



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea in dogs and its management with smectite

results of a monocentric open-label randomized clinical trial

Citation for published version:

Fournier, Q, Serra, JC, Williams, C & Bavcar, S 2021, 'Chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea in dogs and its management with smectite: results of a monocentric open-label randomized clinical trial', *Veterinary and Comparative Oncology*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 25-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vco.12631>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/vco.12631](https://doi.org/10.1111/vco.12631)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Veterinary and Comparative Oncology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea in dogs and its management with**
2 **smectite: results of a monocentric open-label randomized clinical trial**

3 **Word count: 3810**

4 **Number of figures and tables:** 2 tables, 3 figures

5 **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available
6 from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

7 **Abstract**

8 Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea (CID) is a frequent chemotherapy adverse event in dogs.

9 Yet, there is currently no consensus regarding its management. Smectite is a natural medical
10 clay, widely used in the treatment of acute diarrhea in humans.

11 The objectives of this study were to assess the efficacy of smectite in the management of CID
12 in dogs, and to collect epidemiological data on CID.

13 For each episode of diarrhea, dogs were randomized into 2 management groups: Smectite
14 group, receiving smectite at 0.5 g/kg PO per day divided in 2-3 doses initiated at the start of
15 CID; Control group, without initial medication. In both groups, rescue metronidazole was
16 prescribed if CID progressed or was not improved within 48 hours.

17 Sixty dogs were recruited and received 426 chemotherapy administrations between June 2017
18 and March 2019. The incidence rate of CID was 110/426 (25.8%, 95% CI: 21.7-30.2%), and
19 significantly differed between the chemotherapeutic drugs administered ($P < 0.001$).

20 Metronidazole was administered in 5/54 events (9.3%, 95% CI: 3.1-20.3%) in the Smectite
21 group and in 40/56 events (71.4%, 95% CI: 57.5-82.3%) in the Control group ($P < 0.001$).

22 The time to resolution of diarrhea was shorter ($P < 0.001$) in the Smectite group (median:
23 19.5 hours, interquartile range: 13.5-32 hours) compared to the Control group (median: 53
24 hours, interquartile range: 31.5-113.5 hours).

25 The results of this study support the administration of smectite in the first-line management
26 of CID in dogs.

27 **Key words:** antineoplastic agents, calcium aluminosilicate, diarrhea, dogs

28 1. INTRODUCTION

29 Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea (CID) is a frequent chemotherapy adverse event in
30 dogs,¹ of which clinical severity can vary as described by the Veterinary Cooperative
31 Oncology Group criteria for adverse events (VCOG-CTCAE) version 1.1.² Yet,
32 epidemiological data is scarce since it is often reported as part of the gastrointestinal adverse
33 events,^{3,4} and the collection of more accurate information may help improve its management.
34 In humans and rodent models, it was historically thought that CID arose solely from damage
35 induced by chemotherapeutic agents to the rapidly proliferating cells of the basal epithelium.⁵
36 However, alteration of the mucus layer, increased intestinal permeability, mucosal
37 inflammation and changes in the intestinal microbiota seem to play a central role.⁶⁻⁸ Various
38 infectious agents (viral, bacterial or parasitic), and in particular *Clostridioides difficile*, may
39 also occasionally be involved in the pathogenesis of CID in humans.^{9,10} To the best of the
40 author's knowledge, only one recent veterinary study investigated probiotics as a treatment of
41 CID, but failed to demonstrate a potential benefit.¹¹ In the absence of consensus for the
42 management of CID in dogs, it has been suggested that antibiotics such as metronidazole
43 could be used.¹²

44 Although the mechanism of action of smectite is not clearly understood, it is an
45 activated natural aluminosilicate clay that can adsorb water and presents multiple other
46 interesting properties: it prevents toxins, bacteria and viruses adhering to intestinal
47 membranes,^{9,13-17} it has been shown to strengthen the mucosal barrier *in vitro* and *in vivo*,¹⁸⁻²⁰
48 to have some anti-inflammatory properties,^{17,18,21,22} to decrease intestinal bacterial
49 translocation,²³ and to stabilise the intestinal microbiome.^{16,17}

50 Its role in the treatment of acute diarrhea in children and adults is well established,^{24,25}
51 and a beneficial effect has been found in different types of diarrhea and different
52 species.^{21,22,26-28} In a case series of 17 dogs with intractable CID, 10 dogs (58.8%) had
53 resolution of their diarrhea after 48-72 hours of treatment, and improvement was noted in the
54 remainder.²⁹

55 Chemotherapy dosage is typically limited by the occurrence of adverse events, and
56 efficient management of CID could decrease the need of dose reductions, and optimize
57 chemotherapy dose-intensity, whilst improving the quality of life of our veterinary patients.
58 The primary objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of smectite in the management
59 in dogs with CID, taking the time to resolution of diarrhea as a primary outcome. A
60 secondary objective was to collect epidemiological data on CID in dogs.

