



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Bioavailability of phosphorus, other nutrients and potentially toxic elements from marginal biomass-derived biochar assessed in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) growth experiments

Citation for published version:

Shepherd, JG, Buss, W, Sohi, SP & Heal, KV 2017, 'Bioavailability of phosphorus, other nutrients and potentially toxic elements from marginal biomass-derived biochar assessed in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) growth experiments', *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 584-585, pp. 448-457.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.028>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.028)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Published In:

Science of the Total Environment

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Bioavailability of phosphorus, other nutrients and potentially toxic elements from
2 marginal biomass-derived biochar assessed in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) growth
3 experiments

4 Jessica G. Shepherd^{1,2,*}, Wolfram Buss^{1,2}, Saran P. Sohi^{1,2} and Kate V. Heal¹

5

6 Affiliations

7 1) School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Alexander Crum Brown Road,
8 Edinburgh, UK EH9 3FF

9 2) UK Biochar Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Alexander Crum Brown
10 Road, Edinburgh, UK EH9 3FF

11

12 *Corresponding author

13 **Abstract**

14 Biochars produced from marginal biomass feedstocks are a potential source of recycled
15 nutrients for agriculture, but may also contain potentially toxic elements (PTEs) which
16 can cause phytotoxicity. We assessed the potential for nutrient recycling from such
17 materials against potential environmental risks in 17 biochars containing high
18 concentrations of various PTEs and nutrients. Methods for investigating the risk of
19 biochar-derived PTEs were developed and assessed. Short-term (21 days) growth
20 experiments with barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) in 5% biochar/sand mixtures were used to
21 present the ‘worst-case scenario’ of high dose and low pH buffering. We compared
22 plant nutrient and PTE concentrations with amounts extracted from the same biochars
23 using 1 M NH₄NO₃ or 0.01 M CaCl₂ (buffered and unbuffered, respectively) and
24 Mehlich 3 to analyse whether such extractions could be used to predict bioavailability.
25 The yields of barley grown with biochars “EPOCAD550”, and “WLB550” were
26 significantly higher than the control ($p < 0.05$). Total phosphorus (P) concentration in
27 above-ground biomass was higher than the control for the EPOCAD550 treatment
28 ($p < 0.01$). Both buffered and unbuffered 0.01 M CaCl₂ biochar extractions were
29 significantly positively correlated with plant leaf concentration for six of the 18

30 elements investigated, more than any of the other extractions. This indicates that CaCl₂
31 extractions provide the most representative assessment of element bioavailability from
32 marginal biochars compared to more resource-intensive growth experiments. Our results
33 provide new insights into the bioavailability of elements in biochar and the
34 standardisation of methods which accurately assess this attribute, which is necessary for
35 promoting use of biochars from marginal biomass for recycling nutrients from
36 wastewater and to agricultural production.

37 **Keywords:** Biochar, Phosphorus, Potentially toxic elements, Bioavailability, Soil
38 application, Marginal biomass

39

40 **1) Introduction**

41 The production of biochar from pyrolysis has potential to couple organic waste
42 management to various improvements in agricultural systems (Shackley et al., 2011). If
43 biochar is to become widely adopted in the long term, environmental acceptability must
44 be demonstrated in order to address the concerns of industry and environmental
45 regulators. Realising this potential must be underpinned by robust understanding of
46 biochar properties, including the identification and mitigation of any risks posed to the
47 environment. Assessment of risk initially relied heavily on analysis techniques that were
48 developed for soils and compost. Biochar is physically and chemically distinct from
49 these materials, however, so new protocols have been developed. Examples include a
50 modified dry ashing method to assess total elemental concentrations (Enders and
51 Lehmann, 2012) and extended hot toluene extraction to quantify polyaromatic
52 hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Hale et al., 2012; Hilber et al., 2012). Measuring the
53 bioavailability of potentially beneficial elements (nutrients) and potentially toxic
54 elements (PTEs) in biochar also needs new protocols as methods currently used have
55 been optimised for matrices that have very different properties to biochar.

56 Biochar produced from high-nutrient feedstocks, such as sewage sludge and food waste
57 digestate, and modified feedstocks as in biochar mineral complexes (BMCs), have been
58 suggested as replacements for traditional fertilisers (Hossain et al., 2010; Joseph et al.,
59 2010; Wang et al., 2014, 2012). Although persistence of the carbon fraction or matrix
60 may be desirable for carbon sequestration, nutrients, such as P and potassium (K),

61 which unlike nitrogen (N) are predominantly preserved during pyrolysis, must be
62 leachable or reactive towards plant exudates to be plant-accessible. If nutrient reactivity
63 is central to an agricultural application of biochar, PTE reactivity needs to be
64 minimised.

65 PTEs that may be conserved during biomass pyrolysis include chromium (Cr), nickel
66 (Ni), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu). Such elements must remain inert in biochar, to prevent
67 phytotoxicity or soil pollution. Estimates for the bioavailability of PTEs in biochar
68 require a high level of confidence. PTEs are often found to be less extractable in biochar
69 than their parent feedstock, but their measured mobility in soil is also affected by soil-
70 specific properties (Beesley et al., 2010; Buss et al., 2016c; Farrell et al., 2013;
71 Khanmohammadi et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2014). Hence, reliable
72 methods are required for assessing PTE bioavailability in a soils context, but where
73 results are interpreted drawing on site-specific data such as soil composition, pH and
74 land-use.

75 A variety of extraction methods have been used to estimate PTE and nutrient
76 bioavailability of biochar and biochar–soil mixes. ‘Mobile’ PTEs in biochar have been
77 measured using 0.1 M CaCl₂ (Méndez et al., 2012), whilst 0.01 M CaCl₂, ultra-pure
78 water, 1 M NH₄NO₃, 0.5 M acetic acid and 0.05 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
79 (EDTA) were compared as estimators of plant availability of biochar PTEs by Farrell et
80 al. (2013). Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) extraction at a relatively high
81 pH of 7.3 has also been used, prepared using 0.01 M CaCl₂ and a buffering agent
82 (triethanolamine) (e.g. Fellet et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2014).

83 Many studies have reported positive correlations between 0.01 M CaCl₂ (pH 7.0) and
84 1 M NH₄NO₃ (pH 4.6) extractable PTE concentrations in soil with uptake of PTEs by
85 plants (e.g. Meers et al., 2007; Menzies et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010), including a
86 study on biochar (Farrell et al., 2013). The German Federal Soil Protection and
87 Contaminated Sites Ordinance (1999) stipulates the use of 1 M NH₄NO₃ soil extractions
88 to compare against legislated threshold values for available As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and
89 Zn to assess the risk of toxicity in plants and to maintain crop quality. Correlations have
90 also been investigated between plant uptake of nutrients and PTEs and soil
91 bioavailability assessed using the Mehlich 3 extraction (pH 2.5) which was developed to
92 extract P, K, Na, Ca, Mg, Mn, Zn and Cu from soils using a mixture of acid, buffer and

93 complexing components, including EDTA and NH_4NO_3 (Mehlich, 1984). Various
94 studies exist within the literature which assess the bioavailability of PTEs and nutrients
95 in plant growth experiments and chemical extractions (Grzebisz et al., 1983;
96 Monterosso et al., 1999; van Raij, 1998).

97 The solubility of both nutrients and PTEs in soils, a factor contributing to
98 bioavailability, varies with the pH of the soil solution. The addition of biochar (like
99 many other inputs) often changes soil pH, and consequently, feedstock properties,
100 pyrolysis conditions and dose will affect the impact of biochar addition on soil pH and
101 on bioavailability. Unless biochar is added in a high dose, however, the pH change in
102 the soil system will not be as great as in the solutions used to assess bioavailability by
103 extraction. Temporal control of extractant pH (at a designated pH, such as 7, or the pH
104 of the soil to which the biochar will be added) by incorporation of a buffering agent
105 should allow more accurate comparisons and prediction of nutrient and PTE
106 extractability.

107 In addition to pH control, selection of appropriate methods for analysis should take into
108 consideration the previous validation of methods and the number of studies and/or
109 guidelines with which experimental results can be compared. Bioavailability assessed in
110 plant growth experiments may be regarded as more representative than chemical
111 extractions where soil and plants are not present, but is more resource intensive.

112 The purpose of the present study is to draw on established knowledge of pH,
113 bioavailability and extraction in fertilisers and phytotoxicity contexts, to identify an
114 appropriate protocol for bioavailability assessments in biochar. As pH is suggested as a
115 main factor in biochar metal interactions, we compared five extraction solutions which
116 covered a range of pH, with and without buffering, to explore fully the effect of biochar
117 pH on nutrient and PTE bioavailability. Research focused on PTEs since organic
118 pollutants such as PAHs, when present, are very strongly sorbed to biochar and appear
119 to have low bioavailability since they are difficult to extract, even under harsh
120 experimental conditions (Hale et al., 2012; Mayer et al., 2016). In addition, a P-specific
121 extraction method was tested (2% formic acid). Of the three main macronutrients
122 required for plant growth, this study focused on P as there is no clear 'best method' for
123 predicting the bioavailability of P in biochar. Potassium, on the other hand, is very
124 soluble and thus highly bioavailable when present (Buss et al. 2016c) and N is mostly

125 evaporated during pyrolysis (Antal and Grønli, 2003; Liu et al., 2014). We compared
126 plant leaf concentrations of nutrients and PTEs grown on sand only to biochar
127 extraction values to determine whether the low extractability of PTEs from biochar
128 reported in the literature was also reflected in low bioavailability and whether high P
129 biochars could act as P fertilisers in early plant growth stages. Sand was chosen as the
130 growth medium for this study to ensure that interactions such as buffering or sorption of
131 elements were minimal in the system. Had a soil been selected instead, comparison of
132 the soil-free biochar extractions with plant leaf element concentrations would not have
133 been valid.

