



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## Looking inside the Swampland from Warm Inflation: Dissipative Effects in De Sitter Expansion

**Citation for published version:**

Berera, A & Calderon-Figueroa, J 2023, 'Looking inside the Swampland from Warm Inflation: Dissipative Effects in De Sitter Expansion', *Universe*, vol. 9, no. 4, 168, pp. 1-11.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/universe9040168>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.3390/universe9040168](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe9040168)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Universe

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Review

# Looking inside the swampland from warm inflation: Dissipative effects in de Sitter expansion

Arjun Berera <sup>1</sup> , Jaime Calderón-Figueroa <sup>1,2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Física, Escuela Politécnica Nacional, Ladrón de Guevara E11-253, 170525, Quito, Ecuador

\* Correspondence: ab@ph.ed.ac.uk (A.B.) and jaime.calderon@ed.ac.uk (J.C.F.)

**Abstract:** This paper reviews the theoretical and phenomenological implications of the swampland conjectures from the perspective of inflationary cosmology, focusing on warm inflation. We demonstrate how the swampland conjectures appear to favor the strong dissipative regime, giving warm inflation a competitive edge over standard inflation. Additionally, we ponder the possible deeper implications of dissipation for constructing successful inflation models from string theory.

**Keywords:** Warm Inflation; Swampland Conjectures; Effective Field Theories in Curved Spacetimes

## 1. Introduction

The study of inflation as an effective field theory (EFT) is essential in order to evaluate its theoretical soundness and the robustness of its phenomenological predictions. That is a challenging task, given the technical complications of dealing with quantum fields in curved spacetimes, as well as additional complexities inherent to de Sitter spacetimes [1–3]. One can tackle these problems from either a top-down approach or bottom-up approach [4–6] (see [7–10] for a new perspective). With the former method, one would begin with a UV-complete theory of gravity, and investigate the implications it has on infrared (IR) degrees of freedom and the respective EFTs that describe their dynamic.

Arguably, the *swampland program* [11] serves as the prime example of such approaches (see [12–14] for reviews). This program seeks to distinguish the low-energy EFTs that are consistent with string theory, and thus belong to the *landscape*, from those that are not, and are consequently relegated to the *swampland*. In order to make this program truly effective, it is essential to define the limits exclusively in terms of the parameters and properties of the EFT, rather than relying on the specifics of the quantum theory of gravity [12]. Despite this difficulty, a number of conjectures have arisen regarding the ‘location’ of these boundaries, some of which have been tested through string theory constructions or black hole physics. While there is still much uncertainty, these tests have provided valuable insight into the connection between different conjectures, hinting at underlying quantum gravity principles.

In this way, the swampland conjectures have been widely used as criteria to test the consistency of Beyond the Standard Model theories with quantum gravity. As such, one of the most thrilling applications of the swampland program is inflationary cosmology [15], providing model builders with an invaluable set of guidelines to assess a model, in addition to experimental data. Notice that if these conjectures prove to be true, this is nothing but a manifestation of the mixing of UV and IR degrees of freedom through quantum gravity, thereby highlighting the importance of having an appropriate EFT description of inflation that (perhaps) we are yet to uncover.

As mentioned before, a number of swampland conjectures have been proposed over the years, and most of these can be related in some form [13]. The three main cornerstones of the swampland program are the no-global symmetries conjecture (NGC) [16], the weak

**Citation:** Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Journal Not Specified* 2023, 1, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Published:

**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

gravity conjecture (WGC) [17], and the swampland distance conjecture (SDC) [18]. Broadly, the NGC proposes that global symmetries cannot exist in quantum gravity, otherwise there would be violations of entropy bounds for black holes, among other problems. The WGC (in its magnetic version) postulates that in any theory coupled to gravity with a  $U(1)$  symmetry and associated coupling  $g$ , the cutoff scale  $\Lambda$  of the EFT must be bounded as  $\Lambda \lesssim gM_{\text{Pl}}$ , where  $M_{\text{Pl}}$  is the reduced Planck mass. Finally, the SDC states that the moduli space of a theory is non-compact, meaning that two points can be infinitely separated, a limit that also corresponds to weak couplings. Consequently, a global symmetry could in principle be restored unless quantum gravity effects intervene, leading to a breakdown of the EFT description. The cutoff of quantum gravity then depends on the field variations  $\Delta\phi$  (which define the distance in moduli space). As a result, only finite variations  $\Delta\phi$  are allowed, and these depend on the cutoff  $\Lambda$  of the EFT.

The conjectures listed above form the basis of ongoing research in the field. However, we shall focus on those that are more pertinent to inflation model building. We will demonstrate how each of these constraints is typically in conflict with cold inflation (CI) [19–22], and how warm inflation (WI) [23,24] can naturally circumvent these issues [25–28]. We will pay closer attention to the trans-Planckian censorship conjecture (TCC), which apparently treats—and burdens—WI and CI on equal footing [29,30]. Nevertheless, we will also show evidence that seems to point at least towards a lighter version of TCC. Finally, we will speculate about the potential (deep) role of dissipation in shielding WI or similar theories from the swampland conjectures.

## 2. Swampland burdens on inflation

### 2.1. The challenges of de Sitter states in an inflationary universe

Historically, the swampland program started with the so-called de Sitter conjecture [18,31], which remains one of the most contentious. Indeed, the appeal of inflation, and specially the discovery of a positive cosmological constant, demand that the string theory landscape be populated by theories with de Sitter vacua; otherwise string theory would be unable to provide an adequate description of nature. However, it became apparent early on that anti-de Sitter vacua were far more ubiquitous in string theory, while dS solutions were notoriously difficult to obtain, giving rise to the longstanding conjecture that they may be completely unattainable. Constructions such as KKLT [32] and subsequent generalizations [33,34] looked to put these worries to rest, although they have faced criticisms related to the validity of the approximations and their status as proper solutions of string theory. On the other camp, it has been argued that slow-roll inflation is out of the reach of the dS conjecture, which is only limited to dark energy, where it favors quintessence models over a cosmological constant [35]. While the existence of dS vacua in string theory remains an open question, we will take the dS conjecture at face value and explore the viability of warm inflation in this context.

