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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** Circulating CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cell concentrations are increased in patients with coronary artery disease, however their pathophysiological significance is unknown. We determined CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cell concentrations following percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in order to explore their role in acute vascular injury.

**Methods:** In a prospective time-course analysis, we quantified using flow cytometry circulating CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cells, traditional CD34\(^+\)VEGFR-2\(^+\) putative endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), CD14\(^+\) VEGFR\(^-2\) Tie-2\(^+\) angiogenic monocytes and intercellular adhesion molecule expression (CXCR-4 and CD18) in patients, before and during the first week following diagnostic angiography (n=13) or PCI (n=23). Vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) and C reactive protein (CRP) were quantified using ELISA.

**Results:** Unlike diagnostic angiography, PCI increased circulating neutrophil and CRP concentrations at 24 and 48 h, respectively (p<0.002 for both). CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cell concentrations were unaffected by angiography (p>0.4), but were transiently increased 6 h following PCI (median (IQR) 0.93 (0.43–1.49) vs 1.51 (0.96–2.15)\(\times\)10\(^6\) cells/L; p=0.01), returning to normal by 24 h. This occurred in the absence of any change in serum VEGF-A concentration, adhesion molecule expression on CD34\(^+\) cells, or mobilisation of traditional EPCs or angiogenic monocytes (p>0.1 for all).

**Conclusions:** PCI transiently increases circulating CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cells, without increasing CD34\(^+\) adhesion molecule expression or VEGF-A concentrations, suggesting that CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^-\) cells may be mobilised from the vessel wall directly as a result of mechanical injury. Traditional putative EPC and angiogenic monocytes are unaffected by PCI, and are unlikely to be important in the acute response to vascular injury.

**INTRODUCTION**

Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) strips the treated vessel of its endothelium and causes laceration of the underlying intima, initiating platelet aggregation and thrombus formation.\(^1\) This process triggers an inflammatory cascade that drives vascular smooth muscle proliferation and neo-intimal hyperplasia.\(^2\) The loss of endogenous thrombolytic function and anti-inflammatory signalling by the endothelium potentiates a maladaptive response to vascular injury that can lead to in-stent restenosis or thrombosis. Re-endothelialisation of the denuded arterial segment is therefore of crucial importance in protecting against adverse consequences following PCI. We have previously hypothesised that re-endothelialisation following PCI may be accelerated by circulating angiogenic monocytes and endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). EPCs are naive precursor cells that
have the capacity to differentiate into mature endothelial cells and contribute to vascular regeneration.\(^3\)

The traditional phenotypic definition of an EPC is based on the co-expression of VEGFR-2, and the stem cell marker CD34,\(^4\)\(^5\) either alone or in combination with CD133, a haematopoietic cell surface marker expressed only on very naive cells.\(^6\) Circulating EPC concentrations are regulated by angiogenic growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor and stromal derived factor-1 acting through their cognate receptors, VEGFR-2 and CXCR-4.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\) Peripheral homing and incorporation of EPCs are dependent on the expression of surface adhesion molecules, including β-integrins such as CD18.\(^10\) EPCs are mobilised in response to cardiovascular injury such as myocardial infarction,\(^11\) improve organ perfusion and function in animal models of ischaemia,\(^12\)\(^13\) and are negatively associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes.\(^14\)\(^15\) Given their putative vasculoprotective role, it is plausible that EPC might be mobilised in order to facilitate vascular repair following injury. However, several investigators have shown previously that acute mobilisation of traditional phenotypic CD34\(^+\)VEGFR-2\(^+\) EPCs in response to a discrete vascular injury during PCI does not occur.\(^15\) Mobilisation of CD34\(^+\)VEGFR-2\(^+\) cells following PCI may however be delayed, as measurements have not been performed beyond 24 h. In a recent study involving a large cohort of over 200 patients undergoing coronary angiography, we observed that traditional EPC concentrations are unrelated to the severity of coronary atheroma, and are not increased following an acute coronary syndrome (ACS).\(^16\) This would indicate that traditional EPCs are not clinically relevant to atherosclerosis or acute atherothrombotic events. Indeed EPC, defined in the traditional fashion, are characterised by their capacity to form haematopoietic rather than endothelial colonies in culture, that lack significant proliferative potential or the capacity to incorporate into perfusing vessels in vivo.\(^17\)

