



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Quantification of Solubility Trapping in Natural and Engineered CO₂ Reservoir

Citation for published version:

Leslie, R, Cavanagh, A, Haszeldine, RS, Johnson, G & Gilfillan, S 2021, 'Quantification of Solubility Trapping in Natural and Engineered CO₂ Reservoir', *Petroleum Geoscience*.
<https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2020-120>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1144/petgeo2020-120](https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2020-120)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Petroleum Geoscience

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Quantification of Solubility Trapping in Natural and Engineered CO₂ Reservoirs

2 Rory Leslie^{1*}, Andrew J. Cavanagh¹, R. Stuart Haszeldine^{1,2}, Gareth Johnson³ and Stuart M.V. Gilfillan¹

3
4 ¹School of GeoSciences, Grant Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FE, UK

5 ²SCCS, High School Yards, Infirmary Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1LZ, Scotland

6 ³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 1XZ, UK

7
8 * Correspondence address: rory.leslie@ed.ac.uk

9 10 *Solubility Trapping Nat. & Eng. CO₂ Res.*

11
12 **Abstract:** Secure retention of CO₂ in geological reservoirs is essential for effective storage. Solubility
13 trapping, the dissolution of CO₂ into formation water, is a major sink on geological timescales in
14 natural CO₂ reservoirs. Observations during CO₂ injection, combined with models of CO₂ reservoirs,
15 indicate the immediate onset of solubility trapping. There is uncertainty regarding the evolution of
16 dissolution rates between the observable engineered timescale of years and decades, to the >10 kyr
17 state represented by natural CO₂ reservoirs. A small number of studies have constrained dissolution
18 rates within natural analogues. The studies show that solubility trapping is the principal storage
19 mechanism after structural trapping, removing 10–50% of CO₂ across whole reservoirs. Natural
20 analogues, engineered reservoirs and model studies produce a wide range of estimates on the fraction
21 of CO₂ dissolved and the dissolution rate. Analogue and engineered reservoirs do not show the high
22 fractions of dissolved CO₂ seen in several models. Evidence from natural analogues supports a model
23 of most dissolution occurring during emplacement and migration, before the establishment of a stable
24 gas-water contact. A rapid decline in CO₂ dissolution rate over time suggests that analogue reservoirs
25 are in dissolution equilibrium for most of the CO₂ residence time.

26
27 **Supplementary material:** [All data used in this paper are contained in the supplementary information
28 spreadsheet included with the submission. A doi and permanent url do not exist. Therefore, we wish
29 to use the GSL figshare portal]

51 CO₂ trapping mechanisms are physical and chemical processes that prevent CO₂ from migrating out of
52 a reservoir. For CO₂ capture and storage (CCS), trapping is the key to secure and long-term
53 sequestration of CO₂ (Alcalde *et al.* 2018; Miocic *et al.* 2018). Structural and stratigraphic trapping
54 beneath a seal, such as a shale caprock, and residual trapping in the reservoir pores through which
55 the CO₂ migrates, are essential components of the initial storage. Depending on storage depth,
56 injected CO₂ exists as a gas, liquid or supercritical phase. We use the term ‘free-phase’ to refer to CO₂
57 in the gas, liquid or supercritical phases to differentiate it from aqueous CO₂ dissolved in formation
58 water. CO₂ dissolution, also called solubility trapping, can remove free-phase CO₂ over time (Ajayi *et*
59 *al.* 2019). Formation water has the potential to store up to 50 kg/m³ of dissolved CO₂ when fully
60 saturated at reservoir conditions of 37°C and 100 kbar. The 37°C and 100 kbar reservoir conditions
61 approximate the Utsira Formation at the Sleipner storage reservoir and are typical of offshore sites
62 considered for saline aquifer storage (Steel *et al.* 2016). CO₂-saturated formation water is slightly
63 denser than ambient formation water and sinks in the reservoir. Naturally occurring CO₂ reservoirs
64 can be used as analogues for understanding processes, such as solubility trapping, over geological
65 timescales (Allis *et al.* 2001; Haszeldine *et al.* 2005). Solubility trapping is significant in these analogue
66 reservoirs (Gilfillan *et al.* 2009; Zhou *et al.* 2012). In the widely cited Bravo Dome CO₂ field (Sathaye *et*
67 *al.* 2014; Zwahlen *et al.* 2017) solubility trapping has removed between 10–50% of the 1600–1800
68 million metric tonnes (Mt) of CO₂ originally emplaced.

69

70 The physics and chemistry of CO₂ dissolution in storage reservoirs are well understood. Increased
71 pressure increases solubility; while increased temperature and salinity reduce solubility (Spycher &
72 Pruess 2005; Pruess & Spycher 2007; Riaz & Cinar 2014; Jacob & Saylor 2016). Pre-injection formation
73 water may contain dissolved CO₂, in equilibrium with carbonate minerals. Injection of free-phase CO₂
74 increases the partial pressure of CO₂ in the reservoir. The increased fugacity of the CO₂ would,
75 according to Henry’s Law, cause dissolution of the CO₂ into the formation water (Majer *et al.* 2008).
76 Dissolution will stop when a new equilibrium is reached. Knowledge of the formation water volume,
77 salinity, initial CO₂ saturation, reservoir pressure and temperature allows for a good approximation of
78 the potential maximum mass of dissolved CO₂.

79

80 Free-phase CO₂ and water are immiscible (Newmark *et al.* 2010). At the storage reservoir scale (>1
81 km), the CO₂ dissolution rate is effectively controlled by the surface-area of the free-phase CO₂ plume
82 and by CO₂ mobility, which allows contact with formation water. Capillary action, viscous flow,
83 pressure and gravity control the migration of the CO₂ plume in the reservoir. Molecular diffusion of
84 CO₂ within the formation water causes very low rates of dissolution (Pruess & Nordbotten 2011) but
85 can persist after emplacement and stabilisation of the CO₂ plume. Diffusion may be the main process
86 facilitating CO₂ dissolution over geological timescales in reservoirs where density-driven convection
87 does not occur. If the Rayleigh number, primarily controlled by reservoir permeability, is sufficiently
88 high, then density-driven convection may initiate at the front of the migrating CO₂ plume. The
89 convection is driven by the increased density of CO₂-saturated formation water relative to ambient
90 formation water. Convection enhances dissolution rates by circulating more CO₂-saturated formation
91 water away from the plume and less CO₂-saturated formation water towards the plume (Ajayi *et al.*
92 2019). Since convection accelerates the volume of formation water contacted, it increases the rate of
93 CO₂ dissolution (Pruess & Nordbotten 2011). Convection may continue after stabilisation of the CO₂
94 plume and enhance long-term dissolution rates compared to diffusion-only scenarios. In a closed
95 system, convection will still result in the same mass of CO₂ dissolved. In reservoirs with aquifer flow
96 (advection), the total mass and rate of CO₂ dissolution will be higher, since the total volume and rate
97 of formation water contacting the CO₂ is higher.

98

99 The total mass and rates of CO₂ dissolution in engineered sites are debated. Estimates of dissolution
100 can vary widely due to the large number of site-specific variables (e.g. permeability, anisotropy,
101 heterogeneity) and fluid dynamics (diffusion, convection, and advection) which are difficult to

102 accurately quantify. Some authors have attempted universal algorithmic formulations that capture
103 the expected behaviour (e.g. Martinez & Hesse 2016), while others have demonstrated the
104 adaptability of numerical reservoir simulators to estimate outcomes for specific case studies (e.g.
105 Pickup *et al.* 2011).

106
107 In natural CO₂ reservoirs, large volumes of CO₂ have been trapped in sedimentary rocks on geological
108 timescales. Commonly cited examples of commercially exploited CO₂ accumulations from the
109 Colorado Plateau, Rocky Mountains and Gulf Coast regions of the USA have undergone detailed
110 geochemical studies (Allis *et al.* 2001, Gilfillan *et al.* 2008; Gilfillan *et al.* 2009; Zhou *et al.* 2012). Results
111 from geochemical studies can help inform modelling studies of CO₂ dissolution and provide a
112 comparison with measurements from operational CCS sites to better calibrate predictive models of
113 long-term solubility trapping. Here, we focus on using natural analogues to complement studies of
114 solubility trapping using modelling and observations from engineered CO₂ reservoirs. We examine
115 both the total dissolved CO₂ mass and dissolution rate with a focus on timescales that cannot be
116 observed in operational settings, i.e. 10² to 10⁴ years.

