Stc1: A Critical Link between RNAi and Chromatin Modification Required for Heterochromatin Integrity

Elizabeth H. Bayne,1 Sharon A. White,1 Alexander Kagansky,1 Dominika A. Bijos,1,5 Luis Sanchez-Pulido,2 Kwang-Lae Hoe,3 Dong-Uk Kim,3 Han-Oh Park,4 Chris P. Ponting,2 Juri Rappsilber,1 and Robin C. Allshire1,*

1Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology and Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, Scotland, UK
2MRC Functional Genomics Unit, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QX, UK
3Integrative Omics Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon 305-806, Republic of Korea
4Bioneer Corporation, Daejeon 306-220, Republic of Korea
5Present address: Division of Gene Regulation, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Plesmanlaan 121, 1066 CX Amsterdam, The Netherlands
*Correspondence: robin.allshire@ed.ac.uk
DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.038

SUMMARY

In fission yeast, RNAi directs heterochromatin formation at centromeres, telomeres, and the mating type locus. Noncoding RNAs transcribed from repeat elements that are incorporated into the Argonaute-containing RITS complex and direct it to nascent homologous transcripts. This leads to recruitment of the CLRC complex, including the histone methyltransferase Clr4, promoting H3K9 methylation and heterochromatin formation. A key question is what mediates the recruitment of Clr4/CLRC to transcript-bound RITS. We have identified a LIM domain protein, Stc1, that is required for centromeric heterochromatin integrity. Our analyses show that Stc1 is specifically required to establish H3K9 methylation via RNAi, and interacts both with the RNAi effector Ago1, and with the chromatin-modifying CLRC complex. Moreover, tethering Stc1 to a euchromatic locus is sufficient to induce silencing and heterochromatin formation independently of RNAi. We conclude that Stc1 associates with RITS on centromeric transcripts and recruits CLRC, thereby coupling RNAi to chromatin modification.

INTRODUCTION

RNA interference (RNAi) is a silencing mechanism widely employed in eukaryotes. Divergent RNAi pathways mediate silencing in a variety of ways but all are characterized by small RNAs that are bound by Argonaute effector proteins and act as specificity factors to target homologous sequences for repression (Ghildiyal and Zamore, 2009). RNAi frequently acts at the posttranscriptional level, reducing gene expression by directing transcript cleavage or translational inhibition. However, RNAi can also trigger DNA and/or chromatin modifications that lead to transcriptional silencing and heterochromatin formation. Such RNA-directed chromatin modification is best understood in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Bernstein and Allis, 2005; Bühler and Moazed, 2007).

In fission yeast, domains of heterochromatin are found at telomeres, the silent mating-type locus, and on pericentromeric repeats (reviewed in Bühler and Moazed, 2007; Grewal and Jia, 2007). This heterochromatin is characterized by histone H3 lysine 9 methylation (H3K9me), mediated by the sole H3K9 methyltransferase, Clr4. H3K9me creates binding sites for the chromodomain proteins Swi6, Chp1, Chp2, and Clr4 (Bannister et al., 2001; Sadaie et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2008). Several histone deacetylases (HDACs), Clr3, Clr6, and Sir2, are also required to facilitate H3K9 methylation (Grewal et al., 1998; Nakayama et al., 2001; Shankaranarayana et al., 2003). At centromeres, RNAi promotes H3K9 methylation on centromeric outer repeat sequences (Motamedi et al., 2004; Verdel et al., 2004; Volpe et al., 2002). It is possible to distinguish between establishment of H3K9me, which is fully dependent on RNAi, and its subsequent maintenance, which is only partially RNAi dependent (Sadaie et al., 2004). RNAi also targets mating-type locus and telomeric elements with homology to centromere outer repeats. However, here, alternative pathways act redundantly with RNAi to recruit chromatin modifiers, so that RNAi is required for establishment but not for maintenance of H3K9me at these loci (Hansen et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2004; Kanoh et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2004).

RNAi in fission yeast is triggered by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) derived from noncoding centromere outer repeat transcripts produced during S phase by RNA polymerase II (reviewed in Bühler and Moazed, 2007; Grewal and Jia, 2007; Kloc and Martienssen, 2008). Dicer (Dcr1) cleaves these dsRNA molecules into short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that guide the Argonaute (Ago1)-containing RITS effector complex to homologous nascent transcripts by sequence complementarity. Association of the RITS complex (Ago1, Tas3, and Chp1) with chromatin is facilitated by binding of the chromodomain protein Chp1 to H3K9me nucleosomes, which drives a self-enforcing
loop coupling spreading of H3K9me with RITS binding. Nascent transcript-bound RITS also recruits the RNA-directed RNA polymerase complex (RDRC; Rdp1, Cid12, and Hrr1), which may promote further dsRNA and siRNA production. By a mechanism that is not understood, this cotranscriptional form of RNAi can recruit Clr4 to initiate H3K9me. H3K9me then spreads to form a heterochromatin domain (reviewed in Bühler and Moazed, 2007; Grewal and Jia, 2007).

