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Transcription Factor Tfec Contributes to the IL-4-Inducible Expression of a Small Group of Genes in Mouse Macrophages Including the Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor Receptor

Michael Rehli,2,3*§ Sabine Sulzbacher,2* Sabine Pape,* Timothy Ravasi,† Christine A. Wells,‡ Sven Heinz,* Liane Söllner,* Carol El Chartouni,* Stefan W. Krause,* Eirikur Steingrimsson,‡ David A. Hume,† and Reinhard Andreesen*

Expression of the mouse transcription factor EC (Tfec) is restricted to the myeloid compartment, suggesting a function for Tfec in the development or function of these cells. However, mice lacking Tfec develop normally, indicating a redundant role for Tfec in myeloid cell development. We now report that Tfec is specifically induced in bone marrow-derived macrophages upon stimulation with the Th2 cytokines, IL-4 and IL-13, or LPS. LPS induced a rapid and transient up-regulation of Tfec mRNA expression and promoter activity, which was dependent on a functional NF-κB site. IL-4, however, induced a rapid, but long-lasting, increase in Tfec mRNA, which, in contrast to LPS stimulation, also resulted in detectable levels of Tfec protein. IL-4-induced transcription of Tfec was absent in macrophages lacking Stat6, and its promoter depended on two functional Stat6-binding sites. A global comparison of IL-4-induced genes in both wild-type and Tfec mutant macrophages revealed a surprisingly mild phenotype with only a few genes affected by Tfec deficiency. These included the G-CSFR (Csf3r) gene that was strongly up-regulated by IL-4 in wild-type macrophages and, to a lesser extent, in Tfec mutant macrophages. Our study also provides a general definition of the transcriptome in alternatively activated mouse macrophages and identifies a large number of novel genes characterizing this cell type. The Journal of Immunology, 2005, 174: 7111–7122.
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with profound functional changes (12). The activation program of mononuclear phagocytes, e.g., at sites of inflammation, is mainly influenced by the local cytokine milieu. Although Th1 cytokines and microbial agents induce classically activated macrophages that produce proinflammatory mediators and effectively kill microorganisms, Th2 cytokines, e.g., IL-4, support a distinct activation program leading to alternatively activated macrophages that tune inflammatory responses, promote tissue repair, and scavenge debris (13). To gain insight into a possible regulatory role of Tfec in activated macrophages under pathophysiological conditions, e.g., inflammation or cancer, we studied the expression of Tfec in macrophages treated with different pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators. Most strikingly, the Th2 cytokine IL-4 strongly induced Tfec mRNA and protein in a Stat6-dependent fashion, implicating Tfec as a secondary mediator of IL-4 signals in alternatively activated macrophages.

Using cDNA microarray analysis, we were able to identify a small group of genes that are affected by Tfec deficiency in mouse macrophages, including the G-CSFR gene (Csf3r) whose up-regulation in IL-4–induced, alternatively activated macrophages was at least partially Tfec dependent. Our analysis also provides a global view of genes that shape the phenotype and function of alternatively activated macrophages. In addition to Tfec, we identify a number of novel transcription factors, including muscle segment homeobox (msx)-like homeobox gene 3 (Mx3), early growth response 2 (Egr2, Krox20), bHLH transcription factor STRA13/Dec1 (Bhlhb2), IL-3-induced NF (Njil3, E4hp4), Kruellpe-like factor 4 (Klf4, Gklf), basic transcription-element-binding protein 1 (Bie1), and etv variant gene 3 (Etv3, PEl1/Mets), that are significantly induced by IL-4 and may act as secondary mediators of the IL-4 response in mouse macrophages.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

All chemical reagents used were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise noted. Protease inhibitors were obtained from Roche. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by TIB Molbiol. Anti sera for supershift analyses were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Recombinant mouse cytokines were purchased from PeproTech.

Cells

The cell line RAW264.7 (American Type Culture Collection) was cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium (BioWhittaker) and 10% FCS. To obtain bone marrow–derived macrophages (BMM), bone marrow–derived dendritic cells (BMDC), or bone marrow–derived mast cells (BMMC), bone marrow was extracted from the femurs of 8- to 12-wk-old mice. Cells from several animals were pooled before plating into noncharged bacteriological plates at 5 × 10^5 cells/ml. To obtain BMM, the cells were cultured in RPMI 1640, 10% FCS, and 200 ng/ml human rCSF-1 (Cetus) for 5 days. On day 5, the medium was replaced, and cells were harvested on day 6 and replated at a density of 10 × 10^5 cells/10-cm culture dish at 5 × 10^5 cells/ml, with CSF-1 added back overnight. Experimental time courses were started on day 7. BMDC were obtained and cultured using mouse rGM-CSF (10 ng/ml; PeproTech) as described by Lutz et al. (14). To obtain BMMC, bone marrow cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 and 10% FCS supplemented with stem cell factor (SCF; 50 ng/ml; PeproTech) and IL-4 (40 ng/ml; PeproTech; treatment A) or with SCF (50 ng/ml; PeproTech) and IL-3 (5 ng/ml; PeproTech; treatment B). Medium and cytokines were replaced every 3 days. Cells were harvested after 2 wk of culture.

