



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

An anatomy of the war on woke

Citation for published version:

Davies, HC & MacRae, SE 2023, 'An anatomy of the war on woke', *Race and Class*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03063968231164905>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1177/03063968231164905](https://doi.org/10.1177/03063968231164905)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Race and Class

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



An anatomy of the British war on woke

HUW C. DAVIES and SHEENA E. MACRAE

Abstract: The British war on woke is an intensive ideological campaign against social justice movements that is mobilising far-right tropes and conspiracy theories within mainstream British political discourse. It sees itself in a battle of good versus evil, reason against the dark forces of pre-modernity, ‘Cultural Marxists’ and a ‘globalist elite’ intent on ruining ‘western civilisation’ and replacing ‘white’ British culture with woke multiculturalism. The authors examine this campaign’s discourses on various digital media including magazines, blogs, news sites and Twitter, and used search engines and a media database to capture a network graph of a community waging its war on woke. Using the graph metric of ‘betweenness centrality’, they isolate and visualise a small densely inter-connected homophily of political actors who share media platforms and cooperate with think-tanks, campaign groups, and ‘educational charities’. Using van Dijk’s concept of the ideological square, they explore the conceptual logics driving this campaign to its extreme positions, often justified on the basis of representing the interests of the British *white* working class.

Huw C. Davies is an alumnus of the Web Science Institute and the Oxford Internet Institute, currently working as a lecturer in Data and Society at the Moray House School of Education, Edinburgh; huw.davies@ed.ac.uk

Sheena E. MacRae works on the interactions between policy, education, media and politics from a digital sociology perspective, currently with the Knowledge Power and Politics research cluster at the University of Cambridge and the Screen Department at the University of Hull; sem231@cam.ac.uk

Keywords: climate change, conspiracy theories, Don't Divide Us, far Right, Free Speech Union, GB News, History Reclaimed, scientific racism, *Spiked*, *Unherd*, war on woke, white working class

Introduction

Recently, a group of academics and cultural commentators have framed woke-ness as a pseudo-religion. As Phelan notes, Hayek, in 1973, foreshadowed this by calling social justice a 'quasi-religious superstition' that 'has no meaningful place in a social order organised around a market economy'.¹ This framing was resurrected by American academic John McWhorter and developed into a full 'taxonomy' of 'woke religion' by Michael Shellenberger and Peter Boghossian.² In 2021, this framing was voiced on UK television by Professor Eric Kaufmann who told his host, Andrew Doyle, on GB News that wokeism involved the 'sacralization of historically marginalised out [*sic*] race, sexual and gendered identity groups'.³ One of Kaufmann's collaborators, Professor Matthew Goodwin, developed this definition further on Twitter (see Figure 1).

Subsequently, a community has coalesced around this pseudo-religious definition of wokeism, and within this community the woke are described as a threat to 'western civilisation'. From their various media and political platforms, these anti-woke campaigners retain the right to adjudicate what is woke and what they allow to be considered normal, thereby policing the speech and behaviours of social justice campaigners.⁴ To achieve this, anti-woke actors have to be seen to be moral and rational. However, woke and wokeism are not empirically established concepts. Rather they are discursive constructs with sufficient interpretive flexibility⁵ to allow anti-woke campaigners to apply them to any group or practice they wish to discredit.

Anti-woke campaigners use platforms that also espouse the benefits of global warming and fossil fuel production, which the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change says is not just a threat to what anti-woke campaigners claim to be protecting ('western civilisation') but the *whole* of humanity and our ecosystem.⁶ This article explains how, via a denial of structural racism, this community has ended up telling itself that academics identifying artefacts looted from colonies on display in stately homes are 'extremists', while a sustained year-on-year series of record-breaking temperatures in the UK and across the globe is just normal weather.⁷ We begin this explanation by describing how this pseudo-religious frame has become the latest attempt to intellectualise a moral panic about perceived leftwing cultural hegemony.

The term 'woke' can be traced back to pre-war African Americans responding to their racist persecution. For example, in 1938, it was used by the folk singer-songwriter Huddie Ledbetter (*aka* Lead Belly), to warn potential victims of racist violence that they 'best stay woke, keep their eyes open'.⁸ During the civil rights movement woke came to mean being fully politically conscious of one's racial




Figure 1. Goodwin's definition of wokeness.

oppression. It was reactivated, particularly via social media, during the Black Lives Matter (BLM) campaign, especially in the wake of George Floyd's murder.⁹

Activists and journalists soon became concerned that its memeification through social media discourse trivialised the concept of woke, making it popular but poorly understood.¹⁰ Scholarship also began to emerge that critiqued the cynical commercialisation of woke messaging, particularly in corporate marketing to young progressive audiences. Sobande calls this 'woke-washing' where, in the absence of any actionable appreciation of the structural and intersecting 'nature of oppressive forces linked to racism, sexism and transphobia', companies offer their publics relatively meaningless anodyne and comforting stereotypes of performative empowerment.¹¹ Kanai and Gill argue such 'woke capitalism' is 'making racial, gendered and sexualised oppression a matter of individual responsibility and attitudinal orientation'.¹² Therefore, these critics of woke from the Left are concerned the concept enables corporate actors to sell 'the superficial trappings of progressive idealism without doing the real work to understand and change systems of oppression'.¹³ Meanwhile, antipathy towards the BLM movement began to emerge discursively across a range of conservative media.¹⁴

In the latter half of the last decade, the British rightwing press began using woke pejoratively to target progressive politics and public figures. From 2017, as her relationship developed with Harry Windsor, the online publication *Spiked* (which we detail later in the article) started to consistently apply the term pejoratively to Meghan Markle.¹⁵ This frame, established early by *Spiked*, became particularly useful as the British rightwing media intensified its targeting of Markle.¹⁶ The *Daily Mail* initially began discussing wokeness broadly by co-opting it into its scathing treatment of other celebrities, media creatives and so-called 'politically correct' comedians.¹⁷ It subsequently drew on woke as an instrument of parody.¹⁸

This form of woke was framed as something performative, inauthentic, self-righteous, self-indulgent and practised by privileged individuals.

People who write for publications such as the *Daily Mail* and *Spiked* therefore took an opportunity to disassociate wokeness from authentic anger against racism, and associate it in the public imagination with celebrity self-indulgence. Such publications also picked up on corporate hypocrisy or 'woke-washing'. This dissociation from authenticity and association with 'the elite' – celebrities and corporations – enabled woke to be co-opted into a moral panic about perceived leftwing cultural hegemony.¹⁹

The development of the woke moral panic

The campaign we map in this article involves a prominent and public-facing community which has escalated the status of wokeness to a dangerous, punitive and subversive, Stalinist,²⁰ Maoist,²¹ 'totalitarian'²² ideology or pseudo-religion that is 'infecting'²³ British schools and universities, and 'polluting'²⁴ British culture. But woke is only the latest in a series of concepts to service a moral panic about perceived cultural hegemony that has been reverberating through British politics for over forty years.

Political correctness

In the 1980s and 1990s, before wokeness, the British rightwing press, informed by their American counterparts of the 'rising hegemony of the politically correct', routinely ran stories about 'political correctness gone mad'.²⁵ In 1986, one such rightwing moral panic concerning Susanne Bösche's 1983 picture book, *Jenny Lives with Eric and Martin*,²⁶ portraying a girl living with two gay men, made its way from the press into parliament.²⁷ The eventual outcome of these debates was Section 28, of the Local Government Act 1988, also known as Clause 28, which banned the promotion of homosexual behaviour in schools, effectively enshrining homophobia in education into British law.²⁸ During this period, echoing much that is said today about the woke, promoters of diversity were constructed as totalitarians who were forcing people to accept sexual diversity, commit to equality and use non-racist and respectful language.²⁹

As immigration into the UK increased, this campaign against perceived leftwing hegemony intensified when public figures such as Nigel Farage of the UK Independence Party (UKIP) labelled immigration 'uncontrolled'³⁰ and reiterated older arguments³¹ against multiculturalism.³² UKIP's vociferous condemnation of the Labour Prime Minister Gordon Brown in 2010 calling a member of the public who complained about immigrants a 'bigoted woman' offered an insight into the future direction of campaigning tactics against immigration. Following Brown's election defeat, leading figures on the British Right, including Farage, the Eurosceptic wing of the Conservative Party, plus a small number of Labour MPs, began calling for more public debate about immigration.³³ These actors framed anti-immigration sentiment, such as this member of the public had voiced,

as a 'legitimate concern' with no prejudicial connotations.³⁴ In 2016, Farage left UKIP to form the Brexit Party.

Brexit and the 'left behind'

Farage's Brexit Party campaigned against the EU from within, framing it as an anti-democratic liberal/left technocracy that was forcing immigration and multiculturalism on to the British working class without its consent.³⁵ Anyone who supported the Remain vote was consequently constructed by the Brexit Party (and its affiliates including former Revolutionary Communists who are now writers for *Spiked*) as an opponent of a British working class that had been marginalised by multiculturalism and silenced by a form of political correctness that stigmatised legitimate concerns.³⁶

After the referendum on EU membership, leading Brexit figures³⁷ denied the vote had anything at all to do with immigration³⁸ or claimed immigration was merely a proxy for sovereignty.³⁹ Alternative explanations constructed Leave voters as 'the left behind' – working-class people forgotten by the London-based liberal/left elite.⁴⁰

As Mondon and Winter explain, 'arguments about the white working class "left-behind" became common to explain the resurgence of populist-right and far-right parties as it was argued they were able to attract former leftwing voters alienated by the convergence of the mainstream left and right and their focus on the middle class'.⁴¹ The subsequent campaign for a second vote was constructed by Brexit supporters, including writers for *Spiked*, as an attempt to disenfranchise working-class voters by denying their democratic voice.⁴²

In 2019, Kaufmann wrote for *Spiked* problematising what he called 'Left Modernism' – the 'ideology' of perceived leftwing cultural hegemony.⁴³ Notably, he didn't mention wokeness or Critical Race Theory (CRT). This suggests the Brexit supporting/*Spiked* community represented by figures such as Kaufmann and Baroness Claire Fox (details below) had yet to make the connection between three strands we have identified so far: the backlash against corporate wokeness emerging from America, the *Daily Mail's* version of wokeness as a self-indulgence of the pampered rich, and its own construction of a Remain-voting anti-working-class elite.

Meanwhile, the American Right was fomenting a moral panic about wokeness that it claimed, through leftwing academic CRT, was indoctrinating children, creating prejudice against white people and producing racial division rather than preventing it. The latest stage in this campaign is represented in, for example, Florida Governor DeSantis's Stop the Woke Act.⁴⁴

Cultural Marxism

For decades before this moral panic about wokeness, the American far Right was developing its theory of Cultural Marxism to intellectually explain its perception of leftwing cultural hegemony including what it saw as the imposition of political correctness, multiculturalism, minority rights and diversity on to white people.

This theory posits that a group of Jewish intellectuals who fled Nazi Germany to set-up the 'Frankfurt School' developed a strategy to achieve cultural hegemony, including infiltrating the organs of American culture such as Hollywood, to capture the minds of the public and convert them to leftwing ideas.⁴⁵

In the twenty-first century, the notion of 'Cultural Marxism' has developed into a conspiracy theory that has been cited by racist far-right mass murderers.⁴⁶ In his manifesto, mass murderer Anders Breivik referred on 111 occasions to an online blog written by Fjordman, a pseudonym of Peder Jensen, that claimed Cultural Marxists were white supremacists' 'mortal enemies'.⁴⁷ Despite its far-right origins, Cultural Marxism was once the default alternative to political correctness that the British mainstream Right used to describe perceived leftwing cultural hegemony.

Cultural Marxism offered the putative mainstream Right an ostensibly intellectual and historical explanation of perceived leftwing cultural hegemony that political correctness failed to capture. For example, in 2007, the *Daily Mail's* editor writing in *The Guardian* said 'political correctness' should 'properly be called Cultural Marxism, since it is at root a leftwing doctrine which seeks to destroy our society'.⁴⁸ Cultural Marxism was still in mainstream circulation in 2019 when former Attorney General and current Home Secretary Suella Braverman said that 'as Conservatives we are engaged in a battle against Cultural Marxism, where banning things is becoming *de rigueur*; where freedom of speech is becoming a taboo; where our universities, quintessential institutions of liberalism, are being shrouded in censorship and a culture of no-platforming'.⁴⁹ Again, Braverman, like Kaufmann, does not mention woke.

The Institute of Economic Affairs (IEA), which has tax exemptions as an educational charity, also attempts to account for leftwing 'cultural hegemony' by invoking the Frankfurt School.⁵⁰ Marc Sidwell, a Senior Fellow at the New Culture Forum (based at 55 Tufton Street) writing for the IEA, argued that modern wokeism had its origins in the Frankfurt School which sought to 'tear down Western economic and social norms through a "long march" to capture key cultural institutions'.⁵¹

Braverman received enough public criticism to show that there is at least some social cost to using this theory because of its associations with the far Right and its antisemitic and conspiratorial connotations.⁵² However, this didn't prevent the parliamentary Common Sense Group subsequently writing to *The Telegraph* to claim the correct term for wokeness is 'Cultural Marxist dogma'.⁵³

While Tim Black, writing for *Spiked*, concedes Cultural Marxism is a far-right trope, he argues that this is not 'a sign that far-right thinking somehow underpins and drives those defending free speech and challenging censorship'.⁵⁴ Instead, Black argues, this is an indication that the Left has 'turned away from economic critique and towards cultural criticism' (we explore this explanation that denies moral culpability for using such far-right tropes as Cultural Marxism later in the article).

Critical Race Theory

Therefore, to incorporate woke-washing, celebrity wokeness and ‘elite Remainers’ we can add a moral panic about CRT coming from the US and the clear need for a seemingly intellectual explanation of leftwing cultural hegemony to replace Cultural Marxism that fulfils the same purpose.

In 2020, Baroness Claire Fox, and GB News presenters Andrew Doyle and Inaya Folarin Iman wrote to *The Spectator*.⁵⁵ Their letter, which was counter-signed by many leading figures now engaged in the war on woke, including people who have subsequently been appointed to prominent positions in public office such as the Head of the Social Mobility Commission, claimed that CRT was an ideological agenda that threatened to undermine British race relations. Therefore, by 2021, we can see all these strands converging into a new campaign narrative. Besides the event where Kaufmann spoke, we have the *Daily Mail* and many other rightwing outlets such as *The Express* and *The Spectator* regularly commissioning academics and writers from *Spiked* to say CRT was entering British schools to brainwash children and turn black children against white.⁵⁶ The next section identifies and maps out the community making these claims, while subsequent sections use the concepts of the ‘ideological square’⁵⁷ and ‘reactionary democracy’⁵⁸ to explain the war on woke – and its escalation to the point that this community tells its audience that wokeness is a greater threat to ‘western civilisation’⁵⁹ than man-made climate change.⁶⁰

Mapping the British anti-woke community

To fully document the war on woke, we needed an entry point to start building this ‘community’ through its various connections and affiliations to reveal who is involved and measure the depth of their commitment. We began with two professors of political science, Kaufmann and Goodwin, who, in the UK, have publicly described wokeism as a pseudo-religion.⁶¹ Then, to identify who else shared their diagnosis for leftwing cultural hegemony, we looked at the events and networks these two academics operate in to problematise wokeness.

For ethical reasons, we focused on British public figures including members of the House of Lords and House of Commons, journalists, commentators, and academics who contribute to the public sphere in the UK by appearing on television, on radio, or in the national press, and use a range of public forums such as Twitter, online magazines and public events to take issue with wokeism within this pseudo-religious frame. We excluded, for example, employees of anti-woke campaign groups who are on Twitter but otherwise have no public profile or media presence.

All the data we use here is available on the open web; the anti-woke movement is a public campaign seeking to influence public opinion so we are not compromising anyone’s privacy. Aggregated lists of the media outlets that this community uses are already collated on the website *muckrack.com*. We were also able to

draw on websites such as *Spiked* which provides a list of its authors, and individual profile websites that provide a portfolio of media outputs. Every relationship in our data is supported by a publicly available uniform resource locator (URL).