In contrast, non-haematopoietic CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^−\) cells form endothelial colonies with robust proliferative potential and can incorporate into perfusing vessels.\(^17\)\(^18\)\(^19\) Although not mobilised acutely by an ACS, we found that non-haematopoietic, CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^−\) concentrations, are directly associated with atheroma burden and also predict the occurrence of adverse coronary events.\(^16\) Circulating CD34\(^+\)CD45\(^−\) cells therefore serve as a measure of vascular injury, but their origin and pathophysiological significance are unknown. In particular, it is unclear whether or not they are bone marrow-derived cells with regenerative capacity.

Various alternate cell populations have been proposed as having vascular regenerative capacity. In particular, monocytes have received much attention. Monocytes accumulate at sites of new vessel formation and augment the differentiation and proliferation of endothelial cells via the secretion of pro-angiogenic factors.\(^20\)\(^21\) Vascular injury associated with PCI mobilises monocyte-derived angiogenic colony forming units (EC-CFU) within 24 h, indicating that this population participates in the acute cellular response to vascular injury.\(^15\) The specific subpopulations responsible for EC-CFU generation are unknown, however, monocytes expressing the endothelial epitopes vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGF-R2) and Tie-2, accelerate re-endothelialisation and improve left ventricular function following experimental cardiovascular injury.\(^22\)\(^23\)\(^24\) and are mobilised following an ACS.\(^16\) Their behaviour in response to discrete vascular injury, however, is unknown.

We conducted this study in order to determine the time course of circulating concentrations of a variety of putative angiogenic cell populations over a 1-week period following a discrete vascular injury caused by PCI.

## METHODS

### Subjects

The study was performed with the approval of the local research ethics committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the written informed consent of all volunteers. Patients undergoing elective coronary angiography at Edinburgh Royal Infirmary, Edinburgh, UK, for the investigation of suspected angina (Canadian Cardiovascular Society grade 2 or more) or prior to valve replacement surgery were identified prospectively and invited to take part in the study. Patients with a recent ACS (<3 months), significant comorbid illness, haematological or internal malignancy, hepatic or renal failure or concurrent infection were excluded from the study. Clinical characteristics and medical therapy during admission were documented.

### Coronary angiography

Coronary angiography was performed via the femoral or radial artery using 5–6F arterial catheters and standard angiographic projections. Stenoses affecting a major epicardial artery of ≥50% were defined as significant, and the overall atheroma burden was graded using the Gensini scoring system.\(^25\) Patients underwent PCI at the discretion of the operator following pretreatment with oral clopidogrel 300 mg and 5000 IU of intravenous unfractionated heparin. Intracoronary stents were implanted following lesion optimisation by balloon predilation and intracoronary glyceryl trinitrate.

### Blood sampling and assays

Prior to coronary angiography, peripheral venous blood anticoagulated with ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (Sarstedt-Monovette, Germany) was collected for flow cytometry in all subjects. Whole blood was analysed for the differential leucocyte count using an autoanalysser (Sysmex, UK). Plasma troponin concentrations were measured using the ARCHITECT STAT troponin I assay (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois, USA). An ELISA was used to quantify serum vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) concentration according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen).\(^26\) Serum
high-sensitivity C reactive protein (CRP) was quantified using an immunoturbidimetric method (Dade-Behring Marburg, Germany).27

Flow cytometric identification of EPCs
EPCs were phenotyped using flow cytometry as previously described.26 In brief, cells were stained directly and analysed for phenotypic expression of surface proteins using preconjugated anti-human monoclonal antibodies; anti-CD45-PercP (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK); anti-CD34-FITC; anti-VEGFR-2-APC; anti-VEGFR-2-PE; anti-Tie2-APC; anti-CXCR4-APC; anti-CD18-PE (R&D systems, Minneapolis, USA); anti-CD-133-PE (Miltenyi Biotec Ltd, Surrey, UK); and anti-CD14-FITC (Caltag Systems, Buckingham, UK). Flow-cytometric analysis identified leucocytes by their characteristic forward and side scatter profile (figure 1). For each sample, approximately 500 000 events were acquired in the leucocyte gate using a FACS-Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, UK). Data were analysed using FlowJo (Treestar). For quantification of subpopulations, the proportion of leucocytes bearing each epitope was determined individually.

