



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Commentary on Ho et al. (2019)

Managing people with HIV and drug problems is complex and multi-faceted

Citation for published version:

Farrell, M & Robertson, R 2020, 'Commentary on Ho et al. (2019): Managing people with HIV and drug problems is complex and multi-faceted', *Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14950>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/add.14950](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14950)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Addiction

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Commentary on Ho *et al.* (2019): Managing people with HIV and drug problems is complex and multi-faceted

International literature reviews can provide important insights into global drug use and HIV infection treatment. Management of HIV-infected individuals with coexisting drug problems requires a range of services, including medical prescribing, but crucially these must be engaged, sympathetic and supportive of those people who are estranged and marginalized.

This paper by Ho *et al.* [1] is important, because it gives us an insight into the complexity of managing people who inject drugs and the impact and influence of life-style, poverty, adversity and the current limitations of public health policy in many, if not most, countries. The importance of life-style and economic stresses and the consequent need to accommodate difficulties in these areas should not need to be highlighted, but they are clearly an essential, and frequently missed, part of public health policy and clinical practice when delivering critical treatment to people constrained by drug use difficulties.

The paper also draws attention to how people who use drugs are perceived and supported. In doing so, it strikes at the heart of many challenges for service delivery [1]. These cluster around drug policy, stigma inhibiting change, variations between administrations, multi-drug use complicating risks, comorbid mental health problems and the dynamics between identifying the evidence supporting treatment and translating this into actions in affected communities.

An additional message that we might extrapolate is the importance of people who inject drugs (PWID) for the impact of the world-wide HIV/AIDS epidemic, which is now driven by drug injecting in many countries (especially in Asia and increasingly in Africa) [2]. Adherence to anti-retroviral therapy is constrained, not surprisingly, by ongoing drug use and various other factors that alert us to the focused attention needed to provide quality treatment services delivered with compassion and sufficient resources.

The lessons of the last 30 years are that administrations experiencing drug use epidemics and managing and preventing HIV always seem to struggle to implement effective interventions such as medically assisted treatment (MAT) and needle and syringe availability. A binary approach between recovery/abstinence and harm reduction is over-simplistic, and treatment services need to be much more nuanced, personal and inclusive [3]. Despite an extensively researched and widely published literature drawing attention to the benefits of engagement and retention in MAT programmes [4,5], political decision-making and

consensus always seem to lag behind or, in many cases, obstruct clinical practice.

Crises generate change, however [6], and there are many examples of drug problems having dramatic effects as unexpected problems require new approaches. The United Kingdom changed drug policy in the 1980s in response to HIV infection and, more recently, the United States and Canada have had to make difficult political decisions in the wake of an epidemic of fentanyl and oxycodone use and overdose [7,8]. Indeed, the rising number of drug-related deaths in many countries is currently a major driver of drug policy change around the world, as it affects life expectancy and challenges conservative policy [9,10]. The changes made need to destigmatize people who use drugs and to reduce the barriers to treatment access by increasing the targeted funding to support treatment services, encouraging research and inclusive practice.

Declaration of interests

None.

Keywords Compliance, HIV/AIDS, policy, MAT, epidemic, adversity.

MICHAEL FARRELL¹  & ROY ROBERTSON² 

National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia¹ and Addiction Medicine, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK²

E-mail: roy.robertson@ed.ac.uk

Submitted 28 November 2019; final version accepted 20 December 2019

References

1. Ho I. S. S., Holloway A., Stenhouse R. What do HIV-positive drug users' experiences tell us about their antiretroviral medications taking? An international integrated literature review. *Addiction* 2019; <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14857>.
2. Degenhardt L., Phillips B., Wiessing L., Hickman M., Strathdee S. A., *et al.* Reference Group to the UN on HIV and Injecting Drug Use. Global epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIV among people who inject drugs: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2008 2007; **372**: 173345.
3. Degenhardt L., Mathers B., Vickerman P., Rhodes T., Latkin C., Hickman M. Prevention of HIV infection for people who inject drugs: why individual, structural, and combination approaches are needed. *Lancet* 2010; **376**: 285–301.
4. Degenhardt L., Grebely J., Stone J., Hickman M., Vickerman P., Marshall B. D. L., *et al.* Global patterns of opioid use and dependence: harms to populations, interventions, and future action. *Lancet* 2019; **394**: 1560–79.

5. Degenhardt L., Wolfe D., Hall W., Hickman M., Chang J., Bruneau J., *et al.* Strategies to reduce drug-related harm: responding to the evidence base. *Lancet* 2019; **394**: 1490–3.
6. Kalk N. J., Robertson J. R., Kidd B., Day E., Kelleher M. J., Gilvarry E., *et al.* Treatment and intervention for opiate dependence in the United Kingdom: lessons from triumph and failure. *Eur J Crim Policy Res* 2018; **24**: 183–200.
7. Kochanek K. D., Arias E., Bastian B. A. The Effect of Changes in Selected Age-specific Causes of Death on Non-Hispanic White Life Expectancy Between 2000 and 2014. *National Center for Health Statistics Data Brief* 2016; **250**: 1–8.
8. Global Drug Survey 2017. Available at: https://www.globaldrugsurvey.com/wp-content/themes/globaldrugsurvey/results/GDS2017_key-findings-report_final.pdf. (accessed 25 December 2019)..
9. Nosrati E., Kang-Brown J., Ash M., McKee M., Marmot M., King L. P. Economic decline, incarceration, and mortality from drug use disorders in the USA between 1983 and 2014: an observational analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2019; **4**: e326–e333.
10. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA). Mortality Among Drug Users in Europe: New and Old Challenges for Public Health. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2019.