THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

RNA: nuclear glue for folding the genome

Citation for published version:

Nozawa, R & Gilbert, N 2019, 'RNA: nuclear glue for folding the genome', *Trends In Cell Biology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.003>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.003)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Trends In Cell Biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 RNA: nuclear glue for folding the genome

2

3 Ryu-Suke Nozawa and Nick Gilbert

4 MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Crewe

5 Rd

6 Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK

7 Correspondence: nick.gilbert@ed.ac.uk (N.Gilbert)

8

9 Keywords

10 hnRNA, Chromatin, Nuclear Architecture, Chromatin-associated RNAs, RNA debris, Transcription

11

12 Abstract

13 A significant amount of RNA is present in the nucleus of mammalian cells but only a small proportion of it
 14 is destined for the cytoplasm and subsequent translation, leaving much RNA to associate with chromatin.
 15 Historically nuclear RNA was thought to interact with proteins to form a filamentous nuclear matrix, but
 16 this idea became less popular as more dynamic models of chromatin behavior became more prevalent.
 17 Using new molecular and imaging approaches it is becoming clear that RNA should be considered as an
 18 integral component of nuclear organisation; it is transcriptionally responsive and interacts with abundant
 19 nuclear RNA binding proteins. We suggest that these protein/RNA structures form a dynamic nuclear
 20 mesh that can regulate interphase chromatin structure.

21

22 **Nuclear RNAs**

23 In mammalian cells DNA is protected from damage by packaging with histone proteins to form chromatin.
24 This maintains genetic integrity and enables our blueprint to be passed to daughter cells intact. Live cell
25 imaging shows mammalian chromatin is mobile in early G1 but once chromosome territories have
26 adopted their preferred positions they are relatively static and instead undergo constrained diffusion. In
27 contrast to DNA, the other nucleic acid found in the nucleus, RNA, is dynamic and readily turned over.
28 Unlike DNA there are also many different types of RNA which have multiple roles throughout the nucleus
29 and into the cytoplasm (see Table 1). Nuclear RNAs are highly abundant and consist of species with
30 defined function whilst others are often thought of as by-products of other nuclear processes, or as RNA
31 debris. In the past a stable **nuclear matrix** (see Glossary) [1], rich in RNA and protein, underpinning nuclear
32 organization was hypothesized, but the idea was abandoned as more dynamic models of chromatin
33 behaviour became prevalent. In this review we discuss recent data and ideas that suggest nuclear RNAs
34 interact with proteins [2,3] to form a dynamic nuclear mesh important for folding the genome, updating
35 our ideas on nuclear organisation.

36
37 **hnRNAs**

38 Heterogenous nuclear RNAs (**hnRNAs**) are a diverse class of different RNA species that encompass both
39 coding, non-coding and regulatory RNAs (Table 1). Going back 30 years cellular RNA was typically
40 monitored using radio-labelling (Box 1), pulse labelling was used to track both its synthesis, composition,
41 and where in the nucleus it was transcribed. Seminal studies showed transcription was concentrated on
42 the surface of protein-rich “factories” [4-6], which we now know from super-resolution imaging studies
43 are highly dynamic [7] and are rich in nascent and non-coding RNA [8]. It is often forgotten that only 5%
44 of hnRNA reaches the cytoplasm, many of these will be polyadenylated and destined for making proteins,
45 whilst the remainder of RNAs presumably remain inside the nucleus either performing a function or being
46 degraded by ribonucleases [9]. Although early experiments provided crucial insights into the rate RNAs
47 were being produced and their distribution [10] they don’t give an impression of the sequence or function
48 of different RNAs.

49
50 Surprisingly, quantitative experiments in the 1960s and ‘70s suggested that as much as 10% of chromatin
51 by mass was RNA [11]. To visualise these structures protein and DNA were extracted leaving an RNA-rich
52 filamentous structure, visible by electron microscopy, and termed a nuclear matrix, which was reported
53 to consist of over 75% hnRNA [12,13]. However, there were many disagreements within the field as to
54 whether these structures were real or instead were an artefact of the preparation. Experimental
55 conditions were often harsh, and it was often joked there were more methods for preparing a nuclear
56 matrix than there were publications! Towards the end of the nuclear matrix heyday two key pieces of

experimental evidence seemed to be incompatible with the idea of a stable nuclear framework. Firstly, many proteins were fluorescently tagged with GFP and none seemed to show a matrix-like organisation. Secondly, the mobility of many of these GFP tagged proteins were analysed by **FRAP** and were found to be highly dynamic; an idea that seemed inconsistent with a stable nuclear matrix. However, many of the images of extracted nuclei were compelling and strongly supported the notion that RNA was a key player in nuclear organisation. Still, it was not clear what the composition of these nuclear RNAs were or how they connected to proteinaceous partners (Figure 1).

What are the hnRNAs that make-up this nucleoprotein rich structure? Some are highly abundant long **non-coding** RNAs (lncRNA) such as NEAT1 or MALAT1 or other diverse lncRNAs including FIRRE, HOTAIR, **XIST** etc. In contrast to these defined lncRNAs other studies [14,15] have suggested that a large proportion of nuclear RNAs hybridise to a **Cot-1** probe, indicating that they are enriched in transcripts derived from a repetitive fraction of the genome. They also showed that these RNAs are quite stable and are turned over relatively slowly. However, it would be surprising if this was the complete repertoire of hnRNAs associated with chromatin when there are many other sources of RNA. Consistently, a rough analysis of chromatin associated RNAs suggested they derive from the intronic (52%), intergenic (19%) and exonic (29%) portions of the genome [16]. Interestingly similar values were obtained from estimates of RNA read abundance from RNA-seq data 36% exonic, 43% intronic, 20% intergenic [17]. Splicing is very tightly linked to transcription; analyses of long genes suggest that RNAs are spliced almost immediately after they are synthesised [18]. More recently RNA-seq data has been used to further quantify this using a completed splicing index (coSI) which decreases along genes, pointing to a “first transcribed, first spliced” rule [19]. By analysing the kinetics of splicing the Cook lab suggested that intronic RNAs were quite unstable, but they only looked at specific RNA species and it is possible that different RNAs are turned over at different rates [20]. In the cytoplasm mRNA half-life has a median time of 7.1 h [21] but in the nucleus RNA stability might be quite different. What might protect some RNAs from rapid degradation? Capped RNAs are more resistant to nuclease digestion so **Cot-1** RNAs might be protected compared to intronic RNA. Alternatively do RNAs adopt specific secondary structures that protect them from being degraded? **SHAPE** (selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension) and computational approaches were used to map the structure of NEAT1 and showed it had extensive local base-pairing interactions and long range RNA-RNA interactions [22]. Local base pairing might provide protein binding sites to protect the RNA from degradation whilst the longer-range interactions are speculated to be important for paraspeckle formation [23].