Mice

BALB/c mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. The mutant mouse strain deficient in Tfec (129SvJ background) was generated by gene targeting, as described previously (10).

Flow cytometry

BMM were harvested and resuspended in PBS containing 10% FCS (Invitrogen Life Technologies). FcRs were blocked using anti-CD16/32 (clone 2.4G2; BD Pharmingen) on ice for 30 min. Cells were stained with PE-conjugated mAbs recognizing CD11b (Mac-1), CD115, CD204, F4/80 (all from Serotec), Ly-6G (Gr-1; BD Pharmingen), or isotype controls (Serotec and BD Pharmingen) in the dark for 45 min on ice. After staining, cells were washed twice with PBS containing 10% FCS. Analysis was performed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

RNA preparation and Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated from different cell lines by the guanidine thiocyanate/acid phenol method. Electrophoresis, Northern blotting, and cDNA hybridization were conducted as described previously using a 1200-bp cDNA from Tfec coding region 4. Autoradiography was performed at 70°C, and bands were scanned with a Molecular Dynamics personal densitometer.

Microarray analysis and data handling

Two independent microarray experiments were performed using two different microarray platforms. Firstly, cDNA from 16-h IL-4–treated BMM (Tfec-deficient or wild type) were indirectly labeled with amino alyl-conjugated Cy3 (wild type) or Cy5 (Tfec−/−), as described at (www.imb.uq.edu.au/groups/hume/), and hybridized at 65°C overnight to NIA 15K mouse cDNA microarrays (SRC, Microarray Facility). Slides were washed for 5 min in 2 × SSC/0.2% SDS buffer and scanned on a ScanArray 5000 confocal laser scanner. Molecularware (Digital Genome) was used to process the image, data were corrected for local background, and confidence status was flagged for empty spots, signal/noise ratio, spot ratio variability, and spot morphology. Data were inputted into GeneSpring 4.2 (Silicon Genetics) for clustering and comparative analysis. Differentially expressed genes were identified as those elements that were induced or repressed >2-fold from the wild-type condition and that were regulated by IL-4 in an independent microarray analysis comparing unstimulated and IL-4–stimulated wild-type BMM. A second experiment using RNA from 16-h IL-4–treated or untreated BMM (Tfec deficient or wild type) was performed using Affymetrix Mouse430A.2 arrays. Hybridization, cRNA labeling, and data handling were performed by Kompetenzzentrum für Fluoreszenten Bioanalytik.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA (2 μg) was reverse-transcribed using SuperScript II (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler (Roche) with the Quantitect kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used are given in Table I. Cycling parameters were: denaturation at 95°C for 15 min and amplification at 95°C for 15 s, 57°C for 20 s, 72°C for 25 s for 55 cycles. The product size was initially controlled by agarose gel electrophoresis, and melting curves were analyzed to control for the specificity of the PCRs. The relative units were calculated from a standard curve with four different concentrations of log dilutions to the PCR cycle number at which the measured fluorescence intensity reaches a fixed value. Data were normalized against the housekeeping genes Actb (Csfr3) and Hprt1 (all others). The amplification efficiencies (E) were calculated from the slope of the standard curve as: E = 10^(-1/slope). The observed primer efficiencies are given in Table I. All primers were chosen to amplify a cDNA fragment that includes intron-exon borders in the corresponding genome sequence. The data from at least two independent analyses for each sample were averaged.