We mapped this community by asking the following questions. Who else uses the pseudo-religious frame to suggest wokeism is irrational, intolerant and dogmatic? Who has access to broadcast, print and digital media to discuss 'the woke' in this way? Which media outlets do they use to campaign against wokeness? What lobbying and campaign groups do they belong to? Which political parties fund them, which do they belong to, or work for? What think-tanks do they use for their campaign platforms? Who is financing these institutions? Can the properties of this community be quantified? And how do we frame the logics and tropes this community is promoting within its discourses?

We found Professor Goodwin has appeared on the BBC's *Any Questions*, *Moral Maze*, *Newsnight*, and *Politics Live*; Channel 4 News, GB News and Planet Normal. He has also written for the *Daily Mail*, *Evening Standard*, *Financial Times*, *The Guardian*, *New Statesman*, *The Spectator*, *The Telegraph*, *The Times*, *Times Higher Education*, *Unherd* and *Spiked*. While as a political pundit he addresses many issues, his concerns about wokeism are a recurrent theme in his output. For example, he takes issue with wokeness for *Unherd*, the *Daily Mail*, on Spectator TV, on GB News and regularly on Twitter.⁶² Professor Goodwin is also a speaker at the Battle of Ideas festival, Director of the Centre for UK Prosperity at the Legatum Institute, and on the advisory council of the Free Speech Union.⁶³ He has advised the Conservative Party on strategy and supported anti-woke campaigner Kemi Badenoch for prime minister, calling her 'one of the most interesting Conservatives in British politics for a very long time'.⁶⁴ He also supports the Conservatives' plan to automatically deport unauthorised asylum seekers to Rwanda (see Figure 2).

Professor Kaufmann has appeared on RT (formerly Russia Today), GB News, and BBC *Newsnight*. He writes for the *National Review* and *Unherd*, contributes to *Spiked*'s content, speaks at the Battle of Ideas and is also on the advisory council for the Free Speech Union.⁶⁵ He is an affiliate of the anti-woke campaign group Counterweight, a senior fellow at the Policy Exchange think-tank and an adjunct fellow at The Manhattan Institute.⁶⁶ Goodwin and Kaufmann also appeared together to give evidence to the Commons committee on the Higher Education Freedom of Speech Bill.⁶⁷

We expanded this network of associations, using a form of purposive sampling of those with a commitment to this pseudo-religious frame as the eligibility criteria and key word searches drawn from Goodwin's definition of woke such as 'woke' + 'pseudo-religion' or 'woke + religion + ideology'.⁶⁸ Using this technique, we identified other instances where Goodwin and Kaufmann use this definition as well as other public figures who share a platform with Goodwin and Kaufmann and operationalise the pseudo-religion frame in their outputs. For example, Kaufmann uses this definition at a Battle of Ideas event, which was



Figure 2. Goodwin's approval of the Rwanda policy.

hosted by Andrew Doyle and broadcast on GB News and YouTube.⁶⁹ As we show in the section on discourses, this framing has resonated within the anti-woke community.

Via subsequent searches, a dense network of related actors quickly emerged. For example, Calvin Robinson shares this pseudo-religious frame.⁷⁰ He also writes for *Spiked*, *The Telegraph*, *Daily Mail*, *The Spectator* and *Breitbart*.⁷¹ He appears as a presenter on GB News, has been a guest on BBC's *Newsnight* and is a former fellow of the Policy Exchange.⁷² He speaks at the *Battle of Ideas*, stood for the Conservative Party, the Brexit Party, worked for Vote Leave and works for the Reclaim Party. Robinson is also a founding signatory of the campaign group *Don't Divide Us*.⁷³

The University of Kent's Professor Frank Furedi is a former Revolutionary Communist who also writes about wokeness as a dangerous dogma for *Spiked* and the *Daily Mail*, has appeared regularly on GB News⁷⁴ and used to criticise the woke on RT. Claire Fox, who sits in the House of Lords as Baroness Fox of Buckley, is another former Revolutionary Communist and campaigns against wokeness within the same frame; she has written for *Spiked*, the *Daily Mail*, has been featured in *Breitbart*, regularly appears on GB News, collaborates with the Reclaim Party, and stood for Nigel Farage's Brexit Party.⁷⁵ Along with other anti-woke campaigners such as Charles Moore, she is an honorary academic at the University of Buckingham.⁷⁶ Moore is also affiliated to the Policy Exchange think-tank, writes for *The Telegraph* and *Spectator* and, along with Fox is a member of the House of Lords. While Moore did not stand for the Brexit Party, he was a prominent pro-Brexit campaigner. Fox is director of the Academy of Ideas that hosts the *Battle of Ideas*, where Goodwin, Kaufmann, Doyle, Robinson, Furedi have all appeared as speakers.⁷⁷

We translated all these relationships into a graph and described the relationships of the connections between people and institutions. For example, 'Writes

Table 1. Campaign Groups.

Academics for Academic Freedom	Heterodox Academy
Counterweight	History Reclaimed
Critical Therapy Antidote	LGB Alliance
Don't Divide Us	Save Our Statues
Fair Cop	Campaign for Common Sense
Free Speech Champions	Common Sense Group
Free Speech Union	Equiano Project

Table 2. Media outlets.

<i>Areo Magazine</i>	<i>Breitbart</i>	<i>CapX</i>
<i>Conservative Home</i>	<i>GB News</i>	<i>Planet Normal</i>
<i>Quillette</i>	<i>Reaction</i>	<i>Russia Today</i>
<i>Spiked</i>	<i>Standpoint</i>	<i>Talk Radio</i>
<i>The Critic</i>	<i>Daily Mail</i>	<i>The Express</i>
<i>The Spectator</i>	<i>The Sun</i>	<i>The Telegraph</i>
<i>The Times</i>	<i>Triggernometry</i>	<i>UnHerd</i>

for' as in Douglas Murray writes for *The Spectator*, 'Is chair of' as in Nigel Biggar is chair of the Free Speech Union, and 'Is a director at' as in Joanna Williams is a director at Civitas.

It is often difficult to categorise these entities because they confuse the distinction between educational charity, political campaign group and think-tank. Charity status offers institutions tax incentives and the impression that they are answering a noble calling independent of any partisan or ideological agenda. For example, the IEA is a free-market think-tank and UK registered charity describing itself as an 'educational research institute'.

In total we found fourteen campaign groups that are affiliated to each other and/or share personnel (see Table 1).

In total, we found five think-tanks that have an anti-woke stance and/or have associations with anti-woke campaigners: the Policy Exchange, the New Culture Forum, the Legatum Institute, Civitas and Cieo. The Policy Exchange and Civitas are charities.

Apart from having extensive access to public service broadcasters such as the BBC and Channel 4, anti-woke campaigners use twenty media outlets that carry their message (see Table 2).

Additionally, we found five tax-exempt educational charities including the IEA, the *Spiked*-affiliated Debating Matters, the Academy of Ideas, the Battle of Ideas and Reasoned that are anti-woke.

There are strong affiliations between American funders, institutions and anti-woke campaigners based in the US⁷⁸ but these are beyond the scope of this article. We focus on British institutions and British-based actors. In total we found 167




Figure 3. *Spiked*/GB News cross-over.

people, including fifty-nine Conservative Members of Parliament and seven Life Peers from the House of Lords in the Common Sense Group.

Analysing the graph

In graph theory, betweenness centrality measures how many times an entity in the graph lies on the shortest path between two other entities, or in the lexicon of social network analysis, ‘nodes’. Generally, nodes with high betweenness have more control over the flow of information and act as key bridges within the network. They can also be potential single points of failure. By this metric, the Battle of Ideas, a tax-exempt educational charity, is the most important institution in the war on woke. It hosts an annual event, convened by Alastair Donald and Ella Whelan, where members of this community meet to exchange and validate their ideas.

The betweenness centrality metric applied to media outlets alone reveals *Spiked* as the number one and GB News as the number two media outlets carrying the anti-woke message. This shows many of thirty-one *Spiked*’s content providers have found a new home on GB News (see Figure 3).




Figure 4. Thirty-one core anti-woke campaigners according to the betweenness centrality metric.

We used betweenness centrality to rank campaign groups. The first three were the Free Speech Union, Don't Divide Us and History Reclaimed. We cross-referenced these with the *Spiked*, Battle of Ideas and GB News communities. A core group of thirty-one individuals (blue circular nodes here) emerges who are involved in two or more of these entities (see Figure 4).

The thirty-one are the blue circular nodes in the centre while the five entities, the Free Speech Union, Don't Divide Us, History Reclaimed, *Spiked* and the Battle of Ideas are surrounding them (plus the Academy of Ideas below *Spiked*).

The Free Speech Union involves many of the prominent anti-woke media figures. It was founded by Toby Young, and its directors include History Reclaimed's Nigel Biggar, *The Spectator* columnist Douglas Murray and former GB News presenter Inaya Folarin Iman. Academics Goodwin, Kaufmann, Stokes, Williams and Ahmed are represented on the Union's board, as are GB News host Andrew Doyle, Claire Lehmann founder of the media outlet *Quillette*, *Telegraph* columnist Alison Pearson, historian David Starkey, Baroness Fox, and various comedians including Dominic Frisby, perhaps best known for the song '17 Million F*ck-Offs'.⁷⁹

We can therefore observe a dense community centred on a Battle of Ideas/*Spiked*/GB News nexus of anti-woke campaigners. There are eighty-eight nodes in the graph that are separated from *Spiked* by two degrees or less. A degree




Figure 5. Two degrees of separation from *Spiked*.

of separation is a measure of social distance between people. You are one degree away from everyone you know, two degrees away from everyone they know, and so on. See Figure 5 with this graph of two degrees of separation from *Spiked*.

Spiked was begun by former members of the Revolutionary Communist Party after their first media outlet LM (previously *Living Marxism*) was litigated into bankruptcy for libelling two television journalists while they were covering the atrocities of the Bosnian war.⁸⁰ We can see from Figure 5 it now has an important role in the war on woke.

Spiked writers now have significant reach. The *Daily Mail* had 23.5 million online readers in June 2022.⁸¹ Nine of *Spiked* authors have written for the *Daily Mail*. *Spiked*'s Mick Hume alone has written thirteen articles for the *Mail* in the last year (see 'Mick Hume' site: dailymail.co.uk). Indeed, *Spiked* writers have successfully transitioned to many rightwing newspapers. Twelve *Spiked* authors write for *The Telegraph*, eight for *The Times*, four for *The Sun* and one for the *Daily Express*.

Despite calling it 'systemically' woke, seventeen of *Spiked's* contributors have appeared regularly on the BBC in recent years,⁸² including fifty-seven recent appearances on the BBC's flagship political discussion programme, Question Time. *Spiked* is also frequently represented on BBC Politics Live, Newsnight, Any Questions, and the Moral Maze. Thirty *Spiked* contributors have recently appeared on GB News either as presenters or pundits, often to discuss the woke or related issues such as CRT or 'cancel culture'.

Spiked authors are represented within Don't Divide Us, the Free Speech Union, History Reclaimed, Counterweight, the Campaign for Common Sense, Together, and Academics for Academic Freedom. Members of Civitas, Cio, Legatum, the Policy Exchange, and the Global Warming Policy Foundation (also based at 55 Tufton Street) are *Spiked* contributors. *Spiked's* authors have associations with the Brexit Party (which has recently developed into the Reform Party), the Reclaim Party, the Social Democratic Party, and the Conservative Party. Almost every anti-woke campaign involves someone from *Spiked*. For a more detailed analysis of the *Spiked* community's journey from Revolutionary Communism to today's involvement in the Conservative Party and the House of Lords, see Smith, *No Platform* and Jones on 'Culture war "Marxism"'.⁸³

Anti-Left establishment coalition

The key to understanding *Spiked*, its associations to political parties, the right-wing media, think-tanks such as the Policy Exchange and Civitas (which is based in the same building as the New Culture Forum – 55 Tufton Street, again) is the set of overlapping interests and ideas that this community shares. Its members will not agree on everything. For example, many in this community would not subscribe to the IEA's and the Legatum Institute's faith in free markets to improve public services through privatisation. However, other beliefs, such as the moral purity of Brexit (the assertion that it had nothing to do with bigotry towards immigrants or ethnic minorities) are more representative of this community's shared understanding. Neither will anyone in this community concede that Brexit has been at least partly responsible for Britain's lack of economic growth. The government-funded Independent Office of Budget Responsibility calculates a 4 per cent loss in GDP and drop of around 15 per cent in exports and imports as a result of Brexit's new trading conditions.⁸⁴

This community is putatively libertarian. Unless the state is used to legally enforce the platforming of contentious speakers at universities through the Higher Education (Freedom of Speech) Bill, or to restrict the protests of activists they disapprove of, such as anti-fossil fuel campaigners, or to prevent trans men and women from self-identifying their gender without state approval, this community is anti-state intervention. And it is anti-Labour, which it sees as the party of the woke elite and technocratic state interference. As Slater, *Spiked's* editor, argues, despite the Conservative Party's recent record on austerity, its failure to

improve outcomes for children on free school meals, and expansion of child poverty, the Labour Party is 'the most anti-working-class outfit in British politics today'.⁸⁵ All of this community's leading figures including Goodwin, Fox, Robinson, Kaufmann and Murray, endorsed Kemi Badenoch in her campaign to be the next prime minister. Badenoch is seen as a true Brexiteer who was awake to its benefits and a 'freedom fighter' against gender-neutral toilets.⁸⁶

However, this community is mainly mobilised by its shared contempt for its own construction of the establishment liberal Left. This means some members of this community take up a series of positions that always contradict what they see as technocratic, establishment, or leftwing, even if this means opposing scientific reality, as it does on climate breakdown. Therefore, because they are seen to represent the leftwing establishment: universities; the teaching profession; scientists who advocated for lockdowns to contain the COVID-19 outbreak before we had a vaccine; scientists who say the climate is reaching crisis point; social scientists who identify cases of structural racism; political scientists and sociologists who alert us to a resurgent far Right; and historians who are decolonising history have all become this community's targets.

This leads the anti-woke to claim universities, the teaching profession and the BBC all have a problematic leftwing bias and actively discriminate against conservatives.⁸⁷ University management is said to 'kowtow' to woke orthodoxy and capitulate to 'woke mobs' by sacking academics for their conservative or common-sense opinions.⁸⁸ Doyle claims universities are also dropping standards because they are woke and that they have become 'patronising and racist'.⁸⁹ Universities are also said to be 'infected' by CRT,⁹⁰ oppressing individuals,⁹¹ suppressing free speech and open debate.⁹² Murray agrees: wokeism has 'infected our universities and schools'.⁹³

Impact on government policy

Owing to this network's lack of transparency it is difficult to establish the direct impact of its positions on the UK government. However, sometimes examples of its influence surface. The anti-woke community is quick to deny the historical legacy of racism or any form of institutionalised racism as a causal factor in any systematic discrimination.

