



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Lowering blood pressure after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis using individual patient data from randomised controlled trials participating in the Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC)

Citation for published version:

Moullaali, TJ, Wang, X, Woodhouse, LJ, Law, ZK, Delcourt, C, Sprigg, N, Krishnan, K, Robinson, TG, Wardlaw, JM, Al-shahi Salman, R, Berge, E, Sandset, EC, Anderson, CS & Bath, PM 2019, 'Lowering blood pressure after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis using individual patient data from randomised controlled trials participating in the Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC)', *BMJ Open*, vol. 9, no. 7, e030121. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030121>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030121](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030121)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

BMJ Open

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



BMJ Open Lowering blood pressure after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis using individual patient data from randomised controlled trials participating in the Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC)

Tom J Moullaali,^{1,2} Xia Wang,² Lisa J Woodhouse,³ Zhe Kang Law,^{3,4} Candice Delcourt,^{2,5} Nikola Sprigg,^{3,6} Kailash Krishnan,^{3,6} Thompson G Robinson,^{7,8} Joanna M Wardlaw,¹ Rustam Al-Shahi Salman,¹ Eivind Berge,⁹ Else C Sandset,^{10,11} Craig S Anderson,^{2,12} Philip M Bath,^{3,6} The BASC Investigators

To cite: Moullaali TJ, Wang X, Woodhouse LJ, *et al*. Lowering blood pressure after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis using individual patient data from randomised controlled trials participating in the Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC). *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e030121. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030121

► Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030121>).

Received 28 February 2019
Revised 2 May 2019
Accepted 14 June 2019



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Craig S Anderson;
canderson@georgeinstitute.org.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction Conflicting results from multiple randomised trials indicate that the methods and effects of blood pressure (BP) reduction after acute intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) are complex. The Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration is an international collaboration, which aims to determine the optimal management of BP after acute stroke including ICH.

Methods and analysis A systematic review will be undertaken according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis of Individual Participant Data (IPD) guideline. A search of Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE and MEDLINE from inception will be conducted to identify randomised controlled trials of BP management in adults with acute spontaneous (non-traumatic) ICH enrolled within the first 7 days of symptom onset. Authors of studies that meet the inclusion criteria will be invited to share their IPD. The primary outcome will be functional outcome according to the modified Rankin Scale. Safety outcomes will be early neurological deterioration, symptomatic hypotension and serious adverse events. Secondary outcomes will include death and neuroradiological and haemodynamic variables. Meta-analyses of pooled IPD using the intention-to-treat dataset of included trials, including subgroup analyses to assess modification of the effects of BP lowering by time to treatment, treatment strategy and patient's demographic, clinical and prestroke neuroradiological characteristics.

Ethics and dissemination No new patient data will be collected nor is there any deviation from the original purposes of each study where ethical approvals were granted; therefore, further ethical approval is not required. Results will be reported in international peer-reviewed journals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration is an international collaboration with the prospective aim of pooling individual participant data (IPD) from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of blood pressure (BP) management in acute stroke, including intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH).
- Meta-analysis of IPD is regarded as the gold standard for synthesising evidence from multiple RCTs.
- This study aims to collect sufficient data to determine the optimal management of BP in acute ICH and will facilitate comparisons across subgroups according to patient characteristics, time to treatment and treatment strategy.
- This study will identify associations of treatment-related haemodynamic parameters, which will inform future research.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42019141136.

INTRODUCTION

Rationale

Acute treatments proven to alter the prognosis of stroke due to spontaneous (non-traumatic) intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) are limited. A 2014 Cochrane review that appraised the totality of evidence for blood pressure (BP) lowering within 1 week of acute stroke concluded that the supporting evidence was insufficient to make clear recommendations about this intervention,

and the findings were similar for ischaemic stroke and ICH.¹ In regard to ICH, several randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have focused on early intensive BP reduction and included participants with mild-to-moderate acute ICH in the hospital setting.²⁻⁴ Other trials commenced BP lowering in the prehospital period before diagnostic brain imaging, and by their nature included a mixture of ischaemic stroke and ICH patients, including more severe cases of ICH.⁵⁻⁷ Data from ICH cases are also available from RCTs, which used longer inclusion windows (up to 48 hours).⁸⁻¹² Furthermore, various agents and BP targets have been tested, and few trials considered prognostic implications of prestroke neuroimaging characteristics. Therefore, a substantial and varied body of evidence about BP lowering and outcome after acute ICH is available, but much of it is conflicting.¹³

The Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC) is an international collaboration, which aims to prospectively pool individual participant data (IPD) from RCTs of BP control after acute stroke, including stroke due to ICH.^{14 15} IPD meta-analysis is considered the gold-standard for synthesising evidence from RCTs¹⁶ and, in this context, provides added value to meta-analyses of aggregate data¹⁷ by facilitating multi-variable analyses of treatment effects and subgroup analyses according to time to treatment, treatment strategy used and baseline characteristics including neurological severity and haematoma volume, adjusted for confounding factors.

Primary objective

To determine the effect of BP lowering on clinical outcomes in patients with acute ICH and elevated BP.

Secondary objectives

1. To determine the effect of BP lowering according to baseline patient clinical and neuroradiological characteristics, BP lowering strategy and timing of the intervention.
2. To determine the effect of BP lowering on radiological outcomes.
3. Where sufficient data are available, report associations of on-treatment haemodynamic parameters, adjusted for known confounders.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This systematic review and IPD meta-analysis will be performed in accordance with the recommendations made by the methods group of the Cochrane Collaboration (<http://ipdmamg.cochrane.org/resources>) and the UK Medical Research Council Network of Hubs for Trials Methodology Research.¹⁸ The protocol has been developed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses of IPD checklist¹⁹ and has been registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews, PROSPERO.²⁰

Patient and public involvement

This protocol was developed without the involvement of patients or members of the public.

Eligibility criteria

Study designs

RCTs of BP reduction during the acute phase (<7 days) of stroke will be included.

Participants

Adults (aged >18 years) with spontaneous ICH will be included. For trials including both ICH and ischaemic stroke, we will include only patients with ICH. Participants with secondary ICH (eg, due to trauma, tumour or vascular malformation) will be excluded. Uncertainty about an individual trial's eligibility criteria will be clarified with the appropriate investigator.

Interventions

Trials that involve interventions that lower BP including oral, sublingual, transdermal and intravenous agents and single or combination therapy will be considered.

Comparators

Control groups will typically be managed with placebo or according to contemporaneous guideline recommendations.

Outcomes

Trials that report data on death and functional outcome using the seven-level modified Rankin Scale (mRS, where scores range from 0=no symptoms to 5=severe disability and 6=death) at 90 days will be included. Where outcome data are not reported this way, the nearest time point or suitable surrogate will be considered. Data regarding other outcomes (survival, quality of life, cognitive function), serious adverse events (SAEs), haematoma characteristics (growth, final volume, mass effect, oedema) and haemodynamic measures will be sought.

Setting

There will be no restrictions on the type of setting.

Language

There will be no language restriction.

Information sources

Trials will be sought using electronic searches of Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE and MEDLINE and in the reference lists of published systematic reviews and *ad hoc* reviews. Ongoing or unpublished trials will be identified using ClinicalTrials.gov and the WHO's International Clinical Trials Registry Platform.

Search strategy

Searches of the above bibliographic databases will be conducted using a combination of search terms relevant to the proposed study (online supplementary material 1). Databases will be searched from inception to the present. The search strategy will be enhanced through searches of

the existing BASC reviews, the authors' publication databases and reference lists in identified articles.

Selection process

All titles and abstracts will be screened against the eligibility criteria by TJM and ZKL. Any disagreements will be settled by an adjudicator, PMB. The principal investigators of eligible studies will be invited to participate in the collaboration.

Data management

Data sharing

Investigators of eligible studies will receive a written invitation to share their IPD. To ensure transparency, collaborators sharing data with BASC will be asked to sign a data transfer agreement for the predefined and appropriate use of their data according to this protocol.

