

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Controlled Deprotection and Reorganization of Uranyl Oxo Groups in a Binuclear Macrocyclic Environment

Citation for published version:

Jones, GM, Årnold, PL & Love, JB 2012, 'Controlled Deprotection and Reorganization of Uranyl Oxo Groups in a Binuclear Macrocyclic Environment', Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 51, no. 50, pp. 12584-12587. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207609

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1002/anie.201207609

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Angewandte Chemie International Edition

Publisher Rights Statement: Copyright © 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim; all rights reserved.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



This is the peer-reviewed version of the following article:

Jones, G. M., Arnold, P. L., & Love, J. B. (2012). Controlled Deprotection and Reorganization of Uranyl Oxo Groups in a Binuclear Macrocyclic Environment. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 51(50), 12584-12587.

which has been published in final form at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207609</u>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving (<u>http://olabout.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/id-</u><u>817011.html</u>).

Manuscript received: 19/09/2012; Article published: 06/11/2012

Controlled Deprotection and Reorganization of Uranyl Oxo Groups in a Binuclear Macrocyclic Environment**

Guy M. Jones,¹ Polly L. Arnold,^{1,*} and Jason B. Love^{1,*}

^[1]EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JJ, UK.

[*]Corresponding authors; P.L.A. <u>polly.arnold@ed.ac.uk</u> or J.B.L. <u>jason.love@ed.ac.uk</u> fax: (+) 44 131 6504743

^[**]We thank the University of Edinburgh and EPSRC(UK) for funding.

Supporting information:

Available at <u>http://www.angewandte.org</u> or from the author.

Graphical abstract:



Keywords:

actinides; Schiff base macrocycles; silylation; uranium; uranyl complexes

Abstract

Switching on uranium(V) reactivity - The silylated uranium(V) dioxo complex $[(Me_3SiOUO)_2(L)_2]$ A is inert to oxidation but after two-electron reduction to $[(Me_3SiOUO)_2(L)]^{2-}$ **1**, it can be desilylated with pyridine-*N*-oxide to form the U^{V/V} complex $[OU(\mu-O)_2UO(L)_2]^{2-}$ **2** – a binuclear uranium(V) oxo complex with reinstated 'yl character. The removal of this silyl protecting group uncovers new redox and oxo rearrangement chemistry for uranium, so reforming the traditional linear uranyl motif and involving the U^{VI/V} couple in dioxygen reduction.

Main text

The two, strongly covalently bound, mutually *trans* oxo ligands of uranyl compounds are highly inert towards 'yl group reactions or exchange,^[1] even upon single electron reduction to $[UO_2]^+$. However, these latter f⁴ compounds show enhanced Lewis basicity, resulting in recent studies on oxo coordination to alkali metals,^[2, 3] lanthanides,^[4] actinides,^[5] and other uranyl cations^[3, 6, 7] through so called cation-cation interactions (CCIs), as well as coordination to Lewis acidic boranes.^[8] Although kinetically inert under anaerobic conditions, the vast majority of uranyl(V) complexes decompose upon exposure to air, either by oxidation or, more frequently, proton-coupled disproportionation reactions.^{[9],[10]}

In 2008 we reported a new reaction for the uranyl dication in which concurrent single-electron reduction and oxo-group silylation occurred, providing the first example of covalent bond formation for the uranyl oxo-group.^[11] In this case, a single uranyl cation was complexed by the Schiff-base polypyrrolic macrocycle L in a Pacman-shaped cleft structure, a design that facilitates this chemistry. Since this discovery, the reductive silylation of uranyl β -ketoiminate complexes^[12] and their perfluoroborane adducts has been reported, but overall oxo-functionalization reactions remain rare.^[8], ^[13] These oxo-silylated complexes are stable indefinitely under anaerobic conditions although little is known of their air stabilities.



