



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Inclusive school leaders – their role in raising the achievement of all learners

Citation for published version:

Óskarsdóttir, E, Donnelly, V, Turner-Cmuchal, M & Florian, L 2020, 'Inclusive school leaders – their role in raising the achievement of all learners', *Journal of Educational Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2019-0190>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1108/JEA-10-2019-0190](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2019-0190)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Journal of Educational Administration

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the accepted version of the following article: Óskarsdóttir, E., Donnelly, V., Turner-Cmuchal, M. and Florian, L. (2020), "Inclusive school leaders – their role in raising the achievement of all learners", *Journal of Educational Administration*, which has been published in final form at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2019-0190>

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





**INCLUSIVE SCHOOL LEADERS – THEIR ROLE IN RAISING
THE ACHIEVEMENT OF ALL LEARNERS**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Educational Administration</i>
Manuscript ID	JEA-10-2019-0190.R3
Manuscript Type:	Academic Paper
Keywords:	inclusion, Transformational Leadership, Distributed Leadership, instructional leadership, inclusive school leadership

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

MODELS OF INCLUSIVE SCHOOL LEADERSHIP FOR RAISING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF ALL LEARNERS

Edda Óskarsdóttir¹, Verity Donnelly¹, Marcella Turner-Cmucha¹ and Lani Florian²

¹European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education

²University of Edinburgh

Abstract

Purpose - This article presents a model based on a review of international and European policy and current Agency work on school leadership for inclusive education. The European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education is an independent organization that acts as a platform for collaboration for the ministries of education in 31 member countries. Member countries' agree that the ultimate goal for inclusive education systems is to provide all learners with opportunities for meaningful high-quality education in their neighborhood school alongside their peers.

Approach - Key issues addressing competences for inclusive school leadership, support and professional development opportunities for inclusive school leaders, and policy frameworks that support inclusive leadership across the whole education system are explored. The Ecosystem of Inclusive Education Systems model sets out the micro level (involves classroom practice directly affecting learner's development and outcomes), meso-level (school structures and processes), exo-level (supportive structures within the community) and macro-level (wider systems and policy environment).

Implications - This manuscript creates a fundamental challenge for policy-makers and practitioners who need to find ways of breaking connections between disadvantage, educational failure and restricted life chances, to achieve equity and excellence for all learners. Inclusive school leaders play a key role in effecting change in order to raise the achievement of all learners in their schools.

Originality/value - The model aims to support analysis of the policy context and interactions between the structures and processes at different levels to ensure effective support for inclusive school leadership and development of appropriate competences.

Keywords Inclusive education, Inclusive school leadership, Transformational leadership, Instructional leadership, Distributed leadership, Core functions of school leaders

Paper type Conceptual paper

MODELS OF INCLUSIVE SCHOOL LEADERSHIP FOR RAISING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF ALL LEARNERS

Introduction

The European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (the Agency) was established by the Danish Government in 1996 as the European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education. Today it provides a platform for cross-national collaboration for the ministries of education in 31 European countries¹. It is co-funded by the member ministries of education and by the European Institutions. The Agency is an independent, self-governing, non-profit organization that works to promote the common values of the European Union (EU): respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality and the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities (Council of the European Union, 2018). The Agency was established by the Ministries of Education in its member countries to act as a platform for collaboration in the field of special needs and inclusive education to improve educational policy and practice for learners with special needs. This aim takes into account issues such as equal opportunities, accessibility, inclusive education and the promotion of quality of education, whilst recognizing the differences in countries' policies, practice and contexts (European Agency, 2018a).

The EU is a supra-national body with governance powers that have been transferred to it from its member states. Currently, with 28 member states, the EU reflects what has

¹ 31 countries, 35 jurisdictions: Austria, Belgium (Flemish and French communities), Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales)

1
2
3 been described as 'intense localism against a background of cooperative internationalism'
4
5 (de Bilj, 2005, p. 222). This combination of localism and internationalism creates an
6
7 interesting dynamic and sets the context for pan European collaboration. For example,
8
9 education remains an area of national and sub-national jurisdiction and governance (the
10
11 principle of subsidiarity). However, shared commitment to the common values of the EU
12
13 support cross-national movements to harmonize and work collectively on shared education
14
15 goals and objectives. Thus, while education remains under the jurisdiction of member
16
17 states, it is also considered a 'specific commitment' within the EU and many education
18
19 programs are supported under various policy initiatives of EU's 'education area'.
20
21
22
23
24
25 Cooperation on a shared education agenda across the EU is understood as being in line with
26
27 the goals of social cohesion, justice and equity in education.
28
29

30
31 Although the Agency is firmly established and strongly associated with the education
32
33 of children with special educational needs and disabilities, all member countries have the
34
35 shared vision to improve educational policy and practice that support inclusive education
36
37 systems so that all learners of any age are provided with meaningful, high-quality
38
39 educational opportunities in their local community (European Agency, 2015). The countries
40
41 are at different stages of working towards this vision and employ different ways to get
42
43 there, depending on their past and current contexts and histories.
44
45
46
47

48
49 While the Agency projects are firmly rooted in the 2006 UN Convention on the
50
51 Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) as a policy framework which calls for inclusive
52
53 education for persons with disabilities, its work is also influenced by and aims to inform the
54
55 international drive to extend the remit of inclusive education to all learners. Here, the
56
57 concept of inclusion as promoted in the UN Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) for
58
59
60

1
2
3 education (SDG 4): 'to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote
4
5 lifelong learning opportunities for all' links the remit of the Agency to a European enduring
6
7 understanding of inclusion as a strategy for achieving social cohesion (Council of the
8
9 European Union, 2018; Bánfalvy, 2007), and as part of the response to the equity issues that
10
11 are raised in relation to the harmonization and integration processes of the EU.
12
13
14

15
16 Inclusive education is viewed as playing a key role in creating socially inclusive
17
18 societies, addressing discrimination and racism, and reducing school failure and learner
19
20 drop-out (European Agency, 2015). The move to more inclusive policy and practice creates a
21
22 fundamental challenge for policy-makers and practitioners who need to find ways of
23
24 breaking connections between disadvantage, educational failure and restricted life chances.
25
26 Because school leadership practices are both directly and indirectly connected with positive
27
28 outcomes for learners (Mac Ruairc, 2013), they are considered crucial in any change process
29
30 that aims to raise the achievement of all learners in inclusive schools (Donnelly *et al.*, 2016).
31
32 The development of an inclusive school culture and pedagogy for all learners promotes in
33
34 particular the academic and social achievement of learners with disabilities (European
35
36 Agency 2018b). However, there has been little focus on inclusive school leadership despite
37
38 increasing focus on practice in European-level policy documents (e.g. Council of the
39
40 European Union, 2018).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 This paper reports on a current Agency project, Supporting Inclusive School
49
50 Leadership (SISL), a cross-national project that considers how best to ensure that school
51
52 leaders meet the needs of *all* learners in their school communities (European Agency,
53
54 2018c). The SISL project is organized over two phases. In the first phase the project
55
56 examined current theories of school leadership together with the core functions of school
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 leaders in participating countries in order to develop a model specifically focused on
4
5 inclusive school leadership. A focus of this first phase was to reflect on the policy context
6
7 and roles/responsibilities of school leaders enabling them to fulfil the complex
8
9 responsibilities associated with inclusive school development at different system levels,
10
11 using the ecosystem model of inclusive education. The second phase of the SISL project is in
12
13 its early stages and will build on information from the first phase. The focus in this second
14
15 phase is to develop a policy guidance framework and an open-source self-review tool for
16
17 mapping country policies for inclusive school leadership. Agency projects such as SISL focus
18
19 on research findings and policy developments that support countries to chart their own
20
21 course towards a common goal. This process of cross-national working permits member
22
23 countries with their distinctive national, ethnic, cultural, and linguistic diversities to work
24
25 together on common goals.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **The concept of school leadership**