RNA mobility

91 Macromolecules (e.g. globular proteins and small dextrans) are able to move freely through condensed
92 chromatin domains [24], but the nucleus is a viscous environment, reportedly 300 times that of honey
93 [25] so larger flexible molecules such as free RNAs are restricted. To put this into context a 1 kb RNA has
94 a molecular weight of 330 kDa and a length of 340 nm. To facilitate transport, mRNAs have to be packaged
95 into discrete ribonucleoprotein particles through a process that is highly coupled to transcription.

96

97 How do long non-coding RNAs move? In an elegant study to analyse the binding of the non-coding XIST
98 RNA to chromatin the authors used RAP-seq (Box 2) [26]. After inducing the expression of XIST using
99 doxycycline they showed that XIST RNA transfers to distal sites on the X-chromosome taking advantage
100 of the 3D organisation and then propagates locally along the surface of the chromosome. Is XIST unique
101 in this behaviour or could this be a general mechanism for lncRNA movement? NEAT1 is a highly abundant
102 nuclear RNA required for forming paraspeckles perhaps through a **phase separation** process [27]. Does
103 NEAT1 move in a similar way to XIST or is it folded into hnRNPs after synthesis and transported within the
104 nucleus to the sites of paraspeckle formation? Another ncRNA located adjacent to NEAT1 is called
105 MALAT1, both were observed to localise to hundreds of transcriptionally active chromatin sites [28].
106 Significant debate surrounds these abundant lncRNAs as NEAT1 and MALAT1 knockouts are viable, with
107 no pronounced phenotype [29-31], although as the genes are conserved it would seem surprising if they
108 didn't have some role that provides a selective advantage [32]. Molecular functions have been proposed
109 for these genes including control of alternative splicing or transcriptional regulation. Another possibility
110 is that high level transcription might alter the local chromatin structure or supercoiling and influence the
111 surrounding transcriptional environment.

112

113 In contrast to defined lncRNAs, it has been shown that repetitive non-coding RNA species, labelled using
114 C_{ot}-1 DNA as a probe, do not migrate far from their site of synthesis [14,33]. To us this suggests that many
115 RNAs produced in the nucleus, unless they are proactively transported, will stay in the vicinity of the site
116 of transcription, it was then suggested that once structural RNAs are embedded with chromatin/scaffold
117 proteins they might become very stable [15]. Few studies have looked at the fate of spliced out introns,
118 although the Lawrence group reported that spliced out introns drift into the nucleoplasm over time [14].
119 In contrast Wada et al [20] show there is degradation of RNA in the first intron while the polymerase is
120 still transcribing the second, implying these are coupled processes and that different classes of RNA might
121 behave differently.

122

123 **Can nuclear RNA affect chromatin folding?**

124 An important determinant of chromatin folding are the linker histones. In contrast to core histones they
125 are mobile and bind the histone dyad through their globular domain [34] with their long C-terminal tail

126 draped along the chromatin fibre. Under physiological salt conditions linker histones promote folding of
127 the chromatin into folded structures; in this state the compact chromatin sediments rapidly in a sucrose
128 gradient, but if the histones are removed, unfolding the fibre, its sedimentation decreases [35]. Free RNA
129 is highly negatively charged so it comes as no surprise that it can efficiently strip linker histones from
130 chromatin. Purified chromatin readily binds to RNA [36], and chromatin structure is changed in the
131 presence of RNA [37,38]. This raises an interesting question: what role does nuclear RNA have in
132 regulating chromatin structure? Purified chromatin is often found bound to RNAs but at this level of
133 chromatin organisation it is difficult to imagine how these nuclear RNAs could provide any specificity.
134 Recent methods taking advantage of massively parallel sequencing have been developed to map RNA
135 binding to chromatin (Box 3). In one of these studies ChaR-seq was developed to map RNAs bound to
136 chromatin in *Drosophila*. Their analysis indicated that much of the genome is covered in small RNA species
137 including snoRNAs and scaRNAs, but there seemed to be little specificity [39]. This might indicate that
138 RNAs have a general role in regulating structure, or alternatively these short RNA species might just have
139 a preference to associate with “sticky” positively charged histone proteins. This is not without precedence
140 as shown by recent studies; proteins like PRC2 bind RNAs promiscuously [40,41].

141

142 **RNA debris**

143 Although many RNA species are transcribed from the genome by RNA polymerase II e.g. coding RNA, non-
144 coding RNAs, snRNAs etc (Table 1), the species that is by far the most abundant is coding RNAs (mRNAs
145 and pre-mRNAs) and can account for 75% of newly transcribed RNAs. As only approximately 5-7% of most
146 coding genes are exons this follows that the intronic sequences would rapidly accumulate in the nucleus
147 unless they are efficiently degraded. There are two main nuclear exonucleases XRN2 [42] and the
148 exosome. Both of these are thought to have different roles and different specificities. XRN2 is a nuclease
149 that recognizes single-stranded RNA with a 5'-terminal monophosphate and degrades it processively to
150 mononucleotides, whilst the exosome complex degrades RNA starting at the 3' end. Structurally the
151 exosome has been well characterised and looks like a molecular pencil sharpener with the RNA to be
152 degraded threaded through the centre of the multi-subunit complex. XRN2 instead appears to work as a
153 single subunit protein and is implicated in multiple roles within the nucleus including rRNA and snoRNA
154 processing, transcriptional termination of specific genes and in human cells, XRN2 appears to be more
155 important for degradation of aberrant pre-mRNA products than the exosome [43].