134

135 **2) Materials and methods**

136 **2.1) Biochar production and characterisation**

137 The 17 biochars used in this study produced from nine different feedstocks were
138 selected for their high content of different PTEs and nutrients. They were prepared at
139 the UK Biochar Research Centre using the Stage II pyrolysis unit described in detail in
140 (Buss et al., 2016a). Full characterisation data for 15 of the biochars can be found in
141 Buss et al. (2016a, 2016c) and in Supplementary Information Tables 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b.
142 Two of the biochars have not been described previously. These were prepared at 550°C
143 and 700°C from rice husk grown on land in the vicinity of the Panipat thermal power
144 station (Haryana, India). An overview of the biochars is provided in Table 1. Based on
145 evaluation of the pyrolysis technology used to produce each of the biochars (Buss,
146 2016; Buss et al., 2016b), and data published previously, we are confident that the
147 biochars in this study are not contaminated with organic contaminants such as PAHs.

148 Four of the biochars (EPAD450, EPAD550, EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550) are
149 modified biochars which had been exposed to a P solution, to encompass captured as
150 well as native nutrients within the study. The P-exposed biochars were created by
151 addition of the biochars (PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450 and POCAD550) to a 20 mg l⁻¹
152 ¹ P solution buffered at pH 7 using 0.01 M 3-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid
153 (MOPS), parameters defined to simulate enrichment that might be achieved in a
154 wastewater treatment plant (Shepherd et al., submitted). Briefly, 30 g of each biochar
155 with particles of diameter 0.25–15 mm were exposed to the P solution in a 1:20 solid to

156 liquid ratio (m/v) and shaken for 24 h. After this time the solution was decanted and
157 replaced with fresh P solution and this process was repeated for 6 days.

158

159 **2.2) Plant growth experiments**

160 Based on the methods of Farrell et al. (2013), spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) was
161 grown in triplicate in 5% (dry mass basis) biochar/sand mixtures over 3 weeks, with
162 five sand-only controls. The 3 week-growth period was also selected to provide barley
163 plant tissue compatible for assessment of PTE toxicity from previous studies (Davis et
164 al., 1978; MacNicol and Beckett, 1985). The experiment was split between two batches
165 with different biochars and dedicated controls for each batch (Control 1, Control 2 –
166 sand only). The experimental set-up consisted of 50 ml disposable syringe tubes
167 containing the sand/biochar mixtures, resting in 20 ml biotite containers. Five barley
168 seeds were placed under the surface of the biochar/sand mixture in each tube (sand only
169 in controls) and were grown in the laboratory at 20°C under constant fluorescent light
170 for 21 days. Plants received deionised water wicked from 10 ml aliquots in the biotite
171 containers via cotton twine inserted into the base of the syringe tube (see Supplementary
172 Figure 1 for a schematic diagram of the experimental set-up). This watering method was
173 used to reduce leaching of biochar constituents out of the biochar/sand mixture, and was
174 undertaken three times on Day 1 of the experiment as the water was taken up rapidly by
175 the dry mixtures. Subsequently, the deionised water was replenished in the biotite
176 containers every 2 days. At 21 days after seed planting the above ground biomass
177 (comprising leaves only) was harvested from the tubes and rinsed in deionised water,
178 and then oven-dried for 3 days at 80°C to determine dry biomass yield. Supplementary
179 Figure 2 depicts a subset of samples and controls after 21 days, immediately prior to
180 harvest.

181 To assess nutrient and PTE uptake, at least 40 mg of dried biomass was digested. Where
182 less than this amount of biomass was available, replicates were combined for DW550,
183 EPAD450, FWD550 and WHI550. The dried biomass samples and blanks were
184 digested with 18 M H₂SO₄ and 30% w/v H₂O₂ in a heating block at 330°C for 6 h, and
185 analysed for As, Al, B, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb and
186 Zn using a 7500ce ICP-MS (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). Where
187 elemental concentrations were sufficiently high (e.g. P and Ca), ICP-OES was

188 performed using an Optima 5300DV instrument (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA).
189 Standards were prepared and run during each analysis session for calibration and to
190 check the accuracy of measurements over time. The results for digestion blanks were
191 subtracted from the experimental results. The limit of detection for each instrument was
192 determined as described in Buss et al. (2016a), but calculated for each sample due to the
193 variable amounts of dry biomass produced in each replicate.

194

195 **2.3) PTE and nutrient extractions**

196 Based on a survey of the literature, two commonly used salt extractants (1 M NH_4NO_3
197 and 0.01 M CaCl_2) and one mixed component extractant (Mehlich 3) were selected.
198 These provide relevant literature comparisons and were used to extract the 13 biochars
199 not exposed to a P solution, i.e. all except EPAD450, EPAD550, EPOCAD450 and
200 EPOCAD550. Buffered as well as un-buffered solutions were prepared for NH_4NO_3
201 (pH 4.6) and 0.01 M CaCl_2 (pH 7), as described in the Supplementary Information
202 Section 3. Addition of a buffer to Mehlich 3 was not required, as it already contains a
203 buffering agent.

204 The extraction solutions represent a range of pH as follows: Mehlich 3 (constantly at pH
205 2.5 when biochar is added), buffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 (constantly at pH 4.6), unbuffered 1
206 M NH_4NO_3 (starting at pH 4.6, increasing over the time of the extraction), buffered
207 CaCl_2 (constantly at pH 7) and unbuffered CaCl_2 (starting at pH 7, increasing over the
208 time of the extraction). Since Mehlich 3 contains a mixture of components which
209 interact with elements via different mechanisms, factors other than pH are likely to
210 affect the extractability of an element using this method.

211 For the buffered and unbuffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 and 0.01 M CaCl_2 extractions, 1.5 g of
212 biochar was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube and 15 mL of the relevant extractant
213 added. The choice of this biochar:extractant ratio is explained in Buss et al. (2016c).

214 The extractions were performed in triplicate. The tubes were laid on their side and
215 shaken on an orbital platform shaker at 150 rpm for 2 h, then centrifuged at 3500 rpm
216 for 30 min and the supernatant filtered using 0.45 μm syringe filters (Millipore,
217 Watford, UK). For Mehlich 3 extractions, the same mass of biochar and volume of
218 extractant was used, but the mixtures were only shaken for 5 min, as per the standard

219 Mehlich 3 procedure (Mehlich, 1984). Due to the short extraction time, rather than
220 centrifugation, the samples were double-filtered, first using Whatman No. 1 paper filters
221 and then using 0.45 µm syringe filters (Millipore, Watford, UK). Blanks were prepared
222 in triplicate for each extraction and their results subtracted from those of the
223 experimental samples. All filtrates were stored briefly at 4°C before analysis for Al, B,
224 Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb and Zn by ICP-OES using
225 an Optima 5300DV instrument (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA). Most elements were
226 analysed in axial mode, except for K and Na in the salt extracts and Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg
227 and Na in the Mehlich 3 extracts, which were analysed in radial mode as higher
228 concentrations of these elements were expected. Due to the different ICP-OES analysis
229 modes and extraction ratios used, the limits of detection for individual elements differ
230 between the different methods. More details about the analyses and the calculation of
231 the limit of detection can be found in Buss et al. (2016a) and their values can be found
232 in Supplementary Information Tables 3 and 4.

233 Since plant P uptake has previously been shown to correlate significantly with P
234 extracted using 2% formic acid (2% FA) (Wang et al., 2012), all 17 biochars were also
235 extracted using this method. In triplicate, 200 mg of each biochar was weighed into a 50
236 mL centrifuge tube and 20 mL of 2% FA was added. Reagent blanks were also
237 prepared. The samples were shaken for 2 h, centrifuged for 30 min and syringe-filtered
238 as described above. The extracts were analysed for soluble reactive P (SRP) by
239 automated colorimetry (Auto Analyser III, Bran & Luebbe, Norderstedt, Germany).

240

241 **2.4) Statistical analysis**

242 Statistical analyses were performed using R Studio (R Core Team, 2015) with
243 significance determined as $p < 0.05$. Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-
244 Wilk test. Where both sets of data being compared were normally distributed, Pearson's
245 product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated, otherwise Spearman's rho was
246 calculated to identify significant correlations. Plant element concentrations in above
247 ground biomass were correlated against extraction concentrations for the same element.
248 To investigate whether the extraction methods were behaving in a similar or different
249 way, each was correlated against the other methods for each individual element.

250 To determine significant effects of biochar type in the plant uptake experiment, one-way
251 ANOVA and Tukey HSD tests were performed on above ground biomass, plant P
252 concentration and total above ground P mass for data in all treatments where at least 3
253 replicate results were obtained.

