FAK Acts as a Suppressor of RTK-MAP Kinase Signalling in Drosophila melanogaster Epithelia and Human Cancer Cells
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Abstract

Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs) and Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) regulate multiple signalling pathways, including mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase pathway. FAK interacts with several RTKs but little is known about how FAK regulates their downstream signalling. Here we investigated how FAK regulates signalling resulting from the overexpression of the RTKs RET and EGFR. FAK suppressed RTKs signalling in Drosophila melanogaster epithelia by impairing MAPK pathway. This regulation was also observed in MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells, suggesting it is a conserved phenomenon in humans. Mechanistically, FAK reduced receptor recycling into the plasma membrane, which resulted in lower MAPK activation. Conversely, increasing the membrane pool of the receptor increased MAPK pathway signalling. FAK is widely considered as a therapeutic target in cancer biology; however, it also has tumour suppressor properties in some contexts. Therefore, the FAK-mediated negative regulation of RTK/MAPK signalling described here may have potential implications in the designing of therapy strategies for RTK-driven tumours.

Introduction

Research in model organisms can provide important insights on the effects of oncogenic pathways in different in vivo environments [1,2]. Particularly, Drosophila melanogaster has made numerous contributions to cancer biology, e.g. by identifying components of several signalling pathways such as the Hippo [3] and RTK/Ras/MAPK signalling pathways [4–7].

FAK is a cytoplasmic non-receptor tyrosine kinase that interacts primarily with Integrins at the focal adhesion sub-domains of the plasma membrane (reviewed in [8]). FAK belongs to a hub where phosphorylation signals are regulated and transferred into the cell, therefore it is implicated in many cellular processes such as adhesion, migration, survival and differentiation [8,9], and is normally found over-expressed in migrating and invasive tumour cells [10]. The current knowledge suggests that abnormal FAK activation is a key driver of tumour cell motility and survival in conditions that would trigger anoikis (detachment-dependent apoptosis) (reviewed in [10,11]). Thus, FAK has been regarded as a potential target for cancer therapeutics.

In Drosophila, there is a single FAK homologue (FAK56D, hereafter called dFAK [12–14]); dFAK is ubiquitously expressed, with particularly high levels in the developing Central Nervous System (CNS) and muscle [14]. Consistently, dFAK mutants have abnormal neuromuscular junction growth and optic stalk structure [15,16]. Nevertheless, dFAK mutants are viable and fertile [17], proving it is dispensable for general development. This suggests the role of dFAK may become apparent only under conditions of stress. In fact, dFAK mutants display sensitivity to mechanical stimuli, suffering seizure and temporal paralysis [18].

As oncogene activation is a condition of stress, we examined the role of dFAK within a context of a Drosophila model of cancer [19–21] achieved by the expression of the receptor tyrosine kinase RET (Rearranged during transformation). Activating mutations in RET cause the familial cancer syndrome Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia type 2 (MEN2) (reviewed in [22,23]). Furthermore, chromosomal translocations implicating ectopic expression of RET are frequent in Papillary thyroid Carcinoma (PTC), the most common type of thyroid cancer [24,25], pheochromocytomas [26] and breast carcinoma [27].

Several RTKs were described to directly phosphorylate and activate FAK [28,29]. Interestingly, direct interaction and mutual phosphorylation between FAK and RET has also been reported [30,31]. Nevertheless, the functional importance of FAK in RTK signalling in vivo, particularly in the context of tumour development, is not yet clear [29] (reviewed in [11]). Therefore, dFAK is a likely candidate to be activated by Drosophila RET (hereafter called dRET) and mediate its signalling cascade in Drosophila.

In this study, we investigated the regulatory role of FAK downstream of RTKs in epithelial tissues. Unexpectedly, our findings demonstrate that FAK constitutes a negative regulator of RET and also, the RTK epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR).
FAK Inhibits RTK Signalling

Author Summary

Due to their deregulation in cancer and their potential to be inhibited by small chemical compounds, tyrosine kinases are among the most important targets under consideration for cancer therapeutics. One such oncogenic tyrosine kinase is FAK, which is known to regulate cellular signalling downstream of Integrins and Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTK) at the cell surface. In this study, however, we report that FAK can act as a suppressor of oncogenic Receptor Tyrosine Kinases. This mechanism was observed in fruit fly tissues in vivo and human cancer-derived cells in vitro, which additionally suggests it is an evolutionarily conserved mechanism in humans. FAK mediated this inhibition by controlling the sub-cellular localisation of receptors, via suppression of receptor recycling to the cell surface. These results suggest that in some particular cancer contexts such as RTK-driven tumours, FAK may act as a tumour suppressor and therefore, may not be a valid drug target.

Results

RET activates FAK and MAPK

We expressed a constitutively activated form of dRET (hereafter called dRETCA) with the ptc-gal4 driver, which is active in a stripe of cells immediately anterior to the Anterior/Posterior compartmental boundary of the developing wing imaginal discs (Figure 1A and 1D). GFP expression by itself did not affect this cell population nor produced activation of the cytoplasmic kinases Src, FAK or MAPK (Figure 1A–C). As expected from previous studies [19], expression of dRETCA (ptc>dRETCA) led to phosphorylation of Src and MAPK on residues that report their activation (Figure 1D–E, see methods). Interestingly, we also observed increased phosphorylation of FAK (Figure 1F).

Next, we tested genetically the importance of Src, Ras/MAPK and FAK downstream of RET. The Drosophila compound eye is an elegant structure composed of about 750 hexagonal units called ommatidia, which pattern in a honeycomb-shaped array (Figure 1G) [32]. This repetitive array makes the eye very sensitive to perturbations in signalling pathways. The ectopic expression of dRETCA (Figure 1G) [32]. This repetitive array makes the eye very sensitive to perturbations in signalling pathways, via suppression of receptor recycling to the cell surface. These results suggest that in some particular cancer contexts such as RTK-driven tumours, FAK may act as a tumour suppressor and therefore, may not be a valid drug target.