The de Sitter conjecture states that a scalar potential of an EFT weakly coupled to gravity must satisfy [31]

$$|\nabla V| \geq \frac{c}{M_{\text{Pl}}} V, \quad (1)$$

where the derivatives are with respect to the scalar fields, and  $c$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  constant. This was later refined in order to keep the Higgs potential outside of the swampland. For that, the potential could also satisfy

$$\min(\nabla_i \nabla_j V) \leq -\frac{c'}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} V, \quad (2)$$

where  $c'$  is another  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  constant [36]. With these conditions, the dS conjecture disallows flat potentials that resemble a positive cosmological constant, effectively ruling out dS minima, though not other critical points.

In consequence, potentials with a rather pronounced slope are favored by this conjecture, as opposed to the roughly flat potentials required to achieve slow-roll (cold) inflation. For example, consistency with the dS conjecture (eq. (1)) imposes

$$\epsilon_V = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left( \frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 \geq \frac{c^2}{2}. \quad (3)$$

The slow-roll condition  $\epsilon_V \ll 1$  would be in tension with the dS conjecture if we insist on  $c \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ . To see this, notice that our current bounds on the tensor-to-scalar ratio, together with the consistency relation  $r = 16\epsilon_V$ , bound this parameter to  $c < 0.067$  [12]. Similarly, one can check from eq. (2) that for single-field inflation, the slow-roll condition  $|\eta_V| = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 |V''/V| \ll 1$  is in clear opposition to the requirement that  $|\eta_V| \geq c'$ .

This simple analysis already demonstrates the potential advantages of warm inflation from a swampland perspective. Indeed, slow-roll warm inflation requires, among other relations,

$$\epsilon_H = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \approx \frac{\epsilon_V}{1+Q} \ll 1, \quad \delta = -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}H} \ll 1, \quad \theta = -\frac{\dot{Q}}{H(1+Q)} \ll 1 \quad (4)$$

where  $Q = Y/(3H)$  quantifies the relative strength of the dissipative dynamics in comparison with that of the expansion [37]. In addition to the possibility of having larger values of  $\epsilon_V$ , as favored by eq. (3), the conditions above also imply that

$$|\epsilon + \delta + \theta| \simeq \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{1+Q} \left| \frac{V''}{V} \right| \ll 1, \quad (5)$$

which highlights that the constraint on the second derivative of the potential is also  $Q$ -suppressed. Consequently, even if potentials are steep, the universe can still be inflated provided that dissipation is strong enough. It is thus apparent that, from a model building perspective, having a strong dissipative dynamic is one of the best ways to embrace the dS conjecture and benefit from inflation [26,28,38,39]. Such models would necessarily differ from a perfect dS universe, thereby allowing them to elude the tightest constraints of such spacetimes, such as those emanating from entropy bounds [40]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that even if the dS conjecture is a result of these bounds, warm inflation is still in accordance with it, despite the presence of an additional entropy in the thermal bath [41]. Additionally, this picture is in line with the expected behavior of dS spaces coupled to interacting quantum fields, which break the de Sitter isometry group, resulting in the production of matter and radiation [3,42,43].

## 2.2. Bounds on the amplitude of the inflaton excursions

Another challenge to the inflationary picture emerges when one considers the SDC together with the Lyth bound [44]. As discussed before, one of the consequences of the former is that the cutoff of the EFT and the field variations are coupled, with  $\Lambda$  exponentially suppressed for large values of  $\Delta\phi$ . This relation can be expressed as follows,

$$\Lambda := Ae^{-\alpha\Delta\phi/M_{\text{Pl}}} M_{\text{Pl}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $A$  and  $\alpha$  are  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  parameters. Then,  $\Delta\phi$  must be small enough in order for  $\Lambda$  to remain relatively high, keeping the EFT description valid. For this, we ask

$$\Lambda > E_{\text{Inf}} \simeq V^{1/4} \simeq 7.6 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{r}{0.1} \right)^{1/4} M_{\text{Pl}}. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, the field variation can be written in terms of  $r$  as follows

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_{\text{Pl}}} = \int_{N_{\text{end}}}^{N_{\text{cmb}}} dN \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}} \simeq \frac{\Delta N}{60} \times \left( \frac{r}{0.002} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\Delta N$  is the minimum number of  $e$ -folds required to solve the standard cosmological problems. Thus, accounting for the entire duration of inflation, we have

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \geq \frac{\Delta N}{60} \times \left(\frac{r}{0.002}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, while large values of  $r$  would lower the EFT cutoff, it is not necessarily an issue due to the current upper bound of  $r < 0.036$  [45]. Ultimately, the parameter  $A$  in eq. (6) — which corresponds to the mass scale of the tower of states — is the one that truly stands to limit inflationary models [12]. Nevertheless, the parameter  $\alpha$  should not be disregarded, as even for Starobinsky models [46], one of the most favored by data [47], small variations in  $\alpha$  could determine whether or not eternal inflation is accessible.

