



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The influence of stereotypical information on gender inference in Chinese

Citation for published version:

Xu, Z & Sturt, P 2023, 'The influence of stereotypical information on gender inference in Chinese', *SN Social Sciences*, vol. 3, 30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-023-00618-6>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1007/s43545-023-00618-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-023-00618-6)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

SN Social Sciences

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



[Click here to view linked References](#)

The influence of stereotypical information on gender inference in Chinese

Zhe Xu¹, Patrick Sturt¹

The corresponding author: Zhe Xu

E-mail address: elsa_zhexu@outlook.com

Mailing address: 38, Dongyi Xiaoqu, Jixi County, Xuancheng City, Anhui Province, China

Abstract

This study investigates the influence of stereotypical information on the representation of gender in Chinese, applying a sentence evaluation paradigm. Participants were required to decide whether the second sentence about the gender of the characters (e.g., women) was a sensible continuation of the first sentence containing plural phrase denoting a group of people with a role name (e.g., “the surgeons”). Participants' decisions were biased by the stereotypes of the role names, and were male biased when reading neutral role names. Additionally, decision time was relatively longer when there was a conflict between the stereotype and the gender, showing the greatest difference between a feminine-biased role name and a male referential expression. The results support previous research showing that speakers use gender stereotype information in task, in languages that lack grammatical gender.

Keywords: stereotypical information, gender inference, language comprehension, Chinese language

¹ Psychology department, the University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom

Introduction

When people infer the genders of a character mentioned in sentences, they integrate various sources of information, including linguistic knowledge and world experience, to build a comprehensive interpretation. However, people cannot simultaneously process all potentially relevant information, due to cognitive limitations, raising the question of what type of information people mainly rely on when they read. Both grammatical information and stereotypical information are relevant for gender inference. Grammatical information is particularly important in languages that carry grammatical gender marking (e.g., French, German, Spanish), and in many cases, this affects people's inferences about gender. For English, which lacks grammatical gender, stereotypical information plays an important role (see discussion and references below for differences between languages with and without grammatical gender). However, other languages need to be considered to allow generalization of the findings. Thus, the current study aims to fill the gap by testing the effect of stereotypical information on gender inference in referential processing in Chinese, a language which, like English, lacks grammatical gender (Farris, 1988).

There is evidence that Chinese speakers activate gender stereotype information during lexical processing. In a lexical matching task, Wang et al. (2017) showed that Chinese speakers gave more wrong answers, and had slower responses, when a prime and target word were stereotypically unrelated (e.g., female and tie), compared with matching words. Meanwhile, ERP analysis showed that the effect of gender stereotypes started earlier and elicited a larger N400 component relative to the

1 effect of lexical semantics (Wang et al., 2017). This suggests a high level of
2
3 automaticity in lexical stereotype activation.
4
5

6
7 In a related study, Lu et al. (2019) showed an influence of gender stereotype
8
9 information on the processing of Chinese names. Chinese names use a mix of
10
11 characters for both girls' and boys' names. However, Chinese people tend to choose
12
13 written characters with certain meanings for boys or girls. For example, the characters
14
15 used in boys' names are often related to bravery and intelligence, while those of girls'
16
17 names are often related to beauty and attraction. This suggests a role of stereotypes in
18
19 the choice of characters for names. The study also showed that participants considered
20
21 semantic information when they recognized a random name (Lu et al., 2019),
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 Evidence from an ERP study also points towards gender stereotypes being
31
32 activated in Chinese. Su et al (2016) showed that the Mandarin feminine reflexive
33
34 pronoun 她自己 (“herself”) led to elevated P600 amplitude when referring to a
35
36 stereotypically male antecedent, relative to a stereotypically female one.
37
38
39
40

