



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

"On the one hand" as a Cue to Anticipate Upcoming Discourse Structure

Citation for published version:

Scholman, M, Rohde, H & Demberg, V 2016, "On the one hand" as a Cue to Anticipate Upcoming Discourse Structure', *Discourse Relational Devices, Linguistic & Psycholinguistic Approaches to Text Structuring (LPTS)*. Valencia, Spain, Spain, 1/01/16.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Other version

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Introduction

- ▶ Prior studies show anticipation of upcoming material within sentences (e.g. Kamide et al., 2003; Levy, 2008; Staub & Clifton, 2006)
- ▶ Between sentences: discourse creates less constraining structure than syntax
- ▶ But certain phrases constrain possible structures: *On the one hand* (OT1H), *On the other hand* (OTOH)

Main question

How do comprehenders build discourse structure? Specifically, how fine-grained are their predictions of upcoming contrast based on OT1H?

Hypotheses

- H1** OT1H cues specific surface form “On the other hand”
- H2** OT1H cues a general contrast but structure-insensitively
- H3** OT1H cues a contrast with sensitivity to structure


Manipulation: intervening contrast attaching to *OT1H*-clause (*global*, Ex. a) or *because*-clause (*local*, Ex. b)

Example item

Joseph is pondering whether he should take a job at the zoo. **On the one hand**, he needs the money that this job will pay, **because** he should start paying off his student loans.

- a) But he could keep looking for a nicer, better-paying job. [*global*]
- b) But the loans could be deferred for a few more months. [*local*]
- c) Also, his car needs to be serviced by the end of the month. [*none*]

On the other hand, he hates the idea of cleaning out panda cages.



Expt. 1: Coherence Judgement Study

Question

Do coherence ratings reflect presence of contrast (OTOH present/absent) and discourse structure? (local/global/none)

- ▶ 144 participants each rated 10 of 24 items on a 7-point scale
- ▶ 6 conditions: presence of OTOH-sentence varied

Results:




Fig. 1: Mean rating of stories per condition

- ▶ Absence of OTOH lowers ratings, depending on discourse structure: only for items without global contrast (against **H2**)

Expt. 2: Story completion study

Question

Are stories in the local condition continued more often with a contrastive sentence than stories in the global condition?

- ▶ 90 participants each completed 8 items without OTOH-sent.
- ▶ Coding: continuation type (+ or – contrast) and connective

Results:




Fig. 2: Percentage of contrastive continuations per condition

- ▶ Fewer contrastive continuations in global than in local condition (evidence against **H2**, for **H3**)
- ▶ 29% of contrastive markers were connectives other than OTOH, e.g. *but*, *although*, *however* (evidence against **H1**)

Expt. 3: Eyetracking-while-reading study

Question

Do structure-sensitive expectations of contrast also influence on-line processing of OTOH?

- ▶ 32 participants read 24 items
 - ▶ Reading times of OTOH were compared
- If readers immediately build and maintain fine-grained discourse structure, then OTOH should be read slower in global condition

Results:

- ▶ RTs slower in global than local condition (evidence for **H3**)




Fig. 3: Total reading time per condition

Summary and Conclusions

Offline and on-line studies indicate that:

- ▶ a local contrast does not completely satisfy expectation of contrast set up by OT1H, but a global contrast does
- ▶ evidence for **H3**: readers build fine-grained expectation of scope contrast based on OT1H

More generally, these findings suggest that:

- ▶ readers build and maintain predictions of upcoming discourse relations across multiple sentences
- ▶ these predictions are structure-sensitive and can be elicited by discourse markers