The N-terminal domain of FAK, but not its kinase activity, is required to suppress RET

To gain insight into the mechanism by which FAK suppresses RET signalling, we co-expressed dRETCA and different dFAK alleles in the eye (schematised in Figure 3A). When
Figure 1. Genetic interactions between RET and FAK. (A–F) Confocal images of wing disc epithelia. Control tissues (A–C) and experimental tissues (D–F) expressed GFP driven by ptc-gal4, shown in A’ and D’. Experimental tissues (D–F) also expressed dRET<sup>CA</sup>. Immunostaining against pSrc (A’ and D’), pMAPK (B and E) and pFAK proteins (C and F; see methods), as a proxy for probing their activation levels, are shown in grayscale panels. Note increased phosphorylation of Src, MAPK and FAK after dRET<sup>CA</sup> expression within the ptc domain, indicated by red arrows. Scale bars, 50 μm. (G–P) Images of adult eyes with indicated relevant genotypes; full genotypes are listed in supplemental material. GMR (glass multimer reporter) is an eye specific promoter. GMR-gal4 was used to drive UAS-dFAK transgene expression. GMR-dRET<sup>WT</sup> and GMR-dRET<sup>CA</sup> are fusion recombinant constructs. Wild type (G) and dFAK<sup>CG1</sup> (L) animals displayed a normal eye pattern; note that the dFAK<sup>CG1</sup> is in a white background (see Figure S1B). Expression of dRET<sup>WT</sup> caused a mild eye miss-pattern phenotype (H), and lowering the genetic dose of dFAK gene in these animals either enhanced eye roughness (I–J) or completely disrupted patterning and decreased eye size (J). Reciprocally, suppression of both effects was observed by co-expression of dFAK (K). (M–N) A similar enhancement was observed by halving the dose of dFAK gene after expression of dRET<sup>CA</sup>. (O) Doubling dRET<sup>CA</sup> dose caused a very rough and small eye, comparable to (J), which was partially suppressed when dFAK was also expressed (P). Eye size quantifications of panels G, H, J, K, O and P are shown in Figure S1D. Scale bars, 100 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004262.g001
Figure 2. FAK suppresses RET-driven effects in different fly tissues. (A–D) Eyes expressing dFAK displayed a normal adult eye phenotype, while dRET<sup>WT</sup> expression perturbed the normal pattern. Co-expression of dRET<sup>WT</sup> and dFAK suppressed dRET-driven mis-patterning defects. Scale bar, 100 μm. (E–H) dFAK-expressing wings via ptc-gal4 showed no detectable defects similarly to control wings. Expression of dRET<sup>CA</sup> led to disappearance of anterior cross veins in all adult escapers (arrow in inset box), which was rescued by simultaneous expression of dFAK with full genetic penetrance. Scale bar, 500 μm. (I–L) ptc-driven dRET<sup>CA</sup> expression also led to incomplete rotation of the male genitalia in all adult escapers (arrows). dFAK co-expression rescued this phenotype with full penetrance and it did not affect the normal development of the genitalia by itself. Scale bar, 100 μm. (M) Quantification of the penetrance of adult eclosion for the indicated genotypes, note that dFAK co-expression rescued significantly the developmental lethality of ptc>dRET<sup>CA</sup> animals. Error bars are standard deviation in this and all plots; ‘ns’ stands for non-statistically significant. **** means p<0.0001 in this and all plots (see methods). (N) Conversely, dFAK loss, which by itself had no effect in viability, enhanced to almost full penetrance the developmental lethality of dpp>dRET<sup>CA</sup> animals. (O–R) Confocal images from wing discs with the indicated genotypes. Note that dFAK mutation enhanced the size and shape defects associated with ectopic expression of dRET<sup>CA</sup> within the dpp stripe. For a detailed characterisation of the dFAK mutant alleles used here, please see Figure S1A–B. Scale bars, 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004262.g002
driven by GMR>gal4, the transcript levels from these UAS-dFAK transgenes ranged from 20- to 40-fold over the endogenous levels of dFAK transcript (Figure 3B). When expressed simultaneously with dRETCA and by measuring the eye areas of the consequent phenotypes (Figure 3C) we observed that a wild type allele of dFAK significantly restored the eye size when compared to control GMR>dRETCA eyes (Figure 3D and 3E). Interestingly, and in correlation with observations made in different systems [30,31], the N-terminal FERM domain of dFAK appears important for this functional interaction between dFAK and dRET: expression...
of a N-terminal deletion mutant (dFAKAN) failed to modify the eye size of GMR>dRETWT flies (Figure 3C and 3F). On the other hand, a point mutant isofrom in dFAK major auto-phosphorylation-site (FAKY397F, equivalent to tyrosine Y-937 in human FAK), did significantly rescue the eye size of GMR>dRET WT flies and the patterning of FAKY397F; GMR>dRET WT eyes (Figure 3G and S2C). These results suggest that the FERM domain of dFAK is necessary to inhibit RET signalling, while the major autophosphorylation site—required for full FAK kinase activity [37]—may not be essential. Some systems require FAK as a scaffold protein but not as a kinase [38] and our results suggest that this is the case in the functional interaction with RET.

Moderate RET/FAK ratios suppress apoptosis

Next, we further characterized the RET/FAK regulatory loop and its signalling output; specifically, we analysed how different experimental conditions that altered relative RET/FAK levels affected eye patterning. To gain further insights on how different RET/FAK ratios influence tissue cell fate in vivo, we examined the cell composition of the eye tissue. Each ommatidial unit consists of eight photoreceptor and six supporting cells (four cone cells and two primary pigment cells) (Figure 4A) [32] while a hexagonal lattice of secondary and tertiary pigment cells surrounds the units (white coloured in Figure 4A–C). Photoreceptor cell clusters are specified first; they constitute ‘organising centres’ that instruct neighbouring cells to differentiate into cone cells and primary pigment cells. The hexagonal lattice patterns by local cell reorganization and elimination of surplus cells via a wave of developmental programmed cell death.