Scheme 1. Aerobic and aqueous stability of the uranium siloxydioxo complex $[(Me_3SiOUO)_2(L)]$ **A** and the contrasting spontaneous disproportionation of structurally related CCI complexes **B**

We reported recently the synthesis of the binuclear uranium(V) dioxo complex **A** of L (Scheme 1).^[14] This complex is unique in that it is derived from two *trans*-uranyl dications, but features one mutually *trans* and one mutually *cis* oxo ligand within a multiply bonded U_2O_2 core, as well as two silvlated *exo*-oxo groups; **A** can be considered as an oxo-rearranged, silvlated analogue of the dimeric CCI complex **B**. The ready availability of **A** and its surprising inertness towards disproportionation, hydrolysis and oxidation led us to investigate the origin of its unique stability. Herein, we describe for the first time a method that allows the removal of the silvl groups, reinstating oxo 'yl character, and show that this deprotection step is key to the rearrangement of the *cis, trans*-oxo motif back to the traditional linear uranyl geometry. We also show that the U^{VI/V} redox couple can be exploited to carry out the two electron reduction of dioxygen to peroxide.

The reaction between **A** and two equivalents of potassium graphite or potassium metal in THF cleanly generates the U^{IV}/U^{IV} salt K₂[(Me₃SiOUO)₂(L)] **1a** in solution (Scheme 2). The complex retains its C_{2v} symmetry upon reduction, with seven ligand resonances displayed between +35 and -35 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The additional resonance at 39.6 ppm integrating to 18 protons demonstrates that both of the SiMe₃ groups are retained upon reduction of the uranium centers. Although highly soluble, **1** can be isolated in the solid state by treating a solution of the THF-solvate **1a** with two equivalents of 18-crown-6, precipitating [K(THF)₂(18-crown-6)]₂[(Me₃SiOUO)₂(L)] **1b** in 60 % yield.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of complexes 1-4: $\mathbf{a} = \text{THF}$ solvate, $\mathbf{b} = \text{THF}/18$ -crown-6 solvate, $\mathbf{c} =$ pyridine solvate. 3 and 4 are pyridine solvated. The bonding of K and the resulting nuclearities of the complexes are not shown.

While the growth of single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction was not possible due to the poor solubility of this material in THF, its composition is supported by ¹H NMR, IR, and UV-Vis spectroscopies and elemental analysis (see SI). As expected, re-oxidation of either **1a** or **1b** with single equivalents of iodine leads to the clean reformation of **A** with elimination of potassium iodide. To our surprise however, carrying out the analogous two-electron oxidation with pyridine-*N*-oxide results in the formation of the doubly desilylated, binuclear U^V compound K₂[(OUO)₂(L)] **2** and half an equivalent of (Me₃Si)₂O as the only products observable by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** is similar to **A**, albeit with the notable absence of a SiMe₃ resonance at 15 ppm. Isolation of the pyridine solvate **2c** in the bulk is achieved using a one-pot strategy in which **A** is reduced to the U^{IV}/U^{IV} salt **1c** and then oxidized by the addition of one equivalent of pyridine-N-oxide; boiling the resulting mixture for 6 days results in the precipitation of crystalline **2c** in 69 % yield.



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of **2c**. For clarity, all hydrogen atoms and pyridine donors to potassium are omitted; where shown, displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50 % probability.