Plasmid construction and purification

Cloning of a 615-bp fragment of the TFEC proximal promoter has been described previously. Mutations of putative transcription factor binding sites were conducted by PCR-mediated mutagenesis using the following primers (mutated bases are underlined): NfκB element, mTFEC-NfκB_S (5′-CAT AGT CCA GTC GCT GAT TTC AGT G-3′) and mTFEC-NfκB_AS (5′-CCA TTA GGG CAG CGA CTG GAC TCT G-3′); and mTFEC-HIF1_S (5′-CTG TCT AAT CTT CTT GTC TAG ATC AAT TAT-3′) and mTFEC-HIF1_AS (5′-GGA CAG TGT GAT CTA ACT TGC TTA-3′) and mTFEC-mS6M_S (5′-GGG CAG TGT GAT CTA ACT TGC TTA-3′) and mTFEC-mS6M_AS (5′-GGA CAG TGT GAT CTA ACT TGC TTA-3′) and inner STAT element, mTFEC-iS6M_S (5′-ATT GAT CTA GAC AAG AAG ATT-3′) and mTFEC-iS6M_AS (5′-CCC CAT AAT AAA CAT TTG AGC CCA GAA-3′). DNA sequence analysis was performed by GENEART. For transfections, plasmids were isolated and purified using the Endofree Plasmid Kit (Qiagen).

Transient and stable DNA transfections

For transient reporter analysis, RAW264.7 cells were transfected using SuperFect reagent (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions as
previously described (15). Duplicate transfections were harvested after 24 h, and cell lysates were assayed for firefly luciferase activity using the Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). The firefly luciferase activity of individual transfections was normalized against protein concentration measured using a bicinchoninic acid assay (Sigma-Aldrich). For some experiments, RAW264.7 cells were transfected in 10-cm tissue culture dishes selected for stable integration of plasmid DNA by culturing cells in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 350 μg/ml G418 for 2–3 wk. Stably transfected cells were pooled and expanded, and 750,000 cells/ml were seeded into six-well plates in duplicate the day before stimulation. Cells were harvested 5 h after stimulation, and cell lysates were assayed as described above.

### Table I. Oligonucleotide primers used for LightCycler real-time PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (Sense and Antisense)</th>
<th>Efficiency (E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arg1</td>
<td>5′-GGA CTA GAT ATC ATG GAA GTG AAC CC-3′ 5′-AGT CCC TGG CTT ATG GTT ACC C-3′</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egr2</td>
<td>5′-CTT TGG TCT CAA GGT GCA GAG GTG CG-3′ 5′-TGG TCT CAA GGT GCA GAG GTG CG-3′</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klf4</td>
<td>5′-AAC TTC AAC AAG CTC GTG ATG CC-3′ 5′-GCT TCT AAA CAT CTC CTT ACC AGG G-3′</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evi3 (s)</td>
<td>5′-AAC TTC AAC AAG CTC GTG ATG CC-3′ 5′-AAC TTC AAC AAG CTC GTG ATG CC-3′</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evi3 (l)</td>
<td>5′-AAC TTC AAC AAG CTC GTG ATG CC-3′ 5′-AAC TTC AAC AAG CTC GTG ATG CC-3′</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim30</td>
<td>5′-AGC GAT CTG AGA AGC ACC ACC G-3′ 5′-TTT CCC CCA TGT CTT TGA ACC ACC-3′</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csfr</td>
<td>5′-AGG GCT ACT GCC TCT GAA GGA TGC-3′ 5′-AGG GCT ACT GCC TCT GAA GGA TGC-3′</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbx</td>
<td>5′-ATT TCT TCA GAT ATC ATG GAA GTG ACC C-3′ 5′-ATT TCT TCA GAT ATC ATG GAA GTG ACC C-3′</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atp2a1</td>
<td>5′-TTG GCA AAC TTC ACA GTA ATC GG-3′ 5′-TTG GCA AAC TTC ACA GTA ATC GG-3′</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il17r</td>
<td>5′-ACC ACA GCT GCT TTG ATG TCG-3′ 5′-TTG TAT TTG AGA TTA CTG GGC AGG-3′</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klf6</td>
<td>5′-AAT GAC CTG AGT CTC TAT ACC AAG G-3′ 5′-AAT GAC CTG AGT CTC TAT ACC AAG G-3′</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9830147J24Rik</td>
<td>5′-GGT GTC ACT GCC TCT GAA GGA TGC-3′ 5′-GGT GTC ACT GCC TCT GAA GGA TGC-3′</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl100a9</td>
<td>5′-CTC ATG GAC TGG TTA GGG ACA AGA C-3′ 5′-CTC ATG GAC TGG TTA GGG ACA AGA C-3′</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13a1</td>
<td>5′-GGA TCC ATT TGG AGT GTC CCG-3′ 5′-GGA TCC ATT TGG AGT GTC CCG-3′</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actb</td>
<td>5′-GGT GCA GCT ATT TGG AGT GTC CCG-3′ 5′-GGT GCA GCT ATT TGG AGT GTC CCG-3′</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hprt</td>
<td>5′-ATT TCT CAA GGT CAT GCT GGC-3′ 5′-ATT TCT CAA GGT CAT GCT GGC-3′</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nuclear extracts and EMSA**

