

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# The independence of syntactic processing in Mandarin

Evidence from structural priming

Citation for published version:

Huang, J, Pickering, M, Yang, J, Wang, S & Branigan, H 2016, 'The independence of syntactic processing in Mandarin: Evidence from structural priming', *Journal of Memory and Language*, vol. 91, pp. 81-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2016.02.005

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1016/j.jml.2016.02.005

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

#### **Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

#### Published In:

Journal of Memory and Language

**General rights** 

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Download date: 18. Jul. 2025

1	
2	
3	The independence of syntactic processing in Mandarin:
4	Evidence from structural priming
5	
6	Jian Huang <sup>1</sup> , Martin J. Pickering <sup>2</sup> , Juanhua Yang <sup>1</sup> , Suiping Wang <sup>1,3*</sup> , Holly P. Branigan <sup>2*</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Center for the Study of Applied Psychology and School of Psychology, South China
9	Normal University
LO	<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh
11	$^3$ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Mental Health and Cognitive Science, South
12	China Normal University
L3	
L4	
15	*Corresponding authors
16	Suiping Wang
L <b>7</b>	School of Psychology, South China Normal University, Shipai, Guangzhou 510631, P.R.
L8	China.
19	email: wangsuiping@m.scnu.edu.cn
20	Holly P. Branigan
21	Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh
22	email: holly.branigan@ed.ac.uk
23	
24	
25	Keywords: Mandarin, Chinese, Animacy, Syntax, Structural Priming

#### Abstract

1

14

Although it is generally accepted that syntactic information is processed independently 2 of semantic information in languages such as English, there is less agreement about 3 whether the same is true in languages such as Mandarin that have fewer reliable cues to 4 5 syntactic structure. We report five experiments that used a structural priming paradigm to investigate the independence of syntactic processing in Mandarin. In a recognition 6 7 memory task, Mandarin native speakers described ditransitive events after repeating prime sentences with a double object (DO) or prepositional object (PO) structure. 8 9 Participants tended to repeat syntactic structure across prime and target sentences. Critically, this tendency occurred whether or not semantic features (animacy of the 10 recipient) were also repeated across sentences, both when the verb was repeated and 11 when it was not. We conclude that Mandarin speakers compute independent syntactic 12 representations during language processing. 13

# 1 Highlights

- Processing models of Mandarin dispute whether syntax is represented
- 3 independently.
- Five experiments investigated structural priming of dative structures in
   Mandarin.
- Priming occurred even when animacy features were not repeated between
   prime and target.
- Syntactic processing in Mandarin involves independent syntactic
   representations.

- 1 What kinds of representations do people use when processing language, and do
- 2 speakers of different languages use the same kinds of representation? Most modern
- 3 theories of language comprehension assume that there are independent levels of
- 4 representation concerned with different types of information, but that these
- 5 representations interact extensively and rapidly. Most evidence relates to the
- 6 relationship between syntactic structure and semantics. For example, comprehenders
- 7 quickly make use of the plausibility of alternative interpretations (i.e., making use of
- 8 semantics) to adjudicate among syntactic analyses (e.g., Trueswell, Tanenhaus, &
- 9 Garnsey, 1994). However, such theories nevertheless assume that levels of
- 10 representation such as syntax and semantics are constructed independently (e.g.,
- 11 MacDonald, Pearlmutter, & Seidenberg, 1994). Although there is considerable evidence
- for interaction between levels, few theories have taken the further step of assuming that
- comprehenders construct an integrated syntactic-semantic representation (e.g.,
- McClelland, St. John, & Taraban, 1989). In language production, almost all theories
- assume independent representations, whether they support extensive interaction (Dell,
- 16 1986) or not (Levelt, 1989).
- However, most psycholinguistic work has focused on particular classes of
- language in which there are generally reliable cues for identifying syntactic structure.
- 19 For example, in Indo-European languages such as English and German, comprehenders
- can use cues such as word order and morphology (e.g., inflections on nouns and verbs)
- 21 to determine the syntactic relations between words and phrases. In accord with this,
- there is some evidence that in such languages, syntactic information may be weighted
- 23 more strongly than other information. Some of this evidence comes from ERP studies
- examining the occurrence of the N400, a negativity indexing on-line semantic
- integration that occurs 300-500ms after the onset of a semantically anomalous word

1 (Kutas & Hillyard, 1980; for a review, see Kutas & Federmeier, 2011). Several studies of

2 German and French sentence comprehension found that N400 effects did not occur

3 following a semantically anomalous word when that word was also anomalous in terms

of syntactic category (e.g., Das Türschloß wurde im gegessen 'The door lock was in-the

5 eaten'; Friederici, Gunter, Hahne, & Mauth, 2004; Friederici, Steinhauer, & Frisch, 1999;

Hahne & Friederici, 2002; Isel, Hahne, Maess, & Friederici, 2007). These results suggest

that syntactic information outweighs semantic information in these languages, with

failure to resolve syntactic category information 'blocking' semantic integration

processes (Friederici, 2011).

Similarly, research on language production in languages such as English suggests a separation between semantic and syntactic processing. For example, patterns of speech errors show that speakers produce syntactically well-formed utterances that are nevertheless semantically anomalous (e.g., It'll get fast a lot hotter if you put the burner on; see Garrett, 1980). Bock, Loebell, and Morey (1992) showed a similar separation of semantic and syntactic processing in an experiment in which participants described pictures of transitive events involving inanimate agents and animate patients following active or passive primes with either an inanimate agent and an animate patient or vice versa. They tended to repeat syntactic structure (active or passive) and whether the subject of the sentence was animate or not, but there was no interaction between these effects. This suggests that decisions about assignment of animacy and decisions about syntactic structure are made independently during production.

In other languages, however, the extent to which syntactic information is processed independently of semantic information is less clear. For example, languages such as Mandarin have fewer reliable cues to syntactic structure. Mandarin contains a high proportion of words whose syntactic class is ambiguous, analogous to *fight* (noun)

1 versus *fight* (verb) in English. In English, syntactic class can regularly be determined

2 from immediate context (e.g., to fight vs. the fight). But this is far less common in

3 Mandarin. Mandarin also does not morphologically mark syntactic category or syntactic

4 features such as person, number, case, or tense, but neither does it have a rigid word

5 order. Information about verb tense and aspect, word-class subcategorization, and

phrase grouping is conveyed by markers that need not be adjacent to the elements that

they mark (Chu, 1998; Li & Thompson, 1981) and, importantly, these markers are often

ambiguous (e.g., regarding which verb they mark).

Together, these characteristics mean that the same sentence can often have very different interpretations (e.g., *Yaosile lieren de gou, Savage-LE hunter DE dog, this sentence* can mean either that the hunter was savaged by the dog or that the dog was savaged by someone, depending on the context). In addition, the potential for ambiguity is greatly enhanced because the spoken language includes extensive homophony (e.g., the word *shi4* [where 4 indicates 4<sup>th</sup> tone] has 40 different meanings) and the written language includes many words that can involve one or more characters so that sequences of characters (which do not have spacing indicating word boundaries) can potentially be grouped in different ways that yield very different meanings (see Yang, Perfetti, & Liu, 2010).

Researchers have highlighted the potential implications of such ambiguity for language processing, focusing almost exclusively on comprehension. Hoosain (1991) argued that comprehenders of Mandarin must rely extensively on lexico-semantic relationships between neighboring words to correctly identify syntactic categories, phrase grouping, thematic roles, and verb tense. More generally, researchers have argued that semantic and contextual cues play a greater role than grammatical cues in

determining who does what to whom during comprehension (e.g., Li, 1996; Li, Bates, &

MacWhinney, 1993).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Evidence to support this claim comes from studies investigating the role of animacy in comprehension of Mandarin sentences. Specifically, compared to English, comprehenders make greater use of animacy as a cue in Mandarin (Cai & Dong, 2007; Chen, Chen, & He, 2012); for example, when comprehending sequences of words that included nonsense verbs (e.g., lightning girl pesit), animacy accounted for 77% of the total variance in Mandarin native speakers' interpretations (with word order accounting for 13%), whereas in English native speakers animacy accounted for only 17% of the total variance (with word order accounting for 86%; Cai & Dong, 2007). Other research suggests that Mandarin comprehenders may rely more on animacy cues than syntactic (word order) cues (Li, Bates, & MacWhinney, 1993; Li, 1996; Miao, 1981; Miao et al., 1986). For example, Li et al. had participants listen to sentences involving two nouns and a verb in different orders (e.g., xi damen nanhai, wash door boy), and then choose between two pictures to indicate their interpretation of the sentence. Participants tended to rely more on animacy than word order to determine which noun was the agent. When animacy and word order conflicted, participants tended to choose the animate noun as the agent; animacy also had a stronger effect than word order on reaction times. Some researchers have therefore claimed that sentence processing in Mandarin is essentially semantically and contextually driven, with syntactic processes playing a substantially reduced role relative to languages such as English (Chu, 1998; Li & Thompson, 1981). But such findings are of course compatible with two possibilities. First, Mandarin sentence processing might involve an integrated level of representation incorporating both syntax and semantics. Alternatively, it might involve separate syntactic and

semantic representations, but the degree or extent of interaction between the levels would be greater than in English.

Studies using imaging and electrophysiological paradigms might in principle distinguish these possibilities. However, studies investigating the neural substrates of syntactic and semantic processing in Mandarin have yielded conflicting results. In an fMRI study, Luke, Liu, Wai, Wan, and Tan (2002) asked Mandarin-English bilingual participants to make syntactic and semantic (plausibility) judgements for Mandarin sentences. They found no regions that were concerned with syntax to the exclusion of semantics, and argued that this contrasted with studies using monolingual English speakers. In contrast, Wang et al. (2008) found that sentences containing both syntactic and semantic anomalies yielded greater activity in Broca's area (left BA44) than sentences containing only semantic anomalies, and concluded that this area is specifically implicated in syntactic processing in Mandarin (as has been claimed for English; e.g., Caplan, 2006; Embick et al., 2000).

In addition, a number of studies using electrophysiology found that Mandarin sentences involving combined syntactic/semantic anomalies elicited components consistent with the detection of both syntactic and semantic anomalies (Liu et al., 2010; Ye, Luo, Friederici, & Zhou, 2006; Yu & Zhang, 2008; Zhang et al., 2010, 2013). This contrasts with studies in German and French (Friederici et al., 2004; Friederici et al., 1999; Hahne & Friederici, 2002; Isel et al., 2007). For example, Zhang et al. (2010) observed an N400 effect (indexing semantic processing) as well as a P600 effect (indexing syntactic processing) in SVO sentences and SOV sentences involving the particle *ba* (expressing affect) that contained combined syntactic category/semantic anomalies (e.g., *Nühai chile hen qunzi he shoutao, The girl ate extremely skirt and glove; Wei Li ba xinxiande yali manman de gangqing le liangge, Wei Li ba fresh pears slowly* 

1 piano LE two). Zhang et al. (2013) found similar results for SOV sentences containing 2 combined syntactic transitivity/semantic anomalies (e.g., fangdichan zhejia jituan zuijin jinian huilai le sanchu, Real estate this corporation during recent several years came back 3 LE three places). These results suggest that semantic processing was not contingent 4 5 upon successful syntactic processing. But although they support the importance of semantic processes in Mandarin sentence processing, they do not demonstrate whether 6 7 people construct syntactic representations that are independent of semantic content. These results provide some evidence that syntactic and semantic representations might 8 9 be processed differently in Mandarin than in languages such as English and German. But 10 to investigate whether Mandarin speakers compute integrated syntactic and semantic representations, we need to consider evidence that is informative about representation. 11 One possibility is to turn to theoretical linguistics, and in fact some linguists claim that 12 syntactic and semantic structure are intimately connected in Mandarin (Lu, 1997; Ma, 13 1998; Shao, 1998; Xing, 1995; Xu, 2000; Zhang, 1997a, b). The motivation for this 14 claim comes in part from the implications of the extensive ambiguity in Mandarin (see 15 Yang et al., 2010, discussed above). But the main motivation comes from theoretical 16 17 accounts that argue that Mandarin makes fewer syntactic/semantic distinctions than do accounts of English and related languages. For example, Li and Thompson (1978, 1981) 18 assume a functional account in which word order is primarily determined by semantic 19 and pragmatic factors rather than by grammatical relations. This account is further 20 21 elaborated by LaPolla (1990, 1995), who argued that the syntactic categories of subject 22 and direct object do not exist in Mandarin. Theoretical linguistic accounts of English and related languages standardly characterize generalizations about word order (or 23 alternatively constituent structure) with reference to grammatical relations, even 24 accounts such as that proposed by Culicover and Jackendoff (2005) who explicitly seek 25

to minimize representational strata. But LaPolla provides extensive evidence that the

2 generalizations that are explained by grammatical relations in English cannot be

explained in this way in Mandarin, and instead require reference to semantic and

pragmatic factors.