We can see the lineage of this argument in Munira Mirza's ascendancy in British politics. Mirza's PhD was supervised by Furedi and draws on his theories to argue that leftwing identity politics has produced 'a crisis of individual meaning and identity' within which 'citizens are made to feel they need therapeutic affirmation to reach their "authentic" self by realising their own distinct cultural lifestyle and choices'.⁹⁴ Mirza wrote for *Spiked*, became a Policy Exchange fellow and went on to work for Boris Johnson when he was London mayor.⁹⁵ Mirza was Johnson's Head of Policy at No. 10 Downing Street. We are told, in 2018, Mirza blamed the Windrush scandal on malfunctioning bureaucracy, and not on

structural racism.⁹⁶ While in office, Mirza helped coordinate the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities.⁹⁷

There is evidence Mirza may have influenced Prime Minister Johnson's thoughts on race. In a blog describing her encounter with a painting at the National Portrait Gallery she reflected on a portrait of a Ayuba Suleiman Diallo to argue that 'slavery was a well-developed practice in Africa long before the imperialists arrived, though it became much more profitable afterwards'.⁹⁸ Two years later, the Prime Minister reiterated Mirza's observation and argument in his announcement of the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities by referring to 'the case of Ayuba Suleiman Diallo, whose portrait hangs in Room 15 of the National Portrait Gallery'.⁹⁹

Anti-woke campaigners claim the woke self-servingly imagine racism, particularly structural racism, so they can represent people of colour as victims and themselves as their saviours. This, as Williams and Robinson among many others argue, reduces all people of colour to their racial category in a way that makes the woke the true racists.¹⁰⁰ The anti-woke routinely quote Martin Luther King's famous 'dream of a future in which people will not be judged by the colour of their skin but by the content of their character' as evidence of their commitment to anti-racism, as Doyle does in the *Daily Mail*.¹⁰¹

The Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities subsequently found no structural racism in the UK. Goodwin claimed that this 'dismantles the woke mob's central claim that we are living in a fundamentally racist society'.¹⁰² For Goodwin, Kemi Badenoch's ascendancy within the Conservative Party is confirmatory evidence that structural racism is a woke myth.¹⁰³ The report's conclusions were extensively critiqued by experts, such as the British Medical Association, whose evidence was submitted to the Commission but ignored in the report.¹⁰⁴ Goodwin has recently been appointed by the government as a Social Mobility Commissioner.¹⁰⁵ His analysis of structural racism suggests it will not be part of his agenda as commissioner. We can see then that this network's position on structural racism has been operationalised within UK government.

Absence of transparency

There is no public data available to establish who funds anti-woke think-tanks. The network is marked by its lack of transparency. In its absence, it is left to activist journalists to search tax returns filed with US authorities to find out who is donating to UK think-tanks that have charitable status.¹⁰⁶ One of their key roles in the war on woke is to provide a vehicle for publishing conservative academics' views. They can therefore publish their research on CRT in schools and free speech in universities through the think-tanks, reproduce it on various uncritical platforms, and influence their partisan audience easily.

Whether it is to affect public opinion, policy or politicians, this community is an influence campaign. Despite its anti-elite claims, it is unlikely that at least

some of these media outlets and lobby platforms that the war on woke uses to prosecute its campaign would exist without the financial backing of Britain's traditional or 'non-woke' elite. *The Telegraph* and *The Spectator* are owned by Press Holdings, which is British billionaire Frederick Barclay's company. British aristocrat Jonathan Harmsworth, 4th Viscount Rothermere, a great-grandson of one of the original co-founders, is the current chairman and controlling shareholder of the company that owns the *Daily Mail*. The newer outlets, such as GB News, *Unherd* and *The Critic* are supported by two of Britain's Brexit supporting millionaires. Oxford-educated hedge-fund manager Sir Paul Marshall who donated £60m to GB News also founded and publishes *Unherd*.¹⁰⁷ Marshall has written for *Unherd* arguing that 'the creed of Progress . . . is defying any biblical understanding of human nature'.¹⁰⁸

Dubai-based investment group Legatum also supports GB News.¹⁰⁹ Legatum funds the Legatum Institute that employs Goodwin.¹¹⁰ People Polling, of which Goodwin is director and part owner, is used by GB News.¹¹¹ GB News employs Goodwin to comment on the polling company's results (see Figure 6).

The Critic, an additional platform for twelve of *Spiked's* authors such as Furedi and Whelan, is funded by another Brexiteer multi-millionaire, Cambridge-educated asset-manager Jeremy Hosking, who also founded the anti-woke Reclaim Party and financed the Reclaim Party's Laurence Fox in his attempt to be London mayor.¹¹² Marshall and Hosking donated to the Vote Leave campaign and donate to the Conservative Party.¹¹³ Meanwhile, *Spiked* solicits donations from the public but otherwise, like the anti-woke think-tanks, keeps its finances and donors hidden from public scrutiny. British climate activist George Monbiot's investigation into *Spiked* forced the publication to concede that the Koch Foundation is among its contributors (funding *Spiked's* free speech tour of America).¹¹⁴ The Legatum Institute also has received \$154,000 from the Koch Foundation in 2018 and 2019.¹¹⁵

It is entirely logical that donors to think-tanks, *Spiked* and GB News share a political outlook. However, the marketplace of ideas, where conflicting policy positions are presented for public deliberation has an expensive admittance fee. It costs money to be always available for comment and to be able travel to studios, stage campaign events, place articles in the national media and lobby politicians. None of these activities would be possible without subsidies from anonymous donors who share the agenda of the commentator or lobbyist. Wealthy donors who, for example, want an end to green subsidies underwrite those who would lobby on their behalf. The Policy Exchange think-tank published a report in 2019 – two years after taking money from ExxonMobil – claiming that Extinction Rebellion were 'extremists' and calling for the government to introduce new laws to crack down on the climate protest group.¹¹⁶

The Koch Foundation, based in the US, financially supports projects that attack the policy of net-zero and platforms that deny climate change is an urgent concern.¹¹⁷ It may, of course, be a coincidence the British anti-woke community also




Figure 6. GB News clip of Goodwin commenting on polling data.

support this agenda. As of July 2022, *Spiked* has 637 negative mentions of net-zero on its website ('net zero' site: spiked-online.com). Similarly, *The Critic* includes ninety-eight mentions ('net zero' site: thecritic.co.uk) of net-zero, almost all framing it as problem or calling for its abolition. The anti-woke community's favoured leadership candidate to replace Boris Johnson as prime minister (evidenced by substantial social media support), Kemi Badenoch, also was explicit in sharing her opposition to net-zero.¹¹⁸ GB News' CEO, Angelos Frangopoulos, said in a BBC interview: there 'are multiple sides of the climate debate'.¹¹⁹ Alan McCormick, Legatum's co-founder and chairman of GB News's parent company All Perspectives Ltd, also has a history of sharing articles that dismiss the threat of climate change.¹²⁰

This community is subsidised by private donors and offshored capital, has permanent seats in the House of Lords, has a significant media presence reaching an audience of millions, operates within influential think-tanks, and has open access to the party of government. Yet it claims to be external to the elite. None of these political campaigns against climate action, lockdowns, or the woke on behalf of the working class would have any moral legitimacy if they were seen to be impenetrable to democratic scrutiny and working on behalf of anonymous donors and high-profile hedge-fund managers. How does this community resolve this contradiction?

The ideological onslaught

Building the in-group and negating the out-group

The paradox is neutralised for this community by building what van Dijk calls an 'ideological square' whereby its members represent themselves positively and their out-group negatively.¹²¹ Ideological discourse seeks to reproduce the power and legitimacy of in-groups and to disempower and delegitimise their out-groups. The subsequent escalation in discourse that this process demands leads to proportional expansion of the ideological square in opposite directions.

The anti-woke in-group tells its audience morality tales within which its members present themselves, through a series of tropes, as servants of 'higher' powers or abstract noble causes, such as 'our way of life', the Enlightenment, reason, western civilisation, democracy, or the sovereign people. As Cammaerts argues, this is the 'abnormalisation of social justice' where the 'woke' are positioned as a threat to the sovereign will of 'the people', 'the common good', and a Britain that does not belong to them.¹²² In his leadership campaign, Prime Minister Sunak appealed to this sentiment. He sought approval from his audience by suggesting women were a possession that needed to be defended from the woke out-group: 'I will be incredibly robust in standing up against that lefty woke culture that is trying to cancel our history, our values and indeed our women.'¹²³

The Enlightenment

Spiked has intensified the meaning of this struggle by claiming to be in a 'war against the Enlightenment' waged by the woke.¹²⁴ In 2015, *Spiked* attacked feminism for its 'turn against Enlightenment'¹²⁵ but has now redeployed this trope to argue it is on the side of feminists who are defending it. A similar escalation suggests 'western civilisation' is under threat. Tombs has declared wokeism is producing 'the collapse of intellectual freedom in the West' and Murray also writes of the woke's 'War on the West'.¹²⁶ Likewise, when Civitas contributes to public discussions about global warming it reaches for the 'threat to the West' trope: 'The problem of man-made climate change has deliberately been blown out of all proportion and is used as a mechanism to undermine the West.'¹²⁷

To advertise their status as common-sense sages keeping the Enlightenment's flame alive, members of this community have to emphasise their rationality and objectivity by revealing the woke elite's agenda. This signals to their audience that, as rational actors taking an unorthodox or anti-establishment approach to seeking truth, by using alternative means to the elite, they are therefore above the wokes' ideology, culture war and identity politics. Scientific claims supported by an accumulation of robust evidence are dismissed as irrational simply by labelling the science a religious belief. The Head of Policy for campaign group Net Zero Watch (which is also based at 55 Tufton Street) claims 'The "climate crisis" is a religious belief, nothing to do with science.'¹²⁸

Within the ideological square, this rejection of the scientific consensus built on peer-reviewed evidence also results in defending scientific racism and those who work with scientific racists. We are not suggesting figures such as Kaufmann and Goodwin support race science itself; however they *do* claim academia is too willing to cancel people who maintain there is a link between race and intelligence. For example, Kaufmann and Goodwin and other anti-woke campaigners claim Bo Winegard and Noah Carl were cancelled for challenging orthodoxy. Kaufmann defended Carl arguing he was a victim of the 'kind of orthodoxy that once prevented Galileo from propounding his heliocentric view of the universe or Darwin from speaking of our evolution from apes'.¹²⁹

Interpreting academic freedom

Bo Winegard, with fellow authors Ben Winegard and Jonathan Anomaly, implies that certain races can be distinguished by their IQ because 'genes play some role in cognitive differences between human populations', that 'race is a perfectly reasonable construct that picks out real patterns of human variation', and refer in their response to critics of these statements to a 'well-documented black-white IQ gap'.¹³⁰ Among others, they cite Jensen, Herrnstein and Lynn in this regard. Winegard et al. make the argument that there is a black-white IQ gap because Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection suggests there should be one.¹³¹ This argument has been dismissed by peer-reviewed and evidence-based science. Saini's work, documenting a resurgence in race science, clearly demonstrates the majority of published literature on the alleged link between race and intelligence supports the scientific consensus that race is a social construct and is not based on biologically discrete populations that can be differentiated by intelligence.¹³²

A survey instituted by Goodwin under the auspices of the University of Kent and first published by the Legatum Institute in a report asking 'Is Academic Freedom under Threat?' claimed that academics were being fired for their views.¹³³ To sustain this assertion, one of the survey's questions suggests 'Professor [*sic*] Bo Winegard' lost his job because students complained about one of his tweets (see Figure 7).

Dr Winegard has been defended by other members of the anti-woke community.¹³⁴ Within the anti-woke ideological square, he is presented as an innocent victim of a woke mob who had him cancelled for fairly benign unsanctioned speech acts.¹³⁵

But such 'cancelled science' can then leach even into the manifestos of racist mass murderers. To support his arguments Winegard has previously cited the work of G. Meisenberg and Michael Woodley, also of Rinderman, Woodley and Stratford.¹³⁶ Woodley was in his turn cited by the gunman who in June 2022 killed ten Black people in a racist attack in Buffalo, US.¹³⁷

Woodley has also co-authored a paper with Noah Carl who was sacked by the University of Cambridge for his alleged collaborations with extremists.¹³⁸ He, like Winegard, believes races can be differentiated by intelligence. Among others, he

AA kentsspssr.eu.qualtrics.com

17. Please give us your opinion on the following real-world case.

In 2019, Professor Bo Winegard tweeted the following: "The greatest challenge to affluent societies is dealing openly, honestly, and humanely with biological (genetic) inequality. If we don't meet this challenge, I suspect our countries will be torn apart from the inside like a tree destroyed by parasites."

This led students to complain and Professor Winegard lost his job at Marietta State College. Do you agree or disagree with Marietta's decision not to renew Winegard's contract?

Strongly agree

Agree

Figure 7. Question 17 in Goodwin's survey.

cites prominent race scientists Richard Lynn and Charles Murray to argue that, 'There is a large amount of evidence that groups differ in average cognitive ability. This is true for comparisons across nations, as well as comparisons across races within a country.'¹³⁹ Carl has also written in the journal *Mankind Quarterly* (with a history of publishing scientific racism) in which he investigated the link between exposure to sunlight that produces darker skin and IQ.¹⁴⁰ Carl currently contributes, along with Toby Young, to the *Daily Sceptic*, the successor to the Lockdown Sceptics blog.¹⁴¹ As well as sharing similar views on the need to explore 'population' differences¹⁴² (which is often used as a synonym for race), Carl and Young both supported the Great Barrington Declaration,¹⁴³ held up as an alternative to widely adopted mass quarantines or 'lockdowns' during the pandemic. It proposed 'focused protection' of vulnerable people,¹⁴⁴ but had no clear definition of vulnerable. For the rest of the population, it advocated natural herd immunity in lieu of vaccines. However, every single one of the millions of reinfections worldwide refutes this conception of natural herd immunity protection from COVID-19. It would have been ethically intolerable for the government to stand by while the virus infected the majority of the population before we had a vaccine that prevented serious illness and the need for emergency care. Such ideas as

focused protection and natural herd immunity are, therefore, sustained by counterfactuals that can never be tested. Because herd immunity through infection is not mainstream, it helps some members of the anti-woke community maintain their outsider, anti-group-think and victim status.

As Mondon and Winter argue:

In recent years, we have witnessed an incredibly successful rise in of [sic] reactionary academics and intellectuals who have represented themselves as victims and outsiders in elite institutions, misrepresented academic research and used their institutional positions, media platforms and liberal tropes to re-introduce and give legitimacy to what were thought of as illiberal, outdated, debunked ideas including eugenics and scientific racism.¹⁴⁵

Following this logic also involves backing any newly rejected ideas, circulating counterfactuals and unfalsifiable theories that reinforce the identity, values and commitment of the anti-woke in-group. This in-group then becomes further alienated from the mainstream and retreats to spaces where its members can find validation, while claiming to be victims of elite woke persecution rather than scientifically wrong.

Climate change as pseudo-religion

Spiked has always debated the scientific consensus on global warming. In 2013, Rob Lyons, Science and Technology Director for Baroness Fox's Academy of Ideas, told *Spiked's* readers that the climate crisis was 'a myth' because, there 'is 50 per cent more ice in the Arctic than last year'.¹⁴⁶ Years later, *Spiked* tacitly admitted climate change was a problem but it 'was not the apocalypse' thereby amending its original stance from outright denial to downplaying the outcomes.¹⁴⁷ *Spiked* made further adjustments to its stance on climate change when it recently published an article celebrating the benefits of global warming, while advocating the use of more fossil fuels such as shale gas and coal.¹⁴⁸ When *Spiked* addresses net-zero, its authors reiterate the 'Stalinist' trope that is also used to describe the woke and draws climate change into the anti-woke ideological square.¹⁴⁹

To reinforce their rationality, within the ideological square, anti-woke campaigners have to construct climate activism, anti-racism and other forms of so-called wokeness relatively as an elite form of religious fanaticism, an extremist ideology or a disease. The pseudo-religious frame therefore helps consolidate the anti-woke's self-image as the antithesis of the irrational woke. To make the woke appear even more relatively irrational, the pseudo-religious explanation demands an escalation to full medieval or early modern religious zealotry, so that enforcing wokeness is analogous to the 'burning witches at the stake, lynch mobs, and self-flagellation',¹⁵⁰ the 'Inquisition',¹⁵¹ and 'Puritanism'.¹⁵² Members of this community publicly endorse this framing on social media. For example, Baroness Fox retweeted a post from Goodwin outlining the main methods of the 'woke elite',

including ‘imposing orthodoxy’, ‘proselytising, expelling heretics, and blasphemy’.¹⁵³

For some though even *this* fails to capture the destructive power and punitive violence of wokeness. Wokeism is therefore raised to Stalinism, Maoism and more generic totalitarianism.¹⁵⁴ Williams, writing for *Spiked*, claims that something akin to Mao’s Cultural Revolution is happening in education.¹⁵⁵ This is clearly a hyperbolic statement. There are no reported deaths caused by gender-neutral children’s characters in story books and, based on an average of figures given in six investigations, the death toll of Mao’s Cultural Revolution was nearly 2.95 million people.¹⁵⁶

The fight for civilisation

This escalation in anti-woke discourse was also evident when academics commissioned by the National Trust to investigate the relationship between colonialism and the Trust’s properties were constructed as fanatics. The Common Sense Group of MPs accused these academics of ‘radical projects which disparage our nation and despise the history of its people’¹⁵⁷ that are allowing the woke to ‘rewrite our history in their image’.¹⁵⁸ Baron Moore of Etchingham claimed the National Trust had been ‘rolled over by extremists’.¹⁵⁹ Such reactionary statements sully the academics working rigorously to decolonise the discipline by casting them within the ideological square as agenda-driven, irrational and inferior historians. Winning the argument here involves appeals to victimhood, patriotism, pride in country and values. This led Tombs to declare at a Battle of Ideas event that such ‘woke history’ is ‘polluting our cultural springs’.¹⁶⁰ This use of the language of abjection represents another escalation in anti-woke discourse: it employs disease metaphors and the language of disgust, such as ‘infecting’¹⁶¹ and ‘polluting’¹⁶² to dehumanise the woke, transforming them into a contaminated other¹⁶³ that needs to be cleansed to restore to purity.