Clr4 is associated with a multisubunit complex containing Rik1, Dos1 (Raf1/Cmc1/Cir8), Dos2 (Raf2/Cmc2/Cir7), and Pcu4/Cul4 (Hong et al., 2005; Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Thon et al., 2005). This Clr4-Rik1-Cul4 complex (CLRC) is an active Cullin-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase essential for heterochromatin assembly. Rik1 has a WD40/β-propeller domain similar to damaged DNA-binding protein DDB1 (Neu 2000; Thon et al., 2005). Dos1 also contains WD40 repeats, while Dos2 has no obvious domains. Cul4 serves as a scaffold for ubiquitin ligase assembly, and must be neddylated for cullin-dependent ubiquitin ligase activity. Although the relationship between the ubiquitin ligase activity of CLRC and Clr4-mediated heterochromatin formation is unclear, these Clr4-associated factors are all required for H3K9 methylation and heterochromatin integrity (Hong et al., 2005; Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005; Thon et al., 2005). A critical question is what connects this Clr4 methyltransferase complex CLRC to the RNAi machinery, to mediate its RNAi-dependent recruitment to chromatin.

It has been shown previously that Rik1 and Clr4 associate with the RITS component Chp1, and that Rik1 recruitment to the centromeric repeats is enhanced when production of centromere transcripts and siRNAs is increased (Zhang et al., 2008). However, what mediates the association of CLRC with RITS is unknown. In a genome-wide screen, we identified stc1 as a gene required for heterochromatin integrity. Stc1 is a LIM domain protein that associates with CLRC, and additionally associates with the RNAi effector protein Ago1. The phenotypes of stc1Δ cells resemble those of RNAi mutants; however, artificially tethering Stc1 to a euchromatic locus promotes H3K9 methylation and heterochromatin formation independently of RNAi. Our analyses lead us to conclude that once RITS/Ago1 has been guided to centromeric transcripts by siRNAs, Stc1 is required to establish, but not to maintain, silencing of the cen1:ade6" marker gene. Consistent with this, a reduced level of Swi6 remains associated with outer repeats, but not with cen1:ura4" (Figure 1E). Thus, like Dcr1, Stc1 is required to process centromeric transcripts into siRNAs, but is not absolutely required to maintain H3K9me2 and Swi6 on centromeric repeats. This suggests that Stc1 is required for H3K9 methylation, and thus heterochromatin formation, via RNAi.

Stc1 Is Required to Establish, but Not to Maintain, Matting-Type Locus and Tethered Cir4 Silencing

It is known that RNAi is required to establish, but not to maintain, silent heterochromatin over the mat2-mat3 and subtelomeric regions. Consequently, deletion of Dcr1 has little or no impact on silencing of embedded genes (Hall et al., 2002; Kanoh et al., 2005). We crossed stc1Δ, dcr1Δ, cir4Δ, rik1Δ, dos1Δ, or dos2Δ cells with cells harboring a silent ura4" gene close to mat3 (mat3-M:ura4°). The CLRC components Cir4, Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2 are required to maintain silencing of mat2-mat3; consequently, cells lacking these proteins lose mat3-M:ura4° silencing, resulting in growth on plates lacking uracil (URA) and loss of resistance to counterselective FOA (+FOA). qRT-PCR confirms that ura4" transcript levels increase by around 20-fold in CLRC mutants (Figures 2A–2C). In contrast, mat3-M:ura4° remained silent in both dcr1Δ and stc1Δ cells, as indicated by continued growth on FOA (equivalent to the wild-type) and low transcript levels. Maintenance of silencing at telomeres was similarly unaffected by deletion of Dcr1 or Stc1 but was disrupted by deletion of CLRC components (Figure S2).
So that the role of Stc1 in establishing silencing of mat3-M:ura4* could be assessed, the clr4* gene was removed (causing loss of silencing) and then reintroduced in wild-type, dcr1Δ or stc1Δ backgrounds by crossing (Figure 2A). Silencing of mat3:ura4* could not be re-established in the absence of Dcr1 or Stc1 (Figures 2B and 2C). This indicates that, like Dcr1, Stc1 is required for establishment, but not the maintenance, of silencing over mat2-mat3, strongly suggesting that Stc1 is specifically required for RNAi-dependent heterochromatin establishment.