However, although such accounts provide theoretical arguments why syntactic and semantic information might be integrated in Mandarin, they are based on acceptability judgments and do not provide clear evidence about the representations that are implicated during language processing. We therefore turn to structural priming.

Using structural priming to investigate syntactic representations in Mandarin

Structural priming is the phenomenon whereby exposure to a particular structure facilitates subsequent reuse of the same structure. Branigan, Pickering, Stewart, Liversedge and Urbach (1995) argued that priming effects are in principle informative about representation: By systematically manipulating the dimensions that two stimuli have in common, and examining whether priming occurs, it is possible to draw inferences about the nature of the underlying representation. Bock (1986) reported priming effects based on repetition of constituent structure (i.e., syntactic priming). When participants repeated sentences and described pictures under the guise of a running recognition memory task, they were more likely to use a sentence that used a double object (DO) structure to describe a picture of a dative event (e.g., The girl is handing the man a paintbrush) after repeating an unrelated sentence that also used a DO structure (e.g., The rock star sold the undercover cop some cocaine) than after repeating a sentence that used a prepositional object (PO) structure (The rock star sold some cocaine to the undercover cop). Such syntactic priming effects do not require repetition of content words (although priming is stronger when the verb is repeated: the lexical

- 1 boost; Pickering & Branigan, 1998) or closed-class words (Bock, 1989). Nor are they
- 2 based upon metrical structure: Bock and Loebell (1990) showed that sentences with the
- 3 same metrical structure and syntactic structure led to priming (e.g., Susan brought a
- 4 book to Stella primed The girl hands a paintbrush to the man) but sentences with the
- 5 same metrical structure but different syntactic structure did not (*Susan brought a book*
- 6 *to study* did not prime *The girl hands a paintbrush to the man*).
- 7 Structural priming also occurs in language comprehension (Arai, Scheepers, &
- 8 Van Gompel, 2007; Branigan, Pickering, & McLean, 2005). Branigan, Pickering, and
- 9 Cleland (2000) showed that priming occurs from comprehension to subsequent
- production (and Branigan et al., 2005, found priming from production to
- comprehension). These results suggest that priming reflects facilitation of
- representations that are shared between production and comprehension, and therefore
- suggest that comprehension-to-production priming can be used to investigate the
- 14 representations that are constructed during comprehension (see Ivanova, Pickering,
- Branigan, McLean, & Costa, 2012, for discussion).
- Importantly, these syntactic priming effects appear to be independent of the
- 17 repetition of particular semantic content. Thus, several studies have shown that priming
- occurs between sentences that describe different event types. Bock and Loebell (1990)
- 19 found that sentences involving location thematic roles (e.g., *The woman drove her*
- 20 *Mercedes to the church*) were as effective as PO sentences in eliciting PO targets.
- 21 Moreover, active sentences involving agent-location thematic roles (e.g., *The foreigner*
- 22 was loitering by the broken traffic light) primed passive sentences involving patient-
- 23 agent thematic roles (*The boy was stung by the bee*) to the same extent that passive
- primes did. Messenger, Branigan, McLean, and Sorace (2012) found that participants
- 25 were equally primed to produce passive descriptions for agent-patient events by

1 comprehending agent-patient, theme-experiencer, and experiencer-theme passives

(e.g., the witch is being hugged/scared/ignored by the sheep; see also Messenger et al.,

3 2011).

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bock et al.'s (1992) priming study showed independent priming effects that did not interact for syntactic structure (choice of active versus passive, e.g., *The alarm clock* is waking the boys vs. The boy is being woken by the alarm clock) and semantic-tosyntactic mappings (choice of animate or inanimate entity as sentence subject; Five people carried the boats vs. The boat carried five people). Bernolet, Hartsuiker and Pickering (2009) examined syntactic priming between Dutch and English, and also found effects that were independent of animacy (but did not find any tendency to repeat animacy mappings to grammatical relations). Carminati, Van Gompel, Scheepers, and Arai (2008) similarly found that priming in the comprehension of English PO/DO sentences was independent of repetition of animacy. Taken together, the results suggest that neither relational semantic content (relating to event type) nor non-relational semantic content (relating to individual entities' inherent properties) contributes to processing of constituent structure in English (or Dutch). Overall, the studies suggest that English speakers construct representations that are specified for syntactic but not semantic information. But what do Mandarin speakers do? Structural priming effects appear to occur in similar ways in all languages (that

have been tested), and several studies have been conducted in Mandarin. Thus, Cai and colleagues found priming for dative (PO/DO) sentences in Mandarin (Cai, Pickering, & Branigan, 2012; Cai, Pickering, Wang, & Branigan, 2015; Cai, Pickering, Yan, & Branigan, 2011). Cai et al. (2011) used a sentence/picture-verification paradigm. On prime trials, participants heard a prime sentence describing a dative event involving an animate agent, an animate recipient, and an inanimate theme (e.g., *Niuzai huan-gei shuishou* 

- *yitiao xiangjiao, cowboy return sailor a banana*; 'the cowboy returns the sailor a
- 2 banana'), and decided whether the sentence matched a presented picture. On target
- 3 trials, they saw a picture of another dative event involving a different animate agent,
- 4 animate recipient, and inanimate theme, and a sentence fragment that they had to
- 5 repeat and complete (e.g., *Jingcha di...*, *policeman pass*; 'the policeman passed...'.
- 6 Participants' completions revealed structural priming, in that they produced more PO
- 7 descriptions after PO primes than DO primes.

- Cai et al. (2011) showed that this tendency was enhanced when the verb was repeated across prime and target, and moreover that it occurred in Cantonese as well as in Mandarin (and between the two languages). Cai et al. (2012) replicated priming for PO/DO sentences, but also demonstrated priming of mappings both between thematic roles and grammatical relations, and between thematic roles and word order positions, thereby indicating that semantic representations are accessed during sentence processing in Mandarin (as in other languages). Cai et al. (2015) showed further that both PO and DO sentences with 'missing' arguments (e.g., PO sentence: *Niuzai mai-le yiben shuhou song-le gei shuishou, cowboy buy LE a book later give LE to sailor*; 'The cowboy bought a book and later gave to the sailor') primed PO and DO sentences to the same extent as (full form) PO and DO prime sentences. Cai et al. (2012) also showed that their results could not be explained in terms of differences in emphasis associated with the two structures (see Vernice et al., 2012). Their results therefore provide evidence for a level of representation in Mandarin production and comprehension that encodes syntactic information.
- However, we do not know whether this level of representation in Mandarin encodes only syntactic information (as in English), or whether it encodes syntactic information alongside other, non-syntactic information. In Cai et al.'s (2011, 2012,

- 2015) experiments, primes and targets were matched for semantic content, and it is
- 2 therefore not possible to identify whether semantic information was implicated in
- 3 priming. For example, the agent and recipient were always animate (and the theme was
- 4 always inanimate), and the prime and target were therefore equated on a semantic
- 5 dimension that, as we have noted, appears to play an influential role in Mandarin
- 6 sentence processing that may override syntactic (word order) cues (Cai & Dong, 2007;
- 7 Chen, Chen, & He, 2012; Li, Bates, and MacWhinney, 1993; Li, 1996; Miao 1981; Miao et
- 8 al., 1986;).
- 9 It therefore follows that semantic information such as animacy might be encoded
- alongside syntactic information: For example, Mandarin speakers might construct
- representations such as VP[V NPI<sub>INAN</sub> PP<sub>ANIM</sub>], in which syntactic information about
- 12 phrasal category is represented alongside semantic information about animacy (such as
- animate or inanimate). If so, participants should tend to repeat syntax when prime and
- target are matched for animacy, but not when they are not matched for animacy
- 15 (because different representations would be implicated, e.g., VP[V NPI<sub>INAN</sub> PP<sub>ANIM</sub>] in one
- 16 case vs. VP[V NPI<sub>ANIM</sub> PP<sub>ANIM</sub>] in the other).
- 17 Alternatively, Mandarin sentence processing might involve the construction of
- syntactic structures that are independent of semantic information (e.g., VP[V NP PP]),
- 19 with semantic information being specified separately, for example alongside thematic
- 20 role information in a purely semantic representation (e.g., Agent<sub>ANIM</sub>, Theme<sub>INAN</sub>,
- 21 Recipient<sub>ANIM</sub>). In that case, participants should tend to repeat syntax when prime and
- target are matched for animacy and when they are not (because the same
- representations would be implicated in both cases, e.g., VP[V NP PP]). On this account,
- 24 any small differences in priming when sentences are matched versus mismatched for
- animacy could be due to additional loci for priming (see General Discussion).

Therefore, if priming occurs when animacy is not repeated across prime and target, it would support an account involving independent syntactic representations. If priming occurs when animacy is repeated across prime and target, but does not occur when animacy is not repeated, it would support an account involving representations that integrate syntactic and semantic information.

We now report five studies that manipulated animacy within a syntactic priming paradigm in order to investigate the independence of syntactic representations in Mandarin. In our experiments, participants read and repeated prime sentences and described target pictures under the guise of a recognition-memory experiment (Bock, 1986). We manipulated the syntactic structure of the prime sentences (PO vs. DO). We also manipulated animacy, so that the prime involved either an animate or an inanimate recipient (with an animate agent and inanimate theme); targets always involved animate recipients (see also Carminati et al., 2008). Our dependent measure was the structure of participants' target descriptions (PO vs. DO).

In Experiment 1, we established that priming occurs for both PO and DO sentences when the verb is repeated, relative to an unrelated baseline (i.e., showed that priming is a two-way effect). Experiments 2 and 3 also used primes and targets in which the verb was repeated. In Experiment 2, we compared priming when only syntactic structure was repeated across prime and target with priming when both syntactic structure and animacy features were repeated across prime and target. Experiment 3 replicated Experiment 2 with a stronger animacy manipulation. Experiments 4 and 5 examined whether the effects found in Experiments 1 and 2 would hold when the verb was not repeated between prime and target. In all experiments, we expected that when animacy features were matched across prime and target, participants would repeat the syntactic structure of the prime sentence in their target description (i.e., would show

syntactic priming effects). Our main question was whether speakers would also repeat

syntactic structure when animacy features were not matched across prime and target.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

2

## Experiment 1

5 Experiment 1 attempted to determine whether there was a two-way priming effect for

6 PO and DO structures using a recognition-memory structural priming paradigm (Bock,

1986). Participants first read and repeated sentences and described pictures. In a

subsequent test phase, they read PO, DO, or intransitive (baseline) sentences (and made

a recognition judgment), and then completed sentence fragments to describe pictures of

dative events. We assumed that the intransitive sentences would not prime either PO or

DO target descriptions, and therefore served as an appropriate baseline (see Pickering,

Branigan, & McLean, 2002). The dative primes and targets involved animate agents and

recipients and inanimate themes.

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

#### Method

#### **Participants**

17 Twenty-four Mandarin speakers were paid to participate in this experiment. The

participants ranged in age from 17 to 24 years (mean = 20.29, SD=1.55).

#### **Materials**

20 We constructed 30 sets of experimental prime sentences such as those in (1a), (1b),

and (1e), together with 90 filler sentences. Each prime sentence was paired with a target

picture. *PO* and *DO* prime sentences (such as 1a-b) involved one of 15 dative verbs;

intransitive baseline prime sentences (such as 1e)involved one of 22 intransitive verbs.

