



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Rapid detection and grouping of porcine bocaviruses by an EvaGreen® based multiplex real-time PCR assay using melting curve analysis

### Citation for published version:

Zheng, X, Liu, G, Opriessnig, T, Wang, Z, Yang, Z & Jiang, Y 2016, 'Rapid detection and grouping of porcine bocaviruses by an EvaGreen® based multiplex real-time PCR assay using melting curve analysis', *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 195-204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

Molecular and Cellular Probes

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Accepted Manuscript

Rapid detection and grouping of porcine bocaviruses by an EvaGreen<sup>®</sup> based multiplex real-time PCR assay using melting curve analysis

Xiaowen Zheng, Gaopeng Liu, Tanja Opriessnig, Zining Wang, Zongqi Yang, Yonghou Jiang

PII: S0890-8508(16)30029-9

DOI: [10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001)

Reference: YMCPR 1211

To appear in: *Molecular and Cellular Probes*


Received Date: 12 April 2016

Revised Date: 8 May 2016

Accepted Date: 10 May 2016

Please cite this article as: Zheng X, Liu G, Opriessnig T, Wang Z, Yang Z, Jiang Y, Rapid detection and grouping of porcine bocaviruses by an EvaGreen<sup>®</sup> based multiplex real-time PCR assay using melting curve analysis, *Molecular and Cellular Probes* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.mcp.2016.05.001.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 Rapid detection and grouping of porcine bocaviruses by an EvaGreen® based  
2 multiplex real-time PCR assay using melting curve analysis

3

4 Xiaowen Zheng<sup>a\*</sup>, Gaopeng Liu<sup>a\*</sup>, Tanja Opriessnig<sup>b,c</sup>, Zining Wang<sup>a</sup>, Zongqi Yang<sup>a</sup>,  
5 Yonghou Jiang<sup>a#</sup>

6

7 <sup>a</sup> College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, China

8 <sup>b</sup> The Roslin Institute and The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University  
9 of Edinburgh, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK

10 <sup>c</sup> Department of Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine, College of  
11 Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

12

---

\*These two authors contributed equally to this manuscript

# Corresponding Author: Tel.: +86 571 86843197; Fax: +86 571 86843684. Yonghou Jiang,  
Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, College of Life Sciences, 2nd Street, Xiasha Hangzhou 310018  
China. [yonghoujiang@zstu.edu.cn](mailto:yonghoujiang@zstu.edu.cn)

**1 Abstract**

2 Several novel porcine bocaviruses (PBoVs) have been identified in pigs in recent  
3 years and association of these viruses with respiratory signs or diarrhea has been  
4 suggested. In this study, an EvaGreen®-based multiplex real-time PCR (EG-mPCR)  
5 with melting curve analysis was developed for simultaneous detection and grouping  
6 of novel PBoVs into the same genogroups G1, G2 and G3. Each target produced a  
7 specific amplicon with a melting peak of  $81.3 \pm 0.34^{\circ}\text{C}$  for PBoV G1,  $78.2 \pm 0.37^{\circ}\text{C}$   
8 for PBoV G2, and  $85.0 \pm 0.29^{\circ}\text{C}$  for PBoV G3. Non-specific reactions were not  
9 observed when other pig viruses were used to assess the EG-mPCR assay. The  
10 sensitivity of the EG-mPCR assay using purified plasmid constructs containing the  
11 specific viral target fragments was 100 copies for PBoV G1, 50 for PBoV G2 and 100  
12 for PBoV G3. The assay is able to detect and distinguish three PBoV groups with  
13 intra-assay and inter-assay variations ranging from 0.13 to 1.59%. The newly  
14 established EG-mPCR assay was validated with 227 field samples from pigs. PBoV  
15 G1, G2 and G3 was detected in 15.0%, 25.1% and 41.9% of the investigated samples  
16 and coinfections of two or three PBoV groups were also detected in 25.1% of the  
17 cases, indicating that all PBoV groups are prevalent in Chinese pigs . The agreement  
18 of the EG-mPCR assay with an EvaGreen-based singleplex real-time PCR (EG-sPCR)  
19 assay was 99.1%. This EG-mPCR will serve as a rapid, sensitive, reliable and cost  
20 effective alternative for routine surveillance testing of multiple PBoVs in pigs and  
21 will enhance our understanding of the epidemiological features and possible also  
22 pathogenetic changes associated with these viruses in pigs.

1

2 Keywords: EvaGreen; multiplex real-time PCR; melting curve; porcine bocaviruses;  
3 genogroup; detection

4

## 5 **1. Introduction**

6 Porcine bocavirus (PboV) is a recently discovered virus, which obtained its main  
7 name, bocavirus after its first known hosts (bovine and canine) [1, 2]. PBoV belongs  
8 to the *Bocavirus* genus in the *Parvovirinae* subfamily of *Parvoviridae* family, which  
9 is a group of divergent non-enveloped linear single stranded DNA viruses with a  
10 genome of approximately 5000 nucleotides comprising an open reading frame (ORF)  
11 encoding for non-structural protein NS1 at the 5' end and an ORF at the 3' end  
12 encoding for the capsid proteins VP1 and VP2 [3]. Bocavirus, distinguished from  
13 other parvoviruses by the presence of an additional third major ORF encoding for  
14 NP1 of unknown function located in the middle of the viral genome, is known to  
15 infect numerous mammalian species including humans, bovine, pigs, gorillas,  
16 chimpanzees, California sea lions, dogs, cats, bats and pine martens [4, 5]. Members  
17 of this genus, such as bovine parvovirus and canine minute virus which represent two  
18 initially identified viruses in this genus, are pathogens that can cause respiratory or  
19 enteric disease in their hosts [6]. A recent research strongly supported that the human  
20 bocavirus (HBoV) can also be associated with severe acute respiratory tract infection  
21 in children in the absence of other viral and bacterial co-infections [7]. The recently  
22 discovered novel PBoV was also suggested to be associated with respiratory signs or

1 diarrhea, although the pathogenicity of PBoV has not yet been recognized clearly [8,  
2 9].

