THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Sourcing obsidian from prehistoric sites in northwest Romania

Citation for published version:

Boronean, A, Virag, C, Astalo, C & Bonsall, C 2018, 'Sourcing obsidian from prehistoric sites in northwest Romania', *Materiale i Cercetari Arheologice*, vol. N.S. 14, pp. 13-23.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Materiale i Cercetari Arheologice

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ACADEMIA ROMÂNĂ
INSTITUTUL DE ARHEOLOGIE „VASILE PÂRVAN”

MATERIALE ȘI CERCETĂRI ARHEOLOGICE

SERIE NOUĂ
NR. XIV, 2018

EDITURA ACADEMIEI ROMÂNE

Colegiul de redacție

Prof. Dr. **ALEXANDRU BARNEA** (Universitatea București), Dr. **SANDA BALESCU** (Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Laboratoire de Préhistoire et Quaternaire, CNRS, France), Dr. **Constantin BĂJENARU** (Muzeul de Istorie Națională și Arheologie Constanța), Dr. **RADU BĂJENARU** (Institutul de Arheologie „Vasile Pârvan”, București), Dr. **Luminița BEJENARU** (Universitatea „Alexandru Ioan Cuza” Iași; Centrul de Antropologie „Olga Necrasov” al Academiei Române - Filiala Iași), Prof. Dr. **CLIVE BONSALL** (University of Edinburgh, U.K.), Prof. Dr. **NIKOLAUS BOROFFKA** (Deutsches Archäologisches Institut – Eurasien Abteilung, Berlin, Germany), Dr. **GHEORGHE I. CANTACUZINO** (Institutul de Arheologie „Vasile Pârvan”, București), Dr. **PIERRE DUPONT** (Université Lyon, CNRS, France), Dr. **UWE FIEDLER** (Berlin, Germany), Dr. **RADU HARHOIU** (Institutul de Arheologie „Vasile Pârvan”, București), Dr. **Dominic MOREAU** (Université de Lille, Centre de recherche HALMA-UMR 7044, France), Dr. **EUGEN NICOLAE** (Institutul de Arheologie „Vasile Pârvan”, București), Dr. **CORIOLAN OPREANU** (Institutul de Arheologie și Istoria Artei), Prof. dr. **ANNIE RENOUX** (Université du Maine, Le Mans), Dr. **ANGELA SIMALCSIK** (Centrul de Antropologie „Olga Necrasov” al Academiei Române - Filiala Iași), Academician **VICTOR SPINEI** (Institutul de Arheologie, Iași), Prof. Dr. **ERIK TRINKAUS** (Department of Anthropology, Washington University), Prof. Dr. **ALAIN TUFFREAU** (Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Laboratoire de Préhistoire et Quaternaire, CNRS, France)

Comitetul de redacție

ROXANA DOBRESU – redactor șef
ADRIAN IONIȚĂ – redactor-șef adjunct

IRINA ACHIM, ADINA BORONEANȚ, ADRIAN DOBOȘ, ALEXANDRU DRAGOMAN, ANDREI MĂGUREANU, LIANA OȚA, ADRIANA PANAITE, DANIEL SPĂNU, VLAD VINTILĂ ZIRRA – membri

RALUCA KOGĂLNICEANU – secretar de redacție

RALUCA KOGĂLNICEANU – prelucrare ilustrație și așezare în pagină
PIERRE DUPONT (limba franceză), **ROXANA DOBRESU** (limba franceză), **CLIVE BONSALL** (limba engleză), **ADINA BORONEANȚ** (limba engleză) – consultanți limbi străine
ROMEO CÎRJAN – design copertă

www.mcajournal.ro

Orice corespondență se va trimite Comitetului de redacție pe adresa: Str. Henri Coandă nr. 11, 010667 București, tel./fax 4021 212 88 62 și iab.redactie@gmail.com.

Toate lucrările publicate în revista *Materiale și Cercetări Arheologice* sunt recenzate de specialiști în domeniu.
(peer-reviewed journal)

Revista *Materiale și Cercetări Arheologice* este indexată în următoarele baze de date:
Copernicus, ERIH PLUS, Scopus și CEEOL.

Redactori Editura Academiei Române: **ADRIAN MIRCEA DOBRE, ANA BOROȘ, OLGA DUMITRU**
Tehnoredactor Editura Academiei Române: **MARIA MOCANU**

Revista se poate procura contracost la sediul EDITURII ACADEMIEI ROMÂNE, Calea 13 Septembrie nr. 13, sector 5, 050711, București, România; tel. 4021-318 8146, 4021-318 8106, Fax 4021-318 2444, e-mail: edacad@ear.ro.

ORION PRESS IMPEX 2000 S.R.L., P.O. Box 77-19, București, România, Tel./Fax: 4021-610 6765, 4021-210 6787, Tel.: 0311 044 668, e-mail: office@orionpress.ro.

S.C.MANPRESS DISTRIBUTION S.R.L., Piața Presei Libere nr. 1, Corp B, Etaj 3, Cam. 301-302, sector 1, București, România, tel./fax: 4021-314 6339, e-mail: abonamente@manpres.ro, office@manpres.ro, www.romanianjournals.com.

DEREX COM S.R.L., e-mail: derex_com@yahoo.com.

SUMAR / SOMMAIRE / CONTENTS

PALEOLITIC / PALÉOLITHIQUE / PALAEOLITHIC

Adrian DOBOȘ, Silviu GRIDAN

Recente descoperiri paleolitice în sud-estul Transilvaniei: microzona Rupea – Homorod – Ungra, județul Brașov	5
Recent Palaeolithic discoveries in southeastern Transylvania: Rupea – Homorod – Ungra micro-area, Brașov County	

NEOLITIC / NÉOLITHIQUE / NEOLITHIC

Adina BORONEANȚ, Cristian VIRAG, Ciprian ASTALOȘ, Clive BONSALL

Sourcing obsidian from prehistoric sites in northwest Romania	13
---	----

Adina BORONEANȚ, Vasile DIACONU, Clive BONSALL

Obsidian finds from the Early Neolithic site at Grumăzești – <i>Deleni</i> , Neamț County	25
---	----

Raluca KOGĂLNICEANU, Angela SIMALCSIK

Cernavodă – <i>Columbia D</i> puzzle: the Skull Complex	33
---	----

EPOCA BRONZULUI / L'ÂGE DU BRONZE / BRONZE AGE

Alin FRÎNCULEASA, Bianca PREDA, Angela SIMALCSIK, Octav NEGREA

Peisaje și contexte actuale: un tumul de pământ cercetat în localitatea Coadă Izvorului, județul Prahova	77
Present landscapes and contexts: a burial mound excavated at Coadă Izvorului, Prahova County	

EPOCA FIERULUI / L'ÂGE DU FER / IRON AGE

Dorin SÂRBU

Despre obiceiurile funerare ale nomazilor timpurii din stepele est-europene (sec. X–VIII î.Hr.)	101
On the burial customs of the early nomads from the east-European steppes (10 th –8 th century BC)	

Adrian BĂLĂȘESCU, Alexandru MORINTZ

A case of cynophagy at Radovanu – <i>Gorgana a doua</i> settlement, Călărași County (2 nd –1 st centuries BC) ...	133
---	-----

EPOCA GREACĂ / ÉPOQUE GRECQUE / GREEK PERIOD

Pierre DUPONT

Céramiques anatoliennes du littoral nord du Pont-Euxin archaïque. Problèmes en suspens	149
Pottery finds of Anatolian type from the northern Black Sea shore. Problems of origin and delivery routes	

EPOCA ROMANĂ / ÉPOQUE ROMAINE/ ROMAN PERIOD

Iulia ILIESCU, Valentin BOTTEZ

Late Roman African Red Slip Ware from the <i>Acropolis Centre-South Sector</i> (Histria)	155
--	-----

