An Investigation of the Mineral in Ductile and Brittle Cortical Mouse Bone
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ABSTRACT

Bone is a strong and tough material composed of apatite mineral, organic matter, and water. Changes in composition and organization of these building blocks affect bone’s mechanical integrity. Skeletal disorders often affect bone’s mineral phase, either by variations in the collagen or directly altering mineralization. An aim of this study was to explore the differences in the mineral of brittle and ductile cortical bone at the mineral (nm) and tissue (μm) levels using two mouse phenotypes. Osteogenesis imperfecta model, oim-/-, mice have a defect in the collagen, which leads to brittle bone; PHOSPHO1 mutants, Phospho1-/-, have ductile bone resulting from altered mineralization. Oim-/- and Phospho1-/- were compared with their respective wild-type controls. Femora were defatted and ground to powder to measure average mineral crystal size using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and to monitor the bulk mineral to matrix ratio via thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). XRD scans were run after TGA for phase identification to assess the fractions of hydroxyapatite and β-tricalcium phosphate. Tibiae were embedded to measure elastic properties with nanoindentation and the extent of mineralization with backscattered electron microscopy (BSE SEM). Results revealed that although both pathology models had extremely different whole-bone mechanics, they both had smaller apatite crystals, lower bulk mineral to matrix ratio, and showed more thermal conversion to β-tricalcium phosphate than their wild types, indicating deviations from stoichiometric hydroxyapatite in the original mineral. In contrast, the degree of mineralization of bone matrix was different for each strain: brittle oim-/- were hypermineralized, whereas ductile Phospho1-/- were hypomineralized. Despite differences in the mineralization, nanoscale alterations in the mineral were associated with reduced tissue elastic moduli in both pathologies. Results indicated that alterations from normal crystal size, composition, and structure are correlated with reduced mechanical integrity of bone. © 2014 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.
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Introduction

Cortical bone is a tough material; however, bone’s ability to resist fracture often deteriorates because of aging and/or skeletal diseases. The understanding of bone’s toughening mechanisms requires the interpretation of mechanical and structural properties at multiple scales.1-4 At the whole-bone level (macroscale), cortical bone is the compact bone in the diaphysis and the bony part of the outer shell of the epiphyses. At the tissue level (microscale), lamellar bone is built up of collagen fibers, which are composed of collagen fibrils and mineral crystals (nanoscale). The constituent elements of bone material include apatite mineral, primarily impure forms of hydroxyapatite (HA); organic matter, composed of collagen and noncollagenous proteins; and water, which resides on the surface, within mineral crystals, and between collagen fibers.

Because of this complex hierarchical structure, there are many determinants of bone’s fracture toughness. At the nanoscale, the composite nature of mineralized collagen fibrils and, thus, the mineral and collagen as well as the interaction between them contribute to bone-toughening mechanisms.5,6 Although collagen is accepted to play a major role in bone toughness,5-7 it is also known that bone mineral is altered in skeletal disorders, which lead to bone brittleness.8-12 The objective of this study was to evaluate the mineral properties at the μm-length (tissue) and nm-length (mineral) scales in brittle and ductile cortical bone. Two mouse phenotypes were chosen, based on known fracture toughness values (Fig. 1) to represent brittle and ductile bone.13,14 We used the mouse osteogenesis imperfecta model.
(oim−/−), which replicates the moderate to severe condition of osteogenesis imperfecta in humans. Osteogenesis imperfecta, also called brittle bone disease, is primarily caused by mutations in type 1 collagen genes, which lead to bone fragility. Oim−/− bone has decreased ultimate stress and toughness at the whole-bone level, higher mineral density measured by electron backscattering, and smaller and less arranged apatite crystals. For ductile bones, we used PHOSPHO1 (Phospho1−/−). These mice lack a phosphatase, which is required for the generation of inorganic phosphate for bone mineralization. Both pathologic bones (oim−/− and Phospho1−/−) were compared with their corresponding wild-type controls: oim+/+ and Phospho1+/+. In total, 24 male mice of aged 7 weeks were used (six per group). Femora were utilized to analyze the mineral (nanoscale), whereas tibiae were used to explore bone tissue (microscale) (Fig. 2).

Materials and Methods

Specimens

Bones considered in this study belonged to two mouse strains that show the extremes of toughness (Fig. 1). At the whole-bone level, bones of B6C3Fe-a/aCol1a2oim/oim (oim−/−) are brittle, whereas Phospho1-R74X null mutant (Phospho1−/−) mice have ductile bones. Both pathologic bones (oim−/− and Phospho1−/−) were compared with their corresponding wild-type controls: oim+/+ and Phospho1+/+. In total, 24 male mice of aged 7 weeks were used (six per group). Femora were utilized to analyze the mineral (nanoscale), whereas tibiae were used to explore bone tissue (microscale) (Fig. 2).

