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Chapter 3
The Biogeography of South African Terrestrial Plant Invasions

David M. Richardson, Llewellyn C. Foxcroft, Guillaume Latombe, David C. Le Maitre, Mathieu Rouget, and John R. Wilson

Abstract Thousands of plant species have been introduced, intentionally and accidentally, to South Africa from many parts of the world. Alien plants are now conspicuous features of many South African landscapes and hundreds of species have naturalised (i.e. reproduce regularly without human intervention), many of which are also invasive (i.e. have spread over long distances). There is no comprehensive inventory of alien, naturalised, and invasive plants for South Africa, but
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327 plant taxa, most of which are invasive, are listed in national legislation. We collated records of 759 plant taxa in 126 families and 418 genera that have naturalised in natural and semi-natural ecosystems. Over half of these naturalised taxa are trees or shrubs, just under a tenth are in the families Fabaceae (73 taxa) and Asteraceae (64); genera with the most species are *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia*, and *Opuntia*. The southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA) provides the best data for assessing the extent of invasions at the national scale. SAPIA data show that naturalised plants occur in 83% of quarter-degree grid cells in the country. While SAPIA data highlight general distribution patterns (high alien plant species richness in areas with high native plant species richness and around the main human settlements), an accurate, repeatable method for estimating the area invaded by plants is lacking. Introductions and dissemination of alien plants over more than three centuries, and invasions over at least 120 years (and especially in the last 50 years) have shaped the distribution of alien plants in South Africa. Distribution patterns of naturalised and invasive plants define four ecologically-meaningful clusters or “alien plant species assemblage zones”, each with signature alien plant taxa for which trait-environment interactions can be postulated as strong determinants of success. Some widespread invasive taxa occur in high frequencies across multiple zones; these taxa occur mainly in riparian zones and other azonal habitats, or depend on human-mediated disturbance, which weakens or overcomes the factors that determine specificity to any biogeographical region.

### 3.1 Introduction

South Africa has a rich diversity of environmental conditions, biota, and a unique socio-political situation. This makes it a fascinating place to explore the many interacting factors that have mediated the introduction and dissemination of particular plant species, and their interactions with resident biota and prevailing environmental conditions that determine their performance as alien species (Richardson et al. 1997, 2011a; Le Roux et al. 2020, Chap. 14; van Wilgen et al. 2020a, Chap. 1; Wilson et al. 2020, Chap. 13). Terrestrial ecosystems in South Africa have been invaded by hundreds of alien plant species. Some of these have very large adventive ranges, and some of these have transformed invaded ecosystems. These invasions pose a major threat to the country’s biodiversity, impact negatively on the capacity of ecosystems to deliver goods and services, and in some cases severely threaten human livelihoods (Richardson and van Wilgen 2004; Le Maitre et al. 2020, Chap. 15; O’Connor and van Wilgen 2020, Chap. 16; Potgieter et al. 2020, Chap. 11; Zengeya et al. 2020, Chap. 17).

This chapter focusses on the biogeography of terrestrial plant invasions in the country. It: (1) presents a brief history of alien plant invasions; (2) summarises information on which alien plants are naturalised and invasive; (3) reviews the extent
of these invasions; (4) examines the broad-scale distribution patterns of naturalised and invasive plants with reference to “alien plant species assemblage zones” defined on the basis of the turnover of alien species; and (5) provides recommendations to improve our understanding of the composition, distribution, and dynamics of the South African naturalised flora.

Other chapters in this book provide complementary details related to the invasion process of plants, including introduction pathways (Faulkner et al. 2020, Chap. 12), environmental (Wilson et al. 2020, Chap. 13) and biotic (Le Roux et al. 2020, Chap. 14) drivers of invasions, impacts of invaders on water resources (Le Maitre et al. 2020, Chap. 15), rangelands (O’Connor and van Wilgen 2020, Chap. 17) and biodiversity (Zengeya et al. 2020, Chap. 18). Issues pertaining to human dimensions (Shackleton et al. 2020, Chap. 24) and management of plant invasions (Foxcroft et al. 2020, Chap. 28; Hill et al. 2020b, Chap. 19; Holmes et al. 2020, Chap. 23; van Wilgen et al. 2020b, Chap. 21) are also covered elsewhere in the book, as is the status of alien plants in other specific ecosystems: freshwater (Hill et al. 2020a, Chap. 4), urban ecosystems (Potgieter et al. 2020, Chap. 11), and off-shore islands (Greve et al. 2020, Chap. 8). The focus of this chapter is on the history and current state of plant invasions in natural and semi-natural ecosystems. Terminology pertaining to alien, naturalised, and invasive plant taxa follows the definitions proposed by Richardson et al. (2000, 2011a): alien taxa are those that do not occur naturally in South Africa and owe their presence here to human actions; naturalised taxa are alien taxa that reproduce regularly, and invasive taxa are naturalised taxa that have spread over considerable distances from sites of introduction.

### 3.2 A Brief History of Plant Invasions in South Africa

Of the alien plant taxa that are currently widespread in South Africa’s terrestrial ecosystems few (if any) were present in the region before European colonisation began in the seventeenth century (Deacon 1986; Richardson et al. 1997; see Faulkner et al. 2020, Chap. 12 for an evaluation of evidence for post-1652 plant introductions). There is no evidence that any introduced species became invasive before European colonisation, and no species introduced prior to 1652 is currently a major invader of natural and semi-natural ecosystems. South Africa’s large flora of naturalised and invasive alien plants thus comprises almost exclusively taxa that have arrived and been disseminated in the last three and a half centuries.

Plant taxa from many parts of the world have been introduced to South Africa for many purposes (Faulkner et al. 2020, Chap. 12). Some were accidental introductions, but thousands of taxa were intentionally introduced - as agricultural crops, for timber and firewood, as garden ornamentals, to stabilise sand dunes, as barrier and hedge plants, as animal fodder and for other purposes. Wells et al. (1986) reviewed plant introductions associated with several broad phases, from the initial period of European settlement through to “the modern phase” (up to 1985). Two key phases
were the rise in introductions for forestry in the nineteenth century that declined towards the end of the twentieth century; and introductions of ornamental plants that started in the mid-twentieth century and continue today.