Nuclear extracts of RAW264.7 cells and BMM were prepared as described previously (4). Double-stranded oligonucleotides corresponding to the STAT6 or NFκB elements were labeled with [α-32P]dGTP using Klenow DNA polymerase. Sequences of individual motifs are indicated in Figs. 5 and 6. The binding reaction contained 2.5 μg of nuclear extract protein, 0.5 μg of poly d(I/C), 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 20 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 5% glycerol, and 20 nmol of probe DNA in a final volume of 10 μl. Antisera used in supershift analyses were added after 15 min and samples were loaded onto polyacrylamide gels after incubation at room temperature for a total of 30 min. The buffers and running conditions used have been described previously. Gels were fixed in 5% acetic acid, dried, and autoradiographed.

**FIGURE 1.** Flow cytometry. BMM from wild-type and Tfec-deficient mice (Tfec−/−) were analyzed by flow cytometry for the expression of the indicated cell surface markers.
**Western blot analysis**

The anti-Tfec rabbit polyclonal antiserum was raised against a GST fusion protein with the C-terminal aa 199–317 of Tfec as described previously (8). The antiserum showed no cross-reactivity against in vitro-translated rMiT proteins Mitf, Tfe3, and Tfeb. For the detection of Tfec, whole cells were lysed with SDS (1%) containing 1 mM Na3VO4 and a mixture of protease inhibitors (2 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, and 1 mM PMSF; Roche). To detect the G-CSFR, 10^6 cells were lysed with Nonidet P-40 (1%) lysis buffer containing the above mixture of phosphatase and proteinase inhibitors. Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted on a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Blots were blocked overnight at 4°C with 5% milk powder/0.1% Tween 20/TBS and incubated with anti-Tfec (1/200), anti-G-CSFR (1/500), or anti-β-actin antisera (1/2000) and subsequently with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse Ab (DakoCytomation) diluted 1/2500. Bands were visualized using ECL reagents (Amersham Biosciences), scanned, and analyzed using a Molecular Dynamics personal densitometer (Amersham Biosciences).

**Results**

**Normal phenotype of Tfec-deficient macrophages**

Mice lacking Tfec develop normally and show no obvious histological alterations. Bone marrow cultures of both wild-type and Tfec-deficient animals gave rise to similar numbers of macrophages in the presence of CSF-1, and the resulting cells were morphologically indistinguishable (data not shown). A comparison of wild-type and Tfec-deficient macrophages using flow cytometry revealed that marker molecules F4/80-Ag, scavenger receptor, CSF-1R (c-Fms), Mac-1, and Gr-1 were expressed at similar levels (Fig. 1). This may indicate a redundant role for Tfec in normal macrophage development.

**Inducible expression pattern of Tfec in macrophages**

Macrophages respond to a large number of environmental cues with sometimes marked phenotypical and functional changes. To investigate whether Tfec might be regulated during macrophage activation, we treated BMM (BALB/c) with a number of cytokines and proinflammatory stimuli to detect changes in Tfec mRNA expression. As shown in Fig. 2A, Tfec mRNA was markedly upregulated by the Th2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13, GM-CSF, and LPS. Several other mediators, including IL-1β, TGF-β, IFN-β, and IFN-γ, had no marked effect on Tfec mRNA expression. Similar results were obtained using the macrophage cell line RAW264.7 (Fig. 2B) and in macrophages from a genetically different background (129Sv/J), with the exception of GM-CSF, which failed to induce Tfec expression in the macrophages from 129Sv/J mice (data not shown). The regulation by LPS and IL-4, the archetypal inducers of classically and alternatively activated macrophages, respectively, was further analyzed. As shown in...
Fig. 2B. LPS induced a rapid, but transient, up-regulation of Tfec mRNA expression, whereas IL-4 treatment resulted in a rapid, but long-lasting, increase in Tfec transcripts. Tfec mRNA induction was dose dependent in both cases (data not shown). In a separate study, one of the authors (D. A. Hume) and his colleagues in the FANTOM3 consortium performed CAGE start site analysis on BMM responding to LPS and CpG DNA (data not shown). The data confirm the rapid, transient induction of Tfec and showed that Tfeb is similarly expressed and regulated, whereas Tfe3 and Mitf are expressed constitutively and are not further inducible.

To test whether IL-4 induction was cell type-specific, we generated BMDC as well as mast cells and stimulated the cultures with IL-4. As shown in Fig. 2C, both myeloid cell types expressed Tfec mRNA levels comparable to macrophages, and Tfec was induced by IL-4 in both cases. No induction by IL-4, however, was observed in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts (data not shown).