We visualized the final pattern of retinas at 42 hs after puparium formation (APF). dFAK mutant retinas were indistinguishable from their wild-type counterparts (Figure 4A and 4B). When dRET WT was expressed by itself, the ommatidial cores remained normal, with four cone cells surrounded by two primary pigment cells. Nevertheless, eye patterning was altered, displaying supernumerary interommatidial cells (Figure 4C). This suggested that developmental programmed cell death might be suppressed during eye development. Indeed, while wild type or FAK Y397F retinas displayed a large number of apoptotic cells at 24 hs APF—a time of high levels of developmental apoptotic cell death—there were virtually no apoptotic cells in GMR>dRET WT retinas (Figures 4D–F). Remarkably, developmental cell death was rescued by co-expression of dRET WT and dFAK, further demonstrating the ability of dFAK to suppress dRET signalling (Figure 4G).

Programmed cell death during eye development is mainly dependent on the pro-apoptotic protein Hid (Head Involution Defective) [39–41]. Hid over-expression in the developing eye (GMR-hid) triggers apoptotic cell death throughout the tissue leading to a small eye phenotype (Figure 4H) [42]. When dRET WT was simultaneously expressed with Hid, the eye size increased significantly (Figure 4J and 4L), indicating that RET signalling could block Hid or its downstream effectors. The ability of dRET to suppress Hid-induced apoptosis depended on its known effectors Src and Ras: down-regulation of RasBD3 or Src42A by RNA interference prevented dRET-mediated suppression of GMR-hid small eye phenotype (Figure S2B). In contrast, while dFAK expression by itself had no significant effects on the GMR-hid eye phenotype (Figure 4I), it did suppress dRET inhibitory effect (Figure 4K and 4L).

Taken together, our data suggest that dRET expression suppresses both ectopic (Hid-induced) and developmental cell death via its known effectors Src and Ras, and this effect can be suppressed by dFAK co-expression. Therefore, in the retina, the output of dRET overexpression in a dFAK wild-type background—genetically defined here as a moderate RET/FAK ratio and expected to produce a moderate level of Ras/MAPK signalling—is the inhibition of cell death.

High RET/FAK ratios drive ectopic differentiation

While the output of moderate RET/FAK ratios resulted in suppression of cell death, the small eye phenotypes observed under conditions of higher RET/FAK ratios suggested different cell fate outcomes. We then further analysed two different experimental conditions expected to produce high relative levels between RET and FAK, namely (i) the expression of one copy of dRET WT in a dFAK mutant background (dFAK Y397F, GMR>dRET WT), and (ii) the expression of two copies of dRET WT in a dFAK wild-type background (2X GMR>dRET WT), as these displayed the roughest, reduced eye size phenotypes (Figure 5B and 5C, see also Figure 1J and O). dFAK Y397F, GMR>dRET WT pupal retinas lacked the hexagonal array and identifiable ommatidial units (Figure 5F–F’). In this case, there were clusters of numerous cone-like cells. Some bristle cells and a few cells recognisable as primary pigment-like remained, but there were no detectable cells with the appearance of normal interommatidial cells (Figure 5F’). In order to confirm the identity of those cells, we stained for the transcription factor Cut, a well-known cone cell marker [43]. In control retinas, Cut localised constitutively to the nucleus of the four cone cells from each ommatidium (Figure 5E) [43]. In contrast, in dFAK Y397F; GMR>dRET WT retinas (Figure 5F’), the cell clusters were indeed made of numerous Cut-expressing cone-like cells. Thus, in these experimental conditions, dRET signalling drives ectopic differentiation into the cone cell fate.

We next tested whether the supernumerary cone cells could be a consequence of ectopic differentiation during the larval stage. Since photoreceptor cells induce cone cell differentiation, one possibility is that aberrant photoreceptor cells number triggers ectopic cone cell differentiation. We observed normal numbers of photoreceptors (i.e.; clusters of eight) in dFAK Y397F; GMR>dRET WT eye discs, albeit some clusters had rotation defects (Figure S3A–C). We also observe ommatidial units with extraneous cone cells at early stages of eye development (4 h APF; Figure S3E) that suggests these ectopic clusters might accumulate during development until a mesh of mostly cone cells is observed by 42 h APF.

Similarly, 2X GMR>dRET WT pupal retinas displayed a mesh of cone-like cells as shown by Cut staining (Figure 5C and 5G–G’), where the few remaining cells displayed bristle cell morphology. The expression of dFAK within this context rescued the small eye size phenotype (Figure 5D; quantified in S1D). Most remarkably, it also reduced the number of ectopic cone cells and resulted in the re-appearance of normal ommatidial cores and surrounding interommatidial cells in the pupal retinas (Figure 5H–H’). Moreover, the down-regulation of RasBD3 also prevented this ectopic differentiation, restoring the eye size and suppressing patterning defects (Figure S3D).

Together, these results indicate that in a genetically defined high RET/FAK ratio, expected to produce high Ras/MAPK signalling, most of non-neuronal eye cell types ectopically differentiate into cone cells.

FAK impairs MAPK signalling downstream of RET

Next, in order to gain insights into the mechanism of dRET signalling inhibition, we assessed the role of dFAK in influencing dRET-signalling effectors.

dRET was reported to activate the PI3K/Akt pathway [33], therefore we assessed whether there was also an ectopic activation of Drosophila Akt1 in our experimental conditions. Overexpression of Drosophila Insulin receptor (dInR), an RTK known to signal
Figure 4. Moderate relative RET/FAK levels lead to inhibition of programmed cell death. (A–C) Armadillo immunostaining revealed cell outlines of wild type (A), dFAK<sup>CG1</sup> (B), and GMR-dRET<sup>WT</sup> (C) retinas at 42 hs after puparium formation (APF). The boxed areas were traced to highlight their cellular composition (A′–C′). Each ommatidium is composed of 4 cone cells (red), 2 primary pigments cells (yellow), 6 secondary and three tertiary cells (white), and three-bristle cells (green) make the hexagonal lattice. Note that dFAK<sup>CG1</sup> eyes display normal patterning (B′) while
primarily via the PI3K/Akt pathway [44], resulted in increased immunostaining for phosphorylated-Akt1 (pAkt) (Figure S4E and S4G); nevertheless, that was not the case after expression of dRETCA (Figure S4C and S4G). This suggests that dRET signals independently of PI3K/Akt in the imaginal disc domains we utilized.