254

255 **3) Results and discussion**

256 **3.1) Plant growth experiment**

257 **3.1.1) Above ground biomass yield**

258 Results for above ground biomass (referred to henceforth as plant leaves) are given for
259 all biochar treatments and controls in Table 2. Six of the biochar treatments resulted in
260 plant leaf yields > 50% higher than the sand-only control, although the only
261 significantly higher biomass was for WLB550 compared to its control (Control 2,
262 $p < 0.05$). Plant leaf yield for WSI550, WHI550 and RHI700 biochars were below the
263 relevant control, but not significantly (-24.0, -44.8 and -60.5%, respectively). The plant
264 growth results are discussed in Section 3.1.4.

265

266 **3.1.2) Uptake of potentially toxic elements into leaves**

267 The concentration of elements in the dried leaves of barley grown in the 5%
268 biochar/sand mixtures (Table 3a and b) were compared with “Upper critical limits”
269 (UCL) for the PTEs As, B, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb and Zn calculated for
270 barley plants (Davis et al., 1978; MacNicol and Beckett, 1985, see Supplementary
271 Information Table 7). The UCL is the lowest element concentration in plant tissues
272 before toxic effects are observed. Leaf tissue concentrations of B exceeded the UCL in
273 PAD550, POCAD550, Control 1 and WHI550 treatments, but this does not appear to
274 have affected the yield for PAD550 or POCAD550. Control 1 had a higher mean yield
275 than Control 2, which suggests that it also was not negatively affected by high B or Cu
276 content, as Control 1 also exceeded the UCL for Cu. DW550 exceeded the UCL for Mn,
277 but again this did not appear to have an effect on yield. No other treatments resulted in
278 leaf tissue PTE concentrations above the published UCL values. Overall, UCLs were

279 exceeded in plants exposed to different biochars, however, this did not cause a direct
280 effect on plant growth in this study.

281 According to the leaf tissue concentrations, Mn and Fe deficiency (defined as < 12 and
282 < 30 - 50 mg kg^{-1} in shoots, respectively (Ohki et al., 1979; Römheld and Marschner,
283 1991) was observed in the WLB550 treatment, whilst Mn deficiency also occurred in
284 the FWD550 and WSI550 treatments. The WLB550, FWD550, WSI550 and DW700
285 treatments all exhibited Cu deficiency (< 1 - 5 mg kg^{-1}) (Marschner, 1995). Given the
286 increase in growth of barley compared to the control in both WLB550 and FWD550
287 treatments, it is unlikely that micronutrient deficiencies have negatively affected plant
288 growth.

289

290 **3.1.3) Uptake of phosphorus from biochar into leaves**

291 Since a relatively large range of plant leaf yields occurred in this experiment, P
292 concentration (in mg P kg^{-1}) and total P content (in mg P) in the plant leaves were
293 compared to assess whether the P measured was mostly seed derived, or whether the
294 biochar had contributed P to the plant tissues. Comparison of these two descriptors
295 (Figure 1) shows that high leaf P concentration does not always map onto high total leaf
296 P due to low yields in some treatments, e.g. WSI550, ADX350. This means that the leaf
297 P concentrations give a false indication of plant P uptake when assessing the fertiliser
298 value of biochars in this experiment.

299 Total leaf P mass in the EPOCAD550 treatment was significantly higher than that of the
300 relevant control ($p < 0.05$) and was the only treatment which was significantly different
301 to the control. The mean total leaf P mass was higher than the highest recorded value of
302 the controls for PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450, POCAD550, EPAD550,
303 EPOCAD450, EPOCAD550, WLB550 and DW750 (marginally), suggesting that
304 biochar supplied P to the plants in these treatments. Notably absent from this list is
305 EPAD450, which indicates that the P-exposure process may have resulted in less
306 available P than for EPAD550. The plants also took up less P from EPOCAD450
307 compared to its 550°C -counterpart (although not significantly), which may have
308 implications for their potential application in wastewater treatment and agriculture
309 (Shepherd et al., 2016). Interestingly, whilst FWD550 contains very high total

310 concentrations of P (Buss et al., 2016a) and significantly increased the length of cress
311 (*Lepidium sativum*) shoot length compared to controls in germination tests (Buss et al.,
312 2016c), in this experiment it did not result in higher P uptake into barley leaves
313 compared to the control. This may be due to the way that P is bound in the biochar as,
314 although a high concentration of P was present in FWD550, only 0.10% was
315 1 M NH₄NO₃ extractable (Buss et al., 2016c).

316

317 **3.1.4) Overall plant response to biochar-amended sand**

318 Comparing the plant response to biochar treatments to the controls as well as the plant
319 leaf element composition, we can conclude that, in support of the findings of (Buss et
320 al., 2016c), at 5% application rates in sand it is possible that some of the biochars
321 restrict the growth of barley, most likely due to high extractable K concentrations. Root
322 growth (indicated by % roots > 5 mm length) was significantly negatively correlated
323 ($p < 0.001$) with biochar available K concentration in a study which included seven of
324 the biochars investigated here (ADX350, DW550, DW750, FWD550, WLB550, WHI550
325 and WSI550 (Buss et al., 2016c). Elevated concentrations of PTEs in the plant leaves in
326 some biochar treatments did not appear to be associated with lower yield, but it is not
327 possible to say whether the edible portion of the mature plant would have met safety
328 regulations. The biochar treatments which resulted in the highest yield increase
329 compared to the controls were those which had moderate to low extractable K
330 concentrations (DW550, DW750 and WLB550, from Buss et al. (2016c)), and had been
331 exposed to P solution prior to use (EPAD550, EPOCAD550) or contained a high
332 concentration of native P.

333 Overall, it is likely that the growth promoting and inhibiting effects observed in barley
334 plants in this study can be explained by the competition between two factors, the
335 negative effect caused by high K vs the positive effect of available P in the various
336 biochars.

337

338 **3.2) Biochar element concentrations**

339 **3.2.1) Biochar element total concentrations**

340 Nine of the biochars investigated in this study contain one or more PTEs at
341 concentrations exceeding the International Biochar Initiative (IBI) and European
342 Biochar Certificate Basic (EBCB) and Premium (EBCP) threshold values for total PTE
343 concentrations in biochar (See Supplementary Information Table 6 for threshold values;
344 total elemental concentrations, Supplementary Tables 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b). The potential
345 exceedance of guideline values by the P-exposed biochars (EPAD450, EPAD550,
346 EPOCAD450 and EPOCAD550) was not assessed, as their concentrations are expected
347 to be similar to their non-P exposed precursors (PAD450, PAD550, POCAD450 and
348 POCAD550). The biochars containing elements present in concentrations above
349 minimum threshold values for one or more of the guidelines are: DW750 (Cr), FWD550
350 (Zn) WSI550 (Mo), WLB550 (Cd, Zn), POCAD450 and POCAD550 (Cu, Mo and Zn),
351 PAD450 and PAD550 (Cd, Cu, Mo and Zn) and WHI (Cr, Cu, Ni and Zn).

352

353 **3.2.2) Potentially toxic element and nutrient extractions**

354 The amount of element that was extractable from the biochars varied between methods,
355 partly due to differences in pH between methods. Based on the number of biochars for
356 which each element could be extracted for each extraction method, the elements Al, B
357 and Co could be extracted from many of the biochars investigated above the limit of
358 detection (LOD) using Mehlich 3 and the higher pH extractions (Table 4). Calcium, Cu,
359 Ni and Zn were could be extracted above the LOD from more of the biochars using
360 lower pH extractions than high pH and, with the exception of Zn, were Mehlich 3
361 extractable. Cadmium and Pb were only extractable for 2 of the biochars above the
362 LOD using Mehlich 3, whilst K, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na and P could be extracted above the
363 LOD (although with differing extraction efficiencies) using any method, except Mehlich
364 3 for Mo. Of the remaining elements, Cr could be extracted using the buffered and
365 unbuffered 1 M NH₄NO₃ solutions, Fe by Mehlich 3, unbuffered 1 M NH₄NO₃ and
366 buffered 0.01 M CaCl₂ solutions, and Hg by unbuffered 1 M NH₄NO₃ and buffered
367 0.01 M CaCl₂ solutions. This suggests moderately acidic to neutral pH extractions are
368 most effective for these three elements, and that Mehlich 3 targets a specific mechanism
369 of Fe binding in biochar that the other methods do not. Of the 13 biochars extracted
370 following the established soil analysis method specified in the German soil ordinance
371 (1 M NH₄NO₃), concentrations of PTEs extracted from five were higher than the

372 recommended threshold. Arsenic was detected above threshold values from PAD450
373 and WHI550, Cd from POCAD550 as well as WLB550, which also exceeded threshold
374 values for Zn. These results differ slightly to those of Buss et al. 2016c), but this is due
375 to the low threshold values in question (0.1 mg kg^{-1}) and the relatively high Cd
376 detection limit for the experiment. Rather than ICP-OES, ICP-MS appears to be a more
377 suitable method for these analyses in future.