34
35 Leadership has been interpreted in different ways, but it can be defined as a process of
36
37 providing direction and applying influence (Lumby and Coleman, 2016). Leadership involves
38
39 managing people's emotions, thoughts and actions decisively in order to influence others
40
41 towards a preferred direction (Diamond and Spillane, 2016). In this description, leadership
42
43 resides in the relationship between leaders and those with whom they work. The
44
45 relationship is built on the motivation and commitment of both parties, moving people to
46
47 action by influencing and challenging their thinking and having them reflect on the values
48
49 and understandings that constitute the base of their practice (Krüger and Scheerens, 2012).
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 In this paper, the term school leader is used to refer to all those in key leadership
57
58 roles in schools and learning communities. Such leaders may also be referred to as
59
60

1
2
3 headteachers, school directors or principals, as well as leadership taking place in teams.

4
5 Their roles focus on enlisting and guiding the talents and energies of teachers, pupils and
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Their roles focus on enlisting and guiding the talents and energies of teachers, pupils and
parents toward achieving common educational aims. It is important to acknowledge that
leading a school involves a balance of leadership focused on values, vision and the future,
and management activities that are concerned with making things work (West-Burnham
and Harris, 2015).

The landscape of educational leadership in Europe has changed drastically over past
decades as schools and school systems have developed. This is due to growing diversity of
student populations due to migration, and other factors that contribute to the complexity of
the school leader's role such as increasing school autonomy and demands for increasing
accountability (Bauer and Silver, 2018; European Commission, 2017). In addition, school
leaders increasingly share leadership tasks and work with a range of partners in the school
and local community and beyond (European Agency, 2018c). Consequently, school leaders
have the potential to play a key role as change managers in wider system reform.

Leading a school therefore is a demanding mixture of tasks that require, among
other competencies, a vision, a capacity for strategic thinking and efficient resource
management, and the ability to improve learning environments and learning cultures
(European Commission, 2017). Initial training, professional development and providing on-
going support for leaders are key for the development of effective inclusive schools. In
particular, leaders must be capable of promoting and sustaining a stable environment in
order to recruit and retain the best teachers for marginalized children and young people
(Khalifa *et al.*, 2016). Leaders need to be knowledgeable and well prepared to support
teaching that meets the needs of each learner, to tackle inequalities and withstand the

1
2
3 resistance they are likely to encounter to change in their school community (Billingsley *et*
4
5 *al.*, 2018; Lumby and Coleman, 2016).
6
7

8 9 **Core leadership functions**

10
11
12 The SISL project literature review (European Agency 2018c) identified three main
13
14 organizational functions associated with the effective operation of inclusive schools
15
16 (Billingsley *et al.*, 2014; 2018; McLeskey and Waldron, 2015; Skoglund and Stäcker, 2016).
17
18

19
20 These functions fall into three broad categories: setting direction, human development and
21
22 organizational development. Although they are interrelated, the aim of each of the three
23
24 core functions is distinctive.
25
26

27 28 *Setting direction*

29
30
31 Leadership is important for giving direction, with a focus on the values and discourse that
32
33 support inclusive practice. This discourse is grounded in reflection between stakeholders
34
35 about what constitutes such practice (Ekins, 2013). Exploring and sharing meanings about
36
37 inclusion, aiming to promote the best interests of learners both academically and socially,
38
39 through fairness, justice and equity are essential features of this reflection on practice
40
41 (Stone-Johnson, 2014).
42
43
44

45
46 Enacting a vision of inclusive schools requires leaders to build a common philosophy or
47
48 inclusive culture across the school. Key aspects of that inclusive culture involve embracing:
49
50

- 51
52
- 53 • a common definition of inclusion;
 - 54
 - 55 • an authentic sense of belonging;
 - 56
 - 57 • a commitment that “all” means each and every student; and
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60

- a presumption of competence for ALL students (Theoharis and Causton, 2014).

An important factor in achieving the strategic vision is defining the standards for implementation of policy and practice, in particular attending to the development of professional competences of teachers and staff in working with diverse groups of learners.

Human development

The European Agency (2015) notes that leadership is one of the main drivers of the quality of teaching, and teacher quality is the most important school-level influence on learner achievement. According to Dorczak (2013), school leaders' main role is 'to release and develop the talents of all teachers or other members of staff as well [as] recognizing and activating the potential of all students' (p. 55). Thus school leaders play a key role in raising learner achievement (European Agency, 2017).

At the center of this strategic role is monitoring and evaluating teaching, in order to collect information to ensure that professional development supports and motivates each teacher to work for all learners (Black and Simon, 2014). In this way, leaders build capacity by developing teachers' knowledge and skills, and promoting a school-wide professional community that facilitates reflective dialogue and collaboration about inclusive instructional practices (Humada-Ludeke, 2013). The European Agency (2015) further recognizes the need for leaders to develop leadership skills in others, for example, in teachers and middle managers, in order to share or 'distribute' leadership tasks and create an inclusive and collaborative school culture. By distributing and sharing leadership more widely, the opportunities for increasing learning capacity and building social capital with schools and across the system more widely are maximized (Harris and Jones, 2013).

Organizational development

School leaders play a critical role in implementing inclusive policy and practice and, in particular, in creating a school culture that embraces diversity (Cherkowski and Ragoonaden, 2016; Mac Ruairc, 2013). This means that they affect elements of organizational development and need systematically to address the following areas: curriculum, assessment, pedagogy, school organization (e.g. use of spaces, learner grouping, etc.) and developing partnerships with parents and the local/wider community (Fultz, 2017). They also create an organizational climate that is conducive to change (Ehrhart *et al.*, 2015). School leaders are responsible for maintaining a school culture that is collegial, interactive and focused on supporting teachers and learners throughout the educational process. Setting the tone for an inclusive culture requires school leaders to nurture teacher morale and professional collegiality.