Because of the paucity of trees suitable for forestry in South Africa’s flora, and the small area of native forest, hundreds of tree species have been introduced to the country (see Richardson et al. 2003 for a detailed review, and Box 3.1). Experimental introductions of trees began during the Dutch and British colonial periods with the aim of providing timber for construction, shipbuilding, and for amenity plantings, shelter, windbreaks, and fuelwood. Organised government involvement in forestry began in 1872 with the establishment of a forestry department at the Cape. This led to the establishment of plantations of many alien trees, especially species in the genera Acacia, Eucalyptus and Pinus. Wood shortages during World War I stimulated major afforestation efforts. Poynton (1984) lists more than 400 tree species that were successfully cultivated in South Africa, including more than 100 Eucalyptus species, 80 Pinus species and 70 Australian Acacia species (see also Poynton 1979a, b; Poynton 2009). Besides species that were intended for commercial forestry and woodlots, many other trees that were not grown in plantations were introduced, propagated, and promoted by government forestry organisations; these included Acacia cyclops (Rooikrans) and A. saligna (Port Jackson Willow), Jacaranda mimosifolia (Jacaranda), Melia azedarach (Syringa), and Prosopis (Mesquite) species (Poynton 1990, 2009). Widespread planting of many alien tree species for dune stabilisation started in 1830; this created another major pathway for the dissemination of woody alien plants in South Africa. Australian Acacia species (wattles), Casuarina cunninghamiana (Beefwood), Hakea drupacea (Sweet Hakea), Leptospermum laevigatum (Australian Myrtle) and Pinus pinaster (Cluster Pine) were the most extensively planted species for this purpose (Avis 1989). Many alien species were introduced as barrier plants to support agricultural production. Prominent examples of species that were widely planted as hedges or windbreaks in agricultural and rural landscapes and that are now invasive are Eucalyptus camaldulensis (River Red Gum), Biancaea decapetala (syn. Caesalpinia decapetala; Mauritius Thorn), Leptospermum laevigatum, Ligustrum lucidum (Chinese Wax-leaved Privet), Pyracantha angustifolia (Yellow Firethorn), and many species of Cactaceae (Cacti) (Henderson 1983).

The introduction of alien plant species for ornamentation dates back to the establishment of the Cape Colony in 1652 and the Company Gardens in Cape Town, but most initial introductions (as discussed above) were strictly or mainly for utilitarian purposes. The horticultural industry has grown over time and, although South Africa has a rich native flora, the demand for new alien plant species has not abated. Many of South Africa’s most widespread invasive plants, especially in areas around human settlements, were introduced and disseminated for their ornamental value (Alston and Richardson 2006; Foxcroft et al. 2008; Donaldson et al. 2014; Jacobs et al. 2014; Cronin et al. 2017; Kaplan et al. 2017; McLean et al. 2017; Canavan et al. 2019).
While it is possible to provide such broad generalisations, the phases of introduction are taxon-specific. Visser et al. (2017) assessed the pathways of introduction of 256 alien grass species to South Africa. They found that introduction to supplement forage for livestock was by far the dominant pathway, accounting for 62% of species introductions. Horticulture and soil and stabilisation were the next most common reasons for introductions, followed by the categories “food and beverage” and “raw materials”. The cumulative number of alien grass species in South Africa has increased steadily since the early 1800s and shows no signs of slowing (Visser et al. 2017). As in other parts of the world, new pasture taxa (including species, subspecies, varieties, cultivars, and new plant-endophyte combinations) are increasingly being introduced to South Africa (Driscoll et al. 2014). Although many of the grass species involved are already in the country, the novel genetic material and endophyte variations are changing the risk of such introductions producing invasions with major impacts.

A detailed assessment of the history of introduction of bamboo species (Poaceae subfamily Bambusoideae) to South Africa revealed five main phases of introduction and dissemination. These were associated with (1) intra-African migration of people; (2) the arrival of Europeans; (3) growth of the agricultural and forestry sectors; (4) small-scale domestic use by landowners; and (5) the rise of the “green economy” (Canavan et al. 2019). Each phase created new opportunities for particular uses of bamboo species.

By contrast, there have been only two main phases of Cactaceae introductions. Initial introductions of a few species for agriculture in the nineteenth century (for food, cochineal, and as barrier plants); and in the last few decades the introduction of many species for ornamental horticulture (Kaplan et al. 2017; Novoa et al. 2017). Interestingly, due to correlations between growth forms, life-history traits and usages, most cactus species suitable for agriculture are invasive whereas many of the taxa widely used in horticulture pose minimal risk (Novoa et al. 2015).

This link between reasons for introduction and invasiveness is particularly interesting. The role of forestry in launching and sustaining invasions is well-established (Richardson 1998; Rouget et al. 2002; van Wilgen and Richardson 2012; Donaldson et al. 2014; McConnachie et al. 2015). Many non-woody invasive plants were also introduced, mainly for ornamental horticulture, and the configuration and persistence of plantings has left a strong imprint on invasion patterns (e.g. Foxcroft et al. 2008). Wilson et al. (2007) assessed the spread rates of 62 alien plant species in South Africa, and found that species planted as ornamentals had spread faster than those used for other purposes. In a related analysis, Thuiller et al. (2006) found that the spatial pattern of invasive plants in South Africa was driven by, among other factors, human uses. Many widespread invaders were accidentally introduced and disseminated; important examples are Chromolaena odorata (Triffid Weed), Datura innoxia (Downy Thorn Apple), Tagetes minuta (Khaki Bush) and Xanthium spinosum (Spiny Cocklebur). The current extent and patterns of alien plant invasions are due to interactions between species traits, environmental features, residence time, and the ways in which reasons for introduction have facilitated spread within the
country (Thuiller et al. 2006; Donaldson et al. 2014). It is not surprising, therefore, that the earliest records of invasion are from species that were introduced for utilitarian purposes, and that most of the new records of invasive plants have been taxa used in horticulture that were intentionally introduced and widely planted.