To follow the expression of Tfec protein during macrophage activation, we generated a Tfec-specific antiserum that was subsequently used to detect Tfec in Western analyses. The signals were generally below the background staining in untreated and 6-h stimuli-treated macrophages. A clear band with the expected m.w. was reproducibly detected in macrophages that were treated with IL-4 for 12 h (Fig. 3), but not in LPS-treated cells. Without a defined standard, we cannot imply that there is no basal or LPS-inducible Tfec protein in macrophages, but clearly, the levels are significantly elevated by IL-4.

Analysis of LPS- and IL-4-mediated induction of Tfec
To analyze the regulatory mechanisms of LPS- and IL-4–induced up-regulation of Tfec, we performed an analysis of the proximal promoter of the Tfec gene. Initial transfection experiments indicated that a luciferase construct of the proximal promoter was responsive to LPS (but not IL-4) treatment in RAW264.7 cells. Sequence analysis of the proximal human, rat, and mouse Tfec promoter regions revealed the presence of a conserved NF-kB motif in all three species (see Fig. 4). To determine the role of NF-kB in Tfec induction by LPS, we performed gel-shift experiments using nuclear extracts from untreated and LPS-treated RAW264.7 macrophages. As shown in Fig. 5A, the NF-kB motif formed specific and inducible complexes with the NF-kB family member p65. To further confirm its role in LPS-mediated induction of promoter activity, we mutated the conserved NF-kB motif in the previously described 615-bp promoter construct. As shown in Fig. 5B, mutation of the NF-kB element completely abolished LPS-induced reporter activity.

In RAW264.7 macrophages, the proximal promoter of Tfec did not respond to IL-4 stimulation in stable (shown in Fig. 5B) and transient (data not shown) transfections despite the presence of three Stat6 consensus binding sites. However, as shown in Fig. 6B, specific and inducible binding of nuclear Stat6 to two sites within the proximal promoter was demonstrated in gel-shift experiments.

**FIGURE 3.** Expression of Tfec protein is up-regulated in IL-4-treated macrophages. Total cell lysates were prepared from BMM untreated or treated with IL-4 (10 ng/ml) or LPS (1 μg/ml) at 0 h for the indicated time periods. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted. Western analysis was performed using a Tfec antiserum or an anti-β-actin mAb to control for equal loading.

**FIGURE 4.** Sequence alignment of human, rat, and mouse Tfec promoter sequences. The 5′-proximal mouse Tfec promoter was aligned with the corresponding rat and human promoter sequences. In humans, two additional promoter regions may exist. The region corresponding to both murine promoters precedes exon 1b of the human gene (7). Putative binding sites for several transcription factors that were identified using the MatInspector database (Genomatix) are indicated.
using nuclear extracts from BMM. Welch et al. (16) reported that RAW264.7 macrophages are defective in their IL-4 signaling pathway in transfection assays. As shown in Fig. 6A, the IL-4 responsiveness was restored by cotransfecting an expression plasmid for Stat6 as previously described by Welch et al. (16). The Tfec-promoter construct was induced to a similar extent as a multimerized Stat6 site from the human 12/15-lipoxygenase promoter (4×STAT6-TK). Whereas the mutation of the outer (o) Stat6 element had no impact on the reporter activity of the Tfec promoter construct, mutation of the middle (m) and the inner (i) site caused a marked or complete reduction of IL-4-induced activation, respectively (Fig. 6A). To unequivocally demonstrate that Stat6 was required for IL-4 induction of Tfec transcription, we stimulated macrophages from Stat6-deficient mice with IL-4 and compared Tfec expression with corresponding wild-type macrophages. As shown in Fig. 6C, Stat6-deficient macrophages did not induce Tfec mRNA expression after treatment with IL-4.