41
42 As another non-gender-marking language, English shows strong stereotypical
43
44 effects on gender inference. Many English studies have tested the effect of stereotypes,
45
46 not only on lexical processing, but also in referential processing and inference. In
47
48 terms of lexical processing, priming tasks show slower response times for targets
49
50 words following stereotypically related primes than unrelated primes (Banaji &
51
52 Hardin 1996). Moreover, reading times are slower in sentences where a role name
53
54 mismatches in gender stereotype information (e.g. soldier... bikini), compared with
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 matching information (e.g. housekeeper...bikini) (Garnham et al., 2002). In terms of
2
3 referential processing, the time taken to judge if two words could refer to the same
4
5 person is faster when the two words are stereotypically related (e.g. surgeon-brother),
6
7 compared with when they are not (e.g. surgeon-sister) (Oakhill et al., 2005). Moreover,
8
9 pronouns and other referring expressions take longer to process if the stereotypical
10
11 gender of the antecedent mismatches the referring expression (e.g. footballer...she)
12
13 compared with when there is a match (e.g. footballer...he) (Carreiras et al., 1996;
14
15 Sturt, 2003; Duffy & Keir, 2004; Gygax et al., 2008; von der Malsburg et al., 2020).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Gender stereotypes appear to be activated automatically, at least in some
24
25 circumstances. In Oakhill et al.'s (2005) study, the inflated reaction times for deciding
26
27 whether a "surgeon" and "sister" could refer to the same person were found even in a
28
29 version of the experiment where the instructions explicitly pointed out that "yes" was
30
31 the correct answer. In addition, Banaji & Hardin (1996)'s priming effect was found
32
33 even in a version of the task that did not involve making a judgement about gender.
34
35 Despite this, in referential processing, the gender stereotype effect can be overridden
36
37 if specific gender information is introduced in the context (Duffy & Keir, 2004).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 There have been various proposals to explain these findings. Carreiras et al.
47
48 (1996) claimed that people's mental models incorporate stereotypical information and
49
50 that these models are constantly updated in response to incoming information during
51
52 reading. When conflicts occur, it takes additional time to update the mental model, so
53
54 longer reaction times are found at mismatching words (Carreiras et al., 1996). On
55
56 the other hand, on the basis of very early evidence of a slow-down following the
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 reading of a mismatching reflexive (e.g. “surgeon...herself”) Sturt (2003) suggested
2
3 that the effect might be lexically based.
4
5

6
7 One important question is that of how gender stereotype information
8
9 influences processing in languages that have grammatical gender. Some research
10
11 highlights the influence of stereotypes in grammatical gender languages (Cacciari &
12
13 Padovani, 2007; Gabriel & Gygax, 2008; Molinaro et al., 2016; Casado et al., 2021;
14
15 Pozniak & Burnett, 2021). For example, using a task similar to Banaji and Hardin
16
17 (1996), Cacciari and Padovani (2007) showed a gender stereotype in reaction times,
18
19 for Italian, a grammatical gender language. Other studies have shown the importance
20
21 of stereotypical gender in Spanish (Molinaro et al., 2016), including evidence that
22
23 gender stereotypes can interfere with the processing of Spanish grammatical gender
24
25 (Casado et al., 2021). Additionally, Gabriel and Gygax (2008) examined the
26
27 influence of gender stereotype information in Norwegian. This is an interesting case
28
29 because Norway’s gender neutralization language policy has resulted in the loss of a
30
31 feminine form of plural role nouns, with the previously masculine form now being
32
33 used for all genders. In their study, they found effects of both stereotypical
34
35 information and grammatical information, supporting the idea that both of these
36
37 factors are important in gender inference.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 The studies discussed above highlight an important role for gender stereotypes,
52
53 both in languages that include grammatical gender, and in those that do not. However,
54
55 a study reported by Gygax et al (2008) shows that these two types of language differ
56
57 sharply in their use of gender stereotypes in some circumstances. In their study,
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Gygax et al (2008) ran an experiment in French and German (which both include
2
3 grammatical gender), as well as in English (which lacks grammatical gender). In the
4
5 English version of the task, they tested sentences like 1a,b below:
6
7

8
9 (1a) The social workers were walking through the station.
10

11
12 (1b) Since sunny weather was forecast several of the women weren't wearing a coat.
13
14
15