We recently showed that artificial recruitment of Clr4 to heterologous DNA-binding sites induces heterochromatin formation and silencing of nearby marker genes (Kagansky et al., 2009). In this system, cells express Clr4 (chromodomain deleted) fused to the Gal4-binding domain (GBD-Clr4-act). Recruitment of this GBD-Clr4 fusion protein to Gal4-binding sites upstream of ade6* (3xgbs-ade6*) silences ade6* expression, resulting in red colonies (as opposed to white, ade6*-expressing, colonies). Both establishment and maintenance of this synthetic heterochromatin are essentially RNAi independent, indicating that tethering Clr4 methyltransferase activity to DNA bypasses the need for RNAi-mediated Clr4 recruitment. In contrast, the CLRC component Rik1 is critical for both establishment and maintenance of this heterochromatin (Kagansky et al., 2009). We tested the contribution of Stc1 to this synthetic heterochromatin, comparing it to that of Dcr1, Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2 using plating assays and qRT-PCR (Figures 2D and 2E). Deletion of Stc1 had no effect on pre-established GBD-Clr4-act-mediated silencing of 3xgbs-ade6*, as indicated by red colony color and low ade6* transcript levels, similar to dcr1Δ and wild-type cells. In contrast, the CLRC mutants, rik1Δ, dos1Δ, and dos2Δ, produced white colonies and high transcript levels, indicative of loss of silencing. Thus, maintenance of GBD-Clr4-act-mediated silencing requires CLRC components but not Stc1 or RNAi (Dcr1), consistent with Stc1 being required for RNAi-mediated CLRC recruitment. However, when GBD-Clr4-act, and the 3xgbs-ade6* reporter were freshly combined in the absence of Stc1, ade6* was not silenced, as shown by white colonies and high transcript levels (Figures 2D and 2E). This indicates that,
Stc1 Associates with CLRC and RITS Subunits

To gain further insight into the functional relationship between Stc1 and known RNAi and chromatin components, we affinity selected Stc1-FLAG from cell lysates and identified coprecipitating proteins by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Specificity was ensured by subtracting proteins identified in immunoprecipitates (IPs) from untagged control strains (Figure S3A and Tables S3 and S4). Notably, many of the identified peptides corresponded to CLRC components: Cul4, Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2. Ned8 peptides were also detected, consistent with Cul4 being neddylated (Figure 3A). The association of Stc1-FLAG with Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2 was confirmed by western analysis of IPs to detect Rik1-myc, GFP-Dos1, and Dos2-HA. In addition, although the CLRC component Clr4 was not detected in our LC-MS/MS analysis, association of myc-Clr4 with Stc1-FLAG was detected by IP-western (Figure 3B and Figures S3B and S3C). Interestingly, association of Stc1-FLAG with the RITS component Ago1 (myc-Ago1) was also observed, consistent with the RNAi-like (dcr1Δ) phenotype of stc1Δ cells (Figure 3C and Figure S3D). Association between Stc1-FLAG and RDRC components was not detected (Figure 3E). The finding that Stc1 associates with both CLRC and Ago1, along with the phenotype of stc1Δ cells, indicates that Stc1 may be a key bridging protein that connects the chromatin modification machinery to RNAi.

The association of CLRC subunits Clr4, Rik1, and Dos2 with Stc1-FLAG was next examined in cells lacking RNAi components (dcr1Δ or agoΔ), Clr4 methyltransferase (clr4Δ), or other surprisingly, like CLRC components but unlike Dcr1, Stc1 is required for establishment of GBD-Clr4-Δcd-mediated silencing. ChIP analyses confirmed that establishment of H3K9me on the reporter required Stc1, whereas maintenance of H3K9me was largely unaffected in stc1Δ cells (Figure 2F). This suggests that, apart from its role in RNAi, Stc1 is additionally required to initiate CLRC activity, even when Clr4 is tethered directly to DNA, although it is not required to sustain this activity once H3K9me is established. This assay reveals a unique role for Stc1 that is distinct from those of other RNAi and CLRC component mutants, suggesting that Stc1 may function at the interface between RNAi and Clr4 methyltransferase activity.

Stc1 Is Required for Establishment but Not Maintenance of Silencing at mat2-mat3 and a Clr4-Tethered Site