---

**Figure 1** Flow cytometric analysis of putative progenitor cells. Representative dot plots of negative controls and stained samples are shown in red and blue respectively. First, leucocytes were identified on the basis of their characteristic forward and side scatter profile (A). CD34-FITC (B and C) expression and the proportion of CD34+ events expressing CD18 (D and E) and CXCR-4 (F and G) were determined. Similarly CD133-PE+ (H and I), CD45-PercP+ (J and K), and VEGFR-2-APC+ (L and M) events were identified. Co-expression of surface markers was determined using Boolean principles. In separate analyses CD14-FITC+ events were identified (N), and those expressing Tie-2-APC and or VEGFR-2-PE were determined using quadrant analysis (O and P). Gates were set on single or unstained negative controls where appropriate.
using side scatter profile against the appropriate fluorescence channel, and permutations of co-expression were determined automatically using Boolean principles. Unstained samples served as negative controls (figure 1). Absolute cell concentrations per millilitre of blood were calculated by equating the total number of events in the leucocyte gate to the total leucocyte count.

Data analysis and statistics

Continuous variables are reported as the mean±SD or median and inter-quartile range where appropriate. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS V.17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for normality of distribution. Analyses were performed using parametric or non-parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA), where appropriate. The two-tailed Student t test, Mann-Whitney tests and Pearson’s χ² tests for between and within group comparisons were performed where appropriate. Statistical significance was taken at a two-sided p value of 0.05. At a significance level of 5% and based on power calculations derived from previous studies, a sample size of n=20 will give 80% power of detecting a twofold increase in traditional phenotypic EPC (CD34+VEGFR-2+ cells).

RESULTS

Subjects and procedures

Thirteen patients underwent diagnostic coronary angiography alone and 23 underwent PCI using intracoronary stents. Patients were well matched in terms of age and sex and with respect to their cardiovascular risk profile and baseline medications (table 1). A diagnosis of hypertension was more frequently present in patients undergoing PCI compared to diagnostic coronary angiography alone (46% vs 83%; p=0.02). Patients undergoing diagnostic coronary angiography alone were more likely to have left main stem or three-vessel disease (p=0.001), although Gensini scores were similar (26 (16–80) vs 34 (26–79) units; p>0.70). Patients undergoing PCI required a longer total procedure time compared to diagnostic angiography alone (36±2.2 vs 16±1.6 min; p<0.001). Patients received a median of 1 (1–2) stent with a median total balloon inflation time of 44 (30–54) seconds. Drug eluting stents were used in 57% of patients (table 2). No

| Demographic, clinical characteristics and medical therapy of the study population |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Demography                        | Angiography (n=13) | PCI (n=23) | p Value |
| Age, years                        | 63±2.7          | 61±2.3    | 0.51    |
| Male gender, n (%)                | 10 (77)         | 19 (83)   | 0.68    |
| Body mass index, kg/m²             | 27.5±1.8        | 28.9±0.9  | 0.51    |
| Smoking status, n (%)              |                 |           |         |
| Current smoker                    | 4 (31)          | 2 (9)     | 0.11    |
| Ex smoker                         | 6 (46)          | 11 (48)   | 0.71    |
| Non smoker                        | 3 (23)          | 10 (44)   | 0.22    |
| Hypertensive, n (%)               | 6 (46)          | 19 (83)   | 0.02    |
| Diabetic, n (%)                   | 2 (15)          | 3 (13)    | 0.85    |
| Hyperlipidaemia, n (%)            | 8 (62)          | 17 (74)   | 0.32    |
| Family history of CAD, n (%)      | 5 (39)          | 16 (70)   | 0.07    |
| Previous MI, n (%)                | 5 (39)          | 13 (57)   | 0.30    |
| CABG, n (%)                       | 1 (8)           | 2 (9)     | 0.92    |
| PCI, n (%)                        | 2 (15)          | 10 (44)   | 0.09    |
| PVD, n (%)                        | 2 (15)          | 3 (13)    | 0.85    |
| LVSD, n (%)                       | 3 (23)          | 6 (26)    | 0.84    |
| Stroke, n (%)                     | 1 (8)           | 1 (4)     | 0.67    |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                 | 103±4           | 98±3      | 0.34    |
| Cholesterol, mg/dL                | 4.3±0.3         | 4.4±0.2   | 0.95    |
| Aspirin, n (%)                    | 9 (77)          | 23 (100)  | 0.05    |
| Clopidogrel, n (%)                 | 4 (31)          | 18 (78)   | 0.01    |
| β-blocker, n (%)                   | 7 (54)          | 17 (74)   | 0.22    |
| Statin, n (%)                      | 10 (77)         | 22 (96)   | 0.09    |
| ACE inhibitor, n (%)               | 4 (31)          | 14 (61)   | 0.08    |
| ARB, n (%)                         | 1 (8)           | 1 (4)     | 0.67    |