61 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

62 **2.1. Dog Selection**

63 All dogs included in the study were presented to an academic veterinary specialty oncology
64 service between June 2017 and March 2019. To be eligible, dogs had to meet all of the
65 inclusion criteria: (1) to have a cytological or histopathological diagnosis of a tumor by a
66 board-certified clinical pathologist or anatomic pathologist, respectively; (2) to receive
67 conventional dosing regimen chemotherapy for the management of their tumor; and to meet
68 none of the exclusion criteria: (1) to have a gastrointestinal tumor; (2) to have pre-existing
69 diarrhea whether suspected to be related to the tumor or not. Owners were given an
70 information sheet and were required to sign a consent form confirming that they agreed to
71 enrol their dog in the study. Dogs were enrolled by the clinician in charge of the case, and
72 conditions required for enrolment were verified by the first author.

73 **2.2. Study Design**

74 Dogs were randomized directly after enrolment, with a 1:1 allocation ratio into one of two
75 management groups: Smectite group, or Control group. Dogs were randomized again if they
76 developed diarrhea, so that randomization was performed for each episode of diarrhea. Some
77 dogs may have developed several episodes of diarrhea randomized in different management
78 groups. A randomized table was created before the study using the GraphPad QuickCalcs
79 Web site: <https://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1> (accessed May 2017). Diarrhea
80 was defined as faeces with a consistency grade ≥ 3.5 according to the Waltham™ Faeces
81 Scoring System (see Supplementary form 1). This study was approved by the Institutional
82 Veterinary Ethical Review Committee, and designed to conform to the CONSORT
83 guidelines.

84 **2.3. Management Protocol**

85 The smectite used in this study was in the form of hydrated calcium aluminosilicate clay
86 with a particle size $< 80 \mu\text{m}$ (VBS Rx Clay®, VBS Direct Ltd., Bulkeley, United-Kingdom).
87 Pots contained 100g of smectite and were provided with a 500 mg measuring scoop.

88 Dogs in the Smectite group were provided with a pot of smectite. If they developed
89 diarrhea, owners were instructed to give their dog smectite at 0.5 g/kg PO per day divided in
90 2-3 doses with food or mixed with water and syringed, starting as soon as possible. Smectite
91 was discontinued at the time of the resolution of diarrhea, defined as 2 consecutive faeces of
92 normal consistency (grade 2 to 3 according to the Waltham™ Faeces Scoring System); or if
93 constipation occurred, defined as no faeces for > 24 hours or faeces with increased
94 consistency (grade ≤ 1.5 according to the Waltham™ Faeces Scoring System). Dogs in the
95 Control group did not receive any first-line intervention.

96 In both management groups, a rescue protocol was implemented to comply with the local
97 ethical regulation. In case of progression of the diarrhea (increasing Waltham™ faeces
98 consistency grade) or no improvement within 48 hours, metronidazole was prescribed at 10-
99 15 mg/kg PO every 12 hours for 5 days.

100 Owners were asked to continue feeding their dogs with their normal routine diet during
101 the full chemotherapy protocol; including before, during and after the development of
102 diarrhea. This consistent recommendation was established to avoid any possible bias in the
103 application of dietary changes. All instructions were clearly communicated to the owner by a
104 clinician, and written on the patient discharge form provided following consultation.

105 **2.4. Response and Toxicity Assessment**

106 Owners were given a diary to complete in case of diarrhea, from the last normal stool to at
107 least two non-diarrheic stools (see Supplementary form 1). They were asked to record in
108 particular: hour of defecation, faeces consistency grade, presence of clinical signs (*e.g.*
109 nausea, vomiting, lethargy), and drugs / supplements administered. Faeces consistency grade
110 was recorded according to the Waltham™ Faeces Scoring System. The diary was considered
111 inadequately filled if the faeces scoring was not continuously recorded from the start until the
112 resolution of diarrhea, and if the doses of smectite and/or metronidazole prescribed were not
113 all recorded. Following the collection of inadequately filled diaries, minimal information
114 allowing the assessment of the pre-specified outcomes was collected by the first author
115 (Q.F.), after discussion with the owners/clinicians and review of the medical records. All
116 dogs were assessed with physical examination, full history and quality of life (QOL)
117 questionnaire at the time of the predicted neutrophil nadir and at the time of the of following
118 chemotherapy administration. In particular, rectal temperature was measured at each hospital
119 visit, whether it was scheduled or not. A QOL questionnaire which had been validated by a

120 previous study was completed by owners at every visit as part of the routine practice in our
121 institution, independently from this study (see supplementary form 2).³⁰ Predicted nadir
122 absolute neutrophil count (ANC) were obtained from complete blood counts (CBC)
123 performed 7 days after administration for all drugs except carboplatin, for which they were
124 performed after 10 days. Additional CBCs were also performed when clinically indicated.
125 Febrile neutropenia was defined as chemotherapy-induced neutropenia ($ANC < 2.5 \times 10^3/\mu L$)
126 in conjunction with fever (rectal temperature $> 39.2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).³¹ All chemotherapy-associated
127 toxicities were recorded and graded according to the VCOG-CTCAE version 1.1.² Lethargy,
128 anorexia and vomiting were used to assess constitutional/gastrointestinal adverse events. If
129 diarrhea was thought not to be related to chemotherapy based on the history, then the event
130 was excluded from the study.