(A) Diagram of crosses performed to assess establishment versus maintenance of silencing of a ura4+ marker gene inserted into the mating-type locus (mat3-M:ura4+; goi is gene of interest).
(B) Assay for silencing at mat3-M:ura4+; plates are nonselective (N/S), lacking uracil (-URA) or supplemented with FOA (+FOA). Loss of silencing results in growth on -URA and loss of resistance to FOA.
(C) qRT-PCR analysis of mat3-M:ura4+ transcript levels relative to act1+, normalized to the wild-type.
(D) Assay for silencing of 3xgbs-ade6+*, mediated by tethered Clr4 (GBD-Clr4-Δcd) (Kagansky et al., 2009). Recruitment of GBD-Clr4 induces silencing of 3xgbs-ade6+*, resulting in red colonies on limiting adenine; loss of silencing leads to white colonies. Maintenance was assessed by crossing mutant cells with cells in which silencing was established; to assess establishment, GBD-Clr4-Δcd and 3xgbs-ade6+* constructs were combined in mutant backgrounds.
(E) qRT-PCR analysis of 3xgbs-ade6+* transcript levels relative to act1+, normalized to those in cells lacking tethered Clr4 (clr4Δ).
(F) ChIP analysis of H3K9me2 levels on 3xgbs-ade6+. See also Figure S2. All error bars indicate SD.
CLRC subunits, Rik1 or Dos2 (Figure 3B). IP-western analyses indicated that Clr4, Rik1, and Dos2 associate with Stc1 even in the absence of RNAi (dcr1Δ); Stc1 association with CLRC is therefore RNAi independent, and Stc1 may be considered an additional CLRC component. Association of Rik1 and Dos2 with Stc1 is also maintained in clr4Δ cells, albeit at reduced levels. However, dos1Δ or dos2Δ disrupts the association of Clr4 and Rik1 with Stc1, and similarly, rik1Δ or dos1Δ disrupts association of Dos2 with Stc1. These findings suggest that Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2 are all required for Stc1 association with CLRC; this might be because deletion of any one of these destabilizes the complex. Clr4 is perhaps a more peripheral CLRC component since its absence does not preclude Stc1 association with Rik1 or Dos2. Similarly, deletion of Stc1, which disrupts the association of RITS with RDRC (Figure S3F), does not affect the association of Dos1 with Rik1 and Dos2 in CLRC (Figure S3G). These analyses suggest that Stc1 is not integral to CLRC complex stability, but is an auxiliary component for CLRC.

Similar examination of Stc1 association with Ago1 revealed that this is fully dependent on Clr4, Rik1, and Dos1 (Figure 3C). Strikingly, and in contrast to CLRC, Ago1 association with Stc1-FLAG is also dependent on Dcr1, indicating that Stc1 associates with Ago1 only when RNAi is active. This is consistent with a model in which Stc1 is recruited to sites of active RNAi via Ago1/RITS, bringing with it associated CLRC, thus linking RNAi-based targeting to chromatin modification. In support of this, we detected myc-Ago1 in FLAG-Clr4 immunoprecipitates from wild-type but not dcr1Δ or stc1Δ cells (Figure 3D). Furthermore, GST-Stc1 and 35S-labeled Ago1 associate with each other, but not with control proteins (35Scid12 and GST-Scm3), indicating that Stc1 can associate with Ago1 independently of CLRC in vitro (Figure S3H).

**RNAi-Dependent Stc1 Recruitment to Outer Repeat Transcripts**

We next examined the subcellular localization of GFP-tagged Stc1. In G2 interphase cells, the centromeres cluster, visible as one focus when stained for the centromere-specific H3 variant CENP-A<sup>Cnp1</sup> (Funabiki et al., 1993; Kniola et al., 2001). Stc1-GFP and CENP-A<sup>Cnp1</sup> costaining revealed one bright Stc1 focus in close proximity to the CENP-A<sup>Cnp1</sup> signal, suggesting that Stc1 primarily localizes at centromeres (Figure 4A). Stc1 was lost from centromeres in cells lacking active RNAi (dcr1Δ) or...
Clr4 methyltransferase (clr4Δ). In addition, ChIP analyses confirmed that Stc1-FLAG associates with centromeric outer repeats, dependent on functional Dcr1 and Clr4 (Figure 4B). Thus, like other RNAi-directed chromatin modification components, Stc1 associates with centromeric heterochromatin, and this requires active RNAi and Clr4 methyltransferase. Dcr1- and Clr4-dependent enrichment of Stc1-FLAG at telomeres and mat2-mat3 was also detected by ChIP (Figure S4A), consistent with localization of other RNAi components at these loci (Cam et al., 2005; Noma et al., 2004).

The histone deacetylase Clr3 suppresses RNAPII transcription in heterochromatin by deacetylating H3K14 (Sugiyama et al., 2007; Wiren et al., 2005; Yamada et al., 2005). Consequently, clr3Δ cells exhibit increased levels of heterochromatic transcripts and, because RNAi is not disrupted, greater accumulation of siRNAs. This has been shown to increase recruitment of components engaged in the response to RNAi, such as Rik1 (Zhang et al., 2008). We applied this same test to Stc1, and indeed in clr3Δ cells more Stc1-FLAG was detected on centromeric outer repeats, and, as with Rik1, this was dependent on Dcr1 (Figure 4C). Thus, like Rik1, Stc1 association with centromeric heterochromatin is dependent on levels of RNAPII transcripts and siRNAs. This suggests that Stc1 is recruited to centromeres by the action of RNAi on centromeric transcripts.

We next directly tested the association of FLAG-tagged Rik1, Stc1, and Ago1 with centromeric transcripts by IP of RNA from extracts of unfixed cells. Centromeric outer repeat (dg) transcripts were enriched ~10-fold relative to act1+ gene transcripts in Rik1-FLAG IPs, and ~100-fold in Stc1-FLAG and FLAG-Ago1 IPs (Figure 4D). This may suggest that Stc1 lies in close proximity to centromeres.