16 The experiment manipulated whether the second sentence mentioned "women"
17 (as in 1b) or "men", and also manipulated whether the plural role name of the group
18 mentioned in the first sentence used a female stereotype (like "social workers" in 1a),
19 a neutral stereotype (like "neighbours"), or a male stereotype (like "technicians"). In
20 the English version of the study, participants' judgments were strongly affected by
21 stereotypes, with more positive responses when the form used in the second sentence
22 matched the stereotype of the group mentioned in the first sentence. For example, as
23 "social workers" was stereotypically female, there were more positive judgments
24 when it was followed by "some of the women" than when it was followed by "some
25 of the men", with the opposite tendency for the male stereotypes, and an equal
26 preference for stereotypically neutral nouns.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Gygax et al (2008) also ran equivalent studies in both French and German, and
48 here the results were very different from the English study. Crucially, the French and
49 German equivalents of "the social workers" (i.e. "les assistants sociaux" (French) /
50 "die Sozialarbeiter" (German)), are grammatically masculine, yet similarly to the
51 English equivalents, they may refer either to groups composed exclusively of males,
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 or to mixed groups of males and females. The results showed that in both French and
2
3 German, participants showed a strong bias towards interpreting these phrases as
4
5 referring to groups of men, with only a very weak influence of stereotypes. This
6
7 pattern shows a sharp contrast with the English results, and indicates that grammatical
8
9 gender plays an important role in gender inference in languages such as French and
10
11 German, that include grammatical gender, largely overriding the influence of
12
13 stereotypes. In contrast, stereotypes play an important role in English, which lacks
14
15 grammatical gender.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Below, we report an experiment examining the role of stereotypical gender in
24
25 Mandarin Chinese. The experiment is a conceptual replication of Gygax et al (2008)'s
26
27 English, German and French study described above. Mandarin Chinese is
28
29 typologically distinct from the Indo-European languages examined by Gygax et al,
30
31 but it shares with English the lack of grammatical gender. There are at least two
32
33 reasons why we believe our Mandarin replication makes an important contribution.
34
35 The first is simply that replication plays an important role in ensuring the robustness
36
37 and generalizability of previous research findings. The second reason is that by testing
38
39 Mandarin Chinese, we can gain more insight into the competing roles of grammatical
40
41 and stereotypical gender across languages. In Gygax et al's (2008) study, there was a
42
43 strong male-bias in the interpretation of French and German equivalents of phrases
44
45 like "the social workers", while in English, this male bias was absent, and responses
46
47 were instead dominated by stereotypes. We agree with Gygax et al (2008) that this
48
49 contrast is likely to be due to the fact that French and German have grammatical
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 gender while English does not. However, it remains theoretically possible that the
2
3 contrast is due to some other unforeseen difference between French and German on
4
5 one hand and English on the other. By testing Mandarin Chinese, a language that is
6
7 unrelated to all three languages studied by Gygax et al, we can shed further light on
8
9 this issue. If Gygax et al's contrast is due to the presence vs. absence of grammatical
10
11 gender, we expect Mandarin Chinese to behave like English in being dominated by
12
13 stereotype information, because Mandarin Chinese, like English, lacks grammatical
14
15 gender. If, on the other hand, Gygax et al are wrong to conclude that their contrast
16
17 was related to grammatical gender, then the Mandarin Chinese results may instead
18
19 pattern like those of French or German. This would be consistent with a general
20
21 preference by speakers of these languages to treat groups as consisting of men, in the
22
23 absence of conclusive disambiguating information. Finally, we believe that there is
24
25 value in generalizing psycholinguistic findings to as wide a typological range of
26
27 languages as possible.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Methods**

41 **Participants**

42
43
44 Thirty-six participants (eighteen males, eighteen females) from the University of
45
46 Edinburgh took part in this experiment. All of them were native Mandarin Chinese
47
48 speakers. Each participant was paid £5. The participants were aged between 21 and 31
49
50 years (mean = 23).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Materials**

1 The materials and design of the current experiment were based on the study of
2
3 Gygax et al. (2008). The materials consisted of 36 experimental passages and 36 filler
4
5 passages that included two sentences each. The first sentence introduced a group of
6
7 people using a role name. The second sentence mentioned the gender of this group,
8
9 and the situation or action for some of them. An example of a passage is (2a) followed
10
11 by (2b):
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 (2a) The nurses were walking through the station.