131 The primary outcome was the time to resolution of diarrhea; defined as the time in hours from
132 the first faeces with a consistency grade ≥ 3.5 , to the last faeces with a consistency grade ≥ 3.5
133 preceding at least 2 consecutive faeces of grade ≤ 3 , or to the first faeces of normal consistency
134 if only one abnormal faeces occurred. Secondary outcomes included: prescription of rescue
135 metronidazole, number of treatments until resolution of diarrhea, time from start of treatment
136 until resolution of diarrhea, QOL score, hospitalization, chemotherapy-induced toxicities,
137 alterations in chemotherapy protocol, occurrence of diarrhea at the following same drug
138 administration.

139 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

140 Sample size determination was based on the hypothesis that the duration of diarrhea would be
141 significantly shorter within the Smectite group compared to the Control group. The minimum
142 detectable difference of the primary outcome between the two groups was set at 24 hours, with
143 an alpha risk of 5% and a beta risk of 20%, the number of diarrhea events to be included per
144 group was calculated to be 63. Based on our institution database, a period of about 1.5 years

145 was expected to collect the number of diarrhea events. An early stopping rule was established
146 in case of reaching the scheduled closure date, because the first author (Q.F.) was no longer
147 available to ensure the continuity of the study after this date.

148 Fisher's exact test was used to compare binary data, and Mann-Whitney test was used to
149 compare ordinal and continuous non-normally distributed data. Log-rank and Wilcoxon tests
150 were used to compare the time to resolution of the diarrhea between the two groups. The
151 analyses were made in the intention-to-treat population.

152 Statistical analyses were performed by commercially available statistics software (Minitab 17
153 Statistical Software, State College, Pennsylvania). A P-value < 0.05 was considered
154 statistically significant for all analyses. A 95% confidence interval (CI) was provided for the
155 proportions. The first quartile (Q1) and third quartile (Q3) were reported to describe the
156 interquartile range (IQR: Q1-Q3) for ordinal data. Graphs were made by commercially
157 available graphic software (GraphPad Prism version 8.00 for Windows, La Jolla, California).

158 **2.6. Cell line validation statement**

159 No cell line was used in this study.

160 **3. RESULTS**

161 Seventy-four dogs were initially assessed for eligibility for the study. Fourteen dogs were
162 excluded, 6 for having gastrointestinal tumors, 5 for having pre-existing diarrhea, and 3
163 because the owner declined to enroll their dog (Figure 1). Sixty dogs were prospectively
164 enrolled in this study (Table 1), and 426 chemotherapy administrations were recorded (Table
165 2). Chemotherapy protocols included 19-week CHOP-based protocol,³² escalating-dose
166 vinblastine/prednisolone protocol,³³ and other single-agent lomustine, doxorubicin and

167 carboplatin protocols. One hundred and twelve diarrhea events were recorded, and only two
168 were excluded because they were suspected to be secondary to general anaesthetics.
169 Recruitment of dogs started on the 10th June 2017 and was interrupted early when the
170 scheduled closure date was reached on the 1st April 2019, 110/126 (87.3%) of the calculated
171 sample size was achieved.

172 **3.1. Efficacy of smectite in the management of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea**

173 Fifty-four diarrhea events were recorded in the Smectite group, and 56 in the Control group
174 (Table 2). The median starting consistency grade of diarrhea events was 4.0 in **both** the
175 Smectite group (IQR: 3.5-4.0) and the Control group (IQR: 3.5-4.5). Diaries were
176 inadequately filled in 10 events within the Smectite group and in 15 events within the Control
177 group. Smectite was not administered in 12 events recorded in the Smectite group (Figure 1).
178 No deviation was noted with the prescription of rescue metronidazole.

179 In the Smectite group, the median time to resolution of diarrhea was 19.5 hours (IQR: 13.5-
180 32 hours; Figure 2). A median of 2 doses (IQR: 1-3) of smectite was administered before
181 resolution of diarrhea, which occurred at a median time of 18 hours (IQR: 13.5-26 hours)
182 from starting it. Smectite administration did not result in any constipation. Metronidazole was
183 administered in 5/54 events (9.3%, 95% CI: 3.1-20.3%). In 3 events metronidazole was
184 administered instead of smectite (protocol deviation), which was then administered as a
185 rescue in 1 case. In 2 events it was administered as a rescue protocol following administration
186 of smectite. The median QOL score was 9.0 (IQR:7.0-9.0), and the median
187 constitutional/gastrointestinal adverse event grade was 0 (IQR: 0-1). Continuous diarrhea was
188 followed by 1 hospitalization, 1 chemotherapy discontinuation and 1 chemotherapy dose
189 reduction.