117 118 **Aims and hypotheses**

119
120 This study has three aims: first, to review the work on solubility trapping from previous natural
121 analogue studies. Second, to assess the value of natural analogues as a means of investigating long-
122 term solubility trapping in CCS reservoirs. And third, to test conceptual scenarios of solubility trapping
123 rate over time using results from analogues, engineered reservoirs and models.

124
125 In terms of the third aim, there is uncertainty on the relative contribution of dissolution during the
126 injection and post-injection phases of engineered storage. The injection phase is defined as the initial
127 years-to-decades of a CCS project when CO₂ injection is occurring. The post-injection phase refers to
128 the decades of post-injection monitoring followed by the centuries and millennia of long-term storage
129 (>10 kyr). In the post-injection phase, a stable, structurally trapped CO₂ plume would be expected to
130 develop under a caprock in most reservoir settings. Even in well-described reservoirs, the CO₂
131 dissolution rate and the potential occurrence of equilibrium points are uncertain. The range of
132 outcomes can be summarised by two end-member scenarios for the relative rates of solubility
133 trapping from the start of injection, through to long-term storage (Fig. 1).

134
135 Scenario A can be considered the ‘rapid decline’ dissolution scenario (Fig. 1a). Dissolution rates are
136 initially very high at the start of injection but decline exponentially. In terms of process, this involves
137 rapid mixing of the injected CO₂ plume with formation water by entrapment and displacement. In
138 scenario A an equilibrium point is reached in the post-injection phase. Equilibrium occurs because of
139 the lowered CO₂ fugacity, due to the reduced free-phase volume, and the high CO₂ saturation of the
140 surrounding, hydrostatic formation water. Mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions associated
141 with CO₂ are also in equilibrium. Unless the system is disturbed, the dissolution rate beyond the
142 equilibrium point is zero.

143
144 Scenario B can be considered the ‘steady decline’ dissolution rate scenario (Fig. 1b). Dissolution rates
145 are still highest during injection and occur through the same processes as in scenario A; however, the
146 exponential rate of decay is slower. This is due to diffusion, and potentially convection, persisting
147 throughout the post-injection period. The continued action of these processes over thousands to
148 millions of years results in a delayed equilibrium of the system and a larger mass of CO₂ dissolved.

149
150 The occurrence of equilibrium points and the potential persistence of processes like diffusion and
151 convection are influenced by reservoir properties. Different forms of anisotropy and heterogeneity
152 can variably influence dissolution. Uniformly high permeability will enhance plume advancement and

153 enable convection, while the occurrence of low-permeability layers will increase the CO₂-formation
154 water contact area due to CO₂ channelling and capillary effects (Gilmore *et al.* 2020). Different spatial
155 orders of reservoir heterogeneity may variably enhance or inhibit the development of convection
156 (Soltanian *et al.* 2017). Hydrological variables such as the aquifer size and advection will also have
157 effects that are difficult to quantify. The interplay between factors means that, even with quality
158 datasets, a basic prediction of scenario A or scenario B-like behaviour in a CCS reservoir is challenging.
159 Analysis of existing data from the study of natural CO₂ storage analogues and the comparison of
160 numerical and analytical modelling studies can allow the applicability of the end-member scenarios to
161 be tested.

162

163 **Dissolution in engineered CCS sites**

164

165 Sleipner, the world's longest running engineered CO₂ storage site, is located in the Norwegian sector
166 of the North Sea. Since injection began in 1996, over 18 million metric tons of CO₂ have been stored
167 to date (Williams & Chadwick 2021). As the longest running engineered CO₂ storage site with a large
168 geophysical monitoring dataset, it provides a decadal timescale estimate of physical and geochemical
169 trapping.

170

171 The Miocene-Pliocene age Utsira Formation aquifer at Sleipner has high porosity and permeability of
172 35% and 2 darcy. The Utsira Formation is approximately 300 m thick and composed of 90% net
173 sandstone, vertically segregated by thin sub-horizontal mudstone beds, which allow for a stacked
174 vertical migration and structural trapping of the CO₂ (Eiken *et al.* 2011; Cavanagh & Haszeldine 2014).

175

176 Seabed gravimetry has been the primary means of estimating dissolution rates (Alnes *et al.* 2011) as
177 the absence of reservoir fluid sampling means that other established geochemical techniques cannot
178 be used (Cavanagh 2013). An initial seabed gravimetric survey was acquired in 2002, after 5 million
179 Mt of CO₂ had been injected. Repeat surveys were acquired in 2005, after 8 Mt had been injected, and
180 in 2009, after 11 Mt had been injected. Analysis of the data focussed on determining the density of
181 the CO₂ plume (Nooner *et al.* 2007; Alnes *et al.* 2008). The gravity estimated plume density was
182 compared with the expected CO₂ density from reservoir temperature and pressure conditions, to
183 estimate the proportion of CO₂ dissolved. An upper estimate of the rate of dissolution was 1.8% per
184 year (Alnes *et al.* 2011), equivalent to 13% of the total plume mass in 2009. This rate is broadly similar
185 to the results of independent reactive transport simulation studies which estimated that, by 2011,
186 10% of the injected CO₂ had dissolved (Chadwick 2013).

187

188 We can compare these two published estimates for Sleipner with a simple analytical approximation
189 for the mass of CO₂ dissolved during injection. The initial CO₂ saturation of the formation water at
190 Sleipner was not measured. Here we simplify and assume that the initial formation water is CO₂-free.
191 In an open aquifer setting the dynamically displaced formation water volume, equivalent to 100% of
192 the CO₂ volume, is completely saturated given its direct contact with the advancing CO₂ plume front.
193 An assumed residual formation water pore volume (20% of the plume volume) will also become fully
194 saturated. Using an average CO₂ plume density of 600 kg/m³ (Cavanagh & Haszeldine 2014), and a
195 dissolved CO₂ density of 50 kg/m³ for saturated formation water, we estimate that for 11 Mt of
196 injected CO₂, the rapid dissolution response could be as high as 1.15 Mt (229 kt residual + 917 kt
197 displaced), which is approximately 10.5% of the injected mass. The estimate is in broad agreement
198 with the numerical simulation estimate by Chadwick (2013) which also assumes a CO₂-free initial
199 condition for the formation water. The dissolution estimate using geophysical inversion (Alnes *et al.*
200 2011) is also in agreement. This suggests that, under observed conditions, the formation water
201 appears to be free of dissolved CO₂ prior to injection.

202

203 These outcomes indicate significant dissolution at Sleipner during injection. However, the operational
204 timescales of engineered CO₂ storage sites are short. The short timescales necessitate the
205 consideration of natural analogues and models to understand the long-term evolution of CO₂ solubility
206 trapping beyond the injection period.

207

208 **Dissolution in natural analogues**

209

210 Noble gas and stable carbon isotope data acquired from producing CO₂ wells at natural analogue
211 reservoirs in the USA, Europe, and China, have been used to establish that CO₂ dissolution is the largest
212 geochemical trapping mechanism over geological timescales, after the primary mechanism of
213 structural and stratigraphic trapping (Gilfillan *et al.* 2009; Zhou *et al.* 2012). The key gas isotopes within
214 the CO₂ for identifying and quantifying dissolution are ³He, ⁴He, ²⁰Ne, and δ¹³C.

