



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Determinants of post-COVID-19 symptoms among adults aged 55 or above with chronic conditions in primary care

data from a prospective cohort in Hong Kong

Citation for published version:

Zhang, D, Chung, VC-H, Chan, DC-C, Xu, Z, Zhou, W, Tam, KW, Lee, RC-M, Sit, RW-S, Mercer, SW & Wong, SY-S 2023, 'Determinants of post-COVID-19 symptoms among adults aged 55 or above with chronic conditions in primary care: data from a prospective cohort in Hong Kong', *Frontiers in public health*, vol. 11, 1138147. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1138147>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.3389/fpubh.2023.1138147](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1138147)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Frontiers in public health

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Determinants of post-COVID-19 symptoms among adults aged 55 or above with chronic conditions in primary care: Data from a prospective cohort in Hong Kong

1 Dexing Zhang¹, Vincent Chi-ho Chung¹, Dicken Cheong-chun Chan¹, Zijun Xu¹, Weiju Zhou¹,
2 King Wa Tam¹, Rym Chung Man Lee¹, Regina Wing-shan Sit¹, Stewart W. Mercer², Samuel
3 Yeung-shan Wong^{1*}

4 ¹JC School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong,
5 China

6 ²Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

7 * **Correspondence:**

8 Samuel Yeung Shan WONG

9 yeungshanwong@cuhk.edu.hk

10 **Keywords: COVID-19, primary care, older adults, infection, post-acute and long COVID-19**
11 **symptoms, predictors**

12 Word count: 2704 Number of tables: 3 Number of figures: 0

13 Abstract

14 Background:

15 Primary care patients, especially those with an older age, are one of the most vulnerable populations
16 for post-COVID-19 symptoms. Identifying predictors of post-COVID symptoms can help identify
17 high-risk individuals for preventive care.

18 Methods:

19 Out of 977 primary care patients aged 55 years or above with comorbid physical and psychosocial
20 conditions in a prospective cohort in Hong Kong, 207 patients infected in the previous 5-24 weeks
21 were included. The three most common post-COVID-19 symptoms (breathlessness, fatigue,
22 cognitive difficulty), which lasted beyond the 4-week acute infection period, were assessed using
23 items from the COVID - 19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale (C19 - YRS), together with other self-
24 reported symptoms. Multivariable analyses were conducted to identify predictors of post-acute and
25 long COVID-19 symptoms (5-24 weeks after infection).

26 Results:

27 The 207 participants had a mean age of 70.8 ± 5.7 years, 76.3% were female, and 78.7% had ≥ 2
28 chronic conditions. In total, 81.2% reported at least one post-COVID symptom (mean: 1.9 ± 1.3);
29 60.9%, 56.5% and 30.0% reported fatigue, cognitive difficulty, and breathlessness respectively;
30 46.1% reported at least one other new symptom (such as other respiratory-related symptoms (14.0%),
31 insomnia or poor sleep quality (14.0%), and ear/nose/throat symptoms (e.g., sore throat) (10.1%),

32 etc.). Depression predicted post-COVID-19 fatigue. The female sex predicted cognitive difficulty.
33 Receiving fewer vaccine doses (2 doses vs. 3 doses) was associated with breathlessness. Anxiety
34 predicted a higher overall symptom severity level of the three common symptoms.

35 **Conclusion:**

36 Depression, the female sex, and fewer vaccine doses predicted post-COVID symptoms. Promoting
37 vaccination and providing intervention to those at high-risk for post-COVID symptoms are
38 warranted.

39 **Contribution to the field statement**

40 This prospective cohort study investigated various predictors of post-COVID-19 symptoms among
41 older primary care patients infected with SARS-COV-2. About 80% of the infected Chinese older
42 primary care patients comorbid with physical and psychosocial conditions reported having post-
43 COVID symptoms. Depression, the female sex, and fewer vaccine doses predicted post-COVID
44 symptoms within 5-24 weeks after infection.

45 **1 Introduction**

46 **By 28 Feb 2023, over 758 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 6.86 million deaths were**
47 **reported to the WHO.(1)** It is foreseen that many more people worldwide would get COVID-19
48 eventually. After the acute period in the initial few weeks, many people were found to have post-
49 acute COVID-19 (5-12 weeks after infection) symptoms and long-term COVID-19 (more than 12
50 weeks after infection) symptoms.(2) Fatigue, cognitive problems, breathlessness, headache,
51 coughing, chest pain, hair loss, decreased mental status, and olfactory dysfunction are frequently
52 persistent symptoms.(3, 4, 5, 6, 7) The pandemic has placed a heavy burden on rehabilitation care for
53 COVID-19 survivors around the world. Systematic reviews of different age groups and infection
54 severities to date have consistently found a high prevalence of post-COVID symptoms (mean follow-
55 up period from 3 weeks to 7 months).(3, 4, 5, 6) Virus variants of concern, which include alpha, beta,
56 delta and now omicron, have suggested that COVID-19 might become endemic and would continue
57 its enormous impacts, especially on vulnerable populations including older adults, who usually have
58 multiple chronic conditions and face higher risks for infection and post-COVID symptoms.(8)

59 Understanding patients' post-COVID symptoms status and relevant predictors is important for
60 providing better preventive and rehabilitation services. However, it is yet unclear and needs more
61 epidemiological studies with low recall bias to understand predictors of post-COVID symptoms
62 among high-risk populations.(9, 10) Currently only a few longitudinal cohort studies have examined
63 predictors of post-COVID symptoms in primary care(11, 12, 13, 14) and further prospective studies
64 are needed to determine the risk factors predicting post-COVID symptoms, especially among older
65 patients in primary care who are more vulnerable.(8) Based on a prospective cohort study that
66 examines physical and psychosocial needs of older patients with comorbid physical and psychosocial
67 conditions in primary care, this study explored various predictors of the three most common post-
68 COVID symptoms (fatigue, cognitive problems, and breathlessness).(3, 4, 5, 6, 7)

