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ABSTRACT

Sponges may form an important component of benthic ecosystems from shallow littoral to hadal depths. In the deep ocean beyond the continental shelf, sponges can form high-density fields, constituting important habitats supporting rich benthic communities. Yet these habitats remain relatively unexplored. Apart from scientific exploration, the offshore oil and gas industry has played a key role in advancing our knowledge of deep-sea environments. Since its inception in the 1960s, offshore oil and gas industry has moved into deeper waters. However, the impacts of offshore oil and gas activities on deep-sea sponges and other ecosystems are only starting to become the subject of active research. Throughout the development, operation and closure of an oil or gas field many activities take place, ranging from the seismic exploration of sub-seafloor geological features or the installation of infrastructure at the seafloor to the drilling process itself. Accidental releases of hydrocarbons during spills or cuttings release can significantly impact the local marine environment. Each phase of a field development or an accidental oil spill will therefore have different impacts on sponges at community, individual and cellular levels. Legacy issues regarding the future decommissioning of infrastructure and the abandonment of wells are also important environmental management considerations. This paper reviews our understanding of impacts from hydrocarbon exploration and exploitation activities on deep-sea sponges and the habitats they form. Effects of offshore oil and gas activities include (1) at community level, decreasing of the diversity and density of benthic communities associated with deep-sea sponges owing to physical disturbance of the seafloor, (2) at individual level, interrupting filtration owing to exposure to increased sedimentation, (3) at cellular level, decreasing in cellular membrane stability owing to exposure to drill muds. However, many potential effects, not yet tested in deep-sea sponges but observed in shallow-water sponges or other model organisms should also be taken into account. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have shown impact
of oil or dispersed oil on deep-sea sponges. To highlight these significant knowledge gaps, a summary table of potential and known impacts of hydrocarbon extraction and production activities, combined with a simple “traffic light” scheme is also provided.

INTRODUCTION

Presently, offshore oil and gas production accounts for one third of worldwide hydrocarbons production (Bennear 2015). Since the end of the 1960s and the beginning of offshore oil and gas exploration, the oil and gas industry have developed technologies that enable exploitation of deep-sea environments (Managi et al. 2005) and is, today, operating in deeper and complex marine settings (Muehlenbachs et al. 2013). Hydrocarbon exploration and production is taking place in areas where vulnerable benthic species such as deep-sea sponges are present. For example, in the Faroe-Shetland Channel, oil production activities are taking place within a Nature Conservation Marine Protected Area designated to protect the local deep-sea sponge grounds (Henry and Roberts, 2014).

Exploration for hydrocarbon and other resources in deep waters offshore has helped discover new deep-sea environments. For example, collaborative efforts between academia and industry partners have been very successful in increasing our understanding of deep-sea benthic ecosystems e.g. the SERPENT project (Scientific and Environmental ROV Partnership using Existing Industrial Technology) (Gates et al. 2016) and discovering previously unknown habitats such as the Darwin Mounds in the NE Atlantic (Huvenne et al. 2016). However, industrial operations in deeper settings are strongly correlated with a number of technical incidents such as blowouts, injuries or spills (Muehlenbachs et al. 2013) as well as operational discharges and disturbances leading to the chemical contamination of water and seafloor habitats as well as local scale physical impacts from amongst others drilling, anchoring and pipelines (OSPAR Commission 2009a). This was most starkly
demonstrated by the 2010 *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico, caused by a well blowout at 1500 m depth (Beyer et al. 2016 and references therein). Subsea well blowouts and pipeline leaks at depth have become more of a concern while the number of tanker-related oil incidents at surface have decreased over time (Jernelöv 2010). In addition, day to day operations can also have environmental impacts in the deep sea (Cordes *et al.* 2016). From the presence of man-made infrastructures on the seabed to the release of produced waters or the re-sedimentation of particles close to the drilling locations, the ecological footprints of the offshore oil and gas production activities are multiple (Kark *et al.* 2015). As it is known that recovery rates vary in the deep-sea depending on the region and biological communities already living there, understanding the impact of oil and gas industry related activities on deep-sea benthic ecosystems is complex (Henry *et al.* 2017).

Furthermore, while pressures from anthropogenic activities such as the exploitation of oil and gas reserves on deep-sea ecosystems keep increasing, our understanding of deep-sea organisms and the scale of human impacts on ecosystems functionning remains limited (Ramirez-Llodra *et al.* 2011). Deep-sea ecosystems comprise a highly diverse set of physical and biological settings, many of which are hotspots of biodiversity including hydrothermal vents, abyssal plains, manganese nodule fields, cold-water coral reefs and sponge grounds (Ramirez-Llodra *et al.* 2011). Although many of these ecosystems also contribute significantly in global biogeochemical cycling (Ramirez-Llodra *et al.* 2011) the overall value of the ecosystem services provided by deep-sea ecosystems remain poorly quantified (Thurber *et al.* 2014).