Mineral characterization

Right femora of 6 mice per group (total 24 femora) were used for XRD and TGA analysis. Bones were cleaned of soft tissue and bone marrow, and both epiphyses were cut off with a water-cooled low-speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler GmbH, Dusseldorf, Germany). Femora were then defatted using first a 2:1 and then a 1:2 chloroform/ethanol solution for 48 hours each. The specimens were dehydrated in increasing components of the mineral (Phosphate) and collagen (Amide I). TGA measures total mineral and organic contents, including carbonated mineral and noncollagenous proteins, providing additional insight into bulk composition. Changes in composition were investigated with a second XRD analysis of the bone powder after the TGA. Heating the mineral increases its crystallinity, and thus differences in composition and structure become more apparent. The ramifications of the changes in mineral structure and composition were evaluated at the tissue level by analyzing the mineralized matrix. The extent of mineralization of the bone matrix was compared using backscattered electron scanning electron microscopy (BSE SEM). Although density is often used as a correlate of elastic modulus, this is not always true in pathologic bone, where altered mineral organization and structure also affect tissue modulus. We used nanoindentation to identify changes in elastic properties. These multiscale techniques were combined to analyze the mineral of brittle oim−/− bones, which have a defect in the collagen, and ductile Phospho1−/− bones, where the mineralization process is deteriorated. We hypothesized that these two pathologies, which map the extremes of whole-bone mechanics (brittle and ductile), will have altered mineral crystal size, composition, mineral fraction, degree of mineralization of the bone matrix, and tissue elastic properties compared with normal bone.

Fig. 1. Values of fracture toughness (Kc) adapted from literature: oim−/− from Carriero and colleagues; the rest from Carriero and colleagues. Kc values were statistically different among groups (p < 0.001).

Fig. 2. Schematic of materials and methods. Tibiae were used for microscale analysis, and femora were utilized to determine properties at the nanoscale.
concentrations of ethanol (70% to 100%) for 10 minutes each. Each bone was wet ground in acetone until a uniform and homogeneous powder was obtained and the vials were left under the fume hood until the evaporation of the acetone. The same femur powder was used for the subsequent XRD-TGA-XRD analysis of each sample.

**X-ray diffraction of bone powder (XRD)**

XRD patterns were obtained using a PANalytical (PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands) XRD X’Pert Pro diffractometer operated at 40 kV and 40 mA with no spinning. The initial data collection was in the 2θ range of 20° to 80°, with a step size of 0.0334°/2θ (kept constant) and a count time at each step of 35 seconds. A set of slower scans was carried out from 24° to 28° with 250 s/step to better capture the diffraction peak at 2θ = 26° (Fig. 3). This peak corresponds to the (0 0 2) c-axis and does not exhibit overlapping, which is why it was used to measure the average crystal size along the c-axis.\(^{20}\) The Scherrer equation was used with the FWHM method (full width at half maximum) to calculate the average crystal size in the c-direction according to Eq. 8. \(L\) is the peak width at half maximum and \(\theta\) is the Bragg angle where the peak is located.

\[
B(2\theta) = \frac{k\lambda}{L\cos\theta} 
\]  
(1)

where \(k\) is the shape factor, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-ray, \(L\) is the peak width at half maximum, and \(\theta\) is the Bragg angle where the peak is located (Fig. 3). An built-in tool provided by PANalytical X’Pert software was used for the calculations. The standard \(B_{\text{Si}}(2\theta) = 0.14°\) was obtained measuring a Si substrate under the same conditions as the samples.

**Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)**

TGA was carried out on the Netzsch STA 449 C Jupiter simultaneous thermal analyzer at a constant heating rate of 10 °C/min in controlled air atmosphere. Femur powder, which weighted 8 to 12 mg depending on the sample, was heated to the required temperature in a platinum crucible. In bone, the change in mass monitored by TGA is considered to be the result of loss of water for temperatures up to 200 °C, organic content from 200 °C to 600 °C, and carbonate content above 600 °C.\(^{27–29}\) Three of the samples per group were heated from room temperature to 800 °C and the other three to 1200 °C. Mineral to matrix ratio was calculated as the ratio between the percentages of mass remaining after heating to 600 °C and the organic mass loss between 200 °C and 600 °C.\(^{28}\)

\[
\frac{\text{Mineral}}{\text{Matrix}} = \frac{m_{600\degree C}(\%)}{m_{200\degree C}(\%)} - \frac{m_{600\degree C}(\%)}{m_{200\degree C}(\%)} \times 100 
\]  
(2)

The percentage of mass at 600 °C represents the weight percentage of mineral content, and it depends on the amount of mass lost because of moisture, which might be altered in pathologic bones. To avoid this influence, the mass percentage at 600 °C was translated to dry weight percentage for the calculation of the mineral to matrix ratio:

\[
m_{600\degree C}(\%\text{dryweight}) = \frac{m_{600\degree C}(\%\text{initialweight})}{m_{200\degree C}(\%\text{initialweight})} \times 100 
\]  
(3)

**XRD after heat treatment**

The thermal treatment in the TGA effectively results in the recrystallization of the mineral to different phases depending on crystallite size and composition. Compositional differences become more apparent after thermal annealing of the mineral, which evolves mainly to hydroxyapatite (HA) and tricalcium phosphate (TCP). After TGA, XRD scans were collected with a time of 52 s/step from 20° to 80°. PANalytical Xpert Highscore software was employed to identify the phases present comparing peak positions against the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) powder diffraction reference patterns. Peaks corresponding to HA were traced using hydroxylapatite (reference code: 01–074–0566), whereas calcium phosphate (ref. code: 01–070–2065) was used to identify β-tricalcium phosphate peaks. The mass fractions of the identified phases were estimated based on their reference intensity ratios (RIR). The percentages of HA and TCP were used to estimate differences in calcium to phosphate (Ca/P) ratios, taking into account that Ca/P of stoichiometric HA is 1.67, whereas TCP has a ratio of 1.5. A higher conversion to TCP is associated with a calcium-deficient apatite, which exhibits a lower Ca/P ratio.\(^{30–42}\)

**Tissue characterization**

Right tibiae of 4 mice per group (total 16 tibiae) were used for nanoindentation and BSE SEM. Tibiae were cleaned of surrounding soft tissue and fixed in 70% ethanol for 48 hours. They were dehydrated in a series of increasing concentrations of ethanol (80%, 90%, and 100% for 24, 24, and 72 hours, respectively) and changed to a xylene solution (48 hours). The samples were then infiltrated in pure methyl methacrylate monomer mixed with \(\alpha\)-azo-iso-butyronitrile under vacuum and polymerized to PMMA at 30 °C (chemicals bought from VRW, Lutterworth, UK). Tibia blocks were cut transversally at the mid-diaphysis and the cross sections polished with graded silicon carbide papers (from P800 to P4000), and cleaned ultrasonically with distilled water between polishing steps.
Nanoindentation (NI) was performed on mid-diaphyseal medial cross sections of the PMMA-embedded tibias (Fig. 2) using a TI700 UBI system (Hysitron, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Indentation tests were carried out with the specimen in the dry condition using a 55-μm-radius sphere tip. A trapezoidal loading protocol was applied longitudinally to a maximum load of 8 mN with a rising time of 10 seconds and a holding of 30 seconds. Nine indents were made in each specimen with a minimum spacing of 15 μm between indents (Fig. 2). Viscoelastic analysis was used to evaluate elastic properties.\(^{33-35}\) The time-displacement data \(h(t)\) were fitted to the viscoelastic Boltzmann integral equation:

\[
h^{3/2}(t) = \frac{3}{8\sqrt{R}} \int J(t - u) \frac{dP(u)}{du} du \tag{4}
\]

where \(R = 55 \mu m\) is the radius of the tip; \(P\) is the applied load; \(u\) is the dummy variable of integration for time; and \(J(t)\) is the material creep function. The creep function is defined as a function of the creep coefficients \(C_0, C_i\) and the material time constants \(T_i:\)

\[
J(t) = C_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_i \exp(-t/\eta_i) \tag{5}
\]

The solution of Eq. 4 for the holding period of trapezoidal loading results in the following expression:

\[
h^{3/2}(t) = \frac{3}{8\sqrt{R}} P_{\text{max}} \left\{ C_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_i \exp(-t/\eta_i) \frac{T_i}{T_6} [\exp(t_6/T_i) - 1] \right\} \tag{6}
\]

where the maximum applied load \(P_{\text{max}} = 8\) mN, and rising time \(t_6 = 10\) s. The values of \(C_0, C_i, T_i\) were obtained using a nonlinear least-square curve-fit function in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA).\(^{36}\)