190 In the Control group, the median time to resolution of diarrhea was 53 hours (IQR: 31.5-
191 113.5 hours; Figure 2), which was significantly longer than in the Smectite group ($P < 0.001$).
192 Metronidazole was prescribed as a rescue in 40/56 events (71.4%, 95% CI: 57.5-82.3%),
193 which was significantly more frequent than in the Smectite group ($P < 0.001$) where it was
194 administered in 5/54 events (9.3%, 95% CI: 3.1-20.3%). When rescue metronidazole was
195 prescribed, a median of 6 doses (IQR: 1.75-6.25) was administered before resolution of
196 diarrhea, which occurred at a median time of 64 hours (IQR: 46.5-99 hours) from starting it.
197 Smectite was prescribed as a second rescue in 3 cases and was associated with resolution of
198 diarrhea within 24 hours in 2 cases. In the 3rd case, the diarrhea continued and resolved
199 following the administration of a probiotic. Compared to the Smectite group, there was no
200 significant difference in the QOL score (median: 8.0, IQR:7.0-9.0; $P = 0.54$), and in
201 constitutional/gastrointestinal adverse event grade (median: 0, IQR: 0-1; $P = 0.78$).
202 Continuous diarrhea was followed by 4 hospitalizations, 1 chemotherapy dose delay, 3
203 chemotherapy dose reductions, and 2 chemotherapy discontinuations.

204 **3.2. Epidemiology of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea**

205 The overall incidence of diarrhea following chemotherapy administration was 110/426
206 (25.8%, 95% CI: 21.7-30.2%), and significantly differed between the chemotherapeutic drugs
207 administered ($P < 0.001$). Doxorubicin and vinca-alkaloids were associated with a higher
208 incidence, whereas cyclophosphamide was associated with a low incidence (Table 2). Only
209 one episode of diarrhea was recorded following each carboplatin and lomustine
210 administrations.

211 Diarrhea occurred from a few hours up to 13 days post chemotherapy treatment, at a median
212 of 2 days (IQR: 1-4) following chemotherapy administration (Figure 3.a). It lasted from 4
213 hours to over a week with a median of 29 hours duration (IQR: 16-69.5 hours; Figure 3.b).

214 The median faeces consistency grade at the start and at the worst of the diarrhea were 4 (IQR:
215 3.5-4.5) and 4.5 (IQR: 4-5), respectively (Figure 3.c). When diarrhea occurred, it was noted
216 again at the following administration of the same drug in 33/76 (43.4%, 95% CI: 32.0-55.2%)
217 of instances. Based on the results of the Control group, diarrhea was rapidly self-resolving in
218 16/56 of instances (28.5%, 95% CI: 17.6-42.4%).

219 The QOL score was significantly lower when dogs developed diarrhea (median: 9.0, IQR: 7-
220 9) compared to when they did not (median: 9.0, IQR: 8.5-10; $P < 0.001$). Hospitalization
221 occurred significantly more frequently ($P = 0.0032$) when chemotherapy administrations
222 were associated with diarrhea (12/110 [10.5%, 95% CI: 5.8-18.3%]) compared to when they
223 were not (8/316 [2.5%, 95% CI: 1.1-4.9%]). Diarrhea was also significantly associated with
224 the development of lethargy (median: 0 [IQR: 0-1] vs. median: 0 [IQR: 0-0]; $P = 0.0013$),
225 anorexia (median: 0 [IQR: 0-1] vs. median: 0 [IQR: 0-0]; $P < 0.001$), and vomiting (median: 0
226 [IQR: 0-1] vs. median: 0 [IQR: 0-0]; $P < 0.001$). Febrile neutropenia occurred significantly
227 more frequently ($P = 0.039$) when chemotherapy administrations were associated with
228 diarrhea (6/110 [5.5%, 95% CI: 2.0-11.5%]), compared to when they were not (5/316 [1.6%,
229 95% CI: 0.5-3.7%]). All cases of febrile neutropenia had concurrent anorexia and lethargy.

230 4. DISCUSSION

231 The data prospectively collected in this study provides valuable information about CID in
232 dogs, which could be useful to further understand the development and management of this
233 common adverse event.

234 Doxorubicin, vincristine and vinblastine were the drugs associated with the highest incidence
235 of CID. Cyclophosphamide was associated with a very low incidence (4/60, 6.6%), and only
236 1 episode of diarrhea was reported with carboplatin (1/32, 3.1%) and lomustine (1/40, 2.5%).

237 Doxorubicin, vinca alkaloids and cyclophosphamide are primarily excreted within the bile
238 and eliminated in the faeces, whilst the elimination of lomustine and carboplatin is primarily
239 renal. It is therefore possible that it is the metabolites excreted within the intestines *via* the
240 bile that are responsible for triggering CID. Although this has been demonstrated with
241 irinotecan-induced diarrhea,³⁴ the mechanisms of anthracyclines- and vinca alkaloids-induced
242 diarrhea remain largely unexplored and further investigations are needed.

243 Since less than half of the dogs will have another episode of CID at the following drug
244 administration, the implementation of prophylactic measures and especially chemotherapy
245 dose reductions is of questionable value. Prophylactic actions could be discussed on an
246 individual basis, if CID develops following two consecutive administrations, or if the event
247 of CID is severe enough to require hospitalization. Smectite has been used prophylactically
248 successfully in horses to prevent post-operative diarrhea, and prophylaxis with smectite
249 would need to be further investigated in dogs.

250 In the current study, CID was significantly associated with a lower QOL score, with a higher
251 incidence of hospitalization, and higher grade of lethargy, anorexia, vomiting and febrile
252 neutropenia toxicities. No significant difference between the Smectite and the Control group
253 was noted in the intention-to-treat analysis, but a causal relationship remains possible and
254 could be further explored with an appropriately powered multicentric study.

