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Arabic book illustration is nearly as old as the Islamic world itself, having been in existence since at least the eighth century. Scattered textual and material evidence about its early phases suggests that for a long time it remained largely conventional in its themes and static in its iconography. Then, with the onset of the thirteenth century, the number of extant manuscripts surges. These attest to a transformed visual language in which the frozen characters of earlier times begin to move almost palpably on the page, accomplishing an ever wider array of actions. A fresh sense of life had been breathed into their limbs, and narrative themes multiplied, with a new clarity of line and buoyancy of spirit. The present article explores the relationship between this period of Arabic book illustration and the popular art of the shadow play, with a primary focus on the Maqāmāt.

The Maqāmāt of al-Hariri (d. 1122) appear to have been among the most frequently illustrated works of this era and in many ways represent the peak of this pictorial tradition. The text is a masterpiece of Arabic literature, consisting of fifty episodes that relate the adventures of their rogue hero, Abu Zayd of Saruj, at the four corners of the Islamic world. Armed with his unmatched mastery of the Arabic language and shameless talent for deception, Abu Zayd appears in different scenes under the guise of a succession of characters—a young man, an old woman, a doctor, a holy ascetic, and so on. By some extraordinary show of deception and linguistic prowess, he leads the gullible to offer him generous rewards. His mischiefs are recounted with a mixture of indignation and wonder by the narrator, al-Harith ibn Hammam.

Written in a combination of highly sophisticated rhymed prose and verse, the Maqāmāt were primarily aimed at educated audiences, before whom the text would be delivered orally during literary gatherings. Eleven illustrated copies of the work are dated or datable to the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In their original context, these books and their images would have brought a visual dimension—and a touch of their own humor—to these essentially aural events. By virtue of their narrative structure and content, the Maqāmāt also had a latent performative dimension that could be more or less fully brought out by different reciters. This linked the text to a broad range of genres that existed in the public place, such as storytelling (the qisṣa), live theater (the hikāya) and the shadow play (khayāl al-zill). Among these, the latter art form shared with the Maqāmāt the particularity of combining manmade images with the oral delivery of a text to live audiences.

The shadow play had grown, by the thirteenth century, into a literary genre in its own right—and one that was sometimes connected textually with al-Hariri’s work. Conversely, manuscript illustrators faced with the challenge of creating narrative imagery for the Maqāmāt drew much of their inspiration from the shadow play. The impact of this performative art on book illustration of this period was briefly discussed in a 1934 article by Richard Ettinghausen. Thereafter, it was mentioned in passing by several writers, most notably Oleg Grabar, though it was never explored in detail. Yet as will soon become apparent, it represents a key element in our understanding of the Maqāmāt. This work stands out as the most representative case of an interpendence that extended far and wide in Arabic book painting of this period, as we shall see.
THE SHADOW PLAY

The shadow play is an art form with ancient roots leading back East, possibly to India or Central Asia. The date of its introduction in the Islamic world is unknown, but it appears to have been well established there by the early eleventh century, when a detailed description of its workings was given in the *Optics* (*Kitāb al-Manāẓir*) of Ibn al-Haytham (d. ca. 1039), who lived most of his life between Iraq and Fatimid Egypt. In the following decades, it was commented on as an allegory of worldly existence in the writings of Ibn Hazm (d. 1064), the Andalusian man of letters and theologian, and of al-Ghazali (d. 1111), who was born in Tus, at the eastern end of modern Iran, and spent his career between Baghdad, Nishapur, and Damascus. The contrasting backgrounds of these writers suggest a wide geographical spread, and several more accounts in texts confirm the continuous presence of this art form in different parts of the Islamic world between that period and the sixteenth century.

The principal extant playwright, Ibn Daniyal (d. 1310), was an ophthalmologist by profession. Born and educated in Mosul, he moved to Cairo at the age of nineteen, in the wake of the Mongol invasions, and remained there for the rest of his life. Some of his preserved verse implies that shadow plays could be performed in public places, in the courtyards of houses, in inns and taverns, and in palaces. Other textual sources also mention performances in front of Saladin in the twelfth century, of different Mamluk rulers in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, and of the Ottoman sultan Selim I after the latter conquered Cairo in 1517. The shadow play had thus anchored certain types of narrative imagery at the heart of Muslim urban life long before the explosion of similar themes in book illustration.

While shadow plays may have originally been improvised or handed down from master to pupil as oral compositions, by the thirteenth century they could also be substantial literary works, as is evident from the three surviving plays (sing. *bāba*) by Ibn Daniyal. In the introduction to the first one, he writes that he was driven to take up his pen by the puppet master (rayyis) ‘Ali ibn Mawlahum, following complaints from the public that performances had become dull and repetitive. The plays that he wrote in response to this injunction are couched in a sophisticated form of Arabic. Notwithstanding incursions of Egyptian dialect, they seem primarily aimed at an educated audience versed in poetry and literature. Their affinity with the *Maqāmāt* can be perceived at several levels.

Perhaps as a response to the expectation of change expressed by his audience, Ibn Daniyal gave each of his three plays a different narrative framework. The title of the first one, *Tayf al-khayāl*, can be literally translated as “The Spectrum of the Apparition.” It implies a parodical reference to the eponymous motif of Arabic poetry, and is also the name of a central character in the play, a deformed hunchback who is summoned at the outset: “Oh Tayf al-Khayal, oh perfection of symmetry!” The presenter then opens the feast by reciting a poem in praise of the beauty of Tayf and of all things “crooked.” Tayf greets the audience while performing a dance and singing verses. He recalls his sinful days in Mosul and how he eventually repented of them. He has come to Cairo, he tells us, to search for his old companion in debauchery, the Amir Wisal (here, literally, “Prince Intercourse”). But what he finds looks to him like a spectacle of desolation, for the sultan has declared war on Iblis (Satan) and destroyed all places of entertainment—a reference to the violent campaign launched in 1267 by Baybars, the Mamluk ruler of Egypt (r. 1260–77), against wine, drugs, and prostitution.

*Tayf al-Khayal* goes on to recite an elegy for “our master Iblis” before the Amir Wisal is called forth and makes his entry, a soldier with a *sharbūsh* (a fur-lined, triangular hat) and ruffled moustache (*sibāl manfūsh*). He introduces himself in rhymed prose reminiscent of the *Maqāmāt*, in a parody of the heroic style, boasting that, among other feats, he can “steal better than sleep and [is] more experienced in pederasty than Abu Nuwas.” The succession of roles he claims for himself recalls Abu Zayd, though the main purpose here is laughter rather than deception and the Amir’s “roles” are simply stated, not enacted. “I can give better slaps than a baker’s hand,” he says, “... I can croak better than a frog...”:

I give evening amusements, I gamble. I am a butter, a boxer, a slanderer, a blasphemer, a carper, an intruder, a disturber, a threatener, an ascetic, a murderer, a boor, a fine gentleman, a rough customer, a slippery one, a
pander, a man capable of anything. I play the dandy, the man about town; I play the lord, the juggler. I strut about, I limp, I dance. So do not show ignorance of my standing, now that I have disclosed my secrets to you!  

The Amir Wisal recalls with intense nostalgia—but without a trace of prudery—his past encounters with both sexes. He advises Satan to stay away from Egypt for fear of its unyielding ruler. Wisal then professes his intention to abandon his old ways and the main intrigue of the play ensues: the search for a lawfully wedded wife through the services of an old, depraved matchmaker, reaching its climax with the unveiling of the bride’s breathtakingly ugly face.

At the close of Tayf al-khayāl, Wisal decides to go on pilgrimage to Mecca in order to seek forgiveness for his sins, in much the same way that in the Maqāmāt Abu Zayd repents at the end of his life and becomes a true ascetic, capable of working miracles. The third of Ibn Daniyal’s plays, al-Mutayyam (The Love-struck One), ends in a similar fashion. In the introduction, the narrator compares himself to al-Harith (the witness of Abu Zayd’s mischiefs in the Maqāmāt); the main part of the drama involves a parody of Arabic love poetry in which the main character recalls his sexual encounters with young men, as well as his endless drinking bouts, orgies, and gambling parties. Al-Mutayyam’s sinful reminiscences are brought to an end by yet another act of repentance, as the Angel of Death awakens him to his dissolute condition and prompts him to beg for God’s forgiveness shortly before he passes away.