The instantaneous \(G_0\) and equilibrium \(G_\infty\) shear modulus are calculated from the creep coefficients:

\[
G_0 = \frac{1}{2(C_0 - C_1 - C_2)} \tag{7}
\]

\[
G_\infty = \frac{1}{2C_0} \tag{8}
\]

The ratio \(G_\infty/G_0\) gives insight into the viscoelastic behavior of the material: it ranges from 0, in perfectly viscous materials, to 1, in perfectly elastic materials. The instantaneous shear modulus was used to calculate the plane strain modulus, \(E'\):

\[
E' = 4 \times G_0 \tag{9}
\]

Young’s modulus \(E\) was computed assuming a Poisson’s ratio of \(\nu = 0.3\) in cortical bone, following:

\[
E' = \frac{E}{(1 - \nu^2)} \tag{10}
\]

Backscattered electron microscopy (BSE SEM)

After the indentations, the PMMA blocks were repolished and carbon coated. Samples were analyzed using EVO MA10 scanning electron microscope (Zeiss UK Ltd, Cambridge, UK) operated at 20 kV, with a beam current of 1.0 nA and at a working distance of 12 mm using monobromo and monoiiodo dimethacrylate standards.\(^{11,37}\) ImageJ\(^{38}\) was employed to plot the combined histogram of the grey values of all the bones to identify the lower (A, 125) and upper (B, 235) bounds across histograms (Fig. 4). These bounds were used to normalize the grey values of each pixel according to:

\[
p_n = \left( \frac{p - A}{B - A} \right) \times r \tag{11}
\]

where \(p_n\) is the normalized pixel value, \(p\) is the current pixel, and \(r\) is the bin range, in this case 255. For visualization purposes, the grey-level range of the normalized histogram was divided into eight equal-size classes of different colors ranging from nonmineralized (black) to highly mineralized (white) bone matrix. The results reported hereafter are the ones corresponding to the normalized distribution in Fig. 4.

Statistical analysis

Mean values and standard deviations were calculated for the measured parameters. Independent t-tests were used to compare crystal size, mineral/matrix ratio, elastic properties, and mean BSE intensity values of pathologic versus healthy bone (\(\text{BSE}_{-/-}\) versus \(\text{BSE}_{+/-}; \text{Phospho}^{+/-}\) versus \(\text{Phospho}^{+/-}\)). Equality of variances was assumed when Levene’s test gave values of \(p > 0.05\). Mann-Whitney U test was used in the cases where the data were not normally distributed according to the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences were considered significant at \(p < 0.05\). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (v.21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Average crystal size

Pathologic bones had smaller crystal size than their controls (Fig. 5). In brittle bones, the average crystal size decreased from 25.0 ± 0.6 nm (\(\text{BSE}_{+/-}\)) to 17.8 ± 0.9 nm (\(\text{BSE}_{+/-}\)) (\(p < 0.001\)). In ductile bones, it decreased from 22.9 ± 0.5 nm (\(\text{Phospho}^{+/-}\)) to 21.6 ± 0.6 nm (\(\text{Phospho}^{+/-}\)) (\(p = 0.001\)).

![Normalized distribution](image)

**Fig. 4.** Combined histogram of grey values of all the bones used to identify the lower (A) and upper limits (B). And the normalized combined histogram between A and B.
Bulk mineral content

Representative TGA curves of weight loss with temperature are plotted in Fig. 6A. The percentages of weight associated with organic content ($m_{200} - m_{600}$) and carbonate content ($m_{600} - m_{800}$) are shown in Table 1. The weight loss associated with moisture is not shown because it might have been influenced by sample preparation. Brittle oim−/− and ductile Phospho1−/− bones exhibited increased organic content ($p < 0.001$) compared with their controls. No differences were found in the loss of carbonate content to 800 °C. Mineral/matrix ratios, calculated as the percentage of dry mass remaining at 600 °C divided by the loss of organic matter (Eq. 2, 3), indicated that both pathologic bones had smaller mineral/matrix ratio compared with their controls ($p < 0.001$) (Fig. 6B).