Experimental target pictures depicted a ditransitive action that corresponded to the

verb used in the dative primes. The name of the agent and the verb were printed below

- the picture in Chinese characters (e.g., *The girl gives...*); see Table 1. The PO and DO
- 2 primes and the target pictures involved three entities (an animate agent, animate
- 3 recipient, and inanimate theme); the baseline primes involved one entity (an animate
- 4 agent). Prime sentences and target pictures always involved different agents, recipients,
- 5 and themes (Figure 1). In the target picture, the theme always appeared in the center. In
- 6 half of the target pictures, the agent was on the left and the recipient was on the right; in
- 7 the remaining target pictures, the positions of the agent and the recipient were
- 8 reversed.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The filler sentences were transitive (e.g., fuqin biaoyang le zhege nanhai, "The father praised the boy"); filler pictures depicted a transitive event involving an agent and an patient, in which the agent were always animate; in one third of fillers, the patient was animate; in the other two thirds, it was inanimate. The name of the agent and the verb were printed below the picture in Chinese character (e.g., fuqin biaoyang le, "The father praised"). In half of the filler pictures, the agent was on the left; in the other half, the agent was on the right (figure 2).

17 Table1: Example prime sentences (Experiments 1-2):

Prime Condition	Example	
1a. PO-An	Mingxing song le changpian gei nage zhuli.	
	The superstar give LE record to that	
	assistant. ("The superstar gave the record to	
	that assistant.")	
1b. DO-An	Mingxing song-gei zhuli yizhang changpian.	
	The superstar give-to assistant one record.	

	("The superstar gave the assistant a
	record.")
1c. PO-In	Mingxing song le changpian gei nage gongsi.
	The superstar give LE record to that
	company. ("The superstar gave the record to
	that company.")
1d. DO-In	Mingxing song-gei gongsi yizhang changpian.
	The superstar give-to company one record.
	("The superstar gave the company a
	record.")
1e. Baseline	Wupo zou le.
	The witch go LE. ("The witch has gone.")
	The witch go LE. ("The witch has gone.")

We created three lists, such that each list contained equal numbers of experimental items in each condition, and one version of each item. Across lists, each version of the item occurred once. Hence each list contained 30 experimental trials (10 with DO

primes, 10 with PO primes and 10 with baseline primes) and 90 filler trials.

#### Procedure

Participants were randomly assigned to one of the three lists. They were told that the experiment investigated the relationship between memory and language production. They were first shown pictures of each of the individual objects that would appear in the set of target pictures together with their name on a computer screen. Once they reported that they were familiar with the pictures and the names, the experiment began. The experiment included a study phase and a test phase, using a procedure

similar to Bock (1986). In the study phase, participants were asked to memorize a set of

2 sentences and pictures that were presented to them. In the subsequent test phase, they

3 were asked to identify which sentences and pictures they had encountered in the study

4 phase. This procedure was adopted to avoid participants from detecting the

5 relationship between prime sentences and subsequent target pictures; in fact, none of

the participants reported noticing the relationship between prime sentences and target

7 pictures.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

8 In the study phase, participants completed 30 trials (5 PO, 5 DO, 5 Baseline, and 15

filler). Each trial comprised a sentence and a picture. All of the experimental pictures

(i.e., non-filler pictures) in the study phase were presented again in the test phase;

however, experimental sentences that appeared in the test phase had not been

presented in the study phase.

For each trial, a fixation cross appeared for 500 ms, then the prime sentence appeared in the centre of the screen. After participants had memorised the sentence, they pressed the space bar, which triggered the presentation of a blank screen for 200 ms, followed by presentation of the pictures. Similarly, after participants had memorised the picture, they pressed the space bar. There was a blank screen for 200ms, then the next trial began.

The test phase included 10 practice trials, 30 experimental trials, and 90 filler trials. Experimental trials were separated by 2-4 filler trials. The procedure in the test phase was similar to the study phase, except that participants read aloud the sentences and then made a yes/no judgment for whether they had seen the sentence before; and described pictures by completing the sentence fragment beneath it and then made a yes/no recognition judgment. The experiment lasted approximately 1 hour.

## Scoring

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Responses were scored as a *DO* response if the sentence preamble was grammatically continued such that the verb was followed first by an NP denoting the recipient and then by an NP denoting the theme, and as a PO response if the verb was first grammatically followed by an NP denoting the theme and then a prepositional phrase (headed by the preposition *gei*) denoting the recipient; otherwise, it was coded as an *Other* response. **Results** Table 2 shows frequency of PO, DO and Other target responses by condition. We analysed the data using Generalized logistic mixed models (GLMM) with crossed random effects for participants and items, using the glmer program of the lme4 package (Bates & Maechler, 2010) in R. The dependent variable was the number of DO responses (DO = 1, PO = 0). To determine whether there was a main effect of prime type, we compared the full model that treated prime type as a fixed effect with the null model that excluded prime type as a fixed effect, using the maximal random effects structure justified by the design that allowed model convergence (Barr, Levy, Scheepers, & Tily, 2013). The best fit model included a random intercept and a random slope for prime type. It produced a significantly better fit for the data than the null model (likelihood ratio test:  $\chi^2$ =67.99, p<.001). Hence, there was a significant main effect of prime type. Pairwise comparisons (Table 3) indicated that participants produced significantly more DO responses following DO primes than following PO or baseline primes. They

25

24

following baseline primes.

produced fewer DO responses (hence, more PO responses) following PO primes than

# 1 Table 2

3

4

# 2 Experiment 1: Frequency of PO, DO and Other target responses by condition

Prime	PO-An	DO-An	Baseline
DO	51	130	86
PO	188	108	152
Other	1	2	2
Proportion DO	.21	.54	.36

#### 1 Table 3

2 Experiment 1: Results of pair-wise comparisons on DO responses

J

Prime pairs	Estimate	SE	Z	P	
DO-An vs. PO-An	1.79	.23	7.77	<.001	
DO-An vs. baseline	.93	.21	4.43	<.001	
baseline vs. PO-An	.86	.23	3.80	<.001	

#### Discussion

Experiment 1 showed a two-way priming effect for PO and DO structures in Mandarin using a recognition-memory paradigm: When describing dative events that involved the same action (hence, verb) and the same animacy features as a sentence that they had just read and repeated, participants were more likely to use a DO structure after reading a DO sentence than after a PO sentence or an intransitive (baseline) sentence, and more likely to use a PO structure after reading a PO sentence than after a DO sentence or an intransitive (baseline) sentence. These results replicated previous evidence for syntactic priming of dative structures in Mandarin (e.g., Cai et al., 2012), using a different paradigm.

**Experiment 2** 

Experiment 1 found two-way syntactic priming in Mandarin using a recognition-memory paradigm, when the verb and animacy features were held constant across prime and target. In Experiment 2, we investigated whether priming would occur when the verb was held constant and the animacy features of the recipient did or did not match. We therefore manipulated the syntactic structure (PO vs. DO) and animacy

features (animate vs. inanimate recipient) of the prime. Thus we compared participants'

target descriptions for events involving an animate recipient (e.g., a girl giving a painter

3 flowers) after reading PO sentences involving an animate recipient [PO-An, (1a) – as in

Experiment 1] or an inanimate recipient [PO-In, (1c)]. We also compared their target

5 descriptions after reading DO sentences involving an animate recipient [DO-An, (1b) –

6 as in Experiment 1] or an inanimate recipient [DO-In, (1d)]. If Mandarin speakers

construct syntactic representations that are independent of animacy information during

sentence processing, then participants should tend to repeat structure across prime and

target even if animacy features are not repeated. If Mandarin speakers construct

representations during sentence processing that simultaneously encode syntactic and

animacy information, then participants should repeat structure only when the prime

and target repeat animacy features. Specifically, as the target had an animate recipient,

participants should show priming only when the prime also had an animate recipient

and not when it had an inanimate recipient.

16 Participants

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 Thirty-five further Mandarin speakers were paid to participate in this

experiment. The participants ranged in age from 19 to 27 years (mean = 21.54,

19 SD=2.23).

Materials, Procedure, and Scoring

Materials were the same as those used in Experiment 1, with the addition of two

further prime conditions involving inanimate recipients (see Table 1: 1c and 1d; see

Appendix). We created five lists, each containing 30 experimental trials (6 with PO-An

primes, 6 with DO-An primes, 6 with PO-In primes, 6 with DO-In primes, and 6 with

- 1 Baseline primes) and 90 filler trials. The target picture and the filler materials were the
- 2 same as in Experiment 1. Participants were randomly assigned to one of the five lists.
- 3 The procedure and scoring were as in Experiment 1.

4

5

#### Results

- 6 Table 4 reports target responses by condition. The primary concern in this experiment
- 7 was whether the tendency to repeat syntactic structure would occur when animacy
- 8 features were not repeated. Our main analyses therefore focused on prime type and
- 9 animacy, in a model that included prime type (PO vs. DO) and animacy (animate vs.
- inanimate recipient) as fixed factors, with participant and item as random factors. The
- best fit model included a random intercept and random slopes for prime type and
- animacy. It showed a main effect of prime type (Estimate = 2.09, SE = .36, z = 5.86, p
- < .001), but not a main effect of animacy (Estimate = .07, SE = .18, z = .39, p > .1), nor a
- prime type by animacy interaction (Estimate = .51, SE = .34, z = 1.48, p > .1).
- In addition, we wished to determine whether the inanimate conditions both
- differed from the baseline as the animate conditions did in Experiment 1. We therefore
- carried out further analysis in a model that included prime type (DO-An, DO-In, PO-An,
- PO-In, Baseline) as a fixed factor and included a random slope for prime type in addition
- 19 to the random intercept. The best fit model produced a significantly better fit for the
- data than the null model, hence there was a significant main effect of prime (likelihood
- ratio test: $\chi^2$ =141.47, p<.001). Pair-wise comparisons (Table 5) indicated that as in
- 22 Experiment 1, participants produced more DO responses following DO-An and DO-In
- primes than following Baseline primes. Furthermore, they produced fewer DO
- responses following PO-An and PO-In primes than following Baseline primes.

# 1 Table 4: Experiment 2: Target responses by condition

prime	PO-An	DO-An	PO-In	DO-In	baseline
DO	47	135	57	127	106
PO	163	75	153	82	101
Others	0	0	0	1	3
Proportion DO	.22	.64	.27	.60	.50

# 3 Table 5: Experiment 2: Results of pair-wise comparisons on DO responses

Prime pairs	Estimate	SE	Z	p	
DO-An vs. Baseline	.64	.21	3.01	<.01	
DO-In vs. Baseline	.46	.21	2.19	<.05	
Baseline vs. PO-An	1.39	.23	6.12	<.001	
Baseline vs. PO-In	1.12	.22	5.08	<.001	

#### Discussion

1

2 Experiment 2 found priming with PO and DO sentences when animacy features were matched across prime and target, as in Experiment 1. Importantly, it also showed 3 priming when prime and target differed in animacy features, with the prime involving 4 5 an inanimate recipient (e.g., *company*) and the target involving an animate recipient (e.g., painter). Moreover, the magnitude of priming did not differ whether the prime and 6 7 target matched or mismatched in animacy features. These results suggest that the representations over which priming occurred were not distinguished by animacy, and 8 9 are therefore consistent with an account in which Mandarin speakers construct 10 independent syntactic representations during sentence processing. This conclusion may however be premature, because the recipient entities were 11 collectives. For example, as in English (Bock, Butterfield, Cutler, Cutting, Eberhard, & 12 Humphreys, 2006), *company* is normally interpreted in Mandarin as referring to an 13 (inanimate)collective entity, but it can be interpreted as referring to the set of (animate) 14 individuals who together make up that collective entity. A stronger test of the 15 independent representation of syntactic structure and animacy would therefore be to 16 17 demonstrate the same effects when such a collective interpretation is not possible. Experiment 3 therefore used the same design as Experiment 2, but used materials in 18 which inanimate recipient could not be interpreted collectively (i.e., only permitted an 19 inanimate interpretation). 20 21

# Experiment 3

## **Participants**

Thirty-five further Mandarin speakers were paid to participate in this

experiment. The participants ranged in age from 18 to 27 years (mean = 20.11,

5 SD=2.31).

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

2

## **Materials, Procedure, and Scoring**

8 We constructed 30 further sets of materials. As in Experiment 2, these involved five

prime conditions (PO-An, DO-An, PO-In, DO-In, Baseline; 2a-e). In the PO-In and DO-In

conditions, the recipients were always nouns expressing locations, which must be

interpreted as inanimate in Mandarin (Table 6). We used nine ditransitive verbs that

were repeated between prime and target (we could not use the same range of verbs as

in Experiments 1 and 2 because the inanimate recipients were not compatible with all

of them; see Appendix). A further 30 intransitive sentences were used as baseline

primes. We created five lists, each containing 30 experimental trials (6 with PO-An

primes, 6 with DO-An primes, 6 with PO-In primes, 6 with DO-In primes, and 6 with

Baseline primes) and 90 filler trials. The filler materials were the same as in Experiment

1. Participants were randomly assigned to one of the five lists. The procedure and

scoring were as in Experiment 1.