69 **2 Materials and methods**

70 **2.1 Study design and setting**

71 This study was based on a prospective cohort study among 1,440 older patients in public primary
72 care clinics in Hong Kong, where the number of COVID-19 cases remained low (about 12,000 cases)
73 until the 5th wave of Omicron dominant outbreak starting in late December 2021. The number of
74 infected cases reached as high as 56,827 in a single day in early March 2022, and the government
75 reporting system recorded 749,318 and 450,146 cases confirmed by nucleic acid amplification tests
76 (NAATs) or rapid antigen tests (RATs), respectively, in the total population of 7.6 million (15.8%) as
77 of May 2022.(15, 16, 17)

78 **2.2 Participants**

79 **Participants were from an existing cohort.** The original aim of the cohort was to identify the unique
80 health needs of older primary care patients with both physical and psychosocial issues such that
81 corresponding complex interventions can be developed to address their needs. The inclusion criteria
82 were (1) Chinese; (2) aged 55 and above; (3) with at least one physical condition (e.g., hypertension,
83 diabetes mellitus, chronic pain, sarcopenia) plus at least one mental/social condition (e.g., depression,
84 anxiety, mild cognitive impairment, loneliness) (*Supplementary Table 1*). The exclusion criteria
85 were (1) psychosis or bipolar disorder; (2) being actively suicidal; (3) receiving services for
86 substance abuse; (4) receiving psychological therapy from a psychologist within the past six months.

87 **2.3 Data collection**

88 Pre- and post-5th wave outbreak assessments were conducted from November 2019 to May 2021
89 (pre-5th wave) and from April to May 2022 (during the 5th wave), respectively. Trained nurses, social
90 workers, and research assistants conducted face-to-face pre-assessments in a public primary care
91 outpatient clinic affiliated with an academic unit. The post-assessments were conducted over the
92 telephone, with at least 3 phone calls made at different times on different days for unanswered calls.
93 A preset database in REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) was used for baseline assessment
94 and an online questionnaire was used simultaneously during the post-assessments. Score ranges and
95 logic settings were set up in both databases to ensure the data entry quality.

96 **2.4 Measures**

97 Measures include basic socio-demographics (age, sex, and Comprehensive Social Security
98 Assistance (CSSA) Scheme reception for low-income families), body mass index (BMI), waist,
99 alcohol drinking behaviour, the number of chronic conditions, physical activity level, pain,
100 sarcopenia, frailty, cognitive function, depression, anxiety, social support, and loneliness. All the
101 scales have been validated (details below) and widely used, including in our previous study in Hong
102 Kong.(18) **Except COVID-19 vaccination information, all the independent variables below (2.4.1-**
103 **2.4.10) were collected at baseline. Post-COVID-19 symptoms (dependent variable) were collected at**
104 **follow-up.**

105 **2.4.1 Number of chronic conditions**

106 The number of chronic diseases was collected via self-report and information retrieved from the
107 public Clinical Management System (CMS).(18) The chronic condition list contained 43 chronic
108 conditions with an additional question on other diseases (Supplementary Table 2). This was based on
109 the International Statistical Classification of Diseases 11 and used in previous local studies.(19, 20)

110 **2.4.2 Depression and anxiety**

111 The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)(21) and the 7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorder
112 (GAD-7)(22) scales were used to measure depression and anxiety, respectively. Both scales have
113 been validated with acceptable psychometric properties among the Chinese population.

114 **2.4.3 Loneliness and perceived social support**

115 Loneliness was measured by the validated 6-item De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale (DJGLS).(23)
116 The DJGLS has a total loneliness score besides two subscales on social and emotional loneliness.
117 The perceived social support was measured by the validated Multidimensional Scale of Perceived
118 Social Support (MSPSS).(24) Higher scores represent higher loneliness/social support levels.

119 **2.4.4 Physical activity level**

120 Physical activity level was measured by the validated Chinese version of the Physical Activity Scale
121 for the Elderly (PASE-C).(25) Higher scores denote being more active.

122 **2.4.5 Alcohol drinking**

123 The validated 3-item Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-consumption (AUDIT-C) was
124 used.(26) It has satisfactory accuracy (0.83), a high negative predictive value (0.93) and a moderate
125 level of positive predictive value (0.64) with the cut-off at ≥ 5 .(26)

126 **2.4.6 Sarcopenia**

127 Sarcopenia was measured by a simple five-item Sarcopenia Assessment (SARC-F)). It has been
128 validated among Chinese and shown to have excellent specificity for screening sarcopenia with the
129 cut-off at ≥ 4 .(27, 28)

130 **2.4.7 Frailty**

131 Frailty was measured by the validated FRAIL scale. It has five items, each with a yes (1) or no (0)
132 answer (score range: 0-5). A score of 1-2 denotes pre-frailty, and a score of 3-5 denotes frailty.(29)

133 **2.4.8 Pain**

134 The pain severity score was measured by the subscale of the validated Chinese version of the Brief
135 Pain Inventory (BPI).(30) It rated worst pain, least pain, average pain, and pain right now in the past
136 week, on a scale of 0 (no pain)–10 (the worst pain one can imagine). Higher scores mean higher
137 severity.