3

4 Of these bocaviruses, PBoVs exhibit the most genetic diversity [6, 10, 11]. Since the  
5 initial discovery of PBoV in Swedish pigs with post-weaning multisystemic wasting  
6 syndrome (PMWS) in 2009, a number of additional PBoV has been subsequently  
7 discovered and characterized worldwide, and at least 17 novel PBoV species  
8 including PBoV1 to PBoV5, PBoV strain WUH1, PBoV H18, PBoV2 A6, PBoV3 22,  
9 PboV4 F41, PboV 3C and six newly identified USA strains were identified to date by  
10 genome-sequence studies according to the existing criteria for bocavirus classification  
11 by the International Committee of Taxonomy of Viruses (<http://www.ictvdb.org>) [5,  
12 11]. Furthermore, mixed infections of a pig with multiple PBoV have been reported in  
13 these studies. Thus, it is necessary to develop an effective and accurate approach to  
14 detect PBoV but also to differentiate PBoV species for epidemiological surveillance  
15 and to determine potential associations between PBoV and related diseases.

16

17 Although random amplification and large-scale sequencing techniques (viral  
18 metagenomic analysis), followed by bioinformatics analysis of large numbers of the  
19 sequences of the resulting clones were used in recent years to discover novel PBoVs  
20 including the first PBoV [4, 6, 12], these methods are not suitable for epidemiological  
21 surveillance on routine sample submissions. Virus isolation combined with electron  
22 microscopy or indirect immunofluorescence assay, as a standard laboratory method

1 for diagnosis of viral diseases, was developed to screen pig serum samples for PBoV3  
2 and PBoV4, however, this methodology was either not sensitive or specific [13]. The  
3 PCR is an alternative rapid virus detection method and several single PCR-based  
4 assays have been reported for sensitive and rapid detection of PBoV in clinical  
5 samples. However, these methods often just focused on one species or could not cover  
6 all the species that have been discovered so far [8-10, 14]. Multiplex methods for the  
7 simultaneous detection of several targets offer increased test capacity and reduce  
8 overall cost and time, which is desirable for swine disease surveillance. Cai et al.  
9 (2013) established a duplex PCR method to simultaneously detect PBoV1, PBoV 2  
10 and PBoV3/ PBoV4/ PBoV5, but it was not sensitive and also covered limited species  
11 [15]. In order to detect all known PBoV species infecting pigs in clinical samples, a  
12 multiplex real-time PCR assay has been recently described [5]. This method was  
13 specific and sensitive for simultaneous detection and discrimination of all PBoVs that  
14 were classified into three groups (PBoV G1, G2, and G3). However, TaqMan probes  
15 are expensive and time-consuming to synthesize, and high potential false-negative  
16 rates have been reported for TaqMan assays due to sequence variability within the  
17 probe-binding site [16, 17].

18

19 In this study, we have developed an EvaGreen®-based multiplex real-time PCR  
20 (EG-mPCR) assay followed by melting curve analysis for simultaneous detection of  
21 all the different species of PBoV, allowing a rapid, sensitive and specific diagnosis of  
22 PBoV infection including of identification of the viral species involved.

1

2 **2. Materials and methods**

## 3 2.1. Viruses and samples

4 PBoV G1 stain MN307 (KF025391), PBoV G2 stain IA147 (KF025392) and PBoV  
5 G3 strain IA270 (KF025390) were maintained in the authors' laboratory. To test  
6 specificity of the assay, the following non-targeted viruses were utilized: transmissible  
7 gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) and porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) vaccine  
8 strain (No. 030718, Harbin Weike Biotechnology development Company, Harbin,  
9 China), classical swine fever virus (CSFV) (Hangzhou strain), porcine circovirus type  
10 1 (PCV1, EF533941), porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2, GQ996404) and porcine  
11 reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV, DQ269472) were maintained in  
12 the authors' laboratory.

13

14 A total of 227 pig samples collected from different pig farms in several provinces of  
15 China during the period from 2013 to 2014 were used in this study. The samples  
16 included 200 faecal samples from clinically normal pigs located in the Zhejiang  
17 Province and collected during 2013 and 22 healthy serum samples and 5 lung samples  
18 from pigs suffered from respiratory tract symptoms and reproductive failure collected  
19 from 2013 to 2014. The samples were stored at -80°C until testing. The animal  
20 experiments were performed in accordance with international standards for animal  
21 welfare and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of  
22 Zhejiang Sci-Tech University.



1

## 2 2.2. Primers design

3 All of the genomic sequences of the PBoVs utilized in this study were derived from  
4 GenBank nucleotide sequence database. The highly conserved regions within each  
5 PBoV group genome were aligned with Clustal W (DNASStar Inc., Madison, WI, USA)  
6 (Fig 1). Primers corresponding to the conserved regions of the viral genomes were  
7 designed using Primer Premier 5.0 (Primer Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA,  
8 USA). Three pairs of primers were designed to amplify PBoV G1, G2 and G3 for the  
9 conventional PCR and standard plasmid template construction (Table 1). Another  
10 three pairs of primers were selected within the range of the amplicons that were  
11 capable to amplify and differentiate three PBoV groups with respective distinct  
12 amplicon T<sub>m</sub> values by melting curve analysis in an EG-mPCR reaction (Table 1).  
13 The specificity of the primers was confirmed against random nucleotide sequences  
14 obtained by a BLAST search in GenBank databases from the National Center for  
15 Biotechnology Information (NCBI). All primers were obtained from a commercial  
16 source (Sangon Biotech. Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China).