Furedi also mobilised disgust when he spoke to the Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC) in Hungary in May 2022. He told the audience that ‘we’ are in a fight to save ‘western and human civilisation’ and that now the ‘natural distinctions between a man and a woman are called into question’, the ‘next battle in the culture war will be about the distinction between humans and animals’.¹⁶⁴ To justify this claim he added, ‘there is a discussion going on in many universities in the West about whether or not animals can consent to a human having sex with them’.¹⁶⁵ These are academics and commentators who are replete with the knowledge of the dark places where such tactics lead.

Given this discourse, it is unsurprising that some personalities in the anti-woke community see themselves as engaged in a full-on battle of good against evil. For example, the anti-woke Reclaim Party’s leader, Laurence Fox, said wokeism is ‘a burgeoning religion with no repentance and no forgiveness’, adding he ‘never understood before how Nazi Germany could happen. Now I do’¹⁶⁶ (see Figure 8).



Figure 8. Laurence Fox on ‘the evil that is the Woke religion’.

This construction depends on the woke being this community’s inverse: not guided by a noble calling to defend civilisation but self-interested, divisive, irrational, malign, undemocratic, clandestine, powerful, sly and conspiratorial.

The anti-woke’s self-presentation of nobility is further morally purified and distanced from their wealthy donors by claims that theirs is a counter-offensive against powerful dark forces on behalf of a victimised and manipulated white working class whom woke elites ignore and ‘despise’.¹⁶⁷ For example, the woke constructed lockdowns during the pandemic as part of an elite project, involving power-hungry scientists, to strip the working classes of their hard-won freedoms. Members of the anti-woke community claimed there was no public consent for lockdowns. They argue it was manufactured by sly elite manipulation techniques such as fixing public polls, nudging, stigmatising non-compliance and fear-mongering.¹⁶⁸ Calvin Robinson, while being interviewed for David Icke’s conspiracy theory channel ICKONIC, said people are starting to ‘wake up’ to the truth that ‘lockdowns were probably more deadly than the virus itself’ and ‘vaccines were pushed on people’; he asks, was ‘big pharma pushing this to make money?’¹⁶⁹ Being awake or woke in this instance means being receptive to conspiracy theories. Similarly, Laurence Fox invited MP Andrew Bridgen on to his show to discuss how Big Pharma had ‘captured’ the NHS to make money from ‘dangerous’ vaccines (see Figure 9).

Elite attack on the working class

The *Spiked* community in particular argues that fear is the main tool of elite control. Using the power of reason, it claims it can see through the elite’s agenda. One of the foundational texts of this interpretation of reality is Furedi’s book *The Culture of Fear*.¹⁷⁰ The *Spiked* community also argues that there was no morally legitimate case against Brexit, only self-interested liberal/left elites which oppose working-class enfranchisement and, through ‘Project Fear’, attempted to scare the British working class into voting to remain in the EU.¹⁷¹ According to this logic, the use of fear by the elite failed to work for Brexit but succeeded for lockdowns. This community also argues that there is no climate emergency, only elites supported by ideologically or financially motivated scientists and special interest groups manufacturing fear about normal weather.¹⁷² *Spiked*, along with




Figure 9. Bridgen tells Fox about 'Big Pharma'.

campaign groups such as Don't Divide, also argues, in denial of structural racism again, that there are only self-interested elites manufacturing racial division.¹⁷³

To justify its defence of the working class, this community has to identify that class as the victim of such elite projects. As Williams, writing for *Spiked*, argues, wokeism, like the Remain campaign, is 'another form of attack on the working class'.¹⁷⁴ Goodwin tells his *Daily Mail* readers that there is an 'informal alliance between white elites, corporations and minorities against the white working class'.¹⁷⁵ Many in this community have transferred their working-class persecution/elite fear-mongering framing to their long-term opposition to environmentalism and their newer campaign against net-zero. Now *Spiked* is claiming net-zero is also class war by other means. As Myers says, 'net-zero policies are an attack on the working class'.¹⁷⁶

Within the ideological square, white working-class children are the end of the anti-woke victim scale. Within the anti-woke community, establishing white working-class children as victims of the woke begins by suggesting class disadvantage has something to do with whiteness but the woke are too agenda-driven to address it. Goodwin writes of the shameful taboo of white working-class boys' underachievement, concluding the government should be supporting 'left-behind whites'.¹⁷⁷ The then Head of the Social Mobility Commission said, in an interview with *Spiked*, that this 'kind of othering' and 'low expectations' mean 'the white working classes are the new black people'.¹⁷⁸ The vulnerability of the victim is intensified with claims that the woke are not just neglecting and othering white working-class children but abusing their positions of power by

specifically targeting working-class children with CRT, making them ashamed to be British, telling them to avoid any ‘open display of Britishness’.¹⁷⁹

According to this logic, CRT teaches them to be ‘hostile to Britishness and symbols of British identity’¹⁸⁰ thereby ‘destroying the foundational values of British culture’.¹⁸¹ Williams tells *Spiked* readers that ‘from nursery’ education is becoming ‘re-education or indoctrination. Its purpose is to socialise children away from the values of their home environment’.¹⁸² Therefore, systemic and institutional explanations for disadvantage are, in this thinking, reserved for whites. As Patel and Connelly argue, all this ‘(re)positions whiteness as a victimised identity’¹⁸³ and once again emphasises the nobility of the cause.

Repudiating the far Right

This community also claims the threat of the far Right is an artefact of elite fear-mongering. Its members present an ideology of abstract colour-blind liberalism, ‘where they view policies intended to reduce racial and ethnic disparities as violating meritocracy and unfairly disadvantaging Whites’.¹⁸⁴ This requires the public dismissal and minimisation of far-right politics when it inconveniently emerges, especially among allies. The anti-woke have to deny moral culpability for being associated with anyone who uses extremist tropes – especially to justify violence – by blaming the woke.

When and where the anti-woke concede that the far Right does exist, they claim it is a fringe movement with little influence that is implicitly of less concern than the real threat to the West of the pseudo-religious/Maoist/Stalinist woke activists and environmental campaigners. When they are accused of extremism, the anti-woke respond combatively, take offence and once again advance their moral credentials and delegitimise their opponents by arguing that calling any anti-woke tropes ‘far right’ is ‘hyperbole’ that ‘trivialises fascism and insults ordinary voters’.¹⁸⁵ Wodak calls such denial tactics ‘calculated ambivalence’ because different interpretations of their messages (including inoffensive explanations) allow them to blame out-groups for misunderstanding those messages.¹⁸⁶ As Lorenzo-Dus and Nouri explain, they then use these ‘insults’ (accusations of extremism) to ‘lend further weight to their victimised group claims’.¹⁸⁷

As the powerlessness of the victim is pushed in one direction, the scale and power of the elite’s ability to orchestrate events is forced the opposite way. To achieve a contrast, anti-woke actors need to construct the woke as powerful, unaccountable, cunning and beyond public scrutiny. This also helps members of the anti-woke community present themselves as defenders of the underdog, taboo-busting, iconoclastic and uniquely able to see through the tactics of the woke elite.

Conspiracies – from the domestic to the global

The anti-woke community therefore also relies on conspiracies to explain political events. In October 2022 then Prime Minister Truss appointed a new chancellor, Jeremy Hunt, to help reassure the financial markets that her government had



Figure 10. Claire Fox on Truss’s removal.




Figure 11. Tom Slater on Truss’s new Chancellor.




Figure 12. Tim Stanley on Hunt’s appointment.

a credible economic policy. The Conservative Party subsequently removed Liz Truss from her role as its leader. On both occasions, the anti-woke community again laid claim to a special insight into the dark, powerful forces at work that normal people were unable to identify. Even though Truss was subsequently replaced by her own MPs for another committed Brexiteer, Rishi Sunak, her removal was variously described in an escalating conspiracy theory that involved hidden actors opposed to Brexit (see Figures 10 and 11). The unelected member of the House of Lords Baroness Fox and *Spiked’s* Tom Slater cited a relatively soft conspiracy of unelected technocrats and a technocratic coup.

Columnist for *The Telegraph* Tim Stanley, GB News pundit Paul Embery, *Spiked’s* Brendan O’Neill, and GB News presenter Martin Daubney call these events a ‘Remainer coup’ (see Figures 12, 13, 14 and 15).




Figure 13. Paul Embery agreeing with Stanley.



Figure 14. *Spiked's* Brendan O'Neill also agreed.



Figure 15. As did GB News's Martin Daubney.

However, for others, the scale of this 'Remainer coup' was unsatisfactory. The Reform Party's leader Richard Tice, GB News presenter and *Daily Mail* columnist Dan Wotton, former Brexit Party leader Nigel Farage and the Free Speech Union's Toby Young went for a more extreme and larger conspiracy calling it a 'globalist coup' (see Figures 16, 17, 18 and 19).

The Great Reset and echoes of the Great Replacement

Apart from the woke elite's alleged take-over of British culture and institutions and various species of coup, members of the anti-woke community regularly circulate other conspiracies. Young is a prominent supporter of the 'lab leak cover-up' (see Figure 20).

Similarly, Calvin Robinson riles against a 'Capitalist-Communist' conspiracy against the working classes called 'The Great Reset' (see Figure 21) that he says was conceived at Davos.¹⁸⁸



Figure 16. Richard Tice of the Reform Party and Talk TV on Hunt's appointment.



Figure 17. GB News presenter Dan Wotton on Suella Braverman's replacement after she resigned for a security breach.

The Great Reset conspiracy theory is shared by far-right activists such as Peter Sweden (an alias for Peter Imanuelsen, see Figure 22), who also believe climate change is a part of the conspiracy.¹⁸⁹ Peter Sweden also has a history of Holocaust denial and in August 2022 was a guest on GB News invited to discuss 'population decline in the West'.¹⁹⁰ The promoted video of this appearance was subsequently deleted when evidence of Imanuelsen's history of Holocaust denial emerged (see Figure 23).

Robinson also argues (see Figure 24) that, as British birth rates are on the decline while immigration is increasing, 'unless Brits start making families again,



Figure 18. Former leader of the Brexit Party Nigel Farage agrees.



Figure 19. Toby Young, Free Speech Union, also suggesting Truss's reshuffle is a globalist coup.




Figure 20. Toby Young on the 'lab leak cover-up'.

Britain will be a very different place culturally, ethnically, religiously'. He adds, 'in a matter of years we are looking at the inevitable replacement of British culture'.¹⁹¹

While, Robinson doesn't refer to whiteness in this instance, he went on to say 'Enoch [Powell] was right', because 'diversity means fewer white people' (see Figure 25).



Figure 21. Robinson on the Great Reset, GB News, (retweeted here by GB News investor Sir Paul Marshall).



Figure 22. Peter Sweden on the Great Reset.

Prominent anti-woke campaigner and author of *The War on the West* Douglas Murray similarly mobilises whiteness when he implies ‘we’ – his in-group – voted to keep British cities majority white (see Figure 26).

This exclusionary framing echoes the Great Replacement Theory, a far-right conspiracy theory warning that an indigenous European population is being replaced by non-European immigrants. The idea was popularised by French writer Renaud Camus in his 2012 book, *Le Grand Remplacement* (the Great Replacement).¹⁹² However, the concept of other races, ethnicities and inferior



Figure 23. Imanuelson on birth rates.



Figure 24. Calvin Robinson on the replacement of British culture.



Figure 25. Robinson on 'Why Enoch was right'.

immigrant cultures replacing the superior indigenous culture has a longer far-right heritage.

In the 1960s and 1970s, many American white supremacists, like Ku Klux Klan leader Thomas Robb, mobilised replacement ideas in their racist political advocacy. In the 1980s, Ku Klux Klan leader David Duke and white supremacist Don Black advanced replacement theory in the US, 'the idea that immigrants will reshuffle demographics, eventually replacing white people'.¹⁹³ In more recent times the Great Replacement Theory circulated during the American white supremacist 'Unite the Right' rally in August 2017.¹⁹⁴ The man who murdered ten black people in Buffalo, New York, also repeated key elements of replacement theory in his 180-page online manifesto.¹⁹⁵ And the man who murdered at least fifty Muslim people at mosques in New Zealand in 2019 also wrote about an alleged 'assault on European people'.¹⁹⁶

Since the refugee crisis in 2015, the 'replacement' conspiracy theory has fomented far-right politics across Europe.¹⁹⁷ As Ekman tells us, the Great Replacement is a conspiracy theory that consolidates 'political statements of failed integration' that are 'frequently underpinned by quasi-scientific ideas deeming cultures of immigrants and Europeans as "insurmountable" to one another and notions of homogeneous national and/or European cultures'.¹⁹⁸

The discursive construction of in-group victimisation is the key to the 'replacement' conspiracy. By producing a common 'us' (indigenous British, white people) as victims of the actions and plans of traitorous politicians and/or secret agreements within power elites, immigrants are constructed as an out-group threat.¹⁹⁹ Conspiracy theories and white victimhood combine and compound two prominent far-right tropes.²⁰⁰




Figure 26. Murray on the 'white British . . . minority' in cities.




Figure 27. The ideological square.

We can infer from Black’s defence of the concept of Cultural Marxism for *Spiked* that the use of far-right tropes by anti-woke campaigners can be justified because ‘at some point usually located in the 1960s, the left turned away from economic critique and towards cultural criticism’.²⁰¹ The result, argues Black, at its most extreme, is a mode of politics concerned chiefly with culture wars, rather than class war. Yet the *Spiked* community argues that working-class support for Brexit was also a rejection of the economic frame that prioritised values over any financial concerns.²⁰²

Anti-woke campaigners claim to be fighting powerful dark forces, not for their own ideological interests, but on behalf of a victimised, manipulated, neglected and patriotic white working class. But this construction requires members of the working class to be without agency: gullible and ignorant; vulnerable to these elite tactics such as nudging and fear-mongering and unable to see through attempts to manipulate them themselves – otherwise their advocates in Tufton Street and writers for *Spiked* would be entirely redundant.

The ideological square

This, then, is the current state of the anti-woke ideological square (see Figure 27).

The ideological square produces an apparent paradox within which the defenders of democracy, rationality and liberal values are using tropes associated with far-right anti-democratic movements. Phelan calls this ‘a paradoxical articulation of libertarian and fascistic discourses’.²⁰³

However, despite their use of far-right tropes, calling the anti-woke community fascist or far Right presents problems. Rightwing extremism is usually defined as a specific ideology characterised by ‘anti-democratic opposition towards equality’.²⁰⁴ No-one in this community identifies as far Right nor have any of them rejected democratic processes. Fascism ‘favours actions rather than detailed political programs, being characterised by glorification of violence and military virtues’,²⁰⁵ which no anti-woke campaigner does, at least overtly. Mondon and Winter resolve this apparent contradiction – this community’s claim it supports democracy while using far-right tropes – within their concept of ‘reactionary democracy’.²⁰⁶ They argue that the community we have mapped here has effectively gamed and exploited weaknesses in liberal democracy to mainstream far-right discourses for political capital and influence.