138 **2.4.9 Cognitive function**

139 The validated Hong Kong Montreal Cognitive Assessment (HK-MoCA) was used (score range: 0-
140 30). A lower score suggests poorer cognitive function, adjusting for years of education (+1 point if
141 <6 years of education).(31) The staff who conducted the assessment had the certification for using
142 **HK-MoCA.**

143 **2.4.10 COVID-19 vaccination**

144 **COVID-19 vaccine type and dose were collected at follow-up survey.**

145 **2.4.11 Post-COVID-19 symptoms (dependent variable)**

146 During follow-up, COVID-19 infection status was asked over the telephone for their results of either
147 compulsory tests or self-tests (either using NAATs or RATs). Post-COVID-19 symptoms were
148 symptoms that persisted beyond the initial acute infection period. The period defining post-acute and
149 long COVID-19 symptoms was 5-24 weeks after infection:(2) post-acute COVID symptoms (week 5
150 to week 12) and long COVID symptoms (week 12 to week 24). Three most common post-COVID
151 symptoms (breathlessness, fatigue and cognitive difficulty)(3, 4, 5, 6, 7) were asked using items from
152 the self-reported COVID-19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale (C19-YRS). The C19-YRS is an
153 outcome measure for long COVID symptoms with high internal consistency (Cronbach's
154 $\alpha = 0.891$).(32) The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guideline has
155 advocated its use in all long-term COVID clinics in the UK. The scale has also been translated into
156 multiple languages. It has been translated and back-translated into Chinese by bi-linguists. Each item
157 was rated on an 11-point scale from 0 (none of this symptom) to 10 (extremely severe level or
158 impact). Overall, the severity score with range of 0 to 10 was the mean of the available symptom
159 severity scores from the three post-COVID symptoms. In addition, an open-ended question was
160 asked to understand if any other new symptoms had emerged since the infection, with a severity
161 score (range:0-10).

162

163 **2.5 Statistical methods**

164 Both univariable analysis (t-tests or chi-square tests) and multivariable logistic regression were
165 conducted. The dependent variable was post-COVID symptoms, which were analyzed as categorical
166 variables using two different methods: 1) having any of the three post-acute symptoms, respectively;
167 2) an overall symptom severity score, with the score collapsed into two groups (1-5 mild problem; 6-
168 10 moderate or severe problem). The grouping was identified as recommended by the modified C19-
169 YRS scale.(33) Post hoc rescoring suggested that a 4-point response category structure would be
170 more appropriate than an 11-point response. The rescore was: 0 (no problem); 1-5 (mild
171 problem/does not affect daily life); 6-8 (moderate problem/affects daily life to a certain extent); 9-10
172 (severe problem/affects all aspects of daily life/ life -disturbing). Since few respondents had score
173 larger than 8 and zero score, four categories were collapsed into two groups for analysis. The
174 independent variables included age, sex, social security, number of vaccine doses, Body Mass Index
175 (BMI), central obesity (≥ 80 cm for females, and ≥ 90 cm for males),(34) alcohol drinking, physical
176 activity level, pain severity, sarcopenia, frailty, cognitive status, depression level, anxiety level,
177 loneliness, and social support level. Factors with a p-value < 0.1 were entered into the multivariable
178 models to examine the independent predictors. Adjusted OR (aOR) and its 95% confidence interval
179 (CI) were obtained. P-values less than 0.05 (two-sided) were considered statistically significant.
180 SPSS version 26.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used.

181 **3 Results**

182 A total of 977 (67.8%) patients completed both baseline and follow-up surveys. Out of these 977
183 patients, 212 (21.7%) had been infected during the 5th wave of the pandemic; 5 (2.4%) were infected
184 within 4 weeks, 190 (89.6%) were infected in the previous 5-12 weeks and other 17 (8.0%) in the
185 previous 12-24 weeks. Patients infected in the previous 5-24 weeks were included in the analysis.

186 **3.1 Post-acute and long COVID-19 symptoms**

187 Among the 207 participants who were infected in the previous 5-24 weeks, the mean age was 70.8
188 (SD=5.7) years, and most were female (76.3%). The mean days since COVID-19 infection was 62.5
189 (18.5) days (median (IQR): 62 (20)) (Table 1). For post-COVID-19 symptoms, 60.9%, 56.5% and
190 30.0% reported fatigue, cognitive difficulty and breathlessness, respectively; 22.2%, 32.9% and
191 19.8% reported one, two and all of the three symptoms, respectively; 46.1% self-reported at least one
192 other new symptom. In total, 81.2% reported at least one post-acute COVID-19 symptom. The
193 average number of symptoms was 1.9 (SD=1.3).

194 3.2 Predictors of post-acute and long COVID-19 symptoms

195 Table 2 shows the distribution of the three common symptoms among patients with different
196 characteristics and the univariable analysis results. In the univariable analyses, the female sex,
197 sarcopenia, frailty, depression and anxiety were associated with all three post-COVID symptoms
198 ($p < 0.1$). These variables, together with other variables with $p < 0.1$, were included in the respective
199 multivariable analyses. Table 3 shows the respective multivariable analyses of the three most
200 common symptoms and the overall severity. Compared to receiving 3 doses of vaccine, receiving 2
201 doses of vaccine was associated with post-acute breathlessness (aOR (95%CI): 2.84 (1.14, 7.05),
202 $p = 0.025$), but no association was found among those with 0 or 1 dose. Higher levels of depression at
203 baseline were associated with post-COVID fatigue (aOR (95%CI): 2.97 (1.06, 8.29), $p = 0.038$ for
204 moderate or above depression), compared to no depression. Females were more likely to have
205 cognitive difficulty (aOR (95%CI): 2.31 (1.12, 4.79), $p = 0.024$). Additional analysis on predictors of
206 the overall severity score of the three symptoms found a significant association of anxiety at baseline
207 with a higher average severity score. Multivariable analysis on a subgroup of patients with 2 or more
208 chronic conditions (multimorbidity) showed that frailty was significantly associated with fatigue and
209 cognitive difficulty (Supplementary Table 3).