17

## 18 2.3. Nucleic acid extraction

19 The samples were processed as described previously [5]. Briefly, tissue samples were  
20 minced and diluted 1:10 (w/v) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, homogenized  
21 and centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min to obtain the supernatant. Faecal samples were  
22 resuspended 1:10 (w/v) in PBS, vortexed for 30 s and centrifuged at 1500 g for 10

1 min. Viral genomic DNA was extracted from frozen clinical samples using the  
2 AxyPrep™ Body Fluid Viral DNA/RNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen, Hangzhou, China)  
3 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was stored at  
4 -80°C until usage.

5

#### 6 2.4. Plasmid template construction

7 The PCR reactions for PBoV G1, G2 and G3 were conducted in a 25 µL mixture and  
8 included 2.5 µL 10× PCR buffer, 1.2 µL 2.5 mM of each dNTPs, 2.5 µL 25 mM  
9 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 µL of each 10 µM primer (Table 1), 1.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (5  
10 U/µL) (Sangon), 2 µL of the DNA and 16 µL distilled water. The amplifications were  
11 performed in a thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) under the  
12 following conditions: after initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 35 cycles were  
13 conducted at 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final  
14 extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplicons were detected by electrophoresing 5 µL  
15 aliquots through 1.5% agarose gel in 1×TAE (40 mM Tris-acetate [pH 8.0], 1 mM  
16 EDTA). Each specific viral target fragment was cloned into the plasmid pMD18-T  
17 (TaKaRa), and then sequenced by Sangon to construct recombinant standard plasmid  
18 templates.

19

20 2.5. EvaGreen®-based multiplex real-time PCR (EG-mPCR) assay to detect and  
21 differentiate PBoV G1, G2 and G3

22 To detect and differentiate the DNA of these three PBoV groups in a single step,

1 EvaGreen®-based singleplex real-time PCR assays (EG-sPCR) were first developed.  
2 Briefly, EG-sPCR for PBoV G1, G2 and G3 were performed in a 10  $\mu$ L reaction  
3 volume containing 1  $\mu$ L of 10 $\times$  PCR Buffer and 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTP mix,  
4 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Sangon), 0.5  $\mu$ L of 20 $\times$  EG (Biotium, Hayward, CA,  
5 USA), 0.2  $\mu$ M of the forward and reverse primers (Table 1), and 1  $\mu$ L each of plasmid  
6 DNA. The amplification was run on an ABI 7300 Detection System (Applied  
7 Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) under the following conditions: initial  
8 denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1  
9 min. Based on the established EG-sPCR, a series of experiments were performed to  
10 optimize the EG-mPCR protocol, including reagent concentration and PCR cycling  
11 parameters. After optimization, the EG-mPCR was carried out in 25  $\mu$ L of the reaction  
12 mixture containing 2.5  $\mu$ L of 10 $\times$  PCR Buffer and 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM dNTP mix,  
13 1.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, 1.25  $\mu$ L of 20 $\times$  EG, 0.24 (PBoV G1), 0.64 (PBoV G2)  
14 and 0.12 (PBoV G3)  $\mu$ M of each primer pair, and 2  $\mu$ L each of plasmid DNA. The  
15 amplification and quantification were performed under the following conditions: 5  
16 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s and 72°C for 30 s.  
17 Melting curve analysis was conducted after each run under the following conditions:  
18 The reaction mix was cooled at 60°C for 1 min and then heated at 95°C for 15 s in the  
19 ABI 7300 machine. Fluorescence was continuously measured and the melting peaks  
20 were calculated by plotting the negative derivative of fluorescence over temperature  
21 versus temperature (-dF/dT versus T). The melting peak was defined as melting  
22 temperature (T<sub>m</sub> value), which was analyzed to distinguish specific amplicons of the

1 three PBoV groups. Amplicons with specific  $T_m$  values greater than  $77^\circ\text{C}$  and a  
2 maximum fluorescence signal over normalized level greater than or equal to 2000 was  
3 considered positive.

4

5 2.6. Detection of three PBoV groups in clinical specimens by EG-mPCR and  
6 EG-sPCR

7 A total of 227 clinical specimens from different Chinese pig farms were tested for  
8 PBoV G1, G2 and G3 by EG-mPCR and EG-sPCR assays. Each specific viral target  
9 fragment was cloned into the plasmid pMD18-T (TaKaRa), and each amplicon was  
10 sequenced by Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

11

### 12 **3. Results**

#### 13 3.1. Phylogenetic analysis and primer design of PBoV

14 Initially, available nucleotide sequences of PBoVs were aligned by the Clustal W  
15 method and a phylogenetic tree of PBoVs was constructed based on partial and  
16 complete or nearly complete genomes. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that these  
17 PBoVs including 17 PBoV species clustered into three groups (PBoV G1, G2 and G3)  
18 (Fig S1). Although the sequences displayed low similarity between groups, the PBoV  
19 sequences within each group were found to be relatively conserved (Fig 1), and  
20 specific primers targeting each PBoV group were successfully designed for  
21 conventional and real-time PCRs (Table 1).