Many members of this community can be described as professional reactionaries, whose opinions create a space within which liberal and illiberal racism is indistinguishable, automatically deporting unauthorised asylum seekers to Rwanda becomes government policy and the rejection of race science is compared to the Catholic Church’s treatment of Galileo.²⁰⁷ However, the scale and intensity of this community’s relentless campaign suggests it has little confidence in its audience’s commitment to the war on woke. The anti-woke community is particularly anxious about the future. The solution for leading members of the community is to intervene in education to influence future generations.

In 2022, Furedi told the CPAC conference in Hungary organised by Victor Orbán’s regime that ‘taking over the schools and winning the battle for the minds of young people is really quite important’.²⁰⁸ He added, ‘we need to win back the schools’ because ‘you cannot win this battle of civilisation unless you influence the ideals of the young’. He concludes by saying ‘we need to take control of language’ and ‘think of ourselves as fighters in the battle for the future of civilisation’.²⁰⁹

We can see the tactics of this ‘battle’ for young minds in action in, for example, Scotland and Hungary. In 2021, Furedi began attacking Scottish education on Russian state broadcaster RT claiming it was a form of ‘social engineering’. In 2022, Furedi was a keynote speaker at a Battle of Ideas event in Scotland that included Alka Sehgal Cuthbert (former Brexit Party candidate, current Civitas employee, director of Don’t Divide Us and contributor to *Spiked*, *History Reclaimed*, *The Critic*, GB News, the Battle of Ideas and Debating Matters), another former Brexit Party candidate and *Spiked* contributor and representatives of conservative Christian groups. This meeting’s agenda sought to discredit existing

educational provision with claims that the woke are deviants who are intent on harming children. It said the 'Scottish government's new sex-education curriculum' is exposing 'very young children to overtly sexualised material'. Such tropes that mobilise disgust are again echoed among the far Right when it calls the woke 'groomers'.²¹⁰

In Hungary, British anti-woke campaigners are able to use more direct interventions by contributing to an ideologically affiliated educational institution. Hungary's president, Victor Orbán, is a rightwing extremist. For example, in a widely condemned speech, he said countries that tolerated racial 'mixing' were 'no longer nations'. But, Orbán's politics are unpopular among the young people he claims to be protecting from the woke.²¹¹ To address this deficit, Orbán's government funds the Mathias Corvinus Collegium (MCC). The MCC aims to 'transform 10,000 students' into 'worthy representatives of the cultural, economic and social elite of Hungary'. Orbán's brother, Dr Balázs Orbán, is Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the MCC.²¹² Furedi is Executive Director of MCC Brussels. American anti-woke campaigner Peter Boghossian has been one of MCC's visiting fellows.²¹³ Professor Nigel Biggar of History Reclaimed was a keynote speaker at the launch of MCC Brussels, and Jacob Reynolds, author for *Spiked* and partnerships manager at Baroness Fox's Academy of Ideas, is Head of Policy at MCC Brussels.²¹⁴ In Hungary, campaigners such as Furedi and Biggar are able to prosecute 'the battle for the minds of young people' through the MCC with government support – while, in Scotland, to host the recent Battle of Ideas event, they needed the support of the Tron Church which, in 2012, left the Church of Scotland because, 'among other things', it embraced 'homosexual relationships for its ministers'.²¹⁵

Conclusion

The central moral justification for the war on woke is that it represents the interests of the working class. However, in constructing its ideological square, this community refuses the possibility that as well as white manual labourers and retirees, the working class can include people from any ethnic background, including immigrants. By constructing 'the working class as white' the anti-woke community pits whites 'against racialised minorities and immigrants, who are denied working class status'.²¹⁶

This community also refuses to address working-class people who voted to remain in the 2016 EU Referendum, who want to understand the colonial legacy of Britain's stately homes, support footballers taking the knee or trans rights. Also, it has nothing to say to members of the working class who think asylum seekers are not illegal by default, nor to anyone from the working class who doesn't believe plans to decarbonise energy are a threat to 'western civilisation'. When members of the working class themselves are declared woke, like construction workers condemned by the *Daily Mail*, it is unclear if they have become woke

by their own volition or as, people without agency, they have also been ‘infected’ by the ‘informal alliance’.²¹⁷

However, even if this group did consider the working class in all its ethnic, cultural and intellectual diversity, it would be irrelevant because, beyond far-right tropes, it offers no policy framework to deliver economically credible and environmentally sustainable solutions to *any* form of structural inequality including and especially class. Black is right to remind *Spiked’s* readers that economics matter because government economic policy, supported by anti-woke tax-exempt educational charities such as the IEA and anti-woke think-tanks, is making the working class poorer. A discussion paper by the Centre for Economic Performance at the London School of Economics shows Brexit has increased food prices by around 6 per cent overall but disproportionately affected Britain’s poorest communities.²¹⁸ Economics matter also because the anti-woke movement is subsidised by wealthy patrons. Since the working classes and poor are the most exposed to the social and economic costs of climate breakdown, opposition to climate action is what one of Goodwin’s fellow Social Mobility Commissioners, Rob Henderson, calls a ‘luxury belief’.²¹⁹ This means, in Goodwin’s words, beliefs ‘that cost elites less than they cost people who have to live with their consequences’.²²⁰

If it were a grass-roots campaign for the working classes, the anti-woke community would be transparent in its finances, reject strategic investments from anonymous wealthy donors, argue the effects of climate breakdown will disproportionately affect the world’s poor and working classes, and it would lobby for wealth redistribution and a green revolution in high-skilled jobs.²²¹

In lieu of such a campaign, this community seeks to discredit, delegitimise and constrain its political enemies. It wants the public to reject the consensus on climate science, end net-zero policies and think of climate activists as brainwashed extremists. It asks its audience to choose between its righteous in-group and the woke’s malign out-group. It stereotypes the working classes, projects its grievances on to them and uses them as proxies to claim moral legitimacy for its own fossil fuel-friendly agenda.

The British war on woke also pathologises social justice campaigns by likening them to dangerous and violent extremism and positioning them outside the normal process of democracy and representation. Anti-woke campaigners want their adversary – ‘the woke’ – to be confronted. They claim that this is an enemy within that is working in alliance with ethnic and racial minorities, won’t listen to reason and cannot be appeased. They say this internal threat wants to bring down ‘western civilisation’, beginning at its centre by betraying Britain’s historic heroes, infecting Britain’s institutions, polluting British culture and making disadvantaged children ashamed of their whiteness, their culture and country. Despite the repeated and sustained use of these far-right tropes, anti-woke campaigners claim any associations with the far Right are censorious smears.

References

- 1 S. Phelan, 'Neoliberalism, the far right, and the disparaging of "social justice warriors"', *Communication, Culture and Critique* 12, no. 4 (December 2019), pp. 455–75, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ccc/tcz040> (accessed 16 August 2022); F. Hayek, *Law, Legislation and Liberty: the mirage of social justice*, vol. 2 (London: Routledge, 1973).
- 2 J. McWhorter, *Woke Racism: how a new religion has betrayed Black America* (Penguin/Random House, Portfolio, 2022); P. Boghossian and M. Shellenberger, 'Woke religion: a taxonomy. Beyond woke with Peter Boghossian', 2021, <https://boghossian.substack.com/p/woke-religion-a-taxonomy>.
- 3 E. Kaufmann in 'Is there a problem with free speech in higher education?', *GBNews*, 10 October 2021, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hxToF8UUjyo&feature=youtu.be> (accessed 16 August 2022).
- 4 B. Cammaerts, 'The abnormalisation of social justice: the "anti-woke culture war" discourse in the UK', *Discourse & Society* 33, no. 6 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1177/09579265221095407>.
- 5 Wiebe E. Bijker, Thomas P. Hughes and Trevor Pinch, *The Social Construction of Technological Systems* (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1987).
- 6 M. Ridley, 'Why global warming is good for us', *Spiked*, 15 February 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/02/15/why-global-warming-is-good-for-us/> (accessed 10 November 2022); Brendan O' Neill 'Keep burning those fossil fuels', *Spiked*, 12 November 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/11/12/keep-burning-those-fossil-fuels/> (accessed 10 November 2022); IPCC, 'Climate change: a threat to human wellbeing and health of the planet. Taking action now can secure our future', IPCC, February 2022, <https://www.ipcc.ch/2022/02/28/pr-wgii-ar6/> (accessed 8 November 2022).
- 7 Meteorological Office, 'Record breaking temperatures for the UK', 19 July 2022, <https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/about-us/press-office/news/weather-and-climate/2022/red-extreme-heat-warning-ud> (accessed 28 November 2022). R. Lyons, 'What's wrong with warm weather?', *Spiked*, 29 July 2019, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2019/07/29/whats-wrong-with-warm-weather/> (accessed November 10 2022).
- 8 F. Matheis, 'Outrage channelled in verse', *Living Blues* 49, no. 4. (2018), p. 15, <http://digital.livingblues.com/publication/?m=21747&i=515680&p=14&ver=html5>.
- 9 A. Romano, 'A history of "wokeness"', *Vox*, 9 October 2020, <https://www.vox.com/culture/21437879/stay-woke-wokeness-history-origin-evolution-controversy> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 10 M. Bulatovic, 'The imitation game: the memefication of political discourse', *European View* 18, no. 2 (October 2019), pp. 250–53, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1781685819887691> (accessed 28 November 2022); Romano, 'A history of "wokeness"'; O. Guobadia, 'How business and basic bitches killed "Woke"', *Huck Magazine*, 17 January 2018, <https://www.huckmag.com/perspectives/opinion-perspectives/woke-is-dead/> (accessed 3 August 2022).
- 11 F. Sobande, 'Woke-washing: "intersectional" femvertising and branding "woke" bravery', *European Journal of Marketing* 54, no. 11 (January 2019), pp. 2723–45, <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-02-2019-0134> (accessed 8 August 2022).
- 12 A. Kanai and R. Gill, 'Woke? Affect, neoliberalism, marginalised identities and consumer culture', *New Formations*, no. 102 (Winter 2020), pp. 10–27, <https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/10.3898/NewF:102.01.2020> (accessed 3 August 2022).
- 13 Romano, 'A history of "wokeness"', p. 14.
- 14 A. Phillips, 'Make no mistake – BLM is a radical neo-Marxist political movement', *Telegraph*, 12 June 2020, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/06/12/make-no-mistake-blm-radical-neo-marxist-political-movement/>; R. Ehsan, 'BLM has set anti-racism back decades', *Spiked*, 8 December 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2020/12/08/blm-has-set-anti-racism-back-decades/> (accessed 10 November 2022).

- 15 T. Slater, 'Meghan Markle: generation woke's Princess Diana', *Spiked*, 28 November 2017, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2017/11/28/meghan-markle-generation-wokes-princess-diana/> (accessed 10 November 2022); Brendan O'Neill, 'Oh spare us this Woke Monarchy', *Spiked*, 20 May 2018, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2018/05/20/oh-spare-us-this-woke-monarchy/> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 16 H. Richardson 'Meghan Markle may "pedal back" on woke speeches, royal expert claims', *Mail Online*, 17 October 2020, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-8850089/Meghan-Markle-pedal-woke-speeches-royal-expert-claims.html> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 17 C. Pleasance, Ricky Gervais eviscerates 'woke' Hollywood at the Golden Globes, *Mail Online*, 6 January, 12 November 2020, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-7855233/Ricky-Gervais-eviscerates-woke-Hollywood-opening-speech-Golden-Globes.html> (accessed 23 November 2022); R. Styles, 'Meet the very woke women . . . behind Gillette's controversial "toxic masculinity" ad.', *Mail Online*, 16 January 2019, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6596225/Meet-woke-women-Gillettes-controversial-toxic-masculinity-ad.html> (accessed 23 November 2022); Vera K. Lindsay, "'I'll do it!" Lohan tells Twitter she'd . . . star in a "woke" season of . . . The Comeback . . .', *Mail Online*, 5, 7 January 2018, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/tvshowbiz/article-5239835/Lindsay-Lohan-says-shed-star-revival-Comeback.html> (accessed 23 November 2022); H. Martin, "'A woke joke isn't going to be very funny" . . . John Cleese. . .', *Mail Online*, 3 September 2020, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8693667/A-woke-joke-isnt-going-funny-Monty-Python-star-John-Cleese-attacks-woke-culture.html> (accessed 23 November 2022).
- 18 A. Doyle, 'Why I, as Queen of Woke, applaud the prospect of a Royal lesbian power couple . . .', *Mail Online*, 9 March 2019, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-6790549/Comedian-ANDREW-DOYLE-Queen-Woke-applaud-prospect-Royal-lesbian-power-couple.html> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 19 S. Cohen, *Folk Devils and Moral Panics* (London: Routledge, 1972), <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203828250>.
- 20 J. Woudhuysen, 'Net zero is a Stalinist fantasy', *Spiked*, 2 June 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/06/02/net-zero-is-a-stalinist-fantasy/> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 21 J. Williams 'The strategy of Maoism in the West', *CIEO*, 4 August 2022, <https://cieo.substack.com/p/the-strategy-of-maoism-in-the-west> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 22 E. Kaufmann, 'Is woke culture totalitarian?', *UnHerd*, 20 August 2019, <https://unherd.com/2019/08/is-woke-culture-totalitarian/> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 23 D. Murray, 'Wokeism has infected our universities and schools - it's an insidious movement', *The Sun*, 23 June 2021, <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/15377193/wokeism-infected-universities-schools-douglas-murray/> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 24 R. Tombs, "'Wokeness" and the collapse of intellectual freedom in the West', *Spectator*, 28 August 2021, <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/-wokeness-and-the-collapse-of-intellectual-freedom-in-the-west> (accessed 30 November 2021).
- 25 R. Bernstein 'IDEAS & TRENDS; the rising hegemony of the politically correct', *New York Times*, 28 October 1990, <https://www.nytimes.com/1990/10/28/weekinreview/ideas-trends-the-rising-hegemony-of-the-politically-correct.html> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 26 Susanne Bösche, *Jenny Lives with Eric and Martin* (London: Gay Men's Press, 1983).
- 27 House of Commons, 'County, controlled and maintained special schools', *Hansard*, vol. 102, col. 1068, 21 October 1986, [https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/1986-10-21/debates/b65b27ca-f3fb-4b0a-a523-b5101b1a54e1/CountyControlledAndMaintainedSpecialSchools-highlight_\(Col.1068\)](https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/1986-10-21/debates/b65b27ca-f3fb-4b0a-a523-b5101b1a54e1/CountyControlledAndMaintainedSpecialSchools-highlight_(Col.1068)) (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 28 Local Government Act 1988, Statute Law Database, <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/9/contents> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 29 J. Curran, I. Gaber and J. Petley, *Culture Wars: the media and the left in Britain* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2018).