378 Considering that pure biochar was analysed in this study and the threshold values are
379 referring to soil, as suggested in Buss et al. (2016c), if the biochars are applied to soil at
380 a rate of 1% ($< 20 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$) and the soil/biochar mixtures extracted, soil amendment with
381 these biochars will not result in soil PTE concentrations exceeding threshold values.

382

383 **3.3) Comparison of extraction methods**

384 **3.3.1) Mehlich 3, CaCl_2 and NH_4NO_3 extractions for potential assessment of** 385 **elemental bioavailability in biochars**

386 Despite the biochars in this study being selected for their known high concentrations of
387 total PTEs, the quantities removed by extractions were sometimes below the
388 experimental limit of detection. Although this limited examination of different
389 extraction methods for assessing PTE bioavailability in biochars, it supports the
390 findings of other studies where biochars with high concentrations of PTEs have
391 proportionally low extractability (e.g. Buss et al., 2016c; Farrell et al., 2013;
392 Khanmohammadi et al., 2015), indicating that soil amendment might be acceptable with
393 a range of biochar types.

394 Multiple significant correlations between an element extracted from biochar with plant
395 leaf concentrations (across methods) were revealed for 'generally extractable' elements,
396 i.e. where elements were extracted from many biochars above the LOD for all (or most)
397 extraction solutions, e.g. K, Mn, Mo and Na (Table 4). All significant correlations were
398 positive apart from for unbuffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 where plant leaf concentrations of Ca
399 and Zn decreased with higher concentrations extracted from the biochars. Whilst
400 Mehlich 3 generally extracted elements at the highest concentrations and from the
401 highest number of biochars, plant leaf concentrations were significantly correlated with
402 these extractions only for Fe, K, Na and P, suggesting that the bioavailability of

403 elements in biochar, apart from Fe, is not related to a chelation mechanism of
404 extraction.

405 In general, both the buffered and unbuffered 0.01 M CaCl₂ extractions correlated well
406 with plant leaf concentration in this study. The extracted biochar and plant
407 concentrations were significantly positively correlated for 6 elements (all micro- and
408 macronutrients) (Table 4), although the extracted concentrations (data not shown) were
409 one to three orders of magnitude lower than the measured plant leaf concentrations.
410 Plant element concentrations probably correlate well with the CaCl₂ extractions because
411 the extraction pH is closest to the pH of the biochars, and in an unbuffered system the
412 biochar is the main control of pH. Despite the large difference in the plant and extract
413 concentration values for individual elements, it is still possible to state the relative
414 availability of nutrients and therefore compare element bioavailability between
415 biochars.

416 Correlations calculated of the total mass of the element in the leaves with the extraction
417 methods (data not shown) did not highlight any stronger relationships than for leaf
418 element concentrations, except for P (discussed in 3.3.2).

419 Comparison of the results of our study to those of Farrell et al. (2013) reveals that there
420 are no method correlations in common. This could be due to the use of different plant
421 species (wheat vs. barley) or number of biochars (4 vs. 7 – 17).

422

423 **3.3.2) Suitability of extraction methods to determine plant P concentration**

424 Significant correlations between P concentrations in plant tissue and biochar extractions
425 were found for Mehlich 3, buffered and unbuffered 0.01 M CaCl₂ and 2% FA, however
426 Spearman's ρ was not high (< 0.7) (Table 4). The strongest correlation was with
427 buffered 0.01 M CaCl₂, ($\rho = 0.692$, $p < 0.05$).

428 Based on the recommendation of Wang et al. (2012) of the 2% FA method to estimate P
429 bioavailability in high ash biochars, a curve was fitted to the plot of plant P
430 concentration against 2% FA-extractable P (Figure 2a, $R^2 = 0.3375$). There appears to
431 be an upper concentration limit in the plant leaves of around 11 mg P g⁻¹ which could be
432 the optimal P concentration range for barley seedling growth, with most of the values

433 between 8 and 10 mg P g⁻¹. Of the three outliers in Figure 2a, one is due to low yield
434 (WSI550), whilst the others appear to be related to over-estimation of P uptake by the
435 2% FA extraction. As previously discussed (Section 3.1.3), 1 M NH₄NO₃ extractable P
436 from FWD550 is low relative to uptake, whilst the opposite is true for 2% FA. This
437 suggests that the latter method overestimates the P fraction from biochar by extracting
438 some P that is not plant available.

439 The comparison of total leaf P mass and 2% FA extractable P provides a better
440 representation of the ability of the 2% FA extraction method for assessing P
441 bioavailability from the biochars (Figure 2b). This can be explained by the fact that
442 when the optimal P concentration in the leaves is reached, the plant does not need to
443 take up more P and thus increase the P concentration further. However, with growth of
444 the plant, more P is taken up by the plant to maintain optimal tissue concentration.
445 Correlation with total leaf P mass should identify the better indicator for bioavailability.
446 This is further emphasised by the lack of relationship between leaf P concentration and
447 plant yield (Figure 2c) and the strong linear relationship between total leaf P mass and
448 yield (Figure 2d, R² = 0.8477).

449 Figure 2d also shows the sewage sludge-derived biochars perform consistently well as
450 sources of plant P, providing evidence to support use of biochar from sewage sludge
451 feedstocks as a fertiliser.

452

453 **3.3.3) Comparison of extraction methods: effect of pH and solution composition**

454 Different extractant solutions have different native pH, indirectly and/or intentionally
455 affecting the solubility of PTEs and nutrients, in addition to targeting different binding
456 mechanisms according to their composition. It has previously been reported that acidic
457 extractants provide a more representative assessment of element bioavailability in acidic
458 soils, with alkaline extractants better suited to alkaline soils (Fixen et al., 1990), but this
459 conclusion has also been questioned (Jordan-Meille et al., 2012). Thus, pH is not the
460 only factor influencing the suitability of methods for estimating bioavailability: solution
461 composition is also important.

462 Of the 13 elements for which extraction methods were significantly correlated with each
463 other, for nine a significant correlation was found between 0.01 M CaCl₂ buffered and

464 unbuffered extracted concentrations (Table 5). Conversely, significant correlations
465 occurred between 1 M NH_4NO_3 buffered and unbuffered extracted concentrations for
466 only 2 of the 13 elements. This is most likely related to the pH of the solutions
467 compared to that of the biochars being extracted. The pH of the biochars were in the
468 range 7.39 – 10.12, with most < 9 (Buss et al., 2016a; Supplementary Table 1), whilst
469 the pHs of 1 M NH_4NO_3 and 0.01 M CaCl_2 are 4.6 and 7.0, respectively. The potential
470 pH change is therefore greater for the unbuffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 extractions than for
471 0.01 M CaCl_2 , for which only minor pH changes were observed upon addition of the
472 lower pH biochars (< pH 0.5, data not shown).

473 The extractants with the highest number of significant correlations for element
474 concentrations (10 elements) were buffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 and buffered 0.01 M CaCl_2
475 (Table 5). Given the different pHs of these extractants (4.6 vs. 7), pH cannot be the
476 main factor controlling element extractions from these biochars. The most probable
477 explanation is that since both these extractants are buffered, the extraction pH remains
478 constant at these values, which both happen to lie just outside the pH range at which the
479 adsorption behaviour of many elements change (pH 5-7 for Zn, Co, Ni and Mn) (Basta
480 et al., 2004). Supporting this further is the observation that no significant correlations
481 between these methods was found for Pb, which has a different pH range for changing
482 adsorption behaviour (pH 3-6), which includes the pH of the buffered 1 M NH_4NO_3
483 extractions (4.6). Therefore, whilst buffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 and buffered 0.01 M CaCl_2
484 extract different amounts of each element, the relationship between element
485 concentrations from the two extractions remains constant for many elements.

486 Predictably, the number of significant correlations was higher for Mehlich 3 and
487 buffered 1 M NH_4NO_3 extractions (7) than for unbuffered NH_4NO_3 (3). None of the
488 latter were in common with the former.

489 Elements for which significant correlations occurred in concentrations extracted from
490 biochar by alternate methods were: Al (1), B (4), Ca (5), Cu (2), Fe (2), K (8), Mg (7),
491 Mn (5), Mo (3), Na (7), Ni (5), P (2) and Zn (1). Insufficient data were obtained to
492 determine whether there were correlations between the different extraction methods for
493 Cd, Co, Cr, Hg, and Pb since extracted concentrations were generally below the
494 detection limit, despite deliberate inclusion of high PTE-containing feedstocks. High
495 concentrations of K, Na and Ca were extractable in most of the biochars, resulting in a

496 higher number of data points to use for correlation analysis. Conversely, whilst Al and
497 Fe were also present in high concentrations in many of the biochars, there were few
498 significant correlations between extraction methods for these elements. Magnesium was
499 not found in high concentrations in all of the biochars, but a high number of significant
500 correlations were observed between extractable concentrations from different methods.
501 However, extractable biochar concentrations from any of the methods were not
502 significantly correlated with Mg leaf concentrations, so even though the extraction
503 methods utilise similar extraction mechanisms, these do not represent those which the
504 plant uses to access Mg from the biochars.

505 These observations emphasise the importance of pH for element extractability, as well
506 as the general difficulty in determining the mechanisms controlling element
507 extractability and thus plant accessibility of nutrients and PTEs in different biochars.

