



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## NHS Manifesto: the missing piece of the puzzle

**Citation for published version:**

Stewart, E, Young, I & Cunningham-Burley, S 2017, 'NHS Manifesto: the missing piece of the puzzle', *The Lancet*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31446-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31446-0)

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31446-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31446-0)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

The Lancet

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is the author's peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for The Lancet  
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: THELANCET-D-16-07379

Title: NHS Manifesto: the missing piece of the puzzle.

Article Type: Correspondence

Keywords: Health policy

NHS

Public engagement

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ellen A Stewart,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Edinburgh

First Author: Ellen A Stewart

Order of Authors: Ellen A Stewart; Ingrid Young; Sarah Cunningham-Burley

Manuscript Region of Origin: UNITED KINGDOM

**TITLE: NHS Manifesto: the missing piece of the puzzle.**

AUTHORS: Dr Ellen Stewart\*, Dr Ingrid Young and Professor Sarah Cunningham-Burley.

The Lancet's NHS manifesto (1) is a broadly compelling one, and yet it mirrors an error made by NHS England's 5 Year Forward View (2) in calling for a major transformation of the UK's largest public service without acknowledging the importance of public engagement in those plans. To be sure, Crisp et al call for services to be made patient-centred and patients and carers are to be engaged "in decision making and care". But the overwhelming role outlined for the wider public and communities is as a provider of the informal care required to shrink NHS services.

The absence of an empowered public role in this manifesto is problematic because the continued "great national coming together" of the NHS depends upon public support. This must be nurtured through careful, genuine public engagement to build collective ownership of the future shape of the NHS. Instead Crisp et al present a ready-made blueprint for a future NHS, with transformation to be accelerated and improvement to be 'driven forward' by central Government "plan[ning] at scale" rather than one developed in partnership with the very public the NHS serves.

Even those who see public engagement as a luxury, or pandering to wrong-headed populism, should face the political realities of large-scale health system change in the UK. Where the public is dictated to and not meaningfully involved in decision-making, there will be noisy, time-consuming and expensive conflicts, and perhaps judicial review of NHS decisions. If there is a case for change, make it. But seek to progress health system transformation with, and not in spite of, the wider public.

1. Crisp N, Stuckler D, Horton R, Adebowale V, Bailey S, Baker M, et al. Manifesto for a healthy and health-creating society. *The Lancet*. 2016 Oct 7th.
2. NHS England. Five Year Forward View. Leeds: NHS England; 2014. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/5yfv-web.pdf>

Authors' contributions: ES suggested and drafted the response. IY and SCB read and made additions. All authors read and agreed the final text.

Conflict of interest statements: None of the authors have any conflicts to disclose.

Role of funding source: ES currently receives research funding from the Chief Scientist Office, Scotland and the Health Foundation. IY receives research funding from the Chief Scientist Office, Scotland. SCB receives research funding from the Wellcome Trust and the Economic and Social Research Council. None of these funding bodies had any involvement in any aspect of this response.

Ethics committee approval: No empirical research is reported, therefore no ethics approval is required.