



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Editorial

Walking, cycling and active travel as part of physical activity and public health systems

**Citation for published version:**

Kelly, P, Bourne, J, Richards, J, Salvo, D & Gill, JMR 2023, 'Editorial: Walking, cycling and active travel as part of physical activity and public health systems', *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*, vol. 5, 1321450, pp. 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1321450>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.3389/fspor.2023.1321450](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1321450)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

Frontiers in Sports and Active Living

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Richard Giulianotti,  
Loughborough University, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Paul Kelly  
✉ p.kelly@ed.ac.uk

RECEIVED 14 October 2023  
ACCEPTED 19 October 2023  
PUBLISHED 01 November 2023

CITATION  
Kelly P, Bourne J, Richards J, Salvo D and  
Gill JMR (2023) Editorial: Walking, cycling and  
active travel as part of physical activity and  
public health systems.  
Front. Sports Act. Living 5:1321450.  
doi: 10.3389/fspor.2023.1321450

COPYRIGHT  
© 2023 Kelly, Bourne, Richards, Salvo and Gill.  
This is an open-access article distributed under  
the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Editorial: Walking, cycling and active travel as part of physical activity and public health systems

Paul Kelly<sup>1\*</sup>, Jessica Bourne<sup>2</sup>, Justin Richards<sup>3</sup>, Deborah Salvo<sup>4</sup>  
and Jason M. R. Gill<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Sport, Physical Education and Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>School of Health and Exercise Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Te Hau Kori—the Centre for Physical Activity and Wellbeing, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand, <sup>4</sup>Prevention Research Centre, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, United States, <sup>5</sup>School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

## KEYWORDS

walking, cycling, health, active travel, public health

## Editorial on the Research Topic

**Walking, cycling and active travel as part of physical activity and public health systems**

## Introduction

Increasing active travel is seen as a priority in many parts of the world, as it can facilitate mobility (e.g., reduced congestion), benefit the environment (e.g., reduced pollution and carbon emissions) and improve physical and mental health (1–3). The present Research Topic includes papers on several of the factors which we need to address to increase active travel.

## Establishing the benefits and known barriers

In a comprehensive review of the evidence, Logan *et al.* report the health benefits of cycling, the economic benefits, known barriers, and a summary of approaches to try and promote cycling. This can help make the case for cycling, support advocacy, and inform intervention development. Meanwhile, Kardan *et al.* reviewed the evidence related to cycling focussing on older adults, highlighting the importance of traffic safety in the evidence base.

In a study that focussed on health, Ding *et al.* reported positive associations with both physical and mental health and cycling to school in Chinese adolescents. In their multi-country study, Cordovil *et al.* showed that age of learning to cycle (necessary first step to getting people to cycle more) varies between countries and is likely impacted by individual, environmental, and temporal factors.

## Improving our measures

Several studies in this Research Topic investigated ways of measuring active travel, seen as a key step in facilitating behaviour change (4). Malnes et al. reported findings on the convergent validity of a new travel diary for school travel. The role of technology was also explored. Saito et al. assessed the validity and reliability of a smartphone application for measuring walking ability in older adults, while Pesola et al. looked at the ability of a thigh worn accelerometer to assess free-living cycling in children.

## The growth of e-cycling

Building on ever improving technology, sales of e-bikes have increased substantially in recent years and e-cycling is becoming increasingly prevalent. In their systematic review, Riiser et al. report evidence of health benefits from e-cycling, particularly increases in cardiorespiratory fitness. However the authors cautioned that the quality of these studies was generally low and more higher quality studies are required to determine the impact of e-cycling on health and the environment to support policy initiatives. Using a qualitative approach, Bourne et al. reported the determinants of e-cycling in people with Type 2 Diabetes. The enjoyment experienced while e-cycling was a key facilitator of engagement. The authors highlight that bike training was important to increase actual and perceived ability to e-cycle. These studies provide important factors for consideration in future e-cycling promotion efforts.

## Intervention development

Connell et al. reported the comprehensive development and piloting of a multi-component workplace cycling intervention targeting several identified barriers to cycling reported through engagement with the target population. This type of evidence based, multi-component intervention provides a helpful template for future initiatives to increase the potential for behaviour change.

## Policy and partnerships

Niven et al. described learning from 10 years of delivery organisations, academic researchers, and other stakeholders working in partnership on national walking promotion. Power et al. used a systems lens to understand walking policy at a country level. Moving from country to city level, Corr et al. used systems approaches to understand and develop cycling promotion strategies. Collectively these papers demonstrate that although building trusting cross-sectoral relationships can require significant investments of time this multidisciplinary collaboration seems necessary to promote cohesive action. Finally, Kahlmeier et al. described how health economic tools can be used to inform, influence and evaluate active travel

intervention and policy. A tool such as the Health Economic Assessment Tool (HEAT) for walking and cycling is appealing to multiple stakeholders including academics, governments and private organisations.

## Looking forward

Considering the evidence included in this Research Topic and reflecting on the global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic over the last few years, it appears that we are at a critical juncture in the promotion of active transport. We have seen significant changes in worldwide mobility and travel behaviour. On one side there was a shift towards remote working environments, which reduced overall population commuting with more people working from home. Shifting commuters from motorised to active transport modalities has historically been a key target for walking and cycling initiatives as demonstrated by several studies in this Research Topic. Consequently, we may need to think more broadly about the types of “trips” we target in active transport advocacy.

Conversely, during the pandemic we saw some temporary shifts in the way people interacted with the physical environment immediately around their homes. Many people walked and cycled in their local neighbourhood and discovered local options to be physically active that they were not previously aware of or did not perceive to be safe. This may be something that is still fresh in the minds of the collective population and may have generated a latent demand for intervention, which may present a “once-in-a-generation” opportunity that must be acted upon to encourage lasting change, before it is too late. Several articles in this Research Topic outline how governments and organisations could intervene at the policy and community level to bring about more sustained active transport behaviour change.

## Author contributions

PK: Writing – original draft. JB: Writing – review & editing. JR: Writing – review & editing. DS: Writing – review & editing. JG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declared that they were an editorial board member of *Frontiers*, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Kelly P, Kahlmeier S, Götschi T, Orsini N, Richards J, Roberts N, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of reduction in all-cause mortality from walking and cycling and shape of dose response relationship. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* (2014) 11(1):1–5. doi: 10.1186/s12966-014-0132-x
2. Kelly P, Murphy M, Mutrie N. The health benefits of walking. In: Corinne M, Klaus G, Ding D editors. *Walking*. Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing Limited (2017) 9. pp. 61–79.
3. Kahlmeier S, Götschi T, Cavill N, Castro Fernandez A, Brand C, Rojas Rueda D, et al. Health economic assessment tool (HEAT) for walking and for cycling: methods and user guide on physical activity, air pollution, injuries and carbon impact assessments.
4. Sallis JF, Owen N, Fotheringham MJ. Behavioral epidemiology: a systematic framework to classify phases of research on health promotion and disease prevention. *Ann Behav Med.* (2000) 22(4):294–8. doi: 10.1007/BF02895665