22
23 (2b) Since sunny weather was forecast several of the women weren't wearing a coat.
24
25
26
27

28 For this example, the corresponding pair in Chinese is shown in (3a and 3b):
29
30
31
32
33

34 (3a) 这些护士正在穿过车站。
35
36

37 (3b) 因为天气预报说有晴朗的天气，所以女人们中的一些人没有穿外套。
38
39
40
41
42

43 Out of the 36 passages, twelve used stereotypically female role names, twelve
44
45 used stereotypically male role names and twelve used neutral role names. Thus, the
46
47 stereotypical gender of the role name (female vs. male vs. neutral) was a between
48
49 items factor. These role names were selected from the database of a Chinese norming
50
51 study (Lei et al., 2019), which adopted the method of Misersky et al. (2014), using an
52
53 11-point Likert scale to record participants' ratings of the perceived relative
54
55 percentages of males vs. females for each role name. The Likert scores for the role
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 names were transformed into proportions, such that 0 represented 100% men and 0%
2
3 women, .5 represented 50% men 50% women, and 1 represented 100% women and 0%
4
5 men. Accordingly, we selected 12 male biased role names with a mean perceived
6
7 proportion of .17 (range: 14-18); 12 neutral role names with a mean proportion of .42
8
9 (range .41-.43); and 12 female-biased role names with a mean proportion of .78
10
11 (range .72-86). The overall mean rating of was .42.
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 The second sentence was manipulated for gender within items, mentioning either
19
20 *men* or *women*. Thus, for the items that included a stereotypically female role name,
21
22 the *women* continuation matched the stereotypical gender, and the *men* continuation
23
24 mismatched the stereotypical gender. The second sentences qualified the ‘*men*’ or the
25
26 ‘*women*’ with one of *the majority of, most of, several of, some of, few of, one of the*
27
28 *men/ women*. In addition, the detailed content of the first sentences also differed. The
29
30 content was translated from the English materials used in Gygax et al. (2008) into
31
32 Chinese. Gygax et al. (2008) chose six different content categories, such as (1)
33
34 *coming out of a place*, (2) *going into a place*, (3) *waiting somewhere*, (4) *being*
35
36 *somewhere*, (5) *walking across a place*, and (6) *going across a place*. Six role names
37
38 were randomly assigned to each of six content types. After each sentence pair, a
39
40 question was presented (see procedure section), asking participants to judge whether
41
42 the second sentence was a sensible continuation of the first. The correct answer to this
43
44 question was “yes” for the experimental sentences.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 To ensure that the participants carefully read the passages, 36 filler passages were
58
59 made, requiring a “no” response to the question of whether the second sentence was a
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 sensible continuation of the first (note that for experimental sentences, “yes” is the
2
3 correct response). The filler pairs were very similar to the experimental pairs, except
4
5
6 that the second sentence did not make a sensible continuation of the first sentence. An
7
8 English example of filler passages (4a and 4b) and the corresponding Chinese pair are
9
10
11 (5a and 5b):
12
13

14
15
16
17 (4a) The entertainers boarded the train.
18

19
20 (4b) Because of the heat most of the men were wearing a coat.
21
22

23
24
25 (5a) 这些艺人登上了火车。
26
27

28 (5b) 因为高温，男人们中的大部分人都穿了外套。
29
30
31
32
33

34 The filler passages were the same in the materials shown to all participants, and
35
36 they were randomly interspersed with the experimental pairs.
37
38
39

40 **Procedure** 41

42
43
44 The stimuli were divided into two lists using a Latin Square procedure. Each list
45
46 contained one continuation condition of each item (either *men* or *women*), with an
47
48 equal number of *men* and *women* continuations overall. This resulted in 36
49
50 experimental passages per list. Thus, each participant saw 72 pairs of sentences (36
51
52 experimental passages and 36 filler passages) on the screen. The first sentence was
53
54
55 shown on the screen initially, and participants were required to press the space bar
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 after they had read it. Then, the second sentence was shown on the screen, and
2
3 participants needed to decide whether the continuation of these two sentences was
4
5 *sensible* (In Chinese, the term was translated into ‘合理的’, which is the most suitable
6
7 translation here) or not. Participants were required to put the index finger of the left
8
9 hand on the ‘z’ key, and the index finger of the right hand on the ‘m’ key. If they
10
11 thought the continuation was sensible, they needed to press the ‘m’ key; otherwise
12
13 they needed to press the ‘z’ key. They were told to respond promptly and honestly.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 There was a trial session with 4 passages before the experiment.
21
22