20

# 1 Table 6: Example prime sentences (Experiment 3)

Condition	Examples
2a. PO-An	Huanbaozhe song le yixie zhibei gei shiming.
	The environmentalist give LE some plant to
	citizens. ("The environmentalist gave some
	plant to the citizens.")
2b. DO-An	Huanbaozhe song-gei shiming yixie zhibei.
	The environmentalist give-to citizens some
	plant. ("The environmentalist gave the citizens
	some plant.")
2c. PO-In	Huanbaozhe song le yixie zhibei gei shamo.
	The environmentalist give LE some plant to
	desert. ("The environmentalist gave some
	plant to the desert.")
2d. DO-In	Huanbaozhe song-gei shamo yixie zhibei.
	The environmentalist give-to desert some
	plant. ("The environmentalist gave the desert
	some plant.")
2e. Baseline	Wupo zou le.
Prime	The witch go LE. ("The witch has gone.")

2

3

## Results

- 4 Table 7 reports target responses by condition. Target responses were analysed as in
- 5 Experiment 2, with prime type (PO vs. DO) and animacy (animate vs. inanimate
- 6 recipient) as fixed factors, and participant and item as random factors. The best fit

- 1 model included a random intercept and random slopes for prime type and animacy. It
- showed a main effect of prime type (Estimate =1.54, SE = .27, z = 5.71, p < .001), but not
- a main effect of animacy (Estimate = .01, SE = .17, z = .08, p > .1), nor a prime type by
- 4 animacy interaction (Estimate =.41, SE = .33, z = 1.25, p > .1).
- Follow-up analysis including prime type (DO-An, DO-In, PO-An, PO-In, Baseline)
- 6 as a fixed factor. The best fit model included a random intercept and random slope for
- 7 prime type. It showed a main effect of prime type (likelihood ratio test: $\chi^2$ =90.58,
- 8 p<.001). Pair-wise comparisons (Table 8) indicated that, as in Experiments 1 and 2,
- 9 participants produced more DO responses following DO-An primes and DO-In primes
- than following Baseline primes, and fewer DO responses following PO-An and PO-In
- 11 primes than following Baseline primes.

# Combined analysis of Experiment 2 and Experiment 3

- To compare priming effects between Experiments 2 and 3, we conducted 2 x 2 x 2
- analyses in which experiment (Experiment 2 vs. 3), prime type (PO vs. DO) and animacy
- 16 (animate vs. inanimate recipient) were treated as fixed factors, and participant and item
- as random factors. The best fit model included a random intercept and random slopes
- for prime type and animacy. It showed a main effect of prime type (Estimate = 1.80, SE
- z = .22, z = 8.23, p < .001) and a marginal prime type by animacy interaction (Estimate
- =.45, SE = .24, z = 1.88, p = .06), but not a main effect of experiment (Estimate =.05, SE
- z = .23, z = .22, p > .1), nor a main effect of animacy (Estimate = .03, SE = .12, z = .23, p > .1),
- nor interactions between experiment by prime type (Estimate = .44, SE = .43, z = 1.04,
- p>.1), experiment by animacy (Estimate =.06, SE = .23, z = .25, p>.1), or experiment by
- prime type by animacy (Estimate = .09, SE = .47, z = .19, p>.1).

25

12

## 1 Table 7: Experiment 3: Target responses by condition

prime	PO-An	DO-An	PO-In	DO-In	Baseline
DO	54	124	60	116	91
PO	156	86	150	94	119
Others	0	0	0	0	0
Proportion DO	.26	.59	.29	.55	.43

## 3 Table 8: Experiment 3: Results of pair-wise comparisons on DO responses

estimate	SE	Z	p
.73	.21	3.43	<.001
.55	.21	2.61	<.01
.91	.23	4.02	<.001
.74	.22	3.36	<.001
	.73 .55 .91	.73 .21 .55 .21 .91 .23	.73 .21 3.43 .55 .21 2.61 .91 .23 4.02

## Discussion

2

4

- 6 Experiment 3 replicated the results of Experiment 2 using items in which the inanimate
- 7 recipient entities did not have a collective interpretation: Participants tended to repeat
- 8 syntactic structure across sentences, and this tendency occurred both when animacy
- 9 features were matched across prime and target, and when they were not matched.
- 10 Combined analyses showed no difference in priming between Experiments 2 and 3.1
- 11 The evidence for priming when animacy features were not repeated provides further
- support for the conclusion that Mandarin speakers construct representations that
- encode syntactic information separately from semantic information, and that they do
- 14 not construct representations that simultaneously encode syntactic and semantic

1 information. In the General Discussion we consider possible explanations for the

marginal tendency for priming to be stronger across Experiments 2 and 3 when

animacy features were matched than when they were not (15% vs 11%).

All-in-all, Experiments 1-3 established that Mandarin speakers tend to repeat syntactic structure across sentences, and that this tendency occurred when animacy features were not repeated. In these experiments, the prime and target always involved the same verb and hence described events involving some overlap in meaning (although the agent, theme, and recipient entities were always different). A stronger test of the independence of syntactic and semantic representations in Mandarin sentence processing would be if priming occurred when prime and target involved different events as well as different agent, theme, and recipient entities. In Experiments 4 and 5, we therefore investigated whether we found similar patterns of results to Experiments

15 Experiment 4

Experiment 4 replicated Experiment 1, but using prime-target pairings in which the action and entities differed across prime and target. If priming occurred under these circumstances, it would support the proposal that priming of Mandarin datives is a two-way effect, serving as the basis for the animacy manipulation in Experiment 5.

1 and 2 under conditions in which prime and target involved different verbs.

#### **Participants**

Twenty-four further Mandarin speakers were paid to participate in this experiment. The

participants ranged in age from 19 to 25 years (mean = 21.04, SD=1.55).

## Materials, Procedure, and Scoring

- 2 We constructed 30 new PO-An and DO-An prime sentences, and combined these with
- 3 the baseline primes and target pictures used in Experiments 1 and 2 to create 30 sets of
- 4 materials in which the prime sentences and associated target pictures involved different
- 5 actions (see Table 9; 3a,b,e). We created three lists, each containing 30 experimental
- 6 trials (10 with D0 primes, 10 with P0 primes, and 10 with baseline primes) and 90 filler
- 7 trials which were from experiment 1. Participants were randomly assigned to one of the
- 8 three lists. The procedure was as in Experiment 1.

9

10

Table 9: Experiments 4 and 5: Example prime sentences

Condition	Examples
3a. PO-An	Mingxing mai le changpian gei nage zhuli.
	The superstar bought LE record to that
	assistant. (The superstar bought the record to
	that assistant.)
3b. DO-An	Mingxing mai-gei zhuli yizhang changpian.
	The superstar bought-to assistant one record.
	(The superstar bought that assistant a record.)
3c. PO-In	Mingxing mai le changpian gei nage gongsi.
	The superstar bought LE record to that
	company. (The superstar bought the record to
	that company.)
3d. DO-In	Mingxing mai-gei gongsi yizhang changpian.
	The superstar bought-to company one record.

(The superstar bought that company a record.)

3e. Baseline Wupo zou le.

Prime The witch go LE. (The witch has gone.)

1

2

3

## Results

- 4 Table 10 reports target responses by condition. The model including a random intercept
- 5 and a random slope for prime type produced a significantly better fit for the data than
- the null model (likelihood ratio test: $\chi^2$ =7.83, p<.05). Hence, there was a significant main
- 7 effect of prime type. Pairwise comparisons (Table 11) indicated that participants
- 8 produced significantly more DO responses following DO-An primes than following PO-
- 9 An primes and marginally more DO responses following DO-An primes than following
- baseline primes. They produced fewer DO responses (hence, more PO responses)
- following PO-An primes than following baseline primes.

12

13 Table 10: Experiment 4: Target responses by condition

Prime	PO-An	DO-An	Baseline
DO	87	128	109
PO	151	110	130
Others	2	2	1
Proportion DO	.36	.53	.45

14

## 1 Table 11: Experiment 4: Results of pair-wise comparisons on DO responses

Prime pairs	estimate	SE	Z	p
DO-An vs PO-An	.84	.28	2.98	<.01
DO-An vs baseline	.35	.20	1.72	=.09
baseline vs PO-An	.49	.25	1.99	<.05

# 2

3

## Discussion

- 4 Experiment 4 found similar effects to Experiment 1 when the prime and target involved
- 5 different verbs. Priming was weaker than in Experiment 1 (Experiment 1: 18% vs.
- 6 Experiment 4: 8%). This pattern of weaker priming when the verb was not repeated
- 7 than when it was repeated constitutes a demonstration of the lexical boost effect, which
- 8 has been found in Mandarin and other languages (e.g., Branigan et al., 2000; Cai et al.,
- 9 2012; Hartsuiker et al., 2008; Pickering & Branigan, 1998), though not to our knowledge
- 10 with the running recognition memory paradigm.

#### 11

13

14

# 12 Experiment 5

Experiment 5 replicated Experiment 2 by comparing priming for PO/DO sentences in Mandarin when prime and target matched or mismatched in animacy features, and the verb differed between prime and target.

## 16

17

15

#### **Participants**

- 18 Thirty-five further Mandarin speakers were paid to participate in this experiment. The
- participants ranged in age from 19 to 25 years (mean = 21.09, SD=1.70).

## Materials, procedure and scoring

- 2 The materials were the same as those used in Experiment 4, with the addition of two
- 3 further prime conditions involving inanimate recipients (see Table 9; 3a-e). We created
- 4 five lists, each containing 30 experimental trials (6 with DO-An primes, 6 with DO-In
- 5 primes, 6 with PO-An primes, 6 with PO-In primes, and 6 with Baseline primes) and 90
- 6 filler trials. Filler trials were the same as in Experiment 1.Participants were randomly
- 7 assigned to one of the five lists. The procedure was as in Experiment 1.

8

9

1

## Results

- 10 Table 12 reports target responses by condition. Target responses were analysed as in
- Experiment 2, using a model that included prime type (PO vs. DO) and animacy
- 12 (animate vs. inanimate recipient) as fixed factors, with participant and item as random
- factors. The best fit model included a random intercept and random slopes for prime
- type and animacy. It showed a main effect of prime type (Estimate = .56, SE = .16, z =
- 3.60, p < .001), but not a main effect of animacy (Estimate = .05, SE = .16, z = .32, p>.1),
- nor a prime type by animacy interaction (Estimate = .28, SE = .30, z = .92, p>.1).
- Follow-up analysis including prime type (DO-An, DO-In, PO-An, PO-In, Baseline)
- as a fixed factor with a random intercept and random slope for prime type showed that
- the best fit model included a main effect of prime type (likelihood ratio test: $\chi^2=17.42$ ,
- 20 p<.01). Pair-wise comparisons (Table 13) indicated that participants produced fewer
- 21 DO responses following PO-An primes and PO-In primes than following Baseline primes.