By allowing for a dissipative dynamics, we can see that the above analysis for cold inflation must change, with eq. (8) now taking the form:

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_{\text{Pl}}} = \int_{N_{\text{end}}}^{N_{\text{cmb}}} dN \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}} \left[ 1 + 2n_* + \frac{T}{H} \frac{2\pi\sqrt{3}Q}{\sqrt{3+4\pi Q}} \right], \quad (10)$$

where the expression inside the square brackets comes from the scalar power spectrum (without considering the growing mode present for temperature-dependent dissipative coefficients), and  $n_*$  represents the statistical distribution of modes at horizon crossing (see e.g., [37,48]). Once again, taking the limit of strong dissipation, we have

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \gtrsim \frac{\Delta N}{60} \times \left(\frac{r^{(\text{WI})}}{0.002}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (11)$$

where we have made it explicit that in this case one must consider the tensor-to-scalar ratio in warm inflation, which is necessarily smaller than its CI counterpart in eq. (9). Thus, WI can also fall into the category of small-field models, clearly alleviating the constraints emerging from eqs. (6) and (7).

It is worth mentioning that the categorization of small and large-field models can be vital when discussing the initial conditions of inflation, particularly for plateau potentials. For instance, cold inflation necessitates large field excursions in order to be situated inside the attractor region [49]. This presents a challenge from a phenomenological standpoint, as seen from eq. (8), as it would then predict an overly large value of  $r$ . On the other hand, warm inflation requires smaller field excursions in order to comply with current bounds on  $r$ , although this would place it outside of the attractor region. Nevertheless, Ref. [50] has demonstrated that fluctuation-dissipation dynamics can be utilized to locate the field within a flat plateau about the origin, thus creating the necessary conditions for inflation.

Before going into the one conjecture warm inflation appears to be vulnerable to, it should be highlighted that the allure of WI from a swampland perspective is rooted in the strong dissipative regime. If dissipation is weak, the same constraints as for cold inflation apply, albeit with phenomenological differences still present. Conversely, if dissipation is not insignificant, but not sufficiently strong, then some of the appeal of WI may be restored, although one would still have to adjust the  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  factors. Consequently, the consistency of warm inflation with the swampland conjectures is not generically guaranteed outside of the strong dissipative regime, but it is still arguably in a better position than cold inflation.

### 2.3. The issue with trans-Planckian modes

Arguably, the most significant success of inflation is its ability to explain the origin of the macroscopic density perturbations as emerging from vacuum fluctuations during an earlier stage of the Universe. However, if inflation lasted long enough, certain present-day scales could be traced back to the trans-Planckian (TP) realm. If TP modes can reach visible wavelengths, inflation should be valid up to energy ranges beyond the Planck scale as an EFT [51–54]. Potential solutions such as setting a Planck-scale cutoff are not viable due

to well-known issues like the violation of diffeomorphism invariance or, for expanding backgrounds, the increase of the number of degrees of freedom with time, resulting in a complicated non-unitary evolution [55,56]. Against this background, the trans-Planckian censorship conjecture (TCC) has been proposed as a way to ensure that TP modes are not part of the EFT on curved spacetimes [29,30]. This conjecture states that the lifetime of metastable dS spaces must be such that TP modes cannot cross the horizon. For this, the lifetime of dS spaces must satisfy

$$t \leq \frac{1}{H} \ln \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{H}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, EFTs that allow dS states whose lifetime violate this bound belong to the swampland. Notice that, string theory considerations aside, TCC stands out as one of the swampland conjectures with a particularly strong physical motivation. The notion of forbidding TP modes from crossing the horizon is to prevent them from becoming classical, thereby making them unobservable. That is why TCC applies ubiquitously to any inflation model.

Bounding the duration of inflation as prescribed by the TCC is highly taxing when constructing a model, especially concerning the energy scale of inflation. To better study this problem, let us focus on the WI case [28], although the analysis can be readily adapted for CI. First, the TP modes that have the longest time to cross the horizon are those that are trans-Planckian at the beginning of inflation, so that

$$\frac{\ell_{\text{Pl}}}{a_i} < \frac{1}{a_f H_f} \implies e^{N_e} := \frac{a_f}{a_i} < \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{H_f} \quad (13)$$

where  $a_i$  ( $a_f$ ) denotes the scale factor at the start (end) of inflation,  $H_f$  is the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation, and  $N_e$  is the number of  $e$ -folds of inflation. As it can be seen, the relations above already set an upper bound on  $N_e$ .

On the other end, we consider the requirement that inflation should solve the horizon problem, for which it must persist for a sufficient number of  $e$ -folds. To guarantee that, one must impose that the present comoving horizon has to be contained within the comoving horizon at the beginning of inflation, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{a_0 H_0} < \frac{1}{a_i H_i}. \quad (14)$$

Rearranging terms and conveniently introducing the scale factor at the end of inflation, the inequality above becomes

$$\frac{1}{H_0} < \frac{a_0 a_f}{a_f a_i} \frac{1}{H_i} \iff \frac{1}{H_0} < \frac{T_f g_*^{1/3}(T_f)}{T_0 g_*^{1/3}(T_0)} e^{N_e} \frac{1}{H_i}, \quad (15)$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{H_0} < \frac{T_f}{T_0} e^{N_e} \frac{1}{H_i} \iff \frac{H_i T_0}{T_f H_0} < e^{N_e}, \quad (16)$$

where we have assumed that the ratio between the (cubic root) number of degrees of freedom  $g_*$  at the end of inflation and at present day is of order one. Thus, eqs. (13) and (16) imply

$$\frac{T_0}{H_0} < \frac{T_f}{H_f} \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{H_i}. \quad (17)$$

Finally, assuming slow-roll and a rapid thermalization, such that the radiation energy density scales with temperature as  $\rho_r \propto T^4$ , we have that<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{T_f}{H_f} \simeq \left[ \frac{9}{2} \frac{Q_f}{1 + Q_f} \right]^{1/4} \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{V_f^{1/4}}. \quad (18)$$

In the swampland context, the strong dissipative regime is the most interesting. In this regime, we get

$$V_i^{1/2} V_f^{1/4} < 5 \times 10^{-30} M_{\text{Pl}}^3, \quad (19)$$

where we have used that  $T_0/H_0 \approx 1.7 \times 10^{29}$ . Furthermore, since  $V_i > V_f$ , we can find a bound for the energy scale at the end of inflation of

$$V_f^{1/4} < 1.7 \times 10^{-10} M_{\text{Pl}} \sim 4 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV}. \quad (20)$$

For comparison, the bound on the energy scale of cold inflation is  $V^{1/4} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-10} M_{\text{Pl}}$  [30]. Then, TCC in the form here presented bounds  $r$  to be less than  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-30})$ , so any possibility of detection of tensor modes would be discarded.