Sponges (Phylum Porifera) play vital roles in sustaining global deep-sea biodiversity and ecosystem functionning. The diversity of sponges in the deep sea (Fig. 1A and B), the rarity of some poriferan taxa (members of the class Calcarea), and the ecological uniqueness of some poriferan groups such as carnivorous sponges of the family Cladorhizidae (Fig. 1C)
and the stalked glass sponges of the family Hyalonematidae, all add to the biological richness of life in the deep ocean (Hogg et al. 2010). Habitats formed by dense aggregations of one or several sponge taxa (sponge “grounds”, Fig. 1D) can extend over very large areas up to hundreds of km² and provide three-dimensionally complex stable habitats that support distinct biological communities and a biologically diverse mixture of other species (Maldonado et al. 2016). Maldonado et al. (2016) provides an extensive review of sponge grounds including deep-sea sponge grounds such as the hexactinellid sponge reefs in the northeast Pacific Ocean off, astrophorid sponge aggregations in the north Atlantic, lithistid sponge grounds or antarctic sponge grounds more than 400 species rich. Sponges themselves host an array of organisms ranging from bryozoans or polychaetes to crustaceans (Wulf 2006; Kazanidis et al. 2015) and sponge grounds act as nursery grounds and support many benthic species including commercially important fish species such as rockfish, hake and blue ling (Freese and Wing, 2003; Du Preez and Tunicliffe 2011; Maldonado et al. 2016) (Fig. 1E to H). Therefore, sponge grounds meet several criteria of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs) as recognised by the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). Deep-sea sponge grounds also meet the criteria of Ecologically or Biologically Significant Areas (EBSAs) as defined by the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (table 1) (Hogg et al. 2010).

Despite their ability to enhance benthic biodiversity, the biology and ecology of deep-sea sponges has only started to be uncovered. What has been revealed most recently is that sponges play essential roles in the biogeochemical cycling of matter in the deep oceans (Cathalot et al. 2015). This is principally owing to sponges being very efficient at filtering large volumes of water as they rely on Particulate Organic Matter (POM) as well as Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) for food (Rix et al. 2016). Up to 40% of the carbon and nitrogen assimilated by sponges is released back into the water column in the form of
pumping and mesohyl cell detritus (Rix et al. 2016). Sponges, including deep-sea species, thus recycle DOM to POM which is then available for other benthic organisms and contributes to bentho-pelagic coupling in oligotrophic environments (Maldonado 2016; Rix et al. 2016). Sponges host highly diverse microbial communities of bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes, often compared for their complexity to the microbial assemblages of the mammalian gut (Hentschel et al. 2012; Webster et al. 2012). Deep-sea sponges participate in nitrogen cycling through these microbial symbionts capable of nitrification, denitrification and ammanox reactions (Hoffman et al. 2009; Li et al. 2014). The concept of a ‘sponge loop’ have therefore emerged in the literature whereby sponges support oligotrophic food webs by recycling organic carbon and nitrogen (De Goeij et al. 2013; Maldonado 2016). Furthermore, sponge skeleton elements (spicules) are composed of silica assimilated from the environment and sponges can play large roles in the cycling of silica. Glass sponge reefs composed of hexactinellid sponges such as Aphrocallistes vastus, which are composed of up to 80% of biogenic silica, concentrate huge amounts of Si in some areas of the seabed (Chu et al. 2011).

It is also becoming more evident that deep-sea sponges create other ecosystem services: these “provisioning” services including the production of bioactive secondary metabolites related to sponge-microbial associations that are of great interest to the biotechnology sector. Conservation of these ancient animals (individual sponges have been aged over 400 to over 2000 years old) and their habitats must therefore scale up with the rates and extent of emerging anthropogenic activity, and thus the impacts that deep-water oil and gas activities could have on these benthic organisms needs to be considered in management plans (McMurray et al. 2008; Fallon et al. 2010).

The purpose of this review is to provide the first fully comprehensive review of the impacts of offshore oil and gas activities on deep-sea sponges and the habitats they create. Although studies on the resilience of deep-sea sponges to some oil and gas production
activities are starting to emerge, many knowledge gaps persist. Relevant findings from shallow-water sponges or other benthic organisms has therefore also been used here to highlight possible impacts on deep-sea sponges and the habitats they form. Impacts can occur at all stages of offshore oil and gas activities from exploration, development and production through to decommissioning and legacy effects. Furthermore, effects of these activities can be detected across ecological scales from community, individual and cellular levels. This review therefore adopts this multiple scale framework to assess impacts at the level of sponge habitats, at the individual sponge level and at the cellular and molecular level.

EFFECT ON SPONGE HABITATS AND COMMUNITIES

During the development of an oil and gas field under development the activities can broadly be broken up into four successive phases: exploration and appraisal, development, production and decommissioning (DTI 2001; Fig. 2). Each phase involves a range of routine activities that may have effects on deep-sea sponge habitats and the biological communities they support (Fig. 2). Exceptional events such as accidental spills and chemical releases could also negatively affect deep-sea sponge grounds and so should also be taken into consideration. Potential impacts of accidental spills are treated separately in this section of the review to help guide the development of monitoring and spill management plans.

Impacts of routine activities on deep-sea sponge grounds and associated communities

Subsea infrastructure (wells, pipelines, manifolds and platforms)

During the phases of exploration and development, offshore oil and gas activities require the drilling of wells and the installations of heavy infrastructure such as manifolds and pipelines that directly disturbs the seabed (Fig. 2). Physical disruption and smothering by sediments is one of the main impacts linked to the early stages of oil field development.
arising from installing pipelines, cables, bottom rigs, templates, skids, and platforms including platform legs and anchoring (OSPAR commission 2010). Physical disruption and increased sedimentation (Fig. 1I and J) during these phases can locally diminish benthic communities by more than 90% in terms of megafaunal density within sponge grounds (Jones et al. 2006). Long-term effects on deep-sea sponge grounds from such physical disturbance are still detectable up to 10 years post-drilling and this slow, partial recovery, inversely related to the distance to the well and the time after drilling, could result from the long-lived nature, slow growth rates and low reproduction rates of most deep-sea organisms (Jones et al. 2012). Very limited recovery of megafauna was observed in areas where drill cuttings were not eroded 10 years post drilling (Jones et al. 2012).