Corneliu BELDIMAN, Valentin BOTTEZ, Alexandra ȚÂRLEA, Diana-Maria BELDIMAN	
Histria – <i>Acropolă Centru-Sud</i> . Date asupra artefactelor din materii dure animale (I)	169
Histria – <i>Acropolis Centre-South</i> . Data on bone and antler artefacts (I)	
Alexandra ȚÂRLEA, Migdonia GEORGESCU, Aurel VÎLCU	
The compositional analysis of copper and bronze Greek coins found at Histria (<i>Acropolis Centre-South Sector</i>) using a portable X-Ray fluorescence spectrometer	197
Theodor ISVORANU, Mircea DABÎCA	
Descoperiri monetare la Histria – <i>Sectorul Sud</i> (2013–2017)	211
Monetary discoveries at Histria – <i>South Sector</i> (2013–2017)	
Theodor ISVORANU	
Monede și „vârfuri de săgeți” descoperite recent la Argamum și Enisala	231
Coins and “arrowheads” recently discovered at Argamum and Enisala	

EPOCA MIGRAȚIILOR / ÉPOQUE DES MIGRATIONS / MIGRATIONS PERIOD

George BALTAG, Radu HARHOIU	
Sondajul din anul 1979 de la Albești – <i>Școală</i> , județul Mureș	237
The 1979 test pits at Albești – <i>Școală</i> , Mureș County	

EPOCA MEDIEVALĂ / ÉPOQUE MÉDIEVALE / MIDDLE AGES

Daniela MARCU ISTRATE	
Biserica evanghelică din Saschiz, județul Mureș. Cercetări arheologice (II): cimitirul exterior	249
The Evangelical church at Saschiz, Mureș County. Archaeological research (II): the cemetery around the church	

EPOCA CONTEMPORANĂ / ÉPOQUE CONTEMPORAINE / CONTEMPORARY PERIOD

Radu-Alexandru DRAGOMAN, Sorin OANȚĂ-MARGHITU, Tiberiu VASILESCU, Mihai FLOREA, Cătălin NICOLAE	
Ruinele unui proiect modernist: cercetări arheologice în fosta colonie de muncă forțată din perioada comunistă de la Galeșu/Nazarcea, canalul Dunăre – Marea Neagră	265
The ruins of a modernist project: archaeological surveys in the communist-era former forced labour colony at Galeșu/Nazarcea, the Danube – Black Sea Canal	

RECENZII / COMPTES RENDUS / BOOK REVIEWS

Erick Robinson and Frédéric Sellet (eds.), <i>Lithic Technological Organization and Paleoenvironmental Change. Global and Diachronic Perspectives</i> , Studies in Human Ecology and Adaptation 9, Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, 2018, 341 p. (Alexandru Ciornei)	287
<i>Novae: Legionary Fortress and Late Antique Town – volume 1: A companion to the study of Novae: history of research; Novae in ancient sources, historical studies, geography, topography, and cartography, bibliography 1726–2008</i> / published by T. Derda, P. Dyczek, J. Kolendo, with contributions by R. Ciołek et alii, Warsaw, 2008, 375 p.; volume 2: R. Ciołek, P. Dyczek, <i>Novae, Legionary Fortress and Late Antique Town</i> / P. Dyczek, <i>Coins from Sector IV</i> , Warsaw, 2011, 291 p. (Adriana Panaite)	288
Alin Frînculeasa, Angela Simalcsik, Bianca Preda, Daniel Garvăn, <i>Smeeni – Movila Mare. Monografia unui sit arheologic regăsit</i> , Biblioteca Mousaios 13, Editura Cetatea de Scaun, Târgoviște, 2017, 381 p., 35 fig. în text, 136 pl. color (Daniel Spânu)	291
Vasilica-Monica Groza, <i>Cercetări paleoantropologice privind populația lașului medieval. Referire specială la necropola din secolul al XVII-lea de la Curtea Domnească</i> , Scripta Archaeologica et Historica Dacoromaniae VIII, Editura Universității „Alexandru Ioan Cuza”, Iași, 2015, 441 p. (Gabriel Vasile)	292
Abrevieri / Abréviations / Abbreviations	295

SOURCING OBSIDIAN FROM PREHISTORIC SITES IN NORTHWEST ROMANIA

Adina BORONEANȚ^a, Cristian VIRAG^b, Ciprian ASTALOȘ^b, Clive BONSALL^c

^a "Vasile Pârvan" Institute of Archaeology, Romanian Academy, e-mail: boro30@gmail.com

^b Satu Mare County Museum, e-mail: ccvirag@gmail.com, astalos_ciprian@yahoo.com

^c University of Edinburgh, School of History, Classics and Archaeology, e-mail: Clive.Bonsall@ed.ac.uk

Keywords: pXRF, obsidian sourcing, Neolithic, Copper Age, northwest Romania

Abstract: Portable X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy (pXRF) was used to reveal the chemical signatures of 75 obsidian artefacts from seven sites in the Satu Mare region, ranging in age from Early Neolithic (late Starčevo-Criș culture) to Late Copper Age. The results reveal the origin of the obsidian to be the Carpathian 1 source area in eastern Slovakia, reinforcing the pattern documented elsewhere in Romania and in northern Bulgaria which indicates a clear preference for Carpathian 1 obsidian throughout the period from the later stages of the Early Neolithic to the Bronze Age.

Cuvinte-cheie: pXRF, surse de obsidian, neolitic, epoca cuprului, nord-vestul României

Rezumat: Pentru determinarea amprenteii chimice a 75 de piese arheologice de obsidian provenind din nord-vestul României a fost utilizată metoda pXRF. Siturile de proveniență aparțin perioadei neolitic timpuriu – epoca târzie a cuprului. Rezultatele indică prezența obsidianului din sursa carpatică C1 localizată în estul Slovaciei. Acestea sunt în concordanță cu analize anterioare realizate pe probe din România și Bulgaria, indicând astfel în mod clar o preferință a comunităților preistorice de utilizare a sursei carpatice C1 începând cu etapele mai târzii ale neoliticului timpuriu și până în epoca bronzului.

INTRODUCTION

Obsidian sourcing (matching obsidian artefacts to specific geological sources of obsidian based on their elemental composition) has been used in Romanian archaeology since the 1970s (Williams-Thorpe 1978). However, most previous geochemical characterization studies have been limited to just a few artefacts per site and to relatively few sites. In this paper we report on the geochemical characterization of a large series of obsidian artefacts from seven sites in the Satu Mare region of northwest Romania belonging to the Neolithic and Copper Age periods. This research forms part of a much broader study of obsidian distribution patterns in Romanian prehistory being undertaken by two of us (AB and CB) with the aim of establishing the patterns of movement, modes of acquisition and use of obsidian during different archaeological periods.

SITES AND SAMPLES

The locations of the sites are shown in Fig. 1. Accounts of the excavations and principal archaeological finds can be found in Virag 2008, Astaloș *et alii* 2013, Virag 2014, Chmielewski, Astalos 2015 and Virag 2015. The list of sites, number of measured samples and their cultural attributions are summarized in Table 1.

Călinești-Oaș – Dâmbul Sfintei Marii (DSM) is located ca. 0.5 km northwest of Călinești-Oaș, on the slope of *Dâmbul Sfintei Marii* (Holy Mary's Hill). Discovered in 1999, archaeological investigation by test pits took place in the same year. The investigations continued on a larger scale in 2000 and 2001 (Németi, Astaloș 2001; Németi *et alii* 2002). Based on the results of the field observations in 1999–2001 (the distribution of the surface finds) and a field survey in the winter of 2008 (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015) the size of the site was estimated to be ca. 50 × 50 metres.