Despite the severe bound on the energy scale of inflation, this is only an inconvenience from an experimental standpoint. In reality, it does not prevent inflation itself, as it could be argued for the other swampland conjectures.<sup>2</sup> Thus, observational prospects aside, there are certainly models that can satisfy the constraints of TCC. For example, the strong dissipative regime of warm inflation can naturally lead to such a result [28,58,59]. In WI, the tensor-to-scalar ratio also serves the purpose of comparing the relative strength of quantum (tensor) and thermal (scalar) perturbations, and when the dissipation rate is high, the thermal perturbations will outweigh the quantum ones, resulting in a suppressed value of  $r$ . As such, several WI models satisfy the requirements of the TCC out of the box.

### 2.3.1. But, is this really an issue?

Just like with the other swampland conjectures, TCC is not free of controversy. There are those who have argued that there is no such thing as a trans-Planckian problem in the first place. For instance, Ref. [60] suggests that at Planck scales, the Universe is essentially inhomogeneous and anisotropic, with fluctuations transforming some regions into black holes at such scales, which function effectively as a dynamical cutoff. This is only an example of how quantum gravity effects could take over in the Planckian regime. Along the same lines, one could argue that linear perturbation theory breaks down before classical modes can be blueshifted to the TP regime, thus necessitating quantum gravitational effects to take over from inflation. This line of thinking is reminiscent of what was expected to solve the horizon problem before inflation was introduced [61]. Going even further, Ref. [62] argues that it is not sensible to blueshift modes too far into the past, since their production is exponentially suppressed for wavelengths  $\lambda \ll H^{-1}$ . Thus, according to this argument, if we trace back a mode to the TP realm, we would be going beyond its birth time. In addition to all these arguments, Burgess et al. have asserted that even if the EFT includes TP modes and breaks down at earlier times, the late-time predictions of inflation are not affected provided that there is adiabatic behavior at those times [63].

TCC has also come under scrutiny for prohibiting TP modes from crossing the horizon on the basis that any quantum mode would decohere as it does so. However, this argument overlooks the fact that many interactions can cause decoherence even inside the cosmic

<sup>1</sup> We have used  $g_* = 228.75$  in the expression for the radiation energy density, corresponding to the MSSM. Other choices change the numerical values by a factor of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  at most.

<sup>2</sup> It has also been argued that TCC also leads to a fine-tuning problem [57]. In particular, the models of cold inflation which survive the TCC are low-scale models and these are typically the ones which require a high degree of fine-tuning to get started.

horizon. For example, it was shown in Ref. [64] how the electroweak phase transition could have easily produced the classicalization of modes that were originally TP at earlier times.

Considering the arguments above, there is really no reason to strictly impose the bound shown in eq. (12). Instead, one could still limit the lifetime of dS spaces as follows

$$N_e < \mathcal{O}(1) \ln \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{H}, \quad (21)$$

a condition that has been obtained from other swampland conjectures like the SDC and the dS conjecture [64–68]. These modifications to TCC are not drastically different from the original formulation, but are sufficient to support high-energy scales of inflation. Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that in order to regain the original TCC from other swampland conjectures, all quasi-dS spaces must be excluded. As a result, it is generally accepted that a limitation on the slope of the potential will imply a restriction on the duration of inflation, though not as firm as the one set by TCC. A strong support for a refined version of TCC comes from similar bounds obtained while investigating chaos and complementarity in dS spacetimes, which resulted in  $N_e < 2 \ln(M_{\text{Pl}}/H)$  [69,70] (see also [71,72]). Bottom-up calculations have also arrived at similar conclusions, which suggest that the lifetime of dS spacetimes may indeed be finite, yet not short enough to impose any stringent phenomenological constraints [73].

### 3. Discussion

If some version of the dS conjecture (or its TCC reincarnation) is correct, then only short-lived dS vacua are allowed to have UV-completions. As we have pointed out multiple times in the draft, there appears to be plenty of arguments both in favour of and against such an assertion. However, in this section, let us *assume* that this conjecture is sacrosanct in quantum gravity, and ponder the consequences invoked by such a hypothesis.

Most papers in the literature have focused on what it means for physically accelerating solutions, describing both the very early and late universe expansions, if the dS conjecture is correct and how to circumvent it for cosmological models. Another important question, largely left unanswered, is the following – if indeed the dS phase “lives” for a short duration, what happens to it after that? In other words, what does the dS vacuum decay into? One traditional option is to consider the tunneling from the meta-stable minima to some Minkowski spacetime. Indeed, in string theory, it is well-known that on sufficiently long time-scales, the 4-d dS solution decompactifies to 10-d flat space<sup>3</sup>. Another idea in M-theory is to consider dS as a coherent (or, Glauber-Sudarshan) state built over supersymmetric Minkowski background. Once again, this is allowed only for a short duration of time after which the system becomes strongly-coupled and one returns to the higher-dimensional flat space [77–80]. It might simply be that either dS is only allowed as an unstable maximum or, if realized as a meta-stable minimum, it must tunnel into a different more stable minimum within the swampland predicted timescale (which may or may not be the scrambling time). If indeed such a tunneling effect is to be responsible for ending a dS phase, one must come up with a physical reason behind it.