Figure 4. Stc1 Associates with Centromeres and Centromeric Transcripts
(A) Analysis of Stc1-GFP localization in wild-type or mutant cells by immunofluorescence. Representative images show staining of fixed cells for Stc1-GFP (green), Cnp1 (red), and DNA (DAPI—blue).
(B and C) ChIP analysis of Stc1-FLAG association with cen-dg relative to act1+. Enrichments shown are normalized to levels of RNA immunoprecipitated from wild-type, untagged control cells; in dcr1Δ or ago1Δ cells, Stc1-FLAG IPs should be compared to IPs from untagged cells bearing the same mutation since loss of silencing in these mutants causes higher levels of cen transcript to accumulate resulting in higher background RNA levels. See also Figure S4. All error bars indicate SD.
to noncoding centromeric transcripts, and is consistent with close association of Stc1 with Ago1, which interacts with centromeric transcripts via associated siRNAs. Furthermore, association of Stc1-FLAG with outer repeat transcripts was dependent on Dcr1 and Ago1 (Figure 4E). This confirms that active RNAi and Ago1 are required for Stc1 association with centromeric RNAs and recruitment to cognate chromatin. Stc1 IPs consistently showed greater enrichment for centromeric transcripts than IPs of other CLRC components; this distinction is not due to significant differences in amounts of immunoprecipitated protein (Figures S4B and S4C). Thus, Stc1 and Ago1 are perhaps more closely associated with centromeric transcripts than are Rik1 and other CLRC components. This would be consistent with Stc1 being critical for mediating the interaction of CLRC with transcript-bound RITS, which is supported by the finding that association of Clr4 with Ago1 is dependent on Stc1 (Figure 3D).

**Tethered Stc1 Mediates RNAi-Independent Heterochromatin Formation**

Stc1 is required to establish RNAi-dependent silencing, and to allow initiation of H3K9 methylation when Clr4 is tethered to DNA, but is not required to maintain H3K9 methylation activity. Thus Stc1 is pivotal for initiating chromatin modification in response to RNAi. If the role of Stc1 is to recruit Clr4/CLRC to chromosomal regions targeted by RNAi, then tethering Stc1 to a euchromatic locus might induce heterochromatin formation independently of RNAi. To test this, Stc1 fused to a TetRoff DNA-binding domain plus two FLAG tags (TetRoff-Stc1) was expressed in cells with four tet operators upstream of an ade6+ reporter (4xTetO-ade6+) (Figure 5A). This resulted in recruitment of TetRoff-Stc1 to the reporter and silencing of the ade6+ gene (Figures 5B and 5C). Notably, maintenance of this TetR off-Stc1-mediated silencing does not require the RNAi components Dcr1, Ago1, Chp1, Cid12, or Rdp1 but is dependent on Clr4, the other CLRC subunits Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2, and the H3K9 deacetylase Sir2 (Figure 5D). qRT-PCR confirmed that TetRoff-Stc1-mediated silencing of 4xTetO-ade6+ expression depends on CLRC but not RNAi components (Figure 5E). In addition, ChIP analyses showed that tethered Stc1 induces H3K9 methylation over the reporter that is maintained in RNAi mutants but not in clr4Δ, rik1Δ, dos1Δ, and dos2Δ cells (Figure 5F). Normally, Stc1 is
recruited to centromeric chromatin in an RNAi-dependent manner, mediated by association with Ago1/RITS. However, this tethering assay indicates that, although required for RNAi, Stc1 can act independently of RNAi to recruit CLRC so as to mediate H3K9 methylation and assemble heterochromatin.

**Stc1 Contains a LIM Domain Required for Its Function**

*stc1* encodes a 25 kDa protein with no obvious homologs or domains. However, BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997) searches detected proteins homologous to the N-terminal region of Myc-Clr4. Dos2-HA, Rik1-myc, and myc-Ago1. Iterative similarity searches with this conserved region (Stc1 residues 40–121) using HMMer (Eddy, 1996) identified remote homologs in algae (Figures S5A–S5C). The Stc1 protein family is thus distributed across both fungi and algae but has yet to be found elsewhere. Profile-profile comparisons highlighted a region at the N terminus of Stc1 (residues 40–121) with statistically significant similarity to LIM domains (HHpred probability: 81% and E = 4.2E^-4 [Söding et al., 2005]). LIM domains possess a tandem zinc-finger (Znf) structure and can mediate protein-protein interactions (Kadrim and Beckerle, 2004).