22

### 1 3.2. Specificity detection of PBoV G1, G2 and G3 in the EG-mPCR assay

2 To determine the specificity of the assay, the three targeted and all non-targeted  
3 viruses were tested with the EG-mPCR assay. Three discriminated melting peaks for  
4 each PBoV group were generated from amplicons after melting curve analysis, while  
5 no specific amplification was detected with the other non-targeted pig viruses  
6 including PCV1, PCV2, PRRSV, CSFV, TGEV and PEDV, although lower melting  
7 peaks formed by slight primer dimers were observed (Fig 2A). When all three targeted  
8 PBoV groups were tested in the same reaction, three targets were discriminated by  
9 three distinct melting peaks through melt curve analysis followed by mPCR  
10 amplification, with  $T_m$  values of  $81.3 \pm 0.34^\circ\text{C}$  for PBoV G1,  $78.2 \pm 0.37^\circ\text{C}$  for  
11 PBoV G2, and  $85.0 \pm 0.29^\circ\text{C}$  for PBoV G3 (Fig 2B). In addition, the specific  
12 amplifications were also confirmed by electrophoresis with a 3% agarose gel (data not  
13 shown). These results demonstrated that the EG-mPCR was specific for detection and  
14 differentiation of the three PBoV groups.

15

### 16 3.3. Sensitivity and standard curves of the EG-mPCR assay

17 The sensitivity of the EG-mPCR assay was performed by testing serial dilutions of  
18 known concentrations of standard plasmid DNAs, and the standard curve was  
19 constructed using threshold cycles ( $C_t$ ) and log inputs for various DNA concentrations  
20 ranging from  $1.0 \times 10^2$  copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^7$  copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  with 10-fold serial dilutions to  
21 calculate efficiency. The minimum plasmid concentration with a positive result was  
22 100 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  (PBoV G1), 50 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  (PBoV G2), and 100 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  (PBoV G3)

1 (Fig 3), and the amplification efficiency of PBoV G1, G2 and G3 was 0.986, 1.027  
2 and 1.067 respectively, demonstrating a satisfactory state of amplification. To  
3 simulate the infection status of the three PBoV groups in the actual field setting,  
4 various template combinations were chosen to determine the sensitivity of the assay.  
5 When one PBoV group was present at  $1.0 \times 10^6$  copies/ $\mu$ L, the detection limit for  
6 PBoV G1, PBoV G2 and PBoV G3 was 500, 250 and 250 copies/ $\mu$ L respectively, and  
7 250, 250 and 100 copies/ $\mu$ L with three PBoV groups mixed in the same  
8 concentrations (Fig 3).

#### 9 10 3.4. Intra and inter-assay reproducibility of the EG-mPCR assay

11 Intra- and inter-test repeatability of the T<sub>m</sub>-based method were performed in triplicate  
12 for each dilution within the same run and each concentration was repeated at three  
13 different times to assess the reproducibility of the EG-mPCR assay. The intra-assay  
14 variability for T<sub>m</sub> values corresponding to  $10^3$ - $10^5$  copies/ $\mu$ L of each PBoV group was  
15 low with a coefficient of variation (CV) from 0.15 to 1.59%. The inter-assay  
16 variability of CV for T<sub>m</sub> value was also low, in the range of 0.13 to 1.40% (Table 2).  
17 Less than 2.0% CV among intra- and inter-tests demonstrated a good repeatability of  
18 the assay.

#### 19 20 3.5. Application of the EG-mPCR assay for clinical samples

21 To assess the EG-mPCR for diagnosis of PBoVs, 227 clinical specimens were tested  
22 for for all three PBoV groups G1, G2 and G3 by the EG-mPCR and the EG-sPCR

1 using the same three sets of primers (Table 3). Among the 227 clinical samples, 15.0%,  
2 25.1% and 41.9% were positive for PBoV G1, G2 and G3 by the EG-mPCR. A total  
3 of 124 samples were positive with the EG-sPCR. The coincidence between the two  
4 diagnostic methods was 99.1%.

5  
6 In addition, mixed infection of PBoV G1 and G2 was found in 3.1% of the samples,  
7 PBoV G1 and G3 in 5.7%, PBoV G2 and G3 in 13.2%, and all three PBoV groups  
8 were detected in 3.1% of the 227 samples when tested with the EG-mPCR assay. The  
9 positive samples were confirmed by sequencing and all the sequences obtained  
10 clustered in their respective groups by phylogenetic analysis. These results indicated  
11 that the EG-mPCR could be applied for detection and differentiation of the three  
12 PBoV groups in clinical samples and for epidemiological investigation.

13

#### 14 **4. Discussion**

15 Since its discovery in 2009, PBoV has been detected globally. To date, eleven  
16 countries have reported infections of PBoV, although the frequency of the reported  
17 infections varied from country to country [18-21]. PBoV G1 was found to be almost  
18 twice as prevalent in pigs affected by porcine circovirus associated disease (PCVAD)  
19 than in non-PCVAD pigs in Sweden from 2003-2007 [22]. A similar trend was also  
20 found in Chinese pigs [8, 9], indicating that PBoV G1 might have close relationship  
21 with swine respiratory tract diseases, while no significant difference was noted in the  
22 detection rate for PBoV G3A and PBoV G3B in fecal or lung samples from healthy

1 and diseased pigs [14]. Similarly, human BoV1 (HBoV1) is known to be a respiratory  
2 pathogen, while HBoV2-HBoV4 are likely putative agents causing gastroenteritis [23].  
3 The findings in humans suggest that potential associations between PBoVs and related  
4 diseases may exist. It is therefore important to develop an effective and reliable  
5 method to demonstrate multiple PBoV species or genotypes with one single assay for  
6 epidemiological surveillance and disease management of PBoV.

