improvement/maintenance and reorientation as central features of coping with major life change and loss: Contributions of three life-span theories. *Human Development*, 50, 171-195.
- Bryant, C., Bei, B., Gilson, K., Komiti, A., Jackson, H., and Judd, F.** (2012). The relationship between attitudes to aging and physical and mental health in older adults. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 24, 1674-1683. doi: 10.1017/S1041610212000774
- Burroughs, H., Lovell, K., Morley, M., Baldwin, R., Burns, A. and Chew-Graham, C.** (2006) 'Justifiable depression': How primary care professionals and patients view late life depression? A qualitative study. *Family Practice*, 23, 369-377.
- Carstensen, L.L. and Löckenhoff, C.E.** (2003). Ageing, emotion, and evolution: the bigger picture. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1000, 152-179.
- Carstensen, L.C., Turan, B., Scheibe, S., Ram, N., Ersner-Hershfield, H., Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Brooks, K. P., and Nesselroade, J.R.** (2011). Emotional experience improves with age: Evidence based on over 10 years of experience sampling. *Psychology & Ageing*, 26, 21-33.
- Chachamovich, E., Fleck, M., Laidlaw, K. and Power, M.** (2008). Impact of major depression and subsyndromal symptoms on quality of life and attitudes toward ageing in an international sample of older adults. *Gerontologist*, 48(5), 593-602.
- Cohen, C.A., Colantonio, A. and Vernich, L.** (2002) Positive aspects of caregiving: Rounding out the caregiver experience. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17, 184-188.
- Cohen, S. and Wills, T.A.** (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 98(2), 310-357.
- Cohen, J.** (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum, New Jersey.
- ESCA (Enquesta de salut de Catalunya). Departament de Sanitat i Seguretat Social. Generalitat de Catalunya, 2002. Retrieved from <http://www.gencat.cat/salut/depsalut/pdf/vis2002es.pdf>.
- Fong, T., Finlayson, M. and Peacock, N.** (2006). The social experience of ageing with a chronic illness: Perspectives of older adults with multiple sclerosis. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 28, 695-705.
- Harrison, T., Blozis, S. and Stuijbergen, A.** (2008). Longitudinal Predictors of Attitudes toward Ageing among Women with Multiple Sclerosis. *Psychology and Ageing*, 23(4), 823-832.
- Laidlaw, K., Power, M.J., Schmidt, S., and the WHOQOL-OLD Group.** (2007). The attitudes to ageing questionnaire (AAQ): development and psychometric properties. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 367-379.

- 1
2
3
4 **Lawton, M.P.** (1975). The Philadelphia Geriatric Center Morale Scale: a revision.
5 *Journal of Gerontology*, 30, 85-89.
6
- 7 **Levy, B.R., Slade, M.D. and Kasl, S.V.** (2002). Longitudinal benefit of positive self-
8 perceptions of ageing on functional health. *Journal of Gerontology*, 57B, 409-417.
9
- 10 **Levy, B.R.** (2003). Mind matters: cognitive and physical effects of ageing self-
11 stereotypes. *Journal of Gerontology*, 58B: 203-211.
12
- 13 **Levy, B.R.** (2009). Stereotype embodiment: A psychosocial approach to aging. *Current*
14 *Directions in Psychological Science*, 18, 332-336.
15
- 16 **Levy, B. and Myers, L.** (2005). Relationship between respiratory mortality and self-
17 perceptions of ageing. *Psychology and Health*, 20, 553-564.
18
- 19 **Lucas Carrasco, R. and Monteserín, R.** (2007). What constitutes Quality of Life for
20 People with Cognitive Impairment and Dementia? 2007 International Society for
21 Quality of Life Research meeting abstracts [www.isoqol.org/2007mtgabstracts.pdf].
22 *Quality of Life Research supplement*, A-12, Abstract #1243
23
- 24 **Lucas-Carrasco, R., Laidlaw, K. and Power, M.J.** (2011). Suitability of the
25 WHOQOL-BREF and WHOQOL-OLD for Spanish older adults. *Ageing and Mental*
26 *Health*, 15(5), 595-604.
27
- 28 **Nunnally, J. and Bernstein, I.** (1994). Psychometric theory (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill,
29 New York.
30
- 31 **O'Connor, E.J. and McCabe, M.P.** (2011). Predictors of quality of life in carers for
32 people with a progressive neurological illness: a longitudinal study. *Quality of Life*
33 *Research*, 20(5), 703-711.
34
- 35 **Pinquart, M. and Sorensen, S.** (2011) Spouses, adult children, and children-in-law as
36 caregivers of older adults. A meta-analytic comparison. *Psychology & Aging*, 26 (1), 1-
37 14.
38
- 39 **Power, M.J., Quinn, K., Schmidt, S., and The WHOQOL-OLD Group.** (2005).
40 Development of the WHOQOL-OLD module. *Quality of Life Research*, 14, 2197-2214.
41
- 42 **Sarkisian, C.A., Lee-Henderson, M.H. and Mangione, C.M.** (2003) Do depressed
43 older adults who attribute depression to "old age" believe it is important to seek care?
44 *The Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 18, 1001-1005.
45
- 46 **Stock, W.A., Okun, M.A. and Gómez Benito, J.** (1994). Subjective well-being
47 measures: reliability and validity among Spanish elders. *International Journal of Ageing*
48 *and Human Development*, 38(3), 221-235.
49
- 50 **Streiner, D.L. and Norman, G.R.** Validity. In: Streiner DL, Norman GR, editors.
51 Health measurement scales. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1995.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **The WHOQOL Group.** (1998a). The World Health Organization Quality of Life
4 Assessment (WHOQOL): development and general psychometric properties. *Social*
5 *Science and Medicine*, 46, 1569-1585.
6