23 **Results**

24 **Continuation judgments**

25
26
27
28
29
30 The means and standard errors of the percentages of positive judgments for the
31
32 experimental passages are shown in Table 1. All the judgment data were analyzed
33
34 using Logistic Linear mixed effect regression, considering participants and items as
35
36 random intercepts. The participant random effect structure also included random
37
38 slopes for continuation and stereotype, and their interaction, while the item random
39
40 effect structure also included random slopes for continuation (n.b. stereotype was
41
42 manipulated between item, so the slope parameter is not included in the item random
43
44 effect structure). Random correlation parameters were excluded from the model, to
45
46 aid convergence. For the fixed effects, the 2-level ‘continuation’ factor was mean
47
48 centered, and the 3-level ‘stereotype’ factor was treatment coded, with the neutral
49
50 stereotype as the reference level.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 A model comparison using a log-likelihood chi-square showed an interaction
2
3 between stereotype and continuation, $\chi^2(2) = 23.862^{***}$, $p < .001$. This shows that the
4
5 effect of continuation depended on the stereotype. Table 1 shows that participants'
6
7 responses differed by continuation under each stereotype. When given feminine
8
9 stereotypical information, participants made more positive responses if the
10
11 continuation mentioned *women* (.79), compared with when it mentioned *men* (.65).
12
13
14 Conversely, in the masculine stereotype condition, there were more positive responses
15
16 when the continuation mentioned *men* (.71) than when it mentioned *women* (.44).
17
18
19 Furthermore, participants' responses also varied in the neutral stereotype condition.
20
21
22 More positive response occurred when the second sentence mentioned *men* (.76) than
23
24 when it mentioned *women* (.67). In addition, two separate 2x2 interactions were
25
26 analysed. These used the neutral stereotype as a baseline. The first interaction tests
27
28 whether the effect of continuation was different for the feminine stereotype compared
29
30 with the neutral, and the second interaction tests whether the effect of continuation
31
32 was different for the masculine stereotype compared with the neutral. The interaction
33
34 between continuation and neutral vs. feminine stereotype was significant, $b = 1.537$, Z
35
36 $= 3.43^{***}$, $p < .001$. Meanwhile, the interaction between continuation and neutral vs.
37
38 masculine stereotype was also significant, $b = -1.000$, $Z = -2.45^*$, $p < .05$.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Pairwise comparisons were also calculated separately for the neutral, female and
52
53 male stereotypes. The pairwise comparison between male and female continuations
54
55 was significant for the neutral stereotype, $Z = -2.239^*$, $p < .05$, for the masculine
56
57 stereotype, $Z = -5.071^{***}$, $p < .001$, and also for the feminine stereotype, $Z = 2.601^{**}$,
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 p < .01. The results therefore showed a similar pattern to those of the English study
 2
 3 reported by Gyga et al. (2008). Moreover, one notable result was that participants
 4
 5 tended to make positive judgements when a neutral role name was followed by a
 6
 7 continuation of *men*, relative to when the continuation mentioned *women*, which is
 8
 9 unusual to emerge in a non-gender-marked language.
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17

18 **Table 1**

19 *Means and standard errors of the proportion of positive judgments*

Stereotypes	Continuations	Means	Standard errors
Feminine	Men	.65	.05
	Women	.79	.04
Masculine	Men	.71	.04
	Women	.44	.05
Neutral	Men	.76	.04
	Women	.67	.04

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46 **Reaction times**

47
48
49
50 Table 2 shows the means and standard errors of the reaction times for the second
51
52 sentence judgment, excluding cases where the participant made a negative judgment.
53

54
55 Log-transformed reaction times for positive responses were analysed in a Linear

56
57
58 Mixed effect model using a 2 (continuation: men versus women) × 3 (stereotype:
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 feminine versus masculine versus neutral) design, with coding and random effects
2
3 analogous to the logistic model reported above.
4
5