The parallel with the Maqāmāt is most pronounced in the second of Ibn Daniyal’s plays, ‘Ajib wa gharīb (literally “Amazing and Strange,” here with the meaning “The Amazing [Preacher] and the Stranger”). After a brief introduction by the puppet master, there enters the hero, Gharib, who presents himself as one of the Banū Sāsān (Brotherhood of Tricksters), leading a life of wandering and exile, surviving by their wits and ability to deceive the gullible. As with the other two plays, Gharib begins by crudely reminiscing about his past sexual adventures and goes on to enumerate some of the professions he once pretended to have mastered, such as the training of bears, monkeys, and dogs; cock fighting; jurisprudence; poetry; astrology; medicine; eye surgery; herbal medicine; philosophy; and preaching.  

He withdraws to be succeeded by a gallery of twenty-three different characters, starting with the fake preacher ‘Ajib and including an acrobat, an astrologer, a quack doctor, a trader in amulets, a clown, a trainer of cats and mice, a rope dancer, and a camel driver. Each personage introduces himself and describes his profession using specialized language. The parallel with the Maqāmāt is immediate with regard to their shared repertoire of tricks and impersonations. These three plays are characterized by an uninhibited sense of humor together with a taste for satire and unadulterated obscenity; they are couched in lively and elaborate prose, rhymed prose, and verse—a patchwork of styles that again echoes the Maqāmāt.

Ibn Daniyal’s plays are the only ones to survive in writing from that era, but in his day they may have been part of a broader written repertoire, as suggested by their introductory remarks and some additional fragments of evidence. These written works would have existed alongside a dominant oral tradition that was still alive in Egypt, Syria, and Turkey at the beginning of the twentieth century. The range of themes addressed in the genre as a whole must have been wider than Ibn Daniyal’s remaining output might suggest; their subject matter may sometimes have been less crude, and geared towards the imaginary. One fascinating piece of evidence in this respect is the Naẓm al-sulūk (Poem of the Way), by the Sufi writer Ibn al-Farid (d. 1235), who lived in Cairo at the time of our oldest illustrated manuscripts. In this text about the path to illumination he writes:

In illusion’s sleep the spectrum of the shadow theater (tayf khayāl al-zill) brings to you what translucent curtains reveal. You see forms of things in every garb displayed before you from behind the veil of ambiguity: the opposites in them united in every guise; silent, they utter speech; though still, they move; themselves unluminous, they scatter light. You laugh gleefully, as the most merry of men rejoices; weep like the bereaved and sorrowing mother, in deepest grief; mourn, if they moan, the loss of some great happiness; are jubilant, if they do sing, for such sweet melody.

Emotion, light, and animation emerge as the key ingredients of a poignant spectacle in which colored figures behind a screen move, speak, and sing, stirring up
laughter and tears in the audience. This formed the basis of a profound metaphor of existence that was also exploited by other writers. Ibn al-‘Arabi (d. 1240), to cite but one, wrote in his Futuḥāt al-Makkiyya (Meccan Revelations), composed over a period of some three decades spent between Mecca, Anatolia, and Damascus, that the shadow theater is a parable of this world, moved by an invisible force and marred by appearances, its screen acting as a veil between the beholder and the Truth. Ibn al-Farid alone, however, has recourse to a tantalizingly detailed list of themes to evoke these plays: birds singing in trees; camel races; ships tossed at sea; armies in huge battalions, clad in mail and steel with their swords and spears; flaming flares; catapults fired at fortresses; jinns; fishermen and their nets; fowlers catching birds; oceanic monsters; lions and their prey; beasts hunting other beasts. At the end of this passage, he reminds us that “you may glimpse at yet other shapes that I have omitted to mention, having relied but on the best exemplars.”

THE OLDEST EXTANT PUPPETS

By an extraordinary chance, it is possible to parallel these descriptions with an extant set of figures. Eighty or so early shadow puppets were discovered by Paul Kahle, a German Orientalist who purchased them from two puppeteers in Menzaleh (al-Manzala), near Damietta, Egypt, in 1909. They had been bought from a pasha in Cairo in the eighteenth century and had remained in the same family thereafter. Many of their features, from clothing to types of headgear, converge to suggest a Mamluk origin, and one emblem that adorns four of the puppets—a circle enclosing a central strip with a lozenge in its middle—stood for the office of a jamdār or “master of the robes” at the Mamluk court. The same symbol reappears on other objects in a variety of media dated 1287 to 1372, but is attested within a tripartite division of the field only between about 1339 and 1371. The puppets, in sum, appear to reflect a Mamluk context in the mid-fourteenth century.

One detail in the figure of a ship with its passengers, however, contradicts this conclusion: its central character is bringing to his mouth a thin, supple hose leading to a twofold vertical base, which clearly seems to be a water pipe. Tobacco did not appear in the Islamic lands until the discovery of the New World, and archaeological excavations in the Middle East have only yielded smoking devices in strata datable to periods after the late sixteenth century. Smoking, furthermore, is not mentioned in textual sources before the early modern era: until then, hashish and other opiates were rather chewed or prepared as drinks. This puppet could, in other words, be the Ottoman recreation of a Mamluk prototype, which raises issues about the date of the whole set. Do these puppets belong to the fourteenth century, the seventeenth century, or the intervening period? Should they be viewed as a unitary group, or were they produced at different times, with older Mamluk puppets serving as models for newer ones? These questions may not receive a definite answer until the actual objects, their leather, and their technique of manufacture have been studied again in depth. But whether we regard them as original or imitative, their Mamluk character remains undisputed. Even in the figure of the ship, the man with a water pipe wears the headgear of a Mamluk official, the dawādār (bearer of the royal inkwell). These objects can be regarded as the earliest examples of their art form, and as probable indicators of how puppets looked and functioned in the Mamluk era, in general if not in every detail. They constitute the material remains of the shadow theater that are closest in time to illustrated manuscripts of the thirteenth to fourteenth centuries.

The figures are large—typically over 60 centimeters and, in many cases, half the size of a man. Their design was articulated by cutting fine parallel strips and circles out of leather sheets to create contrasting areas of geometrical patterning. Thinner sheets of colored leather, of which a few traces remain, were stitched around the main armature to generate areas of coloring (fig. 1). The complete figures were assembled at the joints so that they could be moved with sticks by the puppet master. The result of this consummate art was the projection of fine variegated figures onto a backlit screen behind which he (or indeed she) brought them to life. Candles and a dark atmosphere were required for the artifice to be effective, making night the preferred time for performances. In one recorded setup, the puppets
and their elephants, camel riders, a man with a peacock); various kinds of ships, with their crews in action; architectural settings (a house on two floors with figures in motion, a storage room for jars, an arched background for three running archers); wild animals and fantastic creatures (crocodiles, fish, eagles and birds, at times with human heads); and so forth.

THE ICONOGRAPHY OF THE MAQĀMĀT AND THE SHADOW PLAY

The shadow play thus presented its urban audiences with a repertoire of scenes and forms ranging from the mundane to the fantastic, often with a penchant for the erotic. In the same period, the demand for illustrated books appears to have exploded in the Islamic world, stemming from an enlarged social base that went beyond courtly circles to include the higher echelons of urban society. This prompted a growing range of craftsmen to try their hand at pictorial art. In the process, visual references to the shadow play were introduced into their work.
The phenomenal success of al-Hariri’s work among wealthy, literate audiences of the Arabic-speaking world must have provided a particular incentive to enhance its material presentation with images. The craftsmen who found themselves in the most favorable position to respond to this demand were scribes. The illustrator of Paris Ms. Arabe 5847 (now in the Bibliothèque nationale de France), al-Wasiti, belonged to their ranks, as shown by another surviving work in his hand: a small, unadorned copy of the Rabi’ al-ābrār (Spring of the Righteous), a work of literary edification by al-Zamakhschari (d. 1144), which was probably produced for sale in the market. Likewise the fine pictures of London Ms. Or. 9718 (in the British Library) were the work of Ghazi ibn ‘Abd al-Rahman (d. 1310), a renowned calligrapher in his hometown of Damascus who had ties to the world of theologians.

