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Nickel and platinum group metal nanoparticle production by Desulfovibrio alaskensis G20

M.J. Capeness, M.C. Edmundson and L.E. Horsfall

School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, The King’s Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, UK

Desulfovibrio alaskensis G20 is an anaerobic sulfate reducing bacteria. While Desulfovibrio species have previously been shown to reduce palladium and platinum to the zero-state, forming nanoparticles in the process; there have been no reports that D. alaskensis is able to form these nanoparticles. Metal nanoparticles have properties that make them ideal for use in many industrial and medical applications, such as their size and shape giving them higher catalytic activity than the bulk form of the same metal. Nanoparticles of the platinum group metals in particular are highly sought after for their catalytic ability and herein we report the formation of both palladium and platinum nanoparticles by D. alaskensis and the biotransformation of solvated nickel ions to nanoparticle form.

Introduction
The six platinum group metals (PGMs) are ruthenium (Ru), rhodium (Rh), palladium (Pd), osmium (Os), iridium (Ir), and platinum (Pt). PGMs are in high demand and have low abundance, making them highly valuable; platinum, for example, is only found at 0.003–5 ppm in the earth’s crust and is considered to be the second most expensive metal (after Rh) at €37.66/g on the London Platinum and Palladium Market.

Despite the high cost of platinum, very little is done to reduce waste or improve recycling in many of its applications. A major use of platinum is in the catalytic converters of vehicles to convert unburnt hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide into CO2 and water, with each exhaust system containing 1–5 g of platinum. As the vehicle is used over time, platinum lost from the converter is deposited on the road network and then flushed away by rain, leading to contamination of the water table [1]. Palladium and rhodium are also used in some catalytic converters and, in a similar way; they are lost from converters and contaminate the water table.

Nickel is a significant contaminant of soil throughout the world and is often found in sites of Pt and Pd mining operations as a contaminant or co-product. Recently it has been identified as a major contaminant of UK stream sediments, reaching 4.9 g/kg in some locations (compared to the normal background level of 0.036 g/kg) [2]. However, while not as valuable a metal as the PGMs, nickel is still a useful material in its nanoparticle form. Nickel sulphide nanoparticles have unusual superparamagnetic properties and stability compared to elemental state NINPs, and also exhibit exceptional electrochemical properties and the potential to be used in super capacitors [3,4], while nano-scale elemental nickel is currently employed as a catalyst in the production of hydrogen, as a capture material for PGMs in the refining process and also as potent anti-microbial and anti-cancer agents [5-7].

On the nano-scale, both the cost of PGMs and nickel increase dramatically (1 g of 3 nm Pt nanoparticles: €159) with the bulk of the cost in the process technology used to create the nanoparticles. Their properties include vastly different reactive characteristics compared to the bulk metal due to their increased surface area, different optical properties and new uses as catalysts [8]. Pt nanoparticles (PtNPs), for example, can be used in oxygen reduction reactions in fuel cells [9] or even as highly specific DNA probes [10] and anti-cancer agents [11]. These highly desirable properties are also present when nanoparticles are produced using a bacterial host. Therefore without a loss in quality, the bacterial production of nanoparticles (NPs) could potentially reduce manufacturing...
costs whilst also lowering the costs of starting material, as the
selective nature of biology allows nanoparticles to be synthesized from impure starting feeds [12].

Recent resource recovery efforts have included the collection of road dust and chemical remediation to harvest the PGMs from it. Like many other chemical forms of remediation, the cost of this process is high compared to the value of the resultant product and the methods used involve chemicals that are toxic, such as the use of hydrazine in the production of nickel NPs [33]. The use of biogenic methods of nanoparticle production addresses these problems; the cost of using metal-reducing bacteria to produce metal nanoparticles is relatively low, and all steps are carried out in keeping with a Green Chemistry approach, utilizing standard non-toxic aqueous solutions and growth media.

The use of bacteria to produce nanoparticles of various metals such as Au, Ag, Cu, Fe, Ti and Zr has previously been reported (reviewed by Edmundson et al. [12]); with Desulfovibrio sp. in particular having received a large amount of attention as they can reduce Cr, Mg, Fe, Te and even Ur to nanoparticle forms [14–18]. However, it is with the PGMs that Desulfovibrio sp. have been most studied, particularly D. vulgaris and D. desulfuricans that have been shown to be able to reduce Pt and Pd to zero-valent nanoparticles and display them on their outer surface. These nanoparticles can be observed as dark spheres when viewed by electron microscopy. This bio-Pd/Pt can be used directly in some applications without isolation from the cells, and has previously been shown to act as a nano-catalyst in proton exchange membranes to power fuel cells [19] or in Cr decontamination [20].