7  
8 In the present study, all currently available partial and complete or nearly complete  
9 genome sequences that have been deposited in GenBank were aligned and  
10 phylogenetic analysis showed that PBoV fell into three distinct genetic lineages, thus  
11 generating three bocavirus groups designated PBoV G1, G2 and G3 based on the  
12 earliest dates of publications describing the first members of these clusters. This  
13 classification is in agreement with previous studies [4, 5, 24]. Despite the high genetic  
14 diversity observed in PBoV, the sequences within each group are relatively conserved  
15 and designing a specific primer targeting each group is possible. The EG-mPCR assay  
16 was developed based on the melting curve analysis of different amplicons for each  
17 PBoV group using an EvaGreen® dye with a distinct melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) for  
18 each specific melting peak. The final  $T_m$  values of the three PBoV groups were  $81.3 \pm$   
19  $0.34^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $78.2 \pm 0.37^\circ\text{C}$  and  $85.0 \pm 0.29^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively, which can be easily  
20 differentiated from each other and used for identification of each PBoV group. The  
21 assay did not generate any specific melting peak when non targeted pig viruses and a  
22 blank control were tested which is suggestive of good specificity. Despite small melt



1 peaks corresponding to primer dimer observed in this study, which was believed to  
2 occur even under optimal conditions regardless of primer design and primer  
3 complementarity [25], these small melt peaks could be easily discriminated from the  
4 target amplicons because of a lower  $T_m$  value and signal strength. Furthermore,  
5 sequencing the positive samples confirmed the specificity of the assay.

6  
7 High sensitivity is important for diagnostic tools. The EG-mPCR assay described here  
8 could detect as few as 100 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  for PBoV G1, 50 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  for PBoV G2 and  
9 100 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  for PBoV G3, although slight primer dimers were observed in some  
10 cases, which may affect the assay sensitivity. The assay was more sensitive than  
11 conventional PCR assays which were reported to have a detection limit of around  $10^5$   
12 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  for PBoV [15], and even compared with TaqMan based real time PCR  
13 which had detection limit of 600 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  for PBoV2 [5]. Similar sensitivities for  
14 EG-mPCR assays were also reported previously for simultaneous detection of six pig  
15 viruses with detection limits ranging from 100 to 500 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  [26]. Under field  
16 conditions, pigs can be co-infected with certain PBoV groups [5]. To account for this,  
17 four mixed combinations were chosen to further validate the sensitivity of the  
18 EG-mPCR assay. The sensitivity of three PBoV groups decreased with the limits of  
19 detection ranging from 250 to 500 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  when combined with one or two groups.  
20 It is notable that with one PBoV group present at a fixed concentration of  $1.0 \times 10^6$   
21 copies/ $\mu\text{L}$  the detection limit for PBoV G1 or G3 was higher than that with three  
22 PBoV groups mixed in the same concentrations (Fig 3). This may be caused by

1 multiple targets competing for enzymes and nucleotides, interaction of primers with  
2 each other and interference with each other of different melt peaks when  
3 co-amplifications are performed in one tube, as was also observed in a previous study  
4 [26]. Nevertheless, the assay was still comparable to probe-based multiplex real-time  
5 PCRs which had a detection limit around  $10^2$  copies/ $\mu$ L [27, 28]. In addition, the  
6 EG-mPCR is highly repeatable with both intra-assay and inter-assay variation within  
7 2%. These data demonstrated a good specificity, sensitivity, repeatability of the  
8 EG-mPCR assay.

9  
10 The established EG-mPCR assay was then applied to the detection of PBoV present in  
11 clinical samples from pigs. Among 227 clinical samples, a total prevalence of 53.7%  
12 was detected for PBoV by the EG-mPCR, of which 99.1% of the positive results were  
13 in agreement with the EG-sPCR assay. Among the PBoV groups, PBoV G3 had the  
14 highest overall prevalence of 41.9% and PBoV G1 had the lowest overall prevalence  
15 of 15.0%. The relative ratio of the detection rates for the three PBoV groups was  
16 similar to the relative size of three branches in the phylogenetic tree (PBoV G3  
17 branch > PBoV G2 branch > PBoV G1 branch), although most of porcine samples  
18 examined in this study were from faeces, mainly considering ease of collection of this  
19 sample type and a higher assumed prevalence rate in faecal samples compare with  
20 other sample types [14]. Our findings were similar to the prevalence of PBoV groups  
21 or subgroups ranging from 17.2 to 43.1 % in American pig herds [5]. Interestingly,  
22 while a higher prevalence rate of PBoV (81.8%) was detected in serum samples from

1 healthy pigs in this study compared with 21.3% in serum samples from clinical US  
2 pigs and 40% in healthy Chinese pigs [29], the former did not detect any PBoV G1,  
3 and the latter two just identified PBoV G1 and/or G2. Nevertheless, high prevalent  
4 rate of these viruses were detected in this study in porcine faecal, lung, serum samples,  
5 although most of which were from porcine faeces which was also the most frequent  
6 sample type tested, suggesting a wide tissue tropism of these viruses [5, 10, 14].