Analysis of the crystal structure of 2c (Figure 1) confirmed the absence of the silvl substituents and the presence of U^V oxidation states in which the average U-O bond length of 2.03 Å is comparable to that seen in the silvlated analogue A (2.09 Å). In contrast to A, which exhibits six, almost identical U-O bond distances between 2.03 to 2.10 Å, those in 2c show significant variation between the four U-O_{endo} bonds (2.090(6), 2.101(5), 2.105(6) and 2.168(5) Å) and the two U-O_{exo} bonds (1.871(5) and 1.851(6) Å), with the latter being much more indicative of 'yl-type U-O multiple bonding. The U1...U2 separation of 3.3795(5) Å is short but elongated slightly compared to that of 3.3557(5) Å seen in A. The contrast in bonding in 2c to its silvlated analogue A is further represented in their UVvis-NIR spectra which are very different despite their common oxidation states (see SI). The alternative, unsolvated analogue 2a was synthesized by direct exposure of a THF solution of 1a to air, resulting in the immediate precipitation of crystals of **2a** along with other intractable materials. In contrast to 2c, in which pyridine solvation of the external potassium cations truncates the structure as a crystallographic dimer, **2a** exhibits a polymeric structure in which each potassium bridges two Pacman molecules in a K₂O₂ diamond motif (see SI). These desilvlated compounds are best classified as displaying bimetallic *endo*-oxo-bridged U^V motifs with terminal *exo*-oxo groups. Although the former presents a very common bonding motif in uranium chemistry, U^V complexes exhibiting terminal oxo groups are still rare, ^[15] with $[U(O) \{N(SiMe_3)_2\}_2]$ displaying a similar U=O bond length (1.817(1) Å) to those in **2c**.^[16] Furthermore, the terminal U^V oxo complexes [{(RArO)₃tacn}U(O)] (R = Bu^t or 1-adamantyl, tacn = 1,4,7-triazacyclononane)^[17] can be oxidised to their U^{VI} analogues.^[18]

The differences in structure and bonding between oxo-silylated **A** and oxo-unfunctionalized **2c** are mirrored by differences in their stability towards oxidation. While boiling solutions of **A** are stable indefinitely under an atmosphere of dry dioxygen, the exposure of a THF or pyridine solution of **2c** to dioxygen results in instantaneous oxidation and the sole formation of the binuclear U^{VI} peroxide $K_2[(\mu-\kappa^2-\kappa^2-O_2)(UO_2)_2(L)]$ **3**, isolated in 55 % yield (Scheme 2). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** shows

seven resonances indicating that the C_{2V} symmetry seen for **2**, and the silvlated complexes **A** and **1**, is retained. In contrast however, all of these resonances are within the 0-10 ppm range, supporting the formation of a diamagnetic complex. The absence of f-f absorptions in the NIR spectrum supports the assignment of formal U^{VI} oxidation states, along with the presence of the asymmetric $[UO_2]^{2+}$ stretch in the IR spectrum at 924 cm⁻¹.

The solid state structure of **3** (Figure 2) depicts a wedge-shaped, Pacman macrocycle, with symmetrical occupation of each of the N₄-donor pockets by uranyl dications. The OU(μ -O)₂UO cis/trans oxo-group bonding motif seen in A is replaced by two discrete, linear $[UO_2]^{2+}$ units in which the four U-O bond distances (1.781(6) to 1.788(6) Å) are characteristic of uranyl(VI). The accommodation of both $[UO_2]^{2+}$ dications by the macrocycle is facilitated by significant structural distortion away from the usual Pacman geometry, resulting in an inter-cleft bite angle of 90.1° for 3 compared to 61.3° and 65.1° for A and 2c, respectively; this widening of the cleft presumably prevents clashing of the *endo* oxo groups. In **3**, each uranium center has approximate hexagonal bipyramidal geometry, with the two axially-bound oxo ligands sited perpendicular to the four equatorial nitrogen donors of the Pacman macrocycle. The fifth and sixth equatorial donors to each metal center are provided by the bridging peroxide ligand, which lies sandwiched between the two aryl spacers of the wedge-shaped ligand in a μ - κ^2 - κ^2 motif. The O5-O6 bond length is 1.433(7) Å and is characteristic of peroxide; charge balance is maintained by retention of the two potassium cations which coordinate to the exo uranyl oxo atom O1, the bridging peroxide atoms O5 and O6, and the O2 and O4 atoms of separate Pacman molecules (see SI). Although numerous uranyl peroxide complexes are known, they are formed exclusively by ligand exchange between uranyl(VI) precursors.^[19] In contrast, **3** represents the first uranyl peroxide complex formed by a redox reaction, adding to the wealth of small molecule activation chemistry known for uranium complexes.^[20] Recently a uranyl(V) complex was shown to react with dioxygen but in this case forms an oxo-bridged uranyl(VI) complex.^[2]