Using human and financial resources in a strategic way and aligning them with pedagogical purposes can influence the way school activities improve teaching and learning. Thus, school leaders need to be involved in decisions regarding teacher recruitment. Being able to select the teaching staff is central to establishing a school culture and capacity that has beneficial effects on learners' achievement (Stoll and Temperley, 2010).

Elements of an inclusive school pedagogy and practice, as highlighted in the literature (Deppeler *et al.*, 2015) are based on:

- Engaging students in intellectual challenges;
- Structuring supportive learning environments;

- Recognizing difference and being connected with student's interests and understandings;
- Integrating assessment with teaching and learning;
- Enabling student's voice and active participation;
- Recognizing and reflexively monitoring teaching and learning; and
- Positively influencing the inclusion of students in classrooms (p. 5).

Increasingly, there is a trend towards decentralized decision-making that impacts on the level of autonomy of school leaders. Harris (2016) notes that the pressure to deliver change and improvement has shifted much more towards principals with far greater responsibility placed upon them to deliver school and system improvement.

School leaders need autonomy to set direction, and influence human and organizational development. However, they also need access to support to meet the increasing demands and levels of accountability. Leading inclusive schools requires knowledge of leadership theories and their relevance in supporting quality learning for all and addressing the core functions. These theories are explored in the following section.

Leadership to support inclusive practice

The SISL project identified three main theories of school leadership linked to successful inclusive practices: transformational leadership, distributed leadership and instructional leadership. These theories share a common focus on developing a shared vision, shared ownership and decision-making (Kershner and McQuillan, 2016; Urick, 2016).

1
2
3 Transformational leadership emanates from the management literature (Burns,
4 1978), while instructional and distributed leadership originate in research on education
5
6 administration.
7
8
9

10
11 Some information about each of these types of leadership is provided below. After
12
13 considering each one in isolation, it is important to look at how the three interact and can
14
15 be used together.
16
17

18 19 *Transformational leadership*

20
21
22 Transformational leadership is associated with inspiring others, building a shared vision,
23
24 providing support and developing a collaborative culture (Yu *et al.*, 2002). It focuses on the
25
26 establishment of structures and cultures which enhance the quality of teaching and
27
28 learning, setting direction, developing people and (re)designing the organization (Day *et al.*,
29
30 2016). Transformational school leadership is traditionally associated with the ability to
31
32 facilitate change and innovation through impacting people and cultures within schools
33
34 (Navickaitė, 2013). This theory can support the core functions of setting direction and
35
36 organizational development discussed above.
37
38
39
40

41
42 School leaders aiming to reform organizational structures to develop a more
43
44 inclusive school may take up transformational leadership to establish and communicate a
45
46 vision, create opportunities for professional development, to build capacity and encourage
47
48 professional learning and innovation (Urlick, 2016). According to transformational leadership
49
50 theory, given adequate support, school leaders can influence school staff to become highly
51
52 engaged and motivated by setting inspirational goals that are associated with values in
53
54 which the staff believes, or are persuaded to believe (Leithwood and Sun, 2012). This means
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that leaders need to identify which values are critical to the performance of their staff and
4
5 focus on leadership practices most likely to have a positive influence on those values.
6
7

8
9 Research on successful school leaders has found that the ability to improve or
10
11 transform schools in the long term is connected to the leaders' understanding and analysis
12
13 of the school's needs and the way they apply clearly articulated, shared educational values
14
15 (Day *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, strengthening school leadership, particularly for responding
16
17 to diversity and developing inclusive attitudes, has been identified as an effective strategy
18
19 to prevent school failure (European Agency, 2019).
20
21
22

23 24 *Distributed leadership*

25
26 Distributed leadership is primarily concerned with the practice of leadership, rather than
27
28 specific leadership roles or responsibilities. Theories of distributed leadership in research
29
30 literature place emphasis on collaborative efforts based on a network of relationships
31
32 between people. Jones and Harris (2014) note a direct connection between social capital
33
34 and distributed leadership as both are based on the core principles of collaborative practice
35
36 and social connections. Distributed leadership that shares responsibility across leadership
37
38 teams can therefore be connected to the core function of human development (Hansen,
39
40 2013; Hargreaves and Fink, 2003; Spillane *et al.*, 2001).
41
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 The literature has suggested that shared or distributed leadership that focuses on
49
50 the development of others, extending beyond the delegation of tasks in school
51
52 management, would be more efficient in moving schools towards inclusive education
53
54 (Ainscow and Sandill, 2010; Busher *et al.*, 2007). Effective leaders draw on the collective
55
56 talent and ability within the school and connect people in a meaningful and productive way
57
58 (Jones and Harris, 2014).
59
60

1
2
3 This approach goes beyond traditional leadership that focuses on top-down
4
5 hierarchical styles. Distributed leadership firstly involves the devolution of responsibilities to
6
7 middle management teams that are able to support and manage the transfer of knowledge
8
9 and skills. Secondly, it enables all staff and school stakeholders to take responsibility by
10
11 promoting flexibility and sharing practice (European Agency, 2016). In particular, distributed
12
13 leadership extends to teacher-leaders (Liasidou and Svensson, 2013) and to any other staff
14
15 member, learner or parent who takes on a leading role within the school. Such actors are
16
17 important because they become 'enforcers' or 'drivers' of the change process and multiply
18
19 the headteacher's actions.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Thus, distributed leadership focuses on the interactions between those in formal and
27
28 informal leadership roles more than the actions they perform. The main concern is how
29
30 leadership influences organizational and instructional improvement (Harris, 2013) which in
31
32 turn serves to raise the achievement of all learners. According to OECD (2016), distributed
33
34 leadership leads to a greater sense of purpose in schools, as it promotes teamwork, multi-
35
36 disciplinary and professional collaboration among teaching and non-teaching staff, and
37
38 other stakeholders, professionals and services.
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Instructional leadership**

45
46 Instructional leadership is associated with 'setting and communicating clear instructional
47
48 goals and expectations' and 'promoting and participating in teacher learning and
49
50 development' (Brown and Chai, 2012, p. 753). Instructional leadership emphasizes the
51
52 importance of establishing clear educational goals, planning the curriculum and evaluating
53
54 teachers and teaching and can as such be connected to the core functions of human and
55
56 organizational development. The prime focus is on the leaders' responsibility for promoting
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 better measurable outcomes for learners, highlighting the importance of enhancing the
4
5 quality of classroom teaching and learning (Day *et al.*, 2016).
6
7

8
9 Instructional leadership furthermore emphasizes the creation of a supportive,
10
11 encouraging work environment that can support the development of teaching practices
12
13 best suited to improve academic performance (Hansen and Lárusdóttir, 2015). This type of
14
15 leadership has also been termed 'learning-centered leadership, leadership for learning or
16
17 curriculum leadership', as one key dimension focuses on developing and co-ordinating an
18
19 effective school curriculum (Gumus *et al.*, 2018).
20
21
22