- 30 Nigel Farage, 'It's official . . . Britain's population surge is totally out of control', *Express*, 30 October 2015, <https://www.express.co.uk/comment/expresscomment/615678/Nigel-Farage-Ukip-Britain-immigration-figures-soar-uncontrolled> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 31 D. Goodhart, 'Too diverse?', *Prospect Magazine*, 20 February 2004, <https://www.prospect-magazine.co.uk/magazine/too-diverse-david-goodhart-multiculturalism-britain-immigration-globalisation>.
- 32 Nigel Farage, 'Farage on Friday: why multiculturalism has failed Britain . . .', *Express*, 16 January 2015, <https://www.express.co.uk/news/politics/552478/Farage-on-Friday-Ukip-leader-on-multiculturalism> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 33 J. Chapman, 'How a granny exploded politicians' censorship of any election debate on mass immigration. . . and was demonised by Brown', *Mail Online*, 29 April 2010, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/election/article-1269486/Election-2010-Gordon-Browns-bigoted-woman-insult-Gillian-Duffy.html> (accessed 11 November 2022); S. Swinford, 'Nigel Farage reflects "widespread concern" about immigration, Ed Miliband ally says', *Telegraph*, 21 May 2014, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/nigel-farage/10844955/Nigel-Farage-reflects-widespread-concern-about-immigration-Ed-Miliband-ally-says.html> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 34 'Immigration cases report raises "legitimate concerns"', *ITV News*, 9 November 2012, <https://www.itv.com/news/update/2012-11-09/immigration-cases-report-raises-legitimate-concerns/> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 35 S. Nanan-Sen, 'Brexit Party MEP Alexandra Phillips rips into "revolting" EU', *Express*, 21 January 2020, <https://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/1231485/Brexit-news-EU-Alexandra-Phillips-Brexit-Party-MEP-talkradio> (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 36 T. Slater, 'Woke class hatred', *Spiked*, 16 December 2019, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2019/12/16/woke-class-hatred/> (accessed 12 November 2022).
- 37 N. Gutteridge, 'Brexit wasn't about immigration: top Tory says Leave voters were "fed up" of being ignored', *Express*, 2 October 2016, <https://www.express.co.uk/news/politics/716812/Brexit-not-about-immigration-Tory-Leave-voters-fed-up-being-ignored-EU-referendum> (accessed 17 November 2022).
- 38 T. Slater, 'Brexit was about more than immigration', *Spiked*, 21 November 2018, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2018/11/21/brexit-was-about-more-than-immigration/> (accessed 12 November 2022).
- 39 D. Hunt, 'Michael Gove: Brexit was not about immigration - we left over sovereignty', *Express*, 2 December 2016, <https://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/739041/Michael-Gove-Brexit-Left-EU-sovereignty-no-immigration-referendum-Leave-Remain> (accessed 17 November 2022).
- 40 C. Kilcoyne and S. Ledwith, 'In Brexit-on-Sea, the left-behind still want out', *Reuters/Mail Online*, 3 March 2019, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/wires/reuters/article-6885021/In-Brexit-Sea-left-want-Out.html> (accessed 17 November 2022); N. Clark, 'A portrait of Britain's ordinary towns which led the Brexit revolution - where voters say it's time to get out now', *Sun*, 4 April 2019, <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/brexit/8789447/inside-britains-ordinary-towns-which-led-the-brexit-revolution-where-voters-say-its-time-to-get-out-now/> (accessed 17 November 2022).
- 41 A. Mondon and A. Winter, 'Whiteness, populism and the racialisation of the working class in the United Kingdom and the United States', *Identities* 26, no. 5 (2019), pp. 510-28, <https://doi.org/10.1080/1070289X.2018.1552440>.
- 42 T. Slater, 'No, a second referendum would not be more democratic', *Spiked*, 10 August 2017, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2017/08/10/no-a-second-referendum-would-not-be-more-democratic/> (accessed 17 November 2022); B. O'Neill, 'We have to prepare ourselves for a second referendum', *Spiked*, 17 December 2018, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2018/12/17/we-have-to-prepare-ourselves-for-a-second-referendum/> (accessed 17 November 2022); T. Slater, 'The casual tyranny of the second-referendum set', *Spiked*, 17

- September 2018, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2018/09/17/the-casual-tyranny-of-the-second-referendum-set/> (accessed 17 November 2022).
- 43 E. Kaufmann, 'The takeover of the American mind', *Spiked*, 22 February 2019, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2019/02/22/the-long-takeover-of-the-american-mind/> (accessed 12 November 2022).
- 44 C. Mas, 'Miami once provided a model for diversity. Now DeSantis won it big', *Washington Post*, 10 November 2022, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/made-by-history/2022/11/10/desantis-woke-miami-dade/> (accessed 12 November 2022).
- 45 T. Mirrlees, 'The Alt-right's discourse on "cultural Marxism": a political instrument of intersectional hate', *Atlantis* 39, no. 1 (2018), pp. 49–69, <https://journals.msvu.ca/index.php/atlantis/article/view/5403>.
- 46 Mirrlees, 'The Alt-right's discourse on "cultural Marxism"'.
 47 M. Vikås et al., 'Breivik's political idol "Fjordman" emerges from anonymity', *Verdens Gang*, 27 September 2011, <https://www.vg.no/nyheter/innenriks/i/2Q5d4/breiviks-political-idol-fjordman-emerges-from-anonymity>; P. Jensen [Fjordman], 'Sweden: the triumph of cultural Marxism', *Brussels Journal*, 9 October 2008, <https://www.brusselsjournal.com/node/3582> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 48 P. Dacre, 'The BBC's cultural Marxism will trigger an American-style backlash', *Guardian*, 24 January 2007, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2007/jan/24/comment.comment>.
- 49 P. Walker, 'Tory MP criticised for using antisemitic term "cultural Marxism"', *Guardian*, 26 March 2019, <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2019/mar/26/tory-mp-criticised-for-using-antisemitic-term-cultural-marxism>.
- 50 IEA, 'About us', Institute of Economic Affairs, <https://iea.org.uk/about-us/> (accessed 13 November 2022).
- 51 M. Sidwell, 'How "woke" progressives won the Culture War, and how to fight back', IEA, 1 August 2022, <https://iea.org.uk/how-woke-progressives-won-the-culture-war-and-how-to-fight-back/#>.
- 52 Walker, 'Tory MP criticised'; 'Conservative MP Suella Braverman refuses to back down over use of "cultural Marxism" trope borrowed from Neo-Nazi propaganda', Campaign Against Antisemitism, 31 March 2019, <https://antisemitism.org/conservative-mp-suella-braverman-refuses-to-back-down-over-use-of-cultural-marxism-trope-borrowed-from-nazi-propaganda/> (accessed 13 November 2022); D. Stone, 'Politicians who use anti-Semitic phrases like "cultural Marxism" have a duty to explain why', *HuffPost*, 29 March 2019, https://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/entry/cultural-marxism-suella-braverman_uk_5c9e0d26e4b0474c08cd18cf (accessed 13 November 2022).
- 53 E. Leigh, 'Letter to the *Telegraph*', 11 November 2020, <https://www.edwardleigh.org.uk/news/letter-telegraph>.
- 54 Tim Black, 'Who's afraid of cultural Marxism?', *Spiked*, 1 April 2019, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2019/04/01/whos-afraid-of-cultural-marxism/> (accessed 13 November 2022).
- 55 C. Fox et al., 'Racial division is being sown in the name of anti-racism', *Spectator*, 30 June 2020, <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/racial-division-is-being-sown-in-the-name-of-anti-racism-it-s-time-to-speak-out/> (accessed 13 November 2022).
- 56 A. Doyle, 'Now that BLM has gone mainstream our children are being brainwashed by a divisive new dogma . . .', *Mail Online*, 12 September 2020, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-8726235/ANDREW-DOYLE-children-brainwashed-divisive-new-dogma.html> (accessed 13 November 2022); R. Ehsan, 'Teaching children to hate each other', *Spiked*, 20 September 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/09/20/teaching-children-to-hate-each-other/> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 57 T. van Dijk, 'Ideology and discourse analysis', *Journal of Political Ideologies* 11, no. 2 (2006), pp. 115–40, <https://doi.org/10.1080/13569310600687908>.

- 58 A. Mondon and A. Winter, *Reactionary Democracy: how racism and the populist far right became mainstream* (London: Verso, 2020).
- 59 F. Furedi, 'The woke crusade against Western civilisation', *Spiked*, 24 August 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/08/24/the-woke-crusade-against-western-civilisation/> (accessed 23 November 2022); I. F. Iman, "'Woke' is more than an insult, it's a threat to our freedom", *Telegraph*, 13 May 2022, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2022/05/13/woke-insult-threat-freedom/> (accessed 23 November 2022).
- 60 D. Green, 'Man-made climate change is a comparatively minor global problem amongst many others', *Civitas*, 3 March 2022, <https://www.civitas.org.uk/2022/03/20/man-made-climate-change-is-a-comparatively-minor-global-problem-amongst-many-others/>.
- 61 E. Kaufmann, in 'Is there a problem with free speech in higher education', *GBNews*. See also Figure 1.
- 62 M. Goodwin, 'Can Britain survive the woke wave?', *Unherd*, 12 July 2021, <https://unherd.com/2021/07/can-britain-survive-the-woke-wave/>; M. Goodwin, 'Academics like me live in fear of the woke hate mob . . .', *Daily Mail*, 15 February 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-9263781/Academics-like-live-fear-woke-hate-mob-writes-politics-professor-MATTHEW-GOODWIN.html>; M. Goodwin, in 'Has academic freedom been cancelled?', *Spectator TV*, 18 October 2021, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=56Vh87Dl8Pw>; M. Goodwin, in 'Free speech nation: the podcast with Andrew Doyle, episode 20 Professor Matthew Goodwin', *GBNews*, 31 October 2021, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8vZPTSn536U>.
- 63 See 'Battle of Ideas Festival', 2018, at <https://www.battleofideas.org.uk/2018/speaker/professor-matthew-goodwin/> (accessed 28 November 2022); Legatum Institute, 'Legatum Institute launches new Centre for UK Prosperity', undated, <https://li.com/news/legatum-institute-launches-new-centre-for-uk-prosperity/> (accessed 23 November 2022); Free Speech Union, 'Who we are: the Free Speech Union', 2022, <https://freespeechunion.org/about/who-we-are/>.
- 64 M. Goodwin, 'If Kemi Badenoch is knocked out today. . .', tweet, 19 July 2022, <https://twitter.com/GoodwinMJ/status/1549375172074999808?s=20&t=MGhvdgp0Bl3nBWNxHZnr1A>.
- 65 E. Kaufmann, 'Political discrimination as civil-rights struggle', *National Review*, 24 June 2021, <https://www.nationalreview.com/magazine/2021/07/12/political-discrimination-as-civil-rights-struggle/> (accessed 28 November 2022); Kaufmann, 'Is woke culture totalitarian?'; Kaufmann, 'The takeover of the American mind'; 'Battle of Ideas Festival', <https://www.battleofideas.org.uk/2021/speaker/eric-kaufmann/> (accessed 28 November 2022); Free Speech Union, 'Who we are'.
- 66 Counterweight, 'Academic affiliates', <https://counterweightsupport.com/academic-affiliates/> (accessed 28 November 2022); Policy Exchange, 'Meet the team', <https://policyexchange.org.uk/meet-the-team/> (accessed 28 November 2022); Manhattan Institute, 'Eric Kaufmann adjunct fellow', <https://www.manhattan-institute.org/expert/eric-kaufmann> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 67 House of Commons, 'Higher Education (Freedom of Speech) Bill (Third sitting)', *Hansard*, [https://hansard.parliament.uk//Commons/2021-09-13/debates/8704d1ec-eade-44f3-b4c9-d482b6b848e2/HigherEducation\(FreedomOfSpeech\)Bill\(ThirdSitting\)](https://hansard.parliament.uk//Commons/2021-09-13/debates/8704d1ec-eade-44f3-b4c9-d482b6b848e2/HigherEducation(FreedomOfSpeech)Bill(ThirdSitting)) (accessed 29 December 2022).
- 68 P. Oliver, 'Purposeful sampling', in V. Jupp, ed., *The SAGE Dictionary of Social Research Methods* (London: SAGE, 2006), p. 245, <https://methods.sagepub.com/reference/the-sage-dictionary-of-social-research-methods>.
- 69 Kaufmann, 'Is there a problem with free speech in higher education?'
- 70 S. Mencarelli, "'Totally obsessed!' GB News' Robinson slams CoE turning into "another institution" of woke', *Express*, 23 May 2022, <https://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/1614550/GB-News-Calvin-Robinson-Church-of-England-wokeism-woke-vn> (accessed 10 November 2022).

- 71 'Articles by Calvin Robinson', *Spiked*, 10 August 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/author/calvin-robinson/> (accessed 28 November 2022); C. Robinson, 'Beware Critical Race Theory - the divisive ideology infiltrating school history lessons', *Telegraph*, 10 October 2020, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/10/01/beware-critical-race-theory-divisive-ideology-infiltrating/>; C. Robinson, 'Believe me, there's no racist like a hard-Left racist', *Mail Online*, 18 February 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-9275711/CALVIN-ROBINSON-Believe-theres-no-racist-like-hard-Left-racist.html> (accessed 2 August 2022); C. Robinson, 'Kemi Badenoch is right to take on Critical Race Theory', *Spectator*, 21 October 2020, <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/kemi-badenoch-is-right-to-take-on-critical-race-theory/> (accessed 28 November 2022); C. Robinson: 'Dawn Butler is "stoking up racial tensions for political gain"', *Breitbart*, 11 August 2020, <https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2020/08/11/robinson-labours-dawn-butler-is-stoking-up-racial-tensions-for-political-gain/> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 72 *GBNews*, 'Calvin Robinson', undated, <https://www.gbnews.uk/calvin-robinson> (accessed 28 November 2022); 'Campaigners criticise UK government race report', *BBC Newsnight*, 1 April 2021, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sQNY4nJefMU> (accessed 28 November 2022); Battle of Ideas Festival, 'Reverend seminarian Calvin Robinson. . .', <https://www.battleofideas.org.uk/2021/speaker/calvin-robinson/> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 73 Don't Divide Us, 'Founding letter', first published in *The Spectator* 30 June 2020, <https://dontdivideus.com/founding-letter> (accessed 29 November 2022).
- 74 F. Furedi, 'The National Woke Service', *Spiked*, 18 May 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/05/18/the-national-woke-service/> (accessed 28 November 2022); F. Furedi, 'A new breed of cultural Taliban is turning universities into factories of woke indoctrination', *Mail Online*, 11 October 2022, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-11301337/A-new-breed-cultural-Taliban-turning-universities-woke-factories-warns-FRANK-FURED.html> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 75 C. Fox, 'What women want', *Spiked*, 1 December 2017, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2017/12/01/what-women-want/> (accessed 28 November 2022); C. Fox, 'Why as a life-long leftie I've joined Team Nigel . . . [my] reasons for standing as . . . a candidate in Brexit Party', *Mail Online*, 23 April 2019, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6952797/CLAIRE-FOX-explains-reasons-standing-European-election-candidate-Brexit-Party.html> (accessed 28 November 2022); K. Zindulka, 'The West has become "complacent" in defending free speech, warns Baroness Fox', *Breitbart*, 11 October 2021, <https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2021/10/11/baroness-fox-thewest-has-become-complacent-in-defending-free-speech/> (accessed 28 November 2022); European Parliament MEPs, 9th parliamentary term, 'Claire Fox', https://www.europarl.europa.eu/meps/en/197708/CLAIRE_FOX/history/9 (accessed 11 November 2022).
- 76 University of Buckingham, 'Buckingham's honorary graduates 2007', <https://www.buckingham.ac.uk/graduation/honorary-graduates/2007/>; University of Buckingham, Departmental Staff <https://www.buckingham.ac.uk/economics-international/staff/>; Academy of Ideas, 'Claire Fox', <https://academyofideas.org.uk/portfolio-item/claire-fox/> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 77 Academy of Ideas, 'Claire Fox'; Academy of Ideas, 'Battle of Ideas Festival: shaping the future through public debate', <https://www.battleofideas.org.uk/> (accessed 28 November 2022).
- 78 Liz Fekete, 'Who is behind the "war on woke": an interview with Ralph Wilson and Isaac Kamola', *Race & Class* 64, no. 2 (2022), doi 10.1177/03063968221127591.
- 79 Free Speech Union, 'Who we are'.
- 80 M. Jones, 'Culture war "Marxism": the Revolutionary Communist Party diaspora and the Conservative Party', *Renewal* 30, no. 2 (2022), <https://renewal.org.uk/culture-war-marxism-therevolutionary-communistparty-diaspora-and-theconservative-party/> (accessed 10 November 2022).