210 4 Discussion

211 4.1 Summary

212 One in five older primary care patients in this prospective cohort was infected during the 5th wave of
213 COVID-19 in Hong Kong. A total of 80% of patients with comorbid physical and psychosocial
214 conditions suffered from post-COVID symptoms: about 60% had fatigue (predicted by depression),
215 60% had cognitive difficulties (predicted by the female sex), and 30% had breathlessness (predicted
216 by fewer than three vaccine doses). Baseline anxiety predicted overall post-COVID symptom
217 severity. In addition, frailty predicted fatigue and cognitive difficulty among those with
218 multimorbidity after infection.

219 4.2 Strengths and limitations

220 The strength was that this was a prospective longitudinal study that examined various demographic,
221 behavioural, physical, social and psychological predictors of post-COVID symptoms among old
222 primary care patients with comorbid conditions. Risk factors for the three most common post-
223 COVID-19 symptoms were examined. The study had several limitations. First, it might have a
224 selection bias as participation was voluntary. The study only included those patients with complete
225 data at both time points, though multiple calls were made to reach them. The results may not reflect
226 all older patients in primary care, though we believe the rates of infection and symptoms could be
227 higher as usually those who do not respond are those with more severe conditions.(35) Second, the
228 sample size may be insufficient for some subgroups and for identifying some potential risk factors
229 (e.g., very few participants received 0 or 1 vaccine dose, or were underweight). But the sample size

230 should be adequate for most risk factors. Future studies with a larger sample size are important to
231 validate our findings. Third, only the three most common post-COVID-19 symptoms were asked
232 using a validated measure, and other post symptoms were self-reported by open question. We might
233 have under-reported some symptoms, though the rate was similar to the rate (76%) reported in
234 another local study of 106 hospital-admitted patients.(36, 37)

235 **4.3 Comparison with existing literature**

236 The infection rate found in this cohort was higher than the rate (10%) of the general population in
237 Hong Kong in early May 2022.(38) This further implies older primary care patients with comorbid
238 physical and psychosocial conditions as a vulnerable population for COVID-19 infection. The
239 prevalence of post-COVID-19 symptoms was similar to the above local study(36) and twice the
240 global prevalence in a recent meta-analysis: 43% (95% CI: 39%-0.46%).(7) Although we only found
241 3 doses of vaccines had higher protection on post-COVID-19 symptoms compared to 2 doses, but not
242 0 or 1 dose, this might be due to fewer participants having 0 or 1 dose in the study. Recent population
243 studies showed that 3 doses (mRNA vaccines or a combination of an mRNA vaccine and an
244 inactivated vaccine) provided the best protection against COVID-19 severity.(39, 40, 41) A recent
245 retrospective matched cohort study using a UK-based primary care database with 486,149 adults
246 found age, female sex, belonging to an ethnic minority, socioeconomic deprivation, smoking, obesity
247 and a wide range of comorbidities (depression, anxiety, migraine, etc.) were risk factors for long
248 COVID.(37) The difference might be due to that we had a different study setting and population,
249 adjusted many other various variables as well (e.g., frailty, sarcopenia, physical activity level), and
250 had a smaller sample size. Further studies would be still needed to take a closer look at these risk
251 factors.

252 **4.4 Implications for research and/or practice**

253 First, comparing to two doses, having 3 doses of vaccination was at a lower risk of post-COVID-19
254 symptoms. However, we did not see a difference of 3 doses comparing to 0 or 1 dose. This may need
255 a further close look of the protective effect of vaccination among this population. Second, to identify
256 at-risk populations with post-COVID-19 symptoms in primary care among older adults with
257 comorbid physical and psychosocial conditions, attention should be paid to those with pre-existing
258 depressive and anxiety symptoms. Future studies are needed to understand the potential mechanisms
259 of these associations for effective intervention design. Studies with evidence-based interventions
260 such as physical exercise, for reducing depressive and anxiety symptoms (modifiable risk factors)
261 may be studied and incorporated into primary healthcare to prevent future outbreaks. Finally, special
262 attention should be paid to post-COVID symptom severity among frail older adults.

263 In conclusion, depression, the female sex, frailty and fewer vaccine doses predicted post-COVID-19
264 symptoms. Future studies should be conducted to explore the potential mechanisms. Promoting
265 vaccination and providing intervention to those at high-risk for post-COVID symptoms are
266 warranted.

267 **5 Conflict of Interest**

268 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
269 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

270 **6 Author Contributions**

271 DZ drafted the manuscript, advised data analysis and result interpretation and supported study
272 implementation. SYSW conceived the study, obtained funding, designed and supervised the study,
273 and revised the manuscript. VCHC contributed to study design, result interpretation and manuscript
274 revision. DCCC cleaned, managed and analyzed the data, provided results interpretation and
275 manuscript revision. RCML cleaned, managed and analyzed the data. RWS, SWM, ZX, WZ and
276 KWT revised the manuscript.

277 **7 Funding**

278 This work was supported by the Hong Kong Jockey Club Charities Trust. The funder has no role in
279 study design, collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and
280 the decision to submit the report for publication.

281 **8 Ethics approval**

282 Ethics approval was obtained from the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories
283 East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee (The Joint CUHK-NTEC CREC).

284 **9 Acknowledgments**

285 We would like to thank Jennifer Tiu, Lucia Tam, Kala Tsoi, Tony Leung, Erin Yuen, and Kegan Hui
286 for their assistance in data collection. We also greatly thank all the patients who joined the cohort.