23
24 Research suggests that the best way to raise learner achievement is to improve the
25
26 instructional practices of teachers. A further, powerful factor is the leadership practice of
27
28 the headteacher or principal in facilitating human development, that is both learner and
29
30 teacher learning (OECD, 2014). Central to that goal is attending to the pedagogical
31
32 repertoire, decision-making skills, sense of self and professional efficacy of both teachers
33
34 and leaders (Donnelly *et al.*, 2016). Thus, while teachers are pedagogical experts, school
35
36 leaders co-ordinate the efforts of teachers and teacher-leaders to support each other and
37
38 the central mission of the school (Urlick, 2016).
39
40
41
42

43
44 Analysis of OECD 2013 Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS) of 23
45
46 countries found that, while varying degrees of instructional leadership exist in different
47
48 national settings, school leaders who adopted a stronger instructional leadership focus were
49
50 associated with:
51
52

- 53
54 • more collaboration between teachers;
- 55
56 • more positive teacher-learner interactions;
- 57
58 • greater recognition of teacher innovation (Sammons *et al.*, 2014).
59
60

1
2
3 A further analysis of TALIS 2013 showed that, in schools where instructional leadership is
4 favored, teachers in primary and secondary education were also more engaged in reflective
5 dialogue (OECD, 2016).
6
7
8
9

10
11 According to instructional leadership theory, the responsibility for promoting better
12 measurable outcomes for learners by enhancing the quality of classroom teaching and
13 learning is the leaders' prime focus (Gawlik, 2017). However, the challenge is to identify
14 *how* leaders can facilitate teacher learning and what it is that teachers need to be able to do
15 to implement the kind of instruction that will support learners in achieving educational
16 goals (Neumerski, 2013).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 27 **A model of inclusive school leadership**

28
29
30 The SISL project has developed a model of inclusive school leadership (see Figure 1) that
31 integrates the models of leadership discussed above with the three core functions of school
32 leadership: setting direction and building a vision, human development and organizational
33 development and the foci from the three theories of leadership (transformational,
34 distributed and instructional).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 <Insert Figure 1 here.>
44
45

46 Figure 1 shows how the leadership models can influence and support the core
47 functions of inclusive school leaders. When these three theories of leadership co-exist in an
48 integrated practice, there is a substantial impact on learner achievement, the pedagogical
49 quality in schools and on the development of professional learning communities in schools
50 (OECD, 2016).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Based on the model and the vision of inclusive education systems (European Agency,
4
5 2015), the SISL project has defined inclusive school leaders as follows:
6
7

8 *Inclusive school leaders (or leadership teams) have the vision that “all learners of any*
9
10 *age should be provided with meaningful high-quality educational opportunities in*
11
12 *their local community, alongside their friends and peers” (European Agency, 2015).*
13
14
15

16 *Such leaders combine elements of instructional, transformative and distributed leadership*
17
18 *models. They take responsibility for and value all learners. They work to ensure their full*
19
20 *participation and engagement by setting a clear direction, developing staff and other*
21
22 *stakeholders and using all available evidence, experience and expertise to collaboratively*
23
24 *create and sustain the learning community and support everyone to achieve the best*
25
26 *possible outcomes.*
27
28
29

30
31 The model of inclusive school leadership aims to close the gap in international and
32
33 European policy, by specifically addressing leadership for inclusive school policy and
34
35 practice. It brings together both the underpinning vision and the core functions of school
36
37 leadership. However, a supportive policy framework is needed to enable school leaders to
38
39 balance these tasks and influence the success of both teachers and learners in education
40
41 and this will be considered in the following section.
42
43
44
45

46 47 **Inclusive school leadership within the inclusive education ecosystem** 48 49

50
51 Schools do not sit in isolation from the communities and the wider state, national, global
52
53 and historical contexts within which they operate. These external factors, along with
54
55 internal school and classroom factors, will determine the success (or not) of inclusive
56
57 education (Anderson *et al.*, 2014).
58
59
60

1
2
3 The Agency's Ecosystem of Inclusive Education Systems model (European Agency,
4 2017a) has been developed to set out the main structures and processes that influence
5 every learners' participation and that must be considered to maximize opportunities for
6 learning and achievement. The ecosystem was originally developed as part of the Agency
7 project on Inclusive Early Childhood Education (European Agency, 2017b) to provide a
8 holistic model of the complex networks in the environment that affect every learner.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 The Ecosystem contains four levels: macro, exo, meso and micro levels, which co-
19 exist, interact and influence each other. These levels influence and govern the work of
20 school leaders to different degrees. Across the levels, the school leaders play different roles
21 to fulfil the core functions of setting direction, human and organizational development. The
22 importance of the main theories of leadership in supporting school leaders to fulfil the
23 various roles also become evident. The Ecosystem here is employed to explain the policy
24 context and how that affects the roles, or core functions, responsibilities and influence of
25 inclusive school leaders within the different system levels.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The **macro-level** system represents the wider social, cultural and legislative context
39 that encompasses all the other systems. This embeds the principles of the United Nations
40 Conventions on the Rights of the Child and on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which
41 should in turn be visible in each country's policies for equity and non-discrimination in
42 education. The policies provide a clear vision of how to implement inclusive education and
43 how the implementation is a shared responsibility of all educators, leaders and policy-
44 makers (European Agency, 2015). These national-level policies affect the work of the
45 inclusive school leader directly, as they both create the environment within which the
46 leader works and influence the professional development and training of leaders.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This level contains key national/regional policy areas, such as curriculum and
4
5 assessment, monitoring, quality assurance and accountability, governance and funding and
6
7 collaboration with other institutions and the local community. Autonomy in these areas can
8
9 facilitate or hinder the effectiveness of an inclusive school leader as they strive to
10
11 implement national policy at local/school level, setting a course and a strategic vision for
12
13 inclusive practice. School leaders who feel a sense of ownership of reform are more likely to
14
15 engage their staff and learners in implementing and sustaining change.
16
17
18
19