Continuous variables are expressed as the mean±SE or median (IQR) with statistical comparisons between patients with ACS and suspected stable angina performed using the Student t test or Mann–Whitney, where appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as the absolute number of cases and the group proportion (%) with statistical comparisons performed using a χ² test.

ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CABG, coronary artery bypass; CAD, coronary artery disease; LVSD, left ventricular systolic dysfunction; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PVD, peripheral vascular disease.
significant complications arose during the course of the study and all patients were discharged within 24 h of the procedure.

**Progenitor cell populations**

All cell concentrations were similar in both groups at baseline (p>0.2 for all; table 4). Following PCI circulating CD34+CD45− cell concentrations were increased (p=0.0001, for one-way ANOVA of repeated measures), peaking at 6 h before returning to baseline concentrations at 24 h (1.51 (0.96–2.15) v 0.93 (0.43–1.49)×10^6 cells/L; p=0.01; table 4 and figure 2). The CD34+CD45− concentration was unaffected by diagnostic coronary angiography alone (p=0.43 for ANOVA of repeated measures; table 4 and figure 2). Traditional EPC phenotypes expressing CD34+VEGFR-2− and those expressing CD34+VEGFR-2+CD133+ and CD34+CD45+ were unaffected in both groups (p>0.2 for all; table 4). CXCR-4 and CD18 expression on CD34+ cells was 47±7% and 36±5%, respectively, at baseline and were unchanged throughout the study period in both groups (p>0.2 for all; data not shown). The total CD14 count was not affected by either procedure (p>0.5 for both). Angiogenic monocytes expressing Tie-2 and VEGFR-2 comprised 0.72% (0.28–2.65) of circulating CD14+ cells and circulating concentrations were unchanged throughout the study in both groups (p>0.2 for all; table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

We have examined the behaviour of a variety of circulating angiogenic cells in response to a discrete vascular injury caused by PCI. We observed a rapid but transient mobilisation of CD34+CD45− cells into the peripheral circulation following PCI, with circulating concentrations returning to baseline by 24 h. The systemic inflammatory response caused by PCI however, was relatively prolonged and continued to increase for at least 48 h. CD34+CD45− cells were mobilised without a detectable change in CD34+ surface adhesion molecules or serum VEGF-A concentration. Taken together, these observations would suggest that mobilisation of CD34+CD45− cells following PCI is not mediated by a circulating cytokine or growth factor acting on the bone marrow. Given the close association between CD34+CD45− and atheroma burden that we have previously observed, it is plausible that mechanical injury causes CD34+CD45− cells to be released from the coronary artery directly. Traditional phenotypic EPC populations (CD34+VEGFR-2− and CD34+VEGFR-2+CD133+), and haematopoietic CD45− subpopulations including angiogenic monocytes were both unaffected by discrete vascular injury up to 1 week following PCI. This would suggest that these populations are not mobilised in acute response to vascular injury and are unlikely to play a direct role in vascular repair; however, it remains possible that local cytokine production might increase adhesion of circulating proangiogenic monocytes or CD34+ cells to increase incorporation of these cell types to sites