215

216 The ratio of CO₂ to ³He (CO₂/³He) is used to calculate the fraction of CO₂ that has partitioned from the
217 free-phase through either dissolution or mineralisation. These partitions are collectively referred to
218 as free-phase CO₂ removal. CO₂ is soluble in water and reactive with reservoir minerals and dissolved
219 mineral salts. ³He is present in naturally occurring CO₂ at specific concentrations depending on the
220 source of the gas. Significantly, ³He is both inert and insoluble in water (Holland & Gilfillan 2013).
221 Therefore, changes in the free-phase CO₂/³He can be attributed to CO₂ removal at a sampled well
222 location. Using the highest CO₂/³He sample from a given reservoir as the minimum indication of CO₂
223 removal, a relative removal fraction in each well can be established. This method suggests that for
224 Bravo Dome and McElmo Dome, two large natural analogue fields in the USA, portions of the
225 reservoirs have experienced CO₂ removal of up to 50% at Bravo Dome and up to 90% at McElmo Dome
226 (Gilfillan *et al.* 2009). These are minimum estimates of CO₂ removal, as they are relative to the highest
227 CO₂/³He well sample in the field, which itself may have undergone some degree of CO₂ dissolution or
228 mineralisation.

229

230 Dissolution can be identified as the mechanism of CO₂ removal if decreasing CO₂/³He in the gas sample
231 correlates with increased ⁴He and ²⁰Ne concentrations. ⁴He and ²⁰Ne originate from different sources
232 but mix in the reservoir formation water. ⁴He is a by-product of the radioactive decay of U, Th and K
233 atoms found in many minerals. ²⁰Ne is sourced from the atmosphere through dissolution in meteoric
234 water and subsequent percolation into deeper formation waters. In gas samples from several
235 analogue fields, ⁴He and ²⁰Ne share an inverse relationship with CO₂/³He (Gilfillan *et al.* 2009; Zhou *et al.*
236 *et al.* 2012). As CO₂ dissolution occurs, CO₂/³He in the gas leg decreases. Independently, the ⁴He and ²⁰Ne
237 concentrations increase due to their partitioning out of the formation water and into the CO₂. Fig. 2
238 shows a schematic of the isotope ratio variation that is expected within a reservoir due to the
239 interaction of the CO₂ and water phases.

240

241 Dissolution of CO₂ also causes a predictable δ¹³C fractionation, which is distinct from the fractionation
242 associated with carbonate mineral precipitation. Therefore, the relationship of δ¹³C to the removal of
243 free-phase CO₂ is used to quantify the percentage removed through dissolution versus mineralisation.
244 A study of nine analogue reservoirs found the largest mineralisation contribution to be within the gas
245 leg of a Bravo Dome well, with up to 18% of CO₂ removal attributed to carbonate precipitation, and
246 the remaining 82% of CO₂ removal attributed to dissolution (Gilfillan *et al.* 2009). The age of CO₂
247 emplacement in the studied reservoirs is variable, but commonly >1 Ma. Given the geological age, we
248 assume that mineralisation has reached equilibrium but the potential for ongoing mineralisation at
249 slow rates cannot be ruled out.

250

251 Different sources of naturally occurring CO₂, such as magmatism, carbonate dissolution and organic
252 processes, produce gas with different δ¹³C signatures (Wycherley *et al.* 1999). Mixing of CO₂ sources
253 with different δ¹³C signatures would complicate the calculation of dissolution and mineralisation. The

254 absolute ^3He concentration and $\text{CO}_2/{}^3\text{He}$ of 6 sampled analogue fields in the USA, including Bravo
255 Dome and McElmo Dome, was within the mantle range (Gilfillan *et al.* 2008; Gilfillan *et al.* 2009). The
256 minor occurrence of carbonate or organic derived CO_2 cannot be ruled out but would be a minor
257 contributor relative to the primary magmatic source. Therefore, a single uniform magmatic source
258 was assumed in all calculations.

259

260 **Analogue studies**

261

262 Bravo Dome is an exceptionally large CO_2 field, containing more than one billion metric tonnes (Gt) of
263 CO_2 , which has been in production since 1981. A large gas isotope dataset has been acquired by
264 sampling producing wells. Geochemistry has helped to establish conceptual models of emplacement
265 and original CO_2 mass. The models also estimate the fraction of CO_2 lost to dissolution and, to a first
266 approximation, average long-term dissolution rates. However, the two recently published models of
267 dissolution rates at the field differ because of significantly different age estimates for the CO_2
268 emplacement event (Sathaye *et al.* 2014; Zwhalen *et al.* 2017).

269

270 To the authors' knowledge, Bravo Dome is the only natural analogue where quantitative estimates of
271 dissolved CO_2 mass have been performed. These field studies demonstrate a methodology that could
272 be applied to other analogue reservoirs where gas sampling is possible. Reservoirs where the age of
273 CO_2 arrival is corroborated by multiple independent tests would give more clarity on long-term rates
274 of dissolution.

275

276 Bravo Dome has several features that are atypical of reservoirs considered for CO_2 storage. The
277 gigatonne scale of Bravo Dome is one-to-two orders of magnitude larger than most planned sites.
278 Additionally, the reservoir is highly heterogeneous, under-pressured, and shallow at 700 m below
279 surface (Sathaye *et al.* 2016). The latter two conditions result in a low-density gas phase, whereas
280 engineered sites prefer a denser, super-critical, phase to improve storage capacity. Additionally, a
281 significant area of the reservoir sits directly above an impermeable granitic basement with no gas-
282 water contact (GWC) (Zwhalen *et al.* 2017). The differences hamper a direct comparison with Sleipner,
283 an exemplar of a deep saline aquifer setting (Arts *et al.* 2004).

284

285 Reservoir thickness, porosity, and pre-production pressure mapping provided an estimate of CO_2 mass
286 per unit area. The free-phase CO_2 mass prior to production was estimated to be 1.3 ± 0.6 Gt (Sathaye
287 *et al.* 2014). Mapping $\text{CO}_2/{}^3\text{He}$ across the field led to estimated free-phase CO_2 removal of 366 ± 120
288 Mt. As mineralisation was shown to be a secondary contributor to free-phase CO_2 removal (Gilfillan *et al.*
289 *et al.* 2009), the calculations were simplified to attribute all removal to dissolution. By ignoring the
290 removal of CO_2 by mineralisation the results overestimated dissolution at individual sample points by
291 up to 18%. By combining pre-production mass with the calculated dissolved mass, the original
292 emplaced CO_2 mass was estimated to be 1.6 ± 0.67 Gt (Sathaye *et al.* 2014). The error margins for both
293 the original emplaced CO_2 mass and the mass removed through dissolution are large and are primarily
294 caused by variance in reservoir depth (Sathaye *et al.* 2014). The error margins lead to a CO_2 dissolution
295 estimate of 11–52%.

296

297 The calculated mass of dissolved CO_2 can be converted into an average dissolution rate using estimates
298 of emplacement timing. Sathaye *et al.* (2014), assumed that the magmatic CO_2 was sufficiently hot to
299 exceed an apatite closure temperature of 75°C at the reservoir entry point. Age dates of apatite
300 minerals from reservoir core samples were used to constrain the timing of CO_2 emplacement. The
301 method produced an age of 1.2–1.5 Ma, falling within the broad range of 56 ka–1.7 Ma established by
302 dating local igneous rocks proposed to be the source of the magmatic CO_2 (Nereson *et al.* 2013).

303

304 Sathaye *et al.* (2014) assumed that 40% of the total dissolution occurred in the first 5 kyr after
305 emplacement, followed by much slower dissolution over the remainder of the residence time. This is
306 similar to our scenario B. The initial phase of relatively fast dissolution is attributed to high capillary
307 entry pressures in siltstones: during emplacement, Sathaye *et al.* (2014) assumed that CO₂ would have
308 displaced the formation water in the reservoir sandstones but not the siltstones, leaving a large
309 volume of unsaturated formation water above the GWC. The CO₂ could saturate the formation water
310 in the siltstones through diffusion. Based on log data, the average thickness of siltstone layers is less
311 than 10 m. Sathaye *et al.* (2014) predicted that diffusive transport would fully saturate the siltstones
312 within 5 kyr. The slower, longer-term dissolution was attributed to diffusion across the stabilised GWC.
313 In one sector of the field, the authors estimated a dissolution flux greater than that expected for
314 diffusion and inferred localised density-driven convection to explain the discrepancy.