20
21 The **exo-level** system represents the community context. Here, inclusive school
22
23 leaders play a key role in building relationships with others beyond the school – for example
24
25 families, employers, support agencies, other schools, colleges, universities in the
26
27 community. Leaders influence and structure collaboration with these different stakeholders
28
29 for the benefit of learners, their families and staff within the school, fulfilling the core
30
31 functions of both human and organizational development. Working jointly (e.g. with the
32
33 health and social sectors) can improve the efficient use of resources and bring about a more
34
35 coherent approach, reducing unnecessary duplication of provision or procedures in the
36
37 longer term (Byrne *et al.*, 2015). Here, leaders need access to human and financial resources
38
39 within and beyond the school.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The **meso level** represents the school and the interactions which influence its
47
48 structures, processes and practices. This level focuses on the traditions, culture and ethos,
49
50 values and ideology, patterns of authority and collaboration within the school. It includes
51
52 organizing time to build professional learning communities and to engage with parents and
53
54 the local community – a process which ‘sits’ in both exo- and meso-levels.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Inclusive practice is about the ways decisions about support and resources are made
4
5 and how specialist knowledge is employed (Florian, 2010) and therefore, requires flexibility
6
7 in for example, school organization, resource allocation and the provision of support for all
8
9 learners and teachers. School leaders need autonomy to provide direction and to influence
10
11 the successful transformation of the structures and processes through distributed and
12
13 instructional leadership, working within a social justice framework to sustain a welcoming,
14
15 supportive school culture with trusting relationships (White and Jones, 2011). Instructional
16
17 leadership is also important to address both equity and excellence in achieving positive
18
19 outcomes for all – in the spirit of the ‘ethic of everybody’ (Hart *et al.*, 2004).
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 At the school level, leaders are accountable for school outcomes often set out within
27
28 the national framework, but at the same time they are accountable to learners and their
29
30 families. To respond to this responsibility, leaders must recognize the importance of
31
32 contextual analysis and the need to use qualitative and quantitative data, including
33
34 information and feedback from all key stakeholders for on-going improvement.
35
36
37

38 The **micro level** involves classroom practice that directly affects the learner’s
39
40 development and outcomes. Here, the school leader demonstrates a positive attitude and a
41
42 commitment towards raising the achievement of all learners. Leaders should use available
43
44 autonomy to adapt the curriculum and assessment frameworks to ensure that they are fit
45
46 for purpose and appropriate for local needs.
47
48
49

50
51 The responsibility of the inclusive school leader is to support the development of
52
53 inclusive pedagogy that attends to individual differences between pupils but avoids the
54
55 marginalization that can occur when pedagogical responses are designed only with
56
57 individual needs in mind (Florian and Beaton, 2017). The provision of additional support for
58
59
60

1
2
3 learners who experience barriers to learning should focus on creating quality learning
4
5 opportunities, rather than on provision and placement (Ekins, 2013).
6
7

8
9 Inclusive pedagogy should be learner-centered, involving learners in a personalized
10
11 process, not expecting them to learn the same content, at the same speed, or employ the
12
13 same approach (Wolfe, Steinberg and Hoffman, 2013). To build teachers' confidence in their
14
15 pedagogical skills and the belief that they can teach all learners, school leaders should
16
17 employ instructional leadership (Óskarsdóttir, 2017). Finally, learners should be given a
18
19 voice in matters that concern them in their education and school leaders can create a
20
21 platform or a space for democratic discussions with learners, both individually and in groups
22
23 (Bragg, 2007; Portela, 2013).
24
25
26
27

28
29 Table 1 outlines the key roles and responsibilities of school leaders at each system
30
31 level. It can be seen that at every level, school leaders draw on all three types of leadership
32
33 to fulfil the core functions and in particular these roles and responsibilities that support
34
35 inclusive practice.
36
37

38
39 <Insert Table 1 here.>
40
41

42
43 The development of inclusive school leadership within the Ecosystem needs a
44
45 supportive policy context at national and local levels. The next section provides some
46
47 discussion on the ideas presented above and the policy implications.
48
49

50 51 **Discussion: Key levers for supporting inclusive school leadership**

52
53

54
55 To date, European policy has lacked an explicit focus on inclusive school leadership. The SISL
56
57 project was undertaken to examine this policy gap and consider how all school leaders can
58
59 be enabled to attend to equity and raise the achievement of *all* learners in their community.
60

1
2
3 The SISL project was established to develop and promote inclusive school-level leadership
4 through national- and local-level policy frameworks and support mechanisms. To this end, it
5
6 adapted an ecosystem model to reflect the ways that school leaders operate at the
7
8 interface between educational policies and their implementation. This provides school
9
10 leaders with the potential to extend their sphere of influence as they play a key role in
11
12 managing change and supporting wider system transformation. The ecosystem model
13
14 provides a framework for the consideration of the roles and responsibilities of inclusive
15
16 school leaders – and also related areas of accountability – within and across system levels.
17
18 Importantly, it is intended to support reflection on developing equitable practice so that all
19
20 are included and no one is excluded from learning in school.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 In addition to the leadership model described above, the SISL project has identified
29
30 three key levers necessary for leaders to fulfil their core functions and manage change
31
32 towards more inclusive practice. These are: access, autonomy and accountability (European
33
34 Agency, 2018c). While these levers are seen to facilitate inclusive school leadership
35
36 practices, they must be underpinned by relevant national policies that support the vision
37
38 that ‘all learners of any age are provided with meaningful, high-quality educational
39
40 opportunities in their local community, alongside their friends and peers’ (European Agency,
41
42 2015). To achieve this vision, education policy will need to facilitate the development of
43
44 more flexible learning locations and innovative ways to organize learners and personalize
45
46 learning through inclusive pedagogy, relevant curricula and fit for purpose assessment.
47
48 These developments require a focus on the education and on-going development of leaders
49
50 and teachers to ensure that they acquire the competences needed to empower them to
51
52 meet the needs and raise the achievement of all learners. Therefore, in supporting school
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 leaders, education policy should take into account the levers they require to fulfil their
4
5 roles. Thus, policy should facilitate:

6
7
8
9 *Access to:*

- 10
11
- 12 • appropriate pay and status in the community, on-going support commensurate with
13 levels of autonomy;
 - 14 • real engagement with a full range of stakeholders at all system levels including
15 communication with policy makers to extend their sphere of influence;
 - 16 • professional development and on-going support – formal as well as informal (e.g.
17 through collaboration with colleagues/other stakeholders at all system levels);
 - 18 • resources to develop the capacity of the workforce for diversity and implement
19 national policy initiatives.
- 20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 *Autonomy* to make evidence-informed decisions on the strategic direction, development
34 and organization of the school including, for example:

- 35
36
- 37 • using available flexibility within national policy context to adapt the curriculum,
38 assessment and accreditation frameworks to ensure that they establish high
39 expectations and meet local community and learner needs;
 - 40 • the appointment of teachers and staff able to take responsibility for and raise the
41 achievement of all learners through innovative learner-centered pedagogy;
 - 42 • the development/empowerment of teachers and staff through shared leadership
43 tasks and collaborative professional development;
 - 44 • proactive work with other agencies and the local community:
- 45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- to provide support for all learners without recourse to labelling or bureaucratic processes;
- to provide expertise to support school development and extend learning opportunities and support for staff and learners;
- secure knowledge of research evidence to further develop the learning community.
- about funding and equitable allocation of resources.

and regarding accountability, that they:

- are able to set out the vision, values and outcomes for which they (and other stakeholders) wish to be held to account (e.g. equity, non-discrimination, meeting the requirements of all learners from the local community, personal and social as well as academic outcomes);
- are held accountable (to learners, families, local community) through mechanisms that are aligned with other policy areas, ensuring support for inclusive education policy and practice;
- play a lead role in monitoring, self-review and evaluation, together with key stakeholders, to provide information on learner outcomes and reflect on data to inform on-going improvement.