We showed above that Src and MAPK were activated upon RET expression (Figure 1D–E). dFAK overexpression on its own within the ptc domain of the wing disc also resulted in increased levels of pSrc (Figure S4I). However, the co-expression of dRET and dFAK did not affect notably the levels of pSrc (Figure S4K and S4L). These results indicate that dFAK does not suppress dRET signalling via Src.

Regarding MAPK, dFAK expression did not modulate MAPK phosphorylation when expressed by itself (ptc>dFAK) (Figure 6B) but did reduce MAPK phosphorylation within the dRETCA-overexpressing ptc compartment of the wing disc (Figure 6C–E). This indicates that dFAK is able to inhibit dRET signalling via the MAPK pathway.

Figure 5. High relative levels between RET and FAK induce ectopic cone cell differentiation in the eye. We examined the cellular patterning of the pupal retinas in correspondence to the adult eye phenotypes shown in panels A–D. Scale bars, 100 μm. (E–H) Merged images of stainings for nuclei (DAPI, blue), Dlg (cell outlines, red) and Cut (cone cells, green), from retinas at 42 h APF. Bottom panels show Dlg (E’–H’) and Cut (E”–H”) immunostainings individually. (E) Note the symmetric hexagonal array, and four Cut+ cone cells per ommatidium (white arrows) in control retinas. (F and G) Note the change in cellular composition of these retinas with high RET/FAK ratios, primarily composed of Cut+ cone-like cells. (H) dFAK expression within a 2X GMR-dRETCA background suppressed this phenotype (also see S1D); some normal four-cone cell clusters (white arrows) can be identified and interommatidial cells reappeared (yellow arrows). Scale bars, 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004262.g005
Interestingly, the eye phenotypes mediated by activated isoforms of Ras and Raf, two components of the MAPK kinase pathway, were not suppressed by dFAK co-expression (Figure S5), suggesting that dFAK-mediated inhibition of dRET/MAPK signalling occurs upstream of Ras.

FAK suppresses EGFR signalling

Next, we evaluated whether the ability of FAK to inhibit RTK/MAPK signalling was specific to RET. The epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) is known to bind to FAK in mammals [29,45] and to activate MAPK in Drosophila [46]. Therefore, we took a similar approach and co-expressed the dEGFR with dFAK in the eye or in the ptc domains through the GMR-gal4 and ptc-gal4 drivers, respectively. As it happened with dRET, dEGFR also induced dFAK (Figure S6A) but not Akt1 activation (Figure S6B-B’). Co-expression with dFAK resulted in a significant rescue of the GMR>dEGFR phenotype (Figure 7A and 7B) and inhibition of MAPK activation (Figure 7C-E). Moreover, dFAK allowed a remarkable increase in survival of ptc>dEGFR flies (Figure 7F).

Mechanistically, dFAK seems to act in a similar fashion with both RTKs as the mutant isoforms of dFAK showed the same pattern of suppression when co-expressed within a GMR>dEGFR context: the auto-phosphorylation mutant was still capable of rescuing (Figure S6F), while the amino-terminal domain mutant failed to modify the phenotype of dEGFR overexpression (Figure
Thus, the FERM domain of dFAK appears essential to initiate the negative regulation over RTKs. This implies that dFAK not only suppressed dRET but can also suppress other RTKs, namely dEGFR.

Phylogenetic conservation role for FAK downstream of RTK signalling

We next tested whether the negative role of FAK downstream of RTK signalling was evolutionary conserved. Since FAK inhibited...
dEGFR signalling, we utilized MDA-MB-231 cells, derived from a human breast adenocarcinoma, which express high levels of EGFR and FAK [47–50]. An efficient knockdown of the FAK protein was achieved using small interfering RNAs by 48 hours after transfection (Figure 7G and S7A). Remarkably, when cells were grown in presence of serum we observed an increase of ERK1/2 (MAPK) phosphorylation (pERK1/2) after FAK knockdown, while the total ERK1/2 and EGFR levels remained constant. ERK1/2 phosphorylation levels were also increased at 72 hs after transfection but at this later time point EGFR levels were mildly upregulated (Figure 7G and S7A). When serum-starved FAK-siRNA MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with EGF in order to selectively stimulate EGFR receptor, the same dramatic increase of ERK (MAPK) activation was observed (Figure 7H and S7B). These results indicate that the suppressive role of FAK on RTK/MAPK signalling is evolutionary conserved through EGF/EGFR signalling in human breast cancer cells.

**FAK reduces the fraction of EGFR located at the cell surface**

To gain mechanistic insights into how FAK suppresses RTK/MAPK signalling, we next examined the cellular distribution of EGFR in MDA-MB-231 cells. Previous work indicated that growth factor receptors regulate cell signalling differently depending on its localization at the plasma membrane or within internalized vesicles [51–53]. In most contexts, EGFR signals to MAPK preferentially when located at the cell surface [34,55]. Since knockdown of FAK at 48 h did not affect total levels of EGFR, we hypothesised that a change of receptor subcellular localization could explain the enhanced ERK signalling.

To assess whether FAK affects receptor subcellular localization, we performed immunofluorescence stainings for EGFR in control and FAK knockdown cells (Figure 8A and 8B). A 27% increase in the fraction of total EGFR located at the plasma membrane was observed in siFAK-treated cells, at the expense of the intracellular pool (Figure 8C). This suggested that the increase of EGFR at the cell surface could account for the increased ERK signalling in cells with reduced FAK levels. To further test this hypothesis, we experimentally increased the fraction of EGFR at the plasma membrane using the Dynamin GTPase inhibitor Dynasore, widely used to retain receptors at the cell surface [56–59]. This treatment phenocopied FAK knockdown as it led to increased pERK1/2 levels without affecting ERK1/2 or EGFR total levels (Figure 8D and S8). Taken together, these results indicate that FAK disfavours localisation of EGFR at the plasma membrane thus reducing receptor signalling into the MAPK pathway.

