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Abstract
Almost all circulating gut peptides contribute to the control of food intake by signalling satiety. One important exception is ghrelin, the only orexigenic peptide hormone thus far described. Ghrelin secretion increases before meals and behavioural and electrophysiological evidence shows that ghrelin acts in the hypothalamus via homeostatic pathways to signal hunger and increase food intake and adiposity. These findings strongly suggest that ghrelin is a dynamically regulated peripheral hunger signal. However, ghrelin also interacts with the brain reward pathways to increase food intake, alter food preference and enhance food reward. Here we discuss ghrelin’s role as an endocrine gut-brain reward signal in relation to homeostatic and hedonic feeding control.

We are bombarded fairly steadily by reports of a high and rising incidence of obesity. Being obese is not in itself a problem but the consequences of obesity, like type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and some cancers, are of concern. Food intake is controlled by the brain but what, how much and how often we eat is not only driven by homeostatic requirements for calories and nutrients. The existence of what is now labelled an obesity epidemic is evidence that many people must have a strong drive to eat even when homeostatic signals indicate a positive energy balance in the form of large fat stores. However, we do not eat only for energy and nutrients, we also eat for pleasure. The target for hedonic-driven eating is a broad category of palatable foods with certain characteristics in common. Unfortunately, the foods we enjoy the most tend not to be nutritionally balanced, but are high in sugar, fat, salt and calories. What is more, hedonic desire for palatable foods can easily inundate the homeostatic mechanisms that normally delay the start or signal the termination of feeding. Thus, reward-driven feeding may drive and support overeating, weight gain, obesity and illness.
How might this happen? There are several possibilities – firstly, changes in the reward system may be a secondary effect of the onset or maintenance of obesity. The central signal most strongly associated with the reinforcing effects of palatable food is dopamine released from the mesolimbic structures. In humans, striatal dopamine receptor number is reduced in obesity [1] and this may be linked to a blunting of the normal reward response to food. When neural activity in the striatum is monitored in response to palatable food ingestion, individuals show reduced reward responses to an identical stimulus after having gained weight [2]. Secondly, and perhaps in contrast to this neuroadaptive reprogramming theory, a pre-existing genetic or epigenetic susceptibility or sensitivity to reward may exist. For example, striatal D2 receptor expression shows interindividual differences related to body weight. But rather than an obesity-driven mechanism, inherent differences in expression of the A1 allele of the TaqIA polymorphism may underlie this [3]. Selectively bred lines of obesity-prone rats (whose phenotypes presumably reflect subtle genetic differences from obesity-resistent rats) show several impairments in proteins involved in dopamine neurotransmission. These are evident before exposure to a palatable diet or excess weight gain [4]. Thirdly, the consumption of palatable food may be considered to be a form of addiction. In experiments loosely parallel to those of Olds [5] where rats crossed electrified grids to self-stimulate their brain reward centres, rats made obese by chronic access to palatable food will continue to eat such food even when conditioned to associate its consumption with a painful electric shock [6]. In other words, reward-related stimuli may compel the consumption of palatable food even in the face of marked negative outcomes, real or potential – a classical attribute of addiction [7]. None of these three possibilities are mutually-exclusive and other aspects of the reward system may also be important. It is not yet clear whether reward-related obesity may be a consequence of hyper- or hypoactivity of the reward system. However, there may be strong parallels with other addictive disorders. Hyperactivity of the reward system likely drives initial overconsumption of rewarding food but then the behaviour becomes reinforced and habitual rather than enjoyable. A hedonic deficit may develop which is compensated for by increased motivation or a craving for the rewarding food. This may result in sustained ‘wanting’ in the absence of ‘liking’. In other words, eating without pleasure.

In any case, the power of the reward system over feeding behaviour should not be underestimated. Compared with homeostatic mechanisms of feeding, much less is currently known about how hedonic systems in the brain influence food intake. It is clear, however, that hormonal peptide signals converge on certain brain regions and are pivotal in both homeostatic and hedonic feeding. Ghrelin is a naturally occurring peptide that is found in several regions of the body, including the brain, but is predominantly synthesised in the mucosal cells of the stomach. Ghrelin is secreted into the blood, levels rise during periods of energy depletion, including between normally-spaced meals, and fall upon feeding. Ghrelin acts centrally to signal hunger and, via well-characterised hypothalamic pathways, increases food intake in humans and ro-
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Ghrelin’s Influence on Central Reward Pathways