Global analysis of IL-4-induced gene expression in BMM

The above data indicated that Tfec might act as a secondary transcription factor of the IL-4 signaling cascade in macrophages downstream of Stat6. To characterize the IL-4-induced expression profile of alternatively activated macrophages and to identify those genes that are regulated in a Tfec-dependent fashion, we compared the transcriptome of IL-4-treated wild-type and Tfec-deficient mouse macrophages using cDNA and oligonucleotide microarrays. Because the protein product of the Tfec gene was induced with delayed kinetics, we analyzed the transcriptome of macrophages (both wild type and Tfec deficient) after 16-h treatment with IL-4. The list of genes that were regulated by IL-4 in wild-type macrophages contained a number of genes that were previously described as IL-4 regulated, including, e.g., arginase 1 (Arg1), decsin-1 (Clecsf12), and Ym1 (Chi3l3) as well as Tfec. Microarray results of wild-type macrophages are summarized in Fig. 7, A and B.
FIGURE 6. IL-4-induction of Tfec mRNA is STAT6 dependent. A, Mouse RAW264.7 cells were transiently transfected with the indicated constructs as well as a Stat6 expression plasmid. Sixteen hours before harvesting, cells were treated with IL-4 (20 ng/ml). Induction of luciferase activity is shown relative to the activity of the empty pGL3-B vector. Values are the mean ± SD obtained from three independent experiments. B, Labeled tfec-Stat6i and tfec-Stat6m oligonucleotides were used in EMSA with nuclear proteins (NE) from BMM untreated or treated with IL-4 (10 ng/ml) for 2 h. Addition of unlabeled oligonucleotides for competition analysis (lanes 3 and 4) or antisera against Stat factors (lanes 5 and 6) is indicated above each lane. Stat6-containing complexes are marked with an arrow, Ab supershifts with SS, and unspecific complexes with an asterisk. C, Northern analysis of IL-4-inducible Tfec expression in Stat6-deficient macrophages. Total RNA was isolated from wild-type (wt) and Stat6-deficient (Stat6−/−) BMM untreated or treated with IL-4 (10 ng/ml) at 0 h for the indicated time periods. The blots were hybridized with a 32P-labeled Tfec cDNA. The ethidium bromide staining is shown as a control for mRNA loading.
IL-4 in Tfec-deficient macrophages. Microarray signals of only a small number of genes (listed in Fig. 7, C–F) were significantly altered in mutant macrophages.

To further validate the results obtained by microarray analysis, we analyzed the expression of several genes that were either regulated in wild-type macrophages or affected in Tfec-deficient animals using LightCycler real-time PCR. In wild-type macrophages we focused on transcription factors that were novel targets of IL-4, including early growth response 2 (Egr2), Krox20, Kruppel-like factor 4 (Klf4, Gklf), ets variant gene 3 (Etv3, PEI/Mets), and Trim30. As shown in Fig. 8A–E, all four factors showed an expression pattern similar to the one observed using microarrays.

The effect of Tfec deficiency on genes identified by microarray analysis was generally less reproducible by real-time PCR analysis. Expression profiles of several genes (S100a9, see Fig. 8G; IL17r, see Fig. 8I; Atp2a1, Elav14, F13a1, and 9830147J24Rik, data not shown) as determined by LightCycler PCR in three biologically independent samples were not significantly altered in Tfec-deficient macrophages. Only a small number of genes were significantly and reproducibly altered in Tfec-deficient macrophages, including the previously uncharacterized transcription factor bobby sox homologue (Bbsx, shown in Fig. 8F), the G-CSFR (Csf3r, shown in Fig. 8I), and the serine protease kallikrein 6 (Klk6, shown in Fig. 8H). Because a regulated expression of the G-CSFR in macrophages has not previously been reported, this gene was chosen for detailed study. Based upon the array data (Fig. 7F), the level of G-CSFR mRNA in IL-4-treated, Tfec-deficient macrophages was significantly reduced (2-fold) compared with that in IL-4-treated, wild-type macrophages. To confirm the Tfec-dependent regulation of the Csf3r gene, real-time PCR was performed using wild-type and Tfec-deficient BMM untreated or treated with IL-4 for up to 16 h. As shown in Fig. 8J, the IL-4-dependent induction of the Csf3r gene was markedly reduced in Tfec-deficient macrophages. In contrast, the induction of another known IL-4 target gene in macrophages, arginase 1 (Arg1), was unaffected by the Tfec-mutation (Fig. 8K). To investigate whether the G-CSFR protein was also induced by IL-4 in a Tfec-dependent fashion, we performed Western analyses using membrane lysates from wild-type and Tfec-deficient BMM untreated or treated with IL-4 for up to 30 h. As shown in Fig. 8, L and M, G-CSFR protein was indeed induced after 20-h treatment with IL-4, and the extent of induction was markedly reduced in Tfec-deficient macrophages.

Discussion

The identity and function of differentiated cells are determined by the concerted action of ubiquitous and, most importantly, cell-type-specific transcription factors. We showed previously that the bHLH transcription factor Tfec is specifically expressed in mouse mononuclear phagocytes, indicating a possible role for Tfec in the development or function of these cells (4). The present report further investigates the regulation and function of Tfec in activated macrophages. Upon stimulation, the most striking effects on Tfec levels were observed in IL-4-treated, alternatively activated macrophages. Both mRNA and protein were markedly up-regulated, suggesting a role for Tfec in regulating the alternative activation program in macrophages or dendritic cells. We also identified the first three putative target genes of Tfec in IL-4-treated macrophages, which include the Csf3r gene encoding the G-CSFR.