The stratigraphic integrity of the site was heavily affected by soil erosion and agricultural activities. Two layers were distinguished (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015):

1. Topsoil (20–30 cm thick) containing lithics (chipped and polished) and small corroded pottery sherds.
2. Yellow clay (with ferro-manganese concretions in places) in which archaeological features could be recognized.

Three pit-features were identified, Cx.1/2000, Cx.1/2001 and Cx.2/2001. To date, only the materials from Cx.1/Sul/2000 have been studied (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015, fig. 5). The infill soil of this feature contained pottery sherds and an abundant chipped stone assemblage comprising mainly débitage and rare retouched blades. Cx.1/2000 was thus considered to be a 'workshop' for chipped lithic artefacts (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015). The feature yielded 1457 chipped stone

artefacts. The main raw materials observed were limnosilicite/limnoquartzite (84.8%), vitreous dacite (4.9%), silicified sandstone (4.2%), obsidian (4.5%), Balkan flint (0.3%) and other (1.3%). The 65 obsidian pieces comprised cores (7), blades (18), flakes (34) and debitage waste (6) (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015, p. 50, table 1).

One obsidian piece probably from feature Cx.1/2000

was subjected to EDXRF analysis by Glascock *et alii* (2017, p. 177), the geochemical composition pointing to a source in the “Cejkov-Viničky area” (Carpathian 1). The six obsidian items from Călinești-Oaș – DSM analyzed in our study came from feature Cx.1/Sul/2000, and comprise two platform cores, three split pebbles and one unworked fragment (Table 2).

Figure 1. Locations of archaeological sites and obsidian sources discussed in the text.

Site	Site type	Period	Culture	No. of samples
Tășnad – Sere	settlement	EN	Starčevo-Criș IIIB-IVA	15
Călinești-Oaș – DSM	settlement	EN	Starčevo-Criș IIIB-IVA	6
Halmeu – Vamă	settlement	MN	Pișcolt III/Iclod 1	8
Halmeu – Kíraly domb	settlement	MN	Pișcolt II	5
Pișcolt – Lutărie	settlement	MN	Pișcolt II	3
Urziceni – Vamă	cemetery	Copper Age	Bodrogkeresztúr	30
Urziceni – Vamă	settlement	MN	Pișcolt II	7
Căpleni – Drumul Căminului	settlement	MN	Pișcolt III	1

Table 1. List of sites, number of measured samples and their cultural attributions. EN – Early Neolithic; MN – Middle Neolithic.

Tășnad – Sere is located to the southwest of the town of Tășnad, on a terrace of the Cehal River. Discovered in the 1970s by Neța Iercoșan during construction of a canal to divert the waters of the Cehal, excavations started only in 1989, with five further seasons

undertaken between 1995 and 1999, all supervised by N. Iercoșan. The rapid development of the nearby spa-town triggered further rescue excavations in 2001–2002 (directed by J. Némethi and C. Astaloș) and from 2004 onwards (directed by C. Virag). From 2012 a joint project

between Satu Mare County Museum and University College London started at Tășnad aimed at a detailed investigation of the occupation layer (Astaloş *et alii* 2013; Sommer, Astaloş 2014).

The investigations brought to light archaeological remains attributed to the Early Neolithic (Starčevo-Criş culture), Middle Neolithic (Pişcolt culture), Late Copper Age (Coţofeni culture), Bronze Age and Roman period (Przeworsk culture).

Most of the features belong to the Early Neolithic Criş culture and consist of pits, pit-houses, graves, hearths, ovens and post-built houses. Four single graves were excavated, each containing a 'contracted' inhumation. The Criş artefacts comprise mainly pottery sherds, chipped stone tools, polished axes, querns, anthropomorphic and zoomorphic figurines, clay stamps (*pintaderas*), clay altars, loom-weights and spindle-whorls (Astaloş *et alii* 2013). For the lithic artefacts, both local raw materials, such as jasper and limnic quartzite, and imported siliceous rocks (obsidian, Balkan flint and Prut flint) were used.

Two obsidian artefacts from feature Cx.103 (described as a recessed dwelling of 4 × 1.5 m and dated based on pottery typology to Starčevo-Criş phases IIIB-IVA were analyzed using EDXRF by Glascock *et alii* (2017, p. 177) and provenanced to the Carpathian 1 (C1) source in eastern Slovakia. For our study, 15 obsidian pieces from 10 features (9 'complexes' and one burial [M3]) were analyzed by pXRF, including a blade from burial M3 (Table 2; Fig. 2A).

The analyzed obsidian come from pit houses (Cx.64, Cx.23, Cx.53) and storage pits (Cx.108, Cx.56, Cx.70). Cx.64/2006 is a pit house with a slightly irregular shape (7.5 × 5.5 m), with a maximum depth of 0.80 m; in this feature 10 post holes were identified; the filling of the feature is very dark grey with ubiquitous small daub fragments; in the inventory of the feature was found a fragment of an anthropomorphic pot representing a human leg, as well as numerous clay weights. M3/2006 (= Cx.72/2006) is an intramural inhumation grave with the skeleton in a crouched position on the left side, oriented N–S, with two pieces of obsidian as grave goods.

Figure 2. Obsidian artefacts deposited with burials at sites in the study area: A. Blade fragment associated with burial M3 at Tășnad – Sere (late Starčevo-Criş); B. Bifacial projectile point associated with burial M21 at Urziceni – Vamă cemetery (late Copper Age); C. Close-up of bifacial projectile points associated with burials M21 and M56 at Urziceni – Vamă cemetery – scale in cm/mm. (Photos: C. Virag).

Sample #	Site	Year excavated	Context	Type	Blank	Dimensions (mm)			W (g)	Cortex	Period	Culture
						L	B	Th				
SM.01	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M48	Blade (distal mesial break)	B	-30.18	8.78	2.27	0.61		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.02	Urziceni – Vamă	2003	M3	Bifacial projectile point	F?	29.63	19.58	4.18	2.15		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.03	Urziceni – Vamă	2003	M21	Bifacial projectile point, broken tip	F?	-23.18	19.58	4.21	1.43		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.04	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Bifacial projectile point	F?	23.45	15.87	4.58	1.45		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.05	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Bifacial projectile point	F?	26.17	16.98	3.55	1.31		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.06	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Unretouched flake	F	24.16	23.76	7.73	4.00		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.07	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Platform core	P	36.20	24.97	15.33	18.43		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.08	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Flake / Split pebble	F/P	-24.13	16.76	8.98	3.79		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.09	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Platform core	P	26.00	15.13	12.00	4.58	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.10	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Platform core	P	32.87	17.62	9.99	7.21		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.11	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Unretouched blade	B	34.94	9.80	4.19	1.50		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.12	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Bipolar core / Split pebble	P	27.17	14.68	13.19	6.68	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.13	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Unretouched flake	F	32.70	33.26	10.41	10.32	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.14	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Unretouched flake	F	48.68	16.37	10.97	8.14	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.15	Urziceni – Vamă	2005	M30	Unretouched flake	F	15.42	14.35	3.00	0.42	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.16	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M56	Bifacial projectile point	F?	30.91	17.17	3.22	1.30		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.17	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M45	Bifacial projectile point	F?	18.60	14.10	3.06	0.58		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.18	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M58	Bifacial trapeze?	B/F	13.83	11.60	2.71	0.44	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.19	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M55	Unretouched blade	B	-57.74	11.27	3.30	1.97		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.20	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M50	Blade (distal mesial break)	B	-27.41	8.28	2.41	0.62		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.21	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M52	Platform core	P	55.04	23.48	17.80	27.79	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.22	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M79	Unretouched flake	F	23.19	24.96	5.58	25.60	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.23	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M45	Platform core	P	31.40	24.53	19.58	11.77		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.24	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M71	Bifacial projectile point	F?	24.56	16.98	3.74	1.04		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.25	Urziceni – Vamă	2014	M71	Bifacial projectile point	F?	18.29	14.75	3.20	0.84		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr

Sample #	Site	Year excavated	Context	Type	Blank	Dimensions (mm)			W (g)	Cortex	Period	Culture
						L	B	Th				
SM.26	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2014	M70	Edge-retouched blade	B	37.29	15.49	4.11	2.50	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.27	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2014	M60 vessel 1	Split pebble	P	29.16	30.63	15.70	12.00	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.28	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	M10	Unretouched blade	B	55.09	12.73	3.93	3.15	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.29	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2014	M62	Edge-retouched blade	B	-42.97	13.42	4.41	3.11	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.30	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2005	M39	Unretouched blade	B	27.64	11.71	9.59	2.45	x	Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.31	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2014	M62	Bifacial projectile point	B/F	16.94	14.65	2.40	0.49		Eneolithic	Bodrogkeresztúr
SM.32	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Unretouched flake	F	20.53	-31.04	8.45	5.45	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.33	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Bipolar core	P/F	28.63	13.57	4.71	1.96		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.34	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Unretouched flake	F	28.81	37.25	7.65	7.99		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.35	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Edge-retouched blade, mesial fragment	B	-32.49	12.71	5.45	2.69		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.36	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Edge-retouched blade	B	41.40	20.36	5.47	5.21	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.37	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	2003	Cx.1	Edge-retouched blade	B	67.37	21.59	6.80	10.71		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.38	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Platform core	P	22.28	23.52	14.13	8.36	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.39	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Split pebble	P	38.19	26.06	21.10	12.84	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.40	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Platform core	P	25.36	18.23	20.20	9.67		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.41	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Split pebble	P	31.04	26.34	22.70	15.61	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.42	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Unworked fragment	-	36.67	34.66	14.51	20.05		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.43	Călinești Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	2000	Cx.1	Split pebble	P	32.39	25.65	15.60	11.81	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.44	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.56	Blade (distal mesial break)	B	-36.30	14.58	5.15	2.71		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.45	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.23	Platform core	?	30.13	25.19	21.38	17.02	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.46	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.44	Unretouched flake	F	38.30	29.13	11.09	8.91	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt I/II
SM.47	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.70	Unretouched flake	F	21.40	21.78	9.12	3.06	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.48	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	M3 (Cx.72)	Blade, mesial fragment	B	-53.20	9.62	23.84	11.60	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.49	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2002	Cx.3	Blade, mesial fragment	B	-32.49	16.08	4.83	2.98		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.50	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.53	Platform core	P	21.96	27.05	16.07	7.65		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.51	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	2006	Cx.53	Blade (distal mesial break)	B	-32.22	16.56	6.56	3.89	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA

Sample #	Site	Year excavated	Context	Type	Blank	Dimensions (mm)			W (g)	Cortex	Period	Culture
						L	B	Th				
SM.52	Tășnad – Sere	2006	Cx.53	Unretouched flake	F	33.06	22.32	4.99	3.59		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.53	Tășnad – Sere	2009	Cx.108	Blade, mesial fragment	B	-37.33	27.65	5.92	5.84		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.54	Tășnad – Sere	2005	Cx.23	Unretouched flake	F	39.75	48.43	22.47	36.23	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.55	Tășnad – Sere	2006	Cx.64	Unretouched flake	F	35.94	41.89	9.46	18.10	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.56	Tășnad – Sere	2006	Cx.64	Unretouched flake	F	69.00	36.49	20.13	40.95	x	Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.57	Tășnad – Sere	2009	Cx.216	Scraper	?	29.41	25.09	12.73	9.31		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.58	Tășnad – Sere	2006	Cx.62	Platform core	P	36.12	45.86	23.35	41.01		Early Neolithic	Criș IIIB-IVA
SM.59	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.40	Edge-retouched flake	F	28.66	15.14	5.51	2.41	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.60	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.20	Platform core	P	29.97	27.14	27.03	29.92	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.61	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.10	Platform core	P	33.53	25.67	31.56	35.33	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.62	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.10	Unretouched blade	B	-66.64	18.68	5.26	6.93		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.63	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.46	Bipolar core	F	34.32	25.53	8.00	8.39		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.64	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	Cx.33	Bipolar core	P	22.01	16.03	10.59	4.33		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.65	Halmeu – Vamă	2008	M1 (Cx.22)	Blade, mesial fragment	B	-20.01	13.88	2.15	0.80		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.66	Halmeu – Vamă	2003	Cx.4	Unretouched flake	F	-24.66	17.34	5.51	2.35		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III
SM.67	Halmeu – Király domb	2002	Cx.12	Platform core	P	29.91	31.31	32.81	39.70	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.68	Halmeu – Király domb	2002	Cx.11	Unretouched blade / flake	B/F	-31.60	27.24	7.81	4.92		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.69	Halmeu – Király domb	2002	Cx.12B	Edge-retouched blade / Scraper	B	43.53	20.48	5.95	5.41		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.70	Halmeu – Király domb	2002	Cx.8 (area of)	Platform core	P	32.53	14.75	15.44	9.42		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.71	Halmeu – Király domb	2002	Cx.12B	Platform core	P	32.54	21.56	13.64	13.64		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.72	Pișcolt – Lutărie	1999	G11	Bipolar core	P/F	42.29	43.35	27.14	54.24	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.73	Pișcolt – Lutărie	1970	G1	Unretouched flake	F	-26.88	21.66	5.18	2.94		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.74	Pișcolt – Lutărie	1976	G4	Unretouched flake	F	19.07	28.04	7.92	3.91	x	Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt II
SM.75	Căpleni – Drumul Căminului	2001	G1	Blade, mesial fragment	B	-42.93	20.70	5.03	3.40		Middle Neolithic	Pișcolt III

Table 2. Details of obsidian artefacts analyzed by pXRF. Key: B – blade, F – flake, P – pebble/nodule; a minus sign before a measurement indicates that the dimension is affected by a break.

Halmeu – Vamă is located on the west bank of the Egherul Mare creek (a tributary of the Tur River), on a low terrace subject to periodic flooding. The entire area of the site is covered by a 20 cm thick alluvial deposit that overlies the occupation levels. The site was discovered in 2000 and excavated between 2000 and 2014, under the direction of L. Marta and C. Virag. The archaeological remains were attributed to Middle Neolithic (Pișcolt group) and Bronze Age occupations. The Middle Neolithic settlement was protected by ditches and three rows of palisades. The architectural features comprised surface and sunken dwellings as well as a large number of pits with various functions (clay extraction, storage and refuse pits – Virag 2015). The three artefacts analyzed by Glascock *et alii* 2017 came from feature Cx.4/2003 (7.40 x 4.60 m) – which those authors assigned to the Pișcolt IIIB stage (based on pottery typology) – and were provenanced to the Cejkov-Viničky (C1) source area in eastern Slovakia. The eight samples from Halmeu – Vamă analyzed for our study came from six different features – comprising ditches (Cx.4, Cx.20, Cx.40), pits (Cx.33, Cx.46) and a probable human burial (M1/Cx.22) (Table 2) – which were also assigned to the Middle Neolithic (stage Pișcolt III) based on pottery typology. The burial (M1) consisted of a rectangular pit measuring 2.37 x 0.96 m and oriented SSE–NNW, from which were recovered a few poorly preserved and unidentifiable fragments of bones and teeth. The ‘grave inventory’ comprised two boar tusks (interpreted as ‘pendants’), six polished stone artefacts and 12 chipped stone artefacts (including a core, an endscraper and retouched and unretouched blades and bladelets).