315
316 Zwahlen *et al.* (2017) took a different approach, firstly using a higher ³He baseline sample of 7.4×10^9
317 compared to 5.35×10^9 in Sathaye *et al.* (2014). This results in a larger total emplaced CO₂ mass of 1.8
318 ± 0.67 Gt and larger free-phase CO₂ removal of 506 ± 166 Mt. The error limits are borrowed from the
319 Sathaye *et al.* (2014) study, and so remain large. Using the endpoints produced an estimate for CO₂
320 dissolution of 14–59%.

321
322 Zwahlen *et al.* (2017) estimated a CO₂ emplacement age of 14–17 ka, based on noble gas and stable
323 isotope diffusion profiles from the GWC through the gas column. This is much younger than the 1.2–
324 1.5 Ma estimated by Sathaye *et al.* (2014) and approximately 40 kyr younger than the earliest age date
325 for local igneous rocks (Nereson *et al.* 2013).

326
327 The far younger emplacement age and larger estimate of dissolved CO₂ in Zwahlen *et al.* (2017)
328 produces an average dissolution rate approximately 100 times greater than Sathaye *et al.* (2014)
329 (Table 1). An explanatory dissolution model was not proposed. However, the dissolution of around
330 500 Mt of CO₂ in under 20 kyr would require a rapid model, potentially with a significant convection
331 component, as the diffusive flux rates inferred by Sathaye *et al.* (2014) are insufficient to account for
332 the estimated dissolution over a much longer timescale.

333
334 Data from Jackson Dome, Mississippi, another large natural CO₂ reservoir in the USA, supports an
335 exponential decrease in dissolution rate over time, with the majority of CO₂ dissolution occurring
336 during the initial migration and emplacement phase. Like the studies from Bravo Dome,
337 measurements of CO₂/³He were used to determine free-phase CO₂ removal, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ data were used
338 to quantify the role of dissolution versus mineralisation. Greater proportions of dissolution and
339 increased CO₂-water interaction, indicated by ⁴He and ²⁰Ne, were observed at the field crest compared
340 to the flanks (Zhou *et al.* 2012). The variation in dissolution from crest to flanks supports a model of
341 rapid decline in dissolution rate. Due to buoyancy, CO₂ reservoirs fill from the top down. CO₂ trapped
342 at the crest will have been at the front of the plume and will have displaced the ambient formation
343 water during migration. High rates of dissolution will have occurred when the CO₂ contacted this
344 formation water. Later CO₂ charge, which filled the flanks of the reservoir will have had less contact
345 with under-saturated formation water during migration. CO₂ at the flank, will be in closer proximity to
346 the GWC, which is established when the plume has stabilised. Despite being closer to the hydrostatic
347 formation water during storage, the flank samples record less CO₂ dissolution than the crest. This
348 suggests that diffusion or convection related dissolution, after plume stabilisation, is less significant
349 than dissolution during the initial emplacement and migration.

350 351 **Modelled dissolution rates**

352
353 Analytical models and numerical simulations have been used to gain an understanding of the controls
354 and rates of CO₂ dissolution. These models can be compared with estimates from natural analogues

355 and engineered sites. We have compiled data from eight modelling studies (Table 2) that report
356 dissolved CO₂ over time (Ozah *et al.* 2005; Pickup *et al.* 2011; Pruess & Nordbotten 2011; Sato *et al.*
357 2011; Bonneville *et al.* 2013; Szulczewski *et al.* 2013; Kempka *et al.* 2014; Orsini *et al.* 2014). All studies
358 are reservoir-scale simulations. The smallest 2D simulation included in the analysis has a length of 5
359 km and a thickness 200 m (Szulczewski *et al.* 2013) and the smallest 3D simulation has a volume of 1
360 x 10⁹ m³ (Sato *et al.* 2011). All models used realistic generic reservoir properties or were based on
361 specific prospective or operational storage sites. In some studies, multiple scenarios with different
362 reservoir properties or model boundary conditions were simulated. In these cases, scenarios
363 described as a 'reference' or 'base case' were used. In studies without a clear reference case, the
364 scenario which produced the median case result, in terms of total cumulative dissolution, was
365 selected. Further details on the selected studies are provided in the supplementary information.

366
367 Using the model studies, we have calculated the fraction of injected CO₂ that has dissolved and the
368 average dissolution rates, i.e., the total mass of CO₂ dissolved over the duration of CO₂ residence time.
369 The dissolution fraction and average dissolution rates allow for a comparison of the conformance of
370 the Bravo Dome and Sleipner estimates with modelling results. In Fig. 3, the fraction of CO₂ that has
371 dissolved is plotted against the storage duration time.

372
373 Dissolution can be normalised to the total mass of CO₂ injected or emplaced to allow for a comparison
374 of dissolution between scenarios at different scales (Figs. 4 and 5). In Fig. 4, the area of the circles
375 represents the total mass of CO₂ injected or emplaced in each study. The normalisation resolves the
376 average fraction of dissolved CO₂ per year relative to the total CO₂ mass. We use the fraction of total
377 CO₂ dissolved per year as a metric for dissolution instead of dissolution per GWC area. Dissolution per
378 GWC area does not correctly scale results during the migration phase, because a stable GWC has not
379 developed, and is further complicated by the variety of different GWC geometries in different
380 reservoirs. For example, in Bravo Dome only half of the reservoir is in contact with the aquifer, while
381 in Sleipner multiple stacked contacts within the aquifer are present.

382
383 Of the eight selected model studies, six reported the change in cumulative dissolved CO₂ over time.
384 These plots of cumulative dissolved CO₂ were digitised (Rohatgi, 2020) and converted to plots of
385 dissolution rate over time. These dissolution rate data are normalised to the fraction of total CO₂
386 dissolved per year and summarised by exponential or power law functions to best fit each study (Fig.
387 6). The time averaged dissolution rates from natural and engineered CO₂ reservoirs are also plotted
388 for comparison.

389 **Modelled study results**

390
391
392 The model studies included in the analysis show a weak trend of increasing CO₂ dissolution with longer
393 simulation duration (Fig. 3). The weakness of the correlation reflects the significant variation in many
394 of the variables that influence dissolution (e.g. boundary conditions, reservoir porosity and
395 permeability, heterogeneity, fluid pressure and temperature). The Alnes *et al.* (2011) Sleipner
396 dissolution fraction, after 13 years of storage, fits the middle trend for the model studies. The mid-
397 case dissolved fractions from the 'young' Bravo Dome estimate of 15.5 ka (Zwahlen *et al.* 2017) and
398 the 'old' estimate of 13.5 Ma (Sathaye *et al.* 2014) plot below the trend. However, the large error bars
399 in the Bravo Dome studies mean they could potentially fit a wide range of trends. The two opposing
400 models for Bravo Dome share a similar range for fraction of CO₂ dissolved, despite the greatly differing
401 age estimates. Regardless of which Bravo Dome age estimate is assumed to be correct, the results
402 show that when corrected for scale, total dissolution in Bravo Dome is relatively low when compared
403 to most equivalent model studies. Even when taking the maximum values from their respective error
404 ranges, the Bravo Dome studies plot significantly below a group of models predicting higher fractions
405 of CO₂ dissolved over shorter timescales. Normalising to total CO₂ mass does not fully correct for the

406 decrease in surface area per unit mass of CO₂ in larger scale studies. The lower dissolution percentage
407 in the Bravo Dome studies are partly due to lower CO₂ surface area- to-CO₂ mass ratios.

408

409 Fig. 4 shows scaled average dissolution rates over the storage and simulation duration with circle areas
410 equivalent to the total mass of CO₂ injected or emplaced. On the log-log plot there is an approximate
411 linear trend of reducing dissolution rate with increased storage duration. This trend is expected, as
412 the variables are related. A relationship of longer storage duration studies considering larger CO₂
413 masses is also observed. The contrast in circle area illustrates the scale dichotomy of Bravo Dome
414 relative to Sleipner and most of the model studies. Excluding the analytical study by Szulczewski *et al.*
415 (2013), the CO₂ masses in Bravo Dome are at least one order of magnitude larger than numerical
416 model studies. A study where 100% of the CO₂ has dissolved (Szulczewski *et al.* 2013) plots directly on
417 the upper trend line. Long duration studies whose midpoints plot significantly below the upper line,
418 such as the two Bravo Dome studies (Sathaye *et al.* 2014; Zwahlen *et al.* 2017), are interpreted to
419 represent equilibrium being reached with a relatively small fraction of CO₂ dissolved. Shorter duration
420 studies that show low fractions of dissolution, like the Sleipner gravity study (Alnes *et al.* 2011), can
421 be partly explained by the short operational timescales. The injected CO₂ is likely not in equilibrium
422 with the formation water and dissolution is currently ongoing.