Based on mRNA expression studies of several macrophage and nonmacrophage cell types and an initial characterization of the proximal mouse Tfec promoter, our previous studies indicated a restricted expression of the mouse transcription factor Tfec in macrophages (4). The TATA-less promoter of Tfec shares features with many known macrophage-specific promoters and preferentially directed luciferase expression in the RAW264.7 macrophage cell line in transient transfection assays. Tfec expression probably depends on the myeloid and B cell-specific transcription factor PU.1, because several PU.1 motifs identified in the Tfec promoter bound PU.1 under in vitro conditions, and coexpression of PU.1 induced luciferase activity of the Tfec promoter in transfected NIH-3T3 fibroblasts (4). Mice lacking Tfec are indistinguishable from their wild-type littermates; they are viable and fertile, normally pigmented, have normal eyes and mast cells, and show no osteopetrosis, indicating that other Mit family members may compensate for Tfec deficiency under normal standard conditions (10).

The normal phenotype of Tfec-deficient mice is surprising, because the expression pattern of Tfec is highly cell type specific. In humans, according to two reference databases for gene expression (LSBM: www.lsbm.org/site_e/database/index.html) or GNF SymAtlas: (symatlas.gnf.org), Tfec mRNA expression appears even more macrophage restricted than many other macrophage markers, including PU.1. Given that the effect of Mitf mutation in mice is dependent upon genetic background, it is possible that Tfec deficiency would have a greater effect if backcrossed to distinct inbred strains.

In this study we considered the possibility that Tfec functions not in macrophage differentiation, but in some function associated with innate or acquired immunity. We studied the modulation of Tfec expression in macrophages treated with different pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators and found that Tfec expression at both the mRNA and protein levels is strongly induced in mouse macrophages by the Th2 cytokine IL-4. IL-4 also induced Tfec expression in two other PU.1-expressing cell types: myeloid BMDC and BMMC. As an important role for the Mit family member microphthalmia transcription factor (Mitf) has been demonstrated in mast cell biology, it will be interesting to study the role of Tfec in mast cells treated with IL-4. The apparent delay in Tfec protein expression in IL-4-treated macrophages and the absence of detectable Tfec protein in LPS-stimulated macrophages despite the up-regulation of Tfec mRNA may indicate that IL-4 positively regulates Tfec at the level of protein stability in addition to the transcriptional level.

IL-4 (and IL-13) are generally produced in Th2-type responses, particularly in allergic, cellular, and humoral responses to extracellular and parasitic pathogens (17). They are known to induce an alternative activation program in macrophages that is distinct from the classical activation induced by the Th1 cytokine IFN-γ (13). Recently, it was demonstrated that IL-4Rα signaling and hence the generation of alternatively activated macrophages are critical for host survival during schistosomiasis (18). The alternative activation program includes the up-regulation of several receptors involved in phagocytosis (e.g., mannose receptor (19) or dectin-1 (20)) and Ag presentation (e.g., MHC class II molecules) (21). Alternatively activated macrophages are known to produce a distinct set of chemokines (e.g., CCL17 and CCL22) (22, 23) and...

![FIGURE 7. Microarray analysis of IL-4-dependent and independent gene regulation in wild-type and Tfec-deficient macrophages. A and B, Microarray detection of transcripts that increased (A) or decreased (B) at least 3-fold by wild-type BMM in response to recombinant mouse IL-4 (10 ng/ml). C–F, Comparison of transcript levels from wild-type (wt) and Tfec-deficient (Tfec−/−) BMM either untreated (D and E) or treated with IL-4 for 16 h (C and F). Transcripts that were further analyzed by real-time PCR are highlighted.](http://www.jimmunol.org/Downloaded from http://www.jimmunol.org)
intracellular enzymes (e.g., arginase 1) (24), and responses to microbial stimulation are different from classically activated macrophages in terms of cytokine release and production of reactive oxygen species (13). Our analysis of Tfec function entailed the first global analysis of IL-4-induced gene regulation in alternatively activated macrophages. We identified most of the previously characterized IL-4 target genes in macrophages and, in addition, characterized a new set of genes that may play a role in the biology of alternatively activated macrophages.

As expected, many of the identified genes were inversely regulated in IL-4-stimulated compared with IFN-$\gamma$-activated macrophages, including Socs1 and Socs2 (up-regulated by IL-4), Stat1 (down-regulated by IL-4), and a large number of LPS- or IFN-inducible genes, e.g., Ip10, TLR2 (Tlr2), dsRNA-activated kinase (PKR, Prkr), or Cd14 (all down-regulated by IL-4).