The most widespread alien plant species in South Africa today, *Opuntia ficus-indica* (Mission Prickly Pear; found in 35% of all quarter-degree grid cells in South Africa), started expanding its range around planting sites in the 1770s and “had become a serious and troublesome weed” by about 1890 (Annecke and Moran 1978). There are no records of major incursions of other alien plant species into natural vegetation in the 18th or early 19th centuries. Widespread invasions of alien plant species in natural ecosystems in South Africa were reported in the mid-1800s when invasive pines introduced for forestry [*Pinus pinaster* and possibly *P. halepensis* (Aleppo Pine)] began spreading into fynbos in the Western Cape (Richardson et al. 1994; Richardson and Higgins 1998). Other species that were already clearly invasive in the second half of the nineteenth century were *O. aurantiaca* (Jointed Cactus) and *X. spinosum*. In some cases, the enactment of policies and legislation provides clues on the emergence of major invasions. For example, although early distribution records for *X. spinosum* are scarce, the promulgation in 1861 of the *Xanthium spinosum* Act points to a major increase in the abundance, distribution and nuisance value of this species in preceding decades (see Lukey and Hall 2020, Chap. 18). Several reports of widespread invasions of *Acacia*, *Hakea* and *Pinus* species appeared in the 1920s and 1930s; by the 1940s large-scale invasions of these taxa occurred in many parts of the Fynbos Biome (reviewed in van Wilgen et al. 2016).

Widespread invasions began later in other parts of South Africa, but there are few detailed reports of the first invasions in the eastern and northern parts of the country. Among species that are currently widespread invaders in the northeastern parts of the country, *Lantana camara* (Lantana) and *Solanum mauritianum* (Bugweed), both of which were planted as ornamentals, were widespread in the 1930s and both were listed on the National Weeds Act of 1937. Henderson and Wells (1986) provide the earliest records of naturalisation for a range of species that are now widespread invaders in the Grassland and Savanna Biomes; dates range from the 1770s for *O. ficus-indica*, the 1870s for *Acacia dealbata* (Silver Wattle) and *A. mearnsii* (Black Wattle), to 1907 for *Lantana camara* and the 1940s for *Chromolaena odorata*.

Several examples illustrate the very rapid and recent emergence of invasions over large parts of the eastern, northern and interior parts of South Africa by species that are now among the country’s most widespread and damaging invasive species. *Chromolaena odorata* was first recorded in Durban in 1945 and was present in Hluhluwe–iMfolozi game reserve by 1961 (Macdonald and Frame 1988). Goodall and Erasmus (1996) document the spread of this species over large parts of eastern South Africa within 50 years of its arrival in the country. The first records of *Lantana camara* were from Durban and Cape Town, management efforts were reported as
early as the 1950s, and there were widespread invasions by the 1960s (Bhagat et al. 2012). The spread of Camptothecinum macrocephalum (Pompon Weed) was first noted in the 1960s around Pretoria, whereafter it spread to other parts of the country (Goodall et al. 2011). Prosopis species (mesquite) began spreading in the arid interior of South Africa in the 1970s and 1980s some 60 years after major plantings (Harding and Bate 1991). Rapid mesquite expansion followed several years of above-average rainfall in the Karoo that created conditions suitable for seed dispersal and seedling establishment. Another, similarly rapid, expansion of mesquite occurred in the 2000s (van den Berg et al. 2013). The 1980s also saw the rapid invasion of Opuntia stricta (Australian Pest Pear) in the Kruger National Park where major invasions grew from scattered foci around Skukuza, where the species was grown as an ornamental plant in tourist villages in the 1950s (Foxcroft et al. 2004). Although first reported in South Africa in 1880, at Inanda in KwaZulu-Natal, Parthenium hysterophorus (Parthenium Weed) remained uncommon until the 1980s when its populations expanded rapidly after Cyclone Demoina caused extensive flooding along the east coast of southern Africa in 1984 (McConnachie et al. 2011). Since then its range has increased rapidly and it is now a major invader over large parts of mesic savannas in eastern South Africa (Terblanche et al. 2016). Similarly, Pyracantha angustifolia only began invading the Grassland Biome in the early 1980s (the first herbarium record for the species is dated 1970 from the Ficksburg district of the Free State); it then spread very rapidly and dense stands of this shrub now occur in many high-altitude grasslands.

3.3 How Many Taxa? South Africa’s Alien, Naturalised and Invasive Flora

3.3.1 A National List of the Alien Flora?

No comprehensive list of the alien flora of South Africa exists, but several publications have made estimations of between 8750 and 9000 alien plant taxa (Le Maitre et al. 2011; Richardson et al. 2011b; Irlich et al. 2014; van Wilgen and Wilson 2018). These estimations seem to be based largely on insights from Glen’s (2002) book on the “Cultivated plants of southern Africa”. Glen’s list was based on herbarium specimens, nursery catalogues and records from plant breeders’ rights. It does not include naturalised species that have not been cultivated, such as those introduced as seed contaminants. Discussions with many botanists suggest that the estimate of 8750–9000 alien taxa is conservative. Glen and van Wyk (2016) estimated that there were around 2000 alien tree species in South Africa.

The challenges associated with compiling a definitive alien flora for South Africa, and deciding which taxa reside in different “introduction status” categories (based on their position along the introduction-naturalisation-invasion continuum; Blackburn
et al. 2011), have been highlighted in several recent studies. For example, Pyšek et al. (2013) noted that 20% of alien plant species listed in South Africa’s Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act had no herbarium records in the country’s National Herbarium. There have been efforts to improve the accuracy of inventories of alien plant taxa, and several detailed studies have been undertaken recently to confirm the identity of taxa in groups with poorly resolved taxonomic status and for other important plant groups.