Physical disruption and increased sedimentation are also associated with the installation of pipelines, which export produced hydrocarbons onshore. Power transmission cable installations significantly impact local benthic communities inflicting a 100% mortality rates to glass sponges below the cables and a 15% mortality rate within 1.5 m of the cables all along its footpath (Dunham et al. 2015) with potentially similar effects expected from pipeline deployments (OSPAR commission 2010).

Discharges of drill cuttings and drill muds

In the early stages of drilling a well drill cuttings and muds, comprising residual rock fragment from the well and drilling fluid chemicals, are released directly into the environment at depth (Ellis et al. 2012). For the remainder of the drilling process, treated cuttings are typically discharged at the surface, where they sink to the seafloor under the rig. Unless dispersed by active near-bed currents, drill cuttings can accumulate on the seabed and over time may release contaminants, especially if disturbed (OSPAR commission 2010). The usually customised drill muds can be classified into three types: oil-based, synthetic and
water-based fluids all of which may contain toxic chemicals, including polyaromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals. Only two studies have shown the impact of drilling mud and cuttings on megafaunal communities with abundant sponges, both in the north east Atlantic (Gate and Jones, 2012; Jones et al. 2012). Both studies indicate major reductions in sponge densities and reduced diversity close (100-200 m) to drilling activity that persist for several years (Fig. 3). The gravity of the impact of drill muds and cuttings has been better studied on other benthic communities where the impacts have been shown to depend largely on abiotic conditions such as depth and currents as well as the concentration of chemicals associated with the muds (Ellis et al. 2012, Henry et al. 2017). For synthetic and water-based muds, a decrease in community diversity and abundance have been measured up to 1,000 m away from the release location (Ellis et al. 2012). Functional changes in benthic communities, associated with a loss of suspension-feeding species and an increase in deposit feeders have also been detected at release sites (Trannum et al. 2010; Ellis et al. 2012). The spatial impact footprint is largest during the first one to two years after drilling and reduces in extent and contaminant concentration afterwards due to leaching into the water column (OSPAR Commission 2016). Today the production and release of oil-based drill muds have been widely reduced in the North East Atlantic by the oil and gas industry (OSPAR Recommendations R2001/1, 2006/5 and 2010/18) but use of oil-based drill muds in the past has been shown to have a local but strong and lasting impact on benthic communities (OSPAR Commission 2010; Henry et al. 2017). Potential impacts of past releases of oil-based drill muds on sponge grounds and associated benthic communities therefore still need to be understood.

Decommissioning

As offshore infrastructures age, decommissioning options for the physical removal of
oil and gas infrastructure including pipelines, platforms, drill cuttings and the capping of wells needs to be considered (Fig. 2). Worldwide, there are over 7,500 oil and gas structures offshore and about 85% of them will need to be decommissioned by 2025 (Fowler *et al.* 2014). In the North East Atlantic, the dumping, and leaving wholly or partly in place, of disused offshore installations has been prohibited within certain sea areas, under OSPAR Decision 98/3 on the Disposal of Disused Offshore Installations since 1998. Based on a pre-defined assessment demonstrating that there are significant reasons why an alternative disposal is preferable to reuse or recycling or final disposal on land, the competent authority of the relevant Contracting Party may authorise companies to leave some parts of the installations in place after consultation with the other Contracting Parties. Such derogations concern very heavy concrete and steel installations which might provide a suitable settlement ground also for deepwater sponges. Until 2009, 122 offshore installations have been brought ashore for disposal and only five permits have been issued for structures to be left in place (OSPAR Commission 2009a). However, with more and more installations approaching their end of life, the industry has started to lobby for a modification of the Decision itself instead of using the derogation options provided by OSPAR Decision 98/3. The argument is that the physical impact on the seabed as well as the economic costs of such operations are substantial.

Environmental impacts caused by a complete removal of offshore infrastructure that could negatively affect deep-sea sponge grounds and associated communities may include: contamination of the water column by hydrocarbons and other chemicals, direct damage to the seabed and smothering by increase sedimentation (Fowler *et al.* 2014). Decommissioning of oil and gas industry infrastructure has not yet taken place within known deep-sea sponge grounds and so potential impacts of decommissioning at community level is for the moment unknown. Under UK regulation, decommissioning impacts on the environment must be
considered in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) produced in the beginning of any new oil and gas field development (DECC, 2011).