7  
8 Lau et al. first reported a Hong Kong pig infected with two subtypes of PBoV G3  
9 (PBoV4-1 and PBoV4-2) [14]. Recent research indicated that co-infection with  
10 multiple different sequences belonging to the same or different PBoV group(s) in the  
11 same sample type was a common finding in swine herds in the USA and in China [5,  
12 30]. In the current study, mixed infections of PBoV G1 and G2, PBoV G1 and G3,  
13 PBoV G2 and G3 and PBoV G1, G2 and G3 were detected in 3.1%、5.7%、13.2% and  
14 3.1% of 227 samples, respectively. Recombination, as an integral part of the evolution  
15 of many viruses, has been reported within the *Parvoviridae* viral family [31, 32]. The  
16 high rate of coinfections of distinct PBoVs in the same sample may indicate ongoing  
17 viral transmission from multiple sources and therefore may potentially facilitate  
18 recombination and accelerate viral evolution. These findings suggest that these viruses  
19 are in the process of adaptation and can undergo rapid evolution to generate new  
20 genotypes or species. This is further supported that PBoV have been identified as  
21 having the highest genetic diversity among parvoviruses [5, 6, 10].

22

1 In summary, the EG-mPCR assays described here provides an alternative tool for  
2 simultaneous, rapid, sensitive and low-cost detection of PBoV G1, G2 and G3 in  
3 swine for epidemiological surveillance, which could help to better understand  
4 evolutionary characteristics, epidemiology and disease association of PBoVs.

#### 6 **Conflict of interest**

7 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 9 **Acknowledgments**

10 This study was supported by Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation (LY15C010006  
11 and LY15C020005), China.

#### 13 **Author Contributions**

14 Conceived and designed the experiments: YHJ. Performed the experiments: XWZ  
15 GPL ZNW. Analyzed the data: YHJ XWZ TO ZQY. Contributed to the writing of the  
16 manuscript: YHJ TO. All authors have approved the present article.

17

18

1 **References**

- 2 1. Binn L, Lazar E, Eddy G, Kajima M (1970) Recovery and characterization of a  
3 minute virus of canines. *Infect Immun* 1:503-508.
- 4 2. Chen KC, Shull B, Moses E, Lederman M, Stout E, Bates R (1986) Complete  
5 nucleotide sequence and genome organization of bovine parvovirus. *J Virol*  
6 60:1085-1097.
- 7 3. Tijssen P, Agbandje-McKenna M, Almendral J, Bergoin M, Flegel T, Hedman K,  
8 Kleinschmidt J, Li Y, Pintel D, Tattersall P: *Familyparvoviridae*-In: King AMQ,  
9 Adams MJ, Carstens EB, Lefkowitz EJ (Eds.) (2011) *Virus taxonomy-Ninth*  
10 *Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*  
11 Elsevier/Academic Press, London:405-425.
- 12 4. Yang WZ, Yu JM, Li JS, Cheng WX, Huang CP, Duan ZJ (2012) Genome  
13 characterization of a novel porcine bocavirus. *Arch Virol* 157:2125-2132.
- 14 5. Jiang YH, Xiao CT, Yin SH, Gerber PF, Halbur PG, Opriessnig T (2014) High  
15 prevalence and genetic diversity of porcine bocaviruses in pigs in the USA, and  
16 identification of multiple novel porcine bocaviruses. *J Gen Virol* 95:453-465.
- 17 6. Shan T, Li L, Simmonds P, Wang C, Moeser A, Delwart E (2011) The fecal  
18 virome of pigs on a high-density farm. *J Virol* 85:11697-11708.
- 19 7. Moesker FM, van Kampen JJ, van der Eijk AA, van Rossum AM, de Hoog M,  
20 Schutten M, Smits SL, Bodewes R, Osterhaus AD, Fraaij PL (2015) Human  
21 bocavirus infection as a cause of severe acute respiratory tract infection in  
22 children. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 21:964 e961-968.
- 23 8. Zhai S, Yue C, Wei Z, Long J, Ran D, Lin T, Deng Y, Huang L, Sun L, Zheng H et  
24 al (2010) High prevalence of a novel porcine bocavirus in weanling piglets with  
25 respiratory tract symptoms in China. *Arch Virol* 155:1313-1317.
- 26 9. Li B, Xiao S, Ma J, Liu Y, Mao L, Wen L, Mao A, Zhang X, Ni Y, Guo R et al  
27 (2011) Development of a novel TaqMan-based real-time PCR assay for the  
28 detection of porcine boca-like virus (Pbo-likeV). *Virol J* 8:357.
- 29 10. Zhang HB, Huang L, Liu YJ, Lin T, Sun CQ, Deng Y, Wei ZZ, Cheung AK, Long  
30 JX, Yuan SS (2011) Porcine bocaviruses: genetic analysis and prevalence in  
31 Chinese swine population. *Epidemiol Infect* 139:1581-1586.
- 32 11. Lau SK, Woo PC, Yeung HC, Teng JL, Wu Y, Bai R, Fan RY, Chan KH, Yuen KY  
33 (2012) Identification and characterization of bocaviruses in cats and dogs reveals a  
34 novel feline bocavirus and a novel genetic group of canine bocavirus. *J Gen Virol*  
35 93:1573-1582.
- 36 12. Blomström A-L, Belák S, Fossum C, McKillen J, Allan G, Wallgren P, Berg M  
37 (2009) Detection of a novel porcine boca-like virus in the background of porcine  
38 circovirus type 2 induced postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome. *Virus*  
39 *Res* 146:125-129.
- 40 13. McKillen J, McNeilly F, Duffy C, McMenamy M, McNair I, Hjertner B, Millar A,  
41 McKay K, Lagan P, Adair B et al (2011) Isolation in cell cultures and initial  
42 characterisation of two novel bocavirus species from swine in Northern Ireland.  
43 *Vet Microbiol* 152:39-45.
- 44 14. Lau SK, Woo PC, Yip CC, Li KS, Fu CT, Huang Y, Chan K-H, Yuen K-Y (2011)