The reduction of PGMs in Desulfovibrio sp. is hypothesized to occur due to the bacteria incorporating the metals into their energy production pathways. The PGMs act as terminal electron acceptors in respiration, thus being reduced to the zero-state, often in the presence of hydrogen (Fig. 1) [21,22]. This occurs in the periplasm using hydrogenases/cytochromes, with the NPs being exported out of the cell and subsequently attaching to the cell surface, preventing the metal from re-entering the periplasm, and acting as a catalyst for further reduction of the metal [23]. This process may be of added benefit to the cell as PGMs have been observed to cause DNA lesions and inhibit growth in other bacteria such as E. coli and Pseudomonas sp. [24,25]; therefore the reduction and display of the metal on the cell surface could form part of a bacterial survival mechanism.

Desulfovibrio alaskensis G20, formerly D. desulfuricans G20, is an anaerobic sulfate reducing bacterium with a publically available sequenced genome (GenBank CP000112). Herein we report the formation of palladium and platinum nanoparticles by D. alaskensis and the biotransformation of solvated nickel ions to nanoparticle form by both D. desulfuricans 8307 and D. alaskensis G20, with the efficiency quantified by the novel application of an established assay [26].

Materials and methods

Growth of Desulfovibrio sp.

Both the D. alaskensis G20 and D. desulfuricans 8307 strains were purchased from DSMZ (DSM No. 17464 and 642 respectively). Both were grown on Postgate Media C (PGMC) using lactate as a carbon source [27]. All growth and manipulation of the Desulfovibrio strains was carried out at 30 °C in an anaerobic hood fed with 10% CO2, 10% H2 in nitrogen. For the NiCl2 resistance assay cultures of both Desulfovibrio strains were grown to an OD600 of 1.0. 10 μl was spotted onto a series of PGMC plates (1% agar) containing 0–20 μM of NiCl2 and grown for 5 days anaerobically.

Nanoparticle production

Cells were grown as previously in PGMC to an OD600 of 1.0 and centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 rpm. The cell pellets were then washed with an equivalent volume of 10 mM 3-(N-morpholino)-propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer, pH 7.0, three times and resuspended after the third wash in the buffer. Solutions of PtCl42-, Na2PdCl4 or NiCl2 were then added to the cell suspension to a final concentration of 2 mM, 2 mM and 1 mM respectively (in water). The cells in the presence of Pd and Pt were left for 2 hours while cells in the presence of Ni were left for 30 min at 30 °C anaerobically.

Samples were then taken for analysis by dimethylglyoxime (DMG) assay (Ni) and by electron microscopy and EDX (energy dispersive X-rays) (Ni, Pd and Pt) and EELS (electron energy loss spectroscopy) (Ni).

Electron microscopy and EDX (energy dispersive X-rays)

For the conventional imaging of cells by EM, samples were placed on a 200 mesh copper grid for 5 min and the excess liquid was removed, the samples were then imaged on a Philips CM120 transmission electron microscope. Images were captured with a Gatan Orius CCD camera.

For EDX analysis of nanoparticles: the cells were removed from the sample by centrifugation (4000 rpm for 15 min) and the supernatants were analysed on a JOEL JEM 2011 TEM fitting with an ISIS system and viewed at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Readings were compared to a reference database for the specific metal. Further analysis of the particles was done by EELS.
Dimethylglyoxime assay for nickel reduction

Nickel reduction was measured using dimethylglyoxime (DMG) as a chelator of the Ni\(^{2+}\) in the solution containing cells or MOPS buffer only [26]. The cell suspension from the nickel-containing nanoparticle production method was taken and the cells were removed by centrifugation. The supernatant was then either taken immediately for the assay or filtered through a 0.20 \(\mu\text{m}\) filter and then used. The DMG was added to the solution, forming DMG-Ni\(^{2+}\), which was then phase-extracted using chloroform. The absorbance of the extracted phase was measured at 360 nm, cell supernatants were compared to a solution of MOPS with NiCl\(_2\) solution added that had also been centrifuged and filtered. This novel method of measuring the amount of reduced nickel has not previously been applied to nanoparticle formation, and works on the principle DMG does not bind to nickel unless it is present in an aqueous ionic state (i.e. it will not bind with nickel in nanoparticles).