However, in the context of inflation, there is a much more elegant mechanism to deal with this. What if the energy of the inflaton dissipates continuously into another phase such as has been long postulated for warm inflation? In other words, it might well be that the dS phase decays into a radiation era, and the coupling here is necessitated by considerations of UV physics. One way to think of this would be the standard interacting dark energy dark matter models, but now applied to inflation. Let us assume the inflaton to be one of the moduli from string theory. Then, as the inflaton rolls down its potential, it would reach asymptotic parts of moduli space and would lead to the descent of an infinite number

<sup>3</sup> This is *not* a swampland statement – it merely states that, at the very least on Poincaré recurrence times, it is expected that the moduli destabilizes and dS is not eternal. For reference, the swampland version of this statement for eternal inflation is given in [74–76]. Notice that the time-scales involved are nevertheless extremely large.



of massless states (in the simplest case, these are the ‘winding’ or ‘momentum’ modes from string theory). It is very much possible that such winding modes annihilate each other, which would otherwise have stopped expansion, and a radiation-dominated era ensues. The argument regarding the winding modes is the standard one used in *String Gas Cosmology* [81] (see [82–84] for its more modern incarnations). However, in light of the more general swampland conjectures, it is easy to see how the winding modes may come into play through the rolling of one of the string moduli (the one corresponding to the inflaton) and this henceforth lead to a radiation phase. The reasoning given here for decay of the dS phase into radiation could also apply to the reheating phase after cold inflation. Its simply down to timescales where the decay in the warm inflation case would be more gradual versus for reheating after cold inflation where it would be much faster. This question could only be understood if an actual dynamics for this proposed scenario was realized.

There is, perhaps, a complementary way to think of the above problem. If inflation was to last forever, we would end up in an empty universe and thus, one posits a period of damped oscillations of the inflaton field in order to account for the creation of the standard matter content in our universe. The role of IR modes during inflation can also raise some obstructions in a very long-lasting quasi-dS phase of expansion. Put differently, there has been some recent studies which show that away from the spurious limit of  $k \rightarrow 0$ , long wavelength modes can have a non-negligible effect, through their backreaction, on the background dynamics [85–88]. The role of IR modes in inflation has been a matter of some debate for some time (see e.g., [89,90], and contrast with [2,91]). However, in warm inflation one is not allowed to neglect the dissipative effects altogether and this would lead to the energy density of inflation becoming sub-dominant to the thermal energy density of radiation at some point quite naturally. This implies that the quasi-dS evolution is naturally overpowered by the ambient radiation and the IR modes need to play any crucial role in the process. These IR modes can be sensitive to the choice of the UV vacuum [92], and warm inflation, thus, is naturally free from such ambiguities.

It goes without saying that our discussion above is a rather heuristic one; nevertheless, it has its underpinnings in a very simple idea. If indeed dS vacua have to be short-lived, where does the energy of the dS phase leak into? We conjecture that it is natural to assume that this energy dissipates into a radiation phase. This was the original idea behind warm inflation and how it cured the reheating problem. However, the swampland seems to be suggesting that such a dissipation might not just be an elegant idea, but rather a necessary one in order to have UV completion. If true, this would place warm inflation in a theoretically favored position where it will automatically have an embedding in string theory.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Suddhasattwa Brahma for helpful discussions. A.B. is partially supported by STFC. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Burgess, C.P.; Leblond, L.; Holman, R.; Shandera, S. Super-Hubble de Sitter Fluctuations and the Dynamical RG. *JCAP* **2010**, *03*, 033, [arXiv:hep-th/0912.1608]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2010/03/033>.
- Giddings, S.B.; Sloth, M.S. Semiclassical relations and IR effects in de Sitter and slow-roll space-times. *JCAP* **2011**, *01*, 023, [arXiv:hep-th/1005.1056]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/01/023>.
- Polyakov, A.M. Infrared instability of the de Sitter space **2012**. [arXiv:hep-th/1209.4135].
- Cheung, C.; Creminelli, P.; Fitzpatrick, A.L.; Kaplan, J.; Senatore, L. The Effective Field Theory of Inflation. *JHEP* **2008**, *03*, 014, [arXiv:hep-th/0709.0293]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/014>.
- Agarwal, N.; Holman, R.; Tolley, A.J.; Lin, J. Effective field theory and non-Gaussianity from general inflationary states. *JHEP* **2013**, *05*, 085, [arXiv:hep-th/1212.1172]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2013\)085](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)085).
- Green, D. EFT for de Sitter Space **2022**. [arXiv:hep-th/2210.05820].
- Burgess, C.P.; Holman, R.; Tasinato, G. Open EFTs, IR effects & late-time resummations: systematic corrections in stochastic inflation. *JHEP* **2016**, *01*, 153, [arXiv:gr-qc/1512.00169]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2016\)153](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2016)153).