Data checking

Initial internal analyses will compare data from each trial with their published results to ensure that data are complete and transferred without error: this will include checking the primary outcome and all baseline variables or secondary outcomes relevant to the proposed analyses. The integrity of all data will be checked and any queries resolved with individual trial investigators.

Data merging

Datasets obtained from collaborating studies will be combined to form a new master dataset, which will include a variable to indicate the original study.

Confidentiality, data storage and handling

Each collaborator will deidentify their dataset to ensure no patient identifiable information is transferred. Data will be shared electronically and stored on password-protected, encrypted hard disks in a locked room, with daily backup facilitating disaster recovery.¹⁵ Data will not be shared with anyone outside the collaborating group.

Data items

Variables that will be requested from participating investigators are listed in online supplementary material 2, and can be outlined as follows:

- ▶ Trial information
- ▶ Demographics
- ▶ Medical history
- ▶ Medications at the time of admission
- ▶ Baseline clinical variables
- ▶ Baseline neuroimaging characteristics of the acute ICH and prestroke features (leukoaraiosis, atrophy, prior stroke lesions)
- ▶ BP treatment and all trial BP data
- ▶ Clinical and radiological outcome data

Study outcomes

Primary outcome

The preferred primary effect variable will be functional outcome defined by the ordinal distribution of mRS scores at the end of trial follow-up (usually 3 months).

Secondary outcomes

(1) Death and dependency (3–6 on the mRS); (2) death or severe disability (4–6 on the mRS); (3) all-cause death; and (4) health-related quality of life (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain or discomfort and anxiety or depression), as assessed with the use of the European Quality of Life–5 Dimensions questionnaire.

Safety outcomes

(1) Early neurological deterioration (as defined by each individual trial); (2) symptomatic hypotension (as defined by each individual trial); and (3) other SAEs, as defined by trial, to include fatal, non-fatal and treatment-related SAEs (including renal SAEs).

Radiological outcomes

(1) Haematoma growth, both absolute and proportional, will be studied where these data were collected by individual trials and is based on central measurements from semiautomated planimetric²¹ or ABC/2²² methods; (2) other imaging outcomes (eg, perihematoma oedema) will be included in supplemental analyses.

Subgroup analyses

Where adequate data are available, heterogeneity in the effect of BP lowering on outcomes will be assessed in the following subgroups to determine whether any effects of BP lowering are moderated by patient's characteristics and BP lowering treatment (agent, target, timing or place of delivery):

- ▶ Baseline characteristics: demographic (age, sex, region), clinical (stroke severity, baseline systolic BP, prestroke antihypertensive drug use, prestroke antithrombotic use) and radiological parameters (ICH volume, pre-stroke characteristics).
- ▶ BP lowering strategy: intervention/class-based treatment, BP target-based treatment.
- ▶ Timing of intervention: <2 hours, 2–6 hours, 6–48 hours and >48 hours after onset of ICH.
- ▶ Type of trial: prehospital versus hospital and ICH only versus mixed.

Associations of calculated BP parameters and primary outcome

Once all data have been pooled, an assessment of the new dataset will be made with a view to use trial BP and heart rate measures to calculate important BP lowering haemodynamic parameters, a number of which have been associated with outcome after ICH.^{23–26} The aim is to determine the prognostic significance of these calculated variables, adjusted for all known confounders, and present these data in secondary analyses.

Risk of bias in individual studies

Two investigators (TJM and ZKL) will assess each included study for bias using the Cochrane Collaboration tool (<http://methods.cochrane.org/bias/assessing-risk-bias-included-studies>). A judgement of bias will be made according to six domains: selection, performance, detection, attrition, reporting and 'other' biases. Disagreements

will be resolved with discussion or by involving PMB if necessary. Any uncertainties about trial design, conduct or analysis methods will be clarified with the individual trial's investigators.