6
7 There was an interaction between stereotype and continuation in the reaction
8
9 times, $\chi^2(2) = 6.1278^*$, $p < .05$. That shows that people's reaction times to the male
10
11 and female continuations were affected differently depending on the stereotype. Table
12
13 2 shows that participants' reaction time patterns differed by stereotype. Following
14
15 female stereotype nouns, reaction times were longer when the continuation mentioned
16
17 *men* relative to when it mentioned *women* (5237 vs 4667msec). However, following a
18
19 male stereotype noun, positive judgements were shorter for continuations mentioning
20
21 *men* (3943msec) compared to those mentioning *women* (4382msec). In the neutral
22
23 stereotypes, there were shorter reaction times when the second sentence mentioned
24
25 *men* (4505msec), relative to when it mentioned *women* (4810msec). Furthermore, the
26
27 2x2 interactions comparing the effect of continuation for neutral versus feminine, and
28
29 neutral versus masculine stereotype were analysed, and an effect was interpreted as
30
31 significant when $|t| > 2$. The effect of continuation for neutral versus feminine
32
33 stereotype was significant ($t = -2.223$), but the continuation for neutral versus
34
35 masculine stereotype was not significant ($t = -0.036$).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Table 2**

55
56
57 *Means and standard errors of the reaction time (in milliseconds) of positive*
58
59 *judgments (second sentence judgment)*
60
61
62
63
64
65

Stereotypes	Continuations	Means	Standard errors
Feminine	Men	5237	382
	Women	4667	440
Masculine	Men	3943	374
	Women	4382	295
Neutral	Men	4505	328
	Women	4810	256

Discussion

Participants made more positive judgements when the stereotypes of the role nouns matched the continuations, relative to the mismatched conditions, and this pattern of results resembles the findings of the English study (Gygax et al., 2008). This similarity was expected, given the two languages do not employ grammatical gender (Farris, 1988). In Chinese, gender inference of role names should be solely based on stereotypes (i.e., if firefighters are stereotyped as male, reading it would lead to a male-biased gender representation). This finding improves the validity of the supposition that the bias of gender inference in non-gender-marked languages is caused by stereotype.

The second finding is that reaction times of positive judgements were shorter at matches of stereotypes and continuations than mismatches. This means that participants consumed more cognitive effort when they decided the mismatches to be sensible, especially when they tried to understand a man worked on a stereotypically female job. Similar results were reported by Oakhill et al. (2005) who claimed that