8. Shandera, S.; Agarwal, N.; Kamal, A. Open quantum cosmological system. *Phys. Rev. D* **2018**, *98*, 083535, [arXiv:hep-th/1708.00493]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.083535>. 327  
328
9. Brahma, S.; Berera, A.; Calderón-Figueroa, J. Universal signature of quantum entanglement across cosmological distances. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2022**, *39*, 245002, [arXiv:hep-th/2107.06910]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aca066>. 329  
330
10. Colas, T.; Grain, J.; Vennin, V. Benchmarking the cosmological master equations. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2022**, *82*, 1085, [arXiv:hep-th/2209.01929]. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-11047-9>. 331  
332
11. Vafa, C. The String landscape and the swampland **2005**. [hep-th/0509212]. 333
12. Palti, E. The Swampland: Introduction and Review. *Fortsch. Phys.* **2019**, *67*, 1900037, [arXiv:hep-th/1903.06239]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201900037>. 334  
335
13. van Beest, M.; Calderón-Infante, J.; Mirfendereski, D.; Valenzuela, I. Lectures on the Swampland Program in String Compactifications. *Phys. Rept.* **2022**, *989*, 1–50, [arXiv:hep-th/2102.01111]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2022.09.002>. 336  
337
14. Cass'e', M.; Silk, J. Swampland Revisited. *Found. Phys.* **2022**, *52*, 86, [arXiv:physics.hist-ph/2301.03464]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-022-00601-w>. 338  
339
15. Agrawal, P.; Obied, G.; Steinhardt, P.J.; Vafa, C. On the Cosmological Implications of the String Swampland. *Phys. Lett. B* **2018**, *784*, 271–276, [arXiv:hep-th/1806.09718]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.040>. 340  
341
16. Banks, T.; Seiberg, N. Symmetries and Strings in Field Theory and Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2011**, *83*, 084019, [arXiv:hep-th/1011.5120]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084019>. 342  
343
17. Arkani-Hamed, N.; Motl, L.; Nicolis, A.; Vafa, C. The String landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force. *JHEP* **2007**, *06*, 060, [hep-th/0601001]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/060>. 344  
345
18. Ooguri, H.; Vafa, C. On the Geometry of the String Landscape and the Swampland. *Nucl. Phys. B* **2007**, *766*, 21–33, [hep-th/0605264]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.10.033>. 346  
347
19. Brout, R.; Englert, F.; Gunzig, E. THE CAUSAL UNIVERSE. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **1979**, *10*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00757018>. 348
20. Guth, A.H. The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. *Phys. Rev. D* **1981**, *23*, 347–356. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347>. 349  
350
21. Linde, A.D. A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems. *Phys. Lett. B* **1982**, *108*, 389–393. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(82\)91219-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9). 351  
352
22. Linde, A.D. Scalar Field Fluctuations in Expanding Universe and the New Inflationary Universe Scenario. *Phys. Lett. B* **1982**, *116*, 335–339. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(82\)90293-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90293-3). 353  
354
23. Berera, A.; Fang, L.Z. Thermally induced density perturbations in the inflation era. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *74*, 1912–1915, [astro-ph/9501024]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.1912>. 355  
356
24. Berera, A. Warm inflation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *75*, 3218–3221, [astro-ph/9509049]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.3218>. 357  
358
25. Motaharfar, M.; Kamali, V.; Ramos, R.O. Warm inflation as a way out of the swampland. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *99*, 063513, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1810.02816]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.063513>. 359  
360
26. Das, S. Warm Inflation in the light of Swampland Criteria. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *99*, 063514, [arXiv:hep-th/1810.05038]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.063514>. 361  
362
27. Das, S. Distance, de Sitter and Trans-Planckian Censorship conjectures: the status quo of Warm Inflation. *Phys. Dark Univ.* **2020**, *27*, 100432, [arXiv:hep-th/1910.02147]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2019.100432>. 363  
364
28. Berera, A.; Calderón, J.R. Trans-Planckian censorship and other swampland bothers addressed in warm inflation. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *100*, 123530, [arXiv:hep-ph/1910.10516]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.123530>. 365  
366
29. Bedroya, A.; Vafa, C. Trans-Planckian Censorship and the Swampland. *JHEP* **2020**, *09*, 123, [arXiv:hep-th/1909.11063]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2020\)123](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)123). 367  
368
30. Bedroya, A.; Brandenberger, R.; Loverde, M.; Vafa, C. Trans-Planckian Censorship and Inflationary Cosmology. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 103502, [arXiv:hep-th/1909.11106]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103502>. 369  
370
31. Obied, G.; Ooguri, H.; Spodyneiko, L.; Vafa, C. De Sitter Space and the Swampland **2018**. [arXiv:hep-th/1806.08362]. 371
32. Kachru, S.; Kallosh, R.; Linde, A.D.; Trivedi, S.P. De Sitter vacua in string theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **2003**, *68*, 046005, [hep-th/0301240]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.046005>. 372  
373
33. Kallosh, R.; Linde, A.D. Landscape, the scale of SUSY breaking, and inflation. *JHEP* **2004**, *12*, 004, [hep-th/0411011]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/12/004>. 374  
375
34. Balasubramanian, V.; Berglund, P.; Conlon, J.P.; Quevedo, F. Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications. *JHEP* **2005**, *03*, 007, [hep-th/0502058]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/007>. 376  
377
35. Akrami, Y.; Kallosh, R.; Linde, A.; Vardanyan, V. The Landscape, the Swampland and the Era of Precision Cosmology. *Fortsch. Phys.* **2019**, *67*, 1800075, [arXiv:hep-th/1808.09440]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201800075>. 378  
379
36. Garg, S.K.; Krishnan, C. Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland. *JHEP* **2019**, *11*, 075, [arXiv:hep-th/1807.05193]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2019\)075](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)075). 380  
381
37. Bastero-Gil, M.; Berera, A.; Calderón, J.R. Reexamination of the warm inflation curvature perturbations spectrum. *JCAP* **2019**, *07*, 019, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1904.04086]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/07/019>. 382  
383
38. Das, S.; Goswami, G.; Krishnan, C. Swampland, axions, and minimal warm inflation. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 103529, [arXiv:hep-th/1911.00323]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103529>. 384  
385