255 This study was designed to compare the resolution of CID following the administration of
256 smectite compared to no intervention since there is currently no consensus recommendation
257 regarding the management of CID in dogs.¹² However, for ethical concerns, an identical
258 rescue protocol with the administration of metronidazole was applied in both study groups.
259 Metronidazole was chosen because its use has already been suggested for the management of
260 CID in dogs,¹² and to comply with the local ethical regulation as it was already in our

261 standards. Nonetheless, metronidazole in dogs significantly reduces bacterial diversity
262 indices, alters the microbiome composition and may increase the risk of occurrence of
263 nosocomial or opportunistic infections with microbial resistance.³⁵ Chemotherapy is also
264 associated with significant alterations in the microbiome and a dramatic decrease in
265 anaerobes in particular, which may contribute to CID.³⁶⁻³⁸ Both metronidazole and
266 chemotherapy affect *Clostridium* cluster IV and XIVa, which are known to positively affect
267 the gut health through improved nutrient absorption, production of short chain fatty acids
268 with anti-inflammatory properties, and epithelial maturation.^{35,36} Antibiotic usage in human
269 chemotherapy patients is associated with colonization of pathogenic diarrhea, *Clostridioides*
270 *difficile* being an increasing concern.^{9,38,39} Antibiotics may also be associated with a poorer
271 outcome in human cancer patients, possibly in part due to decreased chemotherapy and
272 immunotherapy efficacy.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The administration of antibiotics in human cancer patients with
273 CID is reserved for those at higher risk of septic complications (e.g. fluoroquinolone) and for
274 the prevention of irinotecan-induced diarrhea (e.g. neomycin).⁴³ For these reasons, the
275 systematic administration of metronidazole from the start of diarrhea as a first-line treatment
276 cannot be recommended, especially when 28.5% of CID is rapidly self-resolving.

277 Smectite was investigated in this study as it is commonly used to manage acute diarrhea in
278 both pets and humans. Administration of smectite in dogs at a dosage of 0.5 g (total dose per
279 dog) given orally every 6 hours has been suggested and reported to be successful in the
280 management of acute diarrhea.^{29,44} However, the dosage chosen for this study (*i.e.* 0.5 g/kg
281 per day divided in 2-3 doses) was higher for several reasons: (1) based on personal
282 experience and the summary of product characteristics from another manufacturer of smectite
283 (Smectivet[®], Boehringer Ingelheim, Reims, France); (2) resolution of CID as quickly as
284 possible may be more important than in other situations since a decreased QOL in canine
285 cancer patients may result in alterations in chemotherapy protocols, and the interval between

286 drug administrations may be short especially in multidrug protocols; (3) properties of
287 smectite are dose-dependent;²¹ (4) higher dosages of 0.6 g/kg per day and 1 g/kg per day have
288 been used successfully in rats and horses, respectively,^{23,28} whilst the use of a lower dosage
289 for CID in humans did not seem efficient in the management of CID.⁴⁵

290 Administration of smectite at 1 g given orally every 6 hours for the prevention of irinotecan-
291 induced diarrhea in humans was indeed considered ineffective, although there was
292 significantly more patient drop-out in the placebo arm.⁴⁵ Diosmectite was however
293 remarkably effective in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced oral mucositis in humans,⁴⁶
294 which is reported to have similar pathophysiologic mechanisms as gastro-intestinal
295 mucositis.⁵ In this study, 85.7% of patients treated with a diosmectite cream obtained
296 complete regression of oral mucositis after 5 days of treatment, compared to only 3% for the
297 controlled group.⁴⁶ It is possible that the topical oral administration resulted in a higher
298 mucosal concentration of smectite, necessary to efficiently treat mucositis. It is also possible
299 that smectite is less effective at preventing mucositis than treating it. Further studies
300 investigating other dosing regimen are warranted before concluding that smectite truly is
301 ineffective in the management of CID in humans.

302 The results of this study support the administration of smectite as first-line management of
303 CID in dogs. A multicentric randomized clinical trial should ideally be performed to confirm
304 this finding. An adaptive increasing dosage should be explored, as this may further increase
305 its efficacy. The benefit of metronidazole as a rescue remains unclear, and with a median
306 time of 64 hours of administration until resolution of diarrhea, alternative rescue protocols
307 should be explored. Some dogs have recurrent episodes of diarrhea and may benefit from
308 prophylactic measures. Low-dose smectite and/or probiotics should also be investigated in
309 this context.