**Accidental spills and releases**

The *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill was one of the largest and deepest offshore oil spills to date, with approximately 3.19 million barrels of oil released into the water at a depth of 1500 m (Beyer *et al.* 2016 and reference therein). It was also the first time dispersants were used to such an extent at depth to mitigate the formation of a surface oil slick that would have impacted upon sensitive coastal ecosystems (White *et al.* 2014). Almost 3 million litres of dispersant Corexit™95000 were released near the well head (White *et al.* 2014). A large amount of the oil released into the water column formed several subsurface oil plumes (Diercks *et al.* 2010). The most significant sub-surface plume extended for 35 km at approximately 1100 m depth (Camilli *et al.* 2010). The *DeepWater Horizon* incident thus created a new kind of oil spill where deep water ecosystems and habitats were exposed to high concentrations of dispersed crude oil and dispersants (Peterson *et al.* 2012).

Impact of accidental oil releases are better understood in shallow water than in deep ecosystems. In shallow water coastal environments, oil spills have shown both lethal (high mortality rate) and sub-lethal effects (carcinogenic and cytotoxic impacts) on benthic species leading to changes in community diversity, age structure and trophic interactions (Suchanek 1993). Impact of oil spills on deep-sea benthic ecosystems are far less understood. After the *Deepwater Horizon* incident, significant decreases in macro- and meio-fauna diversity were detected after the blowout up to 17 km away from the well (Montagna *et al.* 2013). Other studies have shown high mortality rate of deep-water corals, colonial and pelagic tunicates, sea pens as well as glass sponges within a 2 km radius of the well but no further result on deep-sea sponges is given (White *et al.* 2012; Valentine and Benfield 2013).

Long-term impacts of oil spills in shallow water ecosystems often take the form of
community structure anomalies (absence of organisms of a specific age class) owing to the longevity and slow growth rate of some species (Kingston 2002). Long-term impacts of deep-sea oil spill such as the *DeepWater Horizon* oil spill remains unknown. Deep-sea sponges display relatively slow and strongly seasonal growth rates varying from a few millimetres to a couple of centimetres per year (Fallon *et al.* 2010; Dayton *et al.* 2013; Dunham *et al.* 2015), suggesting that deep-sea spills in the vicinity of deep-sea sponge grounds could have a strong long-term community effect on these habitats.

**PHYSIOLOGICAL AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL EFFECTS ON INDIVIDUAL SPONGES**

**Main impacts of routine offshore oil and gas activities on deep-sea sponges**

*Seismic surveying during hydrocarbon exploration and appraisal phases*

During the initial phases of exploration and appraisal, seismic surveys are conducted to assess sub-seafloor structures and determine drilling location (DTI 2001). Impact of seismic surveys on marine invertebrates and larval development and survival has been investigated in several studies (Aguilar de Soto *et al.* 2013; Nedelec *et al.* 2014). Developmental delays and malformations in scallops have been identified as potential effects of seismic surveys on benthic organisms (Aguilar de Soto *et al.* 2013). In gastropods, seismic pulses decrease larval development and increased mortality by over 20% (Nedelec *et al.* 2014). However, no studies have yet investigated the effect of seismic surveys on sponges or their larval stages.

*Sedimentation from seabed disturbance*

The phases of offshore exploration and development are characterised by drilling and the installation of heavy infrastructure, which are associated with re-suspension of sediments...
that can affect local benthic organisms including deep-sea sponges (OSPAR Commission 2010) (Fig. 2). Bell et al. (2015) summarized the often species-specific effects of sedimentation on marine sponges, focusing mainly on shallow-water species. Increased sedimentation impacts sponge filtration and feeding (Reiswig 1971; Bannister et al. 2012 amongst others), respiration (Lohrer et al. 2006; Bannister et al. 2012 amongst others), reproduction (Roberts et al. 2006 amongst others) and growth (Wilkinson and Vacelet, 1979; Roberts et al. 2006 amongst others). Additionally, evidence of tissues sloughing in shallow-water sponge Halichondria panicea was found after exposure to increased sedimentation (Barthel and Wolfrath 1989). Studies on deep-water sponges have confirmed some of the findings made on shallow-water sponges. Heavy sedimentation on deep-water sponge Geodia barretti led to a 50% to 86% reduced respiration rate depending on sediment concentration tested but was associated with a fast recovery after exposure to sediments (Tjensvoll et al. 2013; Kutti et al. 2015). Furthermore, sedimentation caused a rapid arrest in feeding behaviour and chamber clogging in the two deep-sea glass sponges Rhabdocalyptus dawsoni and Aphrocallites vastus. However, some aspects in the response of the two glass sponge species differed: feeding was resumed earlier in A. vastus and sediment level required to halt feeding was lower for R. dawsoni (Tompkins-Macdonald and Leys, 2008). This shows that increase in sedimentation have an overall negative impact on deep-sea sponges, with some species more resilient than others.

Release of contaminants in the environment during routine operations

Routine operations during the production phase of an oil field development include the discharge to the sea of produced water that contains small amounts of hydrocarbons such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), dissolved metals and naturally occurring radioactive elements such as radium-226 and radium-228 (Fig. 2) (Neff et al. 2011).
Although the volume of oil released into the sea in the NE Atlantic through produced water discharges has overall been reduced following industry effort through decisions such as OSPAR recommendation 2001/1, produced water still remains the main source of hydrocarbons in the environment from oil and gas industry linked activities (OSPAR Commission 2010; Neff et al. 2011). Upon release, produced water is believed to be diluted very rapidly into the ambient seawater (Neff et al. 2011). Therefore, although some PAHs are persistent compounds in the environment and can be toxic at higher concentration as discussed in the next section (for accidental releases of hydrocarbons), produced water is expected to have a very low impact on marine organisms (Neff et al. 2011). However, PAHs from produced water could have sub-lethal effects on deep-sea sponges. Benthic suspension feeders such as mussels have been shown to accumulate PAHs when exposed to produced water (Sundt et al. 2011). Moreover, low concentration of PAHs can be bioaccumulated in sponges at higher levels than mussels (Negri et al. 2006; Batista et al. 2013; Mahaut et al. 2013; Gentric et al. 2016). Changes in fatty acid content in sponges exposed to PAHs has also been observed. It has therefore been suggested to use sponges as environmental bioindicators for PAHs concentration monitoring (Batista et al. 2013).