The central reward pathways comprise multiple interconnected brain regions many of which are sensitive to hormonal peptides commonly associated with the control of homeostatic feeding [9]. The best-characterised pathway (now approaching ‘classical’ status) is the dopaminergic innervation of the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) by neurones in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Other regions, especially the target sites of the NAcc such as the prefrontal cortex and ventral pallidum, and inputs into the NAcc such as the amygdala, are also important for reward processing. Other regions implicated in ghrelin signalling such as the lateral and ventromedial hypothalamus and the arcuate nucleus also form neurocircuits with the reward pathways that are likely to be important in integrating feeding control [10]. The ghrelin (GHS-R1A) receptor is localised in many brain regions associated with pleasure, reward and motivation. An early report noted GHS-R1A receptor mRNA expression in the substantia nigra and VTA and speculated whether receptor localisation in the reward pathway may indicate a role for the then-unidentified endogenous ligand in hedonic motivation [11]. Further evidence comes from studies using biotin-labelled ghrelin which binds to VTA neurones with a similar pattern of distribution seen to GHS-R1A receptor immunoreactivity [12]. GHS-R1A mRNA is translated to express functional ghrelin receptors in VTA neurones and ghrelin administration to this region causes dopamine release [12, 13], although this may be dependent on feeding state [14]. Ghrelin excites VTA neurones in brain slices in vitro [12] and increases dopamine activity (as measured by the DOPAC:dopamine ratio or by microdialysis to directly measure dopamine release in the NAcc) in wild-type and ghrelin knockout mice but not in GHS-R1A receptor knockout mice [12, 13, 15].

Ghrelin and Natural Rewards: Food and Sex

Readers of this book hardly need to be reminded of ghrelin’s central role in food intake. However, the discovery of the peptide solved an apparent mystery. Initially, the presence of GHS-R1A receptors in brain regions associated with food intake was not easily explained, particularly as most interested parties considered this receptor to be the target for a class of synthetic growth hormone secretagogues and, as such, are presumably mainly involved in the control of growth hormone secretion by an endoge-
A simplified illustration of brain regions sensitive to ghrelin. Ghrelin is secreted from the stomach into the blood to activate at least two distinct brain pathways involved in feeding. Activation of the homeostatic hypothalamic drives food intake. In addition to food intake, activation of hedonic pathways can also affect motivation and anticipation for food.
and may be able to modify dopamine signalling by heterodimerising with dopamine D1 receptors in, for example, ghrelin-sensitive neurones in the VTA [29].

The basic goal of feeding is to acquire calories and a diversity of nutrients. There is an obvious advantage in being able to detect a food high in energy, and one excellent indicator of this nutritional property is sweetness. Mammals appear to have an innate pre-disposition to preferentially eat sweet foods. Remarkably, rats trained to make a mutually exclusive choice between a behaviourally effective i.v. dose of cocaine or a short period of access to a sweet drink show a strong and sustained preference for the sweet taste [30]. Evidence suggests that ghrelin signalling may play an essential role in the motivation to consume sweet food over bland food. When given a free choice between bland food or sweet, palatable, energy-dense food, GHS-R1A receptor knock-out mice and mice treated with a GHS-R1A receptor antagonist eat less palatable food than controls. Furthermore, palatable food consumption is usually associated with an increase in dopamine release in the NAcc but this is not observed in GHS-R1A knock-outs [31]. In mice, the ability of sweet chocolate treats to condition a place preference is abolished by peripheral treatment with a GHS-R1A receptor antagonist [31] and is absent in fasted GHS-R1A receptor knockout mice [32]. Access to a pelleted high-fat

---

**Fig. 2.** Schematic illustration of brain pathways mediating ghrelin’s effects on food motivation. A key pathway involved in food motivation is the midbrain dopamine projection from the VTA to the NAcc. Ghrelin appears to activate this pathway directly at the level of the VTA. This provides a potential mechanism for ghrelin to promote food intake even when homeostatic hypothalamic centres such as the arcuate nucleus (ARC) or lateral hypothalamus (LH) indicate a state of satiety. It is also possible that ghrelin affects food motivation indirectly, for example, by activating an afferent pathway. Recent studies suggest that while central opioid (via the mu receptor) and NPY (Y1 receptor) signalling are required for ghrelin’s effects on food motivation, the targets sites for these effects differ. At the level of the VTA, opioid signalling (but not NPY signalling) is required for ghrelin’s effects on food motivation [39].
rodent diet also conditions a place preference in mice. This is enhanced by ghrelin administered peripherally but GHS-R1A receptor knockout mice show no such preference [33].