The images produced in this tradition were articulated, first by outlining figures and objects in a continuous solid line, typically drafted in red then partly or wholly finished in black, and by applying a uniform color fill to relevant surfaces. A frequent additional ingredient was the patterning of textile surfaces with folds, scrolls, and geometrical forms. The skills involved find a direct correspondence in the art of scribes. Calligraphy was based on a mastery of the line, straight or curved, that could naturally be transposed to outlines. Traditionally, scribes were often also trained in the art of illumination, with its vibrant palettes of colors and elaborate designs. Some mastered the whole process of book production and binding, a polyvalence somewhat immodestly summed up by al-Rawandi, when he wrote at the beginning of the thirteenth century: “I have learned seventy types of script and practised as a copyist of the Qur’an, an illuminator and a binder—skills I have acquired to perfection.” The most elemental ingredients of Arabic book illustration—its clarity of line and vivacity of color—were thus already present in this craft, and only awaited to be given a new form and spirit suited to narrative imagery.

There may also have been specialized illustrators, although evidence of their work is lacking for the Maqāmāt. In a Materia medica of Dioscorides kept at Mashhād (Iran) that dates to the twelfth or thirteenth century, the copyist left a note indicating that the illustrator (muṣawwir) had put an image in the wrong place; this slightly irritated comment implies that they were two different persons. Whatever their exact range of skills, book illustrators of this period are likely to have sought inspiration in the world around them to create narrative scenes for their books. This would have been particularly true of the Maqāmāt, which, having been composed in the early twelfth century, required the invention of illustrations involving characters in dialogue, disguise, and shifting poses. Scribes and craftsmen working in cities such as Damascus, Baghdad, or Cairo would naturally have been exposed to the shadow theater in their daily life, and several defining iconographic features derived from this art form can be discerned in the illustrations of the Maqāmāt.

Completed in 734 (1334), the Vienna Maqāmāt can be ascribed, on the basis of decoration and several iconographic details, to Mamluk Egypt—a context close to that of the earliest extant puppets. Here, as so often in the corpus, scenes are enclosed within simple theatrical frames, with curtains tied on either side or at the top, and set against a gold background. The figures are highly stylized, their bodies represented as compact masses with rigid inflexions of the hips or joints. This generates a stiff, almost mechanical sense of movement, often with unnatural contortions of the hips and necks. The faces are large, broad, and slightly square, with expressionless, frozen eyes that do not necessarily convey the direction of the gaze. All these features recall the shadow play and extant puppets, where the limbs move at the joints but the eyes remain immobile. Some particular types also echo the Menzaleh corpus, as illustrated, for example, by the comparison of a bearded guard with his robe and stick in manuscript and puppet alike (figs. 3 and 4).

The most famous Maqāmāt illustrator, al-Wasiti, used a different visual language, but one in which the imprint of the shadow play is equally present. In the twelfth maqāma, Abu Zayd passes himself off as an ascetic able to protect a caravan on its journey from Syria to Iraq through incantations he has received in a dream. The caravan arrives safely at the first town beyond the desert, whereupon the travellers offer the “holy man” a generous reward. Al-Hariri next discovers him in a tavern, as he recounts:
I went by night to the wine hall in disguised habit; and there was the old man in a gay-colored dress, amid casks and wine vats. And about him were cupbearers surpassing in beauty, and lights that glittered, and the myrtle and the jasmine, and the pipe and the lute. And at one time he bade broach the wine casks, and at another he called the lutes to give utterance; and now he inhaled the perfumes, and now he courted the gazelles.  

From these indications, al-Wasiti has extrapolated what Grabar aptly called “the picture of a bar in the thirteenth-century Muslim world” (fig. 5).  

The scene is set within a schematic architectural frame that echoes the principal extant prop from the Menzaleh collection (fig. 6). Some details, such as the young male or female character trampling grapes to make wine in the lower right, or the jars and the oud player in the lower left, seem inspired by real life. Abu Zayd, dressed in red and wearing a white beard, is drinking wine in an age-old posture of rulership, his legs forming a lozenge as he holds a cup and a handkerchief, one hand above the other. An element of satire may be read into the discrepancy between the setting for this scene, the dubious credentials of its central figure, and the “royal” connotation of his bearing. Al-Harith stands more casually to the right of Abu Zayd. He is depicted with an upright body, a face in profile, and arms that clearly
Fig. 5. Abu Zayd and al-Harith in a tavern (twelfth maqāma). Al-Hariri, Maqāmāt, 634 (1237), copied and illustrated by al-Wasiti. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 5847, fol. 33r (37 x 28 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
extend out of the body in a way that once again recalls a shadow puppet: compare him, for instance, with the bearded man in figure 7. His clothes, on the other hand, are articulated with drapery folds specific to the realm of manuscripts. Al-Wasiti’s particular talent resides in his smooth and refined rendering of faces, and most of all in his ability to integrate such disparate elements into vibrant, unified compositions.

Fig. 6. Shadow puppet of a two-story building. Egypt, fourteenth to eighteenth century; 70 x 47 cm. Offenbach am Main, Ledermuseum. (Photo: courtesy of the Ledermuseum)

Fig. 7. Shadow puppet of a man carrying a peacock. Egypt, fourteenth to eighteenth century; height: 88 cm. Offenbach am Main, Ledermuseum. (Photo: courtesy of the Ledermuseum)

Similar remarks can be extended to other illustrated versions of the Maqāmāt. Human figures tend to convey emotions or actions through amplified gestures rather than facial expressions or naturalistic body movement. In some manuscripts—the Vienna Maqāmāt are a good example—the body joints, particularly the elbows and knees, meet in a rigid way that calls to mind an articulated object; in others, such as Ms. Arabe 5847 and Ms. Arabe 3929 (in the Bibliothèque nationale), the limbs are more supple, but equally animated. Figures and their faces are often depicted in profile, their silhouette strongly in evidence; the legs and hands markedly extend out of the torso, as in the shadow play, where this feature is essential for the action to be clearly understood by the audience.

In most scenes, the action is set in a flat plane, with characters facing each other on the same horizontal level against a blank background, like puppets pressed against the white screen of the shadow theater. The figures are inordinately large in relation to their setting, again like shadow puppets on a screen: for example, the ones shown in figures 1, 2, and 21 (see p. 24) are taller
architectural props; indeed, as already noted, the same tripartite composition occurs in the principal extant such artefact (fig. 6). A plethora of small characters is performing different tasks on both levels of this unidentified building, as in al-Wasiti’s tavern (fig. 5) and in other scenes.

The curtains themselves evoke the shadow theater stage, which in all but the simplest settings would have required a framing device to create a backstage and conceal the performers and their accessories from the public. As might be expected, such paraphernalia have not survived from medieval times, but if later Ottoman and Chinese examples are anything to go by, they may have

than the architectural prop of figure 6. In outdoor scenes, the groundline is either absent or represented by a thin patch of grass or ground that looks like a prop. The protagonists face each other along this line, seemingly placed there by an invisible hand. Indoor scenes are enclosed in a flat, schematic frame to which a curtain is often attached, as if to unveil a stage. The frame is typically drawn as a simple rectangle; another common form is the tripartite house drawn in red and spread over one or two floors (fig. 8). These two generic types can serve to represent just about any kind of building, from a tavern to a palace, to an Indian household, and so on. By their schematic nature, they recall architectural props; indeed, as already noted, the same tripartite composition occurs in the principal extant such artefact (fig. 6). A plethora of small characters is performing different tasks on both levels of this unidentified building, as in al-Wasiti’s tavern (fig. 5) and in other scenes.

The curtains themselves evoke the shadow theater stage, which in all but the simplest settings would have required a framing device to create a backstage and conceal the performers and their accessories from the public. As might be expected, such paraphernalia have not survived from medieval times, but if later Ottoman and Chinese examples are anything to go by, they may have

Fig. 8. Abu Zayd before the governor of Merv (thirty-eighth maqāma). Al-Hariri, Maqāmāt, thirteenth century. Saint Petersburg, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ms. S.23, p. 256 (total page dimensions 25 x 19 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Russian Academy of Sciences)
consisted of a solid frame covered with textiles and curtains. Indeed, at the beginning of each play, Ibn Daniyal refers to the unveiling of the screen (jalā al-sitāra), although this could also have been achieved by simply lighting the candles.