1 people spent longer time when they attempted to counteract the effect of stereotypes
2
3 after they realized the effect, although completely overcoming the effect was hard. In
4
5 the current study, participants were not informed of the effect before the experiments,
6
7 but reaction times suggested that overcoming the effect cost. Thus, stereotypes affect
8
9 people's interpretations of role names automatically, and it takes time to rectify the
10
11 biased representations. Additionally, the longest reaction time, which was found at the
12
13 mismatches of feminine-biased occupations and male referents, was possibly due to
14
15 the unbalanced improvement of both genders in labor market. Contrary to girls' less
16
17 stereotyped belief of occupational competence, boys only believed their competence
18
19 in masculine-stereotyped jobs, perhaps because girls felt less pressure when they
20
21 showed gender non-conformity (Canessa-Pollard et al., 2022).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 One unexpected finding was that neutral role names also show male-biased
32
33 representations. Participants decided male referents to be more sensible relative to
34
35 female referents of neutral role names. Thus, the male inference of a neutral role name
36
37 (e.g., client) would occur more frequently in Chinese. Reviewing the stereotype
38
39 norms, the mean of neutral stereotypes for our items was .42, which was slightly
40
41 below .5 (i.e., fully balanced neutral stereotypes), possibly causing the slightly male
42
43 biased results.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 This study suggests several directions for future research. First, the influence of
52
53 stereotypes should be tested in more languages. Different languages, as well as
54
55 different cultures, carry various specialties, so the findings based on a limited sample
56
57 of languages cannot be applied to other societies where other languages are used. For
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 example, the role name ‘golfer’ is a masculine role name in English, French
2
3 (*Golfeurs*), German (*Golfspieler*) and Norwegian (*Golfspillerne*), but this is not a
4
5
6 stereotyped role name in Chinese, thereby not appropriate in Chinese research.
7
8
9 Second, similar research should be tested in a more authentic and diverse environment.
10
11 Researchers could recruit more local participants from different communities to
12
13 obtain more representative results. Third, more Chinese language features could be
14
15 tested, such as plural personal pronouns. Personal pronouns in Chinese show the
16
17 similar grammatical features to that in grammatical languages. In Chinese personal
18
19 pronouns, ‘她们’ with the feminine mark refers to a group of women only, while ‘他
20
21 们’ with the masculine mark refers to a group of men or a group of both sexes (i.e.,
22
23 generic). That is different from the feature of the pronoun *they* in English which acts
24
25 as a generic pronoun and shows no grammatical mark. Gygax et al. (2008) claimed
26
27 that grammatical information overrode stereotypical information in grammatical
28
29 language, and the masculine plurals were not interpreted as generic when the sex of
30
31 the group is ambiguous. Therefore, personal pronouns in Chinese may show the
32
33 similar results as grammatical languages, even though Chinese is a stereotypical
34
35 language. The current study only investigated the situation in nouns referring genders,
36
37 so it omitted how personal pronouns would interact with gender stereotypes, which
38
39 could be tested in the future. That can help researchers to know more specifically
40
41 about the relationship between language and stereotype, which may help researchers
42
43 to find effective ways to reduce the negative influence of stereotypes.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2
3
4 Banaji, M.R., & Hardin, C.D. (1996). Automatic Stereotyping. *Psychological Science*,
5
6
7 7(3), 136-141. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40062930>
8
9
- 10 Cacciari, C., & Padovani, R. (2007). Further evidence of gender stereotype priming in
11
12 language: Semantic facilitation and inhibition in Italian role nouns. *Applied*
13
14 *Psycholinguistics*, 28(2), 277-293. DOI: 10.1017/S0142716407070142
15
16
17
- 18 Canessa-Pollard, V., Reby, D., Banerjee, R., Oakhill, J., & Garnham, A. (2022). The
19
20 development of explicit occupational gender stereotypes in children:
21
22 Comparing perceived gender ratios and competence beliefs. *Journal of*
23
24 *Vocational Behavior*, 134, 1. DOI: 10.1016/j.jvb.2022.103703
25
26
27
28
29
- 30 Carreiras, M., Garnham, A., Oakhill, J., & Cain, K. (1996). The Use of Stereotypical
31
32 Gender Information in Constructing a Mental Model: Evidence from English
33
34 and Spanish. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 49(3),
35
36
37 639-663. DOI: 10.1080/713755647
38
39
40
- 41 Casado, A., Palma, A., & Paolieri, D. (2021). The scope of grammatical gender in
42
43 Spanish: Transference to the conceptual level. *Acta psychological*, 218,
44
45
46 103361-103361. DOI: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2021.103361
47
48
49
- 50 Duffy, S.A., & Keir, J.A. (2004). Violating stereotypes: Eye movements and
51
52 comprehension processes when text conflicts with world knowledge. *Memory*
53
54
55 *and Cognition*, 32, 551-559. DOI: 10.3758/BF03195846
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Farris, C.S. (1988). Gender and Grammar in Chinese: With Implications for Language
2
3 Universals. *Modern China*, 14(3), 277-308. DOI:
4
5
6 10.1177/009770048801400302
7

8
9 Gabriel, U., & Gygax, P. (2008). Can societal language amendments change gender
10 representation? The case of Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 49,
11
12 451-457. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2008.00650.x
13
14
15

16
17
18 Garnham, A., Oakhill, J., & Reynolds, D. (2002). Are inferences from stereotyped
19 role names to characters' gender made elaboratively? *Memory and Cognition*,
20
21 30(3), 439-446. DOI: 10.3758/BF03194944
22
23
24