Results

D. alaskensis G20 produces nickel nanoparticles

When challenged with NiCl\(_2\) (1 mM final concentration) D. alaskensis G20 produces a black precipitate after just 30 min of incubation (Fig. 2). This precipitate is made up of extracellular nanoparticles that, unlike the Pt and Pd nanoparticles made by this strain (Fig. 3a,b), are not attached to the cells when viewed using TEM (Fig. 3c,d) and the nanoparticles had a diameter of \(\sim 10\) nm. EELS analysis confirmed that these nanoparticles are composed of nickel and sulphur in all particles analysed suggesting they have the composition of NiS (Fig. 4) and not elemental Nickel. The formation of these particles did not occur in the MOPS buffer or the PGMC media supplemented with NiCl\(_2\) only as a result of the presence of D. alaskensis G20 being incubated with NiCl\(_2\).
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**Figure 2**

Formation of the black precipitate in liquid culture. Comparison between D. alaskensis G20 cells in MOPS buffer incubated for 30 min with and without 1 mM final NiCl\(_2\) and the buffer control.
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**Figure 3**

Representative electron micrographs of nanoparticles produced by D. alaskensis G20. (a) Pd NPs exported and found on the surface of the cell as are Pt NPs (b). (c) NiS were found in the surrounding media. Scale bars = 200 nm.

Using the DMG assay for Ni\(^{2+}\) quantification it was found that up to 90% of the NiCl\(_2\) is transformed to the solid state by the D. alaskensis G20 in 30 min, while the D. desulfuricans 8307 transformed 85% of the Ni\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5). Although there is only a slight difference in the amount of Ni\(^{2+}\) removed between the G20 and 8307 strains, the assay used was reliable enough to give little variance, indicating a significant difference between the strains for filtered and non-filtered (\(p < 0.005\), 2-tailed t-test).

The plate assay to find the resistance of the Desulfovibrio strains to NiCl\(_2\) showed that growth was sustained up to 20 mM and there was a black discoloration of the colony observed that increased with increasing amounts of NiCl\(_2\), which correlates with the production of NPs and the colour observed when NiCl\(_2\) was present in buffer containing cells (Fig. 2).

Both strains of Desulfovibrio showed high resistance to NiCl\(_2\) on PGMC agar plates with growth continuing up to 20 mM NiCl\(_2\) (Fig. 6).
Palladium and platinum nanoparticles were also produced by D. alaskensis G20

Although platinum nanoparticle formation has been previously reported for D. desulfuricans 8307, there has been no documentation that D. alaskensis G20 also carried out the reduction, however this was found to be the case. When subjected to PtCl₄ or Na₂PdCl₄, the G20 cells reduce the platinum and palladium respectively to form nanoparticles. These were observed on the cell surface when viewed by transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 3a,b), in the same way as reported for D. desulfuricans 8307 in the presence of platinum/palladium. As a control 8307 was also subjected to PdCl₂ treatment and was seen to present nanoparticles on the cell surface. Both these NPs were subjected to EDX analysis and confirmed they consist of their respective PGM (data not shown). The simple advantage of D. alaskensis G20 is that it has a publically available, fully sequenced genome.

Discussion and conclusions

Here we have added to the list of Desulfovibrio sp. known to produce metal nanoparticles, demonstrating that D. alaskensis G20 can synthesize Ni, Pd and Pt nanoparticles. We have also presented a method for assaying aqueous Ni²⁺ in the presence of NiS NPs and therefore showing that within 30 min Desulfovibrio sp. can turnover up to 90% of the NiCl₂ solution under anaerobic conditions. These methods are a fast and facile way of producing and detecting nanoparticle formation, and highlight how competitive metal NP production could be against chemical methods.

Previously it has also been reported that total conversion of Pd²⁺ occurred within 5 min of incubation [28] highlighting how Desulfovibrio sp. are suited to bioremediation. Desulfovibrio sp. can carry out this conversion at 30°C and in a much reduced time compared to chemically derived methods of production that often require reflux, hazardous chemicals and/or high temperatures, all of which lead to higher costs.