---

**Table 2** Procedural characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angiography (n=13)</th>
<th>PCI (n=23)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radial access, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (69)</td>
<td>18 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary disease severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal/minor disease, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>10 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessel, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessel, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessel or left main stem, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (69)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensini score, units</td>
<td>34 (26–79)</td>
<td>26 (16–80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target vessel, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVG</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivessel</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balloon inflation time, s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>44 (30–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug eluting stents</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent length, mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25±3±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent diameter, mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3±1±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predilation, n (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdilation, n (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case time, min</td>
<td>16±1.6</td>
<td>36±2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are expressed as the mean±SE or median and IQR with statistical comparisons between patients with ACS and suspected stable angina performed using the Student t test or Mann-Whitney, where appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as the absolute number of cases and the group proportion (%) with statistical comparisons performed using a χ² test. Statistical comparisons were performed using the Student t test, Mann-Whitney test or χ² analysis where appropriate.

LAD, left anterior descending; LCx, left circumflex; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RCA, right coronary artery; SVG, saphenous vein graft.

---

**Measures of inflammation and myocyte necrosis**

All parameters were similar in both groups at baseline (p>0.05 for all; table 3). The median troponin concentration at 24 h was undetectable in both groups (p=0.13). Following PCI, the leucocyte count was increased (p=0.04 for ANOVA of repeated measures), peaking at 24 h (7.21±0.28 vs 6.24±0.20×10^6 cells/L; p=0.002). Serum CRP also increased following PCI (p=0.002 for ANOVA of repeated measures), peaking at 48 h (3.95 (1.26–8.26) vs 1.02 (0.64–2.33) mg/L; p=0.001). Serum VEGF-A concentrations were unchanged following PCI (p=0.16 for ANOVA of repeated measures). Diagnostic coronary angiography alone had no effect on the total leucocyte count, CRP or serum VEGF-A concentration throughout the study period (p>0.5 for ANOVA of repeated measures for all).
Table 3
†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>0h</th>
<th>6h</th>
<th>24h</th>
<th>48h</th>
<th>72h</th>
<th>168h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leucocytes (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>Neutrophils (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>Lymphocytes (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>Monocytes (×10⁹/L)</td>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>VEGF-A (pg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>1.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.56±0.03</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6–3.3)</td>
<td>76±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>6.9±0.6</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.59±0.06</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6–2.9)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.59±0.06</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6–2.9)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48h</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.59±0.06</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6–2.9)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.59±0.06</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6–2.9)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168h</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.59±0.06</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6–2.9)</td>
<td>54±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as median and IQR or mean±SE of the mean. Statistical analyses are performed using repeated measures ANOVA (Friedman’s test for non-parametric data) and Bonferroni’s or Dunn’s Multiple comparison test, where appropriate. Comparisons between time points are made using a paired Student’s t test or Wilcoxon test, where appropriate.

*p<0.05 and **p=0.001 for preprocedure versus time point.
†Between group baseline comparison was made for each variable using a Mann-Whitney or unpaired Student t test, where appropriate.

ANOVA, analysis of variance; CRP, C reactive protein; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; VEGF-A, vascular endothelial growth factor-A.
Table 4  Cell frequencies following diagnostic angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 h*</th>
<th>6 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>48 h</th>
<th>72 h</th>
<th>168 h</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+VEGFR-2+</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+VEGFR-2+CD133+</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45+</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45-</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45-VEGFR-2+</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14+Tie 2+VEGFR-2+†</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+VEGFR-2+</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+VEGFR-2+CD133+</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45+</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45-</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+CD45-VEGFR-2+</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14+Tie 2+VEGFR-2+†</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations are the median and IQR×10⁶/L of blood. Statistical analyses are performed using repeated measures ANOVA (Friedman’s test) with Dunn’s Multiple comparison post test. Comparisons between time points are made using a Wilcoxon test.