Magona et al. (2018) conducted a comprehensive assessment of the presence of Australian Acacia species (wattles) in South Africa. Using herbarium records, visits to known planting sites, field surveys, and molecular methods, they concluded that although records exist for introductions of 141 species to South Africa, only 33 species are definitely still present, 13 of which are invasive. Importantly, several of the invasive species are not on Glen’s list, and many species on Glen’s list could not be found at known planting sites. Walters et al. (2011) estimated that around 400 alien species of Cactaceae are present in South Africa, and Novoa et al. (2017) presented evidence that about 300 species of cacti are imported to South Africa annually (though the vast majority of these are not new to South Africa). Currently, 35 species of Cactaceae are invasive (Kaplan et al. 2017). Milton (2004) produced a preliminary list of 113 alien grass species present in South Africa. Visser et al. (2017) updated this inventory, using recorded occurrences from many literature and database sources. They concluded that at least 256 alien grass species are present, 37 of which are invasive. One clade of grasses (subfamily Bambusoideae; ‘bamboos’) was examined in more detail by Canavan et al. (2019), who found evidence for the presence of 34 currently recognised alien bamboo taxa in South Africa. Jacobs et al. (2017) reviewed evidence for the presence of Melaleuca species (Paperbark Trees; including taxa formerly included in the genus Callistemon) in South Africa. They concluded that at least 36 species are currently present in the country. Le Roux et al. (2010) used molecular methods to confirm the presence of Anigozanthos flavidus (Evergreen Kangaroo Paw), which had been tentatively identified based on morphological features; they also identified a second naturalised species, A. rufus (Red Kangaroo Paw), not previously recorded from South Africa.

These examples show that, even for very conspicuous and well-studied plant species from taxonomically well-resolved groups (e.g. wattles), further work is needed to confirm the identity and introduction status of alien taxa. The situation is worse for taxa that are less well studied, less conspicuous, or difficult to identify. This has important implications for understanding aspects of the invasion ecology of species (e.g. matching plant species to host-specific bacterial and mycorrhizal symbionts to evaluate the role of mutualisms) and for management (e.g. when considering biological control).
Hybridisation also complicates the compilation of an alien flora for South Africa. A notable example is the genus *Prosopis*. Published records detail the introduction of at least seven *Prosopis* species (*P. cineraria*, *P. glandulosa*, *P. juliflora*, *P. laevigata*, *P. pubescens*, *P. tamarugo* and *P. velutina*) (Poynton 1990). However, preliminary molecular studies, together with variation in seed morphology, suggest that most populations in South Africa are hybrids, and that at least one previously unrecorded species, *P. hassleri*, is present (Mazibuko 2012). The presence of *P. chilensis*, *P. glandulosa*, and *P. laevigata* was confirmed, but neither *P. juliflora* nor *P. velutina* were identified using the selected molecular markers. While the taxonomy of the genus remains problematic, there is no doubt that multiple species were introduced into South Africa (Poynton 1990). Moreover, Mazibuko’s (2012) results suggest that most *Prosopis* taxa hybridise freely in South Africa and that invasive populations represent a hybrid swarm.

The challenges associated with producing an accurate and definitive alien flora reviewed above point to two main conclusions: (1) lists of alien species for South Africa (like elsewhere) have substantial errors, although the actual error rates are unknown. While some listed species are likely not present, lists generally substantially underestimate the number of alien species that have been introduced; and (2) lists need to be regularly updated based on agreed definitions, current nomenclature, and evidence that species are still present. The production of a register of alien species is a requirement of the national regulations, and the goal is for this to form part of the triennial reports on the status of biological invasions led by the South African National Biodiversity Institute (Wilson et al. 2017a; van Wilgen and Wilson 2018; Wilson et al. 2018).

### 3.3.2 A Preliminary Enumeration of South Africa’s Naturalised Flora

We used the list of naturalised plant taxa for South Africa produced for the first national status report on biological invasions for the purposes of this chapter (Appendix 3 in van Wilgen and Wilson 2018). We made a few minor modifications based on our knowledge of the introduction status of many taxa (i.e. their position on the introduction-naturalisation-invasion continuum; Richardson and Pyšek 2012), using published and unpublished information, and correspondence with colleagues. We also made some changes to accommodate recent taxonomic treatments. The list in Supplementary Appendix 3.1 includes 759 taxa, including all 327 plant taxa listed in the national legislation. Even though many taxa have only naturalised in the last few decades, the number of taxa listed here is well below the “at least 1000 candidate species” considered by Wells et al. (1986). This is due to our strict requirement for inclusion as naturalised, namely that there had to be evidence for populations that were self-sustaining for at least 10 years (Pyšek et al. 2004).
The families with the richest naturalised flora in South Africa are Fabaceae (73 taxa), Asteraceae (64), Myrtaceae (47), Cactaceae (42), and Poaceae (38). These top five families contain 35% of the alien flora (Fig. 3.1a). Genera with 10 species or more are *Eucalyptus* (22), *Acacia* (17), *Opuntia* (16), *Solanum* (14), *Oenothera* (10) and *Senna* (10) (Fig. 3.1b). An extraordinary feature of the naturalised flora is the dominance of woody plants—56% are trees and shrubs (see Box 3.1 and Fig. 3.1c).

**Fig. 3.1** Features of South Africa’s naturalised alien flora, showing the dominant (a) families, (b) genera, and (c) plant life forms.
The dominance of trees among invaders of natural and semi-natural vegetation is a striking feature of South Africa’s naturalised flora. Of South Africa’s 759 naturalised plant taxa (Supplementary Appendix 3.1), roughly a third are trees (240; 32%), following the criteria for separating trees from shrubs proposed by Richardson and Rejmánek (2011) (“perennial woody plants with many secondary branches supported clear of the ground on a single main stem or trunk with clear apical dominance”). Another 36 taxa are generally classified as shrubs, but some may assume tree-like stature. Together, these 276 woody plant taxa make up 36% of South Africa’s naturalised flora. Taxa classified primarily as trees belong to 56 families and 120 genera. Myrtaceae (45 species from 11 genera) and Fabaceae (38 species from 11 genera) are the dominant families.