The NiS NPs produced are not displayed on the cell surface; instead they are suspended in the buffer, allowing for easy removal of the cells by centrifugation. To further purify the sample and remove any remaining cells it is also possible to filter the NP solution through a 0.20 μm filter as the typical size of the NPs is 10 nm. However this purification method is not possible for cells producing Pt or Pd nanoparticles, as they remain attached to the surface and aggregate to form large deposits (Fig. 3a,b).

As D. alaskensis can transform Ni²⁺ into nanoparticles containing sulphur, this process must be different to that hypothesized for Pd and Pt ions and their subsequent NP formation as no reduction takes place. The formation of NiS NPs has previously been hypothesized to be a method for increasing Ni²⁺ tolerance in another bacterium, Desulfothermus acetoxidans, which forms NiS using H₂S [29]. The Desulfovibrio sp. also produce H₂S, as do most sulphate-reducing bacteria, so this is a possible mechanism for the conversion of NiCl₂ to NiS NPs, which may happen extracellularly rather than the aforementioned hypothesized pathways for Pd and Pt ion reduction (Fig. 1). Hydrogen sulphide is also used in the chemical synthesis of NiS NPs in conjunction with high temperatures or sodium hydroxide [30,31], whereas the NiS NP made here require much lower temperatures (30°C) and no application of NaOH.

Further work is required to prove that H₂S is involved in the formation of NiS NPs in D. alaskensis. The resistance to Ni²⁺ itself is something worthy of note, in comparison Escherichia coli MC4100 is only resistant to concentrations up to 10 μM NiCl₂ [33]; thus making D. alaskensis an ideal organism for nickel bioremediation and NP formation. One possible reason for this heightened tolerance to nickel could be the formation of these NiS NPs. With its sequenced genome, this strain could be a useful source of genes for the construction of bacterial strains that allow the customisation of metal and metal-containing nanoparticles. By selecting, combining and manipulating the genes involved in the production of the nanoparticles, synthetic biology could allow the tailoring of nanoparticle size and production rate in an E. coli chassis, in a significant step beyond how D. alaskensis arsenic resistance genes have been previously used to increase arsenic tolerance [34]. Although there has been a large amount of work carried out on PGM NP production by Desulfovibrio sp. there has been little work carried out into the genetics of NP bio-production due to the lack of genetic tools and genomic data of the species used; the focus of the work so far has mainly been on the production and use of the NPs, rather than the biological aspects of their synthesis. In addition to the advantages biogenic NP production has over traditional physical and chemical NP synthesis methods, advances in Synthetic Biology could potentially allow the tailoring of the Desulfovibrio sp. to selectively produce NPs that are for a specific use, that allow the bacteria to target a specific contaminant in a heterogeneous solution, and that broaden the list of metals able to be removed from a contaminated site/solution by their conversion into higher-value NPs [12].
The DMG assay reported here can also be used to assay the reduction rate of Pd as it has previously been reported to be a chelator of Pd ions. As such, perhaps it too can be used in waste recovery, to separate Pd from other PGMs, for example, separating the different PGMs from roads contaminated with PGMs lost from several different types of catalytic converter [35]. Additionally we are exploring the possibility of utilizing heavy metals from contaminated sites as a cost-effective source of the raw materials needed to produce nanoparticles.

All the NPs produced by D. alaskonsis require further analysis into their properties both catalytically and morphologically to determine if they are comparable to their chemically made alternatives. In time, this proposed method of metal ion conversion to elemental or sulphide-containing states could potentially be used as a generic resource recovery method, encompassing metals reported to be reduced by Desulfurobrio and also those yet to be identified. For example until now there has been no evidence to show that any of the Desulfurobrio sp. can form Nis NPs and very few examples of bacteria making nickel containing NPs; examples include Desulfitobaculum, which produces Nis NPs, and the formation of NINPs by Pseudomonas [29,36]. Perhaps because nickel, while important in cell metabolism (forming the metal centre of hydrogenases which are essential to the aforementioned Pd/Pt NP synthesis [21]), is generally toxic to bacteria in high amounts.

In addition to being greener and more cost-effective than traditional chemical and physical methods of nanoparticle production, the use of bacteria in biogenic NP synthesis has the added advantage that the synthesis could be ‘fine-tuned’ by the manipulation of the bacteria [12]. This manipulation of the organism at the DNA level has the potential to improve yield and standardize the sample homogeneity, dictating characteristics of the resulting NPs such as size and shape, all features that determine the functionality of the NPs.
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