Ships and boats are, after architecture, the most frequent background elements in *Maqāmāt* illustration. They are represented in a flat outline that makes them look like objects placed by a hand, this time onto a patch of stylized water (fig. 9). Their form is schematic in nature, again with disproportionately large human figures that are often drawn in profile. On the decks of larger sea ships are rooms or small domed cabins that frame passengers in the same manner that architectural structures do in other images. These features can once again be linked to the imperatives of visibility in the shadow theater, and to extant puppets of ships (fig. 10).

The approach to the human silhouette, the picture frame, and spatiality manifested in these manuscripts marks a break from long-established Christian traditions of iconography. In Byzantine and most Syriac manuscripts, three-quarter and frontal views dominate, profiles are rare, and figures have solemn expressions and restrained movements, usually with straight legs, upright bodies, and elbows close to the chest. Frames, when they exist, consist of decorative illumination rather than schematic architecture. Architectural elements are included within this frame and treated as part of the landscape, with figures standing before and beside it (fig. 11). Curtains are uncommon and attached not to the picture frame but to the architecture within; they are spread outwards horizontally, often tightly tied, and typically accompany images of Christ and saints. Their form suggests a resonance not with the performance stage but with the *katapetasma*, the curtain that in some *Maqāmāt* images—a thin blue slice of a circle hanging from the upper frame—can also be traced to Byzantine and other Christian iconography. As we shall see, the illustrative mode heralded by the *Maqāmāt* in turn left its mark on some Christian manuscripts produced in the Islamic lands in this period. Some elements of *Maqāmāt* illustration do not find prototypes in either Christian sources or the shadow theater. The stylized trees often seen in backgrounds may bear a relationship to the semi-abstract vegetal motifs that were a staple of architectural and textile ornament in the Islamic world, and had also entered the imagery of some Arabic versions of Dioscorides. A few recurrent human subjects—notably the enthroned ruler, governor, or judge—can be linked to the traditional imagery of kingship in Islam, a detail already noted in al-Wasiti’s tavern scene (fig. 5). Another example is the image of a seated ruler drinking from a cup in the frontispiece to the Vienna *Maqāmāt* (fig. 12). His static pos-
Fig. 9. A ship bound for Oman (thirty-ninth maqāma). Al-Hariri, *Maqāmāt*, thirteenth century. Saint Petersburg, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ms. S.23, p. 260 (total page dimensions 25 x 19 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Russian Academy of Sciences)

Fig. 10. Shadow puppet of a ship with soldiers. Egypt, fourteenth to eighteenth century; 49 x 67.5 cm. Present location unknown. (After Paul Kahle, “Islamische Schattenspielfiguren aus Ägypten II,” *Der Islam* 2 [1911]: fig. 43)
ture and activity once again reflect the age-old Islamic iconography of the banquet, itself rooted in the Sasanian imagery of rulership. Surrounded by angels, his portrait is set within a framing illumination reminiscent of Mamluk Korans. But an acrobat irrupts into the foreground, bringing an animated touch to this pompous entrée en matière. The articulation of his joints makes him look as if he were literally being moved by a stick, bringing to mind the acrobat Hassun al-Mawzun (Hassun the Balanced), who “bends [his body], tumbles over and walks like a crab” in /Ajib wa gharib/. The refreshing intrusion of this character in the Vienna manuscript can be seen as a metaphor of the changes that swept across Arabic book illustration of this period.

The illustrations of the *Maqāmāt*, in sum, reflect a figural style and a mode of scene visualization rooted in the shadow theater and largely shaped by its requirements of performative expressivity and clarity. These elements were transposed onto the pages of books and blended with other sources, notably Christian ones, in an individual manner by their illustrators. As a result, a variety of contrasting patterns can be observed across the corpus. Paris Ms. Arabe 3929 and London Ms. Or. Add. 22114 (in the British Library), for example, have dissimilar styles of illustration: the figures are supple, colorful, and animated, with some facial detail, in the former manuscript, whereas in the latter they are more corpulent and stiffly articulated, with schematic eyes and a relatively toned-down palette. Yet in both cases, the features outlined above are so prominent that the impression of seeing a shadow play in action often becomes striking. The same is true of numerous sim-
ple outdoor or framed scenes in the other nine extant illustrated copies of this work made in the thirteenth to fourteenth centuries. But in their case, more complex dynamics can often be perceived in the treatment of other subjects.

The human figures in Ms. Arabe 5847, the Saint Petersburg manuscript, and Istanbul Ms. Esad Efendi 2961 (in the Süleymaniye Library) are broadly comparable with those in Ms. Arabe 3929, with their smooth articulation of movement, expressive limbs, and tentative impression of volume derived from the treatment of clothes and faces. In the first three manuscripts, however, compositions also have a tendency to become crowded, and some reflect more elaborate experiments with pictorial space; even so, the articulation of many characters, as well as the frames and props, is consistently reminiscent of the shadow play, as, for instance, in figures 5 and 8.

The Oxford *Maqâmât* were produced for a Mamluk amir in 738 (1337), only a few years after the Vienna manuscript. Both of these works thus belong to related contexts, and also share some essential visual characteristics, notably their large and rigidly articulated figures set in a decidedly flat space, against a gold background. In the Oxford *Maqâmât*, the characters are more slender, with a strong predilection for profiles. The standard of execution is relatively coarse, with a tendency to crowd scenes and fill the background with stylized plants and scrolls that hinder the immediate visual impact of the image. The characters in the Vienna
manuscript often have more contained, less expressive limb movements, an impression reinforced by the tendency for their torsos to overlap. The faces are, moreover, predominantly shown in semi-profile. Nevertheless, in this manuscript, several key elements already outlined previously—large figures, mechanical articulation, notably of faces and hips, simple frames, and uncluttered backgrounds—create an unmistakable visual affinity with the shadow play, although its flavor is markedly different than, for example, in Ms. Arabe 3929 and Ms. Or. Add. 22114.

The ties with Christian narrative imagery are strongest in Paris Ms. Arabe 6094 (dated 619 [1222]), where they extend to the treatment of facial traits, the emaciated proportions of human bodies, and compositional schemes. Yet in almost every scene from this manuscript, one or several characters betray an unmistakable kinship with shadow puppets through the movement of their arms, hands, and legs, and their rigid articulations. Indoor scenes are enclosed within schematic architectural frames, often simplified to the extreme. A few figures lean forward in a surreal manner, as if their soles had been glued to the ground (fig. 13). This feature, which is odd from the perspective of manuscripts, brings to mind the puppeteer moving a stick at torso level while keeping the legs in place, as if to convey involvement with the opposing figure through exaggerated body movement.

London Ms. Or. 9718, produced by Ghazi ibn ‘Abd al-Rahman, has a distinct style of refined, small-scale drawing most evident in the articulation of textiles and clothing. The characters show some gestural animation, albeit less ample than elsewhere; schematic architectural frames occur, yet compositions tend to be busy and symmetrical, with a static, formalized quality not frequently encountered in this corpus. The legibility of the images is further reduced today by their subsequent defacement. In London Ms. Or. 1200, finally, figures are drawn through an accumulation of sketchy lines, without a particular emphasis on outlines or protruding limbs, and with few architectural frames. Even so, in both of these manuscripts, many simple dialogue scenes set indoors or against a prop-like vegetation doubtlessly carry the imprint of the shadow play. Intriguingly, in a later replacement folio from Ms. Or. 1200, a kadi who receives Abu Zayd is depicted in a highly schematic profile; his proportions are gigantic in relation to the composition, and the hatching of his body surface calls to mind the patterning of Kahle’s puppets, as if the subtle transposition of earlier manuscripts were being reenacted in the most open manner (compare fig. 14 with fig. 7). On the whole, however, in Ms. Or. 9718 and most of all in Ms. Or. 1200, the iconographic ties with the shadow play are less prominent than in the rest of the corpus; these two manuscripts are also set apart by a comparatively small format and script. This combination of text, size, and imagery makes them less adapted to the needs of oral performance than most illustrated versions of the Maqāmāt.
For illustrators working in this perspective, the tried and tested iconography of the shadow play therefore had the additional advantage of responding to the imperative of visibility at a glance, or from a distance.\textsuperscript{83} But this spectacle may have also sparked their creative imagination beyond the sole dimension of form. In the \textit{Naźm al-sulūk}, Ibn al-Farid writes:

\begin{quote}
Consider the \textit{Maqāmāt} of al-Saruji; ponder his disguise (\textit{talwīn}, lit. coloring) and you will surely find it good to take my counsel; you will recognize that whatever outward form and image (\textit{shakl wa šīra}) the soul assumes, it is inwardly disguised in sense.\textsuperscript{84}
\end{quote}

This lexical field of color and likeness brings to mind an imagined Abu Zayd, perhaps his image as seen in a manuscript. In almost the same breath, Ibn al-Farid goes on to associate our world of appearances with the shadow theater, as if to underline the shared artifice and performative dimension of the two genres.\textsuperscript{85} Shadow puppets performed their acts in movement and color. Even immobilized on the pages of modern publications or the walls of museums, they continue to exude a sense of animation—as with, for example, the man carrying a tray in figure 1. The effect must have been all the more vivid when experienced in full sound and motion in actual performances. This spectacle may, in other words, have left its mark on the animation and vibrant color that characterize so much \textit{Maqāmāt} illustration.