22

# 1 Table 12: Experiment 5: Target responses by condition

prime	PO-An	DO-An	PO-In	DO-In	Baseline
DO	81	111	82	103	108
PO	128	99	128	107	102
Others	1	0	0	0	0
Proportion DO	.39	.53	.39	.49	.51

3

2

# 4 Table 13: Experiment 5: Results of pair-wise comparisons on DO responses

Prime pairs	estimate	SE	Z	p
DO-An vs baseline	.06	.20	.31	.75
DO-In vs baseline	10	.20	50	.62
baseline vs PO-An	.57	.21	2.74	<.01
baseline vs PO-In	.55	.21	2.65	<.01

6

7

5

### Discussion

- 8 Experiment 5 replicated Experiment 2 under conditions where the verb was not
- 9 repeated between prime and target: Priming occurred (though this effect was only
- $\,$  significant for PO structures) when animacy features were repeated and when they
- were not repeated. These results provide further evidence that Mandarin sentence
- $\,$  12  $\,$   $\,$  processing involves construction of representations that specify syntactic but not
- 13 semantic information.

### Combined analysis of Experiment 2 and Experiment 5

- 2 To determine whether priming was increased when the prime and target involved the
- 3 same verb (hence described the same event type), we conducted a combined analysis of
- 4 data from Experiment 2 (repeated verb) and Experiment 5 (non-repeated verb). We
- 5 treated experiment (Experiment 2 vs. 5), prime type (PO vs. DO) and animacy (animate
- 6 vs. inanimate recipient) as fixed factors, with participant and item as random factors.
- 7 The best fit model included a random intercept and random slopes for prime type and
- 8 animacy. It showed a main effect of prime (Estimate = 1.24, SE = .17, z = 7.16, p < .001)
- and an experiment by prime type interaction (Estimate = 1.32, SE = .34, z = 3.87, p
- < .001), but no main effect of experiment (Estimate = .12, SE = .21, z = .60, p > .1) or
- animacy (Estimate = .01, SE = .11, z = .04, p > .1), nor an experiment by animacy
- interaction (Estimate = .10, SE = .22, z = .46 p > .1), prime type by animacy interaction
- (Estimate = .34, SE = .23, z = 1.52, p > .1), or experiment by prime type by animacy
- interaction (Estimate = .18, SE = .45, z = .40 p > .1). Pair-wise comparison showed that
- priming was larger when the verb was repeated, both when animacy features were
- repeated across prime and target, and when they were not. This tendency held
- following both DO primes and PO primes (Table 14).
- The combined analysis confirms a lexical boost to priming, and demonstrates
- that priming was stronger when prime and target involved the same verb but not when
- 20 they involved the same animacy features.

# 1 Table 14: Combined analysis of Experiment 2 and Experiment 5: Results of pair-wise

# 2 comparisons on DO responses

Prime pairs	Estimate	SE	z	P
PO-An(Exp2) vs. PO-An(Exp5)	85	.28	-3.02	<.01
PO-In (Exp2) vs. PO-In(Exp5)	60	.28	-2.15	< .05
DO-An (Exp2) vs. DO-An (Exp5)	.53	.27	1.96	< .05
DO-In (Exp2) vs. DO-In (Exp5)	.52	.27	1.94	=.05

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

#### General Discussion

5 In five experiments, we used a structural priming paradigm to investigate whether

6 Mandarin speakers construct independent syntactic representations during sentence

processing. In experiments that were presented as a recognition memory test,

8 participants read and repeated dative sentences, then repeated and completed

descriptions of dative events. In all five experiments, participants showed a consistent

tendency to repeat the structure of a sentence that they had previously read in their

subsequent picture description. Thus participants were more likely to produce DO

descriptions after reading DO sentences than after PO sentences, and more likely to

produce PO descriptions after PO sentences than after DO sentences, both when the

verb was repeated across prime and target (Experiments 1-3) and when it was not

(Experiments 4-5). Prior exposure to a PO or DO structure also raised the likelihood of

producing that structure relative to an intransitive baseline when the verb was repeated

(Experiments 1-3); the same tendency held for PO structures when the verb was not

repeated (Experiments 4-5). Priming was stronger when the verb was repeated than

when it was not repeated.

Critically, however, this tendency to repeat syntax occurred when semantic features were not repeated across prime and target. In Experiment 2, priming occurred when the prime and target involved the same verb but different animacy features (with respect to the recipient); moreover, there was no difference in magnitude of priming when animacy features were the same across prime and target as when they were different. This effect held for both PO and DO structures relative to each other and relative to an intransitive baseline. Experiment 3 replicated this finding with a stronger manipulation of animacy, in which the recipient could not be interpreted in a way that incorporated any animacy features. Experiment 5 showed priming when the verb and the animacy of the recipient differed between prime and target, and the magnitude of priming was as strong under these conditions as when the prime and target involved the same animacy features. This effect held both for PO and DO structures relative to each other, and for PO structures relative to an intransitive baseline.

These results provide evidence that sentence processing in Mandarin involves representations that are specified for syntactic information independently of animacy information. Thus, although previous theoretical linguistic research has suggested that semantic information is fundamental in determining Mandarin word order (e.g., La Polla, 1995), and previous psycholinguistic studies have demonstrated that animacy plays an important role in Mandarin sentence processing (e.g., Miao 1981, 1986; Li, et al., 1993; Li, 1996), animacy information does not appear to be represented as an intrinsic part of the syntactic representation. If it had been, we would have expected no priming when the prime and target differed in animacy features, contrary to our findings.

Priming without verb repetition is indicative of the repetition of abstract (non-lexicalized) representations. The fact that we found abstract priming without animacy

1 repetition demonstrates that these abstract representations are syntactic rather than

2 syntactic/semantic. In other words, this finding provides the strongest support for the

claim that the processing of Mandarin involves the computation of autonomous

syntactic representations.

None of the analyses of individual experiments showed an interaction between priming and animacy, and paired comparisons showed no difference in priming when animacy features were repeated versus when they were not. However, the combined analysis of Experiments 2 and 3 showed a marginal prime type by animacy interaction. The magnitude of this marginal effect (4%) was smaller than the significant boost to priming that we found when the verb was repeated across prime and target (13%). Given that priming occurred in the absence of animacy repetition, the presence or absence of this interaction does not affect our conclusions.

On the basis of previous research, we can suggest two possible explanations for this interaction. First, it might reflect a semantic boost to syntactic priming of the sort reported by Cleland & Pickering (2003), who found that syntactic priming for noun phrase structure was enhanced when the prime and target involved semantically related nouns than when they did not (see also Bernolet, Colleman, & Hartsuiker, 2014). But these experiments used nouns that were closely related (e.g., *sheep* vs. *goat*) rather than simply repeating whether they referred to animate entities or not. Alternatively, it may have a similar locus to Bock, Loebell, and Morey's (1992) finding that mappings of animacy features to grammatical functions could be primed in English.

Note that our conclusions concern representations constructed during comprehension but that our dependent measures are based on production. One might argue that comprehenders construct a single integrated representation but that only the syntactic properties of this representation are susceptible to priming in production.

1 This would mean that comprehenders might construct V NP<sub>ANIM</sub> PP<sub>INAN</sub> but the locus of

2 priming would be V NP PP. But this account would imply that the syntactic (e.g., V NP

3 PP) and semantic (animacy) components would not in fact be collapsed into an

4 integrated representation. In fact this account would correspond to one in which

5 syntactic and semantic representations are dissociated (though they may jointly

constrain aspects of comprehension – for example, a rule of anaphoric interpretation

might make reference to both representations).

In sum, our results suggest that, as in languages with stronger cues to syntactic structure such as English and German, Mandarin speakers compute independent syntactic representations during language processing. Of course, our results do not show that the processes by which these representations are computed are the same across languages. Indeed, processing evidence suggests that there may be important differences between such languages in the ways in which syntactic information and semantic information are brought to bear during processing (e.g., Cai & Dong, 2007; Zhang et al., 2010, 2013). Nevertheless, they suggest that the representational basis of language processing may be the same across languages with very different characteristics, with a fundamental distinction between the representation of information about structure and the representation of information about meaning.

- 1 Acknowledgements
- 2 This research was supported by a Royal Society of Edinburgh/National Science
- 3 Foundation of China research grant awarded to SW and HB. HB was supported by a
- 4 British Academy/Leverhulme Trust Senior Research Fellowship. SW was supported by
- 5 the Guangdong Province Universities and Colleges Pearl River Scholar Funded Scheme
- 6 (GDUPS 2011) in China. JH was supported by the Guangzhou Philosophy and Social
- 7 Science Foundation (14Q15).

1 Notes

- <sup>2</sup> To rule out a concern that semantic acceptability might have affected the results of
- 3 Experiment 3 (because the inanimate entities were implausible recipients), we had
- 4 twenty further participants rate the semantic acceptability of the inanimate recipient
- 5 sentences from Experiment 3 on a five-point scale (with five being the most
- 6 semantically acceptable). The mean acceptability was 3.74 (SD=0.65). Importantly,
- 7 there was no significant correlation between the semantic acceptability of each
- 8 sentence and its corresponding priming effect (r=0.03, p=.80), suggesting that
- 9 variations in semantic acceptability did not influence priming.

### References

- 2 Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J. (2013). Random effects structure for
- 3 confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language,*
- *68,* 255–278.
- 5 Bates, D., & Maechler, M. (2010). Lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes (R
- 6 package version 0.999375-32). Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical
- 7 Computing.
- 8 Bernolet, S., Hartsuiker, R. J., & Pickering, M. J. (2009). Persistence of emphasis in
- 9 language production: a cross-linguistic approach. *Cognition*, 112(2), 300-317.
- Bock, J. K. (1986). Syntactic persistence in language production. *Cognitive Psychology*,
- *18(3),* 355-387.
- Bock, K. (1989). Closed-class immanence in sentence production. *Cognition*, 31(2), 163-
- 13 186.
- 14 Bock, K., & Loebell, H. (1990). Framing sentences. *Cognition*, *35*(1), 1-39.
- Bock, K., Loebell, H., & Morey, R. (1992). From conceptual roles to structural relations:
- bridging the syntactic cleft. *Psychological Review*, 99(1), 150-171.
- Bock, J. K., Butterfield, S., Cutler, A., Cutting, J. C., Eberhard, K. M., & Humphreys, K. R.
- 18 (2006). Number agreement in British and American English: Disagreeing to agree
- collectively. *Language*, 64-113.
- Branigan, H. P., Pickering, M. J., Liversedge, S. P., Stewart, A. J., & Urbach, T. P. (1995).
- 21 Syntactic priming: Investigating the mental representation of language. *Journal of*
- *Psycholinguistic Research, 24(6), 489-506.*
- Branigan, H. P., Pickering, M. J., & Cleland, A. A. (2000). Syntactic co-ordination in
- 24 dialogue. *Cognition*, *75(2)*, B13-25.