Dissolved metals can also be present in produced water including barium, iron, manganese, mercury and zinc. Shallow-water sponges are known to bioaccumulate zinc (Gentric et al. 2016). It is consequently possible that deep-sea sponges could also bioaccumulate metals in their tissue from produced water exposition but no study has been conducted so far on this subject. Notably, zinc naturally present in the environment has been shown to be incorporated into sponge spicules (Hendry and Andersen 2013). However, no studies looking at the impact of metal concentration from anthropogenic sources in sponge spicules have been conducted so far.
Decommissioning

Removal of aging offshore infrastructures during decommissioning could lead to an increase in sedimentation and a release of hydrocarbons and other chemicals into the marine environment (Fig. 2) (Fowler et al. 2014). Yet targeted disturbance experiments of the drill cuttings accumulated on the seafloor demonstrate no major effect on the spatial distribution of cuttings contamination or the biological communities present in the seabed located greater than 100 m from the original location of the installation (OSPAR Commission 2009b). It has to be born in mind, however, that the removal of large anchors or installations on the seafloor will likely cause resuspension of a much larger extent. Intensive water column and sediment monitoring will be required to assess the effects of the removal of individual or multiple installations.

As previously stated, no infrastructure decommissioning project has yet taken place within deep-sea sponge grounds and so potential impacts of decommissioning at individual level is for the moment unknown. It can only be hypothesized that impacts on deep-sea sponges associated with high sedimentation rate and hydrocarbon pollution described during the exploration, development and production phases could also occur during the decommissioning phase.

Impacts of accidental hydrocarbon release and dispersants use on deep-sea sponges

During accidental spills, large amounts of hydrocarbons are released directly into the marine environment. During oil spills, PAHs are of particular concern when considering ecotoxicological impacts on organisms present in the vicinity of the spill location (Blackburn et al. 2014 and references therein). In shallow-water sponges, high concentrations of PAHs have been shown to disturb sponge larval settlement and development (Cebrian and Uriz 2007; Negri et al. 2016). Effects of dispersants and dispersed oil on larval stages of various
other marine organisms have been investigated but results of higher toxicity associated with
the use of dispersant seem to depend on the organisms considered and the duration of
exposure (Singer et al. 1998; Epstein et al. 2000, Stefansson et al. 2016). In tropical corals,
exposure to dispersed crude oil resulted in increased mortality in larvae of the coral
*Stylophora pistillata* and a stronger decrease in larvae settlement rate compared to exposure
to crude oil alone (Epstein et al. 2000). Furthermore, exposure to dispersed oil and
dispersants alone has led to a strong health decline (defined by percentage of live polyps and
tissue coverage) in three deep-water coral species from the Gulf of Mexico (DeLeo et al.
2016). To the authors’ knowledge no studies have yet tested the effects of dispersed oil or
dispersants on marine sponges and sponge larvae.

Long-term impacts of a deep-sea oil spill could be derived from sediment associated
hydrocarbons. It is estimated that 35% of the oil released into the marine environment during
the *Braer* oil spilled off the Shetland Islands in the northeast Atlantic subsequently ended up
in subtidal sediments (Davies et al. 1997). PAHs and hydrocarbon breakdown is slowed
down in sediments owing to overall anoxic conditions within the sediments (Atlas and Hazen
2011 and references therein). However, benthic organisms can be exposed to sediment
associated PAHs or hydrocarbon via sediment resuspension. Bivalves are able to accumulate
PAHs from the sediment during resuspension episodes (Nandini Menon and Menon 1999). It
has been suggested that deep-sea sponges can derive part of their nutrition from re-suspended
matter (Hogg et al. 2010) and therefore could be impacted by PAH contaminated sediments.
Furthermore, Culbertson and collaborators (2008) showed that short-term and long-term
exposure to 38-year-old residual petroleum associated with sediments led to a decrease in
growth rate, lower health condition and decreased filtration rate in mussels. Dispersants have
also been shown to persist in deep-sea sediments as dispersants were quantified in sediments
collected within deep-sea coral communities 6 months after the *Deepwater Horizon* spill
This suggests that oil spill can have long term impacts on deep-sea benthic organisms when hydrocarbon and dispersants enter the sediments, which is of concern for deep-sea sponges.