423

424 Fig. 5 shows the central cluster of points from Fig. 4 plotted linearly up to 20 kyr. On a scale of linear
425 time, we observe a non-linear decline in the fraction of CO₂ dissolved per year with increased storage
426 time. The rate of decline supports a model of rapid initial rates of dissolution, followed by negligible
427 rates over geological timescales. The common trend of the model studies and the analogue estimate
428 shows that the models and analogues share similar average dissolution rate versus storage duration
429 behaviour.

430

431 If a model of rapid dissolution rate decline is favoured, and a steady decline dissolution model
432 rejected, then calculated dissolution rates from the Bravo Dome analogues can be reconsidered
433 assuming a significant time-period of equilibrium prior to the samples being collected. The Bravo
434 Dome studies (Sathaye *et al.* 2014; Zwahlen *et al.* 2017) show similar mid-case estimates of the
435 fraction of CO₂ dissolved despite the greatly different age estimates. The insensitivity of the fraction
436 of CO₂ dissolved to the storage duration suggests a significant period of storage time after equilibrium
437 has been reached in Bravo Dome. Additional time after 14–17 kyr storage duration does not increase
438 the cumulative dissolved CO₂ and therefore dissolution rates beyond such timescales may be
439 negligible.

440

441 The potential of dissolution rate reaching equilibrium (i.e. zero dissolution) reframes the apparent
442 dissolution rate by requiring the inclusion of a stasis period in the calculation. A rapid decline model
443 for Sleipner (Fig. 1a), would imply that approximately 40% of dissolution occurs during injection
444 (around 10% of the total injected CO₂), and that dissolution is complete within a few hundred years
445 (25% of the stored mass), with 80% of the dissolution occurring within 100 years. The alternative, a
446 steady decline model (Fig. 1b), would initially result in a similar amount of injection related dissolution
447 (10% of total injected CO₂). A much longer tail to dissolution of around 1000 years results in
448 approximately 50% of the injected CO₂ dissolving, with 80% of the dissolution occurring after 300
449 years.

450

451 The scaled dissolution rate over time plots (Fig. 6) show a simplified evolution of the model study
452 dissolution rates, with a rapid decline over time. The analogue histories are too uncertain to constrain
453 the onset of stasis, indicating a need for modelling and analogue studies to be combined when
454 predicting the long-term rate of solubility trapping in CCS storage sites.

455

456 **Discussion**

457

458 The geological CO₂ storage timescales that natural analogues represent will be of interest to many
459 stakeholders. However, it is important to recognise the limitations of analogue studies. The mapping
460 and quantification of subsurface reservoirs is an interpretative and uncertain process due to the
461 relative scarcity of data. Even in ideal settings, the extrapolation of geochemical data between wells
462 entails uncertainty in the estimates of initial CO₂ mass and the fraction dissolved. Bravo Dome is
463 presently the most studied analogue with respect to CO₂ dissolution, but the size, depth, pressure,
464 and gas-water contact configuration are atypical of CCS sites (Sathaye *et al.* 2016). Our synthesis of
465 data suggests that the large size of the reservoir can be partly corrected for, but future studies should
466 focus on analogue sites that more closely represent expected reservoir conditions for CCS sites. This
467 will increase the relevance of analogue results by minimising the influence of atypical factors.

468

469 Greatly differing age estimates have been proposed for Bravo Dome. Sathaye *et al.* (2014) estimate
470 an age of 1.2–1.5 Ma, compared to 14–17 ka proposed by Zwahlen *et al.* (2017). The age uncertainty
471 illustrates a need for analogue sites with well-constrained emplacement timings. At Bravo Dome,
472 there is also a large uncertainty associated with the original emplaced CO₂ mass and dissolved mass,
473 which originates from uncertainty in the reservoir depth and thickness used in the volume calculation.
474 The potentially large errors caused by subsurface uncertainty highlights a need for selecting analogues
475 sites with improved well control and supporting seismic data where available. The simplifying
476 assumption adopted by Sathaye *et al.* (2014) and Zwahlen *et al.* (2017), attributing all removal to
477 dissolution, also introduces an error that can be reduced by considering the CO₂ lost through
478 mineralisation. Ideally, analogue studies require a holistic physical-chemical model for dissolution and
479 mineralisation that can explain the calculated masses and rates. New studies on additional natural CO₂
480 reservoirs would help to better resolve dissolution rates over geological timescales and improve
481 models of CO₂ trapping and storage security in engineered reservoirs.

482

483 At Jackson Dome, CO₂ dissolution is greatest at the reservoir crest and lowest at the flanks (Zhou *et al.*
484 *et al.* 2012). The greater dissolution at the crest suggests that most dissolution occurs during migration
485 and emplacement before the establishment of a stable gas-water contact (GWC). We interpret that
486 the high rates of dissolution during migration and emplacement are because of the mobile plume
487 displacing and mixing with a large volume of formation water that is not fully saturated in CO₂. The
488 significance of GWC proximity and surface-area on dissolution implied by this observation needs to be
489 investigated in more analogue reservoirs. If GWC proximity and surface-area correlate with increased
490 dissolution, this would support a model for sustained, post-emplacement dissolution across the GWC.
491 An inverse correlation would support a model of rapid and early dissolution during the migration and
492 emplacement phase. The occurrence of significant dissolution during migration and emplacement has
493 implications for dissolution rate quantification. A metric in current use is CO₂ flux, i.e. dissolved CO₂
494 mass per GWC contact area per year (g/m²/yr). This metric would be of limited use if most of the
495 dissolution occurs prior to the establishment of a stable gas-water contact.

496

497 Further work on the conceptual model is expected to formalise a two-stage approach that will
498 examine the initial dynamic phase of injection and emplacement and the much longer stabilising phase
499 that follows prior to equilibrium. The significance, and ubiquity of the density-driven convection of
500 CO₂ saturated formation water is not yet established in either phase. The current conceptual model
501 discussed is simple and applies across different reservoirs and injection scenarios. The evolution of
502 dissolution rate over geological time in natural analogues remains highly uncertain and may include a
503 long but elusive stasis phase in hydrostatic settings. Hydrodynamic settings, where a strong aquifer
504 drive is present, will likely require a separate approach. We propose that more research should focus
505 on further quantification of dissolution mass and rate in naturally occurring CO₂ reservoirs that reflect

506 expected regional conditions for areas such as the North Sea, Gulf of Mexico and continental North
507 America.

508

509 The comparison of CO₂ dissolution between analogues, engineered reservoirs and model studies
510 shows a range of behaviour. The results from Sleipner and Bravo Dome do not align with a trend of
511 60–80% solubility trapping within a few thousand years, which some models predict. A potential
512 contributing factor to the lower dissolution in analogue and engineered reservoirs could be small-
513 scale geological heterogeneity influencing diffusion and convection in ways not captured in numerical
514 and analytical simulations. Additionally, the numerical and analytical simulations assume formation
515 water that is initially free from dissolved CO₂. In cases where the initial saturation is unknown and
516 models assume CO₂-free formation water as an initial condition, the results should be interpreted as
517 an upper limit to CO₂ dissolution.

518

519 Our results are relevant to CCS decision makers. Demonstration of significant dissolution of injected
520 CO₂ during a project's operational lifetime would contribute a material increase in the reservoir
521 storage capacity. For example, if approximately 10% of a plume dissolves during injection due to
522 saturation of the displaced plume volume and residual formation water trapped within the plume,
523 this may reduce the required reserves for European CO₂ storage by as much as a gigatonne before
524 2050. Recognition of solubility trapping as an important process could also encourage operators to
525 acquire data on the baseline CO₂ saturation of the reservoir prior to injection. An assayed baseline
526 would allow for a more accurate prediction of solubility trapping. Acquisition of repeat samples, after
527 the start of injection, would allow a confident quantification of solubility trapping on operational
528 timescales.