287 **10 Data Availability Statement**

288 The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without
289 undue reservation.

290 **11 References**

- 291 1. The World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard.
292 <https://covid19who.int/> 2022; Accessed on August 02, 2022.
- 293 2. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Palacios-Ceña D, Gómez-Mayordomo V, Cuadrado ML,
294 Florencio LL. Defining post-COVID symptoms (post-acute COVID, long COVID, persistent post-
295 COVID): an integrative classification. *International journal of environmental research and public*
296 *health*. 2021;18(5):2621.
- 297 3. Sanchez-Ramirez DC, Normand K, Zhaoyun Y, Torres-Castro R. Long-Term Impact of
298 COVID-19: A Systematic Review of the Literature and Meta-Analysis. *Biomedicines*. 2021;9(8).
- 299 4. Michelen M, Manoharan L, Elkheir N, Cheng V, Dagens A, Hastie C, et al. Characterising
300 long COVID: a living systematic review. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2021;6(9).
- 301 5. van Kessel SAM, Olde Hartman TC, Lucassen P, van Jaarsveld CHM. Post-acute and long-
302 COVID-19 symptoms in patients with mild diseases: a systematic review. *Fam Pract*.
303 2022;39(1):159-67.
- 304 6. Nguyen NN, Hoang VT, Dao TL, Dudouet P, Eldin C, Gautret P. Clinical patterns of somatic
305 symptoms in patients suffering from post-acute long COVID: a systematic review. *Eur J Clin*
306 *Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2022.

- 307 7. Chen C, Hauptert SR, Zimmermann L, Shi X, Fritsche LG, Mukherjee B. Global Prevalence
308 of Post COVID-19 Condition or Long COVID: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *J Infect*
309 *Dis.* 2022.
- 310 8. Dadras O, SeyedAlinaghi S, Karimi A, Shamsabadi A, Qaderi K, Ramezani M, et al. COVID-
311 19 mortality and its predictors in the elderly: A systematic review. *Health Sci Rep.* 2022;5(3):e657.
- 312 9. Armitage R, Nellums LB. COVID-19 and the consequences of isolating the elderly. *Lancet*
313 *Public Health.* 2020.
- 314 10. Iqbal FM, Lam K, Sounderajah V, Clarke JM, Ashrafian H, Darzi A. Characteristics and
315 predictors of acute and chronic post-COVID syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
316 *EClinicalMedicine.* 2021;36:100899.
- 317 11. Platteel TN, Koelmans JC, Cianci D, Broers NJH, de Bont EGPM, Cals JWJ, et al. Long-
318 term prognosis of adults with moderate-severe SARS-CoV-2 lower respiratory tract infection
319 managed in primary care: prospective cohort study: medRxiv. (no pagination), 2022. Date of
320 Publication: 08 Jun 2022.; 2022.
- 321 12. Bohlken J, Weber K, Riedel Heller S, Michalowsky B, Kostev K. Mild Cognitive Disorder in
322 Post-COVID-19 Syndrome: A Retrospective Cohort Study of 67,000 Primary Care Post-COVID
323 Patients: *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease Reports.* 6(1) (pp 297-305), 2022. Date of Publication:
324 2022.; 2022.
- 325 13. Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, Hickman G, Hulme WJ, Schultze A, Curtis HJ, et al. Overall and
326 cause-specific hospitalisation and death after COVID-19 hospitalisation in England: A cohort study
327 using linked primary care, secondary care, and death registration data in the OpenSAFELY platform:
328 *PLoS Medicine.* 19(1) (no pagination), 2022. Article Number: e1003871. Date of Publication:
329 January 2022.; 2022.
- 330 14. Puschel K, Ferreccio C, Penaloza B, Abarca K, Rojas MP, Tellez A, et al. Clinical and
331 serological profile of asymptomatic and non-severe symptomatic COVID-19 cases: Lessons from a
332 longitudinal study in primary care in Latin America: *BJGP Open.* 5(1) (pp 1-8), 2021. Date of
333 Publication: 2021.; 2021.
- 334 15. Hong Kong SAR. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in HK.
335 <https://www.coronavirus.gov.hk/eng/index.html>. 2022;Accessed on March 07, 2022.
- 336 16. Hong Kong University experts predict 4.3 million people will be infected. *Oriental Daily.*
337 2022;https://hk.on.cc/hk/bkn/cnt/news/20220302/bkn-20220302033039952-0302_00822_001.html.
338 Accessed on March 07, 2022.
- 339 17. Hong Kong SAR. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in HK.
340 https://www.coronavirus.gov.hk/pdf/5th_wave_statistics/5th_wave_statistics_20220529.pdf
341 2022;Accessed on July 07, 2022.
- 342 18. Zhang D, Sit RWS, Wong C, Zou D, Mercer SW, Johnston MC, et al. Cohort profile: The
343 prospective study on Chinese elderly with multimorbidity in primary care in Hong Kong. *BMJ Open.*
344 2020;10(2):e027279.
- 345 19. Wang HH, Wang JJ, Wong SY, Wong MC, Li FJ, Wang PX, et al. Epidemiology of
346 multimorbidity in China and implications for the healthcare system: cross-sectional survey among
347 162,464 community household residents in southern China. *BMC Med.* 2014;12:188.