To investigate the importance of the LIM domain in Stc1 function, we introduced point mutations into the N-terminal region of FLAG-tagged endogenous *stc1*. Mutation of core Znf cysteine residues (C83A or C93A) destabilized the Stc1-FLAG protein (data not shown). However, mutation of other conserved LIM domain residues (K100A or R116A) did not affect protein stability but did impair protein function, resulting in defective centromeric silencing similar to that seen in *stc1*Δ cells (Figure 6A and Figures S5D and S5E). Thus, the LIM domain of Stc1 is important for its role in heterochromatin integrity. Further analyses showed that *stc1*-K100A and *stc1*-R116A mutant cells have elevated levels of centromeric transcripts and reduced H3K9 methylation, consistent with defective silencing. Centromeric siRNAs are reduced in *stc1*-K100A, and undetectable in *stc1*-R116A, cells (Figures 6B–6D). However, IP-western analyses indicated that both Stc1K100A and Stc1R116A proteins still associate with Clr4, Rik1 and Dos2,
indicating that LIM domain mutations do not affect Stc1-CLRC interactions (Figure 6E). In contrast, we could not detect association of Stc1K100A or Stc1R116A proteins with Ago1, suggesting that it is the ability of Stc1 to couple CLRC to Ago1/RNAi that is specifically impaired in these mutants. To test this, we assessed the ability of Stc1K100A and Stc1R116A to promote CLRC activity and establish silencing independently of RNAi, at a tethered locus. As with wild-type Stc1, recruitment of Stc1K100A or Stc1R116A to ade6+ by fusion to TetRoff resulted in H3K9 methylation and silencing (Figure 6F). Consistent with this, establishment of silencing by tethered Clr4, which requires Stc1 (Figure 2), was unaffected by these Stc1 LIM domain mutations (Figures S5F and S5G). Together, these assays reveal that mutation of the LIM domain does not affect the ability of Stc1 to recruit and promote CLRC activity, but does affect the interaction of Stc1 with Ago1/RITS. Thus, by uncoupling the functions of Stc1 in associating with Ago1/RITS, and recruiting CLRC, these analyses support a pivotal role for Stc1 in connecting these two complexes to facilitate heterochromatin formation.

**DISCUSSION**

There is currently a significant gap in our understanding of how RNAi directs chromatin modifications that result in heterochromatin formation and transcriptional silencing. The consensus view of RNAi-mediated chromatin modification in fission yeast is that siRNAs guide the RITS complex to homologous nascent transcripts, and this triggers events that lead to chromatin modification (Bühler and Moazed, 2007; Grewal and Jia, 2007). However, it is not known how transcript-associated RITS recruits the key chromatin modifier Clr4 to induce the formation of heterochromatin. Here, we have identified a bridging protein, Stc1, with a pivotal role in integrating cotranscriptional RNAi with chromatin modification.

The conclusion that Stc1 provides a critical link between RNAi and the chromatin-modifying CLRC complex is supported by several lines of evidence. First, the limited effects of the stc1Δ mutant on maintenance of H3K9 methylation at centromeres and mat2-mat3 resemble RNAi mutants and indicate that Stc1 is not required for all H3K9 methylation activity, but is specifically required for RNAi-directed heterochromatin formation. Second, Stc1 associates with Ago1 in vivo and in vitro, and, like Ago1, it associates with outer repeat transcripts, dependent on active RNAi, and is required for siRNA accumulation. Third, Stc1 also associates with components of the CLRC complex, including Clr4, and this occurs independently of RNAi. Fourth, association of Ago1 with Clr4 is dependent on Stc1. Fifth, when tethered to a euchromatic locus, Stc1 can induce heterochromatin formation independently of Ago1 and RNAi. Thus, Stc1 is sufficient to recruit Clr4/CLRC activities, allowing them to modify local chromatin to effect heterochromatin formation. Finally, a mutation in the LIM domain of Stc1 does not affect its ability to recruit CLRC and initiate heterochromatin when tethered to DNA, but does disrupt its association with Ago1 and the integrity of centromeric heterochromatin. This indicates that association with Ago1 and recruitment/activation of CLRC are distinct activities of Stc1, both of which are essential for RNAi-directed heterochromatin formation. Together, these analyses suggest that normally, when Ago1 is guided by an siRNA to a target nascent transcript, it is the recruitment of Stc1 that mediates the association of CLRC, allowing Clr4 to methylate H3K9 in nearby cognate chromatin (Figure 7).

It has previously been shown that CLRC components Rik1 and Clr4 associate with Chp1, a component of RITS (Zhang et al., 2008). Both Stc1 and Rik1 are recruited to centromeres in response to outer repeat transcription and siRNA production, and both are associated with noncoding centromeric transcripts. However, 10-fold more transcript associates with Stc1 than with Rik1, suggesting that Stc1 might be more closely associated with centromeric outer repeat RNA. This is consistent with the observed association of Stc1 with Ago1, which interacts with...
centromeric transcripts via associated siRNAs. In addition, tethering experiments demonstrate that Rik1 and Clr4 are required for Stc1-mediated silencing, whereas Stc1 (but not Rik1) is dispensable for maintenance of Clr4 mediated silencing. These findings point to an order of events in which Stc1 functions upstream of Rik1 and the other CLRC components, mediating their delivery to sites of active RNAi, but being dispensable for ongoing chromatin modification.