529

530 **Conclusion**

531

532 Trapping is the essential component of secure CO₂ storage, and solubility trapping makes a significant
533 contribution to the removal of free-phase CO₂ in both natural and engineered reservoirs. Dissolution
534 is likely to remove at least 10% and as much as 50% of the total free-phase CO₂ mass over the lifetime
535 of a storage site.

536

537 Natural CO₂ reservoirs provide insights on the long-term fate of CO₂ in storage reservoirs. CO₂/³He,
538 ⁴He, ²⁰Ne and δ¹³C data from natural CO₂ reservoirs can produce estimates of the CO₂ mass dissolved
539 and the dissolution rate. These data show that solubility trapping removes a significant fraction of
540 free-phase CO₂, thereby enhancing storage security. Quantitative estimates of CO₂ dissolution from
541 Bravo Dome, USA show solubility trapping has removed at least 300 Mt of CO₂ over a minimum of 10
542 kyr.

543

544 Evidence from another North American analogue site, Jackson Dome, supports a model of most
545 dissolution occurring during initial migration and emplacement. Indications from gravimetric data at
546 Sleipner show solubility trapping of around 10% of CO₂, during the operational lifetime of a storage
547 site, i.e. decades. The significance of dissolution of during the initial stages of CO₂ storage means that
548 much of the process is observable during the site's operational period, whereas metrics quantifying
549 CO₂ dissolution by contact area with a stable GWC are less effective, as the stable contact is a post-
550 emplacement feature.

551

552 Analytical and numerical model studies predict a wide range of percentage dissolution. In some cases,
553 dissolution in excess of 60–80% of injected CO₂ is predicted. Such high percentages of dissolution have
554 not been observed on a reservoir-scale at Bravo Dome. Additional studies of natural analogue
555 reservoirs are required to better understand the credible range of dissolved CO₂ mass and CO₂
556 dissolution rate in CCS reservoirs on millennial timescales.

557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607

Acknowledgements

RL is funded by NERC through an E4 DTP studentship NE/S007407/1, and Equinor ASA, with no influence on the results. AJC and RSH are funded by UKCCSRC2017 EPSRC EP/P026214/1, and RSH by SCCS (Scottish Funding Council) and HyStorPor EPSRC EP/S027815/1. GJ is funded by the University of Strathclyde Faculty of Engineering. SMVG is funded by NERC grant NE/R018049/1, EPSRC grants EP/P026214/1 and EP/K036033/1 and Total E & P, with no influence on results.

Author Roles

RL wrote most of the article, co-created the figures and carried out the analysis of the data. AJC made significant contributions to the manuscript, conceptual model and co-created the figures. RSH critiqued the manuscript and developed the structure of the paper. GJ critiqued the manuscript and made contributions to the introduction and dissolution in natural analogues sections. SMVG developed the initial concepts, made significant contributions to the manuscript and secured funding for the study.

Data access statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

References

- Ajayi, T., Gomes, J. S. and Bera, A. 2019. A review of CO₂ storage in geological formations emphasizing modeling, monitoring and capacity estimation approaches. *Petroleum Science*, **16**, 1028-1063, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12182-019-0340-8>
- Alcalde, J., Flude, S., Wilkinson, M., Johnson, G., Edlmann, K., Bond, C. E., Scott, V. Gilfillan, S. M. V., Ogaya, X. and Haszeldine, R. S. 2018. Estimating geological CO₂ storage security to deliver on climate mitigation. *Nature Communications*, **9**, 2201, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04423-1>
- Allis, R., Chidgey T., Gwynn, W., Morgan, C., White, S., Adams, M. and Moore, J. 2001. Natural CO₂ reservoirs on the Colorado Plateau and southern Rocky Mountains: Candidates for CO₂ sequestration. *In: Proceedings of the First National Conference on Carbon Sequestration*, 14-17 May 2001, Washington DC, USA.
- Alnes, H., Eiken, O. and Stenvold, T. 2008. Monitoring gas production and CO₂ injection at the Sleipner field using time-lapse gravimetry. *Geophysics*, **73**, WA155-WA161, <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.2991119>
- Alnes, H., Eiken, O., Nooner. S., Sasagawac, G., Stenvold, T and Zumberge M. 2011. Results from Sleipner gravity monitoring: Updated density and temperature distribution of the CO₂ plume. *In: Gale, J., Hendriks, C. and Turkenberg, W. (eds) Energy Procedia*. 10th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 19-23 September 2010, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, **4**, 5504-5511, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.536>
- Arts, R., Eiken, O., Chadwick, A., Zweigel, P., van der Meer, L. and Zinszner, B. 2004. Monitoring of CO₂ injected at Sleipner using time-lapse seismic data. *Energy*, **29**, 1383-1392, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2004.03.072>

608
609 Bonneville, A., Gilmore, T., Sullivan, C., Vermeul, V., Kelley, M., White, S., Appriou, D., Bjornstad, B., *et*
610 *al.* 2013. Evaluating the Suitability for CO₂ Storage at the FutureGen 2.0 Site, Morgan County, Illinois,
611 USA. *In: Dixon, T. and Yamaji, K. (eds) Energy Procedia*. Proceedings of the 11th International
612 Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 18-22 November 2012, Kyoto, Japan, **37**, 6125-
613 6132, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.541>
614
615 Cavanagh, A. 2013. Benchmark Calibration and Prediction of the Sleipner CO₂ Plume from 2006 to
616 2012. *In: Dixon, T. and Yamaji, K. (eds) Energy Procedia*. Proceedings of the 11th International
617 Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 18-22 November 2012, Kyoto, Japan, **37**, 3529-
618 3545, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.246>
619
620 Cavanagh, A. J. and Haszeldine, R. S. 2014. The Sleipner storage site: Capillary flow modeling of a
621 layered CO₂ plume requires fractured shale barriers within the Utsira Formation. *International Journal*
622 *of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **21**, 101-112, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.11.017>
623
624 Chadwick, R. A. 2013. Offshore CO₂ storage: Sleipner natural gas field beneath the North Sea. *In:*
625 Gluyas, J. and Mathias, S. (eds.) *Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)*. Woodhead, 227-250,
626 <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857097279.3.227>
627
628 Eiken, O., Ringrose, P., Hermanrud, C., Nazarian, B., Torp, T. and Høier, L. 2011. Lessons learned from
629 14 years of CCS operations: Sleipner, In Salah and Snøhvit. *In: Gale, J., Hendriks, C. and Turkenberg,*
630 *W. (eds) Energy Procedia*. 10th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies,
631 19-23 September 2010, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, **4**, 5541-5548,
632 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.541>
633
634 Gilfillan, S. M. V., Ballentine, C. J., Holland, G., Blagburn, D., Sherwood Lollar, B., Stevens, S., Schoell,
635 M. and Cassidy, M. 2008. The noble gas geochemistry of natural CO₂ gas reservoirs from the Colorado
636 Plateau and Rocky Mountain provinces, USA. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **72**, 1174-1198,
637 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.10.009>
638
639 Gilfillan, S. M. V., Sherwood Lollar, B., Holland, G., Blagburn, D., Stevens, S., Schoell, M., Cassidy, M.,
640 Ding, Z., Zhou, Z., Lacrampe-Couloume, G. and Ballentine, C. J. 2009. Solubility trapping in formation
641 water as dominant CO₂ sink in natural gas fields. *Nature*, **458**, 614-618,
642 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07852>
643
644 Gilmore, K. A., Neufeld, J. A. and Bickle, M. J. 2020. CO₂ Dissolution Trapping Rates in Heterogenous
645 Porous Media. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **47**, e2020GL087001,
646 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087001>
647
648 Haszeldine, R. S., Quinn, O., England, G., Wilkinson, M., Shipton, Z. K., Evans, J. P., Heath, J., Crossey,
649 L., Ballentine, C. J. and Graham, C. M. 2005. Natural Geochemical Analogues for Carbon Dioxide
650 Storage in Deep Geological Porous Reservoirs, a United Kingdom Perspective. *Oil & Gas Science and*
651 *Technology*, **60**, 33–49, <https://doi.org/10.2516/ogst:2005004>
652
653 Holland, G. and Gilfillan, S. 2013. Application of Noble Gases to the Viability of CO₂ Storage. *In: Burnard*
654 *P. (ed.) The Noble Gases as Geochemical Tracers. Advances in Isotope Geochemistry*. Springer, 177-
655 223, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28836-4_8
656