156

157 Long non-coding RNA half-lives vary widely and on average are only slightly less stable than mRNAs [44].
158 In contrast the half-life of intronic RNAs are turned over relatively rapidly with a median $t_{1/2} < 2.1$ h [45,46]
159 – but before degradation do they have a role in regulating chromatin structure? If they are able to interact
160 with nuclear proteins, albeit transiently could they influence the organisation of a nuclear framework and

161 if they are rapidly turned-over could be considered as a special type of dynamic nuclear compartment.
162 This is in contrast to how researchers often perceived the nuclear matrix - as a relatively static structure.
163 Too few studies have yet investigated this in detail but the notion that nuclear RNA debris (e.g. intronic
164 RNAs; Box 1) might play a role in regulating chromatin structure is appealing; it also fits well with the
165 notion that many processes evolve to utilise by-products of other cellular events. Unfortunately, it is
166 difficult to directly assess what role nuclear RNAs might have in regulating chromatin structure. One very
167 blunt instrument is to prevent transcription by using small molecule inhibitors [47]. Transcription
168 inhibition triggers chromatin compaction at the scale of 100's of Kb to the level of chromosomes
169 territories [48,49]. Is this due to altered transcription *per se* or the loss of nuclear RNA? To dissect these
170 possibilities, rather than perturb the level of nuclear RNA by either treating cells with exogenous nucleases
171 or micro-injecting additional RNAs, it is easier and molecularly more controllable to ablate protein
172 mediators of chromatin structure.

173

174 **RNA/protein nuclear mesh**

175 The fundamental nature and integral role of nuclear RNAs has made their study difficult. From early
176 experiments which showed that a large component of chromatin is comprised of RNA to now, many of
177 these studies have been largely indirect. In part this is because it is not possible to ablate nuclear RNA in
178 a manner that is easy to report, and only very recently have imaginative new approaches been developed
179 for quantitatively and qualitatively assessing the composition of nuclear RNAs (Table 1). Other than a very
180 generic role in electrostatically competing off chromatin binding proteins such as linker histones we
181 imagine nuclear RNAs will interact via protein mediators. This is borne out by formaldehyde RNA
182 immunoprecipitation (fRIP-seq) that showed chromatin associated proteins bind thousands of RNAs [50].
183 Therefore, one route to understanding nuclear RNAs and the role they might play in regulating chromatin
184 structure is by examining their partner proteins.

185

186 Scaffold attachment factor A (SAF-A) (Figure 2) was originally reported as an abundant protein component
187 of a nuclear matrix [51] and was simultaneously found as a member of the family of hnRNPs [52]. It has a
188 pronounced RG/RGG domain [53,54], an AAA+ domain for oligomerisation [33], and SAP and a SPRY
189 domains of unknown function. SAF-A going by its other name HNRNP-U is suggested to have a role in
190 regulating both XIST RNA binding to chromatin [55] and the splicing machinery [56]. HNRNP-U knockdown
191 is reported to promote a misregulation of the SMN2 splicing factor which in turn had an impact on the
192 splicing of a number of down-stream genes. Although a SAF-A/HNRNP-U hypomorph is early embryonic
193 lethal [57], knocking HNRNP-U out in the heart gives rise to aberrant alternative splicing of a small number
194 of genes [58]. However, in our hands depletion of SAF-A affects interphase chromatin structure but has
195 little effect on transcription, as measured by RNA-seq and ribonucleotide incorporation [33]. Similar

196 results were observed by Fan et al [59] who showed that SAF-A has a global role in chromatin compaction
197 and protein depletion caused significant alterations in TAD boundaries and reduced chromatin loop
198 formation.

199

200 The abundant protein mediators that have been studied so far, such as SAF-A, do not appear to have
201 sequence specific RNA binding motifs suggesting that interaction with nuclear RNAs is somewhat non-
202 specific and quite weak [53]. However, this is not to suggest this is an unregulated process. We have
203 shown that SAF-A is able to oligomerise in the presence of RNA and biochemically seems to have an ability
204 to form a nuclear mesh (Figure 3, Key Figure) [33]. Oligomerisation is ATP dependent through SAF-A's
205 AAA+ domain and the protein has a number of putative phosphorylation sites that might also be
206 regulatory. Furthermore, SAF-A has two protein paralogs (HNRNPUL1 and HNRNPUL2; Figure 2) that are
207 structurally similar and might have a role in regulating oligomerisation or the length of oligomers,
208 analogous to capping proteins for actin filaments. How SAF-A regulates chromatin structure is still unclear.
209 Ablation of the protein or mutation of its Walker A motif in the ATP binding site inhibits protein
210 oligomerisation and by microscopy compacts chromatin. There is a similar phenotype if the RNA binding
211 domain is deleted suggesting that SAF-A oligomerisation is RNA-dependent and therefore responsive to
212 local transcription, concomitantly the Rinn lab showed that SAF-A is preferentially bound to intronic RNAs
213 [50]. Our experiments so far have suggested that the SAF-A/RNA mesh does not directly bind to chromatin
214 but inhibits chromatin fibre-fibre interactions, modulating interphase chromatin structure. Hall et al
215 likened the physical presence of the RNA to 'water' that can keep the chromatin/scaffold 'sponge' from
216 shrinking (compacting) [15]. In *Drosophila* the abundant Df31 protein was identified as interacting with
217 snoRNAs to regulate chromatin structure [38]. The mechanism is not clear but as it seems to function at
218 transcriptionally active regions of the genome similar to SAF-A [33,59] it is tempting to speculate that the
219 underlying mechanisms may be similar to how SAF-A is able to regulate structure. MATR3 is another
220 abundant nuclear protein, like SAF-A it has been reported to bind introns through a pyrimidine-rich
221 consensus sequence [60] and has a role in alternate splicing via the PTB regulator [61]. MATR3 is often
222 referred to as a "nuclear matrix" protein, specific mutations give rise to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
223 through aberrant RNA processing [62] or neurotoxicity [63].

224

225 It is surprising that an alteration in large-scale chromatin structure, caused by SAF-A perturbation, does
226 not appear to have a significant effect on gene transcription. This suggests that SAF-A's role in regulating
227 chromatin structure is both down-stream of transcription and at a different level of genome organisation.
228 Instead it might be that the process of local transcription and subsequent splicing generates a pool of
229 intronic RNA debris that can transiently interact with SAF-A forming a nuclear mesh (Figure 5), regulating
230 chromatin structure. This then implies that processes happening at a local chromatin scale such as

transcription could regulate large scale chromatin structures. It does however seem surprising that a local “nuclear mesh” wouldn’t have some role in regulating transcription [64], not least because regions in the nucleus that have the highest levels of transcription, and concomitantly RNA debris, would be expected to form a more dense or extensive RNA-protein mesh. It is tempting to speculate that a local mesh or gel might provide a micro-environment for maintaining local transcription factor or regulatory protein concentrations for altering the efficiency of transcription [65-67].