508

509 **3.4) Broader context of the assessment of biochar bioavailability assessment**

510 The results of this study contribute towards the development of standardised methods to
511 assess bioavailability of nutrients and PTEs from biochar. Based on correlation of
512 element concentrations in plant biomass with concentrations in biochar extracts, 0.01 M
513 CaCl₂ (buffered or unbuffered) was the best estimator of element bioavailability for a
514 range of elements. Spearman's ρ (or Pearson's r) correlation coefficient values were
515 equal or slightly higher for all significantly correlated elements in the unbuffered
516 solution compared to buffered 0.01 M CaCl₂, with the exception of P (Table 4). This
517 suggests that methods using an extractant with pH closest to the pH of the biochar may
518 provide the most accurate representations of element bioavailability in soils amended
519 with biochar.

520 Selection of (an) appropriate method/s to assess bioavailability of nutrients and PTEs
521 from biochar involves consideration of a number of factors, including whether values
522 exist in the literature and legislation with which results can be compared. Identification
523 of significant positive correlations between plant tissue concentration/contents and
524 extracted concentrations does not necessarily mean that the extraction method gives an
525 accurate absolute value for bioavailability, only that there is a relationship between the
526 two sets of data. Calculations using conversion factors may need to be conducted on the

527 extraction results to provide an estimate of bioavailability, or a ranking devised to
528 demonstrate what constitutes a high or a low bioavailability value when plant tissue
529 concentration/contents and extracted concentrations of an element are significantly
530 positively correlated. Based on this observation, and in agreement with the
531 recommendations of Farrell et al. (2013), we suggest that direct measurement of plant
532 nutrient and PTE uptake from biochar is the most reliable method to determine
533 bioavailability. Whilst it is more time consuming than extraction methods, it is difficult
534 to foresee the identification of a single extraction method which will a) extract enough
535 of each element of interest for analysis and b) also correlate with plant uptake.

536 A combination of nutrient and PTE leaching from biochar/soil mixtures and plant
537 uptake studies would provide the necessary information to determine whether the
538 biochar in question could perform well as a fertiliser and/or have the potential to cause
539 phytotoxicity. A soil-specific leaching experiment as described in Bastos et al. (2014)
540 might provide an appropriate measure of leachability. Reflecting on our finding (in
541 agreement with Buss et al. (2016c)) that high K content in the 5% biochar application
542 rate impacted negatively on plant yield, growth experiments using application rates in
543 line with those of fertiliser (extractable or total P mass basis) should be performed to
544 assess the suitability of biochars as P fertiliser. To provide compelling evidence, 4-5
545 different crop species and different soils would need to be used. Assessment of these
546 experiments may be as simple as yield comparison, as demonstrated by the highly
547 significant positive relationship between plant P mass and yield reported from our
548 experiments. Furthermore, for the assessment of PTEs and general biochar toxicity,
549 both 5% and 1% application rates could be assessed for the same range of crops in a
550 specific soil to separate PTE and salt effects.

551

552 **5) Conclusions**

553 Concentrations of B, K, Mn, Mo, Na and P in both buffered and unbuffered
554 0.01 M CaCl₂ extractions were significantly correlated with plant uptake in barley
555 seedlings grown in a 5% biochar/sand medium. None of the extraction methods
556 assessed for 17 biochars correlated well with plant uptake of any of the PTEs of most
557 concern, such as, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb or Zn. This can be explained mostly by the
558 extractability of these elements at concentrations below the method limit of detection.

559 These results indicate that plant experiments used in this study are better suited for risk
560 assessment of PTEs than extraction methods, but the method needs to be further
561 validated with long term pot experiments. Yield inhibition compared to controls was
562 primarily due to high K concentrations in the 5% biochar applications. The
563 bioavailability of P was highest in post-pyrolysis P-exposed biochars made from sewage
564 sludge feedstocks at a HTT of 550°C, indicating that these production conditions could
565 be suitable for producing biochars with optimised characteristics for use in the
566 wastewater and agriculture industries.

567

568 **Acknowledgements**

569 The authors would like to thank Aditya Parmer for the provision of biochar feedstock,
570 Dr Lorna Eades and Dr Clare Peters, Francesca Gregory, Flavien Poinçot and Kate
571 Shepherd for their technical assistance and Dr Ondřej Mašek for the provision of
572 pyrolysis facilities. Jessica Shepherd's PhD was supported by the University of
573 Edinburgh Principal's Career Development and Edinburgh Global Research
574 scholarships and the School of GeoSciences, and the research costs were funded by
575 research ICON (formally ACTEW Water), in Canberra, Australia. Jessica would
576 particularly like to thank Dr Chris Hepplewhite for his encouragement and support,
577 which enabled this research to be realised.

578 **References**

- 579 Antal, M.J., Grønli, M., 2003. The Art, Science, and Technology of Charcoal
580 Production. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 42, 1619–1640. doi:10.1021/ie0207919
- 581 Basta, N.T., Ryan, J. a, Chaney, R.L., 2004. Trace element chemistry in residual-treated
582 soil: key concepts and metal bioavailability. *J. Environ. Qual.* 34, 49–63.
583 doi:10.2134/jeq2005.0049dup
- 584 Bastos, A.C., Prodana, M., Abrantes, N., Keizer, J.J., Soares, A.M.V.M., Loureiro, S.,
585 2014. Potential risk of biochar-amended soil to aquatic systems: an evaluation
586 based on aquatic bioassays. *Ecotoxicology* 23, 1784–1793. doi:10.1007/s10646-
587 014-1344-1
- 588 Beesley, L., Moreno-Jiménez, E., Clemente, R., Lepp, N., Dickinson, N., 2010.
589 Mobility of arsenic, cadmium and zinc in a multi-element contaminated soil profile
590 assessed by in-situ soil pore water sampling, column leaching and sequential
591 extraction. *Environ. Pollut.* 158, 155–160. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2009.07.021
- 592 Buss, W., 2016. Contaminant issues in production and application of biochar. PhD
593 Thesis. University of Edinburgh.
- 594 Buss, W., Graham, M., Shepherd, J.G., Masek, O., 2016a. Suitability of marginal
595 biomass-derived biochars for soil amendment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 547, 314–322.
596 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.148
- 597 Buss, W., Graham, M.C., MacKinnon, G., Mašek, O., 2016b. Strategies for producing
598 biochars with minimum PAH contamination. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 119, 24–30.
- 599 Buss, W., Graham, M.C., Shepherd, J.G., Mašek, O., 2016c. Risks and benefits of
600 marginal biomass-derived biochars for plant growth. *Sci. Total Environ.* 569–570,
601 496–506. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.129
- 602 Davis, R.D., Beckett, P.H.T., Wollan, E., 1978. Critical levels of twenty potentially
603 toxic elements in young spring barley. *Plant Soil* 49, 395–408.
- 604 Enders, A., Lehmann, J., 2012. Comparison of Wet-Digestion and Dry-Ashing Methods
605 for Total Elemental Analysis of Biochar. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 43, 1042–
606 1052. doi:10.1080/00103624.2012.656167
- 607 Farrell, M., Rangott, G., Krull, E., 2013. Difficulties in using soil-based methods to
608 assess plant availability of potentially toxic elements in biochars and their
609 feedstocks. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 250–251, 29–36. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.01.073
- 610 Fellet, G., Marchiol, L., Delle Vedove, G., Peressotti, A., 2011. Application of biochar
611 on mine tailings: Effects and perspectives for land reclamation. *Chemosphere* 83,

612 1262–1267. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.03.053

613 Fixen, P.E., Grove, J.H., Westerman, R.L., 1990. Testing soils for phosphorus, in:

614 Westerman, R.L., Baird, J.V., Christensen, N.W., Fixen, P.E., Whitney, D.A.

615 (Eds.), *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*. Soil Science Society of America, Inc.,

616 Wisconsin, pp. 141–180.

617 German Federal Environmental Agency, 1999. German Federal Soil Protection and

618 Contaminated Sites Ordinance (BBodSchV). Germany.

619 Grzebisz, W., Kocalkowski, W.Z., Chudzinski, B., 1983. Copper geochemistry and

620 availability in cultivated soils contaminated by a copper smelter. *J. Geochemical*

621 *Explor.* 58, 301–307. doi:10.1016/s0375-6742(96)00065-9

622 Hale, S.E., Lehmann, J., Rutherford, D., Zimmerman, A.R., Bachmann, R.T.,

623 Shitumbanuma, V., O’Toole, A., Sundqvist, K.L., Arp, H.P.H., Cornelissen, G.,

624 2012. Quantifying the total and bioavailable polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and

625 dioxins in biochars. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 2830–2838. doi:10.1021/es203984k

626 Hilber, I., Blum, F., Leifeld, J., Schmidt, H.P., Bucheli, T.D., 2012. Quantitative

627 determination of PAHs in biochar: A prerequisite to ensure its quality and safe

628 application. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60, 3042–3050. doi:10.1021/jf205278v

629 Hossain, M.K., Strezov, V., Yin Chan, K., Nelson, P.F., 2010. Agronomic properties of

630 wastewater sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of cherry

631 tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Chemosphere* 78, 1167–1171.