Stc1 associates with members of the CLRC complex independently of active RNAi. However, Stc1 differs from other CLRC components in that it is not required to maintain all CLRC activity: maintenance of H3K9 methylation at mat2-mat3, and at a site silenced by tethered Clr4, is independent of RNAi and is unaffected by Stc1 deletion. The finding that Rik1, Dos1, and Dos2 remain associated in the absence of Stc1 is consistent with Stc1 being dispensable for ongoing CLRC activity at some loci and suggests that Stc1 may not be a core CLRC component. Rather, the role of Stc1 appears to be that of an adaptor protein for the CLRC complex that mediates its interaction with the RNAi machinery (Figure 7). This is supported by our finding that, unlike other CLRC components, deletion of Stc1 abolishes detectable siRNA accumulation. This indicates that Stc1 is more than an auxiliary component for CLRC: it is also intimately associated with, and required for, RNAi. It may be that, in addition to facilitating CLRC recruitment, association of Stc1 with Ago1 is important for Ago1 function. It is also possible that Stc1 contributes to a positive feedback mechanism mediating recruitment of RNAi to sites of H3K9me, thus propagating H3K9me to form robust heterochromatin.

The finding that Stc1 is required for establishment of silencing by tethered Clr4, which is RNAi independent, suggests that Stc1 has an additional role in initiating CLRC activity after Clr4 recruitment. Stc1 may promote the association of other CLRC components with Clr4 or facilitate a CLRC/Clr4 activation step. Apart from the methyltransferase Clr4, the CLRC complex contains Rik1, Dos1, Dos2, and Cul4, which, together with associated Rbx1 and Nedd8, comprise an active Cullin-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase (Hong et al., 2005; Horn et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005; Thon et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008). The key substrates of this E3 ligase remain unclear; however, one possibility is that ubiquitination of target site chromatin renders histone H3 accessible for H3K9 methylation, analogous to the promotion of H3K9 methylation by H2BK123 monoubiquitination in S. cerevisiae (Briggs et al., 2002; Ng et al., 2002). Consistent with this possibility, CLRC has been shown to polyubiquitinate histone H2B in vitro, and ubiquitinated proteins similar in size to histones were detected in CLRC purifications (Hong et al., 2005; Horn et al., 2005). Such ubiquitination could be a key event allowing the formation of heterochromatin, potentially requiring Stc1. Alternatively, Stc1 might promote the histone deacetylation that is required to permit H3K9 methylation (Nakayama et al., 2001; Shankaranarayana et al., 2003).

Despite the discovery of many of factors contributing to RNAI-directed heterochromatin formation in fission yeast, the mechanism by which active RNAI recruits chromatin modifiers has remained enigmatic (reviewed in Bühler and Moazed, 2007; Grewal and Jia, 2007). One reason for this is the inherent dependency among components of the system, which makes it difficult to determine the order in which they operate. A solution to this problem is to reconstitute heterochromatin at euchromatic loci. This can be achieved by introducing an artificial source of siRNAs that target RNAI to a euchromatic gene (Iida et al., 2008) or by directly tethering RNAI factors or chromatin modifiers to a target locus (Bühler et al., 2006). We have previously shown that tethering Clr4 to a reporter gene by means of a DNA-binding domain bypasses the requirement for RNAI in heterochromatin formation (Kagansky et al., 2009). Here, we find that in stc1Δ cells, silencing mediated by tethered Clr4 can be maintained, but cannot be established. This unique phenotype reveals that Stc1 is required specifically to initiate Clr4 activity at the target locus. Similar tethering experiments with Stc1 allowed us to demonstrate that it can also act independently of RNAI to recruit Clr4. These observations are consistent with the findings that RNAI (Hall et al., 2002), and now Stc1, are critical for establishment, but play only a minor role in maintenance, of heterochromatin at the silent mating-type locus. This is because DNA-binding proteins act at this locus to maintain heterochromatin after its establishment (Jia et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2004). Recruitment of Clr4 methyltransferase activity by tethering it either directly or via Stc1 essentially recapitulates this form of RNAI-independent silencing in a way that is more easily dissected.