7 **The WHOQOL Group.** (1998b). Development of The World Health Organization
8 WHOQOL-BREF Quality of Life Assessment. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 551-558.
9

10 **United Nations,** Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
11 (2009). World Population Prospects: The 2008 revision, Highlights, Working Paper No.
12 ESA/P/WP.210.
13

14 **Vaillant, G.E.** (2002). Ageing Well: Surprising Guideposts to a Happier Life from the
15 Landmark Harvard Study of Adult Development. Little Brown & Co: Boston, MA.
16

17 **Ware, J.E., Kosinski, M., Turner-Bowker, D.M., Gandek, B. and Keller, S.D.**
18 (2002). How to score: version 2 of the SF-12 health (with a supplement documenting
19 version 1). Health Assessment Lab, Lincoln, RI, Quality Metric Incorporated and
20 Boston MA
21
22

23 **Yesavage, J.A., Brink, T.L., Rose, T.L, Lum, O., Huang, V., Adey, M. and Leirer,**
24 **V.O.** (1983). Development and validation of a geriatric depression scale: a preliminary
25 report. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 17, 37-49.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Attitudes to Ageing scale.

Psychosocial loss

Old age is a time of loneliness
Old age is a depressing time of life
I find it more difficult to talk about my feelings as I get older
I see old age mainly as a time of loss
I am losing my physical independence as I get older
As I get older I find it more difficult to make new friends
I don't feel involved in society now that I am older
I feel excluded from things because of my age

Scale 2: Physical change

It is important to take exercise at any age
Growing older has been easier than I thought.
I don't feel old
My identity is not defined by my age
I have more energy now than I expected for my age
Problems with my physical health do not hold me back from doing what I want
My health is better than I expected for my age
I keep as fit and active as possible by exercising

Scale 3: Psychological growth

As people get older they are better able to cope with life
It is a privilege to grow old
Wisdom comes with age
There are many pleasant things about growing older
I am more accepting of myself as I have grown older
It is very important to pass on the benefits of my experiences
to younger people
I believe my life has made a difference
I want to give a good example to younger people

Source: Laidlaw *et al.*, 2007

Table 2. Participant characteristics

Sociodemographic and health status variables	Total sample n = 242
Age (years): mean (SD); Range	71.1 (7.1); 60-94
Gender: n (%)	
Male	96 (39.7)
Female	146 (60.3)
Marital Status: n (%)	
Married	159 (65.7)
Other	83 (34.3)
Educational Level: n (%)	
Primary & lower	132 (54.5)
Secondary & higher	108 (44.6)
Missing	2 (0.9)
N° chronic conditions: n (%)	
- <4	104 (43.0)
- ≥4	121 (50.0)
Missing	17 (7.0)
GDS-30	
mean (SD) Range	9.8 (6.1) (0-27)
GDS-30<11: n (%)	141 (58.3)
GDS-30≥11: n (%)	101 (41.7)
SF-12: mean (SD)	
Physical component (PCS12)	41.9 (10.8)
Mental component (MCS12)	50.5 (10.8)

SD: Standard Deviation; GDS-30: Geriatric Depression Scale 30-item version;
SF-12: Short Form Health Survey

Table 3. Descriptive statistics, acceptability and reliability parameters of the AAQ subscales

<i>Domain</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Missing (%)</i>	<i>Floor (%)</i>	<i>Ceiling (%)</i>	<i>Skewness</i>	<i>Kurtosis</i>	<i>α</i>
Physical change	26.7	4.9	.0	0.4	0.4	-.169	.334	.73
Psychological growth	27.7	4.3	3.7	1.7	0.4	-.031	.060	.59
Psychosocial loss	28.0	5.2	3.7	0.8	0.4	-.001	-.426	.70