**EFFECT ON DEEP-SEA SPONGES AT CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR SCALES**

**Impacts of offshore oil and gas production activities on deep-sea sponges at a cellular level**

During the production phase of offshore oil field development, the release of drill muds has been shown to impact deep-sea sponges at a cellular level (Edge et al. 2016). Barite, one of the major solid components of these drill muds has been shown to decrease lysosomal membrane stability in the deep-sea sponge *G. barretti* (Edge et al. 2016). Hydrocarbon contamination including PAH pollution is also a main concern when considering cellular impacts of offshore oil and gas activities on sponges. Water accommodated oil fraction (solution of soluble hydrocarbons in seawater) activates the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) and apoptosis pathways in the sponge *Suberites domuncula* (Châtel et al. 2011). The MAPK pathway plays an important role in cellular response to environmental and oxidative stress (Regoli and Giuliani 2014). Increased DNA damage was also detected in *S. domuncula* (Châtel et al. 2011), confirming previous work conducted by Zahn *et al.* (1981, 1983) showing exposure to PAH induced DNA damage in the shallow-water sponge *Tethya lyncurium*.

Furthermore, the cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenase system has also been shown to be involved in the detoxification of PAH benzo-a-pyrene, in two marine sponge species (Solé and Livingstone 2005). Lower yields of cytochrome P450 protein were detected in sponges compared with other phyla (Cnidaria, Mollusca, Annelida, Arthropoda, Echinodermata and Chordata) but this could result from overall lower metabolic rates (Solé
and Livingstone 2005). Under PAHs contaminated conditions produced in the laboratory, PAH molecules interact with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and induce the cytochrome P450 pathway (Regoli and Giuliani 2014). The cytochrome P450 pathway is known to play an important role in oxidative stress responses (Solé and Livingston 2005), which are induced in many organisms after exposure to PAHs (Nebert et al. 2000; Puga et al. 2002; Regoli and Giuliani 2014 amongst others). Oxidative stress is a consequence of an imbalance in the antioxidant system in an organism. Normal aerobic metabolism produces reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are neutralised by the antioxidant system. Exposure to xenobiotic compounds can increase the formation of ROS and decrease the antioxidant system’s functioning. Formation of ROS in turn, downregulates the cytochrome P450, which limits the organism’s capacity to deal with contaminants such as PAHs (Regoli and Giuliani 2014). The role of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in organisms impacted by oil spills was recently confirmed in a transcriptomic study showing an induction of a large amount of stress response genes such as the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and the glutathione-S-transferase in oysters deployed during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill (Jenny et al. 2016). However, to the authors knowledge, no studies have reported the activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and cytochrome P450 pathway in deep-sea sponges.

Dispersants themselves have been shown to trigger cellular stress responses in different organisms. In the commonly used model organism Caenorhabditis elegans (Nematoda), exposure to dispersant Corexit™ 9500A caused the abnormal expression of twelve genes, involved in a wide range of biological processes ranging from egg-laying to neurological functions and oxidative stress (Zhang et al. 2013). However, in the tropical coral Montastraea franksi, Corexit™ 9527 exposure led to increased expression of genes coding for P-glycoprotein, heat shock protein 70 and heat shock protein 90 and, to a lesser extent, proteins involved in other cellular stress responses (Venn et al. 2009). Furthermore, exposure
to dispersants alone as well as dispersants and crude oil lead to an increase in cell membrane
damages in diatoms, which was not observable in diatoms exposed to oil alone (Hook and
Osborn 2012). No studies so far have investigated the impact of dispersants on marine
sponges.

Impacts of offshore oil and gas production activities on deep-sea sponge associated
micro-organisms

Sponges host highly diverse microbial communities often compared for its
complexity to the bacterial community of the human gut (Hentschel et al. 2012). Although
bacteria generally dominate deep-sea sponge microbial communities, eukaryotic and archaeal
symbionts have also been described. Mainly found in the mesohyl of the sponges these
microbes are metabolically very active and are believed to play important roles in the
nitrogen and carbon metabolism (Li et al. 2014). Deep-sea sponges are a rich source of
secondary metabolites of great interest as new therapeutic compounds and it is often the
associated microbial communities that synthesises these compounds. Sponges’ secondary
metabolites show properties that include antifouling, antifungal, antibacterial or antiviral
properties and are believed to play a major role in sponge defence against diseases or against
other benthic organisms competing for the same substrata (Sipkema et al. 2005).
The impact of environmental pollution and specifically exposure to hydrocarbons or other
offshore oil and gas extraction activities on the sponge-associated microbial communities are
currently unknown. Studies have investigated the stability of the shallow-water sponge
associated microbial community when exposed to thermal stress, changes in seawater pH or
to high metal concentrations (Webster and Hill. 2001; Webster et al. 2008; Selvin et al. 2009;
Fan et al. 2013; Fang et al. 2013; Tian et al. 2014). However, only a few of these studies
found, under stressed conditions, a shift in the associated microbial community composition (Webster and Hill. 2001; Webster et al. 2008; Fan et al. 2013; Tian et al. 2014). A change in associated microbes was also correlated with a decline in overall sponge host health status characterised by an increase in sponge tissue necrosis and increased expression of genes linked to cellular oxidative stress (Webster and Hill. 2001; Webster et al. 2008; Fan et al. 2013; Tian et al. 2014). An oil degrading surfactant biosynthesis gene has been isolated from bacteria associated with the shallow-water sponge Acanthella sp (Anburajan et al. 2015).