*Between group comparisons at baseline were made for each variable using a Mann–Whitney test.
†n=10.
‡p=0.01 for baseline compared to time point.
ANOVA, analysis of variance; CD, cluster of differentiation; CRP, C reactive protein; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; VEGFR-2, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2.
CD34+CD45
restenosis. Whether CD34+CD45 concentrations predicting future development of in-stent
with de novo lesions.37 Inoue
trations in the neo-intima of restenotic lesions compared
both CD34 and CD133 are expressed at higher concen-
neo-intimal hyperplasia if a pro-infl
acting as circulating smooth muscle progenitor cells.35
CD34+CD45− cells have the capacity to adopt smooth
muscle cell characteristics in vitro and may therefore
potentiate the development of in-stent restenosis by
acting as circulating smooth muscle progenitor cells.35
Putative smooth muscle progenitor cells have been
reported to express both CD34 and VEGFR-2, 36 and
both CD34 and CD133 are expressed at higher concentra-
tions in the neo-intima of restenotic lesions compared
with de novo lesions.37 Inoue et al35 demonstrated that
circulating mononuclear cells of patients with in-stent
restenosis exhibit a propensity to develop a smooth
muscle phenotype over that of an endothelial phenotype
compared with those without. Therefore, plasticity of cir-
culating CD34+ cells may lead to acceleration of
neo-intimal hyperplasia if a pro-inflammatory microen-
environment in a particular patient dictates such a
response.
Monocytes will express a variety of endothelial
characteristics in vitro under appropriate angiogenic
stimulation.20 38 39 Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
(MCP-1) is released by endothelial cells in response to
shear stress and tissue ischaemia,49 causing monocytes
to accumulate at sites of new vessel formation, adhere to
injured endothelium, accelerate re-endothelialisation
and improve endothelial vasomotor function.22 23
However, the remarkable plasticity of monocytes may
result in a diverse response to vascular injury depending
on the local microenvironment. For instance, there is a
strong correlation between circulating monocyte concen-
tration and the development of in-stent restenosis,41 and
MCP-1 is significantly elevated in both plasma42 and cor-
onary atherectomy specimens of patients who develop
in-stent restenosis following PCI.43 Furthermore, treat-
mant with anti-MCP-1 monoclonal antibodies following
balloon angioplasty in rats inhibits neo-intimal hyperpla-
sia.44 The role of monocytes following vascular injury is
therefore diverse and again probably depends on the
local microenvironment. PCI mobilises EC-CFU within
24 h although whether specific subpopulations partici-
pate in the acute cellular response to vascular injury is
unknown.15 We recently observed that monocytes
expressing Tie-2 and VEGFR-2 are mobilised acutely fol-
lowing an ACS; however, this appeared to be a conce-
quence of myocyte necrosis rather than the presence of
atheroma burden or the presence of an ACS, per se.16
In the present study, CD14+Tie-2+VEGFR-2+ cells were
not mobilised following PCI, suggesting that mobilisa-
tion of this monocytic subpopulation occurs in response
to more extensive myocardial injury rather than discrete
vascular injury.

STUDY LIMITATIONS
Although we hypothesise that CD34+CD45− cells have
been liberated directly from the coronary artery, we have
not proven this definitively and further confirmatory
studies are required. Patients undergoing PCI were more
frequently hypertensive. Hypertension has been linked to
impaired mobilisation of ‘EPC’ and related cell popula-
tions, and this may therefore be relevant to our results.45
If PCI-mediated vascular injury does mobilise traditional
EPC, this effect might be muted in a group of hyperten-
sive patients, potentially leading to a type 2 error.
However, previous investigators have demonstrated the absence of mobilisation of traditional EPC in a group of largely non-hypertensive patients. Patients undergoing PCI were all administered heparin, which has been shown to increase circulating CD34+ cell concentrations, possibly through disruption of SDF/CXCR-4 interaction. Heparin may have led to CD34+CD45- mobilisation; however, if this were the case one would expect the CD45+ fraction to be similarly increased.

CONCLUSIONS

Discrete vascular injury associated with PCI causes a rapid but transient release of CD34+CD45- cells into the peripheral circulation in the absence of a concurrent increase in CD34+ adhesion molecule expression or VEGF-A secretion. Confirmatory studies are required to determine whether CD34+CD45- cells released into the circulation following PCI arise directly from the vessel wall through mechanical injury, or are progenitors with circulation following PCI are all administered heparin, which has been unaffected by PCI, and are unlikely to be important in the acute response to vascular injury.
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