While the three levers of access, accountability and autonomy are important for inclusive school leaders, they also increase the complexity of their work. Autonomy is dependent on the extent to which the education system is decentralized. School leaders working in systems where there is strong national prescription have a more limited role

1
2
3 (Pont, 2014). It follows that, as the autonomy (and accountability) of school leaders
4
5 increases, there must be a concomitant increase in relevant professional development and
6
7 on-going support to enable them to meet these increasing complex
8
9 obligations/responsibilities. These include skills in financial administration, to enable leaders
10
11 to priorities resources to certain areas of development (Pont *et al.*, 2008) and the ability to
12
13 monitor progress and use evidence and data to plan and design appropriate improvement
14
15 strategies to raise learner achievement.
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Conclusion**

22
23
24
25 Inclusive leaders are responsible for leading schools that build on the principles of equity to
26
27 raise the achievement of *all* learners and their families in the local community. For inclusion
28
29 to be fully embraced by the school, school leaders need to set a strategic vision and attend
30
31 to both human and organizational development. The SISL project has described core
32
33 functions of inclusive school leaders and their increasingly complex range of responsibilities.
34
35
36
37 The project is aligned with the view of Brauckmann and his colleagues (2016) that more
38
39 light needs to be shed on the on-going debate about the contextualized adaptation
40
41 processes of a transnational construct of leadership. The SISL project acknowledges the
42
43 need for policy that sets a supportive context and enables leaders to work through the three
44
45 levers of access, autonomy and accountability to manage the change process towards more
46
47 inclusive schools. It establishes a starting point for member countries to address the issue
48
49 raised by Jones and Harris (2014) that the real task for school leaders who pursue
50
51 organizational change is to create conditions that reinforce and reward high quality teaching
52
53 and learning that positively affect and improve achievement for all learners.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Ainscow, M. and Sandill, A. (2010), "Developing inclusive education systems: the role of organizational cultures and leadership", *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 14 (4), 401–416
- Anderson, J., Boyle, C. and Deppeler, J.M. (2014), "The Ecology of Inclusive Education: Reconceptualizing Bronfenbrenner", in Zhang, H., Keung Chan, P.W. and Boyle, C. (Eds), *Equality in Education: Fairness and Inclusion*, Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, pp. 23-34.
- Bánfalvy, C. (2007), "The education and the social inclusion of disabled persons in Europe: reforms, theories and policy developments", Report of Include-ED, Sixth Framework Programme, European Commission, Brussels.
- Bauer, S.C. and Silver, L. (2018), "The impact of job isolation on new principals' sense of efficacy, job satisfaction, burnout and persistence", *Journal of Education Administration*, Vol. 56, pp. 315-331.
- Billingsley, B., DeMatthews, D., Connally, K. and McLeskey, J. (2018), "Leadership for effective inclusive schools: Considerations for preparation and reform", *Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education*, Vol. 42 No. 1, pp. 65-81.
- Billingsley, B., McLeskey, J. and Crockett, J.B. (2014), *Principal Leadership: Moving Toward Inclusive and High-Achieving Schools For Students With Disabilities*, University of Florida, Collaboration for Effective Educator Development, Accountability, and Reform Center, Gainseville.

1
2
3 Black, W.R. and Simon, M.D. (2014), "Leadership for all students: planning for more inclusive
4
5 school practices", *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, Vol. 9,
6
7
8 pp. 153-172.
9

10
11 Bragg, S. (2007), "'But I listen to children anyway!'— Teacher perspectives on pupil voice",
12
13 *Educational Action Research*, Vol. 15 No. 4, pp. 505-518.
14

15
16 Brauckmann, S., Geißler, G., Feldhoff, T. and Pashiardis, P., (2016), "Instructional leadership
17
18 in Germany: An evolutionary perspective", *International Studies in Educational*
19
20 *Administration (Commonwealth Council for Educational Administration and*
21
22 *Management (CCEAM))*, Volume 44 No. 2.
23
24

25
26 Brown, G. and Chai, C. (2012), "Assessing instructional leadership: A longitudinal study of
27
28 new principals", *Journal of Educational Administration*, Vol. 50 No. 6, pp. 753-772.
29

30
31
32 Burns, J.M. (1978), *Leadership*, Harper and Row, New York.
33

34
35 Busher, H., Hammersley-Fletcher, L. and Turner, C. (2007), "Making sense of middle
36
37 leadership: community, power and practice", *School Leadership and Management*,
38
39 Vol. 27 No. 5, pp. 405-422.
40

41
42
43 Byrne, B., Maguire, L. and Lundy, L. (2015), "*Reporting on best practice in cross-*
44
45 *departmental working practices for children and young people*", Northern Ireland
46
47 Commissioner for Children and Young People, Belfast.
48

49
50 Cherkowski, S. and Ragoonaden, K. (2016), "Leadership for diversity: Intercultural
51
52 communication competence as professional development", *Teacher Learning and*
53
54 *Professional Development*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 34-43.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Council of the European Union (2018), *Council Recommendation of 22 May 2018 on*
4
5 *Promoting Common Values, Inclusive Education, and the European Dimension of*
6
7 *Teaching*, Council of the European Union, Brussels.
8
9

10
11 Day, C., Gu, Q. and Sammons, P. (2016), "The impact of leadership on student outcomes:
12
13 How successful school leaders use transformational and instructional strategies to make
14
15 a difference", *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 52 No. 2, pp. 221-258.
16
17

18
19 de Blij, Harm. (2005), *Why Geography Matters: Three challenges facing America*. Oxford:
20
21 Oxford University Press.
22
23

24
25 Deppeler, J., Loreman, T. and Smith, R. (2015), "Teaching and learning for all", in Deppeler, J.
26
27 (Ed), *Inclusive Pedagogy Across the Curriculum*, Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley,
28
29 pp. 1-10.
30
31

32
33 Diamond, J.B. and Spillane, J.P. (2016), "School leadership and management from a
34
35 distributed perspective: A 2016 retrospective and prospective", *Management in*
36
37 *Education*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 147-154.
38
39

40
41 Donnelly, V., Ó Murchú, F. and Thies, W. (2016), "Addressing the challenges of raising
42
43 achievement for all", in Watkins, A. and Meijer, C.J.W. (Eds), *Implementing Inclusive*
44
45 *Education: Issues in Bridging the Policy-Practice Gap*, Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley,
46
47 pp. 181-205.
48
49

50
51 Dorczak, R. (2013), "Inclusion through the lens of school culture", in Mac Ruairc, G.,
52
53 Ottesen, E. and Precey, R. (Eds), *Leadership for Inclusive Education*, Springer, Dordrecht,
54
55 pp. 47-57.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ehrhart, M.G., Torres, E.M., Wright, L.A., Martinez, S.Y. and Aarons, G.A. (2015), "Validating
4
5 the implementation climate scale (ICS) in child welfare organizations", *Child Abuse and*
6
7
8 *Neglect*, Vol. 53, pp. 17-26.