Genera of alien trees for which invasions have been well studied in South Africa are *Acacia*, *Casuarina*, *Eucalyptus*, *Pinus*, *Prosopis*, and *Schinus*. Insights on invasions of these taxa have contributed substantially to the understanding of tree invasions globally (Richardson et al. 2014; Rundel et al. 2014).

The phenomenal success of trees as invaders in South Africa is probably at least partly due to the massive propagule pressure and long residence time because of repeated introductions and widespread plantings over more than a century. However, several ecosystem types in South Africa appear to be extraordinarily susceptible to invasion and transformation by alien trees.

Species-rich fynbos shrublands are highly vulnerable to invasion by trees from other fire-prone regions of the world. Serotinous *Pinus* species from Europe and Central and North America, and Australian *Acacia* species with soil-stored seeds that are stimulated to germinate by fire have invaded vast areas of fynbos, transforming shrubland vegetation into woodlands or forests over several decades (Richardson and Brown 1986; Richardson and Kluge 2008; Richardson and Cowling 1992).

Riparian habitats throughout South Africa have been severely invaded by alien trees, especially species in the genera *Acacia*, *Eucalyptus*, *Populus*, and *Salix*. These invasions are driven primarily by dispersal of propagules along rivers and through disturbance caused by flood events. These invasions are self-reinforcing in that stands of naturalised plants trap sediments, thereby creating abundant habitat for further establishment of seedlings and detached plant parts (Galatowitsch and Richardson 2005; Holmes et al. 2005). Invasions by these species are widespread in the wetter parts of the country, and also extend along perennial rivers throughout the arid Karoo, and in the Grassland and Savanna Biomes.

Inundation of floodplains during periods of above-average rainfall has triggered invasions of several species in South Africa, notably of *Prosopis* spp. in the arid interior of the county (Harding and Bate 1991). Groundwater availability appears to limit the extent of these invasions; water in floodplain aquifers is easily

(continued)
Box 3.1 (continued)

accessed by the deep roots of *Prosopis* which sustains high-density invasions. There are also extensive *Prosopis* invasions along the lower Orange River.

Besides the suite of very widespread and highly damaging invasive trees that are currently the focus of invasive plant management in the country (Marais et al. 2004), a large number of other tree taxa are naturalised but have yet to invade large areas. Many of these are known to be highly invasive in other parts of the world, including *Grevillea banksii* (Red Silky Oak); *Melaleuca quinquenervia* (Broad-leaved Paperbark), *Mimosa pigra* (Giant Sensitive Tree) and *Prunus serotina* (Black Cherry). Many of the taxa that already occupy large ranges in the country also have the potential to invade much larger areas (Rouget et al. 2004). There is thus a large invasion debt for alien trees in South Africa and more research is needed to improve our understanding of their invasion ecology to guide management.

### 3.4 Extent of Invasions

Two major assessments have been made of the spatial extent of alien plant invasions over large parts of South Africa. Unfortunately, the two assessments used very different methods and focused on particular taxa, types of plants, or areas. This means that they cannot be easily compared to show changes over time (see Supplementary Appendix 3.2). Despite such challenges, the two assessments have shed light on key aspects of plant invasions in South Africa.

Versfeld et al. (1998) reported on a rapid reconnaissance of the extent of alien plant invasions (mainly woody plant taxa) in South Africa, undertaken mostly during 1996 and 1997 to provide information needed to support the prioritisation of control programmes for the newly established Working for Water programme (see van Wilgen et al. 2020b, Chap. 21). This assessment involved a combination of field mapping (some based on historical information), desktop and workshop mapping, and expert consultations. All the taxa known to occur in a mapping unit were listed, most at a species level, though some at a genus level (e.g. *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus* were recorded as wattles and eucalypts). It concluded that about 10 million ha of South Africa (about 8% of the country) had been invaded to some degree by the ~180 species that were mapped. The Western Cape had the most extensive invasions, followed by Limpopo and Mpumalanga. KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape were not assessed at the same level of detail as the other provinces; invasions in these regions were considered to be close to the percentage for Mpumalanga. The assessment showed that invasions are concentrated in the wetter regions of the country, and that the greatest number of invasive species occurred in the Western Cape and along the eastern escarpment from KwaZulu-Natal to Limpopo.

A second national-scale assessment of the extent of alien plant invasions was the National Invasive Alien Plant Survey. This assessment, again in support of the Working for Water Programme, was undertaken by the Agricultural Research...
Council mainly during 2007 (Kotzé et al., 2010). This assessment focussed on 28 invasive taxa (mainly trees and shrubs) that are the main targets of the Working for Water programme. The sampling method involved defining homogeneous mapping units, allocating point samples, conducting aerial surveys of those points, and then extrapolating the point data to the mapping unit. (Kotzé et al. 2019). The assessment focused on the mesic parts of the country, and excluded a very large proportion of arid South Africa.

Versfeld et al. (1998) found that invasions were extensive (1.76 million ha) and had significant impacts (6.7% reduction in the mean annual runoff). The National Invasive Alien Plant Survey found that invasions by a number of high-impact taxa (wattles, pines, and especially eucalypts) were far more extensive than previously thought, and that invasions in the Eastern Cape were far more extensive and denser than previously estimated.