Building on serendipitous findings by Constantin Fahlberg in 1878, chemists are now able to produce molecules that are many hundred times sweeter than sucrose. These can therefore be used at very low concentrations, low enough to provide next to non-metabolisable energy. Saccharin is the prototypical artificial sweetener and rats will preferentially consume sweet-tasting saccharin solutions over water [34] but not in preference to approximately equally sweet natural, calorific sugars [35]. This gives rise to the idea of at least two aspects of reward driven by differing qualities in different foods; a gustatory reward (the pleasure of taste) and a post-ingestive reward (the pleasure associated with changes in plasma nutrient or hormonal levels). In addition to increasing sweet, caloric food intake, ghrelin also enhances sweet, non-caloric saccharin intake both in the presence and absence of caloric food. Also, consumption of a saccharin-sweetened food is reduced in GHS-R1A knockout mice compared to wild-type mice [36].

If an animal finds food rewarding, it will show motivated behaviour to obtain it. This can be demonstrated in an operant conditioning paradigm in which rats must work progressively harder in order to obtain a sweet treat. Motivated behaviour for a sucrose reward is increased by ghrelin given centrally or peripherally to satiated rats and, conversely, is suppressed in hungry rats by a GHS-R1A antagonist, also administered by these routes [37]. Indeed ghrelin administration directly to the VTA, but not the NAcc, increases food-motivated behaviour, thereby identifying the VTA as the primary target for these effects of ghrelin [37]. Consistent with this, chemical lesion of the VTA reduces ghrelin-evoked food-motivated behaviour [38]. Using the same operant self-administration model of motivation for sucrose, pharmacological blockade of NPY receptors with intracentroventricular administration of a selective Y1 receptor antagonist prevents a ghrelin-evoked increase in motivation. This observation is recapitulated with an opioid receptor antagonist. In contrast, ghrelin’s local effects in the VTA on intake of normal bland food are unaffected by opioid receptor antagonism but are reduced by Y1 receptor blockade [39]. Interestingly, intra-VTA infusion of NPY antagonist does not prevent the potentiating effect of ghrelin on motivation suggesting that NPY activation to increase food motivation is at an extra-VTA site, possibly the lateral hypothalamus or NAcc [39]. Taken together, this indicates that central NPY and opioid receptor activation is required for ghrelin’s enhancement of sucrose motivation, but that in the VTA only opioid receptors are utilised by ghrelin for this response.

An important aspect of feeding-related behaviour is locomotion. An animal must forage or hunt for food before it can eat it. Ghrelin increases locomotion in mice when given centrally into the third ventricle [15] or more locally in the VTA or LDTg [13, 31]. Rats fed for a restricted period each day quickly develop food-entrained anticipatory activity (FAA) where a marked increase in locomotion is seen several hours pri-
or to the time food is expected. Plasma ghrelin levels are specifically increased during a conditioned period of FAA in rats and physical activity levels can be reduced by intracebrovascular injection of a GHS-R1A receptor antagonist prior to the expected FAA [40]. Furthermore, decreased levels of FAA are observed in GHS-R1A receptor knockout mice. As well as conditioning FAA by restricted access to normal food, FAA can be evoked by restricted presentation of a palatable food in addition to ad lib access to normal food. When rats are given restricted access to a small amount of chocolate they show FAA similar to that seen in food-restricted animals and plasma ghrelin levels are elevated compared to rats not anticipating the palatable reward. In addition, intracebrovascular ghrelin increases FAA for a reward while intracebrovascular administration of a GHS-R1A receptor antagonist decreases it [41]. Thus, ghrelin appears to have a role in anticipatory activity for food, both predicted meals when hungry and predicted additional food rewards when satiated.