237

If nuclear RNAs have a significant role in regulating chromatin organisation their function would be expected to be conserved going back through evolution. So far very few studies have investigated nuclear RNAs in species other than higher eukaryotes, however proteins like SAF-A which have been suggested to be structural mediators of genome organisation are conserved. By searching phyla for proteins with domains similar to SAF-A we identified orthologs in all Metazoa and SPRY – AAA domain structure is present in unicellular eukaryotes such as *Tetrahymena thermophila*. However, it was not possible to identify an ortholog in yeast so instead a SAF-A like function could be performed by two proteins that operate as a dimer. Alternatively, a rapidly growing transcriptionally active species might have less of a requirement for a global regulation of chromatin structure. Although SAF-A has not been well characterised evidence from databases indicate that both *C.elegans* and *Drosophila* mutants for the SAF-A are early embryonic lethal, suggesting they have an important role in cellular function. This is supported by new studies that report SAF-A mutations in patients with neurodevelopmental disorders [68-70]. The underlying molecular mechanisms are not understood but it is exciting to speculate that SAF-A haploinsufficiency might alter the structure or rigidity of a nuclear RNA mesh. Perhaps neural cells are particularly sensitive or instead altering protein/RNA interactions affects genomic stability [33], so during development some cells do not form correctly giving rise to a phenotype.

254

255 **Concluding remarks**

Despite many years of important experiments there are still many questions surrounding the role of a protein/RNA mesh (Figure 5) in regulating chromatin structure (see Outstanding Questions). It is clear that RNA is an abundant component of chromatin, and misregulation of RNA binding proteins such as SAF-A/hnRNP-U affect the structure of interphase and consequently mitotic chromosome structures. To better understand what is going on will necessitate more characterisation of the spectrum and turnover of RNA species in the nucleus and a better appreciation of the proteins that interact with these RNA species. Although biochemical properties of proteins like SAF-A/HNRNP-U are starting to be dissected we do not know what a nuclear mesh might resemble. Electron microscopy of extracted nuclei reveals a matrix like structure: what are its constituents? What does it look like in intact cells? New super-resolution microscopy techniques will facilitate these type of experiments and shed light on the dynamics of these

266 structures and new next generation sequencing techniques (Box 3) are being used to identify which RNA
267 species interact with chromatin. These methods will need to be accompanied by new labelling techniques
268 (Box 1) to enable nuclear RNA structures to be observed and visualised in response to different cell cycle
269 stages or cellular perturbations such as transcription inhibition or the acute depletion of RNA binding
270 proteins.

271

272 **Acknowledgements**

273 We thank members of our lab for many discussions about RNA binding proteins, nuclear mesh and
274 chromatin architecture. R.-S.N. was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad from
275 the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and N.G. is a UK Medical Research Council Senior
276 Non-Clinical Fellow (MR/J00913X/1).

277

278

279 **Figure 1. RNA/protein nuclear matrix.** A cartoon representation of a nuclear mesh/matrix as visualised
280 by electron microscopy following extraction of soluble proteins. A network of core filaments enmesh
281 dense bodies (DB) that are rich in splicing components. Adapted from [71].

282

283 **Figure 2. SAF-A/HNRNP-U domain composition and orthologs.** Diagram showing SAF-A domains (SAP,
284 SPRY, AAA+ and RG/RGG). HNRNPUL1 has an amino acid substitution in the Walker A motif within the
285 AAA+ domain that is predicted to abolish ATP binding (SAF-A: GAGKT -> L1: AAGKT). HNRNPUL2 has very
286 few RG/RGG motifs suggesting reduced RNA binding . The number of RG/RGG motifs for orthologs is SAF-
287 A: 15, HNRNPUL1: 8, HNRNPUL2: 4.

288

289 **Figure 3. Key Figure. Formation of a protein/RNA rich mesh in the nuclei of mammalian cells.** SAF-A can
290 oligomerise in the presence of RNA debris to form a nuclear mesh that maintains large-scale interphase
291 chromatin in an open configuration.

292

293

294 **Box 1**

295 ***In vivo* and *in vitro* RNA labeling methods**

296

297 **Radioisotopes**

298 For example [5-³H] Uridine or [2-¹⁴C] Uridine.

299

300 **5BrU (5-Bromouridine)**

301 5BrU is a uridine derivative with a bromo substituent at the fifth carbon. 5BrU-seq is based on BrU pulse
302 labelling of nascent RNAs [72]. After the treatment with BrU in cells, BrU incorporated RNAs are
303 immunoprecipitated with an anti-BrdU/BrU antibody in a pool of extracted total RNAs. However, it is
304 reported that brominated pre-mRNAs are not good substrates for the cellular splicing machinery
305 [73,74].

306

307 **4sU (4-Thio uridine)**

308 4sU is a photoreactive uridine derivative with a thiol substituent at the fourth carbon.
309 TT-seq (transient transcriptome sequencing) [75] uses 4sU to label nascent RNAs and enables estimates
310 for the rates of RNA synthesis and degradation to be determined. 4sU incorporated RNAs are
311 biotinylated with a thiol-reactive reagent and are purified following RNA fragmentation. The 4SUDRB-
312 seq method [76] combines newly transcribed RNA labeling using 4sU with reversible inhibition of
313 transcription elongation using 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole 1-β-d-ribofuranoside (DRB). Immediately after
314 release from DRB treatment, newly transcribed RNAs are tagged with 4sU and are converted to a
315 sequencing library to measure genomewide transcriptional elongation rates and initiation frequency.

316

317 **5EU (5-Ethynyl uridine)**

318 5EU is a uridine derivative with an alkyne substituent at the fifth carbon [77]. 5EU-labeled RNA can be
319 detected with a click reaction using a fluorescent azide.