657 Jacob, R. and Saylor, B. Z. 2016. CO₂ solubility in multi-component brines containing NaCl, KCl, CaCl₂
658 and MgCl₂ at 297 K and 1-14 MPa. *Chemical Geology*, **424**, 86-95,
659 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.01.013>
660

661 Kempka, T., De Lucia, M. and Kühn, M. 2014. Geomechanical integrity verification and mineral
662 trapping quantification for the Ketzin CO₂ storage pilot site by coupled numerical simulations. *In:*
663 Dixon, T., Herzog, H. and Twining, S. (eds) *Energy Procedia*. 12th International Conference on
664 Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 5-9 October 2014, Austin, USA, **63**, 3330-3338,
665 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.361>
666

667 Martinez, M. J. and Hesse M. A. 2016. Two-phase convective CO₂ dissolution in saline aquifers. *Water*
668 *Resources Research*, **52**, 585-599, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017085>
669

670 Majer, V., Sedlbauer, J. and Bergin, G. 2008. Henry's law constant and related coefficients for aqueous
671 hydrocarbons, CO₂ and H₂S over a wide range of temperature and pressure. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*,
672 **272**, 65-74, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2008.07.013>
673

674 Miocic, J. M., Gilfillan, S. M. V., Roberts, J. J., Edlmann, K., McDermott, C. I. and Haszeldine R. S.
675 Controls on CO₂ storage security in natural reservoirs and implications for CO₂ storage site selection.
676 *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **51**, 118-125,
677 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.05.019>
678

679 Nereson, A., Stroud, J., Karlstrom, K., Heizler, M. and McIntosh, W. 2013. Dynamic topography of the
680 western Great Plains: Geomorphic and 40Ar/39Ar evidence for mantle-driven uplift associated with
681 the Jemez lineament of NE New Mexico and SE Colorado. *Geosphere*, **9**, 521-545,
682 <https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00837.1>
683

684 Newmark, R. L., Friedmann, S. J. and Carrol, S. A. 2010. Water Challenges for Geologic Carbon Capture
685 and Sequestration. *Environmental Management*, **46**, 651-661, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-010-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-010-9434-1)
686 [9434-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-010-9434-1)
687

688 Nooner, S. L., Eiken, O., Hermanrud, C., Sasagawa, G. S., Stenvold, T. and Zumberge, M. A. 2007.
689 Constraints on the *in situ* density of CO₂ within the Utsira formation from time-lapse seafloor gravity
690 measurements. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **1**, 198-214,
691 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1750-5836\(07\)00018-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1750-5836(07)00018-7)
692

693 Orsini, P., Cantucci, B. and Quattrocchi, F. 2014. Large-scale Numerical Modelling of CO₂ Injection and
694 Containment Phases for an Italian Near-coast Reservoir Using PFLOTRAN. *In:* Røkke, N, A. and
695 Svendsen, H. (eds) *Energy Procedia*. 7th Trondheim Conference on CO₂ capture, Transport and
696 Storage, 4-6 June 2013, Trondheim, Norway, **51**, 334-343,
697 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.07.040>
698

699 Ozah, R. C., Lakshminarasimhan, S., Pope, G. A., Sepehrnoori, K., and Bryant, S. L. 2005. Numerical
700 Simulation of the Storage of Pure CO₂ and CO₂-H₂S Gas Mixtures in Deep Saline Aquifers. Paper SPE
701 97255, presented at the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, 9-12 October 2005, Dallas,
702 USA, <https://doi.org/10.2118/97255-MS>
703

704 Pickup, G. E., Jin, M., Olden, P., Mackay, E. J. and Sohrabi, M. 2011. A Sensitivity Study on CO₂ Storage
705 in Saline Aquifers. Paper SPE 143054, presented at the SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and
706 Exhibition, 23-26 May 2011, Vienna, Austria, <https://doi.org/10.2118/143054-MS>
707

708 Pruess, K. and Nordbotten, J. 2011. Numerical Simulation Studies of the Long-term Evolution of a CO₂
709 Plume in a Saline Aquifer with a Sloping Caprock. *Transport in Porous Media*, **90**, 135-151,
710 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-011-9729-6>
711

712 Pruess, K. and Spycher, N. 2007. ECO2N - A fluid property module for the TOUGH2 code for studies of
713 CO₂ storage in saline aquifers. *Energy Conversion and Management*, **48**, 1761-1767,
714 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2007.01.016>
715

716 Riaz, A. and Cinar, Y. 2014. Carbon dioxide sequestration in saline formations: Part I-Review of the
717 modeling of solubility trapping. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, **124**, 367-380,
718 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2014.07.024>
719 Rohatgi, A. 2020. WebPlotDigitizer version 4.3,
720 <https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer>

721 Sathaye, K. J., Hesse, M. A., Cassidy, M. and Stockli, D. F. 2014. Constraints on the magnitude and rate
722 of CO₂ dissolution at Bravo Dome natural gas field. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
723 *of the United States of America*, **43**, 15332-15337, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406076111>
724

725 Sathaye, K. J., Smye, A. J., Jordan, J. S. and Hesse, M. A. 2016. Noble gases preserve history of retentive
726 continental crust in the Bravo Dome natural CO₂ field, New Mexico. *Earth and Planetary Science*
727 *Letters*, **443**, 32-40, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.03.014>
728

729 Sato, K., Mito, S., Horie, T., Ohkuma, H., Saito, H., Watanabe, J. and Yoshimura, T. 2011. Monitoring
730 and simulation studies for assessing macro- and meso-scale migration of CO₂ sequestered in an
731 onshore aquifer: Experiences from the Nagaoka pilot site, Japan. *International Journal of Greenhouse*
732 *Gas Control*, **5**, 125-137, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.03.003>
733

734 Soltanian M. R., Amooie, M. A., Gershenson, N., Dai, Z., Ritzi, R., Xiong, F., Cole, D. and Moortgat, J.
735 2017. Dissolution Trapping of Carbon Dioxide in Heterogeneous Aquifers, *Environmental Science &*
736 *Technology*, **51**, 7732-7741, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01540>
737

738 Spycher, N. and Pruess, K. 2005. CO₂-H₂O mixtures in the geological sequestration of CO₂. II.
739 Partitioning in chloride brines at 12-100°C and up to 600 bar. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **69**,
740 3309-3320, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.01.015>
741

742 Steel, L., Liu, K., Mackay, E. and Maroto-Valer, M. M. 2016. CO₂ solubility measurements in brine under
743 reservoir conditions: A comparison of experimental and geochemical modeling methods. *Greenhouse*
744 *Gas Science and Technology*, **6**, 197-217, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.1590>
745

746 Szulczewski, M. L., Hesse, M. A. and Juanes, R. 2013. Carbon dioxide dissolution in structural and
747 stratigraphic traps. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **736**, 287-315, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2013.511>
748

749 Williams, G. A. and Chadwick, R. A. 2021. Influence of reservoir-scale heterogeneities on the growth,
750 evolution and migration of a CO₂ plume at the Sleipner Field, Norwegian North Sea. *International*
751 *Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, **106**, 103260, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2021.103260>
752

753 Wycherley, H., Fleet, A. and Shaw, H. 1999. Some observations on the origins of large volumes of
754 carbon dioxide accumulations in sedimentary basins. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **16**, 489-494,
755 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172\(99\)00047-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8172(99)00047-1)
756

757 Zhou, Z., Ballentine, C. J., Schoell, M. and Stevens, S. H. 2012. Identifying and quantifying natural CO₂
758 sequestration processes over geological timescales: The Jackson Dome CO₂ Deposit, USA. *Geochimica*
759 *et Cosmochimica Acta*, **86**, 257-275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.02.028>

760
761 Zwahlen, C, A., Kampman, N., Dennis, P., Zhou, Z. and Holland, G. 2017. Estimating carbon dioxide
762 residence time scales through noble gas and stable isotope diffusion profiles. *Geology*, **45**, 995-998,
763 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39291.1>

764

765 **Figure captions**

766

767 **Fig. 1:** Alternative scenarios for the evolution of CO₂ dissolution rate (orange) and cumulative CO₂
768 dissolution (blue) in storage reservoirs: (a) Dissolution rates are high during the injection phase and
769 decline rapidly; dissolution rates are low in the post-injection phase and decline to zero when
770 equilibrium is reached. (b) Dissolution rates are high during the injection phase but decline less rapidly
771 and continue after stabilisation of the CO₂ plume. This requires the occurrence of significant
772 dissolution across the gas-water contact by diffusion and potentially convection. The expected
773 injection duration is decades. The storage duration would extend to 10⁴ to 10⁶ years depending on the
774 specific case study and underlying assumptions.