The most comprehensive and accessible source of field data for the whole country is the southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA; see Henderson 2001 for a field-guide, and Henderson and Wilson 2017 for a recent update). SAPIA is based on roadside surveys conducted by Lesley Henderson starting in 1979, and was formalised in 1994 by incorporating observations from participants (adopting many of the citizen science elements of the South African Bird Atlas Project and other such initiatives). As an atlas project, SAPIA is well suited for describing broad-scale biogeographical patterns, but it was neither intended nor designed to provide in-depth estimates of the extent of invasions, the efficacy of management interventions, or abundance. It has provided insights into all these aspects and more. SAPIA data are often summarised to show the frequencies of naturalised plant taxa in quarter-degree grid cells (QDGCs), although most data were collected at a finer resolution. SAPIA (accessed May 2018) contains data on 739 terrestrial naturalised plant taxa (note: the list is not the same as that in Appendix 3.1) and shows that naturalised plants have been recorded in 82% of the 1804 QDGCs in South Africa (Fig. 3.2), with alien plant species richness varying from 1–172 species per QDGC. SAPIA has been very useful for illustrating the national scale of plant invasions (Nel et al. 2004; van Wilgen and Wilson 2018), for elucidating broad-scale drivers of invasions (e.g. Foxcroft et al. 2007; Wilson et al. 2007; Donaldson et al. 2014; Moodley et al. 2014), and for demonstrating the efficacy of control measures (including biological control, Henderson and Wilson 2017).

We used SAPIA and data on native plant species richness at the QDGC scale from the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA; accessed December 2018) to compare naturalised and native plant species richness patterns (Fig. 3.2). As in a previous analysis using data in SAPIA collated up to 2004 (Richardson et al. 2005), naturalised plant species richness is highest in the southwest, eastwards along the coast and into the north-eastern corner of the country. However, these patterns are driven by a relatively few widespread species, around a quarter of all naturalised alien plant taxa in SAPIA occur in only one QDGC, and many at only one or a few sites (Fig. 3.3). In many cases, this is not due to climatic restrictions, the lack of detailed surveys, or the limited time to sample potentially invasible habitats, but is rather an artefact of where species were introduced. Moreover, most widespread invasive plant species are still increasing their ranges (Henderson and Wilson 2017).
This indicates both that South Africa has a substantial invasion debt (Rouget et al. 2016), and that there are many opportunities for pro-active management (i.e. incursion response, Wilson et al. 2013, 2017b; van Wilgen et al. 2020b, Chap. 21). For example, Richardson et al. (2015) produced a graph similar to Fig. 3.3, but only for Australian wattles. Four of the six most widespread invasive wattle species had been introduced for forestry; species introduced for dune stabilisation and as ornamentals had intermediate distributions. Species only found in a few QDGCs had only ever been planted in experimental trials at one or a few sites. Clearing such experimental plantings will likely go a long way to reducing the risk of future invasions (Wilson et al. 2013).

Despite the strong long-lasting signal of introduction effort and the likely dynamic nature of the extent of invasions, patterns of species richness at the scale
of QDGCs are very similar for naturalised and native plants. We suggest that deviation from the observed correlation (Fig. 3.2c, d) are likely due to the uneven introduction effort and propagule pressure over the country and will probably become less pronounced over time.

Another national-scale database on alien plant distribution is the Working for Water Information Management System (WIMS), which was designed to monitor where government funds were spent clearing different species of alien plants. As such, WIMS should be ideal for determining the extent and density of invasions in areas where control has been applied, and for evaluating the effectiveness of control measures. There are unfortunately substantial problems with the accuracy of the taxon-level data captured in WIMS because its focus has been on tracking expenditure (e.g. Marais and Wannenburgh 2008) rather than documenting invasions accurately at the species level. Comparisons of the WIMS data with field observations have highlighted numerous inconsistencies (cf. Kraaij et al. 2017).

Data are also available at local scales and for provincial agencies, but the only other major database on the distribution of alien plants is that initiated and maintained by South African National Parks (see Box 3.2). Such data are fundamental to their mission “to develop, expand, manage and promote a system of sustainable national parks that represents biodiversity and heritage assets, through innovation and best practice for the just and equitable benefit of current and future generations.”

Fig. 3.3 The broad-scale distribution of alien plants in South Africa as per the southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA, accessed May 2018). Extent is measured as the occupancy of quarter-degree grid cells out of a total of 1804 cells.
Box 3.2 Plant Invasions in South Africa’s National Parks

South Africa has 19 national parks that cover about 3.9 million ha spread across six terrestrial biomes (first figure below). As is the case with protected areas globally (Foxcroft et al. 2013), South Africa’s national parks are increasingly affected by alien plant invasions. A total of 752 alien plant taxa have been recorded in these national parks, of which 386 are known to have naturalised somewhere in South Africa (cf. Foxcroft et al. 2017 and Supplementary Appendix 3.1). The three parks with the highest number of taxa are Kruger NP (363), Table Mountain NP (251) and Garden Route NP (243) (first figure below). Of these, 139 plant taxa are considered ‘transformer’ species in South African’s national parks (Foxcroft et al. 2019). The highest numbers of transformer species are found in Table Mountain NP (74), followed by Garden Route NP (63) and Kruger NP (59). The number of NEM:BA-listed taxa is highest in Kruger (118), followed by Table Mountain (114) and Garden Route (98).

Distribution of South African National Parks, indicating total number of alien plant taxa (Foxcroft et al. 2017) and, in brackets, the number of transformer species (Foxcroft et al. 2019)

(continued)
Many of the alien plants in South African national parks are a legacy of either horticultural plantings or were present on the land before it was incorporated into the park system. The richness (and distinctiveness) of the alien flora of Kruger is partly due to the legacy of gardens in tourist camps (Foxcroft et al. 2008). Garden Route NP and Table Mountain NP also have substantial alien floras that are unique to those parks, and there are low numbers of shared families between these three parks (second figure below). Plant families with the most even representation across parks are Cactaceae (19 parks; 98 park by taxon records) Fabaceae (16; 168), Asteraceae (16; 126) and Poaceae (15; 160).