310 This study had several limitations. It was non-blinded and the results are therefore subject to
311 bias. However, we believe the strong differences in several outcomes including the time to
312 resolution of diarrhea support the relevance of the findings. Also, no deviation in the
313 prescription of rescue metronidazole was noted, the deviations in completing the diaries were
314 similar in both groups, and owners completed the QOL questionnaires as part of the routine
315 practice in our institution. The second limitation was the early interruption of the trial,
316 increasing the risk of a type I error when analysing the primary outcome. This risk is however
317 minimal since the trial was stopped late in its course achieving nearly 90% of the
318 predetermined sample size after 21 months, and a type I error is considered very unlikely
319 with a P -value < 0.0005 ,⁴⁷ which was our case. Furthermore, the risk of introducing a bias is
320 considered low when a study is stopped independently from the result.⁴⁸ The third limitation
321 was the presence of protocol deviations, which may have ultimately affected the results of
322 this study by decreasing or masking the difference in the primary and secondary outcomes
323 between the two groups. A fourth limitation is that dogs were maintained on their routine diet
324 during diarrhea. Diet composition may affect the intestinal microbiome and therefore dogs
325 may have responded differently to the management of their diarrhea depending on their
326 routine diet.^{49,50}

327 5. CONCLUSION

328 The administration of smectite at 0.5 g/kg PO per day divided in 2-3 doses was associated
329 with a significantly reduced time to resolution of diarrhea and decreased prescription of
330 rescue metronidazole. The results of this study support the administration of smectite in the
331 first-line management of CID diarrhea in dogs. These results should also encourage further

332 investigations of the potential benefit of smectite for the management of other types of
 333 diarrhea in dogs, and for the management of CID in humans.

334 REFERENCES

- 335 1. Mason SL, Grant IA, Elliott J, et al. Gastrointestinal toxicity after vincristine or
 336 cyclophosphamide administered with or without maropitant in dogs: a prospective randomised
 337 controlled study. *J Small Anim Pract* 2014;55:391-398.
- 338 2. VCOG. Veterinary cooperative oncology group - common terminology criteria for
 339 adverse events (VCOG-CTCAE) following chemotherapy or biological antineoplastic therapy in dogs
 340 and cats v1.1. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2016;14:417-446.
- 341 3. Curran K, Thamm DH. Retrospective analysis for treatment of naive canine
 342 multicentric lymphoma with a 15-week, maintenance-free CHOP protocol. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2016;14
 343 Suppl 1:147-155.
- 344 4. LeBlanc AK, Mauldin GE, Milner RJ, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of BOPP and LOPP
 345 chemotherapy for the treatment of relapsed canine lymphoma*. *Veterinary and comparative*
 346 *oncology* 2006;4:21-32.
- 347 5. Sonis ST. The pathobiology of mucositis. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004;4:277-284.
- 348 6. Thorpe D, Stringer A, Butler R. Chemotherapy-induced mucositis: The role of mucin
 349 secretion and regulation, and the enteric nervous system. *Neurotoxicology* 2013;38:101-105.
- 350 7. Touchefeu Y, Montassier E, Nieman K, et al. Systematic review: the role of the gut
 351 microbiota in chemotherapy- or radiation-induced gastrointestinal mucositis – current evidence and
 352 potential clinical applications, 2014;409-421.
- 353 8. Melichar B, Zezulova M. The significance of altered gastrointestinal permeability in
 354 cancer patients. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2011;5:47-54.
- 355 9. Sturino JM, Pokusaeva K, Carpenter R. Effective Sequestration of Clostridium difficile
 356 Protein Toxins by Calcium Aluminosilicate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;59:7178-7183.
- 357 10. Andreyev J, Ross P, Donnellan C, et al. Guidance on the management of diarrhoea
 358 during cancer chemotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:e447-460.
- 359 11. Mathewman L, Lara-Garcia A, Suchodolski J, et al. Chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea
 360 and pre-existing dysbiosis in cancer-bearing dogs are not affected by treatment with Enterococcus
 361 faecium NCIMB 10415. European Society of Veterinary Oncology annual congress 2018.
- 362 12. Vail DM. Supporting the veterinary cancer patient on chemotherapy: neutropenia
 363 and gastrointestinal toxicity. *Top Companion Anim Med* 2009;24:122-129.
- 364 13. Fioramonti J, Droy-Lefaix MT, Bueno L. Changes in gastro-intestinal motility induced
 365 by cholera toxin and experimental osmotic diarrhoea in dogs: effects of treatment with an
 366 argillaceous compound. *Digestion* 1987;36:230-237.
- 367 14. Martirosian G, Rouyan G, Zalewski T, et al. Dioctahedral smectite neutralization
 368 activity of Clostridium difficile and Bacteroides fragilis toxins in vitro. *Acta Microbiol Pol*
 369 1998;47:177-183.
- 370 15. Lipson SM, Stotzky G. Effect of proteins on reovirus adsorption to clay minerals. *Appl*
 371 *Environ Microbiol* 1984;48:525-530.
- 372 16. Buccigrossi V, Russo C, Guarino A, et al. Mechanisms of antidiarrhoeal effects by
 373 diosmectite in human intestinal cells. *Gut Pathog* 2017;9:23.
- 374 17. Weese JS, Cote NM, deGannes RV. Evaluation of in vitro properties of di-tri-
 375 octahedral smectite on clostridial toxins and growth. *Equine Vet J* 2003;35:638-641.