320

321 **Modified NTPs**

322 GRO-seq (global run-on sequencing) uses 5Br-UTP to map the genome-wide distribution of
323 transcriptionally engaged RNA pol II [78]. Isolated nuclei are incubated in a reaction including 5Br-UTP
324 and newly synthesised RNAs containing 5Br-U are immune-precipitated with an anti BrU/BrdU antibody.
325 Similar to GRO-seq, PRO-seq (precision nuclear run-on and sequencing) uses biotinylated ribonucleotide
326 triphosphate analogs (biotin-NTP) instead of 5Br-UTP, which allows the efficient capture of newly
327 transcribed RNAs for sequencing from their 3' ends at base pair resolution.

328

329

330 **Box 2**

331 **Techniques for mapping RNA bound to chromatin**

332

333 **MARGI** (Mapping RNA genome interactions)

334 This technique has been used for mapping global RNA-chromatin interactions in human embryonic
335 stem cells and human embryonic kidney cells. After extraction of formaldehyde/DSG cross-linked
336 chromatin, RNA-DNA is bridged with a biotinylated double-strand oligo by proximity ligation, is purified
337 and converted to a sequencing library for paired-end sequencing.

338

339 **GRID-seq** (Global RNA interactions with DNA by deep sequencing)

340 Similar to the MARGI method, GRID-seq method applies proximity ligation RNA to DNA with a
341 biotinylated linker *in situ* on fixed chromatin, which reduces nonspecific interactions, in human, mouse
342 and *Drosophila* cells. Biotin purified products are cleaned up with native polyacrylamide gel
343 electrophoresis and are analysed using single-end 100 bp sequencing.

344

345 **ChAR-seq** (Chromatin-Associated RNA sequencing)

346 Similar to the MARGI and GRID-seq methods, ChAR-seq uses proximity ligation with a biotinylated oligo
347 in situ on fixed chromatin in *Drosophila* cells [39]. ChAR-seq prepares relatively longer RNA and DNA
348 fragments (20-100 bp) compared to the methods above and uses 152 bp long single-end reads to
349 sequence across the entire junction of the bridge.

350

351 **cheRNAs** (chromatin-enriched RNAs). Stringent nuclear fractionation coupled to RNA sequencing.

352 Purified nuclei from HEK293 cells are extracted with a 0.5M urea/ 0.5% NP-40 buffer to yield a soluble
353 nuclear extract and insoluble chromatin pellet, and both pools are sequenced. Tightly chromatin
354 associated lncRNAs identified from insoluble fraction are termed cheRNA.

355

356 **DRIP-seq** (DNA:RNA Immuno Precipitation)

357 DRIP-seq has been used for mapping DNA:RNA hybrid across the genome in human pluripotent Ntera2
358 cells using the monoclonal S9.6 antibody recognising DNA:RNA hybrids in a sequence independent
359 manner.

360

361

362 **Box 3**

363 **Techniques for analysing RNA-protein binding**

364

365 **CHART** (Capture hybridization analysis of RNA targets)

366 CHART has been used to identify RNA bound DNA or protein partners in *Drosophila* cells [79]. This
367 technique is a hybridization-based strategy that specifically enriches endogenous RNAs along with their
368 targets with complementary oligonucleotides from reversibly cross-linked chromatin extracts.

369

370 **ChIRP** (Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification)

371 Similar to the CHART assay, the ChIRP method is based on affinity capture of target lncRNA-associated
372 DNA or proteins by biotinylated and tiling antisense-oligos [79]. The probe design requires prescreening
373 and validation for maximum hybridization efficiency.

374

375 **RAP** (RNA Antisense Purification)

376 Similar to the CHART and ChIRP methods, RAP uses biotinylated antisense-oligos and was first used for
377 determining the localization of Xist [26,79]. RAP uses 120-nucleotide antisense RNA probes to form
378 extremely strong hybrids with the target RNA.

379

380 **CLIP** (Cross-linking immunoprecipitation), and its derivatives (HTS-CLIP, PAR-CLIP, iCLIP etc)

381 CLIP and its derivatives have been used to map the RNA sequences bound to an RNA binding protein in
382 vivo with high resolution and specificity. Essentially, after UV crosslinking between RNA and its binding
383 protein, the RNA–protein complex is immunoprecipitated using an antibody. The bound RNAs are
384 ligated to an RNA linker, purified and analysed by sequencing.

385

386

387
388

Table1 Estimates of Pol II transcribed RNAs in mammalian cells, modified from [80].

RNA Type	% total RNA by mass	Molecules per cell	Description
mRNA / pre-mRNA	66 – 99	$5\text{-}20 \times 10^5$	Exonic, intronic and intergenic RNA
LINE1	0.022 – 0.066	$3\text{-}10 \times 10^2$	Long Interspersed nuclear element-1. LINE-1 is classified in the non-LTR group of transposable element which is widespread in the genome of many eukaryotes. L1 elements have coding capacity. L1 is therefore classified as an autonomous retrotransposon.
SINE	0.066 – 0.22	$9\text{-}30 \times 10^2$	Short interspersed elements. SINEs are also classified in the non-LTR group. SINEs do not encode for their own proteins necessary for transposition but can be mobilized by LINE-1-encoded proteins. SINEs that can be transactivated by LINE-1 are Alu and SVA elements.
LTR	0.033 – 0.11	$4.5\text{-}15 \times 10^2$	Long terminal repeat. The LTR retroelement group includes endogenous retroviruses, whose genome organization parallels that of retroviruses.
Circular RNA	0.044 – 0.66	$9\text{-}30 \times 10^2$	circRNAs are important players in normal cellular differentiation and tissue homeostasis as well as in disease development
snRNA	0.44 – 6.6	$1\text{-}5 \times 10^5$	snRNAs play important roles in splicing of introns from primary genomic transcripts
snoRNA	0.88 – 4.4	$2\text{-}3 \times 10^5$	snoRNAs form a large and abundant family of small noncoding RNA playing a conserved role in ribosome biogenesis
miRNA	0.066 – 0.44	$1\text{-}3 \times 10^5$	miRNA is a subset of non-coding RNAs, which are ~22-nt long short RNA molecules that are considered to post-transcriptionally regulate the cleavage of target mRNAs or just repress their translation
XIST RNA	0.0066 – 4.4	$0.1\text{-}2 \times 10^3$	Described in glossary
Other lncRNA	0.66 – 4.4	$3\text{-}50 \times 10^3$	Additional defined non-coding RNAs

389
390
391
392

393 **Glossary**

394 **C₀t-1:** C₀t-1 DNA is enriched in repetitive DNA sequences such as LINEs and SINEs and is commonly used
395 to block nonspecific hybridization. “C₀t” is experimentally defined as the product of DNA concentration
396 at time zero (C₀) and time of reannealing and is used for C₀t analysis to fractionate populations of
397 differently repetitive DNA.