Several distinctive features of this corpus, many of which were noted by Grabar but never fully explained, might also find their rationale in this context. Throughout the corpus, Abu Zayd is distinguished by his lack of an established iconography, a set of attributes that would make him immediately recognizable to the reader.\textsuperscript{86} Instead, by flipping through the pages of a given manuscript, one discovers him successively represented by completely distinct characters, young or old, male or female, without recurrent traits, like one puppet being changed for another in a shadow play. The parallel with ‘\textit{Ajīb wa gharīb} is striking: in this shadow play, the eponymous (anti-)hero successively appears as, among other impersonations, a preacher, a doctor, a trader in amulets, an acrobat, a camel driver, and so on. Many of the same roles are also assumed by Abu Zayd in the \textit{Maqāmāt}, which attests to a shared repertoire between manuscripts and shadow puppets.
Al-Harith, being a less versatile character, usually appears as a grown man in Arab dress, but again with a variability of type and attire that would be difficult to understand outside this framework. In Maqāmāt illustration as a whole, a familiar cast of figures gets recycled to impersonate different characters, while the same images are used to signify different furnishings and architectural settings, in the manner of puppets and props in a shadow play.

A series of three images from Ms. Arabe 3929 (fig. 15) will serve to illustrate this point. In the thirty-sixth maqāma, Abu Zayd, appearing as an ungainly old man, joins al-Harith and nine of his companions in a literary game of riddles: the text tells us, by way of an introduction, that he arrived, addressed them while standing, and finally sat down “in his place for a good stay.”

The first illustration of this brief passage shows Abu Zayd coming towards three seated men under the guise of an ascetic, as signaled by his tall qalansuwa (conical hat), which is rounded at the top and covered by a hood, as well as his walking stick and his long, white beard. Turning the page over, one discovers him standing in the midst of the audience, this time as an ordinary man with a gray beard, a blue turban, and a white tunic. His posture seems comical: his hips are twisted, and one hand is close to his face while the other is engulfed in a long sleeve. But come the next page, he is seated in front of the assembly, with a dark green tunic, blue turban, brown shawl, white beard, and walking stick. The audience is nearly as variable as he is, consisting first of three, then four, then again three men, each time with different clothing and facial traits, from young to old, ginger-haired to white, and beardless to bearded. The continuity of the compositions generates an almost kinetic visual sequence—a “comic-strip effect,” as Grabar put it—in which these shifting characters, notably Abu Zayd, evoke a succession of puppets appearing and vanishing on the shadow-play screen.

Comparable sequences of two or three images appear again in this and other manuscripts. A remarkably long one can also be noted in Ms. Or. Add. 22114. In the forty-sixth maqāma, young pupils display their verbal talent before Abu Zayd and al-Harith, a straightforward storyline to which most illustrators responded with a general school scene. But
in this manuscript eight successive images accompany the episode: each of them shows two adult characters (Abu Zayd on the right and a changing character, presumably al-Harith, on the left) seated on either side of a succession of small children (fig. 16). Each child is dressed differently from the others, with his arms and legs in motion. The images appear on consecutive pages, giving the reader the impression of seeing a series of stills taken from a motion picture—or rather, in this context, puppets performing their acts in a shadow play.

This manner of animating a scene might also call to mind a variant on the shadow play described by Ibn Hazm as involving “figures mounted on a wooden wheel that is made to revolve rapidly, so that one group of figures disappears as another appears.” In a rubā’i (quatrain), ʿUmar Khayyam (d. 1123) also wrote:

This sphere of heaven in which we wander lost
Seems to me rather like a shadow-lantern
The sun’s the lamp, the world’s the twirling shade
And we the figures painted round about.

Although neither allusion is detailed, they both appear to describe a type of shadow-lantern, an object with a candle at its center and a revolving mechanism with cutout or painted figures at its periphery. Such devices are also known to have existed at least since the Sung era (960–1279) in China, where their rotating motion was triggered by the hot air of the candle. It is difficult to assert whether the above sequences of images derive from the simple spectacle of the shadow-play screen or this mechanized variant, but their decomposition of movement seems doubtlessly related to this family of performative arts.

The Maqāmāt are also remarkable for telling a new story, in visual terms, with each illustrated version, through an original selection and interpretation of scenes, whereas in other works existing cycles and compositions were often repeated. Maqāmāt illustrators do not seem to have followed the latter path even in the fourteenth century, when a large body of earlier manuscripts would have been in existence. As pointed out by Grabar, “considering the limited range of episodes to be illustrated, the variety of topics is remarkable…In spite of the existence of an embryonically consistent typology of forms and interpretations, the variety of ways presumed types appear is astounding.”

HUMOR, INDECENCY, AND THE LITERARY MILIEU

With their architectural frames, prop-like accessories, and expressive body language, the images in these manuscripts would have elicited an immediate sense of familiarity among audiences acquainted with the shadow play. The correspondence may sometimes have reached specific human types, as already noted in relation to figures 4, 5, and 12. Another example occurs in the twenty-sixth maqāma, when Abu Zayd instigates a fight with a merciless creditor in an astute attempt to bring his case before the governor of Tus, whom he knows will be swayed by his verbal mastery. In Ms. Arabe 3929, this passage became the basis of an outright theatrical act infused with lighthearted humor (fig. 17). A leaping youth wearing a tall conical hat (probably Abu Zayd) pulls the beard of a frowning old man carrying a bag (presumably the creditor and his money). The youth is holding out a clenched fist, as if readying himself to punch his opponent in the face. With his outstretched hands, the third character, to the youth’s left, conveys his trepidation.

This comical scene seems directly taken from a shadow play; its characters float on the blank expanse of the page, like puppets on a white screen. The central figure, furthermore, wears a headgear reminiscent of the turtār, a tall, pointed hat that was an emblem of ridicule in Islamic culture. People convicted of a crime used to be paraded around, facing backwards on a donkey, wearing such a hat, which was often adorned with seashells, bells, and animal tails to augment their humiliation. This punishment is depicted in one painting from a Persianate manuscript probably made in Tabriz
Fig. 16. Abu Zayd at a school (forty-sixth maqāma). Al-Hariri, Maqāmāt, thirteenth or fourteenth century. London, British Library, Ms. Or. Add. 22114, right to left, top to bottom: fols. 162r, 162v, 163r, 163v, 164r, 164v, 165r, 166r (new foliation; total page dimensions 26.75 x 19 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the British Library)
Fig. 17. Abu Zayd in a fight with his creditor (twenty-sixth maqāma). Al-Hariri, Maqâmât, thirteenth century. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 3929, fol. 96v (32 x 21.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
Fig. 18. A man wearing a ṭuṭūr being paraded on a donkey. Album page from an unidentified manuscript, late fourteenth to early fifteenth century. Istanbul, Topkapı Palace Museum Library, Ms. Hazine 2153, fol. 99v (12 x 16.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Topkapı Palace Museum Library)

or Baghdad towards the end of the fourteenth century—a context distinct from that of Arabic illustrated books, and one in which the shadow play bears no apparent relation to the style of illustration (fig. 18). Textual sources tell us that market inspectors would hang the infamous object above their bench (dikka), together with a whip (dirra), as a deterrent for potential offenders. Until Mamluk times in Cairo, during the celebration of nawrūz, the spring festival of the New Year, a jester of strong constitution also used to ride the streets naked on a donkey, wearing a ṭuṭūr made of palm leaves. This man, followed by the crowd, handed out petitions demanding money from the rich, who, if they refused, were showered with insults while the populace remained at their door until they acceded to the request.