39. Mohammadi, A.; Golanbari, T.; Sheikahmadi, H.; Sayar, K.; Akhtari, L.; Rasheed, M.A.; Saaidi, K. Warm tachyon inflation and swampland criteria. *Chin. Phys. C* **2020**, *44*, 095101, [arXiv:gr-qc/2001.10042]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/44/9/095101>. 386
40. Bousso, R. A Covariant entropy conjecture. *JHEP* **1999**, *07*, 004, [hep-th/9905177]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/07/004>. 387
41. Brandenberger, R.; Kamali, V.; Ramos, R.O. Strengthening the de Sitter swampland conjecture in warm inflation. *JHEP* **2020**, *08*, 127, [arXiv:hep-th/2002.04925]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2020\)127](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2020)127). 388
42. Mottola, E. THERMODYNAMIC INSTABILITY OF DE SITTER SPACE. *Phys. Rev. D* **1986**, *33*, 1616–1621. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.33.1616>. 389
43. Polyakov, A.M. Decay of Vacuum Energy. *Nucl. Phys. B* **2010**, *834*, 316–329, [arXiv:hep-th/0912.5503]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.03.021>. 390
44. Lyth, D.H. What would we learn by detecting a gravitational wave signal in the cosmic microwave background anisotropy? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1861–1863, [hep-ph/9606387]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1861>. 391
45. Ade, P.A.R.; et al. Improved Constraints on Primordial Gravitational Waves using Planck, WMAP, and BICEP/Keck Observations through the 2018 Observing Season. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *127*, 151301, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/2110.00483]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.151301>. 392
46. Starobinsky, A.A. A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity. *Phys. Lett. B* **1980**, *91*, 99–102. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(80\)90670-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X). 393
47. Chowdhury, D.; Martin, J.; Ringeval, C.; Vennin, V. Assessing the scientific status of inflation after Planck. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *100*, 083537, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1902.03951]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.083537>. 394
48. Ramos, R.O.; da Silva, L.A. Power spectrum for inflation models with quantum and thermal noises. *JCAP* **2013**, *03*, 032, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1302.3544]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2013/03/032>. 395
49. Brandenberger, R. Initial conditions for inflation — A short review. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2016**, *26*, 1740002, [arXiv:hep-th/1601.01918]. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271817400028>. 396
50. Bastero-Gil, M.; Berera, A.; Brandenberger, R.; Moss, I.G.; Ramos, R.O.; Rosa, J.G. The role of fluctuation-dissipation dynamics in setting initial conditions for inflation. *JCAP* **2018**, *01*, 002, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1612.04726]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2018/01/002>. 397
51. Martin, J.; Brandenberger, R.H. The TransPlanckian problem of inflationary cosmology. *Phys. Rev. D* **2001**, *63*, 123501, [hep-th/0005209]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.123501>. 398
52. Brandenberger, R.H.; Martin, J. The Robustness of inflation to changes in superPlanck scale physics. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2001**, *16*, 999–1006, [astro-ph/0005432]. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732301004170>. 399
53. Brandenberger, R.; Zhang, X.m. The Trans-Planckian Problem for Inflationary Cosmology Revisited **2009**. [arXiv:hep-th/0903.2065]. 400
54. Brandenberger, R.H.; Martin, J. Trans-Planckian Issues for Inflationary Cosmology. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2013**, *30*, 113001, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/1211.6753]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/11/113001>. 401
55. Weiss, N. Constraints on Hamiltonian Lattice Formulations of Field Theories in an Expanding Universe. *Phys. Rev. D* **1985**, *32*, 3228. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.32.3228>. 402
56. Jacobson, T. Trans Planckian redshifts and the substance of the space-time river. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **1999**, *136*, 1–17, [hep-th/0001085]. <https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.136.1>. 403
57. Brahma, S.; Brandenberger, R.; Yeom, D.H. Swampland, Trans-Planckian Censorship and Fine-Tuning Problem for Inflation: Tunnelling Wavefunction to the Rescue. *JCAP* **2020**, *10*, 037, [arXiv:hep-th/2002.02941]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/10/037>. 404
58. Mohammadi, A.; Golanbari, T.; Nasri, S.; Saaidi, K. Brane inflation: Swampland criteria, TCC, and reheating predictions. *Astropart. Phys.* **2022**, *142*, 102734, [arXiv:gr-qc/2006.09489]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2022.102734>. 405
59. Kamali, V.; Moshafi, H.; Ebrahimi, S. Minimal warm inflation and TCC **2021**. [arXiv:gr-qc/2111.11436]. 406
60. Kaloper, N.; Scargill, J. Quantum Cosmic No-Hair Theorem and Inflation. *Phys. Rev. D* **2019**, *99*, 103514, [arXiv:hep-th/1802.09554]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.103514>. 407
61. Zee, A. The Horizon Problem and the Broken Symmetric Theory of Gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1980**, *44*, 703. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.703>. 408
62. Dvali, G.; Kehagias, A.; Riotto, A. Inflation and Decoupling **2020**. [arXiv:hep-th/2005.05146]. 409
63. Burgess, C.P.; de Alwis, S.P.; Quevedo, F. Cosmological Trans-Planckian Conjectures are not Effective. *JCAP* **2021**, *05*, 037, [arXiv:hep-th/2011.03069]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/05/037>. 410
64. Berera, A.; Brahma, S.; Calderón, J.R. Role of trans-Planckian modes in cosmology. *JHEP* **2020**, *08*, 071, [arXiv:hep-th/2003.07184]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2020\)071](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2020)071). 411
65. Brahma, S. Trans-Planckian censorship conjecture from the swampland distance conjecture. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *101*, 046013, [arXiv:hep-th/1910.12352]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.046013>. 412
66. Cai, R.G.; Wang, S.J. A refined trans-Planckian censorship conjecture. *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **2021**, *64*, 210011, [arXiv:hep-th/1912.00607]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-020-1623-9>. 413
67. Seo, M.S. The entropic quasi-de Sitter instability time from the distance conjecture. *Phys. Lett. B* **2020**, *807*, 135580, [arXiv:hep-th/1911.06441]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135580>. 414