Halmeu – Király Domb was discovered in 2000 close to the Halmeu – Vamă site, on a terrace of the Egherul Mare creek. Test pits in 2000 and 2004 suggested that the Neolithic occupation observed at Halmeu – Vamă also extended into this area. Two mounds (*Király Domb I* and *II*) surrounded by drainage ditches were investigated. Three stratigraphic horizons were identified: 1. Ploughsoil, 40 cm thick, containing mixed Neolithic, Bronze Age and medieval finds; 2. Below this a layer, 20–30 cm thick, containing the remains of the Neolithic occupation (pits and agglomerations of sherds), cut by later features; 3. Yellow clay, archaeologically sterile. The archaeological materials from the Middle Neolithic occupation comprised pottery sherds and lithics, but very few faunal remains or artefacts made of bone. A study of 391 lithic items (Chmielewski 2012) showed the raw materials to be mainly limnic silicoflint, silicified gritstone, vitreous dacite, Dniestr flint and obsidian. Typologically, the assemblage comprised flake and blade blanks, various

tools, cores and débitage. We undertook pXRF analyses of six obsidian artefacts excavated from five pit features (Table 2).

Pișcolt – Lutărie is located ca. 200 m south of the outskirts of Pișcolt village. The site occupied a former clay quarry in the bed of Ierul Rece brook. The site was discovered in 1970 following the digging of clay extraction pits by local people. Archaeological excavations directed by J. Némethi took place between 1986 and 1989 and continued (with gaps) until 2001 mainly under the direction of J. Némethi and R. Gindele (Hágó, Némethi 2013; Lazarovici, Némethi 1983). The archaeological remains were attributed to the Middle Neolithic (Pișcolt culture – two phases of occupation), La Tène and Migration periods (Celts and Sarmatians). The Middle Neolithic occupation yielded a large pottery assemblage (some decorated with incisions or painted with black) together with polished stone tools, chipped lithics and faunal remains. The three obsidian pieces (two cores and one flake) measured by pXRF in our study came from pit features G1, G4 and G11.

The site at **Urziceni – Vamă** was discovered in 2003 during survey work prior to construction of the customs post at the Romanian-Hungarian border crossing point. The archaeological site lies on the (once swampy) valley of the Negru River. Started in 2003 the on-going excavations (led by C. Virag) uncovered the remains of a Copper Age (Bodrogkeresztúr culture) cemetery, partially overlapping a Middle Neolithic (Pișcolt culture) settlement. Remains attributed to the Late Bronze Age (Gáva culture) and Roman period were also recorded. The Copper Age cemetery was ¹⁴C dated to 4300–4000 cal BC (Virag unpublished). 103 burials were discovered between 2003 and 2017. Some tombs had spectacular grave goods: pottery vessels, copper adornments and weapons, gold items, *Spondylus* shell ornaments, stone projectile points and blades. Individuals were positioned lying on one side (women on the left, men on the right), oriented E–W, at ca. 50 cm depth. Grave goods differed between burials of men and women. A total of 37 obsidian artefacts from the Urziceni – Vamă site were analyzed by pXRF for this study. Most (31) came from Copper Age burials (Fig. 2B), one burial (M30) producing 12 pieces (Fig. 2C); the other six pieces came from a Middle Neolithic pit feature designated Cx.1 (Table 2).

Căpleni – Drumul Căminului. The Neolithic settlement is often cited in the archaeological literature as the *Reformed Cemetery* (Némethi 1999, p. 77), and was previously referred to as *Grădinărie I* (Némethi 1986–1987, p. 26, fig. 12–13, 26–27, point B). The single piece of

obsidian analyzed from this site came from feature G1/2001, which was discovered during the installation of the Romtelecom fibre-optic cable (Németi 2017, p. 105–106). The most distinctive find from the pit-feature is a ceramic fragment with the schematic representation of a human figure in a praying position. Based on pottery typology feature G1/2001 has been attributed to phase III of the Pișcolt culture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Details of the obsidian samples analyzed for this study are presented in Table 2. Non-destructive pXRF measurements were made on 75 artefacts from seven sites in the Satu Mare County of northwest Romania. The work was undertaken at the Satu Mare County Museum over a 2-day period in August 2016. The analyses were performed using a Niton 'XL3t ultra' portable XRF analyzer. Following our standard methodology, two sets of measurements were taken on each piece using the factory-set 'Mining' (Fundamental Parameter) and 'Soils' (Compton Normalization) calibrations, respectively. For both sets of analyses, 'spot size' (the diameter of the analysis area) was set to 8 mm, and the measurement time per sample to 180 seconds.

For present purposes, we use the assay data generated by the 'Mining' (FP) calibration, which has been found to provide reliable results for a range of major, minor and trace elements (including Ti, Fe, Zn, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Pb, Th and U) that are particularly useful in obsidian provenancing research. Matching of the archaeological samples to their original source(s) can be achieved by comparing these results against equivalent data for known obsidian sources; previously, using the same pXRF analyzer with the same settings, we established a library of analytical data for samples collected from geological sources in the Carpathians, the Aegean, Central Anatolia and the Central Mediterranean.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) is an effective and non-destructive technique for elemental analysis of materials and has been used extensively in obsidian provenancing research. While the detection systems in laboratory-based instruments have a wider analytical range and can be used on a greater variety of sample types, handheld XRF analyzers like the 'Niton XL3t' have a number of advantages for archaeological research. They permit rapid identification and quantification of elements at the ppm level. Moreover, they can be used 'on location' – that is, in the field or wherever the archaeological finds are kept. In practical terms, pXRF enables larger numbers of samples to be analyzed more quickly and at lower cost.

The nearest geological sources of obsidian to the Satu Mare region archaeological sites discussed in this paper are those on the western flank of the Carpathian Mountains (Fig. 1). Three main source areas have been identified within the Carpathians (Biró 2006; 2014; Rosania *et alii* 2008): Carpathian 1 (C1) in the Zemplín Hills of eastern Slovakia; Carpathian 2 (C2) in the Tokaj Mountains of northeast Hungary; and Carpathian 3 (C3) in the Transcarpathian region of southwest Ukraine. In both the Slovakian and Hungarian source areas two obsidian variants have been recognized: C1a/C1b in Slovakia and C2E/C2T in Hungary.

Table 3 shows the approximate straight-line distances between the Satu Mare region archaeological sites and each of Carpathian obsidian source areas. By far the nearest obsidian source to at least six of the sites is the Carpathian 3 source between Malyj Rakovets and Rokosovo in southwestern Ukraine. However, previous research suggests that archaeological occurrences of C3 obsidian have a predominantly local distribution around the source. So far, the only recorded occurrences of C3 obsidian outside Ukraine are at Late Pleistocene sites in the northwest Romania (Dobrescu *et alii* 2018).

		C1	C2	C3
1.-2.	Halmeu – <i>Vamă</i> and Halmeu – <i>Király Domb</i>	105	120	35
3.	Călinești-Oaş – <i>DSM</i>	165	185	60
4.	Căpleni – <i>Drumul Căminului</i>	100	105	80
5.	Urziceni – <i>Vamă</i>	95	95	80
6.	Tășnad – <i>Sere</i>	125	125	100
7.	Pișcolt – <i>Lutărie</i>	105	100	105

Table 3. Approximate straight-line distances (in kilometres) between archaeological sites and obsidian source areas.

Obsidian generally is a scarce raw material in Romanian prehistoric sites. Unsurprisingly, some of the highest frequencies have been recorded in northwest Romania. A study of Early Upper Palaeolithic sites in the region found that the proportion of obsidian among the primary materials ranged between 0.64% and 23% (Dobrescu *et alii* 2018). Among the much later sites discussed here obsidian frequencies have been observed to be similarly variable, from 4.5% at Călinești-Oaș – *DSM* (Chmielewski, Astaloș 2015) to 90% at Tășnad – *Sere* (Chmielewski 2012). These variations suggest that distance to source was not the only, or necessarily the primary, determinant of obsidian use.