In everyday life, however, the ṭuṭūr was primarily associated with clowns and entertainers. In his elegy to Iblis, Tayf al-Khayal thus laments that Baybars’s men have ransacked, among the other riches of taverns, “the ṭuṭūr and the ṭār (tambourine).” As such, this type of hat must have also been a frequent sight in the shadow theater. The Menzaleh puppets count among their ranks a character playing the flute on horseback who sports a similar, bent hat. One of his consorts, the Sudanese clown Natu, is thus introduced by Ibn Daniyal in ‘Ajīb wa gharīb:

Natu makes his entry with his drum, ṭuṭūr, and forelocks (dhawā’ib), shaking the spiers (mazārīq). He pretends to take flight back and forth on the road, sticks his eyes out, opens his mouth with his fingers and brays like a mule. He dances and sings to the rhythm of drums,
On the other side of the inkwell, the same figure is shown again holding a cup while kneeling, which seems to confirm that the first image may be the subverted portrait of an ascetic.

The /tfldotbelowur/tfldotbelowūr was typologically related to the tall qalansuwa of Sufis, and this ambiguity may have been consciously exploited in this image—and in one closely related depiction of Abu Zayd as a (fake) holy man in Ms. Arabe 3929 (fig. 20).

In the Maqāmāt, the sense of joyful animation generated by countless figures and compositions is often augmented by the introduction of details—note, for instance, in figure 8, the character embracing a pillar while Abu Zayd enthralls the governor of Merv with his eloquence. A comical dimension becomes increasingly evident when one attempts to read the images alongside the text, as their original audiences would have done—and this, even in apparently emotionless manuscripts such as the Vienna Maqāmāt. Occasionally, this jubilant mood takes an unexpected turn toward indecency, as in one mosque scene from the latter manuscript, in which Abu Zayd is shown facing two men in prayer with his genital parts in evidence.

Licentiousness was not a characteristic of al-Hariri’s work, so that the rationale for most of these digressions then proclaims: “Natu, oh Natu, oh Natu Natu! Gazelle of the Sudan, most manly of men! If the boys were still alive, they wouldn’t have died!”

Natu finds an echo in two dark-skinned figures with conical hats depicted in profile, with disproportionately large legs, in a slave-market scene from the Saint Petersburg Maqāmāt. Likewise, a variety of other characters in this manuscript, Ms. Arabe 3929, the Istanbul Maqāmāt, and Ms. Or. 1200 are shown wearing a tall conical hat evocative of the /tfldotbelowur/. Most of them are slender and appear in profile, some with manifestly comical poses—sulking, for example, in the corner of one mosque scene. To their original audiences, these characters may have immediately conjured scenes of laughter, perhaps against a joyful background of music, noise, and animation. So popular was the type of man shown in profile with a /tfldotbelowur/ (itself often mirrored by a pointed beard), that in the twelfth to thirteenth centuries it also made its way onto painted ceramic vessels.

A similar character also appears on a bronze inkwell of the same period (fig. 19). The articulation of his clothing and his supple body movement suggest a prototype in book illustration. He is carrying a walking stick and purse, both of which seem to denote a dervish, but his frantically agitated limbs seem to contradict this impression. On the other side of the inkwell, the same figure is shown again holding a cup while kneeling, which seems to confirm that the first image may be the subverted portrait of an ascetic. The /tfldotbelowur/ was typologically related to the tall qalansuwa of Sufis, and this ambiguity may have been consciously exploited in this image—and in one closely related depiction of Abu Zayd as a (fake) holy man in Ms. Arabe 3929 (fig. 20).

In the Maqāmāt, the sense of joyful animation generated by countless figures and compositions is often augmented by the introduction of details—note, for instance, in figure 8, the character embracing a pillar while Abu Zayd enthralls the governor of Merv with his eloquence. A comical dimension becomes increasingly evident when one attempts to read the images alongside the text, as their original audiences would have done—and this, even in apparently emotionless manuscripts such as the Vienna Maqāmāt. Occasionally, this jubilant mood takes an unexpected turn toward indecency, as in one mosque scene from the latter manuscript, in which Abu Zayd is shown facing two men in prayer with his genital parts in evidence.

Licentiousness was not a characteristic of al-Hariri’s work, so that the rationale for most of these digressions
must be sought outside the parameters of the text, within a broader cultural context. Levity (hazl) and gross, comical indecency (mujūn) had been a part of Arabic literary life and popular entertainment since at least the early Abbasid era, notably in poetry, live theater, and the shadow play. Mujūn was cited by Ibn Daniyal as one virtue of his three plays in al-Mutayyam,110 and the same brazen spirit could sometimes hold sway during literary assemblies, in a relaxation of usual social norms. For illustrators working in this perspective, the shadow theater would have once again offered a fertile trove of forms and ideas, being a realm in which this type of verbal excess had long ago been translated into images. Details pointing to such an iconography can be derived from Ibn Daniyal’s plays, as when, in Tayf al-khayāl, Shaykh ‘Aflaq, the husband of Umm al-Rashid, the matchmaker, recites a lengthy versified ode to his declining penis. He notably laments, while sobbing and braying, that it has become “soft as melting candle wax” and that this once “erect alif” is now but a “bending nūn.”111 Among the Menzaleh puppets, a large standing figure is equipped with a seventeen-centimeter long retractable phallus that could be stuck out during performances (fig. 21).112 He is holding an object that Kahle saw as a water flask but could also have been a rattle, and wears a pointed hat, albeit one that seems shorter than the ṭurtūr.

The mirror image of this figure occurs in Ms. Arabe 3929 when, in the twentieth maqāma, Abu Zayd, who is wearing a short, concentric hat (though again, not a ṭurtūr), reveals his genital parts to al-Harith (fig. 22). This maqāma contains one of the rare sexual allusions in al-Hariri’s work, as Abu Zayd, who is passing himself off as a beggar, laments in verse over the decline of
alain f. george

transition with a broader culture of orality may hold part of the explanation for their success. Such was their appeal that, as has already been pointed out, they were also transferred to other media, such as metalwork and ceramics.\textsuperscript{116} This circulation of forms presumably took place around the book markets of cities, through the medium of paper sketches made by craftsmen.\textsuperscript{117} One cannot exclude that at times the movement reached full circle and came to have an impact on the manufacture of shadow puppets.

In \textit{Tayf al-khayāl}, when the Amir Wisal’s secretary, al-Taj Babuj (Crown Slippers), is called forward, the text, instead of describing him, simply indicates: “He appears like this”—which implies that an image was originally drawn here.\textsuperscript{118} This image may have been based on a puppet owned by the \textit{rayyis} ‘Ali ibn Mawlahum, or have simply been the product of Ibn Daniyal’s imagination. It is conceivable that in original manuscripts of the work or some early copies by scribes, pictures such as this were drawn according to the dominant idiom of book illustration. Through such a channel, or through the more direct transfers just evoked for other media, aspects of the visual language developed in books may conceivably have found their way into the manufacture of shadow puppets—for example, by prompting the introduction of finer painted facial traits and textile folds onto translucent sheets of colored leather. But evidence of this reverse process, if it did ever occur, is lacking.

\begin{flushright}
THE BROADER ILLUSTRATIVE TRADITION
\end{flushright}

Having outlined the main features of a phenomenon so prominently displayed in the \textit{Maqāmāt}, it is now time to turn to its broader ramifications. Lively, colorful figures populate the pages of most illustrated books of this period, and iconographic elements derived from the shadow play often appear in what seem like the most unlikely texts. \textit{De materia medica}, for example, was a Greek classic of pharmacology composed by Dioscorides in the first century B.C. Its first Arabic translations, made in the ninth century, probably inherited from the Greek originals the naturalistic depictions of plants that were necessary to its comprehension. Human figures were introduced into this work at a relatively early point...
Fig. 22. Abu Zayd shows his body to al-Harith (twentieth maqāma). Al-Hariri, Maqāmāt, thirteenth century. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 3929, fol. 45r (32 x 21.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
by Greek illustrators: thus, in Paris Ms. Grec 2179, which dates to the late eighth century, a small figure is occasionally depicted beside a plant to illustrate the disease for which it provides a cure (fig. 23). This book may have been produced in the Middle East, and its Arabic marginal glosses suggest that it remained in the Islamic world for a long time before eventually reaching the Latin West around the thirteenth century.119