Dendrogram showing levels of similarity of South African national parks on the basis of shared alien plant taxa

The policy of South African National Parks is to phase out all alien plants in staff and tourist facilities, in favour of native (and ideally local) species (Cole et al. 2018). This will take time, and will require not only systematic management programmes to clear existing invasive populations, but also interventions to manage pathways of introduction (Foxcroft et al. 2019), and the establishment of buffer zones around the park (Foxcroft et al. 2011).
3.5 The Macroecology of Plant Invasions in South Africa

3.5.1 Plant Invasions as a Biogeographical Assay

Previous research showed that the distribution of naturalised alien plants in South Africa can be viewed as a “biogeographical assay” (Rouget et al. 2015; see also Richardson et al. 2004, 2005). Patterns of distribution, co-occurrence and turnover of well-established alien species at the scale of QDGCs show that “invasive alien [plant] species assemblages” (sensu Rouget et al. 2015) closely match the traditional biomes of South Africa (see van Wilgen et al. 2020a, Chap. 1 Fig. 1.1), which are defined on the basis of native plant biogeography and environmental conditions (Rutherford 1997). We used the latest SAPIA data (see above) to determine an optimum number of “alien plant species assemblage zones” in South Africa, i.e. regions characterised by similar alien plant species composition. Species compositions in QDGCs were compared in a pairwise fashion using the Simpson Dissimilarity Index. Non-metric dimensional scaling (nMDS) was then applied to plot each QDGC in three-dimensions (red-green-blue) so that QDGCs with similar colours have similar species composition (see methodological details in Supplementary Appendix 3.3). A K-means clustering algorithm was then used to identify distinct zones based on consensus over 30 different criteria. Results of the clustering analysis revealed that four zones provide a good summary of current alien plant distribution data at the scale of QDGCs (Fig. 3.4). This contrasts with the six clusters defined by Rouget et al. (2015), based on the number of commonly defined native biomes. Two of the zones defined in Fig. 3.4 (“fynbos-specific invaders” and “grassland-specific invaders”) are very similar to clusters defined by Rouget et al. (2015)—these equate closely with the Fynbos and Grassland Biomes of South Africa, respectively. The “moist subtropical invaders” and “semi-arid invaders” zones correspond with the mesic parts of the Savanna Biome, and the interface between the Nama Karoo and arid parts of the Savanna Biome, respectively. Large parts of the Nama Karoo and Succulent Karoo Biomes (a complex mixture of clusters 1, 2 and 3 in Rouget et al. 2015) were not characterised by any cluster in our analysis, as these cells contained fewer records compared to the rest of the country. This low number of records led to biases in the comparisons of QDGCs and prevented the nMDS algorithm from generating sensible results. We believe that the clustering resulting from our analysis provides an ecologically meaningful basis for discussing broad-scale patterns of plant invasions in South Africa. Note that species composition is not perfectly homogeneous within each zone, and that species composition varies gradually in space, even within zones (Supplementary Fig. S3.1). For example, visual inspection of the differences in species composition suggests that the northeastern and southwestern parts of the “grassland-specific invaders” zones are slightly different from each other, as are the northern and southern parts of the “moist subtropical invaders” zone. Finally, we identified “signature taxa” - those that typify each alien plant species assemblage based on the proportion of QDGCs occupied by the taxa that fall within the assemblage. We also identified widespread naturalised taxa that have large parts
of their ranges in multiple zones; in many cases such species occur in azonal habitats such a riparian zones; we term this cluster “pervasive/riparian invaders” (Table 3.1).

### 3.5.2 Correlates of Alien and Native Species Richness

We explored the correlates of species richness for alien and native species to assess the relative roles of factors associated with topographic heterogeneity (coefficient of
Table 3.1 Signature plant taxa in four alien plant species assemblage zones defined based on the ratio of number of occupied quarter-degree cells (QDGCs) within the zones to the total number of occupied cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alien plant species assemblages</th>
<th>Signature taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fynbos-specific invaders</td>
<td><em>Acacia saligna</em> (Port Jackson Willow); <em>Acacia cyclops</em> (Rooikrans); <em>Pinus pinaster</em> (Cluster Pine); <em>Pinus radiata</em> (Monterey Pine); <em>Hakea sericea</em> (Silky Hakea); <em>Paraserianthes lophantha</em> (Stinkbean); <em>Avena</em> sp. (Wild Oats); <em>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</em> (Sugar Gum); <em>Eucalyptus diversicolor</em> (Karri); <em>Leptospermum laevigatum</em> (Australian Myrtle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist subtropical invaders</td>
<td><em>Psidium guajava</em> (Guava); <em>Senna didymobotrya</em> (Peanut Butter Cassia); <em>Biancaea decapetala</em> (Mauritius Thorn); <em>Chromolaena odorata</em> (Trifid Weed); <em>Catharanthus roseus</em> (Madagascar Periwinkle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-arid invaders</td>
<td><em>Prosopis glandulosa</em> (Mesquite); <em>Salsola kali/tragus</em> (Tumbleweed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland-specific invaders</td>
<td><em>Pyracantha angustifolia</em> (Yellow Firethorn); <em>Cosmos bipinnatus</em> (Cosmos); <em>Robinia pseudacacia</em> (Black Locust); <em>Rosa rubiginosa</em> (Eglantine); <em>Acacia decurrens</em> (Green Wattle); <em>Salix fragilis</em> (Crack Willow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervasive/riparian invaders</td>
<td><em>Opuntia ficus-indica</em> (Mission Prickly Pear); <em>Melia azedarach</em> (Syringa); <em>Salix babylonica</em> (Weeping Willow); <em>Populus canescens</em> (Grey Poplar) <em>Acacia mearnsii</em> (Black Wattle); <em>Ricinus communis</em> (Castor-oil Plant); <em>Agave americana</em> (American agave); <em>Arundo donax</em> (Spanish Reed); <em>Prunus persica</em> (Peach) <em>Argemone ochroleuca</em> (White-flowered Mexican Poppy); <em>Sesbania punicea</em> (Sesbania); <em>Cirsium vulgare</em> (Spear Thistle); <em>Nicotiana glauca</em> (Tree Tobacco); <em>Solanum mauritianum</em> (Bugweed); <em>Acacia dealbata</em> (Silver Wattle); <em>Opuntia robusta</em> (Wheel Cactus); <em>Lantana camara</em> (Lantana); <em>Datura stramonium</em> (Common Thorn Apple) <em>Verbena bonariensis</em> (Purpletop); <em>Schinus molle</em> (Peruvian Peppertree)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature taxa for each assemblage were arbitrarily defined as those that occur in at least 25% of QDGCs in the zone and for which the occupancy ratio exceeds 0.75. Also listed are taxa that do not qualify as signature taxa, but which are widespread in South Africa (i.e. occurring in at least 15% of the QDGCs covered by the SAPIA database). Taxa are listed in decreasing order of the number of QDGCs occupied within each zone.
variation of elevation), environmental favourableness (mean annual precipitation, mean soil water stress, mean growing temperature, mean temperature of the coldest month), energy (mean annual temperature, mean productivity), irregularity (coefficient of variability of rainfall), and human footprint (index of human influence) in structuring diversity patterns (methods are described in Supplementary Appendix 3.4). To do this, we used SAPIA data for alien species and the Botanical Database of Southern Africa data for native plant species at the scale of QDGCs. Previous work showed that species richness of native plants in South Africa could be