**FAK reduces EGFR recycling to the cell surface**

Finally, we asked how FAK might affect the receptor subcellular localisation. Receptors are normally internalised into the endosomal/lysosomal compartment, when they can be either degraded or spared from degradation and returned to the cell surface [60].

We performed pulse-chase biochemical assays [61] to quantify the rates of EGFR internalisation and recycling. We did not detect significant differences in the rate of EGFR internalization (Figure 8E). Instead, the recycling of the internal EGFR pool was significantly higher (36%) in cells with reduced FAK versus control cells (Figure 8E). These results correlate with the percentages of membrane EGFR observed in Figure 8A–C and hence indicate that FAK suppresses EGFR localisation at the plasma membrane by suppressing receptor recycling but not internalization.

**Discussion**

This study provides evidence that RTK signalling can be moderated by FAK through the regulation of RTK recycling. This mechanism appears specific for the case of RTK over-expression/hyper-activation. We found that ectopic dRET and dEGFR signalling were able to activate dFAK and the MAPK pathway. Nevertheless, dFAK negatively regulated RTK-induced signalling to the MAPK pathway. The highly conserved FERM domain of dFAK appeared necessary for its functional suppression of *Drosophila* RTK signalling, which is consistent with the physical interactions of FAK and several RTKs described in mammals [28,30,62]. This negative feedback regulation indicates that the balance between RTK and FAK is what determines the intensity of MAPK pathway activity, which ultimately dictates cellular fate (Figure 8F).

We characterized cell fate outcomes in detail in the patterning eye anlage. In this tissue, it is known that different thresholds of MAPK pathway activation result in different outcomes: moderate levels of activation promote survival of cells during the wave of developmental apoptosis [63], while high levels of activation result in ectopic differentiation into photoreceptors [63] or the cone cell fate [64]. Correspondingly, we observed that experimental genetic manipulations expected to produce moderate RET/FAK ratios—such as the expression of one copy of wild type dRET in a dFAK wild type background—resulted in reduced developmental apoptosis and supernumerary interommatidial cells. On the other hand, genetically defined high RET/FAK ratios—such as the expression of one copy of wild type dRET in a dFAK mutant background, or the expression of two copies of constitutively activated dRET in a dFAK wild type background—resulted in ectopic differentiation into cone cells. Importantly, all dRET-driven phenotypes were suppressed by the co-overexpression of dFAK, which lowered RET/FAK ratios.

The initial characterization of the dRET-driven eye model identified several components of the Src and Ras/MAPK pathways [19]. These authors further observed that high levels of dRET signalling, achieved by the expression of two copies of activated RET, resulted in non-patterned retinas composed of identical cells with cuboidal morphology, which were proposed to be undifferentiated precursor cells. This led to the conclusion that high dRET signalling could block differentiation in this tissue. We report here that under similar experimental conditions, such cuboidal cells express the cone cell marker Cut. Thus, we conclude that high relative levels between dRET and dFAK result in different outcomes: moderate levels of activation promote survival of cells during the wave of developmental apoptosis [63], while high levels of activation result in ectopic differentiation into cone cells. Importantly, all dRET-driven phenotypes were suppressed by the co-overexpression of dFAK, which lowered RET/FAK ratios.

The initial characterization of the dRET-driven eye model identified several components of the Src and Ras/MAPK pathways [19]. These authors further observed that high levels of dRET signalling, achieved by the expression of two copies of activated RET, resulted in non-patterned retinas composed of identical cells with cuboidal morphology, which were proposed to be undifferentiated precursor cells. This led to the conclusion that high dRET signalling could block differentiation in this tissue. We report here that under similar experimental conditions, such cuboidal cells express the cone cell marker Cut. Thus, we conclude that high relative levels between dRET and dFAK result in different outcomes: moderate levels of activation promote survival of cells during the wave of developmental apoptosis [63], while high levels of activation result in ectopic differentiation into cone cells. Importantly, all dRET-driven phenotypes were suppressed by the co-overexpression of dFAK, which lowered RET/FAK ratios.

The initial characterization of the dRET-driven eye model identified several components of the Src and Ras/MAPK pathways [19]. These authors further observed that high levels of dRET signalling, achieved by the expression of two copies of activated RET, resulted in non-patterned retinas composed of identical cells with cuboidal morphology, which were proposed to be undifferentiated precursor cells. This led to the conclusion that high dRET signalling could block differentiation in this tissue. We report here that under similar experimental conditions, such cuboidal cells express the cone cell marker Cut. Thus, we conclude that high relative levels between dRET and dFAK result in different outcomes: moderate levels of activation promote survival of cells during the wave of developmental apoptosis [63], while high levels of activation result in ectopic differentiation into cone cells. Importantly, all dRET-driven phenotypes were suppressed by the co-overexpression of dFAK, which lowered RET/FAK ratios.