632 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.01.009

633 Jordan-Meille, L., Rubæk, G.H., Ehlert, P. a I., Genot, V., Hofman, G., Goulding, K.,

634 Recknagel, J., Provolo, G., Barraclough, P., 2012. An overview of fertilizer-P

635 recommendations in Europe: Soil testing, calibration and fertilizer

636 recommendations. *Soil Use Manag.* 28, 419–435. doi:10.1111/j.1475-

637 2743.2012.00453.x

638 Joseph, S.D., Camps-Arbestain, M., Lin, Y., Munroe, P., Chia, C.H., Hook, J., Van

639 Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Cowie, A., Singh, B.P., Lehmann, J., Foidl, N., Smernik,

640 R.J., Amonette, J.E., 2010. An investigation into the reactions of biochar in soil, in:

641 *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. pp. 501–515. doi:10.1071/SR10009

642 Khanmohammadi, Z., Afyuni, M., Mosaddeghi, M.R., 2015. Effect of pyrolysis

643 temperature on chemical and physical properties of sewage sludge biochar. *Waste*

644 *Manag. Res.* 33, 275–283. doi:10.1177/0734242X14565210

645 Liu, T., Liu, B., Zhang, W., 2014. Nutrients and heavy metals in biochar produced by

646 sewage sludge pyrolysis: Its application in soil amendment. *Polish J. Environ.*
647 *Stud.* 23, 271–275.

648 Lu, H., Zhang, W., Wang, S., Zhuang, L., Yang, Y., Qiu, R., 2013. Characterization of
649 sewage sludge-derived biochars from different feedstocks and pyrolysis
650 temperatures. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 102, 137–143.
651 doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2013.03.004

652 Luo, F., Song, J., Xia, W., Dong, M., Chen, M., Soudek, P., 2014. Characterization of
653 contaminants and evaluation of the suitability for land application of maize and
654 sludge biochars. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 8707–8717. doi:10.1007/s11356-
655 014-2797-8

656 MacNicol, R.D., Beckett, P.H.T., 1985. Critical tissue concentrations of potentially
657 toxic elements. *Plant Soil* 85, 107–129.

658 Marschner, H., 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants, Boston, MA, USA: Academic
659 Press. Academic Press, Boston, MA, USA.

660 Mayer, P., Hilber, I., Gouliarmou, V., Hale, S.E., Cornelissen, G., Bucheli, T.D., 2016.
661 How to determine the environmental exposure of PAHs originating from biochar.
662 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 1941–1948.

663 Meers, E., Du Laing, G., Unamuno, V., Ruttens, A., Vangronsveld, J., Tack, F.M.G.,
664 Verloo, M.G., 2007. Comparison of cadmium extractability from soils by
665 commonly used single extraction protocols. *Geoderma* 141, 247–259.
666 doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.06.002

667 Mehlich, A., 1984. Mehlich 3 soil test extractant: A modification of Mehlich 2
668 extractant. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* doi:10.1080/00103628409367568

669 Méndez, A., Gómez, A., Paz-Ferreiro, J., Gascó, G., 2012. Effects of sewage sludge
670 biochar on plant metal availability after application to a Mediterranean soil.
671 *Chemosphere* 89, 1354–1359. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.05.092

672 Menzies, N.W., Donn, M.J., Kopittke, P.M., 2007. Evaluation of extractants for
673 estimation of the phytoavailable trace metals in soils. *Environ. Pollut.* 145, 121–
674 130. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.03.021

675 Monterosso, C., Alvarez, E., Fernández Marcos, M.L., 1999. Evaluation of Mehlich 3
676 reagent as a multielement extractant in mine soils. *L. Degrad. Dev.* 10, 35–47.

677 Ohki, K., Boswell, F.C., Parker, M.B., Shuman, L.M., Wilson, D.O., 1979. Critical
678 manganese deficiency level of soybean related to leaf position. *Agron. J.* 71, 233–
679 234.

680 Römheld, V., Marschner, H., 1991. Function of micronutrients in plants. In
681 Micronutrients in agriculture, in: Mortvelt, J.J., Cox, F.R., Shuman, L.M., Welch,
682 R.M. (Eds.), *Micronutrients in Agriculture*. Soil Science Society of America, Inc.,
683 Wisconsin, pp. 297–328.

684 Shackley, S., Hammond, J., Gaunt, J., Ibarrola, R., 2011. The feasibility and costs of
685 biochar deployment in the UK. *Carbon Manag.* 2, 335–356. doi:10.4155/cmt.11.22

686 Shepherd, J.G., Joseph, S., Sohi, S.P., Heal, K.V., n.d. Biochar and enhanced phosphate
687 capture: mapping mechanisms to functional properties.

688 Shepherd, J.G., Sohi, S.P., Heal, K.V., 2016. Optimising the recovery and re-use of
689 phosphorus from wastewater effluent for sustainable fertiliser development. *Water*
690 *Res.* 94, 155–165. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.038

691 van Raij, B., 1998. Bioavailable tests: alternatives to standard soil extractions.
692 *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 29, 1553–1570. doi:10.1080/00103629809370049

693 Wang, T., Camps-Arbestain, M., Hedley, M., 2014. The fate of phosphorus of ash-rich
694 biochars in a soil-plant system. *Plant Soil* 375, 61–74. doi:10.1007/s11104-013-
695 1938-z

696 Wang, T., Camps-Arbestain, M., Hedley, M., Bishop, P., 2012. Predicting phosphorus
697 bioavailability from high-ash biochars. *Plant Soil* 357, 173–187.
698 doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1131-9

699 Zhang, M.K., Liu, Z.Y., Wang, H., 2010. Use of single extraction methods to predict
700 bioavailability of heavy metals in polluted soils to rice. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant*
701 *Anal.* 47, 820–831.

702

703

704 **Figure captions**

705 **Figure 1: Plant uptake of P.** Concentration and total P mass in above ground biomass
706 (leaves) on dry weight basis. Values are means \pm 1 standard deviation, except where
707 only one replicate was obtained (RHI700 and WSI550). Control 2 relates to WLB550,
708 DW550, DW750, FWD550 and WSI550, whilst Control 1 relates to the rest of the
709 treatments. Different letters symbolise significant differences between the treatments. nc
710 = not included in statistical analysis as $n < 3$. The blue dashed line represents the highest
711 leaf P mass measured in the controls, above which P in the plant may have been
712 contributed by biochar.

713

714

715 **Figure 2: Comparison of P descriptors.** Relationships between plant leaf P mass and
716 concentration and 2% formic acid extractable P from biochar and plant yield. White
717 circles are the sewage sludge-derived biochars, black circles are the remaining biochars
718 produced from various feedstocks, and grey circles in d) are controls. a) Plant P
719 concentration and 2% formic acid extractable P from biochar. The grey fitted line
720 includes all data points except the WSI550 and FWD550 outliers. The black fitted line
721 also excludes the WLB550 outlier. b) Plant leaf P mass and 2% formic acid extractable
722 P from biochar. The grey fitted line includes all data points. The black fitted line
723 excludes WSI550 and FWD550. c) Plant P concentration and plant yield. d) Plant leaf P
724 mass and plant yield.

725 **Tables**

726 **Table 1:** General characteristics of the biochars used in this study. HTT = highest treatment
727 temperature, PTEs = potentially toxic elements. ^A pH measured in a 1:10 ratio (m:v) in
728 deionised water after 1.5 h shaking on an orbital platform shaker.

Biochar	Feedstock	HTT (°C)	Post pyrolysis treatment	pH in water ^A (Mean ± 1 stdev n = 2)	Nutrients of interest (based on total concentration)	PTEs of interest (based on total concentration)	Characterised in
PAD450	Pelletised anaerobically digested sewage sludge (Edinburgh, UK)	450	None	7.49 ± 0.02	P, K	Cd, Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
PAD550	Pelletised anaerobically digested sewage sludge (Edinburgh, UK)	550	None	8.25 ± 0.08	P, K	Cd, Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
POCAD450	Pelletised anaerobically digested sewage sludge (Edinburgh, UK) and ochre (Fife, UK) in a 9:1 mass ratio	450	None	7.39 ± 0.05	P, K	Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
POCAD550	Pelletised anaerobically digested sewage sludge (Edinburgh, UK) and ochre (Fife, UK) in a 9:1 mass ratio	550	None	7.85 ± 0.03	P, K	Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
EPAD450	As for PAD450	450	Exposed to 20 mg l ⁻¹ P solution for 24 h x 6	-	P, K	Cd, Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
EPAD550	As for PAD550	550	Exposed to 20 mg l ⁻¹ P solution for 24 h x 6	-	P, K	Cd, Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
EPOCAD450	As for POCAD450	450	Exposed to 20 mg l ⁻¹ P solution for 24 h x 6	-	P, K	Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
EPOCAD550	As for POCAD550	550	Exposed to 20 mg l ⁻¹ P solution for 24 h x 6	-	P, K	Cu, Mo, Ni, Zn	Shepherd et al., (submitted)
ADX350	Whole plant of <i>Arundo donax</i> without roots (Italy)	350	None	8.79 ± 0.44	None	Cd	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
DW550	Demolition wood (heterogeneous, glued, laminated, painted, coated or otherwise treated), (Germany)	550	None	7.65 ± 0.08	None	Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
DW750	Demolition wood (heterogeneous, glued, laminated, painted, coated or otherwise treated) (Germany)	750	None	9.85 ± 0.27	None	Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
FWD550	Solid residues from anaerobic digestion of food waste (UK)	550	None	8.88 ± 0.24	P, K	Cu, Zn	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
RHI550	Rice husk from plants grown on PTE contaminated land (Panipat, Haryana, India)	550	None	10.20 ± 0.15	K	Ni	n/a
RHI700	Rice husk from plants grown on PTE contaminated land (Panipat, Haryana, India)	700	None	10.40 ± 0.25	K	Ni	n/a
WHI550	Water hyacinth (<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>), whole plant, from contaminated water (New Delhi, India)	550	None	9.85 ± 0.11	P, K	Cd, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, Zn	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
WLB550	Willow logs with bark (<i>Salix</i> spp., species unknown) from PTE contaminated land (Belgium)	550	None	9.52 ± 0.16	None	Cd, Ni, Pb, Zn	Buss et al. (2016a,b)
WSI550	Wheat straw (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) from PTE contaminated land (India)	550	None	10.12 ± 0.01	K	Mo, Ni	Buss et al. (2016a,b)