- 376 18. Mahraoui L, Heyman M, Plique O, et al. Apical effect of diosmectite on damage to
377 the intestinal barrier induced by basal tumour necrosis factor-alpha. *Gut* 1997;40:339-343.
- 378 19. Theodorou V, Fioramonti J, Droy-Lefaix MT, et al. Protective action of diosmectite
379 treatment on digestive disturbances induced by intestinal anaphylaxis in the guinea-pig. *Aliment*
380 *Pharmacol Ther* 1994;8:295-299.
- 381 20. Dupont C, Moreno JL, Barau E, et al. Effect of diosmectite on intestinal permeability
382 changes in acute diarrhea: a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*
383 1992;14:413-419.
- 384 21. Gonzalez R, de Medina FS, Martinez-Augustin O, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of
385 diosmectite in hapten-induced colitis in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* 2004;141:951-960.
- 386 22. Zychowski KE, Elmore SE, Rychlik KA, et al. Mitigation of colitis with NovaSil clay
387 therapy. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015;60:382-392.
- 388 23. Su HT, Li YS, Lu SL, et al. [An experimental study on the prevention of enteral
389 bacterial translocation in scalded rats by smectite powder]. *Zhonghua Shao Shang Za Zhi*
390 2005;21:89-92.
- 391 24. Das RR, Sankar J, Naik SS. Efficacy and safety of diosmectite in acute childhood
392 diarrhoea: a meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 2015;100:704-712.
- 393 25. Khediri F, Mrad AI, Azzouz M, et al. Efficacy of diosmectite (smecta) in the treatment
394 of acute watery diarrhoea in adults: a multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled,
395 parallel group study. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2011;2011:783196.
- 396 26. Chang FY, Lu CL, Chen CY, et al. Efficacy of dioctahedral smectite in treating patients
397 of diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;22:2266-2272.
- 398 27. Yao-Zong Y, Shi-Rong L, Delvaux M. Comparative efficacy of dioctahedral smectite
399 (Smecta) and a probiotic preparation in chronic functional diarrhoea. *Dig Liver Dis* 2004;36:824-828.
- 400 28. Hassel DM, Smith PA, Nieto JE, et al. Di-tri-octahedral smectite for the prevention of
401 post-operative diarrhea in equids with surgical disease of the large intestine: results of a randomized
402 clinical trial. *Vet J* 2009;182:210-214.
- 403 29. Hahn KA, Carpenter RH. Calcium Aluminosilicate (CAS) in the Treatment of
404 Intractable Diarrhea in Dogs with Cancer. *J Appl Res Vet Med* 2008;6:181-184.
- 405 30. Lynch S, Savary-Bataille K, Leeuw B, et al. Development of a questionnaire assessing
406 health-related quality-of-life in dogs and cats with cancer. *Vet Comp Oncol* 2011;9:172-182.
- 407 31. Britton BM, Kelleher ME, Gregor TP, et al. Evaluation of factors associated with
408 prolonged hospital stay and outcome of febrile neutropenic patients receiving chemotherapy: 70
409 cases (1997-2010). *Vet Comp Oncol* 2014;12:266-276.
- 410 32. MacDonald VS, Thamm DH, Kurzman ID, et al. Does L-asparaginase influence efficacy
411 or toxicity when added to a standard CHOP protocol for dogs with lymphoma? *J Vet Intern Med*
412 2005;19:732-736.
- 413 33. Serra Varela JC, Pecceu E, Handel I, et al. Tolerability of a rapid-escalation
414 vinblastine-prednisolone protocol in dogs with mast cell tumours. *Vet Med Sci* 2016;2:266-280.
- 415 34. Wallace BD, Roberts AB, Pollet RM, et al. Structure and Inhibition of Microbiome
416 beta-Glucuronidases Essential to the Alleviation of Cancer Drug Toxicity. *Chem Biol* 2015;22:1238-
417 1249.
- 418 35. Igarashi H, Maeda S, Ohno K, et al. Effect of oral administration of metronidazole or
419 prednisolone on fecal microbiota in dogs. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e107909.
- 420 36. Zwieler J, Lassl C, Hippe B, et al. Changes in Human Fecal Microbiota Due to
421 Chemotherapy Analyzed by TaqMan-PCR, 454 Sequencing and PCR-DGGE Fingerprinting
422 (Chemotherapy Changes Fecal Microbiota). *PLoS ONE* 2011;6:e28654.
- 423 37. Fijlstra M, Ferdous M, Koning AM, et al. Substantial decreases in the number and
424 diversity of microbiota during chemotherapy-induced gastrointestinal mucositis in a rat model.
425 *Support Care Cancer* 2015;23:1513-1522.