Previous work reported that in dFAK mutant embryos, the activity of the MAPK pathway is normal during development [16]. This indicates that endogenous MAPK signalling does not normally become hyper-activated simply as a result of dFAK loss and is in sharp contrast to the case of over-expression of RET or EGFR as reported here. We postulate that in imaginal disc epithelia, dFAK constitutes a signalling “fuse” that can act in a negative feedback loop over RET and other RTKs, specifically in conditions of ectopic RTK activation or expression. Relevant to this, we recently reported that mammalian FAK can protect epithelial cells from deregulated RET or Src signalling; when FAK is absent, some epithelial cancer cells specifically respond by targeting these promiscuous oncogenic kinases for autophagic degradation [31,65]. It therefore seems that a common feature of
Figure 8. FAK decreases EGFR at the plasma membrane via reduced recycling. (A–B) MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with non-targeting (NT) siRNA or FAK siRNA were immunostained with anti-EGFR antibody (green, A and B), Rhodamine-phalloidin (red, A’ and B’) and DAPI (blue). Note the differential localisation of EGFR; while in siNT cells the receptor is distributed in plasma membrane and internal vesicles, FAK downregulation leads to an increase of EGFR levels at the cellular membrane. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Quantification of relative EGFR membrane levels, values are expressed as 1.0 for siNT.
FAK regulatory function is to ‘buffer’ cells against potentially hazardous tyrosine kinases-mediated oncogenic signalling by promoting their internalization [31,65]. In Drosophila, negative regulation of MAPK by dFAK has been previously observed in neuromuscular junction (NMJ) growth [16]; in fact, this was one of the few developmental defects detectable in dFAK mutant animals. Interestingly, it was suggested that this ‘non-canonical’ negative regulation of MAPK by dFAK was specific to the process of Integrin-dependent NMJ growth [16]. Importantly, our data imply instead that this is a more commonly used mechanism that occurs also in epithelial tissues, downstream of ectopic dRET and dEGFR signalling. Thus, the negative regulation of RTK/MAPK signalling by dFAK is more widespread across Drosophila tissues, and we show that this has important consequences for cell and tissue fate. Most importantly, we observed that the ability dFAK to restrain signalling through the MAPK pathway is evolutionary conserved in a human breast carcinoma cell line downstream of EGFR signalling. We demonstrate that this novel role of FAK relies on its ability to affect receptor sub-cellular localisation. RTKs normally reside at the plasma membrane or within internalised cytosolic vesicles. There is a constant transport of vesicles between these two pools, which allow cells to keep a healthy RTK signalling by degrading old receptor molecules or resetting their activity and sending them back to the cell surface. RTKs can activate a certain signalling pathway either from the plasma membrane or endocytic vesicles; here we showed that EGFR signals to Ras/MAPK pathway preferentially from the cell surface, and FAK is able to regulate its localisation by reducing recycling, while the internalization rates are unaffected. Thus, in a context where MAPK signalling is activated preferentially by the plasma membrane pool of EGFR [51–53], an increase of receptor located at the cell surface results in enhanced MAPK signalling.

We also present evidence that the N-terminal FERM domain of dFAK may be essential in the regulation of RTKs, while its kinase activity appears dispensable. These results highlighted the important regulatory roles of the FERM domain, likely by mediating interactions with RET and EGFR. Future studies should elucidate how FAK, presumably acting as a scaffold in differentiating and post-mitotic cells of the developing eye, posterior to the morphogenetic furrow; the sevenless-gal4 line is active in R7 photoreceptor cells; the patched-gal4 and decapentaplegic-gal4 lines drive expression of UAS-linked transgenes within compartments of cells in imaginal discs.

Overexpression using GAL4/UAS system

The GMR-gal4 line drives expression of UAS-linked transgenes in differentiating and post-mitotic cells of the developing eye, posterior to the morphogenetic furrow; the sevenless-gal4 line is active in R7 photoreceptor cells; the patched-gal4 and decapentaplegic-gal4 lines drive expression of UAS-linked transgenes within compartments of cells in imaginal discs.

Immunofluorescence assays

Eye and wing imaginal discs or pupal retinas were dissected at the indicated time points, in 1X PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30 minutes at room temperature, and rinsed in PBST (PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100). Tissues were incubated in primary antibody at 4°C overnight. After PBST washing (3 times, 10 minutes each), tissues were incubated for 2 hours in secondary antibody. Tissues were rinsed in PBST and counterstained with DAPI (1 μg/ml, SIGMA) for 5 min at RT and then mounted in Vectashield. Primary antibodies used were anti-Armadillo (1:3, DSHB) and anti-Axin (1:3, DSHB) for 4 hours at RT.
anti-Cut (1:50, DSHB), anti-phosphorylated (Y418)-Src (1:100, Cell Signalling), anti-phosphorylated (Y397)-FAK (1:100, Cell Signalling), anti-phosphorylated (T202/Y204)-MAPK (1:200, Cell signalling), anti-Dlg (1:50, DSHB), anti-Prospero (1:30, DSHB), anti-Elav (1:500, DSHB), anti-phosphorylated (S-473)-Akt (1:100, Cell Signalling). Secondary antibodies were Alexa-conjugated 400, 594, or 633 (Molecular Probes). All preparations were analysed on a Zeiss 710 upright confocal microscope and images were processed with Fiji (ImageJ) program (NIH).

Quantification of immunofluorescence stainings
In order to quantify the intensity of immunostainings within the ptc compartment of the wing discs, sum projections were created from the original multi-slice files. Two identical areas were defined, within the ptc domain (A1) and posterior to it (A2). The 'mean grayscale value' of each area was measured with Image J, and the ratio between A1 and A2 was taken as the normalised intensity of signal.

TUNEL (Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-mediated dUTP Nick End Labelling)
Eye discs or retinas were dissected and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBST for 20 min at RT. Samples were permeabilized in 100 mM Sodium Citrate in PBST (PBS+0.1% Triton X-100) at 65°C for 30 min followed by the addition of TUNEL reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, Roche) and incubated at 37°C for 2 h on a rotating platform.

Light microscopy
Adult eye and male genitalia images were taken with a Leica M205 FA stereomicroscope equipped with Montage software. Wing blade images were taken with an Olympus BX51 FL Microscope.

RNA quantification
Total RNA was extracted from 10–15 heads or whole bodies per biological replicate using RNAeasy kit (Qiagen) and converted into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). MAXIMA SYBR Green Master Mix (Fermentas) was used for quantitative (q) PCR. Data from three biological replicates were analyzed using Applied Biosystems 7500 software version 2.0 and GraphPad Prism 6 software. Data are presented as the mean fold change relative to wild type with standard deviations. Primers are listed in Text S1.

Statistical analysis
To statistically analyse eye size measurements, adult eclosion and immunofluorescence signal we used Student’s unpaired t-test to compare two groups of data or One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s or Tukey’s post-test corrections to compare more than two groups of data. Error bars are standard deviation in all plots.

Cell culture and transfection
MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and 10% FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. siGENOME non-targeting (NT) siRNA pool (D-001206-13-05) and Smartpool siRNAs targeting FAK (L-003164-00) were obtained from Dharmacon, 10 µl of the 20 µM stock was used in each transfection. Non-targeting and FAK siRNAs were transfected into cells using Nucleofector Technology (Nucleofector Solution V, program X-013; Lonza) and Nucleofector II, Amaxa Biosystems.