- 348 20. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of
349 multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional
350 study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9836):37-43.
- 351 21. Yu X, Tam WW, Wong PT, Lam TH, Stewart SM. The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for
352 measuring depressive symptoms among the general population in Hong Kong. *Comprehensive*
353 *psychiatry*. 2012;53(1):95-102.
- 354 22. Plummer F, Manea L, Trepel D, McMillan D. Screening for anxiety disorders with the GAD-
355 7 and GAD-2: a systematic review and diagnostic metaanalysis. *General hospital psychiatry*.
356 2016;39:24-31.
- 357 23. Leung GT, de Jong Gierveld J, Lam LC. Validation of the Chinese translation of the 6-item
358 De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale in elderly Chinese. *International psychogeriatrics*.
359 2008;20(6):1262-72.
- 360 24. Chou K-L. Assessing Chinese adolescents' social support: the multidimensional scale of
361 perceived social support. *Personality and individual differences*. 2000;28(2):299-307.
- 362 25. Ngai SP, Cheung RT, Lam PL, Chiu JK, Fung EY. Validation and reliability of the Physical
363 Activity Scale for the Elderly in Chinese population. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine*.
364 2012;44(5):462-5.
- 365 26. Yip BHK, Chung RY, Chung VCH, Kim J, Chan IWT, Wong MCS, et al. Is Alcohol Use
366 Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) or Its Shorter Versions More Useful to Identify Risky Drinkers
367 in a Chinese Population? A Diagnostic Study. *Plos One*. 2015;10(3).
- 368 27. Malmstrom TK, Morley JE. SARC-F: a simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia.
369 *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2013;14(8):531-2.
- 370 28. Woo J, Leung J, Morley JE. Validating the SARC-F: A suitable community screening tool for
371 sarcopenia? *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2014;15(9):630-4.
- 372 29. Woo J, Yu R, Wong M, Yeung F, Wong M, Lum C. Frailty screening in the community using
373 the FRAIL scale. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015;16(5):412-9.
- 374 30. Ger L-P, Ho S-T, Sun W-Z, Wang M-S, Cleeland CS. Validation of the Brief Pain Inventory
375 in a Taiwanese population. *Journal of pain and symptom management*. 1999;18(5):316-22.
- 376 31. Yeung PY, Wong LL, Chan CC, Leung JL, Yung CY. A validation study of the Hong Kong
377 version of Montreal Cognitive Assessment (HK-MoCA) in Chinese older adults in Hong Kong. *Hong*
378 *Kong Med J*. 2014;20(6):504-10.
- 379 32. O'Connor RJ, Preston N, Parkin A, Makower S, Ross D, Gee J, et al. The COVID-19
380 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale (C19-YRS): Application and psychometric analysis in a post-COVID-
381 19 syndrome cohort. *J Med Virol*. 2021.
- 382 33. Sivan M, Preston N, Parkin A, Makower S, Gee J, Ross D, et al. The modified COVID - 19
383 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale (C19 - YRSm) patient - reported outcome measure for Long Covid
384 or Post - COVID - 19 syndrome. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2022;94(9):4253-64.
- 385 34. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome—a new world - wide definition. A
386 consensus statement from the international diabetes federation. *Diabetic medicine*. 2006;23(5):469-
387 80.

- 388 35. Chatfield MD, Brayne CE, Matthews FE. A systematic literature review of attrition between
389 waves in longitudinal studies in the elderly shows a consistent pattern of dropout between differing
390 studies. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2005;58(1):13-9.
- 391 36. Liu Q, Mak JWY, Su Q, Yeoh YK, Lui GC, Ng SSS, et al. Gut microbiota dynamics in a
392 prospective cohort of patients with post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. *Gut*. 2022;71(3):544-52.
- 393 37. Subramanian A, Nirantharakumar K, Hughes S, Myles P, Williams T, Gokhale KM, et al.
394 Symptoms and risk factors for long COVID in non-hospitalized adults. *Nat Med*. 2022.
- 395 38. The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (SAR). Confirmed Cases
396 Data. Local Situation Dashboard. Together, We Fight Virus Website. . [https://chp-](https://chp-dashboardgeodatagovhk/covid-19/enhtml)
397 [dashboardgeodatagovhk/covid-19/enhtml](https://chp-dashboardgeodatagovhk/covid-19/enhtml). 2022;Accessed on August 02, 2022.
- 398 39. Suarez Castillo M, Khaoua H, Courtejoie N. Vaccine effectiveness and duration of protection
399 against symptomatic infections and severe Covid-19 outcomes in adults aged 50 years and over,
400 France, January to mid-December 2021. *Glob Epidemiol*. 2022;4:100076.
- 401 40. McMenamin ME, Nealon J, Lin Y, Wong JY, Cheung JK, Lau EHY, et al. Vaccine
402 effectiveness of one, two, and three doses of BNT162b2 and CoronaVac against COVID-19 in Hong
403 Kong: a population-based observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2022.
- 404 41. Hotta K, Suzuki E, Ichihara E, Kiura K. Three doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine protects
405 from SARS-CoV-2 infections in Japan. *J Intern Med*. 2022.

406 **Table 1.** Demographic characteristics and COVID-19-related information (N=207)

Variables		
Age (years) (mean±sd)		70.8±5.7
≤65		27 (13.0%)
65-74		129 (62.3%)
≥75		51 (24.6%)
Female		158 (76.3%)
Received COVID-19 vaccination		191 (92.3%)
Number of doses received		
0		16 (7.7%)
1		45 (23.6%)
2		95 (49.7%)
3		51 (26.7%)
Type of vaccine received		
Sinovac		117 (61.3%)
BioNTech		68 (35.6%)
Mixed		6 (3.1%)
Days since SARS-CoV-2 infection (mean±sd) / median (IQR))		62.5±18.5 / 62 (20)
	n (%)	Severity score (mean±sd)
Post-COVID-19 symptoms (5-24 weeks since infection)	168 (81.2%)	5.4±1.9
Breathlessness	62 (30.0%)	5.3±1.9
Fatigue	126 (60.9%)	5.6±2.0
Cognitive difficulty	117 (56.5%)	5.8±2.0 ^a
Any of the three post-acute symptoms	155 (74.9%)	5.3±1.9
Other new symptoms	95 (46.1%) ^a	5.8±2.1 ^b
Respiratory system symptom (e.g., cough)		29 (14.0%)
Insomnia / poor sleep quality		29 (14.0%)
Ear, Nose and Throat (ENT) symptom (e.g., sore throat)		21 (10.1%)
Musculoskeletal symptom (e.g., bone pain)		9 (4.3%)

Gastrointestinal symptom (e.g., poor appetite)	7 (3.4%)
Neurological problem (e.g. dizziness/headache)	6 (2.9%)
Eye symptoms (e.g., dry eye, blurred eye)	6 (2.9%)
Heart related problem	5 (2.4%)
Ageusia / Anosmia	4 (1.9%)
Skin problem	3 (1.4%)
Loss of appetite	2 (1.0%)
Others	14 (6.8%)