**Fig. 3.5** Radar charts showing the relative influence of 9 variables on species richness of naturalised alien (orange) and native (green) plant species for each of the “alien plant species assemblage zones” (Fig. 3.2). (a) fynbos-specific invaders; (b) moist subtropical invaders; (c) semi-arid invaders; (d) grassland-specific invaders. The numbers at the top-left of each chart give the variance explained on the cross-validation dataset. SD_ALTI is the standard deviation of altitude; HF is human footprint; CV_RAIN is the coefficient of variation of rainfall; Productivity is mean productivity; MTEMP_MEAN is mean temperature; MTCOLD is mean temperature of the coldest month; GTEMP is Mean Growing Temperature; SWSMIN_MEA is mean soil water stress; and MAP is mean annual precipitation.
explained by proxies for environmental factors relating to habitat and climatic heterogeneity, favourableness of rainfall and temperature, energy, seasonality of rainfall and temperature metrics, and rainfall irregularity (Cowling et al. 1997). Richardson et al. (2005) and Thuiller et al. (2006) used similar metrics to contrast the relationship between plant species richness for native and alien species (using SAPIA data up to 2004) with indicators of environmental and human-mediated disturbance. We used updated distribution data for naturalised plant taxa (SAPIA data up to 2018) and a similar range of variables to revisit this question with respect to the alien plant species assemblages defined in Fig. 3.4. Results show that determinants of native and naturalised species richness is similar in most zones, although there are some interesting differences (Fig. 3.5). Native plant species richness in the “fynbos-specific invaders” zone is strongly associated with levels of soil water stress. Areas with low moisture stress support higher native species richness than areas with high levels of moisture stress overall. For the “semi-arid invaders” zone, Mean Growing Temperature (GTemp) is important for native species richness, whereas mean Annual Precipitation and the coefficient of variation in rainfall are important determinants of naturalised species richness. For the “grassland-specific invaders” zone, Human Footprint and Mean Temperature are important for naturalised but not native species richness. Interestingly, patterns in naturalised species richness in all zones is largely explained by environmental factors, and human-mediated disturbance is not a major determinant at the QDGC scale. This supports the results of previous research that showed that environmental drivers predict invasion patterns at broad spatial scales, whereas disturbance is important for explaining patterns only at the landscape scale (Rouget and Richardson 2003a, b; see also Wilson et al. 2020, Chap. 13).

3.6 Conclusions

South Africa has a long history of plant introductions and invasions, some aspects of which have been well documented and studied. As with all invasions, the current biogeographical patterns offer a snapshot of the outcomes of the ongoing interplay among many factors. These factors include the socio-historical processes that have determined which species have been introduced, and to which sites, the traits of the alien species, and features of the recipient ecosystems, and in many cases the multi-faceted role of humans in influencing invasions. The study of the biogeography of South African terrestrial plant invasions has been highly productive, but many questions remain. For example, research is needed to better understand the introduction dynamics and how processes of introduction, cultivation and dissemination interact with environmental features to shape major plant “invasion syndromes” (sensu Kueffer et al. 2013) in South Africa. Understanding the biogeography of plant invasions is a crucial prerequisite for effective planning. In this regard, we suggest several priorities for future research.
There is an urgent need for an accurate alien flora for South Africa, both to ensure that current invasions are properly managed, and that the risk of future invasions can be identified and minimised (see Sect. 3.3.1, and Kumschick et al. 2020, Chap. 20, for more details). The alien flora should include objective information on the introduction status of each taxon according to the unified framework for biological invasions (Blackburn et al. 2011; Wilson et al. 2018). It should be updated regularly as part of the processes for completion of the triennial national status reports mandated in legislation (van Wilgen and Wilson 2018).

“Alien plant species assemblage zones” (Fig. 3.4) reflect the outcome of decades of alien plant taxa arranging themselves in space following human-mediated introduction and dissemination and interactions with environmental (Wilson et al. 2020, Chap 13) and biotic (Le Roux et al. 2020, Chap 14) features of South African ecosystems. The dimensions and determinants of these species assemblages and the zones they occupy deserve further attention; these zones potentially define ecologically meaningful spatial units for national-scale planning (Fig. 3.4).

There is also a need for a systematic monitoring system to detect and track invasions (Latombe et al. 2017). This should incorporate active on-ground surveillance, remote sensing, and citizen science initiatives [e.g. expanding SAPIA to tap into iNaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org/), and drone and satellite technology]. Visser et al. (2014) showed the value of freely available Google Earth imagery for detecting changes in the distribution of invasive alien plants, especially trees. A series of sentinel sites could be established to allow for the monitoring of the extent of invasions of key taxa and sites.

The dimensions of the invasion debt in South Africa’s alien flora requires much more research. Many naturalised species are clearly poised to invade large areas; the potential ranges of these species need to be determined to inform response efforts.
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