- 81 A. Majid, 'Top 50 newsbrands in the UK: Ladbible sees fastest growth in June with Metro and Independent biggest winners in top ten', *Press Gazette*, 30 June 2022, <https://pressgazette.co.uk/most-popular-websites-news-uk-monthly/> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 82 *Spiked*, 'The BBC is systemically woke: [interview with Nigel Rees]', *Spiked*, 14 January 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/01/14/the-bbc-is-systemically-woke/> (accessed 23 November 2022).
- 83 E. Smith, *No Platform: a history of anti-fascism, universities and the limits of free speech* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2020); Jones, 'Culture war "Marxism": the Revolutionary Communist Party diaspora and the Conservative Party'.
- 84 Office for Budget Responsibility, 'Brexit analysis', OBR, 26 May 2022, <https://obr.uk/forecasts-in-depth/the-economy-forecast/brexit-analysis/#assumptions> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 85 Child Poverty Action Group, 'One million children in poverty miss out on free school meals in the UK' (London: Child Poverty Action Group, August 2021), <https://cpag.org.uk/news-blogs/news-listings/one-million-children-poverty-miss-out-free-school-meals-uk> (accessed 2 August 2022); T. Slater, 'Brexit: the brilliant revolt', *Spiked*, 23 June 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/06/23/brexit-the-brilliant-revolt/>.
- 86 C. Turner, "'You can't say that": civil servants tried to stop Kemi Badenoch's gender-neutral toilet reforms', *Telegraph*, 11 July 2022, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/politics/2022/07/11/cant-say-civil-servants-tried-stop-kemi-badenochs-gender-neutral/> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 87 E. Kaufmann, 'We have the data to prove it: universities are hostile to Conservatives', Manhattan Institute, 3 March 2021, <https://www.manhattan-institute.org/we-have-data-prove-it-universities-are-hostile-conservatives-opinion>.
- 88 C. Foges, 'Purity tests damage students and universities', *The Times*, 3 October 2021, <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/purity-tests-damage-students-and-universities-v28bx722f>; cited by M. Goodwin, 'Group-think diktats . . .', <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/ef4ac40c-2483-11ec-b294-93d60efb4f97?shareToken=5857256811e129f3c8bf68dd75ae7fd8>; M. Goodwin, 'Academics like me live in fear . . .'.
 89 A. Doyle, 'As universities are told cutting marks for bad spelling is elitist . . .', *Mail on Sunday*, 19 April 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-9482553/ANDREW-DOYLE-cutting-marks-bad-spelling-elitist.html>.
- 90 M. Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children? . . . white working-class have been shamefully ignored', *Daily Mail*, 3 April 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/debate/article-9431489/PROFESSOR-MATT-GOODWIN-says-findings-white-working-class-race-report-shamefully-ignored.html> (accessed 10 November 2022).
- 91 Kaufmann, 'We have the data to prove it: universities are hostile to Conservatives'.
- 92 N. Carl, 'Threats to free speech at university, and how to deal with them - Part 1', *Areo*, 10 December 2019, <https://areomagazine.com/2019/12/10/threats-to-free-speech-at-university-and-how-to-deal-with-them-part-1/>.
- 93 D. Murray, 'Wokeism has infected our universities and schools - it's an insidious movement', *The Sun*, 24 June 2021.
- 94 M. Mirza, *Between Universalism and Diversity: contradictions of local cultural policy in Tower Hamlets and Oldham*, University of Kent, PhD thesis, 2009, <https://kar.kent.ac.uk/86389/1/499665.pdf>.
- 95 Mayor of London, 'Munira Mirza (past staff)' London Assembly, 2015, <https://www.london.gov.uk/people/mayoral/munira-mirza-past-staff> (accessed 2 August 2022).
- 96 M. Fletcher, 'Munira Mirza: the former radical leftist advising Boris Johnson', *New Statesman*, 30 September 2020, updated 1 November 2022, <https://www.newstatesman.com/uncategorized/2020/09/munira-mirza-adviser-boris-johnson-aide-former-radical-leftist>.

- 97 Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities, *The Report of the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities* (London: CRED, 31 March and 28 April 2021), <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-report-of-the-commission-on-race-and-ethnic-disparities>.
- 98 M. Mirza, 'All in Britain: why debate is needed', *All in Britain*, 5 December 2017, <https://allinbritain.org/all-in-britain-why-the-debate-is-needed/>.
- 99 B. Johnson, 'Prime Minister's article in the *Telegraph*', London, Prime Minister's office, 15 June 2020, <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/prime-ministers-article-in-the-telegraph-15-june-2020>.
- 100 J. Williams, 'Critical race theory: a ruling-class ideology', *Spiked*, 27 November 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2020/11/27/critical-race-theory-a-ruling-class-ideology/>; Robinson, 'Believe me, there's no racist like a hard-Left racist'.
- 101 Doyle, 'As universities are told cutting marks for bad spelling is elitist'.
- 102 Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children?'.
- 103 M. Goodwin, 'What the leadership race tells us about modern Britain . . .', *Substack* 3, 22 July 2022, <https://mattgoodwin.substack.com/p/what-this-race-tells-us-about-britain>.
- 104 D. Gillborn et al., 'Racism and education: evidence for the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities', Birmingham, University of Birmingham Centre for Research in Race and Education, March 2021; BMJ Opinion, 'The Sewell report risks turning the clock back on the fight against racism in the UK', *British Medical Journal*, 12 April 2021, <https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2021/04/12/the-sewell-report-risks-turning-the-clock-back-on-the-fight-against-racism-in-the-uk/>.
- 105 G. Banks, 'Professor Matthew Goodwin appointed to Social Mobility Commission', *Politics and International Relations News* (University of Kent), 1 September 2022, <https://blogs.kent.ac.uk/polir-news/2022/09/01/> (accessed 4 January 2023).
- 106 A. Bychawski, 'Exclusive: US climate deniers pump millions into Tory-linked think tanks', *Open Democracy*, 16 June 2022, <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/dark-money-investigations/think-tanks-adam-smith-policy-exchange-legatum-iea-taxpayers-alliance-climate-denial/>.
- 107 J. Kanter, 'GB News backers voice support for beleaguered channel', *The Times*, 15 September 2021, <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/gb-news-backers-voice-support-for-beleaguered-channel-g7w5rxbnw>.
- 108 P. Marshall, 'Progressives have sacrificed liberalism', *Unherd*, 30 December 2021, <https://unherd.com/2021/12/progressives-have-sacrificed-liberalism/>.
- 109 Legatum, 'Investors give go-ahead for GB News', *Legatum, News*, 6 December 2021, <https://www.legatum.com/news/article/investors-give-go-ahead-for-gb-news/>.
- 110 Legatum, 'Legatum Institute launches new Centre for UK Prosperity', *Legatum, News*, undated, <https://li.com/news/legatum-institute-launches-new-centre-for-uk-prosperity/#:~:text=Legatum%20Institute%20launches%20new%20Centre%20for%20UK%20Prosperity,prosperity%20for%20England%2C%20> (accessed 23 November 2022).
- 111 GB News [@GBNEWS]. "I've never seen the British people as fed up as they are today." Professor Matt Goodwin gives his thoughts on the latest GB News People's Poll, which shows the public do not believe Rishi Sunak or Keir Starmer could manage the ongoing industrial action.' @GoodwinMJ <https://t.co/BHSgRZDjbb>, Twitter, 23 December 2022, <https://twitter.com/GBNEWS/status/1606192194263531520> (accessed 4 January 2023); GB News [@GBNEWS]. "This is worse than the 1997 wipeout! This is terrible territory for Rishi Sunak and his party to be in." Professor Matt Goodwin reveals that according to a GB News People's Poll, 57% of the British public say "nothing in Britain works anymore", <https://t.co/DrEAvGYBZW>, Twitter, 23 December 2022, <https://twitter.com/GBNEWS/status/1606205471550885888> (accessed 4 January 2023).
- 112 *The Critic*, 'Jeremy Hosking is a fund manager at Hosking Partners LLP and owner of The Critic', *The Critic*, <https://thecritic.co.uk/author/jeremy-hosking/>.

- 113 R. Syal, 'Vote Leave gets big donation from former BNP member on leaked list', *Guardian*, 21 June 2016, <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jun/21/vote-leave-gets-big-donation-from-gladys-bramall-former-bnp-member-leaked-list> (accessed 2 August 2022); Lindsay Fortado, 'Sir Paul Marshall, co-founder Marshall Wace, backing Brexit', *Financial Times*, 23 April 2017.
- 114 G. Monbiot, 'How US billionaires are fuelling the hard-right cause in Britain', *Guardian*, 7 December 2018, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/dec/07/us-billionaires-hard-right-britain-spiked-magazine-charles-david-koch-foundation>; B. O'Neill, 'The new McCarthyism is ruining public life', *Spiked*, 3 December 2018, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2018/12/03/the-new-mccarthyism-is-ruining-public-life/>.
- 115 P. Doreian and A. Mrvar, 'The Koch Brothers and the climate change denial social movement', in D. Tindall et al., eds, *Handbook of Anti-Environmentalism* (Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022).
- 116 Bychawski, 'Exclusive: US climate deniers pump millions into Tory-linked think tanks'.
- 117 Doreian and Mrvar, 'The Koch Brothers and the climate change denial social movement'.
- 118 Bob Ward, 'Conservative leadership candidate doubles down on net zero misinformation', Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, Commentary, 13 July 2022, <https://www.lse.ac.uk/granthaminstitute/news/conservative-leadership-candidate-doubles-down-on-net-zero-misinformation/> (accessed 5 January 2023); R. Merrick, 'Tory leadership hopeful Kemi Badenoch brands net zero "economic disarmament"', *Independent*, 13 July 2022, <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/kemi-badenoch-net-zero-tory-leadership-b2121209.html> (accessed 5 January 2023); Alastair Donald [MaximumCities]. @Jamie_Blackett 'Actually @KemiBadenoch dissent on Net Zero more convincing than Truss <https://t.co/UyXJzUKqm2>', Twitter, <https://twitter.com/MaximumCities/status/15469529091743293442022> (accessed 18 November 2022); Alka Sehgal Cuthbert [ASCphiled]. 'On the hustings, amidst much waffle & platitudes, @KemiBadenoch stands out for speaking ordinary English, showing a concern for improving people's lives, & tellingly, knowing that trust is a thing to be earned, not "delivered" or "generated", #BackingBadenoch', Twitter, 2022 (accessed 23 July 2022); <https://twitter.com/ASCphiled/status/1547935557702991874>; Eric Kaufmann [epkaufm]. 'This is the right move by @KemiBadenoch. Should focus on rolling back woke, controlling migration & tack to the centre on other issues. Net zero commitment is key to not being left out of markets & subsidies to poorer/working people can eliminate burdens <https://t.co/waXmHqLTPE>', Twitter, 2022 (accessed 27 July 2022); <https://twitter.com/epkaufm/status/1549060687690964998>; Fr Calvin Robinson [calvinrobinson]. 'Hoping @SuellaBraverman and @SteveBakerHW back @KemiBadenoch. Only a conservative can win over both the Conservative Party membership and the British public in a general election', Twitter, 2022 (accessed 25 July 2022), <https://twitter.com/calvinrobinson/status/1547660394553978880>; Frank Furedi [Furedibyte], 'About time! Kemi Badenoch brings culture war rhetoric to Tory leadership race <https://t.co/bfcwTlmPmE>', Twitter, 2022 (accessed 23 July 2022), <https://twitter.com/Furedibyte/status/1545871390624157698>; Goodwin, 'If Kemi Badenoch is knocked out today', Twitter, 2022; Katharine Birbalsingh [Miss_Snuffly]. 'Love for @KemiBadenoch continues, even with her out of the race. Why? Because she is a conservative, unlike many Conservatives. Rather than label their ideas/beliefs as racist, try to see beyond prejudice and analyse their values: values that reach across race', Twitter, 2022 (accessed 23 July 2022), https://twitter.com/Miss_Snuffly/status/1549717441978179586; TalkTV [TalkTV]. 'Former MEP Baroness Fox says Kemi Badenoch is "a breath of fresh air" in the Tory leadership race. "She had the temerity to proclaim she knew what a woman was. She also said the online safety bill needed to go."' @iromg | @Fox_Claire <https://t.co/17ADq2XI2N>, Twitter, 2022 (accessed 23 July 2022), <https://twitter.com/TalkTV/status/1546790894980022272>.

- 119 Ros Atkins, interview with Angelos Frangopoulos, 'GB News: one year on', BBC Radio 4, the Media Show, 8 June 2022, <https://www.bbc.co.uk/sounds/play/m0018183>; see Ros Atkins, Twitter, <https://t.co/gIOxZN54EY> <https://t.co/GpNGF1DaXK>.
- 120 Legatum, 'Alan McCormick, Chair of trustees', Legatum Institute, undated, <https://li.com/bio/alan-mccormick/> (accessed 30 December 2022); Alan McCormick [@AJMcCormick], 'The political assault on climate skeptics', <http://on.wsj.com/1aM0iEu> via @WSJ, Twitter, 5 March 2015, <https://twitter.com/AJMcCormick/status/573463446915653632> (accessed 5 January 2023); Alan McCormick [@AJMcCormick], 'Why I am a climate change skeptic', *Heartlander Magazine*, <http://news.heartland.org/newspaper-article/2015/03/20/why-i-am-climate-change-skeptic#.VQ56qswbraQ>.twitter , Twitter, 2015 (accessed 5 January 2023), <https://twitter.com/AJMcCormick/status/579558826925387776>; Alan McCormick [@AJMcCormick], 'How climate-change fanatics corrupted science', *Washington Examiner*, <http://bit.ly/cfw0IU> via @addthis, Twitter, 2010 (accessed 5 January 2023), <https://twitter.com/AJMcCormick/status/8656977965>; Adam Barnett and Damien Gayle, 'GBNews chairman has history of dismissing threat of climate crisis', *Guardian*, 12 May 2022, <https://www.theguardian.com/media/2022/may/12/gb-news-chairman-alan-mccormick-has-history-of-dismissing-threat-of-climate-crisis>.
- 121 van Dijk, 'Ideology and discourse analysis'.
- 122 Cammaerts, 'The abnormalisation of social justice'.
- 123 Adam Bienkov, "'I will be incredibly robust . . ." says Rishi Sunak', Twitter, 5 August 2022, <https://twitter.com/AdamBienkov/status/1555632190863597570?s=20&t=OCpHEKDhkoj6NOXbbygc8Q>.
- 124 B. O'Neill, 'The woke war against the Enlightenment', Dark Horse podcast, *Spiked*, 12 September 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/video/the-woke-war-against-the-enlightenment/>.
- 125 B. O'Neill, 'Feminism and the turn against Enlightenment', *Spiked*, 11 June 2015, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2015/06/11/feminism-and-the-turn-against-enlightenment/>.
- 126 Tombs, "'Wokeness" and the collapse of intellectual freedom in the West'; D. Murray, *The War on the West: how to prevail in the age of unreason* (New York: HarperCollins, 2022).
- 127 Green, 'Man-made climate change is a comparatively minor global problem amongst many others'.
- 128 Harry Wilkinson [@HarryWilkinson], 'The "climate crisis" is a religious belief, nothing to do with science', Twitter, 22 May 2021, <https://twitter.com/HarryWilkinson/status/1396075775468740610> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 129 E. Kaufmann, 'How the woke inquisition broke Cambridge', *Unherd*, 1 May 2019, <https://unherd.com/2019/05/how-the-woke-inquisition-broke-cambridge/>.
- 130 B. Winegard et al., 'Dodging Darwin: race, evolution, and the hereditarian hypothesis', *Personality and Individual Differences* 160, July 2020, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0191886920301045>.
- 131 Winegard et al., 'Dodging Darwin'.
- 132 A. Saini, *Superior: the return of race science* (Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 2019), <https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=GFuUDwAAQBAJ>.
- 133 M. Goodwin, *Is Academic Freedom Under Threat?* (London: Legatum Institute, 2022), <https://li.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Legatum-Institute-Is-Academic-Freedom-Under-Threat.pdf>.
- 134 Carl, 'Threats to free speech at university'; T. Young, 'How science became politicised', *Spectator*, 3 September 2022, <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/how-science-became-politicised/> (accessed 29 November 2022).
- 135 B. Winegard, 'I've been fired. If you value academic freedom, that should worry you', *Quillette*, 6 March 2020, <https://quillette.com/2020/03/06/ive-been-fired-if-you-value-academic-freedom-that-should-worry-you/>.