407 Post-COVID-19 symptoms included post-acute and long COVID-19 symptoms here. They were symptoms lasted for 5-24
408 weeks after infection. Missing: ^a 1, ^b 4. A total of 22 (10.6%) participants had breathlessness at rest (severity score: 4.5±1.8);
409 13 (6.3%) had breathlessness at dressing (severity score: 3.7±2.1); and 55 (26.6%) had breathlessness when climbing stairs
410 (severity score: 5.4±1.8). For cognitive difficulty, 111 (53.6%) reported short-term memory, 61 (29.5%) reported
411 concentration difficulty, and 44 (21.3%) reported planning difficulty, with 105 (89.7%) reported that short-term memory
412 was the worst among the three cognitive difficulties.
413

414 **Table 2.** Risk factors for the presence of post-acute and long symptoms (symptoms last for 5-24
 415 weeks since SARS-COV-2 infection, N=207)

Variables	Total	Breathlessness	Fatigue	Cognitive function	Overall severity (6-10) [^]
Age					
≤65	27 (13.0%)	7 (11.3%)	19 (15.1%)	20 (17.1%)	16 (20.0%)
65-74	129 (62.3%)	44 (71.0%)	75 (59.5%)	68 (58.1%)	44 (55.0%)
≥75	51 (24.6%)	11 (17.7%)	32 (25.4%)	29 (24.8%)	20 (25.0%)
Female	158 (76.3%)	54 (87.1%)**	102 (81.0%)*	97 (82.9%)**	67 (83.8%)
Number of COVID-19 vaccination doses received					
0	16 (7.7%)	3 (4.8%)**	9 (7.1%)	10 (8.5%)	5 (6.3%)
1	45 (21.7%)	13 (21.0%)	32 (25.4%)	27 (23.1%)	21 (26.3%)
2	95 (45.9%)	38 (61.3%)	57 (45.2%)	57 (48.7%)	37 (46.3%)
3	51 (24.6%)	8 (12.9%)	28 (22.2%)	23 (19.7%)	17 (21.3%)
Social security ^a	133 (66.2%)	39 (65.0%)	80 (66.1%)	70 (60.9%)*	46 (59.7%)
BMI (kg m ⁻²)					
Underweight (BMI <18.5)	9 (4.3%)	1 (1.6%)	7 (5.6%)	7 (6.0%)	4 (5.0%)
Normal (BMI 18.5 - <23.0)	46 (22.2%)	10 (16.1%)	28 (22.2%)	26 (22.2%)	17 (21.3%)
Overweight/Obese (BMI ≥23.0)	152 (73.4%)	51 (82.3%)	91 (72.2%)	84 (71.8%)	59 (73.8%)
Central Obesity ^b	147 (71.4%)	51 (82.3%)**	92 (73.0%)	86 (73.5%)	61 (76.3%)
Risky drinker	5 (2.4%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (1.6%)	1 (0.9%)	1 (1.3%)
Physical activity level	106.1±53.2	97.4±35.0*	102.3±50.9	105.0±52.1	101.8±45.4
Pain severity score					
No pain	87 (42.0%)	22 (35.5%)	52 (41.3%)	45 (38.5%)	26 (32.5%)
Mild pain (≤4)	41 (19.8%)	12 (19.4%)	25 (19.8%)	24 (20.5%)	15 (18.8%)
Moderate / Severe pain (>4)	79 (38.2%)	28 (45.2%)	49 (38.9%)	48 (41.0%)	39 (48.8%)
Sarcopenia	42 (20.3%)	17 (27.4%)*	31 (24.6%)*	29 (24.8%)*	24 (30.0%)*
Frailty					
Robust (0)	42 (20.3%)	8 (12.9%)*	18 (14.3%)**	16 (13.7%)**	14 (17.5%)
Pre-frail (1-2)	112 (54.1%)	32 (51.6%)	68 (54.0%)	63 (53.8%)	38 (47.5%)
Frail (3-5)	53 (25.6%)	22 (35.5%)	40 (31.7%)	38 (32.5%)	28 (35.0%)
Cognitive function (MOCA total score)	24.7±3.8	25.2±4.0	24.5±3.9	24.7±3.7	24.3±3.9
Depression					
Normal (0-4)	82 (39.6%)	17 (27.4%)*	37 (29.4%)**	34 (29.1%)**	18 (22.5%)**
Mild (5-9)	75 (36.2%)	28 (45.2%)	50 (39.7%)	46 (39.3%)	30 (37.5%)
Moderate/Moderately severe/Severe (10-27)	50 (24.2%)	17 (27.4%)	39 (31.0%)	37 (31.6%)	32 (40.0%)
Anxiety					
Very mild (0-4)	110 (53.1%)	26 (41.9%)**	59 (46.8%)**	55 (47.0%)**	29 (36.3%)**
Mild (5-9)	57 (27.5%)	25 (40.3%)	37 (29.4%)	32 (27.4%)	25 (31.3%)
Moderate / Severe (10-21)	40 (19.3%)	11 (17.7%)	30 (23.8%)	30 (25.6%)	26 (32.5%)
Social Support (MSPSS total score)	53.2±19.7	52.2±20.8	52.4±19.5	52.3±19.7	51.4±19.3
Loneliness					
Not lonely (0-1)	38 (18.4%)	12 (19.4%)	22 (17.5%)	19 (16.2%)	12 (15.0%)*
Moderately lonely (2-4)	85 (41.1%)	28 (45.2%)	52 (41.3%)	48 (41.0%)	29 (36.3%)

Severely lonely (5-6)	84 (40.6%)	22 (35.5%)	52 (41.3%)	50 (42.7%)	39 (48.8%)
-----------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