Oxidation of the U^V complex **2c** with pyridine-*N*-oxide instead of dioxygen yields the mono-oxobridged complex $K_2[(UO_2)(\mu-O)(UO_2)(L)]$ **4** in moderate yield (Scheme 2). The solid state structure of **4** (Figure 2) is similar to that of **3**, with occupation of the Pacman ligand by two uranyl(VI) dications in adjacent N₄ donor pockets. In contrast to **3** however, the single oxide ligand O5, rather than peroxide, bridges U1 and U2 at the obtuse U1-O5-U2 angle of 136.4(3)°, resulting in pentagonal bipyramidal uranium geometries. In analogy with **3**, the mono-oxo structure exists as a crystallographic dimer maintained by uranyl/potassium CCIs (see SI). In contrast to **3** however, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **4** displays 14 resonances for the Pacman ligand, indicating that the asymmetry in the solid state structure due to K-coordination is retained in solution.

The uranyl(VI) complexes **3** and **4** form only the second and third examples of cofacial, binuclear uranyl Pacman complexes, the first reported by us using an expanded, anthracene-derived Pacman

macrocycle.^[21] More importantly however, the isolation of both complexes provides further insight into the nature and stability of the binuclear U^V precursors **A** and **2c**. While **A** represents a highly unusual example of an air-stable U^V complex, desilylation of the oxo ligands to form **2c** uncovers underlying reactivity. Furthermore, oxidation of the desilylated complex **2c** causes oxo-group rearrangement to occur, re-forming the traditional uranyl(VI) bonding motif from which **A** was originally derived. Treatment of **2c** with chlorotrimethylsilane allows the clean transformation back to **A**, upon which its stability against oxidation is restored, suggesting that the oxo-bound SiR₃ groups in **A** are responsible for its remarkable redox stability. As such, the trialkylsilyl group may be viewed as an effective protecting group for the uranyl oxo and therefore analogous to the well-documented silylgroup protection of functional groups in organic synthesis. Unlike the latter, we have been unable to deprotect **A** using common reagents such as fluoride.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structures of **3** (left) and **4** (right, side-on view). For clarity, all hydrogen atoms, K atoms and solvent donors are omitted. Where shown, displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50 % probability.

The desilylated complex $[(OUO)_2(L)]^{2^-} 2$, is highly reactive towards oxidation, resulting in preferential formation of compounds that contain two discrete uranyl dications and not the elusive *cis*uranyl. This is surprising, as DFT calculations show only *ca*. a 16 kcal mol⁻¹ difference in energy between the two forms,^[22] and the macrocyclic framework has distorted appreciably to accommodate the two *trans*-uranyl motifs. Even so, the more 'yl-like nature of the desilylated complex 2 than **A** means that it is a more realistic model of the actinyl(V) CCI complexes that are proposed to exist in nuclear waste mixtures and which participate in redox processes and are disruptive to fuel reprocessing. Overall, the synthesis and characterization of these complexes demonstrate our ability to exploit the Pacman macrocyclic framework in order to manipulate uranyl oxo group bonding and reactivity through control of the uranium oxidation state and oxo-group functionalization.

References

[1] R. G. Denning, J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 4125-4143.

[2] P. L. Arnold, A.-F. Pécharman, E. Hollis, A. Yahia, L. Maron, S. Parsons, J. B. Love, *Nat. Chem.* 2010, *2*, 1056-1061; J.-C. Berthet, G. Siffredi, P. Thuéry, M. Ephritikhine, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 3184-3186; J.-C. Berthet, G. Siffredi, P. Thuéry, M. Ephritikhine, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 3478-3494; L. Natrajan, F. Burdet, J. Pécaut, M. Mazzanti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 7152-7153; G. Nocton, P. Horeglad, V. Vetere, J. Pécaut, L. Dubois, P. Maldivi, N. M. Edelstein, M. Mazzanti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *132*, 495-508.