730 **Table 2:** Dry weight yield of above ground biomass reported in descending order of
 731 values. Results are given to 3 significant figures as means \pm 1 standard deviation, unless
 732 only one replicate was obtained. ^A: Combined yield of 3 replicates, not measured
 733 separately. The grey shading indicates Control 2 and the biochars to which it relates,
 734 whilst Control 1 relates to the remainder of the biochar treatments.

Biochar	Plant yield mg \pm stdev (n reps)	% difference ⁷³⁵ to relevant control
EPOCAD550	86.2 \pm 15.0 (3)	83.1
WLB550	84.4 \pm 4.05 (3)	120.4
EPAD550	80.0 \pm 30.0 (3)	69.8
DW750	75.2 \pm 25.1 (3)	96.3
PAD550	74.8 \pm 7.05 (3)	58.9
POCAD550	61.5 \pm 9.26 (3)	30.5
PAD450	61.0 \pm 3.95 (3)	29.6
DW550	60.4 \pm 14.8 (2)	57.7
POCAD450	60.0 \pm 1.68 (3)	27.3
EPOCAD450	59.0 \pm 12.6 (3)	25.3
RHI550	57.2 \pm 20.1 (3)	21.4
FWD550	56.1 \pm 5.52 (2)	46.5
ADX350	50.3 \pm 6.60 (3)	6.7
EPAD450	49.9 \pm 9.19 (2)	5.9
Control 1	47.1 \pm 11.4 (5)	N/A
Control 2	38.3 \pm 17.1 (5)	N/A
WSI550	29.1 (3) ^A	-24.0
WHI550	26.0 \pm 13.8 (3)	-44.8
RHI700	18.6 \pm 20.3 (3)	-60.5

736 **Table 3a:** Element concentrations measured in barley leaves (mg kg^{-1} , dry matter). Values given to 3 significant figures and are means \pm 1
737 standard deviation. $n = 3$ for all biochar treatments except EPAD450, for which $n = 2$ (for explanation see text in section 2.2). ^A: only one
738 replicate returned a valid value from ICP-MS analysis, so no standard deviation could be calculated. Control 1 (Table 3b) is the relevant
739 control for these data.

	PAD450	PAD550	POCAD450	POCAD550	EPAD450	EPAD550	EPOCAD450	EPOCAD550
As	4.16 \pm 2.42	3.52 \pm 3.04	2.24 \pm 2.89	1.11 \pm 0.727	0.805 \pm 0.0626	2.48 \pm 0.556	2.11 \pm 1.13	1.19 \pm 0.471
Al	61.4 \pm 7.01	47.7 \pm 3.61	42.8 \pm 10.3	44.8 \pm 17.8	53.6 \pm 17.8	40.3 \pm 6.42	52.5 \pm 21.3	49.9 \pm 6.04
B	57.6 \pm 25.6	150 \pm 106	32.7 \pm 12.3	477 \pm 366	43.0 \pm 1.30	52.8 \pm 10.1	61.2 \pm 16.9	43.9 \pm 2.66
Ca	5110 \pm 631	5720 \pm 279	6510 \pm 460	5710 \pm 605	4180 \pm 335	5470 \pm 1030	5380 \pm 416	6170 \pm 1080
Cd	0.152 \pm 0.130	0.133 \pm 0.0932	0.449 \pm 0.619	0.0320 \pm 0.0132	0.0440 \pm 0.00220	0.114 \pm 0.122	0.0598 \pm 0.0456	0.199 \pm 0.262
Co	0.324 \pm 0.111	0.288 \pm 0.0924	0.559 \pm 0.319	0.284 \pm 0.0776	0.372 \pm 0.0727	0.344 \pm 0.223	0.291 \pm 0.113	0.250 \pm 0.0607
Cr	1.17 \pm 0.673	1.43 \pm 0.963	1.01 \pm 0.270	1.18 \pm 0.225	0.751 \pm 0.385	2.37 \pm 1.92	1.38 \pm 0.679	1.44 \pm 0.569
Cu	9.72 \pm 0.844	17.0 \pm 5.72	8.19 \pm 0.760	18.9 \pm 8.88	11.6 \pm 1.84	11.4 \pm 1.78	11.5 \pm 2.03	10.2 \pm 0.641
Fe	119 \pm 11.4	90.5 \pm 6.67	104 \pm 15.4	106 \pm 21.246	118 \pm 4.36	122 \pm 29.2	125 \pm 43.7	398 \pm 372
Hg	0.0125 \pm 0.0217	0.0663 \pm 0.016	0.0383 \pm 0.0596	0.0327 \pm 0.00544	0.0376 \pm 0.00562	0.0381 \pm 0.0138	0.0673 \pm 0.0372	0.0436 \pm 0.0145
K	44600 \pm 3090	46300 \pm 3540	48900 \pm 3990	46500 \pm 5520	52900 \pm 1370	41800 \pm 6089	54100 \pm 4330	35100 \pm 2220
Mg	2390 \pm 120	2200 \pm 81.7	2780 \pm 309	2510 \pm 290	2210 \pm 0.983	2650 \pm 131	2400 \pm 12.3	2920 \pm 202
Mn	72.9 \pm 11.2	86.0 \pm 8.66	93.5 \pm 2.52	93.5 \pm 9.24	80.8 \pm 21.5	90.6 \pm 0.953	100 \pm 19.1	101 \pm 21.7
Mo	12.1 \pm 3.75	12.3 \pm 0.550	10.9 \pm 4.73	15.3 \pm 2.45	23.2 \pm 3.57	27.0 \pm 5.92	20.3 \pm 1.51	27.3 \pm 1.73
Na	9470 \pm 1490	8530 \pm 1140	5460 \pm 494	7400 \pm 2150	10000 \pm 1460	8670 ^A	7550 \pm 2010	8420 \pm 408
Ni	2.22 \pm 79.9	3.05 \pm 2.99	2.38 \pm 0.297	2.50 \pm 0.400	3.71 \pm 3.69	2.11 \pm 1.14	1.06 \pm 0.318	0.891 \pm 0.133
P	9880 \pm 317	8430 \pm 369	9760 \pm 186	9490 \pm 429	11100 \pm 695	10800 \pm 1350	10500 \pm 584	10200 \pm 236
Pb	0.167 \pm 0.0546	0.961 \pm 0.766	0.233 \pm 0.0368	0.698 \pm 0.226	0.249 \pm 0.0877	0.327 \pm 0.120	0.291 \pm 0.250	0.193 \pm 0.0596
Zn	49.4 \pm 5.43	43.7 \pm 3.34	41.9 \pm 4.54	45.9 \pm 9.46	43.2 \pm 5.00	47.2 \pm 4.43	43.6 \pm 9.94	46.5 \pm 0.453

740

741 **Table 3b:** Element concentrations measured in barley leaves (mg kg^{-1} , dry matter). Values given to 3 significant figures and are means \pm 1
742 standard deviation. $n = 5$ for Control 1 and 2, $n = 3$ for all other biochar treatments except DW550 and FWD550, for which $n = 2$ and
743 RHI700 and WSI550, for which $n = 1$ (for explanation see text in section 2.2). ^B: Only one replicate available for analysis, so no standard
744 deviation could be calculated. < LOD: Value obtained was below the limit of detection. ND: No data was obtained for this element.
745 Columns are shaded according to which control is relevant for each treatment i.e. white columns refer to Control 1 and grey columns refer
746 to Control 2.