- 426 38. van Vliet MJ, Tissing WJ, Dun CA, et al. Chemotherapy treatment in pediatric
427 patients with acute myeloid leukemia receiving antimicrobial prophylaxis leads to a relative increase
428 of colonization with potentially pathogenic bacteria in the gut. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;49:262-270.
- 429 39. Nielsen H, Daugaard G, Tvede M, et al. High prevalence of *Clostridium difficile*
430 diarrhoea during intensive chemotherapy for disseminated germ cell cancer. *Br J Cancer*
431 1992;66:666-667.
- 432 40. Elkrief A, El Raichani L, Richard C, et al. Antibiotics are associated with decreased
433 progression-free survival of advanced melanoma patients treated with immune checkpoint
434 inhibitors. *Oncoimmunology* 2019;8:e1568812.
- 435 41. Iida N, Dzutsev A, Stewart CA, et al. Commensal bacteria control cancer response to
436 therapy by modulating the tumor microenvironment. *Science* 2013;342:967-970.
- 437 42. Viaud S, Saccheri F, Mignot G, et al. The intestinal microbiota modulates the
438 anticancer immune effects of cyclophosphamide. *Science* 2013;342:971-976.
- 439 43. Stein A, Voigt W, Jordan K. Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea: pathophysiology,
440 frequency and guideline-based management. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2010;2:51-63.
- 441 44. Silver RJ. VBS_Clay_Technical_Report.pdf.
442 https://www.vbsdirect.co.uk/files/VBS_Clay_Technical_Report.pdf, 2013.
- 443 45. Kee BK, Morris JS, Slack RS, et al. A phase II, randomized, double blind trial of
444 calcium aluminosilicate clay versus placebo for the prevention of diarrhea in patients with metastatic
445 colorectal cancer treated with irinotecan. *Support Care Cancer* 2015;23:661-670.
- 446 46. Lin JX, Fan ZY, Lin Q, et al. A comparison of dioctahedral smectite and iodine glycerin
447 cream with topical mouth rinse in treatment of chemotherapy induced oral mucositis: a pilot study.
448 *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 2015;19:136-141.
- 449 47. Thom EA, Klebanoff MA. Issues in clinical trial design: stopping a trial early and the
450 large and simple trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:619-625.
- 451 48. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, et al. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration:
452 updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Int J Surg* 2012;10:28-55.
- 453 49. Mori A, Goto A, Kibe R, et al. Comparison of the effects of four commercially
454 available prescription diet regimens on the fecal microbiome in healthy dogs. *J Vet Med Sci* 2019.
- 455 50. Grzeskowiak L, Endo A, Beasley S, et al. Microbiota and probiotics in canine and
456 feline welfare. *Anaerobe* 2015;34:14-23.

457 **TABLES**458 **TABLE 1** Characteristics of the 60 dogs included in the study.

Parameter	
Age (years)	
Median (range)	9.0 (4.0-16.2)
Sex	
Male	37
Female	23
Weight (kg)	
Median (range)	25.2 (4.5-62.5)
Breed	
Labrador Retriever	12
Cocker Spaniel	5
Border Collie	4
Golden Retriever	3
Scottish Terrier	3
Crossbreed	9
Other breeds (≤ 2)	24
Tumor type	
Lymphoma	30
Mast cell tumor	9
Hemangiosarcoma	6
Other sarcomas	6
Histiocytic sarcoma	4
Carcinomas	3
Melanomas	2

459 **TABLE 2** Incidence of diarrhea among the 426 chemotherapy administrations included in the
 460 study

Drug	Administration	Overall incidence of diarrhea		Overall incidence rate of diarrhea
		Control group	Smectite group	
Vincristine	120	37		30.8% (95% CI: 22.7-39.9%)
		22	15	
Doxorubicin	90	37		41.1% (95% CI: 30.8-51.9%)
		19	18	
Vinblastine	75	25		33.3% (95% CI: 22.8-45.1%)
		8	17	
Cyclophosphamide	60	4		6.6% (95% CI: 1.8-16.1%)
		3	1	
Lomustine	40	1		2.5% (95% CI: .06-13.1%)
		1	0	
Carboplatin	32	1		3.1% (95% CI: .07-16.2%)
		1	0	
Epirubicin	7	5		*
		2	3	
Mitoxantrone	2	0		*
Total	426	110		25.8% (95% CI: 21.7-30.2%)
		56	54	

461 *Incidence rate not calculated because of low number of drug administrations

462 CI, confidence interval.

463 The difference in the incidence rate of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea among drugs was significant by

464 Pearson's chi squared test ($P < 0.001$).

465 **FIGURES**

466 **FIGURE 1** Flow diagram of the study

467 **FIGURE 2.** Kaplan-Meier analysis of time to resolution of diarrhea

468 The median time to resolution of diarrhea was 19.5 hours (IQR: 13.5-32 hours) in the Smectite group and the 53
469 hours (IQR: 31.3-113.5 hours) in the Control group. The difference was significant by Log-rank and Wilcoxon
470 tests ($P < 0.001$ for each). IQR, interquartile range.

471

472 **FIGURE 3.a** Time of occurrence of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea

473 Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea occurred from a few hours up to 13 days post chemotherapy treatment, at a
474 median of 2 days (IQR: 1-4) following chemotherapy administration. IQR, interquartile range.

475 **FIGURE 3.b** Duration of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea

476 Chemotherapy-induced diarrhea lasted from 4 hours to over a week with a median of 29 hours duration (IQR:
477 16-69.5 hours). IQR, interquartile range.

478 **FIGURE 3.c** Starting and worst faeces consistency grade of chemotherapy-induced diarrhea

479 The median faeces consistency grade at the start and at the worst of the diarrhea were 4 (IQR: 3.5-4.5) and 4.5
480 (IQR: 4-5), respectively. IQR, interquartile range.