However, the capacity of the bacteria to synthesize the surfactant when associated with the marine sponge and when exposed to crude oil was not investigated (Anburajan et al. 2015). In the Gulf of Mexico, the deep-sea sponge Myxilla methanophila growing on tubeworms near cold-seeps was described to be associated with putative oil degrading bacteria after deep-sequencing of its associated microbial community (Arellano et al. 2013). In this case, it was hypothesized that the sponge had acquired the symbiont from its environment naturally rich in hydrocarbons (Arellano et al. 2013). Whether the bacteria played a role in hydrocarbon detoxification or in sponge nutrition was not be investigated (Arellano et al. 2013). The capacity of deep-sea marine sponges to acquire oil-degrading bacteria after an oil spill event has not yet been investigated.

CONCLUSIONS

Oil and gas activities are today taking place in deeper settings and will impact deep-sea ecosystems. Oil and gas production activities impact deep-sea sponges and the habitats they form at all stages of field development and at community, individual and cellular levels as summarised in table 2. At community level, physical disturbance and discharge of drill muds have been shown to decrease diversity and density of organisms associated with deep-sea sponge grounds. At individual level, physical disturbance and increased sedimentation
inhibit the filtration systems of deep-sea sponges, while the discharge of produced water and
drill cuttings could lead to bioaccumulation of hydrocarbons and metals (as shown in
shallow-water sponges). At cellular and molecular levels, discharge of drill muds and
produced water could trigger cellular stress responses as has been shown for shallow-water
sponges exposed to PAH and metal contaminated seawater. Accidental releases of
hydrocarbons and the use of dispersants during oil spill could result in benthic diversity
decrease, individual sponge mortality and larval settlement disruption as well as trigger
oxidative stress. However, most of the possible impacts described in this review have not yet
been studied in deep-sea sponges.

Offshore oil and gas activities are managed by national legislations within the
exclusive economic zones and under United Nations legislations in the high seas. In most
countries, oil companies are required to complete EIAs before starting any new operation
(Budd 1999). EIAs have become a major component of oil and gas industry regulation as
their aim is to identify and manage adverse environmental impacts before they occur by: (1)
screening for possible impacts (2) completing baseline surveys (3) producing Environmental
Statements and (4) leading the decision-making process. The major benefits of EIAs are that
the environment is considered in an early stage of the project and that scientific data are
acquired during the EIA process (Budd 1999). However, despite its widespread use in
offshore activity regulation, EIAs’ project specific approach means that cumulative
environmental impacts owing to the development of several oil fields in the same area cannot
be taken into account (Baker and Jones 2013) and by their nature EIAs have to rely on
existing scientific understanding of ecosystems function. Despite promising advances in
recent years the latter remains poorly developed in deep-water settings including those that
support deep-sea sponge grounds. Strategic Environmental Assessments are therefore now
starting to be adopted by the oil & gas industry (Fidler and Noble 2012). National
jurisdictions apply only to waters within the 200 nm EEZ of coastal states. However, deep-sea sponge grounds occur beyond the EEZ of coastal states. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) signed in 1972 first enabled the deep-sea floor and High Seas to be exploited for biological and geological resources and technological improvements over time have made the deep-sea accessible (Ramirez-Llodra et al. 2011). In 2008 Ecologically or Biologically Significant Areas (EBSAs) were defined by the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity to help international organisations protect key marine environments. Following this, 8 EBSAs were proposed in September 2011 in the northeast Atlantic to protect cold-water corals and sponge grounds (Weaver and Johnson 2012) but have not been subsequently developed. Since 2009, deep-sea sponge grounds are considered by the UN Food & Agriculture Organisation as Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems, as defined by the General Assembly resolution 61/105, calling states to restrict destructive fishing practices. Although VME designations are used to control the adverse effect of fishing on marine species, it brings organisms with specific conservation needs to light and is therefore also useful in the context of offshore oil and gas industry activities. In addition to EBSA and VME designations, the development of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) and design of connected networks have gained momentum during the early 2000 under the OSPAR convention (Howell 2010; O’Leary et al. 2012). Indeed, deep-sea sponges entered the OSPAR Threatened and/or Declining Species and Habitat list in 2008. Criteria for the designation of MPAs were determined by the World Conservation Union (IUCN) in 1994 and include ecological, scientific and economic importance (Howell 2010).

Lack of scientific data on the effects of deep-sea hydrocarbon exploitation activities on deep-sea benthic organisms such as sponges is limiting the efficiency of national and international management and monitoring regulations. Collaborative initiatives between academic and industry partners provide a constructive way to close the current knowledge
gaps. The access to and sharing of environmental data between industry and academia should also be encouraged (Murray et al. IN PREP). Furthermore, the increasing use of new technologies and methodologies such as Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and predictive habitat modelling to survey and map large areas of the seabed will offer new opportunities to increase our understanding deep-sea benthic environments. As oil and gas production activities already occur within deep-sea sponge grounds, further collaboration between industry and research partners to better monitor the effect of oil and gas activities on deep-sea sponge and deep-sea sponge grounds are urgently needed.
REFERENCES


40. Gates AR and Jones DO (2012). Recovery of benthic megafauna from anthropogenic disturbance at a hydrocarbon drilling well (380 m depth in the Norwegian Sea). *PloS one*, 7(10), e44114.


53. Jones DOB, Hudson IR and Bett BJ (2006). Effects of physical disturbance on the cold-
319, 43–54.

assemblages from hydrocarbon drilling disturbance in the Faroe-Shetland Channel. *Mar.

Biol.* 29, 1573–1585.