Statistical analyses

Primary analyses will be performed using the intention-to-treat dataset from each trial with a one-stage approach. Patients without available data or where the above procedure for missing data cannot be applied will be excluded from these analyses.

Online supplementary material 3 contains table and figure shells that will be used to present our findings. In summary, descriptive analyses will be undertaken in order to identify key similarities and differences between trials, therefore providing context for interpretation of between-trial differences in outcomes. Data will be described as mean (SD) or median (IQR) for continuous data or frequency (percentage) for categorical data, and chi-squared or Kruskal-Wallis tests will be used to make comparisons.

Generalised linear mixed models will be used with covariates (age, sex, ICH severity, time from onset to randomisation), and the source trial added as a random effect to account for clustering. For completeness, analyses will also be performed unadjusted. Results from binary and ordinal analyses (eg, death, dichotomised outcomes of mRS scale, neurological deterioration, SAEs, full-scale mRS) will be presented as ORs with 95% CIs. The proportional odds assumption will be checked before ordinal analyses of outcomes on the mRS are undertaken. If the proportional odds assumption is not met, various standard binary cut-points for poor outcome on the mRS will be used. Continuous or pseudocontinuous outcome analysis results will be presented as mean difference with 95% CI. For time-to-event outcomes, a Cox proportional hazards model will be used to determine HRs with the source trial added as a random effect, and the assumption of proportional hazards will be tested.

Additional analyses will be performed in prespecified subgroups, with an interaction term in models to test heterogeneity.

Sensitivity analyses using a two-stage approach will be conducted to test the robustness of our primary results and will permit (1) inclusion of aggregate data from studies where IPD cannot be obtained to address the issue of data availability bias²⁷ and (2) assessment with and without studies deemed to have high risk of bias.

An assessment of heterogeneity will be performed before data pooling using the Cochrane Q statistic and I² statistic.

An assessment of publication bias will be made by visual inspection for funnel plot asymmetry (with and without studies where IPD is obtained) and with Egger's regression test. The Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation²⁸ will be used to evaluate the quality of the synthesised evidence.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical approval for the original studies was sought and is documented elsewhere. No new patient data will be collected nor is there any deviation from the original purposes of each study; therefore, further ethical approval is not required. Results from this study will be published in international peer-reviewed journals. All publications from this work will be in the name of the BASC Investigators.

DISCUSSION

The proposed study will use pooled IPD from RCTs to address the role of BP management in the acute phase of ICH. Conflicting results from multiple clinical trials indicate that BP reduction is not a straightforward question of whether to treat or not. Rather, the problem is complex and needs to take account of patient characteristics, physiological parameters including baseline BP, stroke severity, the timing of treatment, strategy and class of antihypertensive agent, route of administration and dose or target BP.

Elevated baseline systolic BP is associated with haematoma expansion,²⁹ perihæmatoma oedema formation³⁰ and increased case fatality.³¹ There is evidence from some studies that intensive lowering of BP reduces haematoma enlargement,³² is safe and tolerable^{2,3} and does not alter cerebral blood flow.³³ Current guidelines recommend lowering BP early in the course after ICH and that targeting a goal of systolic BP <140 mm Hg is probably safe in patients presenting with an SBP of 150–220 mm Hg.^{34,35} Nevertheless, other studies reported no effect of BP reduction on haematoma enlargement,^{3,4} and the neutral findings of the ATACH-II trial⁴ emphasise that all published data need to be appraised together.

Although guidelines recognise the need for very early treatment, none address the role of prehospital BP reduction. Further evidence is also required to confirm associations between key haemodynamic variables, such as BP variability,²³ with poor outcome. Furthermore, the influence of patient's characteristics that are present prior to ICH on BP lowering interventions is unknown, although these same variables are known to worsen long-term recovery; these include having a prior stroke, white matter lesions or brain atrophy. The proposed investigation aims to provide definitive evidence on these important issues.