- 1 Co-existence of multiple strains of two novel porcine bocaviruses in the same pig,  
2 a previously undescribed phenomenon in members of the family Parvoviridae, and  
3 evidence for inter-and intra-host genetic diversity and recombination. *J Gen Virol*  
4 92:2047-2059.
- 5 15. Cai YH, Zhu L, Zhou YC, Yang WY, Xu ZW (2013) Development and application  
6 of the duplex PCR for detection of porcine bocavirus. *Chinese Vet Sci*  
7 43:833-838.
- 8 16. Zheng L, Wang Y, Li M, Chen H, Guo X, Geng J, Wang Z, Wei Z, Cui B (2012)  
9 Simultaneous detection of porcine parvovirus and porcine circovirus type 2 by  
10 duplex real-time PCR and amplicon melting curve analysis using SYBR Green. *J*  
11 *virol methods* 187:15-19.
- 12 17. Papin JF, Vahrson W, Dittmer DP (2004) SYBR green-based real-time quantitative  
13 PCR assay for detection of West Nile Virus circumvents false-negative results due  
14 to strain variability. *J Clin Microbiol* 42:1511-1518.
- 15 18. Zhang Q, Zhang C, Gao M, He X, Diao Y, Goyal SM, Mor SK, Huang J (2015)  
16 Evolutionary, epidemiological, demographical, and geographical dissection of  
17 porcine bocavirus in China and America. *Virus Res* 195:13-24.
- 18 19. Zhou F, Sun H, Wang Y (2014) Porcine Bocavirus: Achievements in the Past Five  
19 Years. *Viruses* 6:4946-4960
- 20 20. Blomström A-L, Ståhl K, Okurut AR, Masembe C, Berg M (2013) Genetic  
21 characterisation of a porcine bocavirus detected in domestic pigs in Uganda. *Virus*  
22 *Genes* 47:370-373.
- 23 21. Choi M-G, Park S-J, Nguyen V-G, Chung H-C, Kim A-R, Park B-K (2014)  
24 Molecular detection and genetic analysis of porcine bocavirus in Korean domestic  
25 swine herds. *Arch Virol* 159:1487-1492
- 26 22. Blomstrom AL, Belak S, Fossum C, Fuxler L, Wallgren P, Berg M (2010) Studies  
27 of porcine circovirus type 2, porcine boca-like virus and torque teno virus indicate  
28 the presence of multiple viral infections in postweaning multisystemic wasting  
29 syndrome pigs. *Virus Res* 152:59-64.
- 30 23. Broccolo F, Falcone V, Esposito S, Toniolo A (2015) Human bocaviruses: Possible  
31 etiologic role in respiratory infection. *J Clin Virol* 72:75-81.
- 32 24. Xiao CT, Halbur PG, Opriessnig T (2013) Molecular evolutionary genetic analysis  
33 of emerging parvoviruses identified in pigs. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*  
34 16:369-376.
- 35 25. Brownie J, Shawcross S, Theaker J, Whitcombe D, Ferrie R, Newton C, Little S  
36 (1997) The elimination of primer-dimer accumulation in PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res*  
37 25:3235-3241.
- 38 26. Rao P, Wu H, Jiang Y, Opriessnig T, Zheng X, Mo Y, Yang Z (2014) Development  
39 of an EvaGreen-based multiplex real-time PCR assay with melting curve analysis  
40 for simultaneous detection and differentiation of six viral pathogens of porcine  
41 reproductive and respiratory disorder. *J Virol Methods* 208:56-62.
- 42 27. Wu H, Rao P, Jiang Y, Opriessnig T, Yang Z (2014) A sensitive multiplex real-time  
43 PCR panel for rapid diagnosis of viruses associated with porcine respiratory and  
44 reproductive disorders. *Mol Cell Probes* 28:264-270.

- 1 28. Wernike K, Hoffmann B, Dauber M, Lange E, Schirrmeier H, Beer M (2012)
- 2 Detection and typing of highly pathogenic porcine reproductive and respiratory
- 3 syndrome virus by multiplex real-time rt-PCR. *PLoS ONE* 7:e38251.
- 4 29. Zeng S, Wang D, Fang L, Ma J, Song T, Zhang R, Chen H, Xiao S (2011)
- 5 Complete coding sequences and phylogenetic analysis of porcine bocavirus. *J Gen*
- 6 *Virol* 92:784-788.
- 7 30. Shan T, Lan D, Li L, Wang C, Cui L, Zhang W, Hua X, Zhu C, Zhao W, Delwart E
- 8 (2011) Genomic characterization and high prevalence of bocaviruses in swine.
- 9 *PLoS ONE* 6:e17292.
- 10 31. Zhao M, Zhu R, Qian Y, Deng J, Wang F, Sun Y, Dong H, Liu L, Jia L, Zhao L
- 11 (2014) Prevalence analysis of different human bocavirus genotypes in pediatric
- 12 patients revealed intra-genotype recombination. *Infect Genet Evol* 27:382-388.
- 13 32. Fu X, Wang X, Ni B, Shen H, Wang H, Zhang X, Chen S, Shao S, Zhang W (2011)
- 14 Recombination analysis based on the complete genome of bocavirus. *Virol J*
- 15 8:182.
- 16

1 Fig 1 Primer position of three PBoV groups demonstrated by alignment of partial and complete or  
2 nearly complete PBoV genome sequences available in GenBank. (A) PBoV G1; (B) PBoV G2; (C)  
3 PBoV G3.