Each of the Carpathian obsidian sources has a relatively distinct chemical signature. It is possible to distinguish between the C1, C2 and C3 sources (and, to some extent, their variants) using the elemental concentrations of zirconium (Zr), strontium (Sr) and rubidium (Rb). These same elements are also useful for discriminating between the Carpathian and other European (and Central Anatolian) sources (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Ternary graph of the range of variation in Zr/Sr/Rb compositions (normalized) of geological reference samples from obsidian sources in the Carpathians (C1, C2, C3) and on the island of Melos.

Figure 4 plots the normalized Zr/Sr/Rb data for the archaeological samples (by site and period) against the compositional ranges obtained for geological samples from Carpathian 1, 2 and 3 source areas, using the factory-set 'Mining' calibration of the XL3t analyzer. In all cases, the archaeological samples have trace element compositions that correspond closely with that of Carpathian 1 obsidian. These results reinforce the pattern we and others have found at sites elsewhere in Romania and in northern Bulgaria (Dobrescu *et alii* 2016; Bonsall *et alii* 2017a; 2017b; Boroneanț *et alii* 2018; Glascock *et alii* 2017; Boroneanț & Bonsall, unpublished) which indicates a clear preference for Carpathian 1 obsidian throughout

the time range from the later stages of the Early Neolithic to the Bronze Age.

Over the time range represented by the sites included in this study (late Early Neolithic to late Copper Age, ca. 5700–4000 cal BC) obsidian artefacts have been found in both domestic and funerary contexts. Parts of obsidian blades occurred in a late Criș burial at Tășnad – *Sere* and a Pișcolt III burial at Halmeu – *Vamă*. Across Southeastern Europe the Copper Age saw a marked increase in the quantity and variety of grave goods in burial sites and the appearance of 'rich' graves furnished with precious and/or exotic items, including gold objects. They include the famous Varna I necropolis on the Black Sea coast of Bulgaria dated to ca. 4550–4400 cal BC (Higham *et alii* 2018) and the necropolis at Urziceni – *Vamă* dating to ca. 4300–4000 cal BC (Virag 2004, Mathieson *et alii* 2018, Virag unpublished). Among the grave inventories of both sites were exceptionally fine examples of artefacts made of Carpathian 1 obsidian (Bonsall *et alii* 2017b; Virag unpublished). Of particular note among the obsidian finds from the Urziceni – *Vamă* necropolis are a series of distinctive bifacial projectile points made of C1 obsidian (Fig. 2), with close parallels in sites of the Cucuteni-Trypillia culture to the east of the Carpathian Mountains (Anthony 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

The research presented in this paper represents the most detailed study to date of obsidian use during the Neolithic and Copper Age periods in northwest Romania. All of the obsidian samples analyzed originated in the Carpathian 1 source area. Distance to source appears not to have been a critical factor in the occurrence of obsidian artefacts at the archaeological sites investigated. Obsidian from the nearest source (Carpathian 3) has not so far been found on any Neolithic or later site. The sites are more or less equidistant from the Carpathian 1 and 2 source areas, yet C1 obsidian was preferred. The long-term chronological pattern that emerges from this and previous studies (e.g. Dobrescu *et alii* 2016; Glascock *et alii* 2017) is that obsidian use in northwest Romania occurred over a period from at least the beginning of the Upper Palaeolithic to the Bronze Age. There was much greater variety of source use in the Late Pleistocene (when obsidian was acquired from all three Carpathian source areas) compared to the Holocene. From the later stages of the Early Neolithic onward Carpathian 1 obsidian seems to have been used preferentially and, perhaps, exclusively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Katalin Biró (National Museum of Hungary) and Gerhard Trnka (University of Vienna) for granting access to the obsidian reference samples in their care.

Figure 4. Ternary diagram of Zr/Sr/Rb data for obsidian artefacts from Neolithic and Copper Age sites in Satu Mare County plotted against the compositional ranges of obsidian reference samples from sources in the Carpathians (ellipses). All measurements taken with a Niton 'XL3t ultra' handheld pXRF analyzer using the factory-set Fundamental Parameter 'Mining' calibration (not calibrated using standard reference materials).