- 136 B. Winegard et al., 'Human biological and psychological diversity', *Evolutionary Psychological Science* 3, no. 2 (2017), pp. 159–80, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-016-0081-5>.
- 137 M. Pronczuk and K. Ryckewaert, 'A racist researcher, exposed by a mass shooting', *New York Times*, 9 June 2022, <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/06/09/world/europe/michael-woodley-buffalo-shooting.html>.
- 138 N. Cofnas et al., 'Larregue's critique of Cofnas et al. (2017): a rejoinder', *The American Sociologist* 49 (2018), pp. 328–35, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12108-018-9372-6>; R. Adams, 'Cambridge college sacks researcher over links with far right', *Guardian*, 1 May 2019, <https://www.theguardian.com/education/2019/may/01/cambridge-university-college-dismisses-researcher-far-right-links-noah-carl>.
- 139 N. Carl, 'The fallacy of equating the hereditarian hypothesis with racism', *Psych* 1, no. 1 (2019), pp. 262–78, <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-8611/1/1/18> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 140 N. Carl, 'The relationship between solar radiation and IQ in the United Kingdom', *Mankind Quarterly* 48, no. 4 (2018), <https://mankindquarterly.org/archive/issue/58-4/8>.
- 141 T. Young, 'About the *Daily Sceptic*', *Daily Sceptic*, undated, <https://dailysceptic.org/about> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 142 Carl, 'The fallacy of equating the hereditarian hypothesis with racism'; T. Young, '2017 Constance Holden memorial address: liberal creationism', *Intelligence* 66 (2018), pp. 2–7, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2017.09.005> (accessed 2 August 2022).
- 143 T. Young, 'Why can't we talk about the Great Barrington Declaration?', *Spectator*, 17 October 2020, <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/why-cant-we-talk-about-the-great-barrington-declaration/> (accessed 31 December 2022); N. Carl, 'Name-calling, "fact-checking" and censorship in the Covid era', *Daily Sceptic*, 11 November 2022, <https://dailysceptic.org/2022/11/11/name-calling-fact-checking-and-censorship-in-the-covid-era/> (accessed 31 December 2022).
- 144 M. Kulldorff, S. Gupta, J. Bhattacharya et al., 'Great Barrington Declaration', Great Barrington, 4 October 2020, <https://gbdeclaration.org/> (accessed 5 January 2023).
- 145 A. Mondon and A. Winter, 'Returning to *Reactionary Democracy*: reviews, responses and reflections', *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 44, no. 13 (2021), pp. 2408–13.
- 146 R. Lyons, 'Climate change: our "greatest challenge"?', *Spiked*, 30 December 2013, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2013/12/30/climate-change-our-greatest-challenge/>.
- 147 R. Pielke Jr., 'Climate change is real – but it's not the apocalypse', *Spiked*, 13 August 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/08/13/climate-change-is-real-but-its-not-the-apocalypse/>.
- 148 Ridley, 'Why global warming is good for us'.
- 149 Woudhuysen, 'Net zero is a Stalinist fantasy'.
- 150 D. Frisby, 'Wokeness: the return of Medieval madness', *Spiked*, 29 June 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2020/06/29/woke-ness-the-return-of-medieval-madness/>.
- 151 Kaufmann, 'How the woke inquisition broke Cambridge'.
- 152 'Andrew Doyle: anything about someone that is "problematic" is sufficient to have them cancelled', *GBNews*, 21 October 2021, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4LdCV9pOrFQ> (accessed 23 November).
- 153 M. Goodwin, 'The Economist outlines the main methods of a new "woke" elite', Twitter, 4 September 2021, <https://twitter.com/GoodwinMJ/status/1434123795854512129?s=20>.
- 154 Woudhuysen, 'Net zero is a Stalinist fantasy'; Williams, 'The strategy of Maoism in the West'; Kaufmann, 'Is woke culture totalitarian?'.
- 155 Williams, 'The strategy of Maoism in the West'.
- 156 Song Yongyi, 'Chronology of mass killings during the Chinese Cultural Revolution (1966–1976)', SciencesPo Mass Violence and Resistance Research Network, 25 August 2011, <https://www.sciencespo.fr/mass-violence-war-massacre-resistance/en/document/chronology-mass-killings-during-chinese-cultural-revolution-1966-1976.html>.

- 157 C. Jewers 'How your money funded National Trust's Woke review . . .', *Daily Mail*, 31 January 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9205829/Project-identify-colonial-links-stately-homes-received-160-000-taxpayers-lottery-money.html>.
- 158 Leigh, 'Letter to the *Telegraph*'.
- 159 C. Moore, 'Why is the National Trust still falling for Black Lives Matter?', *Telegraph*, 10 November 2020, <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/11/10/national-trust-still-falling-black-lives-matter/>.
- 160 C. Robinson, 'Professor Robert Tombs at #BattleFest . . . "Polluting our cultural springs" . . .', Twitter, 10 October 2021, <https://twitter.com/calvinrobinson/status/1447236656612917253?s=20&t=PZaAJ3qVIMpIAvhHuDe4Rg>.
- 161 Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children?'; Murray, 'Wokeism has infected our universities and schools'.
- 162 R. Tombs, "'Wokeness" and the collapse of intellectual freedom in the West', *Spectator*, 28 August 2021; R. Arya, 'A cultural history of abjection', in R. Arya, ed., *Abjection and Representation: an exploration of abjection in the visual arts, film and literature* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2014), pp. 40–62, https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230389342_3.
- 163 S. Ngai, *Ugly Feelings* (Cambridge, MA and London: Harvard University Press, 2005).
- 164 CPAC, 'Frank Furedi' (untitled video), 19 May 2022, <https://www.conservative.org/video/cpac-hungary-frank-fu%CC%88redi/>.
- 165 CPAC, 'Frank Furedi'.
- 166 R. Hardy, 'The tyranny of the woke mob has made me realise how the Nazis came to power . . . Laurence Fox . . .', *Daily Mail*, 24 March 2021, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-9395123/Laurence-Fox-tyranny-woke-mob-realise-Nazis-came-power.html>.
- 167 P. Embery, 'The left now loathes the working class', podcast, *Spiked*, 2 December 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/podcast-episode/the-left-now-loathes-the-working-class/>.
- 168 L. Dodsworth, *A State of Fear: how the UK government weaponised fear during the Covid-19 pandemic* (London: Pinter & Martin, 2021).
- 169 C. Robinson, 'What is the difference between Labour and the Conservatives?', Twitter, 25 November 2022, <https://twitter.com/calvinrobinson/status/1596217506997338115?s=20&t=zfFPotTUVmYtKEbsUi296Q>.
- 170 F. Furedi, *Culture of Fear Revisited: risk-taking and the morality of low expectation* (London and New York: Continuum, 2006).
- 171 P. Hannam, 'The lockdown fanatics have revived Project Fear', *Spiked*, 26 May 2020, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2020/05/26/the-lockdown-fanatics-have-revived-project-fear/>.
- 172 B. O'Neill, 'Why eco-alarmists are wrong about almost everything', *Spiked*, 14 August 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/08/14/why-eco-alarmists-are-wrong-about-almost-everything/>.
- 173 R. Ehsan, 'No, Lewis Goodall, disparities do not equal racism', *Spiked*, 14 July 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/07/14/no-lewis-goodall-disparities-do-not-equal-racism/> (accessed 29 November 2022); Don't Divide Us, 'Founding letter'.
- 174 J. Williams, 'A brief history of woke', *Spiked*, 27 May 2022, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2022/05/27/a-brief-history-of-woke/>.
- 175 Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children?'.
- 176 F. Myers, 'The climate class war', *Spiked*, 10 August 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/08/10/the-climate-class-war/>.
- 177 Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children?'.
- 178 K. Birbalsingh, 'We cannot eradicate our cultural legacies', *Spiked*, 22 December 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/12/22/we-cannot-eradicate-our-cultural-legacies/>.
- 179 F. Furedi, 'Our teachers are guilt tripping our children over white privilege', *Daily Express*, 22 September 2021, <https://www.express.co.uk/comment/expresscomment/1494713/children-schools-change-british-values-indoctrination>.

- 180 F. Furedi, 'The classroom culture war', *The Critic*, October 2021, <https://thecritic.co.uk/issues/october-2021/the-classroom-culture-war/>.
- 181 F. Furedi, 'The mission to erase British cultural values intensifies: indoctrination is replacing education in British schools', *SOTT: Signs of the Times*, 5 July 2021, <https://www.sott.net/article/455097-The-mission-to-erase-British-cultural-values-intensifies-Indoctrination-is-replacing-education-in-UK-schools>.
- 182 Williams, 'The strategy of Maoism in the West'.
- 183 T. G. Patel and L. Connelly, '"Post-race" racisms in the narratives of "Brexit" voters', *Sociological Review* 67, no. 5 (2019), pp. 968–84, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038026119831590> (accessed 23 August 2022).
- 184 K. R. Bloch et al., 'Playing the race card: White injury, White victimhood and the paradox of colour-blind ideology in anti-immigrant discourse', *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 43, no. 7 (2020), pp. 1130–48, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2019.1648844>.
- 185 Williams, 'A brief history of woke'.
- 186 Ruth Wodak, *The Politics of Fear: what rightwing populist discourses mean* (Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE, 2015).
- 187 N. Lorenzo-Dus and L. Nouri, 'The discourse of the US alt-right online - a case study of the Traditionalist Worker Party blog', *Critical Discourse Studies* 18, no. 4 (2021#0), pp. 410–28, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405904.2019.1708763>.
- 188 C. Robinson, 'It's time we had an open and frank conversation about the Great Reset', *GB News*, 4 October 2021, <https://twitter.com/calvinrobinson/status/1445100618113339395?s=20>.
- 189 M. Finsjö, 'Far-right vlogger and Defend Europe supporter Peter Sweden's real identity revealed', *HOPE not Hate*, 1 August 2017, <https://hopenothate.org.uk/2017/08/01/far-right-vlogger-defend-europe-supporter-peter-swedens-real-identity-revealed/> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 190 PeterSweden [@PeterSweden7], 'I will be on @GBNEWS with Neil Oliver @thecoastguy tonight to talk about the mysterious collapse in birthrates all over the world. Birthrates have collapsed 9% in Britain and 14% in Stockholm Something weird is happening . . .', Twitter, 27 August 2022, <https://twitter.com/PeterSweden7/status/1563555423881310209> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 191 Calvin Robinson [@calvinrobinson], 'Birth rates in the UK are down and immigration rates are at an all-time high. We're looking at the inevitable replacement of British culture . . .', *GBNews*, 4 September 2022, Twitter, <https://twitter.com/calvinrobinson/status/1566486146804219904>; see also his tweet at <https://t.co/N6OWRubfOO> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 192 Counter Extremism Project, 'Great Replacement theory', CEP, undated, <https://www.counterextremism.com/content/great-replacement-theory>.
- 193 P. J. Becker and A. Jipson, 'Replacement theory isn't new - 3 things to know about how this once-fringe conspiracy has become more mainstream', *The Conversation*, 25 May 2022, <https://theconversation.com/replacement-theory-isnt-new-3-things-to-know-about-how-this-once-fringe-conspiracy-has-become-more-mainstream-183492>; 'Knights of the Ku Klux Klan', Southern Poverty Law Center, undated, <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/group/knights-ku-klux-klan>; 'Don Black', Southern Poverty Law Center, undated, <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/individual/don-black> (both files accessed 8 January 2023).
- 194 Becker and Jipson, 'Replacement theory isn't new'; M. Lieberman, 'Five years later: progress slow but steady against violent extremism since deadly "Unite the Right" rally in Charlottesville', Southern Poverty Law Center, 12 August 2022, <https://www.splcenter.org/news/2022/08/12/five-years-progress-against-extremism-since-unite-right> (accessed 8 January 2023).

- 195 Becker and Jipson, 'Replacement theory isn't new'; J. Wilson and A. Flanagan, 'The racist "Great Replacement" conspiracy theory explained', Southern Poverty Law Center, 17 May 2022, <https://www.splcenter.org/hatewatch/2022/05/17/racist-great-replacement-conspiracy-theory-explained> (accessed 8 January 2023).
- 196 Becker and Jipson, 'Replacement theory isn't new'.
- 197 M. Ekman, 'The great replacement: strategic mainstreaming of far-right conspiracy claims', *Convergence* 28, no. 4 (2022), pp. 1127-43, <https://doi.org/10.1177/13548565221091983> (accessed 27 November 2022).
- 198 Ekman, 'The great replacement'.
- 199 Ekman, 'The great replacement'.
- 200 Xinyi Zhang and M. Davis, 'Transnationalising reactionary conservative activism: a multi-modal critical discourse analysis of far-right narratives online', *Communication Research and Practice* 8, no. 2 (2022), pp. 121-35, <https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2022.2056425>.
- 201 Black, 'Who's afraid of cultural Marxism?'
- 202 B. O'Neill, 'We really don't regret voting for Brexit', *Spiked*, 21 October 2021, <https://www.spiked-online.com/2021/10/21/we-really-dont-regret-voting-for-brexit/>.
- 203 Phelan, 'Neoliberalism, the far right'.
- 204 A. Ravik Jupskås and I. Beau Segers, 'What is rightwing extremism?', University of Oslo, C-REX - Center for Research on Extremism, 31 August 2020, <https://www.sv.uio.no/c-rex/english/groups/compendium/what-is-right-wing-extremism.html>.
- 205 E. C. Wolff, 'What is fascism?', University of Oslo, C-REX - Center for Research on Extremism, <https://www.sv.uio.no/c-rex/english/groups/compendium/what-is-fascism.html>.
- 206 Mondon and Winter, *Reactionary Democracy*.
- 207 Mondon and Winter, *Reactionary Democracy*.
- 208 CPAC, 'Frank Furedi'.
- 209 CPAC, 'Frank Furedi'.
- 210 B. Nelson, 'How stochastic terrorism uses disgust to incite violence', *Scientific American*, 5 November 2022, <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-stochastic-terrorism-uses-disgust-to-incite-violence/> (accessed 30 December 2022).
- 211 D. Kalan, 'Rejuvenating Fidesz', *Reporting Democracy*, 29 December 2020, <https://balkaninsight.com/2020/12/29/rejuvenating-fidesz/>.
- 212 Mathias Corvinus Collegium, 'Vision', Budapest, MCC, undated, October 2022, <https://mcc.hu/jovokep>.
- 213 Mathias Corvinus Collegium, 'Dr. Peter Boghossian', Budapest, MCC, February and September - October 2022, <https://mcc.hu/en/person/dr-peter-boghossian>.
- 214 Mathias Corvinus Collegium, 'MCC Brussels inaugural event: towards European renewal: whither Europe in an age of global disorder?', 15 November 2022, <https://mcc.hu/en/event/towards-european-renewal-whither-europe-in-an-age-of-global-disorder>.
- 215 The Tron Church, 'The history of our congregation', Glasgow, Tron Church, undated, <https://www.tron.church/history> (accessed 31 December 2022).
- 216 Mondon and Winter, 'Whiteness, populism and the racialisation of the working class in the United Kingdom and the United States'.
- 217 S. Alexander, 'UK builders go Woke', *Daily Mail*, 19 June 2022, <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10930963/UK-builders-WOKE-Study-finds-three-quarters-tradesmen-discuss-feelings-colleagues.html>; Goodwin, 'So why does nobody talk about these children?'
- 218 J. D. Bakker et al., 'Non-tariff barriers and consumer prices: evidence from Brexit', London School of Economics, Centre for Economic Performance, 2022, <https://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/dp1888.pdf>.
- 219 A. Thompson and M. Montañez, 'See how much climate change has cost different countries', *Scientific American*, 1 November 2022, <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/see-how-much-climate-change-has-cost-different-countries/>; R. Henderson, "'Luxury beliefs" are the

latest status symbol for rich Americans', *New York Post*, 17 August 2019, <https://nypost.com/2019/08/17/luxury-beliefs-are-the-latest-status-symbol-for-rich-americans/>.

220 M. Goodwin, 'This is similar to concept of "luxury beliefs" . . .', Twitter, 4 November 2022, <https://twitter.com/GoodwinMJ/status/1588614229015175168?>.

221 Thompson and Montañez, 'See how much climate change has cost different countries'.