68. Sun, S.; Zhang, Y.L. Notes on quantum corrections of swampland and trans-Planckian censorship conjectures. *Phys. Lett. B* **2021**, *816*, 136245, [arXiv:hep-th/1912.13509]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136245>. 445  
446
69. Aalsma, L.; Shiu, G. Chaos and complementarity in de Sitter space. *JHEP* **2020**, *05*, 152, [arXiv:hep-th/2002.01326]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2020\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)152). 447  
448
70. Bedroya, A. de Sitter Complementarity, TCC, and the Swampland. *LHEP* **2021**, *2021*, 187, [arXiv:hep-th/2010.09760]. <https://doi.org/10.31526/lhep.2021.187>. 449  
450
71. Dvali, G.; Gomez, C.; Zell, S. Quantum Break-Time of de Sitter. *JCAP* **2017**, *06*, 028, [arXiv:hep-th/1701.08776]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/06/028>. 451  
452
72. Geng, H. Non-local entanglement and fast scrambling in de-Sitter holography. *Annals Phys.* **2021**, *426*, 168402, [arXiv:hep-th/2005.00021]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2021.168402>. 453  
454
73. Brahma, S.; Alaryani, O.; Brandenberger, R. Entanglement entropy of cosmological perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D* **2020**, *102*, 043529, [arXiv:hep-th/2005.09688]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.043529>. 455  
456
74. Brahma, S.; Shandera, S. Stochastic eternal inflation is in the swampland. *JHEP* **2019**, *11*, 016, [arXiv:hep-th/1904.10979]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2019\)016](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)016). 457  
458
75. Rudelius, T. Conditions for (No) Eternal Inflation. *JCAP* **2019**, *08*, 009, [arXiv:hep-th/1905.05198]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/08/009>. 459  
460
76. Wang, Z.; Brandenberger, R.; Heisenberg, L. Eternal Inflation, Entropy Bounds and the Swampland. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **2020**, *80*, 864, [arXiv:hep-th/1907.08943]. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8412-x>. 461  
462
77. Brahma, S.; Dasgupta, K.; Tatar, R. de Sitter Space as a Glauber-Sudarshan State. *JHEP* **2021**, *02*, 104, [arXiv:hep-th/2007.11611]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2021\)104](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2021)104). 463  
464
78. Bernardo, H.; Brahma, S.; Dasgupta, K.; Tatar, R. Crisis on Infinite Earths: Short-lived de Sitter Vacua in the String Theory Landscape. *JHEP* **2021**, *04*, 037, [arXiv:hep-th/2009.04504]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2021\)037](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2021)037). 465  
466
79. Bernardo, H.; Brahma, S.; Dasgupta, K.; Faruk, M.M.; Tatar, R. de Sitter Space as a Glauber-Sudarshan State: II. *Fortsch. Phys.* **2021**, *69*, 2100131, [arXiv:hep-th/2108.08365]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.202100131>. 467  
468
80. Brahma, S.; Dasgupta, K.; Faruk, M.M.; Kulinich, B.; Meruliya, V.; Pym, B.; Tatar, R. Resurgence of a de Sitter Glauber-Sudarshan State: Nodal Diagrams and Borel Resummation **2022**. [arXiv:hep-th/2211.09181]. 469  
470
81. Brandenberger, R.H.; Vafa, C. Superstrings in the Early Universe. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1989**, *316*, 391–410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90037-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90037-0). 471  
472
82. Brahma, S.; Brandenberger, R.; Laliberte, S. Emergent cosmology from matrix theory. *JHEP* **2022**, *03*, 067, [arXiv:hep-th/2107.11512]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2022\)067](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2022)067). 473  
474
83. Brahma, S.; Brandenberger, R.; Laliberte, S. Emergent metric space-time from matrix theory. *JHEP* **2022**, *09*, 031, [arXiv:hep-th/2206.12468]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2022\)031](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)031). 475  
476
84. Agrawal, P.; Gukov, S.; Obied, G.; Vafa, C. Topological Gravity as the Early Phase of Our Universe **2020**. [arXiv:hep-th/2009.10077]. 477
85. Brahma, S.; Berera, A.; Calderón-Figueroa, J. Quantum corrections to the primordial tensor spectrum: open EFTs & Markovian decoupling of UV modes. *JHEP* **2022**, *08*, 225, [arXiv:hep-th/2206.05797]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2022\)225](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2022)225). 478  
479
86. Giddings, S.B.; Sloth, M.S. Cosmological observables, IR growth of fluctuations, and scale-dependent anisotropies. *Phys. Rev. D* **2011**, *84*, 063528, [arXiv:hep-th/1104.0002]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.063528>. 480  
481
87. Matarrese, S.; Pilo, L.; Rollo, R. Resilience of long modes in cosmological observables. *JCAP* **2021**, *01*, 062, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/2007.08877]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/01/062>. 482  
483
88. Bartolo, N.; Carollo, G.B.; Matarrese, S.; Pilo, L.; Rollo, R. The physical content of long tensor modes in cosmology. *JCAP* **2022**, *11*, 034, [arXiv:astro-ph.CO/2208.00075]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2022/11/034>. 484  
485
89. Urakawa, Y.; Tanaka, T. IR divergence does not affect the gauge-invariant curvature perturbation. *Phys. Rev. D* **2010**, *82*, 121301, [arXiv:hep-th/1007.0468]. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.121301>. 486  
487
90. Pimentel, G.L.; Senatore, L.; Zaldarriaga, M. On Loops in Inflation III: Time Independence of zeta in Single Clock Inflation. *JHEP* **2012**, *07*, 166, [arXiv:hep-th/1203.6651]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2012\)166](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2012)166). 488  
489
91. Woodard, R.P. Some Inconvenient Truths. *JHEP* **2016**, *05*, 152, [arXiv:gr-qc/1506.04252]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2016\)152](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)152). 490  
491
92. Danielsson, U. The quantum swampland. *JHEP* **2019**, *04*, 095, [arXiv:hep-th/1809.04512]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2019\)095](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)095). 492  
493

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 494  
495  
496