A number of IL-4 target genes in macrophages have previously been identified on dendritic cells, including the B7 family members B7DC (Pdec11g2) and B7H1 (Pdec11g1), and the Cd83 Ag. Interestingly, IL-4 also induced three endothelial cell markers in macrophages: E-cadherin (Cdh1), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (Flt1), and von Willebrand factor (Vwf). Expression of these markers was previously noted in human immature dendritic cells that develop into endothelial-like cells when cultured in the presence of angiogenic growth factors (25).

Notably, we identified several transcription factors that are induced by IL-4, including, e.g., msh-like homeobox gene 3 (Mssh3), early growth response 2 (Egr2, Krox20), bHLH transcription factor STRA13/Dec1 (Bhlhb2), IL-3-induced NF (Nfil3), Kruppel-like factor 4 (Klf4, Gklf), basic transcription element-binding protein 1 (Bteb1), and ets variant gene 3 (Etv3, PE1/Mets). It is conceivable that these transcription factors serve as secondary regulators of IL-4 signaling in macrophages and in some measure complement a Tfec deficiency. STRA13/Dec1, in particular, is another E box-binding protein that has been implicated in the regulation of STAT3 activity (26). It will be interesting to analyze their contributions to the phenotype of alternatively activated macrophages. In addition to Th2-type environments, tumors can induce an alternative activation macrophage phenotype (27). Tfec was originally cloned from a rat chondrosarcoma; however, no expression was detected in the respective chondrosarcoma cell line (5). It is therefore conceivable that Tfec is also induced in tumor-associated macrophages. The same might also be true for the above-mentioned transcription factors. Clearly, further studies are needed.
to elucidate the role of these factors in tumor-associated macrophages.

To identify potential target genes of IL-4-induced Tfec in alternatively activated macrophages, we compared the expression profiles of IL-4-treated macrophages from wild-type and Tfec-deficient mice. Our LightCycler real-time PCR results indicate that only a small set of genes is differentially regulated, including the bobby show homologue (Bbx), Evi3 (short variant), G-CSFR (Csf3r), and kallikrein 6 (Klk6). Apparently, macrophages are largely able to compensate for Tfec deficiency. It needs to be clarified whether Tfec directly or indirectly regulated the above genes.

The expression profile of the G-CSFR gene was further analyzed. Up-regulation of the G-CSFR in alternatively activated macrophages has not been previously described and represents an interesting and potentially relevant finding in itself. It is clear that G-CSF is an essential factor for neutrophil development (28), but the actions of this cytokine on mononuclear phagocytes are not well defined. Low level expression of G-CSFR on mouse macrophages (29) as well as on human monocytes (30) has been demonstrated. In humans, the administration of G-CSF increased the expression of CR1, CR3, FcRl, and FcRIII on monocytes (31). Other studies indicate that G-CSF attenuates monocyte IL-12 and TNF release upon stimulation with LPS (30). Studies of G-CSF-deficient peritoneal mouse macrophages demonstrated a strong effect of G-CSF deficiency on macrophage activities and numbers (32). Our results show that the G-CSFR is strongly induced on macrophages by the Th2 cytokine IL-4, suggesting that G-CSF might specifically act on alternatively activated macrophages. It was also shown that G-CSF augments IL-4 secretion by T lymphocytes (33), indicating a role for G-CSF in Th2 immune responses. It is possible that the neutrophil growth factor G-CSF plays an additional, but as yet unrecognized, role in the biology and function of alternatively activated macrophages. A secondary issue that arises from a role for Tfec in G-CSFR production is that Tfec might have a role in granulocyte differentiation. Many granulocyte promoters also possess PU.1 sites, and PU.1 deficiency also ablates granulocyte production in mice (34, 35). Although the Tfec-deficient mice are not grossly granulocyte deficient, a detailed study of this lineage might reveal another role for Tfec.

In conclusion, we provide a global analysis of transcripts expressed by IL-4-treated mouse macrophages. We show that Tfec, along with several other transcription factors, is a Stat6-dependent target of IL-4. Tfec deficiency appears to have a mild impact on IL-4-induced gene expression, affecting only a few genes. Interestingly, Tfec participates in the IL-4 induction of the G-CSFR that is strongly induced on alternatively activated macrophages. Our results will inform further studies of the impact of the newly identified IL-4 targets as well as Tfec and G-CSFR up-regulation in macrophages in both tumor and Th2-type environments.
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