Conversion to HA and TCP

Fig. 7 represents XRD patterns of oim and Phospho1 bone powder in three stages: unheated, after TGA to 800 °C, and after the heat treatment to 1200 °C. The XRD spectra of unheated bones could not be distinguished among all four mouse models because of low crystallinity and peak overlap. XRD after thermal treatment induced an increase in crystallinity for all the samples and revealed differences in the amounts of HA and TCP among the bones (Fig. 8). Fig. 8 shows representative diffraction patterns of the samples heated to 1200 °C, where the peaks corresponding to HA and TCP are identified. The heat treatment induced a bigger mass conversion to TCP for pathologic bones, which were heated to 1200 °C (42 ± 3% TCP in oim−/− and 31 ± 1% in Phospho1−/−) compared with wild-type controls (25 ± 3% in oim+/+ and 25 ± 1% in Phospho1+/+). It must be noted that only half of the bones were heated to 1200 °C. Nevertheless, the same trend was found in the bones heated to 800 °C, with pathologic bones having a bigger fraction of TCP mass (44 ± 6% in oim−/− and 23 ± 1% in Phospho1−/−) than their wild-type controls (18 ± 1% in oim+/+ and Phospho1+/+).

Tissue elastic properties

Table 2 summarizes the means and standard deviations of elastic properties for oim and Phospho1 tibiae. The plane strain modulus $E'$, instantaneous shear modulus $G_0$, and infinite shear modulus $G_\infty$ were significantly smaller in pathologic bones compared with wild-type controls. As expected for dry and PMMA-embedded samples, no significant differences were found in the extent of viscoelasticity, $G_\infty/G_0$. Pathologic bones had smaller Young’s modulus than their controls ($p < 0.001$ for oim−/−; $p = 0.017$ for Phospho1−/−) (Fig. 9).

Degree of mineralization of bone matrix

Oim−/− bones were more mineralized and Phospho1−/− bones were less mineralized than their controls (Fig. 10). Combined histograms were plotted per strain to compute average pixel distributions. The mean grey value was smaller for Phospho1−/− bones (117 ± 28) and bigger for oim−/− (168 ± 29) when compared with Phospho1+/+ (143 ± 26, $p < 0.001$) and oim+/+ (139 ± 27, $p < 0.001$), respectively.

Discussion

This study explored the mineral phase of brittle oim−/− and ductile Phospho1−/− bones. Interestingly, despite their extremely different mechanical behavior at the macroscale, the mineral of...
**Table 1.** Mean and standard deviations of weight % of organic (200–600°C), mineral (at 600°C), and carbonate content (600–800°C) for oim and Phospho1 bone powder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oim+/+</th>
<th>Oim/-</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Phospho1+/+</th>
<th>Phospho1/-</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic (wt %)</td>
<td>24.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>32.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>22.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>25.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral (wt %)</td>
<td>65.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>55.0 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>65.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>61.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate (wt %)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05

**Fig. 7.** XRD spectra of oim (left) and Phospho1 (right) bones before TGA (unheated) and after heating to 800°C and 1200°C. The mineral becomes more crystalline with temperature.
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**Fig. 7.** XRD spectra of oim (left) and Phospho1 (right) bones before TGA (unheated) and after heating to 800°C and 1200°C. The mineral becomes more crystalline with temperature.
vessels, which are expected to influence the fracture behavior of bone.(47–50)

After the heat treatment, all bones evolved to a biphasic mixture of HA and TCP, but pathologic samples showed a higher conversion to TCP (Fig. 8), indicating chemical deviations from the stoichiometric HA. The increased conversion to TCP, with a lower Ca/P ratio than HA, suggests that the mineral of oim−/− and Phospho1−/− bones had lower Ca/P ratio than their controls. Our results are limited by the reduced number of samples heated to each of the temperatures (three per strain to 800 °C and three to 1200 °C). However, the results are consistent for both temperatures and in agreement with previous literature. Brittle bones exhibited the highest deviation from stoichiometric apatite. Phillips and colleagues(10) measured a reduction of Ca/P in bone powder after being heated to 1200 °C and the average percentages of TCP. Pathologic samples show a higher conversion to TCP.

Fig. 8. XRD patterns of oim and Phospho1 bone powder after being heated to 1200 °C and the average percentages of TCP. Pathologic samples show a higher conversion to TCP.

Table 2. Means and standard deviations of elastic properties of oim and Phospho1 tibiae embedded in pmma, indented with a sphere in dry conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oim+/−</th>
<th>Oim−/−</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Phospho1+/−</th>
<th>Phospho1−/−</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E’ (GPa)</td>
<td>17.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>13.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>12.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>10.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₀ (GPa)</td>
<td>4.27 (0.58)</td>
<td>3.47 (0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>3.01 (0.68)</td>
<td>2.57 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G∞ (GPa)</td>
<td>2.77 (0.54)</td>
<td>2.35 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>2.03 (0.40)</td>
<td>1.64 (0.33)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G∞/G₀</td>
<td>0.65 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.68 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E’ is the plane strain modulus; G₀ is the instantaneous shear modulus and G∞ corresponds to the shear modulus at infinite time; G∞/G₀ represents the elastic fraction (viscous 0 ≤ G∞/G₀ ≤ 1 elastic).