SD: Standard Deviation; α : Chronbach'a alpha coefficient

Table 4. Rotated factor matrix of the Spanish AAQ

Item	Factor 1 Physical Change	Factor 2 Psychosocial Loss	Factor 3 Psychological Growth
Old age time of illness	.084	.420	.085
Old age depressing time of life	.174	.622	.068
More difficult to talk about feelings	.031	.451	-.270
Old age mainly as a time of loss	-.289	.492	.267
Losing physical independence as I get older	-.261	.571	-.141
More difficult to make new friends	.105	.630	.068
Don't feel involved in society	.209	.559	.042
I feel excluded from things because of my age	.111	.559	-.137
Important to take exercise at any age	.374	.037	.169
Growing older easier than I thought	.469	.175	.459
I don't feel old	.529	-.081	.186
My identity is not defined by my age	.529	-.103	.078
More energy than I expected for my age	.729	.131	.086
Physical health problems don't hold me back	.495	.009	.124
Health is better than expected for my age	.668	.063	-.150
Keep myself as fit and active as possible by exercising	.586	.122	.121
Better able to cope with life	.113	.206	.478
Privilege to grow old	.092	.020	.577
Wisdom comes with age	.140	-.168	.535
Pleasant things about growing older	.132	.112	.596
More accepting of myself	.374	.044	.425
Pass on benefits of experience	-.054	-.078	.553
Believe my life has made a difference	.144	-.424	-.028
Want to give a good example	.242	-.237	.387

Table 5. **Convergent validity** Association of the AAQ with other measures using Pearson correlations.

	Physical		Psychological		Psychosocial	
	change	<i>p</i>	growth	<i>p</i>	loss	<i>p</i>
	<i>r</i>		<i>r</i>		<i>r</i>	
Age	-.137	.046	.063	.355	.122	.070
WHOQOL-BREF Domains						
Physical	.533	<.001	.120	.079	-.381	<.001
Psychological	.427	<.001	.104	.131	-.366	<.001
Social relation	.192	.005	.074	.276	-.264	<.001
Environment	.345	<.001	.077	.260	-.282	<.001
WHOQOL-OLD	.344	<.001	.114	.095	.359	<.001
SF-12						
Physical component (PCS12)	.403	<.001	-.080	.255	-.344	<.001
Mental component (MCS12)	.204	.004	.069	.325	-.378	<.001
GDS-30 total	-.347	<.001	-.101	.136	.448	<.001

GDS-30: Geriatric Depression Scale 30-item version; SF-12: Short Form Health Survey
r=Pearson's correlation coefficient; *p*: *p* value

Table 6. Discriminant validity of the AAQ.

	Physical change		Psychological growth		Psychosocial loss	
	Mean (SD)	t test, df; p value	Mean (SD)	t test, df; p value	Mean (SD)	t test, df; p value
Sociodemographics						
Gender						
- Male	27.0 (4.5)	.875, 213; .383	27.9 (4.3)	.490, 217; .625	20.9 (5.6)	2.229, 219; .027
- Female	26.5 (5.2)		27.6 (4.4)		19.4 (4.9)	
Educational Level: n (%)						
- Primary & lower	26.1 (5.2)	-2.138, 211; .034	28.3 (4.5)	1.859, 215; .064	20.9 (5.2)	2.641, 217; .009
- Secondary & higher	27.5 (4.4)		27.2 (4.1)		19.0 (5.2)	
A priori defined groups						
Depressive symptoms						
- GDS-30 <11 non-depressed	28.0 (4.3)	4.732, 213; <.001	27.9 (4.0)	.690, 217; .491	18.5 (5.0)	-5.583, 219; <.001
- GDS-30 ≥11 depressed	24.9 (5.1)		27.5 (4.8)		22.2 (4.7)	
N° chronic conditions						
- <4	28.2 (4.5)	3.963, 201; <.001	27.5 (4.5)	-1.278, 203; .203	18.4 (5.1)	-4.025, 205; <.001
- ≥4	25.6 (5.0)		28.2 (4.3)		21.3 (5.1)	
Populations						
- primary care & community	27.2 (5.0)	2.468, 213; .014	28.2 (4.1)	2.608, 217; .010	20.0 (5.0)	.048, 219; .962
- Caregivers	25.4 (4.8)		26.6 (4.7)		20.0 (5.7)	

SD: Standard Deviation

GDS-30 =Geriatric Depression Scale-30 items