REFERENCES

- Anthony 2007 – D. W. Anthony, *The Horse, the Wheel, and Language: How Bronze Age Riders from the Eurasian Steppes Shaped the Modern World*, Princeton, 2007.
- Astaloş et alii 2013 – C. Astaloş, U. Sommer, C. Virag, *Excavations of an Early Neolithic Site at Tăşnad, Romania*, *Archaeology International* 16, p. 47–53, 2013.
- Biró 2006 – K. T. Biró, *Carpathian obsidians: myth and reality*, in: J. Pérez-Arantegui (ed.), *Proceedings of the 34th International Symposium on Archaeometry, 3–7 May 2004, Zaragoza, Spain*, Zaragoza, 2006, p. 267–278, <http://ifc.dpz.es/recursos/publicaciones/26/10/ebook.pdf>.
- Biró 2014 – K. T. Biró, *Comparative raw material collections in support of petroarchaeological studies: an overview*, in: K. T. Biró, A. Markó, K. P. Bajnok (eds.), *Aeolian Scripts. New Ideas on the Lithic World Studies in Honour of Viola T. Dobosi*, Budapest, 2014, p. 207–224.
- Bonsall et alii 2017a – C. Bonsall, N. Elenski, G. Ganecovski, M. Gurova, G. Ivanov, V. Slavchev, R. Zlateva-Uzanova, *Investigating the provenance of obsidian from Neolithic and Chalcolithic sites in Bulgaria*, *Antiquity* 91, 2017 (Project Gallery), <http://www.antiquity.ac.uk/projgall/rosania318/>, accessed 31/05/2018, 11:31 am.
- Bonsall et alii 2017b – C. Bonsall, M. Gurova, N. Elenski, G. Ivanov, A. Bakamska, G. Ganetsovski, R. Zlateva-Uzunova, V. Slavchev, *Tracing the source of obsidian from prehistoric sites in Bulgaria*, *Bulgarian e-Journal of Archaeology* 7, p. 37–59.
- Boroneanţ et alii 2018 – A. Boroneanţ, V. Diaconu, C. Bonsall, *Obsidian finds from the Early Neolithic site at Grumăzeşti-Deleni (Neamţ County)*, *MCA* 14, 2018, p. 25–31.
- Chmielewski 2012 – T. J. Chmielewski, *Developed Neolithic Chipped Stone Industry in the Upper Tisza Basin (Industria litică cioplită în neoliticul dezvoltat în Bazinul Tisei Superioare)*, unpublished PhD thesis, Universitatea „Lucian Blaga”, Sibiu, 2012.
- Chmielewski, Astaloş 2015 – T. J. Chmielewski, C. Astaloş, *Floating stones down the Tur River. Comparative study of chipped stone assemblages from Călineşti-Oaş-Dâmbul Sfintei Marii and Méhtelek-Nádas*, in: C. Virag (ed.), *Neolithic Cultural Phenomena in the Upper Tisza Basin*, Satu Mare, 2015, p. 29–74.
- Dobrescu et alii 2016 – R. Dobrescu, C. E. Ştefan, C. Bonsall, *Observations sur l'industrie en obsidienne découverte à Şoimuş – La Avicola (Ferme 2)*, *MCA* 12, 2016, p. 45–56.
- Dobrescu et alii 2018 – R. Dobrescu, A. Tuffreau, C. Bonsall, *L'utilisation de l'obsidienne au Paléolithique supérieur dans le nord-ouest de la Roumanie*, *l'Anthropologie (Paris)* 122, 2018, p. 111–128.
- Glascok et alii 2017 – M. D. Glascok, A. W. Barker, I. A. Bărbat, B. Bobîna, F. Draşovean, C. Virag, 2017, *Sourcing obsidian artifacts from archaeological sites in central and northwestern Romania by X-ray Fluorescence*, *EphemNap* 27, 2017, p. 175–186.
- Hágó, Némethi 2013 – A. N. Hágó, J. Némethi, *Archaeological researches at Pişcolt-Lutărie between 1986–1989 (Satu-Mare County, Ro)*, *ActaTS* 12, 2013, p. 21–37.
- Higham et alii 2018 – T. Higham, V. Slavchev, B. Gaydarska, J. Chapman, *AMS dating of the Late Copper Age Varna cemetery, Bulgaria*, *Radiocarbon* 60, 2, 2018, p. 493–516.
- Lazarovici, Némethi 1983 – Lazarovici, J. Némethi, *Neoliticul dezvoltat din nord-vestul Romaniei (Sălajul, Sătmăruş şi Clujul)*, *ActaMP* 7, p. 17–60.
- Mathieson et alii 2018 – I. Mathieson, S. Alpaslan-Roodenberg, C. Posth, A. Szécsényi-Nagy, N. Rohland, S. Mallick, I. Olalde, N. Broomandkoshbacht, F. Candilio, O. Cheronet, D. Fernandes, M. Ferry, B. Gamarra, G. González Fortes, W. Haak, E. Harney, E. Jones, D. Keating, B. Krause-Kyora, I. Kucukkalipci, M. Michel, A. Mittnik, K. Nägele, M. Novak, J. Oppenheimer, N. Patterson, S. Pfrengle, K. Sirak, K. Stewardson, S. Vai, S. Alexandrov, K. W. Alt, R. Andreescu, D. Antonović, A. Ash, N. Atanassova, K. Bacvarov, M. Balázs Gusztáv, H. Bocherens, M. Bolus, A. Boroneanţ, Y. Boyadzhiev, A. Budnik, J. Burmaz, S. Chohadzhiev, N. J. Conard, R. Cottiaux, M. Čuka, C. Cupillard, D. G. Drucker, N. Elenski, M. Francken, B. Galabova, G. Ganetsovski, B. Gély, T. Hajdu, V. Handzhyska, K. Harvati, T. Higham, S. Iliev, I. Janković, I. Karavanić, D. J. Kennett, D. Komšo, A. Kozak, D. Labuda, M. Lari, C. Lazar, M. Leppek, K. Leshtakov, D. Lo Vetro, D. Los, I. Lozanov, M. Malina, F. Martini, K. McSweeney, H. Meller, M. Mendušić, P. Mirea, V. Moiseyev, V. Petrova, T. D. Price, A. Simalcsik, L. Sineo, M. Šlaus, V. Slavchev, P. Stanev, A. Starović, T. Szeniczey, S. Talamo, M. Teschler-Nicola, C. Thevenet, I. Valchev, F. Valentin, S. Vasilyev, F. Veljanovska, S. Venelinova, E. Veselovskaya, B. Viola, C. Virag, J. Zaninović, S. Zäuner, P. W. Stockhammer, G. Catalano, R. Krauß, D. Caramelli, G. Zariņa, B. Gaydarska, M. Lillie, A. G. Nikitin, I. Potekhina, A. Papatthanasiou, D. Borčić, C. Bonsall, J. Krause, R. Pinhasi, D. Reich, *The genomic history of southeastern Europe*, *Nature* 555, 2018, p. 197–203.
- Némethi 1986–1987 – J. Némethi, *Descoperiri din neoliticul târziu în Valea Crasnei, Satu-Mare*, *StudCom Satu Mare* 7–8, 1986–1987, p. 15–61.
- Némethi 1999 – J. Némethi, *Repertoriul arheologic al zonei Careiului*, *BiblThrac* 28, Bucureşti, 1999.
- Némethi 2017 – J. Némethi, *Emberábrázolással díszített középső neolitik edénytöredék Kaplonyból*, in: *MQMOΣ VIII. Óskori művészet – Művészet az őskorban. Óskoros Kutatok VIII. Összejevelete Debrecen, 2013, október 16–18, Debrecen*, 2017, p. 105–127.
- Némethi, Astaloş 2001 – J. Némethi, C. Astaloş, *Călineşti-Oaş-Dâmbul Sfintei Mării*, CCA, campania 2000, Suceava, 2001, p. 60–61.
- Némethi et alii 2002 – J. Némethi, C. Astaloş, C. Ilea, *Călineşti-Oaş-Dâmbul Sfintei Mării*, CCA, campania 2001, Buziaş, 2002, p. 92–93.
- Rosania et alii 2008 – C. N. Rosania, M. T. Boulanger, M. D. Glascok, K. T. Biró, S. Ryzhov, G. Trnka, *Revisiting Carpathian obsidian*, *Antiquity* 82, 2008 (Project Gallery), <http://www.antiquity.ac.uk/projgall/rosania318/>, accessed 31/05/2018, 11:21 AM.
- Sommer, Astaloş 2014 – U. Sommer, C. Astaloş, *The village and the house in the Early Neolithic. Research into activity areas and rubbish disposal at the late Criş settlement of Tăşnad (Satu Mare County, Romania)*, in: C. Virag (ed.), *Neolithic cultural phenomena in the Upper Tisza Basin*, Satu Mare, 2014, p. 75–96.
- Virag 2004 – C. Virag, *Cercetări arheologice la Urziceni-Vamă*, *ActaMP* 26, 2004, p. 41–76.
- Virag 2008 – C. Virag, *The Neolithic in North-West Romania and neighbouring area*, PhD thesis, ‘Lucian Blaga’ University, Sibiu, 2008.
- Virag 2014 – C. Virag, *Some aspects about the Neolithic settlement from Tăşnad (Satu Mare County, Romania)*, in: C. Virag (ed.), *Neolithic cultural phenomena in the Upper Tisza Basin*, Satu Mare, 2014, p. 97–126.
- Virag 2015 – C. Virag, *Situl neolitic Halmeu-Vamă*, Satu Mare, 2015.
- Williams-Thorpe 1978 – O. Williams-Thorpe, *A Study of Obsidian in Prehistoric Central and Eastern Europe, and its Trace Element Characterization*, unpublished PhD thesis, University of Bradford, 1978.