9
10
11 Ekins, A. (2013), "Special education within the context of an inclusive school", in Mac
12
13
14 Ruairc, G., Ottesen, E. and Precey, R. (Eds), *Leadership for Inclusive Education: Values,*
15
16
17 *Vision and Voices*, Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, pp. 19-33.

18
19 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2015), *Agency Position on*
20
21
22 *Inclusive Education Systems*, European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive
23
24
25 Education, Odense.

26
27 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2016), *Raising the Achievement*
28
29
30 *of All Learners in Inclusive Education – Literature Review*, European Agency for Special
31
32
33 Needs and Inclusive Education, Odense.

34
35 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2017a), *Raising the*
36
37
38 *Achievement of All Learners: A Resource to Support Self-Review*, European Agency for
39
40
41 Special Needs and Inclusive Education, Odense.

42
43 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2017b), *Inclusive Early*
44
45
46 *Childhood Education: New Insights and Tools – Contributions from a European Study*,
47
48
49 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education, Odense.

50
51 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2018a), *Articles of Association*.
52
53
54 Odense, Denmark. [www.european-agency.org/resources/publications/articles-](http://www.european-agency.org/resources/publications/articles-association)
55
56
57
58
59
60 association (Last accessed January 2020).

1
2
3 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2018b), Evidence of the Link
4
5
6 Between Inclusive Education and Social Inclusion: Final Summary Report. (S.
7
8 Symeonidou, ed.). Odense, Denmark.

9
10
11 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2018c), *Supporting Inclusive*
12
13 *School Leadership: Literature Review*, European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive
14
15
16 Education, Odense.

17
18
19 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2019), *Preventing School*
20
21 *Failure: A Review of the Literature*. (G. Squires and A. Kefallinou, eds.). Odense, Denmark

22
23
24 European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (in press), *Inclusive school*
25
26 *leadership: Exploring policies across Europe*. (M. Turner-Cmuchal, E. Óskarsdottir and V.
27
28 Donnelly, eds.). Odense, Denmark

29
30
31
32 European Commission (2017), *Commission staff working document accompanying the*
33
34 *document Communication on school development and excellent teaching for a great*
35
36 *start in life*, European Commission, Brussels.

37
38
39
40 Florian, L. (2010), "The concept of inclusive pedagogy", in Hallett, F. and Hallett, G. (Eds),
41
42 *Transforming the role of the SENCo: Achieving the national award for SEN coordination*,
43
44 Open University Press, Maidenhead, pp. 61-72.

45
46
47
48 Florian, L. and Beaton, M. (2017), "Inclusive pedagogy in action: getting it right for every
49
50 child", *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, Vol. 22 No. 8, pp. 870-884.

51
52
53 Fultz, D.M. (2017), *Ten Steps for Genuine Leadership in Schools*, Routledge, New York.

54
55
56 Gawlik, M.A. (2017), "Leadership Knowledge and Practices in the Context of Charter
57
58 Schools", *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 1-32.

1
2
3 Gumus, S., Bellibas, M.S., Esen, M. and Gumus, E. (2018), "A systematic review of studies on
4 leadership models in educational research from 1980 to 2014", *Education Management*
5
6
7
8 *Administration and Leadership*, Vol. 46 No. 1, pp. 25-48.
9

10
11 Hansen, B. (2013), "Forysta og skólastarf", in Sigbórsson, R., Eggertsdóttir, R. and
12
13 Frímansson, G.H. (Eds), *Fagmennska í skólastarfi [Professionalism in education]*,
14
15 Háskólaútgáfan, Reykjavík, pp. 77-92.
16
17

18
19 Hansen, B. and Lárusdóttir, S.H. (2015), "Instructional leadership in compulsory schools in
20
21 Iceland and the role of school principals", *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*,
22
23 Vol. 59 No. 5, pp. 583-603.
24
25

26
27 Hargreaves, A. and Fink, D. (2003), "Sustaining leadership", *Phi Delta Kappan*, Vol. 84, 693–
28
29 700.
30
31

32
33 Harris, A. (2013), *Distributed Leadership Matters: Perspectives, Practicalities, and Potential*,
34
35 Corwin, Thousand Oaks.
36

37
38 Harris, A. (2016), "The changing nature of school principals' work: A commentary",
39
40 *International Studies in Educational Administration*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 111-114.
41

42
43 Harris, A. and Jones, M. (2013), "System improvement through capacity building: The power
44
45 and potential of professional learning communities", in Haslam, I., Khine, M.S. and
46
47 Saleh, I. (Eds.), *Large Scale School Reform and Social Capital Building*, Routledge,
48
49 London.
50
51

52
53 Harris, A. and Jones, M. (2017), "Leading educational change and improvement at scale:
54
55 Some inconvenient truths about system performance", *International Journal of*
56
57 *Leadership in Education*, Vol. 20 No. 5, pp. 632-641.
58
59
60

1
2
3 Hart, S., Dixon, A., Drummond, M. and McIntyre, D. (2004), *Learning without Limits*, Open
4
5 University Press, Maidenhead.

6
7
8 Humada-Ludeke, A. (2013), *The Creation of a Professional Learning Community for School*
9
10
11 *Leaders: Insights on the Change Process from the Lens of the School Leader*, Sense
12
13 Publishers, Rotterdam.

14
15
16 Jones, M. and Harris, A. (2014), "Principals leading successful organisational change",
17
18
19 *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 473-485.

20
21
22 Kershner, B. and McQuillan, P.J. (2016), "Complex adaptive schools: Educational leadership
23
24
25 and school change", *Complicity: An International Journal of Complexity Education*,
26
27
28 Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 4-29.

29
30 Khalifa, M.A., Gooden, M.A. and Davis, J.E. (2016), "Culturally responsive school leadership:
31
32
33 a synthesis of the literature", *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 86 No. 4, pp. 1272-
34
35
36 1311.

37
38 Krüger, M. and Scheerens, J. (2012), "Conceptual perspectives on school leadership", in
39
40
41 Scheerens, J. (Ed), *School Leadership Effects Revisited*, SpringerBriefs in Education,
42
43
44 Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 1-30.

45
46 Leithwood, K. and Sun, J. (2012), "The nature and effects of transformational school
47
48
49 leadership: A meta-analytic review of unpublished research", *Educational Administration*
50
51
52 *Quarterly*, Vol. 48 No. 3, pp. 387-423.