398 **FRAP:** Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. A method for measuring and analysing the recovery
399 of fluorescence intensity in the microspace caused by the fluorescent molecules flowing into a region
400 after photobleaching of fluorescence. The technique is often used for analysing protein mobility in cells.

401 **hnRNA:** heterogeneous nuclear RNA. hnRNA is often used as a synonym for pre-mRNA, however hnRNA
402 also includes nuclear-retained RNAs that do not end up as cytoplasmic mRNA.

403 **ncRNA:** non-coding RNA. ncRNA is a generic term for RNAs that are not translated into proteins. They
404 are further divided into small and long classes: small ncRNAs (sncRNA) being less than *200 nucleotides*
405 and *lncRNA* (long non-codingRNAs) being greater than *200 nucleotides* to over 100 kb in length.

406 **Nuclear matrix:** The nuclear matrix model is proposed to organise interphase chromatin architecture.
407 Nuclear matrixes are operationally defined ribonucleoproteinaceous structures that are resistant to
408 high-salt buffers, nonionic detergents and RNA digestion. Experimental results for preparing the nuclear
409 matrix are often not comparable.

410 **Phase separation:** A phase separation model proposes that multi-molecular assemblies such as RNAs
411 and RNA binding proteins provide a general regulatory mechanism to compartmentalise chromatin or
412 biochemical reactions within cells.

413 **SHAPE:** Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension. SHAPE is a method for probing
414 RNA secondary structure. SHAPE reagents react preferentially with the 2'-hydroxyl groups of
415 conformationally flexible RNA nucleotides. SHAPE reactivities are insensitive to base identity, and they
416 correlate with local nucleotide flexibility and dynamics.

417 **XIST:** X-inactive specific transcript. XIST is a long non-coding RNA that remains associated with the X
418 chromosome from which it is expressed. During initiation of X-chromosome inactivation XIST plays an
419 essential role for recruiting a diverse set of proteins and epigenetic marks to establish a silent inactive X-
420 chromosome.

421

422

423

424

425

426

427 References

- 428 1 Pederson, T. (2000) Half a century of “the nuclear matrix.” *Mol. Biol. Cell* 11, 799–805
- 429 2 Melé, M. and Rinn, J.L. (2016) “Cat's Cradling” the 3D Genome by the Act of lncRNA Transcription.
430 *Mol. Cell* 62, 657–664
- 431 3 Caudron-Herger, M. and Rippe, K. (2012) Nuclear architecture by RNA. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 22,
432 179–187
- 433 4 Jackson, D.A. *et al.* (1981) RNA is synthesized at the nuclear cage. *Nature* 292, 552–555
- 434 5 Jackson, D.A. and Cook, P.R. (1985) Transcription occurs at a nucleoskeleton. *EMBO J.* 4, 919–925
- 435 6 Jackson, D.A. *et al.* (1993) Visualization of focal sites of transcription within human nuclei. *EMBO J.*
436 12, 1059–1065
- 437 7 Cisse, I.I. *et al.* (2013) Real-Time Dynamics of RNA Polymerase II Clustering in Live Human Cells.
438 *Science* 341, 664–667
- 439 8 Caudron-Herger, M. *et al.* (2015) Dissecting the nascent human transcriptome by analysing the RNA
440 content of transcription factories. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, e95–e95
- 441 9 Jacobson, A. and Peltz, S.W. (1996) Interrelationships of the pathways of mRNA decay and
442 translation in eukaryotic cells. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 65, 693–739
- 443 10 Jackson, D.A. *et al.* (2000) The balance sheet for transcription: an analysis of nuclear RNA
444 metabolism in mammalian cells. *FASEB J.* 14, 242–254
- 445 11 Holmes, D.S. *et al.* (1972) Chromosomal RNA: its properties. *Science* 177, 72–74
- 446 12 Fey, E.G. *et al.* (1986) Association of RNA with the cytoskeleton and the nuclear matrix. *J. Cell Sci.*
447 *Suppl.* 5, 99–119
- 448 13 Fey, E.G. *et al.* (1986) The nonchromatin substructures of the nucleus: the ribonucleoprotein (RNP)-
449 containing and RNP-depleted matrices analyzed by sequential fractionation and resinless section
450 electron microscopy. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 102, 1654–1665
- 451 14 Hall, L.L. *et al.* (2014) Stable COT-1 repeat RNA is abundant and is associated with euchromatic
452 interphase chromosomes. *Cell* 156, 907–919
- 453 15 Hall, L.L. and Lawrence, J.B. (2016) RNA as a fundamental component of interphase chromosomes:
454 could repeats prove key? *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 37, 137–147
- 455 16 Mondal, T. *et al.* (2010) Characterization of the RNA content of chromatin. *Genome Res.* 20, 899–
456 907
- 457 17 St Laurent, G. *et al.* (2012) Intronic RNAs constitute the major fraction of the non-coding RNA in
458 mammalian cells. *BMC Genomics* 13, 504
- 459 18 Singh, J. and Padgett, R.A. (2009) Rates of in situ transcription and splicing in large human genes.
460 *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16, 1128–1133
- 461 19 Tilgner, H. *et al.* (2012) Deep sequencing of subcellular RNA fractions shows splicing to be
462 predominantly co-transcriptional in the human genome but inefficient for lncRNAs. *Genome*
463 *Research* 22, 1616–1625
- 464 20 Wada, Y. *et al.* (2009) A wave of nascent transcription on activated human genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
465 *Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 18357–18361
- 466 21 Sharova, L.V. *et al.* (2009) Database for mRNA half-life of 19 977 genes obtained by DNA microarray
467 analysis of pluripotent and differentiating mouse embryonic stem cells. *DNA Res.* 16, 45–58
- 468 22 Lin, Y. *et al.* (2018) Structural analyses of NEAT1 lncRNAs suggest long-range RNA interactions that
469 may contribute to paraspeckle architecture. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 3742–3752
- 470 23 Bond, C.S. and Fox, A.H. (2009) Paraspeckles: nuclear bodies built on long noncoding RNA. *J. Cell*
471 *Biol.* 186, 637–644
- 472 24 Verschure, P.J. *et al.* (2003) Condensed chromatin domains in the mammalian nucleus are
473 accessible to large macromolecules. *EMBO reports* 4, 861–866
- 474 25 Caragine, C.M. *et al.* (2018) Surface Fluctuations and Coalescence of Nucleolar Droplets in the
475 Human Cell Nucleus. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121, 148101
- 476 26 Engreitz, J.M. *et al.* (2013) The Xist lncRNA Exploits Three-Dimensional Genome Architecture to
477 Spread Across the X Chromosome. *Science* 341, 1237973–1237973