- Branigan, H. P., Pickering, M. J., & McLean, J. F. (2005). Priming prepositional-phrase
- 2 attachment during comprehension. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning,
- 3 *Memory, and Cognition, 31(3), 468-481.*
- 4 Cai, Z, G., & Dong, Y. P. (2007). Transfer of sentence processing strategies for Chinese-
- 5 English bilinguals. *Modern Foreign Languages, 3,* 251-261.
- 6 Cai, Z. G., Pickering, M. J., Yan, H., & Branigan, H. P. (2011). Lexical and syntactic
- 7 representations in closely related languages: Evidence from Cantonese–Mandarin
- 8 bilinguals. *Journal of Memory and Language, 65(4), 431-445*.
- 9 Cai, Z. G., Pickering, M. J., & Branigan, H. P. (2012). Mapping concepts to syntax: Evidence
- from structural priming in Mandarin Chinese. *Journal of Memory and Language*,
- *66(4)*, 833-849.
- 12 Cai, Z. G., Pickering, M. J., Wang, R., & Branigan, H. P. (2015). It is there whether you hear
- it or not: syntactic representation of missing arguments. *Cognition, 136,* 255-267.
- 14 Caplan, D. (2006). Why is Broca's area involved in syntax? *Cortex, 42(4), 469-471*.
- 15 Carminati, M. N., van Gompel, R. P., Scheepers, C., & Arai, M. (2008). Syntactic priming in
- comprehension: the role of argument order and animacy. *Journal of Experimental*
- 17 *Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 34(5), 1098.*
- 18 Chen, S. H., Chen, S. C., & He, T. H. (2012). Surface cues and pragmatic interpretation of
- 19 given/new in Mandarin Chinese and English: A comparative study. *Journal of*
- 20 *Pragmatics*, 44(4), 490-507.
- 21 Chu, C. C. H. (1998). A discourse grammar of Mandarin Chinese (Vol. 6). P. Lang.
- Dell, G. S. (1986). A spreading-activation theory of retrieval in sentence production.
- 23 *Psychological Review, 93(3), 283-321.*

- 1 Embick, D., Marantz, A., Miyashita, Y., O'Neil, W., & Sakai, K. L. (2000). A syntactic
- 2 specialization for Broca's area. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
- 3 *the United States of America, 97(11), 6150-6154.*
- 4 Friederici, A. D., Steinhauer, K., & Frisch, S. (1999). Lexical integration: sequential effects
- of syntactic and semantic information. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(3), 438-453.
- 6 Friederici, A. D., Gunter, T. C., Hahne, A., & Mauth, K. (2004). The relative timing of
- 7 syntactic and semantic processes in sentence comprehension. *Neuroreport*, 15(1),
- 8 165-169.
- 9 Friederici, A. D. (2011). The brain basis of language processing: from structure to
- function. *Physiological Reviews*, 91(4), 1357-1392.
- Garrett, M. F (1980). Levels of processing in sentence production. In B. Butterworth
- 12 (Ed.), Language productionVol. 1 (pp. 177–210). London: Academic Press, .
- Hahne, A., & Friederici, A. D. (2002). Differential task effects on semantic and syntactic
- processes as revealed by ERPs. Brain research. Cognitive Brain Research, 13(3),
- 15 339-356.
- Hartsuiker, R. J., Bernolet, S., Schoonbaert, S., Speybroeck, S., & Vanderelst, D. (2008).
- 17 Syntactic priming persists while the lexical boost decays: Evidence from written
- and spoken dialogue. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 58(2), 214-238.
- 19 Hoosain, R. (1991). *Psycholinguistic Implications for Linguistic Relativity: A Case Study of*
- 20 *Chinese*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum,
- 21 Isel, F., Hahne, A., Maess, B., & Friederici, A. D. (2007). Neurodynamics of sentence
- interpretation: ERP evidence from French. *Biological Psychology*, 74(3), 337-346.
- Ivanova, I., Pickering, M.J., Branigan, H.P., McLean, J.F., & Costa, A. (2012). The
- comprehension of anomalous sentences: Evidence from structural priming.
- 25 *Cognition, 122,* 193-209.

- 1 Kutas, M., & Hillyard, S. A. (1980). Reading senseless sentences: brain potentials reflect
- 2 semantic incongruity. *Science*, *207*(4427), 203-205.
- 3 Kutas, M., & Federmeier, K. D. (2011). Thirty years and counting: finding meaning in the
- 4 N400 component of the event-related brain potential (ERP). Annual Review of
- 5 *Psychology, 62,* 621-647.
- 6 Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). Speaking: From intention to articulation. Cambridge, MA: MIT
- 7 Press.
- 8 Li, C. N., & Thompson, S. A. (1989). *Mandarin Chinese: A functional reference grammar*.
- 9 Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Li, P., Bates, E., & MacWhinney, B. (1993). Processing a language without inflections: A
- reaction time study of sentence interpretation in Chinese. *Journal of Memory and*
- 12 *Language, 32(2),* 169-192.
- Li, P. (1996). The temporal structure of spoken sentence comprehension in Chinese.
- 14 *Perception & Psychophysics, 58(4),* 571-586.
- Liu, B., Wang, Z., & Jin, Z. (2010). The effects of punctuations in Chinese sentence
- comprehension: an ERP study. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 23(1), 66-80.
- Lu, J. M. (1997). Guanyu yuyi zhixiang fenxi (On semantic directionality analysis).
- *Zhonguo Yuyanxue Luncong (Forum on Chinese Linguistics), 1,* 34–48. [In Chinese]
- 19 Luke, K. K., Liu, H. L., Wai, Y. Y., Wan, Y. L., & Tan, L. H. (2002). Functional anatomy of
- 20 syntactic and semantic processing in language comprehension. *Human Brain*
- 21 *Mapping*, 16(3), 133-145.
- 22 Ma, Q. Z. (1998). Hanyu yuyi yufa fanzhou wenti (Issues on Chinese semantic and syntax)..
- Beijing: Beijing Language and Culture University Press. [In Chinese]
- MacDonald, M. C., Pearlmutter, N. J., & Seidenberg, M. S. (1994). The lexical nature of
- syntactic ambiguity resolution [corrected]. *Psychological Review, 101(4), 676-703*.

- 1 McClelland, J. L., St. John, M., & Taraban, R. (1989). Sentence comprehension: A parallel
- distributed processing approach. Language and Cognitive Processes, 4(3-4), SI287-
- 3 SI335.
- 4 Messenger, K., Branigan, H. P., & McLean, J. F. (2011). Evidence for (shared) abstract
- structure underlying children's short and full passives. *Cognition*, *121(2)*, 268-274.
- 6 Messenger, K., Branigan, H. P., McLean, J. F., & Sorace, A. (2012). Is young children's
- 7 passive syntax semantically constrained? Evidence from syntactic priming. *Journal*
- 8 *of Memory and Language, 66(4), 568-587.*
- 9 Miao, X. (1981). Word order and semantic strategies in Chinese sentence
- comprehension. *International Journal of Psycholinguistics, 8(3),* 109-122.
- Miao, X., Chen, G., & Ying, H. (1986). Sentence comprehension in Chinese. In M. Zhu(Ed.),
- 12 Studies in Child Language Development Shanghai: East China Normal University
- 13 Press. [In Chinese]
- Pickering, M. J., & Branigan, H. P. (1998). The representation of verbs: Evidence from
- syntactic priming in language production. *Journal of Memory and Language, 39(4),*
- 16 633-651.
- 17 Pickering, M. J., Branigan, H. P., & McLean, J. F. (2002). Constituent structure is
- formulated in one stage. *Journal of Memory and Language, 46(3),* 586-605.
- 19 Shao, J. M. (1998). *Jufa jiegou zhong de yuyi yanjiu (Studies of semantics in syntactic*
- *structures*). Beijing: Beijing University of Language and Culture Press. [In Chinese]
- 21 Trueswell, J. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Garnsey, S. M. (1994). Semantic influences on
- parsing: Use of thematic role information in syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Journal*
- 23 of Memory and Language, 33(3), 285-318.
- Vernice, M., Pickering, M. J., & Hartsuiker, R. J. (2012). Thematic emphasis in language
- production. *Language and Cognitive Processes, 27(5), 631-664.*

- 1 Wang, S., Zhu, Z., Zhang, J. X., Wang, Z., Xiao, Z., Xiang, H., & Chen, H. C. (2008). Broca's
- 2 area plays a role in syntactic processing during Chinese reading comprehension.
- 3 *Neuropsychologia*, 46(5), 1371-1378.
- 4 Xing, F. Y. (1995). *Hanyu yufaxue* (The study of Chinese grammar). Changchun: North-
- 5 East Normal University Press.
- 6 Xu, T. Q. (2000). Yuyan lun. (On language ) Changchun: North-East Normal University
- 7 Press.
- 8 Yang, C.-L., Perfetti, C. A., & Liu, Y. (2010). Sentence integration processes: An ERP study
- of Chinese sentence comprehension with relative clauses. Brain & Language, 112,
- 10 85-100.
- Ye, Z., Luo, Y. J., Friederici, A. D., & Zhou, X. (2006). Semantic and syntactic processing in
- 12 Chinese sentence comprehension: evidence from event-related potentials. *Brain*
- 13 *Research, 1071(1),* 186-196.
- 14 Yu, J., & Zhang, Y. (2008). When Chinese semantics meets failed syntax. *Neuroreport*,
- 15 *19(7),* 745-749.
- Thang, L. (1997a). What is parataxis? *Hanyu Xuexi in Chinese pinyin*, 97, 58–61.
- 27 Zhang, L. (1997b). Issue on semantic categories. *Hanyu Xuexi in Chinese pinyin*, 100, 8–
- 18 13.
- 19 Zhang, Y., Yu, J., & Boland, J. E. (2010). Semantics does not need a processing license
- from syntax in reading Chinese. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning,*
- 21 *Memory, and Cognition, 36(3), 765-781.*
- 22 Zhang, Y., Li, P., Piao, Q., Liu, Y., Huang, Y., & Shu, H. (2013). Syntax does not necessarily
- precede semantics in sentence processing: ERP evidence from Chinese. Brain and
- 24 *Language*, 126(1), 8-19.

- 1 Appendix
- 2 Experimental materials. In the first sentence, the first braces show the
- animate/inanimate PO conditions; the second braces show the animate/inanimate DO
- 4 conditions. The second sentence shows the baseline condition. The third sentence
- 5 shows the DO version of the target.

	Experiments 1 and 2	Experiments 4 and 5	Experiment 3
1	妈妈抱(了西瓜给那个阿姨/商	妈妈送(了西瓜给那个阿姨/商	专家还(了一片绿洲给牧民/
	店)(给阿姨/商店一个西瓜)	店)(给阿姨/商店一个西瓜)	沙漠)(给牧民/沙漠一片绿洲
	Mother handed {the	Mother gave {the watermelon	)The expert returned{an
	watermelon to that	to that aunt/store}{the	oasis to the
	aunt/store}{the aunt/store a	aunt/store a watermelon}	herdsman/desert}{the
	watermelon}		herdsman/ desert an oasis}
	领导到了 The leader arrived	领导到了 The leader arrived	领导到了 The leader arrived
	医生抱给女孩一个花盆 The	医生抱给女孩一个花盆 The	女孩还给歌手一份歌谱 The
	doctor handed the girl a	doctor handed the girl a	girl returned the singer a
	doctor handed the girl a flowerpot	doctor handed the girl a flowerpot	girl returned the singer a musical score
2	g	G	
2	flowerpot	flowerpot	musical score
2	flowerpot 皇上赐(了珠宝/佛经给那个官	flowerpot 富翁还(了轮船/汽车给那个海	musical score 牧民赠(了一些肥料给邻居/
2	flowerpot 皇上赐(了珠宝/佛经给那个官 员/祠庙)(给官员元/祠庙一箱	flowerpot 富翁还(了轮船/汽车给那个海 盗/工厂)(给海盗/工厂一艘轮	musical score 牧民赠(了一些肥料给邻居/草原)(给邻居/草原一些肥料
2	flowerpot  皇上赐(了珠宝/佛经给那个官员/祠庙)(给官员元/祠庙一箱珠宝/佛经)The emperor	flowerpot 富翁还(了轮船/汽车给那个海 盗/工厂)(给海盗/工厂一艘轮 船/一辆汽车)The rich man	musical score 牧民赠(了一些肥料给邻居/草原)(给邻居/草原一些肥料 )The herdsman bestowed-
2	flowerpot  皇上赐(了珠宝/佛经给那个官员/祠庙)(给官员元/祠庙一箱珠宝/佛经)The emperor granted {the jewelry/	flowerpot 富翁还(了轮船/汽车给那个海盗/工厂)(给海盗/工厂一艘轮船/一辆汽车)The rich man returned{the steamer/car to	musical score 牧民赠(了一些肥料给邻居/草原)(给邻居/草原一些肥料 )The herdsman bestowed- upon {some manure to the