What cellular and molecular mechanisms might underlie this important role for ghrelin in reward and motivation? After chronic intracebrovascular ghrelin administration in rats, VTA dopamine D5 receptor mRNA and nicotinic acetylcholine nACh-Rb2 receptor mRNA expression are increased whereas in the NAcc, there is reduced mRNA expression of dopamine D1A, D3 and D5 receptors and reduced expression of nicotinic acetylcholine nAChRa3 receptors [37]. Acute ghrelin administration to the VTA also upregulates opioid receptor mRNA in VTA neurones [39]. While it remains to be determined whether these molecular-level changes are simply compensatory responses to an enhanced dopamine signal for example, it is possible they could directly contribute to the rewarding effects of ghrelin. An increase in opioid receptor expression may underlie the interaction between ghrelin and opioids in the VTA, where a reward stimulus can elicit opioid release and concurrent ghrelin sensitises the VTA neuronal response to opioids by increasing opioid receptor expression. The presence of ghrelin could, therefore, make the stimulus of a single food reward much more motivating.

Less evidence exists for a role for ghrelin in modifying food reward in humans. Experiments measuring hunger or the wanting and liking of foods in human subjects can be contentious, relying as they often do on subjective experience or self-reporting. However, in an fMRI study using satiated human subjects exposed to images of palatable foods, ghrelin increased a BOLD response in likely neural correlates of reward pathway activation such as the amygdala, orbitofrontal cortex and anterior insula, but not in the homeostatic hypothalamus [42].

Animals perform many natural goal-oriented behaviours that result in pleasure and reinforcement. After eating and drinking, the next most common of these is sexual behaviour. Ghrelin has a partially characterised effect on hormonal reproductive physiology via actions on the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis. Ghrelin, like leptin, may be involved in the metabolic gating of the onset of puberty. For example, high plasma ghrelin levels may signal that insufficient energy is available for the demands of sexual maturation [43]. In contrast, much less is known about a potential
role for ghrelin in sexual motivation and reward. In male mice administered an orexigenic dose of ghrelin, ultrasonic calling (a pre-copulatory courting behaviour) is reduced but the strong preference for females’ bedding observed in controls is neither enhanced nor reduced by ghrelin administration [44]. For males, sexual behaviour is not particularly costly, so moderate hunger (reflected by levels of ghrelin high in the physiological range) may not be a sufficient obstacle to prevent males taking advantage of an opportunity to mate [45]. Nonetheless, it may be of interest to carefully determine the effects of ghrelin on a place preference conditioned by a receptive female or her odour, on sexual incentive motivation tests or on the latency or number of intromissions when male animals are allowed access to receptive females. In contrast to males, reproduction for females is extremely energetically expensive. In the context of reproduction perhaps energy stores are of more significance in females and sexual behaviours may be more susceptible to modification by energy balance signals. Indeed, fasting or acute but not chronic ghrelin treatment can reduce sexual receptivity in female rats [46].

**Conclusion: Ghrelin as a Reward Amplifier?**

From an evolutionary perspective, ghrelin signalling may provide a strong driving force to ensure both an adequate nutritional supply and a diversity of food of varying reward value. It makes physiological sense that the empty stomach signals to neurocircuits involved in food motivation and craving, but this system can be hijacked by obesogenic foods in the modern Western lifestyle, contributing strongly to the development of obesity. What is more, the effects of ghrelin on reward are not confined to natural rewards. Suppressed ghrelin signalling interrupts reward from chemical drugs of abuse such as alcohol [47], nicotine [48], cocaine and amphetamine [49]. Moreover, central ghrelin injection increases drug consumption and behaviours associated with the motivation for drugs. It should be noted, however, that ghrelin administration is itself rewarding, mice will show preference for an environment previously paired with ghrelin administration [50].

Possible targets for treatment by drugs modifying central ghrelin signalling are obesity, hypophagic diseases such as anorexia and forms of drug and alcohol abuse. The most prevalent of these is of course obesity. It is worthwhile noting that changes in circulating ghrelin are seen in obesity and after its treatment by bariatric surgery [51]. Furthermore, the concept of ghrelin resistance in homeostatic neural circuits after diet-induced obesity has been suggested [52], paralleling that of leptin resistance and insulin resistance manifesting as type 2 diabetes. Extending this idea to the motivation to eat, mice made obese by a high-fat diet are less motivated to obtain food rewards in basal conditions and after ghrelin treatment; diet-induced obesity suppresses the stimulatory effect of ghrelin compared to lean mice [53]. It can be argued whether a major physiological role of ghrelin is to drive hunger or prevent starvation.
but it is certain that ghrelin is orexigenic. Ghrelin’s actions in food motivation complement this pro-feeding effect. It is clear that ghrelin is an important gut-brain signal for food intake driven by energy deficit or by an anticipated reward value.
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