The idea of introducing human figures may arguably have come from such precedents.120 Yet by the twelfth to thirteenth centuries, illustrators of Islamic scientific manuscripts were giving the same subject matter an entirely different flavor by introducing full narrative scenes with a vibrant mood and style that fundamentally severed the links with the classical tradition. Small figures have thus come to abound in a dispersed Arabic copy of the work dated 621 (1224); they interact on a flat groundline through amplified gestures, with clearly profiled silhouettes. Outdoor subjects appear against a blank background, while the tripartite or simple house frames also encountered in the Maqāmāt have been converted into pharmacies and medical practices.121

In one emblematic illustration, a doctor receives two patients (fig. 24). As in the rest of this work, the text plainly describes the characteristics of a plant and its medicinal virtues. In the image, the physician is seated in profile, extending one hand out and bringing the other to his mouth, in a traditional posture of reflection or attention.122 His two patients are seated on the ground with a less solemn bearing. One of them is blindfolded and pointing towards the ceiling with both hands. The other has an enormous, swelling belly and is reclining backwards. He resembles a puppet in his

Fig. 23. Illustrations to Isatis agria (woad) and tilephion (orpine). From a Greek Materia medica of Dioscorides, late eighth century. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Grec 2179, fol. 5v (34.7 x 26.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
articulated joints and rigid posture; his hands are expressively outstretched, and he is holding what looks like a fan. Despite its factual subject matter, the passage has thus formed the basis of an eminently comical scene that once again recalls the shadow play. Several acts involving doctors were indeed part of Ibn Daniyal’s shadow theater, where a Dr. Yaqtinus gives an account of the death of Umm al-Rashid, the matchmaker, in a brothel, while in ‘Ajīb wa gharīb, the herb hawker Nubata al-A’shab al-Attar is lauded as “successor of Dioscorides and offspring of Ibn al-Baytar.”

In other books of this era, including other illustrated versions of Dioscorides, the imprint of the shadow play is also perceptible, though it is rarely as pronounced as in this copy of the work and in the Maqāmāt. The earliest dated Arabic manuscript to show the imprint of the new idiom is a Kitāb al-Diryāq (Book of the Theriac) of pseudo-Galen, completed in 595 (1199) (Paris Ms. Arabe 2964). The animation of its figures is crisp, with vibrant colors; profiles and silhouettes are more frequent than in Christian manuscripts, but less so than in the Maqāmāt. Scenes are often composed on two vertically superposed levels, rather than in a single horizontal plane. Secondary figures and decorative elements, such as plants, crowd much of the picture space, at the expense of the immediate visual impact that characterizes much Maqāmāt illustration. Yet in a comical touch of indecency, a few characters casually undertake their daily activities with their genital parts in evidence. In one passage from the text, the physician Andromachus the Younger recalls once going through a field as peasants were working the land. He was told the story of a man cured from a cutaneous disease by drinking wine from a jar in which a decomposed snake had been dis-
covered. Andromachus, the wine jar, and the peasants are all present in the illustration; but a green figure with a shovel on the right-hand side has his penis revealed by a lifted robe (fig. 26).  

This appears to have been more than a temporary elation on the part of the illustrator, since the same detail crops up again, without warning, in the episode where a slave poisoned with opium at the palace of King Bathulus is accidentally cured by a snake bite (fig. 25).  

The king is shown in the traditional posture of the banqueting ruler; but on the level below him, both the gardener on the far right and the attendant standing next to the slave are undressed below waist level. The latter has a clearly visible penis; he closely resembles the peasant in the previous image in face, dress, and body. This, together with their marked profiles and the articulation of their knees, makes it probable that an actual shadow puppet served as their model. Indeed, in 'Ajīb wa gharīb, Andromachus makes a brief appearance, which was possibly staged with a puppet, to vaunt the merits of his theriac against different bites; this is preceded by a passage about the powers of snakes that may have also brought some of these creatures to the screen. These humorous extrapolations on the Diryāq suggest that related subjects could be dramatized in the shadow theater.  

Returning to Ms. Arabe 2964, there is nothing in the letter or spirit of the text to suggest the introduction of

Fig. 25. The poisoned slave at King Bathulus’s pavilion. Kitāb al-Diryāq of pseudo-Galen, Rabi’ I 595 (January 1199). Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 2964, p. 27 (37 x 29 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)  

these pictorial details. However, in the context of a majlis, they could have prompted a lighthearted diversion from the main subject matter, in accordance with the widespread conception in Arabic literary life that the seriousness (jidd) of learned pursuits ought to be counterbalanced by levity (hazl). In the manuscript as a whole, elements originating in the shadow play have been absorbed into an accomplished iconography that blends them with Christian sources and the traditional princely cycle in Islam.

Kalila wa Dimna seems to have been, alongside the Maqāmāt, among the most frequently illustrated Arabic texts in this era. Based on Indian animal fables, this work has an inherent oral dimension, and its less sophisticated language gave it the potential to garner a broader popular appeal. In his preface, the author of the Arabic version, Ibn al-Muqaffa (d. ca. 756), explains his ambition to captivate the hearts of “lighthearted youths.” The work, he also says, should have images “so as to delight the hearts of princes [and] increase their pleasure.” Many of the animal scenes follow age-old iconographic traditions, with roots stretching at least as far back as the eighth century, when some closely related subjects were painted in the wall frescoes of Panjikent, in Central Asia. The imprint of the shadow play can also be felt in some scenes with human narratives. Given the subject matter, it may come as a surprise that images of a husband (or lover) and wife in bed also appear repeatedly in manuscripts of this text.

In the story of the man and the thief, a beautiful wife refuses her charms to her older husband, a rich merchant, until one night she is so terrified by a thief intruding into their house that she jumps into his bed. The merchant awakes; upon seeing her by his side, he looks up and discovers the thief. Such is his delight that he allows the intruder to take whatever he wishes and run away. In Paris Ms. Arabe 3465 (thirteenth century), the man is shown lying next to his wife, whose breasts are naked. Both of them are depicted looking at a thief whose stiff joints, neat movement, and pronounced outline recall the image of a shadow puppet (fig. 27). The scene is set within a stage-like architectural frame, with a curtain drawn to one side and tied to a pillar.

In another episode, a carpenter hides underneath his bed in an attempt to catch his wife with her lover. The illustrator of Ms. Arabe 3465 has again shown both lovers lying in bed within a schematic frame. In Ms. Arabe 3467 (fourteenth century), they even appear to be in the middle of intercourse (fig. 28); virtually the same image reappears on two ceramic house models from the same period (fig. 29), which suggests a widespread iconographic type.

In yet another story, a man and his two wives have been reduced to a state of servitude, nudity, and hunger by the invaders of their city. One day, as they are gathering wood, one of the wives finds a shabby piece of cloth and attempts to cover herself with it. The other wife exclaims, “Look at this whore, going about naked!” The husband responds that she should look at herself (she is still completely undressed) before criticizing oth-

Fig. 27. The merchant, his spouse, and the thief. Ibn al-Muqaffa’s, Kalila wa Dimna, thirteenth century. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 3465, fol. 102v (28 x 20.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
In Ms. Arabe 3467, all three characters are walking on a thin patch of patterned grass, next to a stylized tree (fig. 30). The first wife is shown with a headscarf, but with bare breasts—yet another pinch of spice added to the text by the illustrator. The second wife must have originally appeared in the barest of apparel, since one owner of the manuscript felt compelled to cut her out and paste a smaller, bearded man in her place.136

Humor is simply conveyed in these images through the nudity of characters. Most scenes in Kalila are set against a blank background, with large human figures that often evoke a mechanical, puppet-like articulation. The execution tends to be less refined and imaginative than in the Maqâmât and to lack the latter’s vibrant sense of movement, animation, and, in most scenes, humor. One flamboyant exception to the rule occurs in Ms. Arabe 3467, in the illustration of an episode in which a woman exploits the beauty of her young slave girl (jariya) for her own financial gain. The girl has fallen in love with a man whom she intends to marry. Faced with the threat of losing this source of income, the older woman plots to kill the man the very night that an ascetic (nâsik) knocks on their door to request hospitality. Undeterred by this unwelcome intrusion, she tricks the lovers into drinking wine until they succumb to sleep. Having thus neutralized them, she attempts to blow poison into the man’s posterior with a reed, at which point he expels wind, leading her to accidentally inhale the substance and die in front of the ascetic.137 Once again, the image shows the two lovers in a posture of intercourse rather than slumber. Neither is the presence of the woman and the ascetic, who is dressed as a monk, of any embarrassment to them, nor does the illustrator shy away from crudely representing the failed attempt to administer the lethal concoction (fig. 31). The scene brings to mind a joyful theatrical act in which several features, notably the schematic architectural frame and outlined profile of the seated woman, directly evoke the shadow theater.