478 27 Yamazaki, T. *et al.* (2018) Functional Domains of NEAT1 Architectural lncRNA Induce Paraspeckle
479 Assembly through Phase Separation. *Mol. Cell* 70, 1038–1053.e7
480 28 West, J.A. *et al.* (2014) The Long Noncoding RNAs NEAT1 and MALAT1 Bind Active Chromatin Sites.
481 *Mol. Cell* 55, 791–802
482 29 Eißmann, M. *et al.* (2012) Loss of the abundant nuclear non-coding RNA MALAT1 is compatible with
483 life and development. *RNA Biology* 9, 1076–1087
484 30 Nakagawa, S. *et al.* (2012) Malat1 is not an essential component of nuclear speckles in mice. *RNA*
485 18, 1487–1499
486 31 Nakagawa, S. *et al.* (2011) Paraspeckles are subpopulation-specific nuclear bodies that are not
487 essential in mice. *J. Cell Biol.* 193, 31–39
488 32 Nakagawa, S. *et al.* (2014) The lncRNA Neat1 is required for corpus luteum formation and the
489 establishment of pregnancy in a subpopulation of mice. *Development* 141, 4618–4627
490 33 Nozawa, R.-S. *et al.* (2017) SAF-A Regulates Interphase Chromosome Structure through
491 Oligomerization with Chromatin- Associated RNAs. *Cell* 169, 1214–1227.e18
492 34 Garcia-Saez, I. *et al.* (2018) Structure of an H1-Bound 6-Nucleosome Array Reveals an Untwisted
493 Two-Start Chromatin Fiber Conformation. *Mol. Cell* DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.09.027
494 35 Allan, J. *et al.* (1981) Regulation of the higher-order structure of chromatin by histones-H1 and
495 histones-H5. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 90, 279–288
496 36 Rodríguez-Campos, A. and Azorín, F. (2007) RNA is an integral component of chromatin that
497 contributes to its structural organization. *PLoS ONE* 2, e1182
498 37 Schubert, T. and Längst, G. (2013) Changes in higher order structures of chromatin by RNP
499 complexes. *RNA Biology* 10, 175–179
500 38 Schubert, T. *et al.* (2012) Df31 protein and snoRNAs maintain accessible higher-order structures of
501 chromatin. *Mol. Cell* 48, 434–444
502 39 Bell, J.C. *et al.* (2018) Chromatin-associated RNA sequencing (ChAR-seq) maps genome-wide RNA-
503 to-DNA contacts. *Elife* 7, 429
504 40 Davidovich, C. *et al.* (2013) Promiscuous RNA binding by Polycomb repressive complex 2. *Nat.*
505 *Struct. Mol. Biol.* DOI: 10.1038/nsmb.2679
506 41 Davidovich, C. *et al.* (2015) Toward a consensus on the binding specificity and promiscuity of PRC2
507 for RNA. *Mol. Cell* 57, 552–558
508 42 Miki, T.S. and Großhans, H. (2013) The multifunctional RNase XRN2. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 41, 825–
509 830
510 43 Davidson, L. *et al.* (2012) Co-transcriptional degradation of aberrant pre-mRNA by Xrn2. *EMBO J.*
511 31, 2566–2578
512 44 Clark, M.B. *et al.* (2012) Genome-wide analysis of long noncoding RNA stability. *Genome Res.* 22,
513 885–898
514 45 Ayupe, A.C. *et al.* (2015) Global analysis of biogenesis, stability and sub-cellular localization of
515 lncRNAs mapping to intragenic regions of the human genome. *RNA Biology* 12, 877–892
516 46 Hesselberth, J.R. (2013) Lives that introns lead after splicing. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* 4, 677–691
517 47 Bensaude, O. (2014) Inhibiting eukaryotic transcription. Which compound to choose? How to
518 evaluate its activity? *Transcription* 2, 103–108
519 48 Naughton, C. *et al.* (2010) Analysis of active and inactive X chromosome architecture reveals the
520 independent organization of 30 nm and large-scale chromatin structures. *Mol. Cell* 40, 397–409
521 49 Naughton, C. *et al.* (2013) Transcription forms and remodels supercoiling domains unfolding large-
522 scale chromatin structures. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20, 387–395
523 50 Hendrickson, D.G. *et al.* (2016) Widespread RNA binding by chromatin-associated proteins. *Genome*
524 *Biol* 17, 28
525 51 Romig, H. *et al.* (1992) Characterization of Saf-a, a Novel Nuclear-Dna Binding-Protein From Hela-
526 Cells with High-Affinity for Nuclear Matrix Scaffold Attachment Dna Elements. *EMBO J.* 11, 3431–
527 3440
528 52 Kiledjian, M. and Dreyfuss, G. (1992) Primary structure and binding activity of the hnRNP U protein:
529 binding RNA through RGG box. *EMBO J.* 11, 2655–2664

530 53 Thandapani, P. *et al.* (2013) Defining the RGG/RG Motif. *Mol. Cell* 50, 613–623

531 54 Ozdilek, B.A. *et al.* (2017) Intrinsically disordered RGG/RG domains mediate degenerate specificity

532 in RNA binding. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 7984–7996

533 55 Hasegawa, Y. *et al.* (2010) The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of

534 Xist RNA. *Dev. Cell* 19, 469–476

535 56 Xiao, R. *et al.* (2012) Nuclear Matrix Factor hnRNP U/SAF-A Exerts a Global Control of Alternative