416 T-tests and Chi square tests were conducted for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

417 ^ n=155; * p-value <0.1, ** p-value <0.05; Missing: ^a 6, ^b 1

418

419 **Table 3.** Multivariable logistic regression for risk factors of post-acute and long COVID-19

420 symptoms (symptoms last for 5-24 weeks since SARS-COV-2 infection, N=207)

Variables	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
-----------	----------------------	---------

Breathlessness (outcome)

Number of COVID-19 vaccination doses received (exposure variable)

3	Ref	
2	2.84 (1.14, 7.05)	0.025*
1	1.60 (0.55, 4.66)	0.388
0	0.84 (0.18, 3.95)	0.825

Fatigue (outcome)

Depression (exposure variable)

Normal	Ref	
Mild	1.98 (0.95, 4.10)	0.067
Moderate / Moderately severe / Severe	2.97 (1.06, 8.29)	0.038*

Cognitive difficulty (outcome)

Gender (exposure variable)

Male	Ref	
Female	2.31 (1.12, 4.79)	0.024*

Overall severity of the three symptoms (outcome)

(mild (1-5) vs. moderate-severe (6-10), n=155)

Anxiety (exposure variable)

Very mild	Ref	
Mild	1.38 (0.59, 3.22)	0.458
Moderate / Severe	3.30 (1.16, 9.40)	0.026*

421 * p-value <0.05. Factors with p-value <0.1 as indicated in table 2 were entered into the multivariable
422 models but not shown in table 3 if their p-value >0.05 after adjusting with other covariates.

423

Supplementary Material

Determinants of post-COVID-19 symptoms among adults aged 55 or above with chronic conditions in primary care: Data from a prospective cohort in Hong Kong

424 **Dexing Zhang, Vincent Chi-ho Chung, Dicken Cheong-chun Chan, Zijun Xu, Weiju Zhou,**
425 **King Wa Tam, Rym Chung Man Lee, Regina Wing-shan Sit, Stewart W. Mercer, Samuel**
426 **Yeung-shan Wong***

427 * **Correspondence:** Samuel Yeung-shan Wong: yeungshanwong@cuhk.edu.hk

428

429 **Supplementary Table 1.** Definition of the health condition in the inclusion criteria

Health condition	Measurement	Inclusion criteria
Physical condition		
Hypertension	Blood pressure (measured on the assessment day)	$\geq 140/90$
Diabetes mellitus	Hb A1c (checked CMS)	>7
Chronic pain	Self reported	Having pain and last for 3 months within last year
Sarcopenia	Sarcopenia assessment (Self reported)	≥ 4
Frailty	FRAIL scale (Self reported)	≥ 1
Drug compliance	Self reported	Taking ≥ 5 drugs or forgot to take drug
Underweight	BMI (measured on the assessment day)	≤ 18.5
Mental/social condition		
Depression	9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (Self reported)	≥ 5
Anxiety	7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorder (Self reported)	≥ 5
Mild cognitive impairment	Hong Kong Montreal Cognitive Assessment (measured by the assessor)	7th percentile
High loneliness level	6-item De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale (Self reported)	≥ 3
Moderate or low social support	Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support scale (Self reported)	≤ 5
Living alone or only living with his/her partner	Self reported	Yes

430

431

432 **Supplementary Table 2. Chronic condition list**

43 common chronic conditions in 15 categories	
1. Metabolic disease	hypertension, lipid disorder, diabetes
2. Cancer	
3. Disease of the cardiovascular system	coronary heart disease, stroke/cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease
4. Disease of the respiratory system	COPD, bronchiectasis, asthma, chronic pharyngitis /laryngitis
5. Disease of the liver, spleen and gallbladder	gallbladder/spleen disease, viral hepatitis, chronic liver disease
6. Disease of the stomach and intestines	dyspepsia and gastritis, diverticular disease of intestine, chronic enteritis; irritable bowel syndrome; constipation
7. Disease of the musculoskeletal and connective tissue	chronic pain needing medication control, skeletal and connective tissue inflammation (such as arthritis, gout)
8. Disease of the genitourinary system	chronic kidney disease (nephritis), prostatitis, benign prostatic hyperplasia
9. Disease of the ear, nose and throat (ENT)	chronic rhinitis, deafness/tinnitus
10. Disease of the visual system	glaucoma/cataracts, blindness/amblyopia, diabetic eyes, retinal detachment
11. Disease of the skin	eczema, psoriasis
12. Disease of the blood	anemia
13. Disease of the nervous system	multiple sclerosis, migraine, epilepsy, Parkinson's disease
14. Mental disorders	schizophrenia/bipolar disorder, depression, anxiety & other stress related disorders, dementia
15. Others	

433
434

435 **Supplementary Table 3.** Multivariable logistic regression for risk factors of post-acute and long
 436 COVID-19 symptoms (symptoms last for 5-24 weeks since SARS-COV-2 infection) among people
 437 with multimorbidity (n=163)

Variables	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
<u>Breathlessness</u>		
Number of COVID-19 vaccination doses received		
3	Ref	
2	4.09 (1.37, 12.24)	0.012 *
1	2.22 (0.64, 7.68)	0.209
0	2.01 (0.38, 10.56)	0.409
<u>Fatigue</u>		
Frailty		
Robust	Ref	
Pre-frail	1.66 (0.67, 4.10)	0.270
Frail	3.21 (1.03, 10.05)	0.045*
<u>Cognitive difficulty</u>		
Gender		
Male	Ref	
Female	2.35 (1.09, 5.08)	0.030*
Frailty		
Robust	Ref	
Pre-frail	2.33 (0.89, 6.15)	0.086
Frail	5.27 (1.55, 17.92)	0.008 *

438 * p-value <0.05

439

440

441

442