Author affiliations

¹Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

²George Institute for Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

³Stroke Trials Unit, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁴National University of Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

⁵Neurology Department, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

⁶Stroke, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

⁷Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁸National Institute for Health Research Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, Leicester, UK

⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

¹⁰Neurology Department, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

¹¹Research and Development, Norwegian Air Ambulance Foundation, Bodo, Norway

¹²Neurology Department, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

Collaborators This protocol paper is written on behalf of the Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC, convener PMB). BASC-ICH comprises the following: ATACH-II: Adnan I Qureshi, Yuko Palesch; CHHIPS: John Potter, ENOS: Philip M Bath, Nikola Sprigg, Joanna M Wardlaw; FAST-Mag: Jeff Saver, Nerses Sanossian; GTN-1/2/3, RIGHT: Philip M Bath; ICH-ADAPT: Ken Butcher; IMAGES: Kennedy R Lees, Keith W Muir; INTERACT: Craig S Anderson; INTERACT2: Craig S Anderson, Hisatomi Arima; PILFAST: Gary Ford; RIGHT-2: Philip M Bath, Nikola Sprigg, Joanna M Wardlaw; SCAST: Eivind Berge, Else C Sandset; VENUS: Janneke Horn.

Contributors PMB, TJM, ECS and XW were responsible for first draft of the protocol, study conception and critical review of the current protocol. XW and LJW were responsible for statistical oversight. ZKL, CD, NS, KK, TGR, JW, RASS, EB and CSA were responsible for study conception and critical review of the current protocol.