536 Splicing by Regulating U2 snRNP Maturation. *Mol. Cell* 45, 656–668

537 57 Roshon, M.J. and Ruley, H.E. (2005) Hypomorphic mutation in hnRNP U results in post-implantation

538 lethality. *Transgenic Res.* 14, 179–192

539 58 Ye, J. *et al.* (2015) hnRNP U protein is required for normal pre-mRNA splicing and postnatal heart

540 development and function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1508461112

541 59 Fan, H. *et al.* (2018) The nuclear matrix protein HNRNPU maintains 3D genome architecture

542 globally in mouse hepatocytes. *Genome Res.* 28, 192–202

543 60 Uemura, Y. *et al.* (2017) Matrin3 binds directly to intronic pyrimidine-rich sequences and controls

544 alternative splicing. *Genes Cells* 22, 785–798

545 61 Coelho, M.B. *et al.* (2015) Nuclear matrix protein Matrin3 regulates alternative splicing and forms

546 overlapping regulatory networks with PTB. *EMBO J.* DOI: 10.15252/embj.201489852

547 62 Johnson, J.O. *et al.* (2014) Mutations in the Matrin 3 gene cause familial amyotrophic lateral

548 sclerosis. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 664–666

549 63 Malik, A.M. *et al.* (2018) Matrin 3-dependent neurotoxicity is modified by nucleic acid binding and

550 nucleocytoplasmic localization. *Elife* 7, 602

551 64 Hnisz, D. *et al.* (2017) A Phase Separation Model for Transcriptional Control. *Cell* 169, 13–23

552 65 Sabari, B.R. *et al.* (2018) Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and

553 gene control. *Science* 361, eaar3958

554 66 Cho, W.-K. *et al.* (2018) Mediator and RNA polymerase II clusters associate in transcription-

555 dependent condensates. *Science* 361, 412–415

556 67 Chong, S. *et al.* (2018) Imaging dynamic and selective low-complexity domain interactions that

557 control gene transcription. *Science* 361, eaar2555

558 68 Yates, T.M. *et al.* (2017) De novo mutations in HNRNPU result in a neurodevelopmental syndrome.

559 *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 173, 3003–3012

560 69 Leduc, M.S. *et al.* (2017) Clinical and molecular characterization of de novo loss of function variants

561 in HNRNPU. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 173, 2680–2689

562 70 Bramswig, N.C. *et al.* (2017) Heterozygous HNRNPU variants cause early onset epilepsy and severe

563 intellectual disability. *Hum. Genet.* 136, 821–834

564 71 Nickerson, J.A. *et al.* (1997) The nuclear matrix revealed by eluting chromatin from a cross-linked

565 nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 4446–4450

566 72 Paulsen, M.T. *et al.* (2013) Coordinated regulation of synthesis and stability of RNA during the acute

567 TNF-induced proinflammatory response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 2240–2245

568 73 Sierakowska, H. *et al.* (1989) Inhibition of pre-mRNA splicing by 5-fluoro-, 5-chloro-, and 5-

569 bromouridine. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264, 19185–19191

570 74 Wansink, D.G. *et al.* (1994) In vitro splicing of pre-mRNA containing bromouridine. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*

571 19, 109–113

572 75 Schwalb, B. *et al.* (2016) TT-seq maps the human transient transcriptome. *Science* 352, 1225–1228

573 76 Fuchs, G. *et al.* (2014) 4sUDRB-seq: measuring genomewide transcriptional elongation rates and

574 initiation frequencies within cells. *Genome Biol* 15, R69

575 77 Jao, C.Y. and Salic, A. (2008) Exploring RNA transcription and turnover in vivo by using click

576 chemistry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 15779–15784

577 78 Core, L.J. *et al.* (2008) Nascent RNA sequencing reveals widespread pausing and divergent initiation

578 at human promoters. *Science* 322, 1845–1848

579 79 Simon, M.D. (2016) Insight into lncRNA biology using hybridization capture analyses. *Biochim.*

580 *Biophys. Acta* 1859, 121–127

581 80 Palazzo, A.F. and Lee, E.S. (2015) Non-coding RNA: what is functional and what is junk? *Front Genet*
582 6, 2
583

Figure 1

Figure 2

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Fig2.tif](#)

SAF-A**Oligomer****Nuclear Mesh**

Outstanding questions

- The old idea of a static nuclear matrix is not compatible with our better understanding of how quickly processes occur in cells. How dynamic is a nuclear mesh? Does it vary between cells? Can we use the new approaches to address these important questions?
- We are starting to understand the biochemistry of a nuclear mesh but methods are needed for visualising an RNA/protein mesh in cells. New super-resolution imaging techniques will enable diffusion unlimited analysis of these complex structure and advances in cryo-EM tomography might complement these approaches.
- To visualise the components of a nuclear mesh we need new reagents. Antibodies for detecting specific protein modifications and new labelling techniques for marking hnRNAs for microscopy or biochemistry.
- What are the factors that regulate nuclear RNA binding proteins? Are these proteins regulated by phosphorylation?
- SAF-A is an AAA-domain containing protein. Does the protein oligomerise to form a spiral? Can we use knowledge from the crystallisation of other AAA-domain containing proteins to do X-ray crystallography? Will this help us to understand how these proteins work?
- Do SAF-A paralogs (e.g. HNRNPUL1 and HNRNPUL2) regulate protein oligomerisation? How does RNA interact with SAF-A? To gain new insight into how a nuclear mesh can regulate chromatin structure can we reconstitute it *in vitro* and image using single particle cryo-EM?
- Recent inspection of the cancer genome atlas indicates that sites within SAF-A are mutation hotspots in cancer. How does altering SAF-A function affect disease progression or tumour survival?
- Advances in CRISPR/Cas9 will enable better cell biology approaches for triggering the acute degradation of proteins important for nuclear mesh formation, or the introduction of patient specific mutations.

Highlights

- Nucleus is rich in hnRNA species, including defined long non-coding RNAs and various other RNA “debris” (e.g. introns, C₀t-1 RNA)
- Only 5% of polymerase II synthesised RNA is exported to the cytoplasm, presumably the remainder plays a role or is degraded in the nucleus
- Abundant nuclear proteins such as SAF-A/HNRNP-U non-specifically interact with RNA “debris”
- protein/RNA structures form a dynamic nuclear mesh, its role is not fully understood but it can regulate interphase chromatin structure