775

776 **Fig. 2:** Schematic of CO₂-water interactions during dissolution. (a) The reservoir is charged with CO₂
777 and trace gases including ³He. The formation water contains ⁴He and ²⁰Ne that are not present in the
778 CO₂. (b) CO₂ dissolves into the formation water and ⁴He and ²⁰Ne partition into the CO₂ at varying rates
779 across the reservoir. (c) Gas sampled from wells establishes the relative CO₂ removal. ⁴He and ²⁰Ne
780 establish the formation water-CO₂ interactions. In this example, lower rates of dissolution in well 1
781 are evidenced by higher CO₂/³He and lower ⁴He and ²⁰Ne concentrations relative to well 2.

782

783 **Fig. 3:** Fraction of total CO₂ dissolved over duration of simulation or residence time. The data groups
784 into three trends: (a) high rates and high impacts, resulting in 60% to 80% dissolution within a few
785 thousand years; (b) moderate rates and impacts, resulting in 30% to 40% dissolution within tens of
786 thousands of years; and (c) low rates and impacts, resulting in less than 20% dissolved within a
787 hundred thousand years. Best-fit lines, dashed, are approximate and plot as exponential decay curves
788 in non-log space. Bravo Dome studies and Kempka *et al.* (2014) model include min-max ranges.
789 Sleipner gravity inversion (Alnes *et al.* 2011); Bravo Dome geochemistry (Sathaye *et al.* 2014; Zwahlen
790 *et al.* 2017); and selected simulation case studies (Ozah *et al.* 2005; Pickup *et al.* 2011; Pruess &
791 Nordbotten 2011; Sato *et al.* 2011; Bonneville *et al.* 2013; Kempka *et al.* 2014; Orsini *et al.* 2014).

792

793 **Fig. 4:** Fraction of total injected, simulated, or emplaced CO₂ dissolved per year, plotted against total
794 simulation or residence time. The circle areas are scaled to the total mass of CO₂ in each study. A
795 minimum point size is applied to make the position of Pruess & Nordbotten (2011), Sato *et al.* (2011)
796 and Kempka *et al.* (2014) visible. The dashed trend lines represent a log-log gradient for a 10x
797 reduction in average dissolution rate with a corresponding 10x increase in storage time. The high
798 dissolution trend represents 100% of CO₂ dissolving. This is equivalent to 10x the average annual
799 dissolution rate for CO₂ at Sleipner (Alnes *et al.* 2011). The low dissolution trend, representing 10% of
800 CO₂ dissolving, is approximately equivalent to the average annual Sleipner rate.

801

802 **Fig. 5:** Linear time plot of average dissolution rate for all studies excluding the near-field and far-field
803 outliers (Sleipner, Bonneville *et al.* (2013) and 'old' Bravo Dome). When treated as a single population,
804 the exponential trend line has a poor correlation (R² < 0.7). The coefficient of determination improves
805 (R² > 0.95) when the case studies are grouped as high and moderate rate populations. At t₀, the high-
806 rate trend is equal to 0.07%, under predicting the average Sleipner rate, 0.9%, by a factor of 13. In the

807 far-field to the right of the plot, at 100 kyr, the bridge point between ‘young’ and ‘old’ Bravo Dome
 808 models, the fraction of CO₂ dissolved per year has decayed to less than 10⁻¹⁰ for all trend lines.
 809

810 **Fig. 6:** Dissolution rates over time (a) in log-log domain, and (b) plotted linearly for the first 5.5 kyr.
 811 Note the deviation of Bonneville *et al.* (2013) from a constant log-log gradient, indicating a rapid
 812 decline in dissolution within 100 years. For Sathaye *et al.* (2014), both the initial rate to 5 kyr and the
 813 long-term rate to 1.2–1.5 Ma are shown. Plotted studies: Sleipner (Alnes *et al.* 2011); Bravo Dome
 814 (Sathaye *et al.* 2014; Zwahlen *et al.* 2017). Simulations: Ozah *et al.* 2005; Pruess & Nordbotten 2011;
 815 Sato *et al.* 2011; Bonneville *et al.* 2013; Kempka *et al.* 2014. Analytical: Szulczewski *et al.* 2013.
 816

817 **Tables**

818
 819 **Table 1:** CO₂ dissolution mass, age and rate estimates for Bravo Dome analogue studies
 820

Bravo Dome Publications	Emplaced CO ₂ (Mt)	Dissolved CO ₂ (Mt)	Estimated Fraction Dissolved	Age of CO ₂ emplacement	Dissolution rate (Mt/kyr)*
Sathaye <i>et al.</i> (2014)	1600 ± 670	366 ± 120	0.11 – 0.52	1.2 – 1.5 Ma	0.3
Zwahlen <i>et al.</i> (2017)	1800 ± 670	506 ± 166	0.14 – 0.59	14 – 17 ka	32.6

821 *Mid-point of age estimates used
 822

823 **Table 2:** Case studies and simulations summary
 824

Publication	Approach	Location and Setting	CO ₂ Mass (Mt)	Fraction Dissolved	Model/CO ₂ storage duration (yr)	Fraction CO ₂ dissolved /yr
Ozah <i>et al.</i> 2005	Simulation - GEM	Generic Gulf Coast saline aquifer, USA	48.0	0.31	10000	3.13 x 10 ⁻⁵
Pickup <i>et al.</i> 2011	Simulation - Eclipse 300	Sherwood Formation, East Irish Sea, UK	225	0.36	7000	5.14 x 10 ⁻⁵
Pruess & Nordbotten 2011	Simulation - TOUGH2	Generic Continental USA	0.160	0.09	418	2.12 x 10 ⁻⁴
Sato <i>et al.</i> 2011	Simulation - GEM	Nagaoka pilot site; Haizume Formation, Japan	0.010	0.59	1000	5.89 x 10 ⁻⁴
Bonneville <i>et al.</i> 2013	Simulation - STOMP-CO2	Proposed FutureGen 2.0 site; Mt Simon Formation, Illinois, USA	33.0	0.18	100	1.82 x 10 ⁻³
Szulczewski <i>et al.</i> 2013	Analytical model	Generic aquifer	3214*		10000000	1.00 x 10 ⁻⁷
Kempka <i>et al.</i> 2014	Simulation - ECLIPSE100	Ketzin pilot site; Stuttgart Formation, Germany	0.067	0.89	10000	8.92 x 10 ⁻⁵
Orsini <i>et al.</i> 2014	Simulation - PFLOWTRAN	Carbonate aquifer, offshore Italy	30.0	0.79	2000	3.95 x 10 ⁻⁴

Alnes <i>et al.</i> 2011	Gravimetric survey	Sleipner pilot site, Utsira Formation, North Sea, Norway	11.3	0.13	13	9.69×10^{-3}
Sathaye <i>et al.</i> 2014	Isotope geochemistry	Bravo Dome, New Mexico, USA	1600	0.23	1350000	1.69×10^{-7}
Zwahlen <i>et al.</i> 2017	Isotope geochemistry	Bravo Dome, New Mexico, USA	1800	0.28	15500	1.81×10^{-5}

825 *Model uses constant supply of CO₂ above the reservoir. The CO₂ mass value is equal to the mass of
826 CO₂ dissolved into the reservoir