# of Buddhist texts}

3

4

工人下班了 The worker got 工人下班了 The worker got off 工人下班了 The worker got off work work off work 王子赐给公主一个皇冠 The 王子赐给公主一个皇冠 The 王子赠给公主一个皇冠 The prince granted the princess a prince granted the princess a prince bestowed-upon the crown crown princess a crown 书记还(了桌子给那个大叔/商 飞行员抛(了一些炸弹给敌人 皇上赏(了珠宝/佛经给那个官 店)(给大叔/商店一张桌子 员/祠庙)(给官员/祠庙一箱珠 /冰川)(给敌人/冰川一些炸 The clerk returned {the desk 弹)The pilot threw {some 宝/佛经)The emperor to that uncle/store}{the awarded {the jewelry/ bombs to the uncle/store a desk} Buddhist texts to that enemy/glacier}{the officials/temple}{the officials enemy/glacier some bombs} /temple a case of jewelry/a roll of Buddhist texts} 敌人跑了 The enemy ran 敌人跑了 The enemy ran away 敌人跑了 The enemy ran away away 女孩还给歌手一份歌谱 The 女孩还给歌手一份歌谱 The 道士抛给女巫一串炮竹 The girl returned the singer a girl returned the singer a taoist priest threw the witch musical score musical score a string of firecrackers 登山队留(了一串足迹给领队 富翁借(了轮船/汽车给那个海 富翁退(了房子/名画给那个秘 盗/工厂)(给海盗/工厂一艘轮 /雪山)(给领队/雪山一串足 书/店铺)(给秘书/店铺一套房 船/一辆汽车)The rich man 子/一幅名画)The rich man 迹)The mountaineering team lent{the steamer/car to that restored {the house/famous left {a string of footprints to

pirate/ factory}{the	painting to that secretary/	the leader/ snowy mountain
pirate/factory a steamer/car}	shop}{the secretary/ shop a	}{the leader/snowy
	house/a famous painting}	mountain a string of
		footprints}
妈妈笑了 Mother smiled	妈妈笑了 Mother smiled	妈妈笑了 Mother smiled
修女借给渔夫一把雨伞 The	修女借给渔夫一把雨伞 The	画家留给鼓手一台空调 The
nun lent the fisher an	nun lent the fisher an umbrella	painter left the drummer an
umbrella		air condition
经理/富翁买(了房子/名画给	书记赔(了木材给那个土豪/工	游客丢(了一些硬币给乞丐/
那个秘书/店铺)(给秘书/店铺	厂)(给土豪/工厂一些木材)The	湖泊)(给乞丐/湖泊一些硬币
一套房子/一幅名画)The	clerk compensated {the timber	)The tourists tossed {some
manager/The rich man	to that local tyrant/factory}	coins to the beggar/lake}{the
bought {the house /famous	{the local tyrant/factory some	beggar/lake some coins}
painting to that secretary/the	timber}	
shop}		
爸爸累了 Father was tired	爸爸累了 Father was tired	爸爸累了 Father was tired
护士买给男孩一束鲜花 The	护士买给男孩一束鲜花 The	公主丢给农民一个宝石 The
nurse bought the boy a flower	nurse bought the boy a flower	princess tossed the farmer a
		gem
书记卖(了木材给那个土豪/工	厂商赠(了冰箱/粮食给那个顾	女巫交(了一个灵魂给上帝/
厂)(给土豪/工厂一些木材	客/军队)(给顾客/军队一台冰	地狱)(给上帝/地狱一个灵魂
)The clerk sold {the timber to	箱/一些粮食)The	)The witch submitted {a soul
that local tyrant/factory}{the	manufacturer bestowed-upon	to the god/hell}{the god/hell

local tyrant/factory some	{the refrigerator/grain to that	a soul}
timber}	customer/army}{the	
	customer/army a	
	refrigerator/some grain}	
敌人阵亡了 The enemy die	敌人阵亡了 The enemy die	敌人阵亡了 The enemy die
修女卖给医生一套沙发 The	修女卖给医生一套沙发 The	车手交给司机一个车牌 The
nun sold the doctor a sofa	nun sold the doctor a sofa	racing driver submitted the
		chauffeur a license plate
厂商赔(了冰箱/粮食给那个顾	老板租(了汽车给那个经理/工	考察团送(了一座电站给村民
客/军队)(给顾客/军队一台冰	厂)(给经理/工厂一辆汽车)The	/峡谷)(给村民/峡谷一座电
箱/一些粮食)The	employer rent {the car to that	站)The exploratory mission
manufacturer compensated	manager/factory}{the	gave {a power station to the
{the refrigerator/grain to that	manager/factory a car}	villagers/valley}{the
customer/army}{the		villagers/valley a power
customer/army a		station}
refrigerator/some grain}		
小矮人笑了 The dwarf smiled	小矮人笑了 The dwarf smiled	小矮人笑了 The dwarf smiled
空姐赔给交警一个喇叭 The	空姐赔给交警一个喇叭 The	官员送给渔夫一个宝石 The
airline stewardess	airline stewardess	official gave the fisher the
compensated the traffic police	compensated the traffic police	gem
a trumpet	a trumpet	
老板配(了汽车给那个经理/工	明星买(了唱片给那个助理/公	探险队配(了一些物资给居民
厂)(给经理/工厂一辆汽车	司)(给助理/公司一张唱片)The	/北极)(给居民/北极一些物

	)The employer distributed	star bought {the record to that	资)The expedition
	{the car to that	assistant/company}{the	distributed {some materials
	manager/department}{the	assistant/company a record}	to the residents/the north
	manager/department a car}		pole}{ the residents/the
			north pole some materials}
	小宝宝醒了 The little baby	小宝宝醒了 The little baby	小宝宝醒了 The little baby
	woke up	woke up	woke up
	国王配给将军一辆大炮 The	国王配给将军一辆大炮 The	国王配给将军一辆大炮 The
	king distributed the general a	king distributed the general a	king distributed the general a
	cannon	cannon	cannon
9	明星送(了唱片给那个助理/公	老爷配(了聘礼/礼服给那个地	科学家带(了一个卫星给首领
	司)(给助理/公司一张唱片	主/乐队)(给地主/乐队一份聘	/宇宙)(给首领/宇宙一个卫
	)The star gave {the record to	礼/一件礼服)The milord	星)The scientist brought {a
	that assistant/company}{the	distributed {the bride-	satellite to the
	assistant/company a record}	price/the full dress to that	chieftain/universe}{the
		landlord/band}{the	chieftain/universe a satellite}
		landlord/band a bride-price/a	
		full dress}	
	巫婆走了 The witch went out	巫婆走了 The witch went out	巫婆走了 The witch went out
	女孩送给画家一束鲜花 The	女孩送给画家一束鲜花 The	护士带给男孩一束鲜花 The
	girl gave the painter a flower	girl gave the painter a flower	nurse brought the boy the
			flower
10	老爷退(了聘礼/礼服给那个地	书记借(了桌子给那个大叔/商	开发商还(了一片安宁给居民

主/商场)(给地主/商场一份聘 店)(给大叔/商店一张桌子)The /荒岛)(给居民/荒岛一片安 clerk lent {the desk to that 礼/一件礼服)The milord 宁)The developers returned uncle/store}{the uncle/store a restored {the bride-price/the {a peace to the desk} full dress to that residents/uninhabited landlord/market}{the island}{the landlord/market a brideresidents/uninhabited island price/a full dress} a peace} 员工升职了 The staff got 员工升职了 The staff got 员工升职了 The staff got promoted promoted promoted 爷爷退给厨师一个火腿 爷爷退给厨师一个火腿 空姐还给交警一个喇叭 The Grandfather restored the chef Grandfather restored the chef airline stewardess returned a ham a ham the traffic police a trumpet 酋长赠(了一些牛羊给牧民/ 将军交(了书信给那个下属/军 老板还(了合同给那个律师/公 队)(给下属/军队一封书信 司)(给律师/公司一份合同)The 草原)(给牧民/草原一些牛羊 )The general submitted {the employer returned {the )The chieftain bestowedletter to that contract to that upon {some flocks and herds subordinate/army}{the lawyer/company}{the to the herdsmen/prairie}{the subordinate/army a letter} lawyer/company a contract} herdsmen/prairie some flocks and herds} 妹妹哭了 The sister cried 妹妹哭了 The sister cried 妹妹哭了 The sister cried 车手交给司机一个车牌 The 车手交给司机一个车牌 The 天使赠给女孩一个糖果 The racing driver submitted the racing driver submitted the angel bestowed-upon the girl chauffeur a license plate chauffeur a license plate a candy

12 皇上赏(了银子给那个将军/王府)(给将军/王府一箱银子)
The emperor awarded {the silver to that general/palace of a prince}{the general/palace of a prince a box of silver}
客人饿了 The guest was

老板借(了场地给那个厂商/商场)(给厂商/商场一个场地)The employer lent {the site to that manufacturer/market}{the manufacturer/market a site}

天神留(了一堆灰烬给村民/ 火山)(给村民/火山一堆灰烬 )The god left {a heap of ashes to the villagers/volcano}{the villagers/volcano a heap of ashes}

hungry 官员赏给渔夫一个宝石 The official awarded the fisher a

gem

14

hungry 官员赏给渔夫一个宝石 The official awarded the fisher a gem

客人饿了 The guest was

hungry 修女留给渔夫一把雨伞 The nun left the fisher an

科学家丢(了一个难题给人类

/宇宙)(给人类/宇宙一个难

umbrella

客人饿了 The guest was

老板租(了场地给那个厂商/商 场)(给厂商/商场一个场地 )The employer rent the site to that manufacturer/market}{the manufacturer/market a site}

皇上赐(了银子给那个将军/王 府)(给将军/王府一箱银子) The emperor granted {the silver to that general/palace of a prince}{the general/palace of a prince a box of silver} 小明病了 XiaoMing was ill 裁缝租给模特一件衣服 The dressmaker rent the model a

题)The scientist tossed {a problem to the human/universe}{the human/universe a problem} 小明病了 XiaoMing was ill 财神丢给球员一些钞票 The god of wealth tossed the footballer some bills
人类交(了一份答卷给上帝/

piece of clothing

dressmaker rent the model a

小明病了 XiaoMing was ill

裁缝租给模特一件衣服 The

大臣赠(了礼物给那个公主/教

大臣买(了礼物给那个公主/教

piece of clothing

堂)(给公主/教堂一份礼物 堂)(给公主/教堂一份礼物)The 宇宙)(给人类/宇宙一份答卷 )The minister bestowed-upon minister bought {the gift to )The human submitted {a {the gift to that that princess/church}{ the paper to the princess/church}{ the princess/church a gift} god/universe}{the princess/church a gift} god/universe a paper} 弟弟醒了 The young brother 弟弟醒了 The young brother 弟弟醒了 The young brother woke up woke up woke up 天使赠给女孩一个糖果 The 天使赠给女孩一个糖果 The 裁缝交给模特一件衣服 The angel bestowed-upon the girl angel bestowed-upon the girl a dressmaker submitted the model a piece of clothing a candy candy 环保者送(了一些植被给市民 铁匠留(了店铺给那个徒弟/社 铁匠交(了店铺给那个徒弟/社 区)(给徒弟/社区一间店铺 区)(给徒弟/社区一间店铺)The /沙漠)(给市民/沙漠一些植 The blacksmith left {the store blacksmith submitted {the 被)The environmentalists to that store to that gave {some vegetation to the apprentice/community}{ the apprentice/community}{ the citizens/desert}{the apprentice/community a apprentice/community a citizens/desert some store} store} vegetation} 妹妹跌倒了 The younger 妹妹跌倒了 The younger sister 妹妹跌倒了 The younger sister fell down fell down sister fell down 画家留给鼓手一台空调 The 画家留给鼓手一台空调 The 女孩送给画家一束鲜花 The painter left the drummer an girl gave the painter a flower painter left the drummer an air condition air condition 科考队配(了一个基站给专家 叔叔抱(了篮球给那个男孩/学 叔叔送(了篮球给那个男孩/学