Funding Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration has not received specific grants from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. Potential trials for inclusion have reported their own funding. TJM is a British Heart Foundation clinical research training fellow. PMB is a Stroke Association Professor of Stroke Medicine. PMB and TGR are NIHR Senior Investigators. CSA is a Senior Research Fellow of the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- Bath PM, Krishnan K. Interventions for deliberately altering blood pressure in acute stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;10:CD000039.
- Anderson CS, Huang Y, Wang JG, *et al*. Intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral haemorrhage trial (INTERACT): a randomised pilot trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2008;7:391–9.
- Anderson CS, Heeley E, Huang Y, *et al*. Rapid-pressure lowering in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:2355–65.
- Qureshi AI, Palesch YY, Barsan WG, *et al*. Intensive blood-pressure lowering in patients with acute cerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:1033–43.
- Saver JL, Starkman S, Eckstein M, *et al*. Prehospital use of magnesium sulfate as neuroprotection in acute stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:528–36.
- Shaw L, Price C, McLure S, *et al*. Paramedic initiated lisinopril for acute stroke treatment (PIL-FAST): study protocol for a pilot randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2011;12:152.
- Ankolekar S, Fuller M, Cross I, *et al*. Feasibility of an ambulance-based stroke trial, and safety of glyceryl trinitrate in ultra-acute stroke: the rapid intervention with glyceryl trinitrate in Hypertensive Stroke Trial (RIGHT, ISRCTN66434824). *Stroke* 2013;44:3120–8.
- Sandset EC, Bath PM, Boysen G, *et al*. The angiotensin-receptor blocker candesartan for treatment of acute stroke (SCAST): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2011;377:741–50.
- Bath PM, Woodhouse L, Scutt P, *et al*. Efficacy of nitric oxide, with or without continuing antihypertensive treatment, for management of high blood pressure in acute stroke (ENOS): a partial-factorial randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015;385:617–28.
- Potter JF, Robinson TG, Ford GA, *et al*. Controlling hypertension and hypotension immediately post-stroke (CHHIPS): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind pilot trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:48–56.
- Robinson TG, Potter JF, Ford GA, *et al*. Effects of antihypertensive treatment after acute stroke in the Continue or Stop Post-Stroke Antihypertensives Collaborative Study (COSSACS): a prospective, randomised, open, blinded-endpoint trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9:767–75.
- Koch S, Romano JG, Forteza AM, *et al*. Rapid blood pressure reduction in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: feasibility and safety. *Neurocrit Care* 2008;8:316–21.
- Bath PM, Appleton JP, Krishnan K, *et al*. Blood pressure in acute stroke: to treat or not to treat: that is still the question. *Stroke* 2018;49:1784–90.
- Bath FJ, Bath PM. What is the correct management of blood pressure in acute stroke? The blood pressure in acute stroke collaboration. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1997;7:205–13.
- Sandset EC, Sanossian N, Woodhouse LJ, *et al*. Protocol for a prospective collaborative systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized controlled trials of vasoactive drugs in acute stroke: the blood pressure in acute stroke collaboration, stage-3. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:759–65.
- Clarke MJ, Stewart LA. Obtaining data from randomised controlled trials: how much do we need for reliable and informative meta-analyses? *BMJ* 1994;309:1007–10.
- Lattanzi S, Cagnetti C, Provinciali L, *et al*. How should we lower blood pressure after cerebral hemorrhage? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2017;43:207–13.
- Tierney JF, Vale C, Riley R, *et al*. Individual participant data (ipd) meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: guidance on their use. *PLoS Med* 2015;12:e1001855.
- Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, *et al*. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analyses of individual participant data: the PRISMA-IPD statement. *JAMA* 2015;313:1657–65.
- Booth A, Clarke M, Dooley G, *et al*. The nuts and bolts of PROSPERO: an international prospective register of systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2012;1:2.
- Bardera A, Boada I, Feixas M, *et al*. Semi-automated method for brain hematoma and edema quantification using computed tomography. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 2009;33:304–11.
- Kothari RU, Brott T, Broderick JP, *et al*. The ABCs of measuring intracerebral hemorrhage volumes. *Stroke* 1996;27:1304–5.
- Manning L, Hirakawa Y, Arima H, *et al*. Blood pressure variability and outcome after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: a post-hoc analysis of INTERACT2, a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:364–73.
- Wang X, Arima H, Heeley E, *et al*. Magnitude of blood pressure reduction and clinical outcomes in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral hemorrhage trial study. *Hypertension* 2015;65:1026–32.
- Carcel C, Wang X, Sato S, *et al*. Degree and timing of intensive blood pressure lowering on hematoma growth in intracerebral hemorrhage: intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral hemorrhage trial-2 results. *Stroke* 2016;47:1651–3.
- Arima H, Heeley E, Delcourt C, *et al*. Optimal achieved blood pressure in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: INTERACT2. *Neurology* 2015;84:464–71.
- Ahmed I, Sutton AJ, Riley RD. Assessment of publication bias, selection bias, and unavailable data in meta-analyses using individual participant data: a database survey. *BMJ* 2012;344:d7762.
- Iorio A, Spencer FA, Falavigna M, *et al*. Use of GRADE for assessment of evidence about prognosis: rating confidence in estimates of event rates in broad categories of patients. *BMJ* 2015;350:h870.
- Kazui S, Minematsu K, Yamamoto H, *et al*. Predisposing factors to enlargement of spontaneous intracerebral hematoma. *Stroke* 1997;28:2370–5.
- Vemmos KN, Tsvigoulis G, Spengos K, *et al*. Association between 24-h blood pressure monitoring variables and brain oedema in patients with hyperacute stroke. *J Hypertens* 2003;21:2167–73.
- Zhang Y, Reilly KH, Tong W, *et al*. Blood pressure and clinical outcome among patients with acute stroke in Inner Mongolia, China. *J Hypertens* 2008;26:1446–52.
- Anderson CS, Huang Y, Arima H, *et al*. Effects of early intensive blood pressure-lowering treatment on the growth of hematoma and perihematomal edema in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: the intensive blood pressure reduction in acute cerebral haemorrhage trial (INTERACT). *Stroke* 2010;41:307–12.
- Butcher K, Jeerakathil T, Emery D, *et al*. The intracerebral haemorrhage acutely decreasing arterial pressure trial: ICH ADAPT. *Int J Stroke* 2010;5:227–33.
- Steiner T, Al-Shahi Salman R, Beer R, *et al*. European Stroke Organisation (ESO) guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9:840–55.
- Hemphill JC, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, *et al*. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2015;46:2032–60.