53
54 Liasidou, A. and Svensson, C. (2013), "Educating leaders for social justice: The case of special
55
56
57 educational needs co-ordinators", *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, Vol. 18
58
59
60 No. 8, pp. 783-797.

1
2
3 Lumby, J. and Coleman, M. (2016), *Leading for equality: Making schools fairer*, SAGE
4
5 Publications, London.

6
7
8 Mac Ruairc, G. (2013), "Including Inclusion: Exploring inclusive education for school
9
10 leadership", available at [www.schoolleadership.eu/sites/default/files/exploring-](http://www.schoolleadership.eu/sites/default/files/exploring-inclusive-education-for-school-leadership-2013.pdf)
11
12 inclusive-education-for-school-leadership-2013.pdf (accessed 16th July 2019).

13
14
15
16 McLeskey, J. and Waldron, N.L. (2015), "Effective leadership makes schools truly inclusive",
17
18 *Phi Delta Kappan*, Vol. 96 No. 5, pp. 68-73.

19
20
21 Navickaitė, J. (2013), "The expression of a principal's transformational leadership during the
22
23 organizational change process: A case study of Lithuanian general education schools",
24
25 *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, Vol. 51, pp. 70-82.

26
27
28
29 Neumerski, C.M. (2013), "Rethinking instructional leadership, a review: What do we know
30
31 about principal, teacher, and coach instructional leadership, and where should we go
32
33 from here?", *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 49 No. 2, pp. 310-347.

34
35
36
37 OECD (2014), *New Insights from TALIS 2013*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

38
39
40
41 OECD (2016), *School Leadership for Learning: Insights from TALIS 2013*, OECD Publishing,
42
43 Paris.

44
45
46 Óskarsdóttir, E. (2017), *Constructing support as inclusive practice: A self-study (Doctoral*
47
48 *dissertation)*. University of Iceland, School of Education, Reykjavík.

49
50
51
52 Pont, B. (2014), "School leadership: from practice to policy", *International Journal of*
53
54 *Educational Leadership and Management*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 4-28.

55
56
57
58
59 Pont, B., Nusche, D. and Moorman, H. (2008), *Improving School Leadership, Volume 1: Policy*
60
and Practice, OECD Publishing, Paris.

- 1
2
3 Portela, A. (2013), "Students and leadership for inclusion", in Mac Ruairc, G. Ottesen, E. and
4
5 Precey, R. (Eds), *Leadership for Inclusive Education: Vision, Values and Voices*, Sense
6
7 Publishers, Rotterdam, pp. 163-173.
8
9
10
11 Precey, R. and Mazurkiewicz, G. (2013), "Leadership for inclusion: An overview", in Mac
12
13 Ruairc, G., Ottesen, E. and Precey, R. (Eds), *Leadership for Inclusive Education: Values,*
14
15 *Vision and Voices*, Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, pp. 105-119.
16
17
18
19 Sammons, P., Davis, S., Day, C. and Gu, Q. (2014), "Using mixed methods to investigate
20
21 school improvement and the role of leadership: An example of a longitudinal study in
22
23 England", *Journal of Educational Administration*, Vol. 52 No. 5, pp. 565-589.
24
25
26
27 Skoglund, P. and Stäcker, H. (2016), "How can education systems support all learners?
28
29 Tipping-point leadership focused on cultural change and inclusive capability", in
30
31 Watkins, A. and Meijer, C.J.W. (Eds.), *Implementing Inclusive Education: Issues in*
32
33 *Bridging the Policy-Practice Gap*, Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley, pp. 111-136.
34
35
36
37 Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R. and Diamond, J. B. (2001), "Investigating school leadership
38
39 practice: A distributed perspective," *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 23–28.
40
41
42
43 Stoll, L. and Temperley, J. (2010), *Improving School Leadership: The Toolkit*, OECD
44
45 Publishing, Paris.
46
47
48 Stone-Johnson, C. (2014), "Responsible leadership", *Educational Administration Quarterly*,
49
50 Vol. 50 No. 4, pp. 645-674.
51
52
53 Theoharis, G. and Causton J. (2014), "Leading inclusive reform for students with disabilities:
54
55 A school- and systemwide approach", *Theory into Practice*, Vol. 53 No. 2, pp. 82-97.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 United Nations (2015), "Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable
4
5 Development (A/RES/70/1)"

6
7
8 http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E
9

10
11 Urick, A. (2016), "Examining US principal perception of multiple leadership styles used to
12
13 practice shared instructional leadership", *Journal of Educational Administration*, Vol. 54
14
15 No. 2, pp. 152-172.

16
17
18 West-Burnham, J. and Harris, D. (2015), *Leadership Dialogues*, Crown House Publishing,
19
20 Bancyfelin.

21
22
23 White, J.M. and Jones, P. (2011), "Creating school systems of inclusive leadership", in
24
25 Jones, P., Fauske, J.R. and Carr, J.F. (Eds.), *Leading for Inclusion: How Schools can Build*
26
27 *on the Strengths of all Learners*, Teachers College Press, New York, pp. 216-227.
28
29

30
31
32 Wolfe, R.E., Steinberg, A. and Hoffman, N. (2013), *Anytime, Anywhere: Student-Centered*
33
34 *Learning for Schools and Teachers*, Harvard Education Press, Cambridge, MA.
35
36

37
38 Yu, H., Leithwood, K. and Jantzi, D. (2002), "The effects of transformational leadership on
39
40 teachers' commitment to change in Hong Kong", *Journal of Educational Administration*,
41
42 Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 368-389.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 The key roles and responsibilities of school leaders in the Ecosystem

Level	Roles and responsibilities
Macro (National/regional)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Influence the development of national policy on equity and Inclusive Education through consultation and communication • Translate and implement policies in ways appropriate to their school context and values and manage school level change regarding: curriculum and assessment frameworks; professional development; funding and allocation of resources; quality assurance and accountability
Exo (Community level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build partnerships with support agencies, other schools/institutions at other system levels, businesses in the community • Build school capacity for diversity through research engagement and collaborative professional development activities e.g. with universities • Manage human resources, securing commitment to the shared vision of inclusion • Manage financial resources to meet the needs of the whole school community
Meso (School level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guide and influence school organisation and resources according to principles of equity • Engage the learning community in self-review and reflect on data to inform on-going school improvement • Provide opportunities for professional development • Ensure a continuum of support for all stakeholders • Show commitment to the ethic of everybody • Ensure curriculum and assessment are fit for purpose and meet the needs of all learners • Actively engage all families
Micro (Individual level)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Influence learner centred practice/listening to learners, personalisation (centre) • Ensure that teachers take responsibility for all learners • Support innovative and flexible evidence-based pedagogy/practice in classrooms • Monitor classroom practice ensuring high quality education for all • Develop a culture of collaboration - positive and trusting relationships • Use data as a basis for teacher reflection and ongoing improvement

(European Agency, in press)