15

校)(给男孩/学校一个篮球	校)(给男孩/学校一个篮球)The	/北极)(给专家/北极一个基
)The uncle handed {the	uncle gave {the basketball to	站)The scientific expedition
basketball to that	that boy/school}{the	team distributed {a base
boy/school}{the boy/school a	boy/school a basketball}	station to the experts/the
basketball}		north pole}{the experts/the
		north pole a base station}
巫婆上当了 The witch was	巫婆上当了 The witch was	巫婆上当了 The witch was
cheated	cheated	cheated
奶奶抱给车手一个椰子	奶奶抱给车手一个椰子	导演配给司机一辆的士 The
Grandmother handed the	Grandmother handed the	director distributed the
racing driver a coconut	racing driver a coconut	driver a taxi
将军赐(了宝剑/佛像给那个侍	保安借(了钥匙给那个户主/公	水手带(了一些污染给渔民/
卫/寺庙)(给侍卫/寺庙一把宝	司)(给户主/公司一串钥匙)The	海洋)(给渔民/海洋一些污染
剑/一尊佛像)The general	security lent {the key to that	)The sailor brought {some
granted {the sword/Buddha	head of a	pollution to the
to that	household/company}{the	fishermen/sea}{the
bodyguards/temple}{the	head of a household/company	fishermen/sea some
bodyguards/temple a	a key}	pollution}
sword/a figure of Buddha}		
孩子睡了 The child was	孩子睡了 The child was asleep	孩子睡了 The child was
asleep		asleep
皇后赐给骑士一个小岛 The	皇后赐给骑士一个小岛 The	爷爷带给厨师一个火腿。
queen granted the knight a	queen granted the knight a	Grandfather brought the chef

small island small island the ham 18 保安还(了钥匙给那个户主/公 总统赠(了一件礼物给市民/ 将军赏(了宝剑/佛像给那个侍 司)(给户主/公司一串钥匙 卫/寺庙)(给侍卫/寺庙一把宝 火星)(给市民/火星一件礼物 The security returned {the 剑/一尊佛像)The general )The president bestowedupon {a gift to the key to that head of a awarded {the sword/Buddha household/company}{the citizens/Mars}{ the to that head of a household/company citizens/Mars a gift} bodyguards/temple}{the a key} bodyguards/temple a sword/a figure of Buddha} 小明跌倒了 Xiao Ming fell 小明跌倒了 Xiao Ming fell 小明跌倒了 Xiao Ming fell down down down 警察还给孕妇一本护照 The 警察还给孕妇一本护照 The 歌手赠给空姐一台钢琴 The policeman returned the policeman returned the singer bestowed-upon the pregnant woman a passport pregnant woman a passport airline stewardess a piano 19 将军留(了书信给那个下属/军 小孩抛(了一块石头给村民/ 老板借(了合同给那个律师/公 司)(给律师/公司一份合同 队)(给下属/军队一封书信)The 池塘)(给村民/池塘一块石头 The employer lent {the general left {the letter to that The child threw {a stone to contract to that subordinate/army}{the the villagers/pond}{the lawyer/company}{the subordinate/army a letter} villagers/pond a stone} lawyer/company a contract} 消防员牺牲了 The fireman 消防员牺牲了 The fireman 消防员牺牲了 The fireman was sacrificed was sacrificed was sacrificed 财神借给球员一些钞票 The 财神借给球员一些钞票 The 奶奶抛给车手一个椰子

god of wealth lent the footballer some bills 经理买(了名画/电脑给那个贵 妇/部门)(给贵妇/部门一幅名 画/一台电脑)The manager bought {the famous painting/computer to that lady boutique/department}{the lady boutique/department a famous painting/a computer} 姨妈退休了 The maternal aunt retired 歌手买给空姐一台空调 The singer bought the airline stewardess an air condition 贩子卖(了药材给那个商人/商 店)(了商人/商店一些药材 )The dealer sold {the medicinal materials to that merchant/store}{the merchant/store some medicinal materials}

20

21

god of wealth lent the
footballer some bills
经理抱(了名画/电脑给那个贵
妇/部门)(给贵妇/部门一幅名
画/一台电脑)The manager
handed {the famous
painting/computer to that lady
boutique/department}{the
lady boutique/department a
famous painting/a computer}

Grandmother threw the racing driver a coconut 祖先留(了一些宝藏给子孙/峡谷)(给子孙/峡谷一些宝藏)The ancestor left {some precious deposits to the descendants /valley}{the descendants/valley some precious deposits}

姨妈退休了 The maternal aunt retired
歌手买给空姐一台空调 The singer bought the airline stewardess an air condition 贩子赔(了药材给那个商人/商店)(了商人/商店一些药材)The dealer compensated {the medicinal materials to that merchant /store} store {the medicinal materials}

aunt retired
修女留给医生一套沙发 The
nun left the doctor a sofa

敌人丢(了一个导弹给红军/ 荒岛)(给红军/荒岛一个导弹
)The enemy tossed {a bomb
to the Red Army/uninhabited
island}{the Red
Army/uninhabited island a

bomb}

姨妈退休了 The maternal

爸爸来了 Father came 爸爸来了 Father came 爸爸来了 Father came 爷爷卖给渔夫一张渔网 爷爷卖给渔夫一张渔网 超人丢给小新一个球拍 The Grandfather sold the fisher a Grandfather sold the fisher a superman tossed Xiaoxing a fishing net fishing net racket 22 班长赔(了字典给那个同学/班 班长赠(了字典给那个同学/班 工程师交(了一份报告给主管 级)(给同学/班级一本字典 级)(给同学/班级一本字典)The /电站)(给主管/电站一份报 )The monitor compensated monitor bestowed-upon {the 告)The engineer submitted {a {the dictionary to that dictionary to that report to the classmate/class}{the classmate/class}{the supervisor/power classmate/class a dictionary} classmate/class a dictionary} station}{the supervisor/power station a report} 小明跑了 Xiao Ming ran away 小明跑了 Xiao Ming ran away 小明跑了 Xiao Ming ran away 导演赔给模特一枚戒指 The 导演赔给模特一枚戒指 The 司机交给邮差一辆货车 The director compensated the director compensated the driver submitted the model a ring model a ring postman a truck 23 皇上配(了轿子给那个大臣/王 皇上租(了轿子给那个大臣/王 群众送(了一些物资给灾民/ 府)(给大臣/王府一顶轿子 府)(给大臣/王府一顶轿子)The 草原)(给灾民/草原一些物资 )The emperor distributed {the emperor rent {the sedan chair )The masses gave {some sedan chair to that materials to the to that minister/palace of a minister/palace of a prince}{the minister/palace of victims/prairie}{the prince}{the minister/palace a prince a sedan chair} victims/prairie some of a prince a sedan chair} materials}

巫婆上当了 The witch was tricked 导演配给司机一把钥匙 The director distributed the driver a key 红军送(了粮食给那个大娘/战区)(给大娘/战区一些粮食

24

25

红军送(了粮食给那个大娘/战区)(给大娘/战区一些粮食) The Red Army gave {the grain to that aunt/war zone} {the aunt/war zone grain}

叔叔来了 The uncle came 仙女送给牧童一个海螺 The fairy gave the shepherd boy a conch

主任退(了货物给那个老总/工厂)(给老总/工厂一批货物
)The director restored {the cargo to that general manager/factory}{the general manager/factory a batch of

巫婆上当了 The witch was tricked 导演配给司机一把钥匙 The director distributed the driver a key 法官赐(了金钱给那个证人/团

judge granted {the money to
that witness/team}{the
witness/team some money}

队)(给证人/团队一些金钱)The

叔叔来了 The uncle came 仙女送给牧童一个海螺 The fairy gave the shepherd boy a conch

主任配(了货物给那个老总/工厂)(给老总/工厂一批货物)The director distributed {the cargo to that general manager/factory}{the general manager/factory a batch of

巫婆上当了 The witch was tricked
仙女送给牧童一个海螺 The fairy gave the shepherd boy a conch
专家配(了一个探测仪给队员
/火山)(给队员一个探测仪
)The experts distributed {a detecting instrument to the team member/volcano}{the team member/volcano a detecting instrument}
叔叔来了 The uncle came

考察队带(了一些标本给专家
/北极)(给专家/北极一些标
本)The expedition brought
{some specimens to the
experts/north pole}{the
experts/north pole some

导演配给保姆一把 The

director distributed the

nanny a key

cargo} specimens} cargo} 工人下岗了 The worker was 工人下岗了 The worker was 工人下岗了 The worker was laid-off laid-off laid-off 孕妇退给医生一些胶囊 The 孕妇退给医生一些胶囊 The pregnant woman restored pregnant woman restored the the doctor some capsules doctor some capsules musical score 家长交(了学费给那个老师/学 26 家长留(了学费给那个老师/学 校)(给老师/学校一些学费 校)(给老师/学校一些学费)The The parents submitted {the parents left {the tuition to that tuition to that teacher/school}{the seeds to the teacher/school}{the teacher/school some tuition} teacher/school some tuition} 明星迟到了 The star was late 明星迟到了 The star was late 囚犯交给警察一把手枪 The 囚犯交给警察一把手枪 The prisoner submitted the prisoner submitted the policeman a gun policeman a gun a fishing net 27 法官赏(了金钱给那个证人/团 红军买(了粮食给那个大娘/战 队)(给证人/团队一些金钱 区)(给大娘/战区一些粮食)The )The judge awarded {the Red Army bought {the grain to money to that that aunt/war zone}{the witness/team}{the

女孩带给歌手一份歌谱 The girl brought the singer a 播种者抛(了一些种子给园丁 /草坪)(给园丁/草坪一些种 子)The sower threw {some gardener/lawn}{the gardener/lawn some seeds} 明星迟到了 The star was late 爷爷抛给渔夫一张渔网 Grandfather threw the fisher 游客留(了一堆废物给向导/ 雪山)(给向导/雪山一堆废物 The tourists left {a heap of coins to the guide/snowy mountain}{the guide/snowy mountain {a heap of coins} 工人辞职了 The worker

aunt/war zone some grain}

工人辞职了 The worker

witness/team some money}

工人辞职了 The worker

	resigned	resigned	resigned
	国王赏给士兵一座城堡 The	国王赏给士兵一座城堡 The	奶奶留给工人一副手套
	king awarded the soldier a	king awarded the soldier a	Grandmother left the worker
	castle	castle	a pair of gloves
28	导演租(了道具给那个编剧/剧	导演卖(了道具给那个编剧/剧	宇航员送(了一面红旗给战友
	组)(给编剧/剧组一些道具	组)(给编剧/剧组一些道具)The	/太空)(给战友/太空一面红
	)The director rent {the	director sold {the property to	旗)The astronaut gave {a flag
	property to that	that scriptwriter/crew}{the	to the comrade in
	scriptwriter/crew}{the	scriptwriter/crew a property}	arms/space}{the comrade in
	scriptwriter/crew a property}		arms/space a flag}
	士兵阵亡了 The soldiers die	士兵阵亡了 The soldiers die	士兵阵亡了 The soldiers die
	司机租给邮差一辆货车 The	司机租给邮差一辆货车 The	导演送给模特一枚戒指 The
	driver rent the postman a	driver rent the postman a	director gave the model a
	truck	truck	ring
29	皇上赠(了粮食给那个首领/村	皇上退(了粮食给那个首领/村	消防员配(了一些灭火器给居
	子)(给首领/村子一些粮食	子)(给首领/村子一些粮食)The	民/森林)(给居民/森林一些
	)The emperor bestowed-upon	emperor restored {the grain to	灭火器)The firemen
	{the grain to that	that chieftain/village}{the	distributed {some
	chieftain/village}{the	chieftain/village some grain}	extinguishers to the
	chieftain/village some grain}		residents/forest}{the
			residents/forest some
			extinguishers}
	小孩哭了 The child cried	小孩哭了 The child cried	小孩哭了 The child cried

老师赠给男孩一副球拍 The teacher bestowed-upon the boy a racket 作家留(了遗书给那个保姆/报社)(给保姆/报社一封遗书) The writer left {the posthumous paper to that nanny/newspaper office} {the nanny/newspaper office a posthumous paper} 巫婆晕了 The witch fainted 奶奶留给工人一副手套 Grandmother left the worker a pair of gloves

老师赠给男孩一副球拍 The teacher bestowed-upon the boy a racket 作家交(了遗书给那个保姆/报社)(给保姆/报社一封遗书)The writer submitted {the posthumous paper to that nanny/newspaper office}{the nanny/newspaper office a posthumous paper}
巫婆晕了 The witch fainted 奶奶留给工人一副手套 Grandmother left the worker a pair of gloves

王子配给将军一把匕首 The prince distributed the general a dagger 导游带(了一些鲜花给商人/沙漠)(给商人/沙漠一些鲜花)The tour guide brought {some flowers to the merchant/desert}{the merchant/desert some flowers}
巫婆晕了 The witch fainted 奶奶带给保姆一些蘑菇 Grandmother brought the nanny some mushrooms

1

30

- 1 Figures
- 2 Figure 1. Example target picture
- 3 Figure 2. Example filler picture

# 2 Figure 1.



女孩送\_\_\_\_\_

Figure 2.



奶奶烤\_\_\_\_\_