4

5

6 Fig 2 Specificity of the EvaGreen® multiplex real-time PCR assay for PBoV demonstrated by  
7 melting curve analysis. (A) Specific melting peak was observed for each PBoV group alone, and  
8 no specific curve was observed for PCV1, PCV2, CSFV, PRRSV, PEDV and TGEV. (B) Specific  
9 melting peaks corresponding to each PBoV group were observed with the three groups present in a  
10 single tube at a concentration of  $10^6$  copies/ $\mu$ L.

11

12

13 Fig 3 Sensitivity of the EG-mPCR assay. (A)–(C) Sensitivity for PBoV G1, PBoV G2 and PBoV  
14 G3, respectively. (D) Sensitivity for PBoV G1 with a background of  $10^6$  copies/ $\mu$ L PBoV G2. (E)  
15 Sensitivity for PBoV G2 with a background of  $10^6$  copies/ $\mu$ L PBoV G3. (F) Sensitivity for PBoV  
16 G3 with a background of  $10^6$  copies/ $\mu$ L PBoV G2. (G) Sensitivity for three PBoV groups mixed in  
17 the same concentrations. Specific melting curve obtained with minimum quantity of standard  
18 plasmid DNAs was considered to be the detection limit of the assay.

19

20

21 Fig S1 Phylogenetic analyses of PBoV based on partial and complete or nearly complete genomes  
22 available in GenBank. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA5 software with the  
23 maximum-likelihood method under a bootstrap test of 1000 replicates.



Table 1. Primer information for the conventional PCR and the EvaGreen® single and multiplex real-time PCR assays for PBoV detection

Primer	Primer sequence (5' → 3')	Expected product (bp)	Position	Reference sequence
Primers for conventional PCR and standard plasmid construction				
PBoV G1-F	AATACCCATACTCACAAAG	531	3991-4521	HQ291308
PBoV G1-R	GTGATTGATTCATTGCTG			
PBoV G2-F	TCCACTGCTTCGAGAACATC	291	2519-2809	HM053693
PBoV G2-R	TTCCCTGACATCTTTCCATT			
PBoV G3-F	GAAATGTTAGAAGCTGTTGA	384	3098-3481	NC_016031
PBoV G3-R	TACAGGTGACGTTTATTGC			
Primers for the EvaGreen® single and multiplex real-time PCR assays				
PBoV G1-F	TGAGCTAATCCCTGAACTG	94	4022-4115	HQ291308
PBoV G1-R	GTCTGAGCCTGTATCACCTAT			
PBoV G2-F	GGGCACTGATTATATCTTTAC	86	2722-2807	HM053693
PBoV G2-R	CCCTGACATCTTTCCATT			
PBoV G3-F	ACTCTTTGCAGTCTGACTCTTC	108	3328-3435	NC_016031
PBoV G3-R	GTTCCCCCGTGTCTTTAG			

Table 2. Reproducibility of the EvaGreen multiplex real-time PCR assay

PBoV	concentration (copies/ $\mu$ l)	Intra-assay		Inter-assay	
		CV%	SD	CV%	SD
PBoV	$10^5$	0.20	0.16	0.27	0.22
G1	$10^4$	0.37	0.30	0.42	0.34
	$10^3$	1.59	1.29	1.73	1.40
PBoV	$10^5$	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.13
G2	$10^4$	0.32	0.25	0.43	0.34
	$10^3$	0.87	0.68	1.45	1.13
PBoV	$10^5$	0.20	0.17	0.36	0.31
G3	$10^4$	0.56	0.48	0.71	0.60
	$10^3$	0.91	0.77	1.32	1.12

Table 3. Detection of clinical samples by EG-mPCR and EG-sPCR

Method	Sample Type	Health status	No. samples	PBoV G1 only positive [%]	PBoV G2 only positive [%]	PBoV G3 only positive [%]	PBoV G1 + PBoV G2 positive [%]	PBoV G1 + PBoV G3 positive [%]	PBoV G2 + PBoV G3 positive [%]	Three PBoV groups [no.positive [%]]	Total [no. positive (%)]
Multiplex real-time PCR	Faeces	Healthy	200	7(3.5)	11(5.5)	39(19.5)	7(3.5)	13(6.5)	19(9.5)	5(2.5)	101(50.5)
	Serum	Healthy	22	0(0)	2(9.1)	5(22.7)	0(0)	0(0)	9(40.9)	2(9.1)	18(81.8)
	Tissue	Diseased	5	0(0)	0(0)	1(20)	0(0)	0(0)	2(40)	0(0)	3(60)
	Total		227	7(3.1)	13(5.7)	45(19.8)	7(3.1)	13(5.7)	30(13.2)	7(3.1)	122(53.7)
Singleplex real-time PCR	Faeces	Healthy	200	4(2)	11(5.5)	39(19.5)	7(3.5)	11(5.5)	16(8)	15(7.5)	103(51.5)
	Serum	Healthy	22	0(0)	0(0)	3(13.6)	0(0)	0(0)	13(59.1)	2(9.1)	18(81.8)
	Tissue	Diseased	5	0(0)	0(0)	1(20)	0(0)	0(0)	2(40)	0(0)	3(60)
	Total		227	4(1.8)	11(4.8)	43(18.9)	7(3.1)	11(4.8)	31(13.7)	17(7.5)	124(54.6)

\*







Fig 2






Fig 3







### Highlights

An EvaGreen®-based multiplex real-time PCR (EG-mPCR) with melting curve analysis was developed for simultaneous detection and grouping of PBoVs;

The assay is specific, sensitive and cost-effective;

This method could be an effective alternative for routine surveillance testing of multiple PBoVs in pigs.