25
26
27 Gygax, P., Gabriel, U., Sarrasin, O., Oakhill, J., & Garnham, A. (2008). Generically
28 intended, but specifically interpreted: When beauticians, musicians, and
29
30 mechanics are all men. *Language and cognitive processes*, 23:3, 464-485. DOI:
31
32 10.1080/01690960701702035
33
34
35

36
37
38
39 Garnham, A., Gabriel, U., Sarrasin, O., Gygax, P., Oakhill, J. (2012). Gender
40 Representation in Different Languages and Grammatical Marking on
41
42 Pronouns: When Beauticians, Musicians, and Mechanics Remain Men.
43
44
45 *Discourse Processes*, 49:6, 481-500, DOI: 10.1080/0163853X.2012.688184
46
47
48

49
50
51 Lei, C., Su, J.-J., Öttl, A., Gygax, P., & Gabriel, U. (2019). [Norms on the gender
52 perception of role nouns in Chinese]. Unpublished data.
53
54
55

56
57 Lu, A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Hong, X., Zheng, D., & Deng, R. (2019). The
58
59 Role of Semantic Gender in Name Comprehension : An Event-Related
60
61
62

1 Potential Study. *Journal of psycholinguistic research*, 49(1), 175-185. DOI:
2
3 10.1007/s10936-019-09677-4
4
5

6
7 Misersky, J., Gygax, P.M., Canal, P., Gabriel, U., Garnham, A., Braun, F., Chiarini,
8
9 T., Englund, K., Hanulikova, A., Öttl, A., Valdrova, J., Stockhausen, L.V., &
10
11 Sczesny, S. (2014). Norms on the gender perception of role nouns in Czech,
12
13 English, French, German, Italian, Norwegian, and Slovak. *Behaviour*
14
15 *Research Methods*, 46(3), 841-871. DOI: 10.3758/s13428-013-0409-z
16
17
18
19
20

21 Molinaro, N., Su, J.-J., & Carreiras, M. (2016). Stereotypes override grammar: Social
22
23 knowledge in sentence comprehension. *Brain and language*, 155-156, 36-43.
24
25
26
27 DOI: 10.1016/j.bandl.2016.03.002
28
29

30 Oakhill, J., Garnham, A., & Reynolds, D. (2005). Immediate activation of
31
32 stereotypical gender information. *Memory & Cognition*, 33(6), 972-983. DOI:
33
34
35
36 10.3758/BF03193206
37
38

39 Pozniak, C., & Burnett, H. (2021). Failures of Gricean reasoning and the role of
40
41 stereotypes in the production of gender marking in French. *Glossa (London)*,
42
43
44
45 6(1), 1-13. DOI: 10.5334/gjgl.1310
46
47

48 Sturt, P. (2003). The time-course of the application of binding constraints in reference
49
50 resolution. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 48, 542-562. DOI:
51
52
53
54 10.1016/S0749-596X(02)00536-3
55
56

57 Su, J.-J., Molinaro, N., Gillon-Downes, M., Tsai, P-S., Wu, D.H., & Carreiras, M.,
58
59
60 (2016). When “he” can also be “she”: An ERP study of reflexive pronoun
61
62
63
64
65

1 resolution in written Mandarin Chinese. *Frontiers in Psychology*, DOI:
2
3 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.0015
4
5

6
7 von der Malsburg, T., Poppels, T., & Levy, R.P. (2020). Implicit Gender Bias in
8
9 Linguistic Descriptions for Expected Events: The Cases of the 2016 United
10
11 States and 2017 United Kingdom Elections. *Psychological science*, 31(2),
12
13 115-128. DOI: 10.1177/0956797619890619
14
15
16

17
18 Wang, P., Yang, Y.-P., Tan, C.-H., Chen, Q.-W., & Cantfort, T. (2017). Gender
19
20 Stereotype Activation versus Lexical Semantic Activation: An ERP Study. *The*
21
22 *Journal of general psychology*, 144(4), 283-308. DOI:
23
24 10.1080/00221309.2017.1310714
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Statements and Declarations

Contribution statement

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Zhe Xu and Patrick Sturt. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Zhe Xu and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Psychology department in THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH. We thank Dr. Anton Öttl and his colleagues for offering their data of the norming study.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: This study was funded by the Psychology department in the University of Edinburgh.