Stc1 contains a LIM domain that is required for its association with Ago1, and hence its role in heterochromatin formation. LIM domain proteins are found in a wide range of eukaryotes from yeast to humans and are generally involved in mediating protein-protein interactions that can have key roles in integrating biological circuits (reviewed in Kadras and Beckerle, 2004). Consistent with this, we propose that the role of Stc1 is to integrate the RNAI and chromatin modification pathways. RNAI-directed chromatin modification is widespread in plants (Matzke et al., 2009), and recent evidence suggests that germline-associated small RNAs called Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) may mediate silencing via chromatin modification in animals (Klenov et al., 2007). We have shown that Stc1 is critical for recruitment of the Clr4 H3K9 methyltransferase to sites of active RNAI in fission yeast; LIM domain proteins distantly related to Stc1 could have conserved roles in coupling noncoding RNAs to chromatin modification in other systems.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Yeast Strains and Plasmids**

For S. pombe strains, see Table S1. Deletion and epitope tagging (3xFLAG or GFP) of Stc1 were achieved by homologous recombination with PCR fragments comprising resistance cassettes flanked by sequence homologous to insertion sites (Bühler et al., 1998). LIM domain mutations were generated with Quickchange II XL Kit (Stratagene) and integrated by replacement of stc1::ura4+. The Clr4-GBD tethering system was described previously (Kagansky et al., 2009). For pDUAL-TetR-2xFLAG-Stc1, stc1+ was cloned into a modified pDUAL-HFF81c vector (Matsuyama et al., 2004), made by swapping His to TetR (Promega), so that Stc1 was N-terminally tagged with TetR followed by 2xFLAG, under the nmt81 promoter. Not1-digested plasmid was integrated at leu1+. For the 4xTetO-ade6 reporter, 4xTetO repeats (TCCCTATCAGTGATAGA) were introduced upstream of ade6+ inserted at the ade6+ locus via the strategy described previously for 3xgbs-ade6+ (Kagansky et al., 2009).
ChIP
ChIP was performed as described (Pidoux et al., 2004) with the following modifications: Cells were fixed in 1% PFA/15 min for H3K9me2 or Stc1-FLAG ChIP, or 3% PFA/30 min for Swi6 ChIP. For Swi6 and Stc1-FLAG ChIPs, cells were incubated for 2 hr at 18°C prior to fixing. One microliter monoclonal H3K9me2 antibody (m5.1.1) (Nakagawachi et al., 2003), 10 μl polyclonal Swi6 antibody (Ekwall et al., 1995) or 1 μl anti-Flag M2 antibody (Sigma, F1804) were used per ChIP. qPCR analysis primers are in Table S2. Relative enrichments were calculated as the ratio of product of interest to control product (act1) in IP over input. Histograms represent data from three biological replicates analyzed in parallel.

Immunofluorescence Purification
Immunofluorescence purifications for LC-MS/MS analysis were performed as described (Offinger et al., 2007), with the following modifications: IPs were performed on 5 g of cells using Dynabeads coupled to anti-Flag M2 antibody (Sigma, F1804) for 15 min. The IP’d material was treated with 500 U Benzo-nase, washed, subjected to on-bead Tryptic digestion, and prepared for LC-MS/MS analysis as described previously (Bayne et al., 2008). Figure 3A lists proteins identified in at least two out of four independent purifications of Stc1-Flag and absent from control purifications from untagged cells. Ribosomal proteins (common contaminants) were also excluded.

RNA-iPs were performed as described but with the addition of superRNASin (Ambion) to the lysis buffer and excluding Benzonase. After washes, immuno-precipitated material was resuspended in RNA extraction buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 5 mM EDTA [pH 8], 50 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS) with 200 ng/ml proteinase K and incubated at 37°C for 2 hr. RNA was extracted with phenol: chloroform, precipitated with ethanol and 1 μl glycogen, and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

Co-iPs for western analysis were performed on 2 g of cells as above but for 1 hr. IP’d material was washed four times with lysis buffer, resuspended in SDS sample buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Antibodies used were anti-flag M2 (Sigma), anti-HA 12CA5 (K. Samejima), anti-GFP (K. Hardwick), and anti-myc 9E10 (Covance), all at 1:1000.

In Vitro Binding Assays
Recombinant protein was expressed from pDEST15 and purified. 35S-labeled GST fusion protein was added to glutathione-agarose on ice for 30 min in binding buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.6], 75 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 5 mg/ml BSA, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, Protease inhib- itors), then incubated with 10 μl 35S-labeled protein for 1 hr followed by four washes in binding buffer. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and fluorog- raphy.

RNA Analysis
Northern analysis of centromeric siRNAs and qRT-PCR analysis of transcripts were performed as described previously (Bayne et al., 2008). siRNA probes and primers for qRT-PCR are in Table S2.

qPCR
qPCR was performed with SYBR Green on a Bio-Rad iCycler and analyzed with Cycler IQ Optical System Software. Histograms represent three biological replicates; error bars represent one standard deviation.

Cytology
Immunolocalization was performed as described previously (Pidoux et al., 2009). Cells were fixed with 3.7% PFA/10 min, plus 0.05% glutaraldehyde for tubulin staining. Antibodies used were TAT1 anti-tubulin 1:15 (K. Gull), anti-Cnp1 1:1000, and anti-GFP 1:200 (Molecular Probes). Alexa Fluor 594- and 488-coupled secondary antibodies were used at 1:1000 (Invitrogen).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes five figures and four tables and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.038.
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