ABRÉVIATIONS / ABBREVIATIONS / ABREVIERI

- AA – Archäologischer Anzeiger. Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Darmstadt, München, Tübingen–Berlin
ACMI – Anuarul Comisiunii Monumentelor Istorice, București
ActaMN – Acta Musei Napocensis, Cluj
ActaMP – Acta Musei Porolissensis, Zalău
ActaTS – Acta Terrae Septemcastrens, Universitatea Lucian Blaga, Sibiu
Acta Siculica – Acta Siculica. Anuarul Muzeului Național Secuiesc, Sfântu Gheorghe
l'Anthropologie (Paris) – l'Anthropologie, Paris
Antiquity – Antiquity. A Quarterly Review of Archaeology, University of York
Anuarul MJIA – Anuarul Muzeului Județean de Istorie și Arheologie Prahova, Ploiești
ARA – Annuaire Roumain d'Anthropologie
Archaeometry – Archaeometry, Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, Oxford University
ArchBulg – Archaeologia Bulgarica, Sofia
Area – Area, Royal Geographical Society, London
ArheologijaKiiv – Arheologija. Nacional'na akademija nauk Ukraini. Institut archeologii, Kiiv
ArheologijaSSSR – Arheologija SSSR. Svod Archeologičeskikh Istočnikov, Moskva
ArhMold – Arheologia Moldovei, Iași
BA – Biblioteca de Arheologie, București
BARIntSer – British Archaeological Reports. International Series, Oxford
BiblThrac – Bibliotheca Thracologica, București
BMC – *Coins of the Roman Empire in the British Museum*, London. I, *Augustus to Vitellius*, 1923; II, *Vespasian to Domitian*, 1930; III, *Nerva to Hadrian*, 1936; IV, *Antoninus Pius to Commodus*, 1968; V, *Pertinax to Elagabalus*, 1950 (H. Mattingly); VI, *Severus Alexander to Balbinus and Pupienus*, 1962 (R.A.G. Carson)
BMJT – Buletinul Muzeului Județean Teleorman, Alexandria
BMJTAG – Buletinul Muzeului Județean „Teohari Antonescu”, Giurgiu
BSNR – Buletinul Societății Numismatice Române, București
Bull. et Mém. de la Soc. d'Anthrop. de Paris – Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris
CA – Cercetări Arheologice, București
Caiete ARA – Caietele ARA, Revistă de Arhitectură, Restaurare și Arheologie, Asociația ARA, București
CCA – Cronica Cercetărilor Arheologice din România, București
CercNum – Cercetări Numismatice, București
Dacia / Dacia NS – Dacia / Dacia Nouvelle Série. Revue d'archéologie et d'histoire ancienne. Académie Roumaine. Institut d'archéologie « Vasile Pârvan », Bucarest
DOW, I – *Dumbarton Oaks Catalogues*. A. Bellinger, Ph. Grierson (eds.), *Catalogue of the Byzantine coins in the Dumbarton Oaks Collection and in the Whittemore Collection*, I, *Anastasius to Maurice (491-602)*, Washington, 1966 (A. Bellinger)
EAIVR – C. Preda (ed.), *Enciclopedia Arheologiei și Istoriei Vechi a României*, vol. I-III (1994, 1996, 2000), București
EphemNap – Ephemeris Napocensis. Academia Română, Institutul de Arheologie și Istoria Artei, Cluj-Napoca
Estiot, TM 5 – Sylviane Estiot, *Le trésor de Maravielle (Var)*, în *Trésors Monétaires*, V, 1983, p. 9-115
Estiot, Venèra – Sylviane Estiot, *Ripostiglio della Venèra. Nuovo Catalogo Illustrato* II/1, *Aureliano*, Roma, 1995
FI – File de Istorie, Bistrița
FolArch – Folia Archaeologica, Budapest
Giard, Venèra – J.-B. Giard, *Ripostiglio della Venèra. Nuovo Catalogo Illustrato*, III/1, *Gordiano III-Quintillo*, Roma, 1995
Göbl – R. Göbl, *Die Münzprägung der Kaiser Valerianus I. / Gallienus / Saloninus (253/268), Regalianus (260) und Macrianus / Quietus (260–262)*, Viena, 2000
IJO – International Journal of Osteoarchaeology
IstMitt – Istanbuler Mitteilungen, Istanbul
Istros – Istros, Muzeul Brăilei, Brăila
JAS – Journal of Archaeological Science, London
JEA – Journal of European Archaeology
JFA – Journal of Field Archaeology

- KSIA (Kiiv) – Kratkije Soobščeniia Instituta Arheologii Akademij Nauk SSSR, Kiiv
 KSIA (Moskva) – Kratkije Soobščeniia Instituta Arheologii Akademij Nauk SSSR, Moskva
 Ktêma – Civilisations de l'Orient, de la Grèce et de Rome antiques, Strasbourg
 MCA – Materiale și Cercetări Arheologice, București
 MemAnt – Memoria Antiquitatis, Piatra Neamț
 MIAR – Materialy i issledovaniia po arheologii Rossii
 MIBE – W. Hahn, M.A. Metlich, *Money of the Incipient Byzantine Empire (Anastasius I – Justinian I, 491–565)*, Viena, 2000
 Mousaios – Buletinul Științific al Muzeului Județean Buzău
 MuzNaț – Muzeul Național, București
 NZ – Numismatische Zeitschrift, Viena
 Peuce – Peuce, Studii și cercetări de istorie și arheologie, Institutul de Cercetări Eco-Muzeale, Tulcea
 Pick, Regling – B. Pick, K. Regling, *Die antiken Münzen Nord-Griechenlands*, I, *Die antiken Münzen von Dacien und Moesien*, Berlin, 1, 1898 (B. Pick), 2, 1910 (B. Pick, K. Regling)
 Pink, NZ – K. Pink, *Der Aufbau der Römischen Münzprägung in der Kaiserzeit*. VI/1, *Probus*, NZ, 71, 1946, p. 13–74
 Pontica – Pontica. Studii și materiale de istorie, arheologie și muzeografie, Muzeul de Istorie Națională și Arheologie Constanța
 PZ – Prähistorische Zeitschrift, Berlin-Mainz
 RA – Revue Archéologique, Paris
 Radiocarbon – An International Journal of Cosmogenic Isotope Research, Cambridge
 REA – Revue des Études Anciennes, Bordeaux
 RevBistr – Revista Bistriței. Complexul Muzeal Bistrița-Năsăud, Bistrița
 RevMuz – Revista Muzeelor, București
 RIC III – H. Mattingly, E.A. Sydenham, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, III, *Antoninus Pius to Commodus*, London, 1930
 RIC IV, 1 – H. Mattingly, E.A. Sydenham, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, IV, 1, *Pertinax to Geta*, London, 1968
 RIC IV, 2 – H. Mattingly, E.A. Sydenham, C.H.V. Sutherland, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, IV, 2, *Macrinus to Pupienus*, London, 1938
 RIC IV, 3 – H. Mattingly, E.A. Sydenham, C.H.V. Sutherland, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, IV, 3, *Gordian III – Uranus Antoninus*, London, 1949
 RIC V, 1 – P.H. Webb, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, V, 1, London, 1927 (retipărit 1968)
 RIC V, 2 – P.H. Webb, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, V, 2, London, 1933 (retipărit 1968)
 RIC VI – C.H.V. Sutherland, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, VI, *From Diocletian's reform (A.D. 294) to the death of Maximinus (A.D. 313)*, London, 1967
 RIC VII – P.M. Bruun, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, VII, *Constantine and Licinius A.D. 313–337*, London, 1966
 RIC IX – J.W.E. Pearce, *The Roman Imperial Coinage*, IX, *Valentinian I–Theodosius I*, London, 1933 (retipărit 1968)
 Ruzicka, *Inedita* – L. Ruzicka, *Inedita aus Moesia Inferior*, NZ, 50, 1917, p. 73–173
 Quaternary International – Quaternary International. The Journal of the International Union for Quaternary Research
 SAA – Studia Antiqua et Archaeologica, Iași
 SCA – Studii și Cercetări de Antropologie, București
 SCIV(A) – Studii și Cercetări de Istorie Veche (și Arheologie), București
 SCN – Studii și Cercetări de Numismatică, București
 SNG IX, BM – Silloge Nummorum Graecorum, IX, The British Museum, I, *Black Sea*, London, 1993
 SNG XI, *Stancomb* – Silloge Nummorum Graecorum, XI, *The William Stancomb Collection of coins of the Black Sea Region*, Oxford, 2000
 SovArh – Sovetskaja Arheologija, Moskva
 SP – Studii de Preistorie, București
 Stratum(Plus) – Stratum (Plus), Școala Superioară de Antropologie, Chișinău, Sankt Petersburg, București
 StudCom Satu Mare – Studii și comunicări Satu Mare
 StudCom Sibiu – Studii și Comunicări, Sibiu
 Th-D – Thraco-Dacica, București
 Tyragetia – Tyragetia. Anuarul Muzeului Național de Istorie a Moldovei, Chișinău
 Vărbănov – I. Vărbănov, *Greek Imperial Coins and their Values (The Local Coinage of the Roman Empire)*, I, *Dacia, Moesia Superior, Moesia Inferior*, Burgas, 2005
 Verh.Naturforsch.Ver. – Verhandlungen des naturforschenden Vereines in Brünn, Brünn (Brno)