[3] F. Burdet, J. Pécaut, M. Mazzanti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16512-16513.

[4] P. L. Arnold, E. Hollis, F. J. White, N. Magnani, R. Caciuffo, J. B. Love, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 2011, *50*, 887-890.

[5] V. Mougel, J. Pecaut, M. Mazzanti, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 868-870.

[6] G. Nocton, P. Horeglad, J. Pécaut, M. Mazzanti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16633-16645; V.
Mougel, P. Horeglad, G. Nocton, J. Pécaut, M. Mazzanti, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2009, 48, 8477-8480.

[7] L. Chatelain, V. Mougel, J. Pecaut, M. Mazzanti, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1075-1079.

[8] D. D. Schnaars, G. Wu, T. W. Hayton, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 4695-4697; D. D. Schnaars, G. Wu,
 T. W. Hayton, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 9642-9649.

[9] A. Ekstrom, *Inorg. Chem.* **1974**, *13*, 2237-2241; H. Steele, R. J. Taylor, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 6311-6318.

[10] S. Tsushima, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 1434-1439.

[11] P. L. Arnold, D. Patel, C. Wilson, J. B. Love, *Nature* 2008, 451, 315-317.

[12] J. L. Brown, G. Wu, T. W. Hayton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7248-7249.

[13] P. L. Arnold, A.-F. Pécharman, J. B. Love, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2011, 50, 9456-9458.

[14] P. L. Arnold, G. M. Jones, S. O. Odoh, G. Schreckenbach, N. Magnani, J. B. Love, *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 221-227.

[15] D. S. J. Arney, C. J. Burns, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9840-9841.

[16] S. Fortier, J. L. Brown, N. Kaltsoyannis, G. Wu, T. W. Hayton, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 1625-1633.

[17] S. C. Bart, C. Anthon, F. W. Heinemann, E. Bill, N. M. Edelstein, K. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc.2008, 130, 12536-12546.

[18] B. Kosog, H. S. La Pierre, F. W. Heinemann, S. T. Liddle, K. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5284-5289.

[19] I. M. Aladzheva, O. V. Bykhovskaya, Y. V. Nelyubina, Z. S. Klemenkova, P. V. Petrovskii, I. L. Odinets, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2011, *373*, 130-136; R. Haegele, J. C. A. Boeyens, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1977, 648-650; P. Thuéry, M. Nierlich, B. W. Baldwin, N. Komatsuzaki, T. Hirose, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1999, 1047-1048; G. E. Sigmon, J. Ling, D. K. Unruh, L. Moore-Shay, M. Ward, B. Weaver, P. C. Burns, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 16648-16649; G. H. John, I. May, M. J. Sarsfield, H. M. Steele, D. Collison, M. Helliwell, J. D. McKinney, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 734-740; A. R. de Aquino, P. C. Isolani, J. Zukerman-Schpector, L. B. Zinner, G. Vicentini, *J. Alloys Compd.* 2001, *323–324*, 18-21; B. Masci, P. Thuéry, *Polyhedron* 2005, *24*, 229-237; J. Ling, J. Qiu, G. E. Sigmon, M. Ward, J. E. S. Szymanowski, P. C. Burns, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 13395-13402; P. Thuéry, B. Masci, *Supramol. Chem.* 2003, *15*, 95-99; J. Ling, C. M. Wallace, J. E. S. Szymanowski, P. C. Burns, *J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 2010, *49*, 7271-7273; G. A. Doyle, D. M. L. Goodgame, A. Sinden, D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1993, 1170-1172; D. Rose, Y.-D. Chang, Q. Chen, J. Zubieta, *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 5167-5168.

[20] P. L. Arnold, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 9005-9010.

[21] P. L. Arnold, G. M. Jones, Q.-J. Pan, G. Schreckenbach, J. B. Love, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 6595-6597.

[22] M. Bühl, G. Schreckenbach, Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 3821-3827.