*p < 0.05

A notable difference in the tissue properties of brittle and ductile bones in the present experiments resides in the mineralization of the tissue. Because our aim was to compare mineralization degrees among bones within the same study, mineralization was left in terms of normalized BSE SEM grey values, instead of translating these values to mineral density.(37) This was done with the purpose of avoiding the many assumptions required to convert grey values to mineral density.(11) Mineralized matrix of oim−/− bone was more mineralized, which is in agreement with previous BSE SEM studies on oim−/− and human OI bone.(11,17,33) In contrast, as expected from the lack of PHOSPHO1 enzyme and suggested from our previous studies,(21) Phospho1−/− bones were less mineralized than controls.

Tissue elastic modulus was reduced in brittle and ductile bones, indicating that in pathologic bones, mineral density does not necessarily correlate with modulus.(11,24) The measured elastic values (Table 2) are in agreement with previously reported nanoindentation data.(36,54,55) Young’s modulus was reduced by 19% in oim−/− bones, which is in accordance with results from ultrasound critical-angle reflectometry,(56) and sharp Berkovich nanoindentation.(11) Huesa and colleagues(19) measured the elastic properties of young Phospho1−/− tibiae using sharp indentation and reported a decrease of 11% in elastic modulus compared with wild-type, which is close to the 15% reduction found in the current study.

When combining results from TGA and BSE SEM, interesting conclusions can be drawn. In Phospho1−/− bones, TGA measured less mineral content, and this mineral was loosely packed, as inferred from smaller grey intensity values, which indicated lower mineral density of the mineralized matrix. In brittle bones, TGA also measured less mineral content, but in contrast, because this mineral was more tightly packed,(11) BSE SEM maps showed a higher degree of mineralization. Colorimetric measurements of hydroxyproline have measured reduced collagen content in oim−/−.15,57 However, hydroxyproline was normalized by the mass of the bone powder (undemineralized). Thus, reductions in collagen content could also be because of greater mineralization of the bone. Lattice water, which evaporates between 200 °C and 400 °C, might contribute to the increased organic weight loss because the water in crystals is lost more easily because of the small size of the crystals and the higher surface area(29) in pathologic bones. However, the differences in the organic weight loss also increased after 400 °C (p = 0.002). This suggests that the increased organic fraction must be owing to not only the lattice water but also the increased nonmineralized matrix, noncollagenous proteins, and blood vessel and other nonmineralized organic material, which

composition of pathologic bones not readily evident from XRD without heat treatment.
are not visible in the SEM images. The differences between results derived from TGA and BSE SEM in both pathologic bones highlight the need to distinguish bulk bone mineral quantity (measured with TGA) from the extent of mineralization of the bone matrix (by BSE SEM).

Conclusions

The mineral phase of brittle and ductile bones was compared at the nano- and microscale. In brittle oim-/- bones, the mutation affecting collagen structure has a profound effect on mineralization, whereas in ductile Phospho1-/- bones, the mineral is directly affected by the lack of PHOSPHO1, which in turn might also affect collagen structure.\(^{19}\) Although the consequences of these two defects are very different at the macroscale, the current study demonstrated that both pathologies had smaller apatite crystals, which were less stoichiometric than healthy bone mineral, and showed that pathologic bones had a lower weight % of bulk mineral content. In contrast, the extent of mineralization of the bone matrix was different for oim-/- and Phospho1-/- bones, as brittle bones were hypermineralized, whereas ductile bones were hypomineralized. Despite these differences in the mineralization, the tissue elastic modulus was reduced in both pathologies. This emphasizes that mineralization is not the only determinant of tissue elastic moduli and suggests that deviations in the size, composition, and organization of bone mineral affect bone micromechanics. The current study was limited to the analysis of the mineral in oim and Phospho1 bone; however, future studies should examine the organic content, which was increased in both pathologies, to identify nanoscale alterations in the collagen composition, matrix architecture, and collagen cross-links, as well as microscale alterations in vascular porosity. A detailed multiscale analysis of pathologic bones is essential to characterize the properties that should be targeted in the development of new therapies for skeletal diseases affecting whole-bone mechanics.
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