Human cell imaging and analysis
MDA-MB-231 transfected cells were washed in ice cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature (RT), and permeabilised during 5 minutes in PBS+0.2% Triton X-100. Then, cells were blocked in 1% BSA/PBS solution for 30 minutes and incubated with primary antibody overnight. Secondary antibody was added to cells for 1 hour at RT and then cells were washed, incubated with FITC-Phallolidin for 10 minutes and finally mounted in Vectashield with DAPI.

Imaging was done with an inverted confocal microscope (FluoView FV1000; Olympus) with FluoView software (Olympus) and processed with Fiji (ImageJ) software (National Institute of Health). Immunofluorescence intensity values of EGFR were obtained by creating a mask of the cell outline, defining a threshold and measuring fluorescent intensity. Data analysis and Mann-Whitney statistical tests were performed and plotted in GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Western blotting
Assays were set up 48 or 72 hours after transfection. EGF treatment (30 uM, 15 minutes - Millipore) was performed on serum-starved cells, whereas all other experiments were conducted in the presence of serum. Each assay was independently repeated three times with similar results; the blots with the most equalized loading as judged by α-actin labelling are shown.

Cells were washed in PBS (Phosphate buffered saline) and lysed in lysis buffer (2% SDS, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4). Cell protein extracts were incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes, sonicated and clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 15 min. Protein concentration was determined by Bradford method [76]. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blotting. The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: anti-β-actin (1:10000, Abcam), anti-FAK (1:1000, C-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-phosphorylated (T202/Y204)-MAPK (pERK1/2) (1:200, Cell signalling), anti-ERK1/2 (1:10000, Promega), anti-EGFR (1:2000, BD Transduction Laboratories). The Nitrocellulose membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies IRDye 680RD anti-mouse (1:10000, LI-COR) and IRDye 800CW anti-rabbit (1:10000, LI-COR) imaged with Odyssey Imager (LI-COR Biosciences) and analysed with Image Studio Lite software.

Receptor internalization and recycling assays
EGFR internalization and recycling assays were performed as described previously for Integrins [61] [77], but without re-feeding or serum-starvation. For internalization assays: MDA-MB-231 cells were transferred to ice, washed twice in cold PBS, and their surface proteins labelled with 0.13 mg/ml NHS-SS-Biotin in PBS at 4°C for 1 h. Labelled cells were washed in cold PBS and transferred to serum-containing DMEM at 37°C for the indicated times to allow internalization. After internalization, dishes were rapidly transferred to ice and washed. Biotin remaining at cell surface was removed by incubation with 20 mM MesNa (sodium 2-mercaptopethanesulphonate), 50 mM Tris pH 8.6, 100 mM NaCl for 60 min at 4°C. MesNa was quenched by addition of 20 mM iodoacetamide for 20 min. Then, cells were washed and lysed in 200 mM NaCl, 75 mM Tris, 15 mM NaF, 1.5 mM Na3VO4, 7.5 mM EDTA, 7.5 mM EGTA, 1.5% Triton X-100, 0.75% IGEPAL CA-630, 50 µg/ml leupeptin, 50 µg/ml apro tin, and 1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl) benzylsulphonyl fluoride. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min,
and biotinylated EGFR was determined by ELISA. Briefly, 96-well plates were coated overnight with monoclonal mouse anti-EGFR (clone EGFR; BD Biosciences) at 5 μg/ml at 4°C in 0.05 M Na2CO₃ pH 9.6, and then blocked in PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 5% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. EGFR Receptors were captured by overnight incubation of 50 μl cell lysate at 4°C. After extensive washing with PBS-T to remove unbound material, wells were incubated with streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase for 1 h at 4°C. After further washing, biotinylated EGFR molecules were detected by chromogenic reaction with orthophenylenediamine.

For recycling assays, after surface labelling with Biotin, cells were transferred to serum-containing DMEM and incubated at 37°C for 30 min to allow internalization. Then, cells were returned to ice and washed in ice-cold PBS, and biotin was removed from cell surface proteins by reduction with MesNa. The returned to ice and washed in ice-cold PBS, and biotin was removed from reduced cell surface proteins by reduction with MesNa. The internalized fraction was then chased by returning cells to 37°C in serum-containing DMEM. After recycling, cells were returned to ice, and biotin was removed from reduced proteins at the cell surface by a second reduction with MesNa. Biotinylated EGFR molecules were then determined by capture ELISA as described above. Two independent recycling experiments were performed with 4 to 8 independent measures of every time point and genotype. Two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparison test was performed to obtain statistical significance between these measurements.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Different dFAK alleles enhance eye roughness caused by dRET expression. (A) Adult eye images of the three dFAK mutant lines used in this study. Normal ommatidia patterning was observed. Scale bars, 100 μm. dFAKCG1 is an amorphic allele of dFAK consisting of a deletion that removes the first 1263 base pairs of the coding sequence, corresponding to the first 421 amino acids of dFAK; please note this allele is in a white background [17]. (B) dFAKKO00304 and dFAKC5-SZ-3124 are hypomorphic lines that bear two different P-element insertions in the same position of the gene, which resides within the 5′-untranslated region (UTR) of the mRNA. dFAK mRNA levels from whole animal RNA extract were assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using two pairs of primers: Pair 1 (1F/1R) flanks the P-element insertion site; Pair 2 (2F/2R) amplifies a region within the N-terminal domain spanning the amino acid residues 120 and 188. Note all three alleles result in a relative value to the wild type mean (**p<0.0001; ***p<0.01; ‘ns’: not statistically significant; n = 3–6 for each genotype). (C) Effect of independent dFAK mutant allelic combinations over dRETWT-driven rough phenotype. The three different dFAK mutant lines were combined to produce trans-heterozygous dFAK mutants: dFAKCG1/dFAKKO00304; GMR-dRETWT and dFAKCG1/dFAKCG1/dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT, which showed phenotype similar to dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT shown in Figure 1J. (D) Eye size quantification of the indicated genotypes, corresponding to Figure 1G, 1H, 1J, 1K, 1O and 1P. Eye size is represented as the relative value to the wild type mean (**=p<0.001; ***p<0.01; ‘ns’: not statistically significant; n = 8–10 for each genotype).