The imprint of the shadow play can also be felt, but in a wholly different guise, in the Ḥadîth Bayād wa
the illustrations of the Maqāmāt and the shadow play

140 Like its counterparts further East, this distinctive narrative style of the Muslim West was spread across different media: in two Spanish textiles of the second quarter of the thirteenth century, figures depicted in profile, with large eyes and more expressive movements than in Bayād wa Riyād, have thus insinuated themselves into roundels depicting the traditional cycles of the royal hunt and banquet.141 Examples could be multiplied at will to include details, if not whole scenes, from other manuscripts, such as al-Jazari’s scientific treatise on automata (Kitāb fi ma‘rifat al-hiyal al-handasiyya).142 The new illustrative mode also reached beyond the confines of the Islamic tradition. Its oldest firmly dated example is, in fact, a Coptic Bible written and illustrated at Damietta, in the Nile Delta, between 1178 and 1180 (Paris Ms. Copte 13).143 This manuscript remains, in many ways, indebted to the Byzantine tradition, notably in its faces in semi-profile and tight groupings of figures. Yet the liveliness and variety of its scenes mark a departure from the hieratic character of earlier Christian iconography. Among other figures of the New Testament, Jesus, the angels, and the apostles here gesture expressively with hands, arms, and bodies against the white canvas of the page, in a flat picture plane (fig. 33). The contrast with a comparable scene from a Byzantine manuscript (fig. 11) reveals the scale of the change.

As in the Maqāmāt, a few images are also enclosed within simple rectangular architectural frames, albeit without curtains (fig. 34). This architectural ornament, like the human types, dress, suspended lamps, furniture, and plants, reflects the Islamic context from which it arose.144 Pilate himself is depicted in the archetypical posture of the seated Islamic ruler (fig. 35). The execution and coloring are of lesser quality than in Islamic manuscripts of the period, while the illuminated frames are clearly based on contemporary Korans. As if to confirm this symbiosis, the colophons are written in both Coptic and Arabic. It seems that Ms. Copte 13 drew its inspiration from the dominant Islamic tradition, rather than representing the independent experiments of its illustrator. In a similar vein, several Christian-Arabic,
Fig. 31. The ascetic, the woman, the maiden, and her lover. Ibn al-Muqaffa', *Kalila wa Dimna*, fourteenth century. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Arabe 3467, fol. 16r (29.2 x 22.4 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
Coptic-Arabic, and Syriac manuscripts made in the Islamic lands in the thirteenth century fully belong to the Arabic pictorial tradition heralded by the *Maqāmāt*, though probably as derivatives rather than models.\(^{145}\)

**THE QUESTION OF ORIGINS**

These manuscripts attest to the breadth of the phenomenon under study, and the example of Ms. Copte 13 shows that it had been set in motion by the third quarter of the twelfth century. But when and how did these new trends first emerge? Until the strands, regional styles, and patterns of diffusion that prevailed in book illustration of this period are more fully understood, it will be difficult to bring a definite response to this question. Some elements of an answer can, nevertheless, be attempted on the basis of our fragmentary evidence. Scattered textual and manuscript remains, together with instances of iconographic continuity across the centuries, suggest that Arabic illustrated books had started to be produced in the Islamic lands as early as the eighth century.\(^{146}\) It is therefore possible that the new illustrative mode had already come into being before the twelfth century, but that no witness of this earlier phase has survived. Possible, but not likely: the few dated or datable painted fragments of this earlier period, whether on manuscripts or other media, do not display the features identified above. Even when a dose of realism and animation was introduced into Fatimid ceramics and woodwork between the tenth and twelfth centuries, it seems to have been based on the observation of everyday life rather than the shadow play.\(^{147}\)

After the turning point marked by Ms. Copte 13, on the other hand, nearly all illustrated manuscripts in the Arabic tradition clearly exhibit elements derived from this source. The next earliest dated example is the *Kitāb al-Diryāq* of 1199; in the following quarter of a century, a mature pictorial idiom incorporating features from the shadow play can be observed in, besides this man-

---

**Fig. 32.** Shamul delivers a letter from Riyad to Bayad by the banks of the river Tharthar. *Hadīth Bayād wa Riyād*, late twelfth or thirteenth century. Vatican, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, Ms. Arabo Riservato 368, fol. 17r (total page dimensions 28.2 x 21 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana)
Fig. 33. Miraculous healings. Coptic manuscript of the New Testament, Damietta (Egypt), 1178–80. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Copte 13, fol. 32r (total page dimensions 38.5 x 27.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)

Fig. 34. The Announcement to Zacharias. Coptic manuscript of the New Testament, Damietta (Egypt), 1178–80. Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Ms. Copte 13, fol. 135r (total page dimensions 38.5 x 27.5 cm). (Photo: courtesy of the Bibliothèque nationale de France)
uscript, the Hiyal by al-Jazari produced in 1206 (602) in northern Mesopotamia; in two manuscripts of the Kitāb al-Bayṭara (Book of Farriery) from Baghdad respectively made in 1209 and 1210 (605 and 606); in the earliest dated Maqāmāt with illustrations (Ms. Arabe 6094 [1222 (619)]); and in the Dioscorides manuscript of 1224 (621). These books, together with the Coptic Bible, reflect a wide range of texts, and an equally wide geographical spread, from Iraq to Egypt—and possibly Spain with the Hadith Bayāḍ wa Riyāḍ. A new phase had, by then, been set in motion, and its ramifications extended well into the Mamluk period. But we are already standing, with these manuscripts, at several removes from the initial experiments that gave rise to the new pictorial language. No traces of these experimental stages are known today and short of a clearly dated sequence of manuscripts, any conclusion about them is bound to remain tentative. Nevertheless, one hypothesis based on content and context seems worth formulating, given that several strands of evidence converge around it.

Al-Hariri completed his Maqāmāt around 1111, in the period immediately before the illustrative boom of the twelfth century. In the following decades, his work was extensively diffused across the whole Arabic-speaking world through the medium of books. Its appeal among urban notables and convivial mode of appreciation provided an obvious rationale for bolstering its material form with narrative illustration distinguished by qualities of legibility and expressivity. But unlike others working on such texts as De Materia medica or Kalīla wa Dimna, the first illustrators of this work stood in front of a blank canvas, with no established cycles of illustration to draw upon—and an incentive to create something new. The shadow play, a widespread art form in the Islamic world, provided answers to many of the questions posed by this situation. It was also predisposed to do so by elective affinities with the Maqāmāt at the levels of performativity and narrative character, with both genres being largely based on dialogue scenes. Indeed, nowhere are the ties with this art form as intense and multifaceted as in al-Hariri’s work, where they
extend far beyond the realms of formal iconography. The phenomenal success of the new pictorial idiom may, in other words, have gone hand-in-hand with that of the Maqāmāt themselves.

For centuries before the modern era, the shadow play was the most popular narrative art to combine word and image in the Islamic world. Faced with the challenge of introducing narrative pictures into their works, Arabic book illustrators appear to have derived from this source the essential ingredients of their lively and effective approach to iconography, space, and composition. They created images, often joyful, sometimes indecent, which had the potential to engage with literary life at large, from high culture to low, and encountered sweeping success in society. Of all books illustrated in that period, the Maqāmāt contained a uniquely rich array of links with the shadow play; indeed, it is conceivable that this particular work and its social context served as the conduit through which these new trends entered Arabic book illustration. The Maqāmāt, at any rate, attracted some of the most accomplished expressions of this effervescent pictorial mode until, for reasons still unknown, it began to decline in the fourteenth century.
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University of Edinburgh
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