	Control 1	Control 2	ADX350	DW550	DW750	FWD550	RHI550	RHI700 ^B	WHI550	WLB550	WSI550 ^B
As	2.03 \pm 2.39	3.66 \pm 4.31	1.48 \pm 0.507	0.255 \pm 0.309	< LOD	< LOD	4.69 \pm 5.22	4.27	1.71 \pm 1.34	0.291 \pm 0.166	< LOD
Al	119 \pm 15.8	103 \pm 6.70	24.8 \pm ND	31.9 \pm 7.67	23.9 \pm 4.31	37.4 \pm 4.50	26.8 \pm 12.9	25.1	57.4 \pm 24.4	32.7 \pm 9.05	130
B	430 \pm 221	29.4 \pm 6.36	56.2 \pm 10.8	ND	ND	ND	31.6 \pm 14.1	23.5	297 \pm 341	ND	ND
Ca	1882 \pm 24.0	1750 \pm 289	1670 \pm 340	10500 \pm 1.28	7140 \pm 0.799	6050 \pm 0.251	1910 \pm 273	1290	1020 \pm 145	6450 \pm 0.227	8010
Cd	0.0268 \pm 0.0109	0.131 \pm 0.147	0.395 \pm 0.567	0.50 \pm 0.0153	0.659 \pm 0.334	0.963 \pm 0.139	0.0353 \pm 0.0174	0.0508	0.207 \pm 0.249	0.76 \pm 0.0534	2.26
Co	0.416 \pm 0.298	0.370 \pm 0.0651	0.226 \pm 0.105	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	0.357 \pm 0.0959	0.581	0.289 \pm 0.0291	\pm 0.00461	BDL
Cr	1.02 \pm 0.188	1.11 \pm 0.406	0.757 \pm 0.0406	0.531 \pm 0.024	< LOD	0.713 \pm 0.222	0.871 \pm 0.243	0.857	1.80 \pm 0.722	3.15 \pm 5.04	0.940
Cu	23.2 \pm 4.83	9.10 \pm 1.62	8.32 \pm 2.03	2.39 \pm 0.442	1.77 \pm 0.470	1.98 \pm 0.0409	10.2 \pm 2.21	7.50	17.9 \pm 11.8	1.63 \pm 0.228	1.71
Fe	60.5 \pm 6.81	58.9 \pm 3.82	64.8 \pm 7.60	ND	ND	ND	86.7 \pm 25.3	57.0	78.8 \pm 10.4	14.6 \pm 25.2	ND
Hg	0.049 \pm 0.044	0.0248 \pm 0.0211	0.17 \pm 0.179	ND	ND	ND	0.0359 \pm 0.0183	ND	0.00970 \pm 0.0137	ND	ND
K	18500 \pm 2640	20600 \pm 3850	79700 \pm 8730	29200 \pm 5.30	55000 \pm 7.12	65500 \pm 4.85	63900 \pm 1820	68200	86100 \pm 2680	53300 \pm 1.74	59300
Mg	2550 \pm 180	2460 \pm 266	1820 \pm 402	2700 \pm 0.139	2470 \pm 0.509	2090 \pm 0.156	2160 \pm 158	1680	1270 \pm 192	2040 \pm 0.103	< LOD
Mn	ND	ND	ND	129 \pm 0.256	113 \pm 16.3	< LOD	57.0 \pm 6.31	ND	ND	< LOD	< LOD
Mo	1.56 \pm 1.21	1.04 \pm 1.19	ND	0.502 \pm 0.0153	0.659 \pm 0.334	0.963 \pm 0.139	1.45 \pm 1.65	ND	6.34 \pm 0.255	0.757 \pm 0.0534	2.26
Na	1710 \pm 137	1800 \pm 163	769 \pm 93.2	4290 \pm 0.338	2960 \pm 1.57	11400 \pm 1.13	1070 \pm 147	1280	13600 \pm 847	269 \pm 0.0951	14500
Ni	4.48 \pm 4.54	3.06 \pm 0.384	1.82 \pm 0.436	ND	ND	ND	4.77 \pm 2.05	3.43	5.61 \pm 0.325	ND	ND
P	8930 \pm 174	9390 \pm 885	10500 \pm 899	7580 \pm 0.0907	7150 \pm 1.71	8380 \pm 0.147	8470 \pm 786	8730	10000 \pm 5.23	8540 \pm 0.381	11800
Pb	1.21 \pm 0.365	1.00 \pm 0.176	0.136 \pm 0.0501	0.185 \pm 0.211	0.0431 \pm 0.0402	< LOD	0.160 \pm 0.148	0.454	0.620 \pm 0.487	0.172 \pm 0.0958	< LOD
Zn	41.9 \pm 3.69	45.1 \pm 5.62	44.2 \pm 6.26	17.0 \pm 0.424	19.5 \pm 0.568	20.3 \pm 0.334	46.0 \pm 12.4	43.2	60.5 \pm 5.55	26.8 \pm 7.53	49.8

747 **Table 4:** Correlation coefficients between element concentrations measured in plant
748 biomass from the growth experiment and those determined in biochars extracted using
749 different methods. ICP-OES was used to determine element concentrations for all
750 extractions except for the 2% formic acid extraction for P where P concentrations were
751 determined by colorimetry. Values reported are Spearman's ρ , unless marked with ^P,
752 where Pearson's correlation is stated. N.S. = correlation non-significant, * = $p < 0.05$, **
753 = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$. N/A = method is not applicable for that element. N.C. = not
754 calculated as standard deviation = 0. The number in brackets indicates the number of
755 data pairs in the dataset for which both plant and biochar extraction data were available
756 with values above the experimental limit of detection.

757

	Mehlich 3		Buffered		Unbuffered		Buffered		Unbuffered		2%
pH	2.5		1 M		1 M		0.01 M		0.01 M		formic
			NH₄NO₃		NH₄NO₃		CaCl₂		CaCl₂		acid
			4.6		4.6 +		7.0		7.0 +		2.1
Al	N.S.	(12)	N.S.	(4)	N.S.	(7)	N.S.	(6)	N.S.	(8)	N/A
B	N.S.	(6)	0.805*	(5)	N.S.	(8)	0.738*	(8)	0.738*	(8)	N/A
Ca	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	-0.597^P*	(13)	N.S.	(10)	N.S.	(7)	N/A
Cd	N.S.	(11)	N.S.	(1)	N.S.	(2)	N.C.	(0)	N.C.	(0)	N/A
Co	N.S.	(11)	N.S.	(1)	N.S.	(3)	N.S.	(2)	N.S.	(2)	N/A
Cr	N.S.	(3)	N.S.	(10)	N.S.	(6)	N.S.	(2)	N.S.	(1)	N/A
Cu	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(8)	N.S.	(2)	N.S.	(3)	N/A
Fe	0.900**	(9)	N.S.	(4)	N.S.	(8)	N.S.	(4)	N.S.	(2)	N/A
Hg	N.C.	(0)	N.C.	(0)	N.S.	(3)	N.S.	(2)	N.C.	(0)	N/A
K	0.835***	(13)	0.867**	(9)	N.S.	(13)	0.810*	(8)	0.929**	(8)	N/A
Mg	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N/A
Mn	N.S.	(10)	N.S.	(10)	0.927***	(10)	0.781^P**	(10)	0.806**	(10)	N/A
Mo	N.S.	(3)	0.752**	(8)	N.S.	(6)	0.758**	(7)	0.801**	(6)	N/A
Na	0.892^P***	(10)	N.S.	(8)	N.S.	(6)	0.935^P**	(5)	0.943^P***	(8)	N/A
Ni	N.S.	(8)	0.846**	(3)	N.S.	(7)	N.S.	(4)	N.S.	(3)	N/A
P	0.588*	(13)	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(13)	0.692*	(13)	0.583*	(12)	0.507* (17)
Pb	N.S.	(10)	N.S.	(2)	N.C.	(0)	N.S.	(2)	N.S.	(1)	N/A
Zn	N.S.	(13)	N.S.	(7)	-0.566*	(9)	N.S.	(2)	N.C.	(0)	N/A

758 **Table 5:** Significant correlations for individual elements in biochars for the extraction
 759 methods investigated (except 2% formic acid, which was only used to extract P).
 760 Correlation coefficients shown are Spearman's ρ , except indicated ^P, where Pearson's r
 761 is stated. Significance levels are indicated as * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$.

Mehlich 3

Buffered 1 M NH₄NO₃	B	0.679*				
	Ca	0.703**				
	Fe	-0.747**				
	K	0.917**				
	Mg	0.890***				
	Na	0.705*				
	Ni	0.571*	Buffered 1 M NH₄NO₃			
Unbuffered 1 M NH₄NO₃	Al	0.780**	Cu	0.593*		
	Mg	0.632*	Na	1***		
	P	0.720**	Unbuffered 1 M NH₄NO₃			
Buffered 0.01 M CaCl₂	B	0.569*	B	0.663*	Mo	0.959***
	Ca	0.569*	Ca	0.619*	Na	0.991***
	Fe	-0.695**	K	1***	Ni	0.739**
	K	0.881**	Mg	0.923***	P	0.769**
	Mg	0.879***	Mn	0.841***	Zn	0.662*
	Na	0.983 ^{P***}				
					Buffered 0.01 M CaCl₂	
Unbuffered 0.01 M CaCl₂	Ca	0.572*	K	0.833*	K	-0.833*
	K	0.762*	Mg	0.901***	Mn	0.604*
	Mg	0.846***	Mn	0.665*	B	0.855***
	Na	0.984 ^{P***}	Mo	0.921***	Mn	0.676*
	Ni	0.645*	Ni	0.608*	Ca	0.904***
					Cu	0.851***
				Na	0.999 ^{P***}	
				K	0.833*	
				Ni	0.757**	
				Mg	0.967***	

762

763

764 **Figures**

765