(PDF)

Figure S2 Src kinase and Ras act downstream of RET. (A) Src42ARNAi or Ras85DNAi expression in the eye with GMR-gal4 did not affect the adult eye pattern but suppressed dRETWT-induced rough eye phenotype. (B) Src42A RNAi and Ras85DNAi also reduced the dRETWT-dependent suppression of Hid-induced apoptosis, proving this anti-apoptotic role of dRET was dependent on its effectors Src and Ras. (C) Expression of an autophosphorylation-site point-mutant of dFAK (dFAK3489R) produces no defects in ommatidia patterning of the adult eye while suppressed the severe mis-patterning caused after expression of dRETWT within a dFAK heterozygous background, further suggesting that the kinase activity of dFAK is not essential in this effect. Scale bars, 100 μm.

(PDF)

Figure S3 High RET/FAK ratios drive ectopic differentiation into cone cells but not photoreceptors. (A) Immunofluorescence stainings for the pan-neuronal marker Elav revealed that photoreceptor differentiation was not altered in GMR-dRETWT or dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT eye discs. (B) Staining for the R7-photoreceptor marker, Prospero, at later stages of eye development (42 h APF) showed one single R7 photoreceptor nuclei per cluster in all genotypes. Circles indicate bristle cell nuclei, which also express Prospero. Normally, these bristle and R7 nuclei are in different focal planes but appear together due to misfolding in dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT retinas. (C) Armadillo staining further demonstrated the normal clusters of photoreceptor cells. All the clusters showed seven photoreceptor cells although planar polarity rotation problems were observed in dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT retinas. (D) Ras85DNAi expression suppressed the severely mis-patterned and small eye phenotype of dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT and 2X GMR-dRETWT flies (compare to Figure 1J and O, and 5B–C). This suggests that Ras/MAPK signalling is the main driving force of ectopic cone cell differentiation, which results in severe miss patterning. (E) Cut staining of early pupa retinas (4 h APF) for the indicated genotypes. Panels on the right show high magnification images. Note the presence of clusters with supernumerary cone cells in dFAKCG1; GMR-dRETWT retinas.

(PDF)

Figure S4 FAK does not regulate Src or AKT activation downstream of RTKs. (A–F) Phosphorylated Akt immunostainings, as proxy for its activation, in wing discs with the indicated genotypes. Note Akt phosphorylation was not activated by dRETCA or dFAK expressing tissue, or simultaneous expression of both proteins in the ptc stripe of wing discs. In contrast, overexpression of Drosophila Insulin Receptor (dInR) did increase pAkt staining within the ptc stripe, but this was not reduced by dFAK co-expression. (H–K) Independent expression of dFAK and dRETCA caused a significant activation of Src kinase in the ptc compartment of the wing disc, which was unchanged when both proteins were simultaneously expressed (L). (G and L) Quantification of pAkt and pSrc immunostaining, respectively, within the ptc stripe (see methods). Intensity of signal is represented as relative values to the mean intensity of control tissues overexpressing GFP and dicer2 (A and H, respectively) (**p<0.01; ‘ns’: not statistically significant; n = 4–6 for each genotype). Scale bars, 50 μm.

(PDF)

Figure S5 FAK does not suppress effects of RTK/MAPK intermediaries Ras and Raf. The sevenless promotor driver se-gal4 was used to map the suppression of dFAK in the RET/MAPK pathway (GMR-gal4 resulted in pupa lethality when driving UAS-Ras85D12V and UAS-Raf179), dRETCA-induced patterning defects were suppressed by dFAK co-expression, while the effects produced by the expression of activated isoforms of Ras (RasV12) or Raf (Raf179) were not suppressed; moreover, patches of non-pigmented ommatidia appeared when dFAK was co-expressed, implying that dFAK suppresses dRET signalling upstream of Ras in the MAPK pathway.

(PDF)

Figure S6 FAK suppressed the RTK dEGFR. (A) Immunostaining assays showed increase phosphorylation of dFAK upon
dEGFR expression in the ptc stripe of the wing disc. (B) dEGFR did not activate Akt phosphorylation significantly in the ptc stripe; dFAK co-expression made no difference either, as assessed by quantification of immunostaining signal (B'). Scale bar, 50 μm. Control genotypes were shown in Figure S4A–B. (C–F) Eye size quantification of immunostaining signal (B) and eye sizes from the different genotypes, expressed as relative values to the mean value of control panel (C) (*ns*: not statistically significant; *** = p<0.001; **** = p<0.0001; n=8–10 for each genotype).

**Figure S7** FAK negative regulation of EGFR is conserved in MDA-MB-231 human breast adenocarcinoma cells. Expansions of Figure 7G (A) and 7H (B), which shows the un-cropped Western blotting images for each antibody labelling. Molecular weight markers (MM) are shown in the first or last lanes, and the original multicolour Li-Cor scanned images are shown in the left panels. Expected molecular weights of proteins are: EGFR (175 kDa); FAK (125 kDa); ERK1/2 (44/42 kDa); β-actin (42 kDa).

**Figure S8** Inhibition of dynamin-dependent internalisation retains EGFR at the plasma membrane. Expansion of Figure 8D showing the un-cropped Western blotting images for each antibody labelling. Molecular weight markers (MM) are shown in the first lanes, and the original multicolour Li-Cor scanned images are shown in the left panels. Expected molecular weights of proteins are: EGFR (175 kDa); FAK (125 kDa); ERK1/2 (44/42 kDa); β-actin (42 kDa). MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with either non-targeting siNT or FAK-specific siRNA (siFAK) and serum starved prior to addition of 80 μM Dynasore hydrate (Sigma Aldrich). Note that siNT-transfected cells showed an increased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in response to Dynasore treatment (80 μM, 30 minutes).

**Text S1** This text file provides a list of the full genotypes used in all figures, as well as a list of primer sequences used for PCR.
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