*Spongosorites coralliophaga* (Stephens, 1915) on coral rubble at two contrasting cold-
water coral reef settings. *Coral Reefs*.


responses of the deep-water sponge *Geodia barretti* to suspended bottom sediment,

eukaryotic communities in deep-sea sponge *Lamellomorpha sp.* indicated by

sediment loading in the coastal marine environment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
315, 13-18.


Acknowledgement

JV acknowledges support from the Natural Environment Research Council Centre for Doctoral Training in Oil & Gas, received through Heriot-Watt University (James Watt Scholarship scheme) and the British Geological Survey (British University Funding Initiative scheme). This paper is a contribution to the ATLAS project funded by the European Commission’s H2020 scheme through Grant Agreement 678760. All co-authors would like to acknowledge Ellen Kenchington from Fisheries & Oceans, Canada (DFO) for providing helpful comments during the drafting of this manuscript and one of the stills used in this paper. All co-authors would also like to thank Andrew Gates and Daniel Jones from the SERPENT Project at the National Oceanography Centre in Southampton UK for providing images used in this paper.
Figure 1: Example of deep-sea sponges and of the habitats they form. (A, B) Example of deep-sea sponge morphotypes from the Faroe-Shetland Channel. (C) Carnivorous sponges of the family Cladhorizidae constitute a deep-sea ecological oddity. (D) Present in high abundance, deep-sea sponges can form sponge grounds as seen here at 1890m depth from Orphan Knoll, NW Atlantic. (E to H) Deep-sea sponges and sponge grounds provide habitats for various benthic organisms (I and J) Sponges are impacted by offshore oil and gas activities amongst other through increased sedimentation. Photo credits: (D) Fisheries & Oceans, Canada (DFO). (G to I) SERPENT Project, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton UK.
Figure 2: Flow chart of oil fields development process divided into 4 phases and main activities associated with each phase.
Figure 3: Field data on the initial impact and recovery from oil drilling disturbance in deep-sea sponges in the Faroe-Shetland Channel (FSC), at the Laggan site (Jones et al. 2012), and Norwegian Sea (NS), at the Morvin site (Gates and Jones 2012). The density of all megafaunal sponges is shown with distance from drilling activity at different time points (colours) after drilling (units years [yr] and days [d]). Pre indicates densities prior to drilling activity.
Table 1: VME and EBSA criteria and their applicability to sponge grounds as respectively defined by the UN FAO and the UN CBD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Characteristics of deep-sea sponges and/or sponge grounds fulfilling criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VME</td>
<td>Uniqueness or rarity</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds are not rare but occur in <strong>specific and limited areas</strong> where favourable abiotic conditions are present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functional significance of habitats</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponges increase <strong>physical heterogeneity</strong> of benthic ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fragility</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponges are <strong>extremely vulnerable to physical damage</strong> by trawling or other anthropogenic activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life history traits making recovery difficult</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponges are considered as <strong>slow-growing, long lived</strong> organisms and their reproduction cycles are largely unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structural complexity</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds give <strong>three-dimensionality</strong> to seabed increasing the number of available microhabitats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBSA</td>
<td>Uniqueness or rarity</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds are not rare but occur in <strong>specific and limited areas</strong> where favourable abiotic conditions are present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special importance for like history stages of species</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds constitute <strong>nursery grounds</strong> for fish and invertebrate species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Importance for threatened, endangered or declining species and/or habitats</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds constitute nursery grounds for <strong>threaten species</strong> such economically important fishes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulnerability, fragility, sensitivity or slow recovery</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponges are considered as <strong>slow-growing, long lived</strong> organisms, making them both vulnerable to anthropogenic activities and slow to recover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biological productivity</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponges play important roles in the <strong>biogeochemical cycling</strong> and the habitat they create support diverse benthic ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biological diversity</td>
<td>Deep-sea sponge grounds provide a <strong>habitat</strong> to diverse benthic vertebrate and invertebrate species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naturalness</td>
<td>Anthropogenic activities such as oil and gas exploitation and mining are <strong>impacting deep-sea sponge grounds</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Overview of major impacts of offshore oil and gas activities on deep-sea sponges and deep-sea sponge grounds at community, individual, cellular and molecular levels and throughout oil field development. Impacts described in deep-sea sponge species are highlighted in green. Impacts described in shallow-water sponge species but not yet confirmed for deeper species are highlighted in orange. Impacts described in other benthic organisms but not yet investigated in any sponge species are highlighted in red to emphasize current knowledge gaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exploration and appraisal</th>
<th>Field Development</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Decommissioning</th>
<th>Deep-sea oil spill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main concern</strong></td>
<td>Physical disturbance of seabed and increase sedimentation</td>
<td>Discharge of drill muds and cuttings</td>
<td>Removal of structure</td>
<td>Exposure to high hydrocarbons and dispersant concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual Level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main concern</strong></td>
<td>Seismic survey and increase sedimentation</td>
<td>Increase sedimentation</td>
<td>Discharge of produced water</td>
<td>Release of chemical contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impacts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paused filtration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cellular &amp; Molecular levels</strong></td>
<td>Discharge of drill muds and exposure to chemicals via release of produced water</td>
<td>Decrease immune system function.</td>
<td>Decrease of lysosomal membrane stability.</td>
<td>Decreased immune system function.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>