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Abstract

Marker frequency analysis of the *Escherichia coli* recB mutant chromosome has revealed a deficit of DNA in a specific zone of the terminus, centred on the *dif/TerC* region. Using fluorescence microscopy of a marked chromosomal site, we show that the *dif* region is lost after replication completion, at the time of cell division, in one daughter cell only, and that the phenomenon is transmitted to progeny. Analysis by marker frequency and microscopy shows that the position of DNA loss is not defined by the replication fork merging point since it still occurs in the *dif/TerC* region when the replication fork trap is displaced in strains harbouring ectopic *Ter* sites. Terminus DNA loss in the recB mutant is also independent of dimer resolution by XerCD at *dif* and of Topo IV action close to *dif*. It occurs in the terminus region, at the point of inversion of the GC skew, which is also the point of convergence of specific sequence motifs like KOPS and Chi sites, regardless of whether the convergence of GC skew is at *dif* (wild-type) or a newly created sequence. In the absence of FtsK-driven DNA translocation, terminus DNA loss is less precisely targeted to the KOPS convergence sequence, but occurs at a similar frequency and follows the same pattern as in FtsK+ cells. Importantly, using *ftsI*, *ftsA* division mutants and cephalexin treated cells, we show that DNA loss of the *dif* region in the recB mutant is decreased by the inactivation of cell division. We propose that it results from septum-induced chromosome breakage, and largely contributes to the low viability of the recB mutant.
RecBCD protein complex is an important player of DSB repair in bacteria and bacteria that cannot repair DNA double-stranded breaks (DSB) have a low viability. Whole genome sequencing analyses showed a deficit in specific sequences of the chromosome terminus region in recB mutant cells, suggesting terminus DNA degradation during growth. We studied here the phenomenon of terminus DNA loss by whole genome sequencing and microscopy analyses of exponentially growing bacteria. We tested all processes known to take place in the chromosome terminus region for a putative role in DNA loss: replication fork termination, dimer resolution, resolution of catenated chromosomes, and translocation of the chromosome arms in daughter cells during septum formation. None of the mutations that affect these processes prevents the phenomenon. However, we observed that terminus DNA loss is abolished in cells that cannot divide. We propose that in cells defective for RecBCD-mediated DSB repair the terminus region of the chromosome remains in the way of the growing septum during cell division, then septum closure triggers chromosome breakage and, in turn, DNA degradation.

Author summary

Division-induced DNA breaks in the chromosome terminus region of Escherichia coli recBC mutants

Introduction

Most bacteria have a circular chromosome on which replication is initiated at single origin oriC and proceeds bi-directionally on the two replichores until forks meet in the terminus, opposite to oriC. The chromosome terminus is a particularly active region where several important processes take place: replication termination, chromosome dimer resolution and last steps of chromosome segregation (Fig 1A). In E. coli, replication is arrested by the presence of sites called Ter that are bound by a specific protein Tus (reviewed in [1, 2]). Ter-Tus complexes allow replication to proceed in one direction only and thus create a replication fork trap in which replication forks enter but from which they infrequently exit. This system allows right and left replichores to be replicated principally in a clockwise and anti-clockwise direction, respectively, ensuring that replication is mainly co-directional with transcription [3–6].

2D-gel analyses allowed the visualisation of replication forks arrested at TerC, and to a lesser extent at TerA and TerB [7].

In most bacterial species a site called dif, which is located opposite to oriC on the circular chromosome, is acted upon by the XerC/XerD site-specific recombination complex to resolve chromosome dimers (reviewed in [8, 9]). dif is also the site of inversion of the GC skew on the chromosome [2, 10] and the site of orientation inversion of biologically active motifs such as Chi (crossover hotspot instigator) and KOPS (FtsK-orienting polar sequences [11, 12] reviewed in [13]). KOPS (GGGNAGGG) are used by the septum protein FtsK to orient the translocation of chromosomes to daughter cells, and the convergence of these sequences at dif makes it the last segregated chromosomal sequence in slow growing cells [14]. Although KOPS are present all around the chromosome, FtsK is particularly active in the 400 kb region, centred on dif [15]. Translocation of chromosomes by FtsK is arrested by encounter with the XerCD-dif complex [16, 17]; FtsK then activates this complex to trigger chromosome dimer resolution at dif [18–20]. Finally, FtsK was proposed to displace the terminus-specific DNA-bound protein MatP [14], a protein that organizes and condenses the 780 kb Ter macrodomain by binding specifically to short DNA sequences called matS [21–23]. In this manuscript we call "terminus" the chromosome region opposite to oriC, centred on the point of inversion of the GC skew, regardless of the position of replication forks merging.
The septum forms at mid-cell by the assembly of several proteins in a defined order (reviewed in [24, 25]). Early proteins are FtsZ and its regulators, which include FtsA. Formation of the Z-ring is essential for the recruitment of late proteins, including FtsK and FtsI. FtsK is a
bi-functional protein, its N-terminal domain is essential for cell division and is anchored in
the septum; it is separated by a linker from the C-terminal domain, a cytoplasmic ATPase
non-essential for viability and responsible for (i) DNA translocation in a direction imposed by
KOPS, and (ii) activation of chromosome dimer resolution by interaction with XerCD
(reviewed in [26, 27]). FtsI is a septum peptidase essential for constriction (reviewed in [28,
29]).

The terminus region was reported to be a preferential region of genetic instability [30–33].
However, hyper-recombination in the terminus region was dependent on replication termina-
tion at Ter sites, or perturbation of the dimer resolution system XerCD/dif, or perturbation of
FtsK-mediated chromosome segregation, and it occurred in a small subpopulation of cells (at
most 1%) [30–33]. More recently, a limited region of the terminus was reported to be ampli-
fied in certain mutant contexts (notably in a recG mutant) and a nearly identical region was
lost in recB mutants [34, 35].

RecBCD is the enzyme that initiates recombinational repair of DNA double-strand breaks
(DSB) in E. coli. This enzyme specifically recognizes DNA double-strand ends, unwinds and
cleaves dsDNA via its coupled DNA helicase and exonuclease (exo V) activities, and when it
encounters a Chi site it loads RecA on single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) [36–38]. Loss of termi-

nus DNA in recB mutants was proposed to result from the formation of dsDNA ends by erro-
neous merging of replication forks leading to a transient over-replicated intermediate [35].
According to the proposed model, in the RecBCD+ context over-replicated dsDNA ends
would be appropriately degraded by RecBCD, restoring intact chromosomes, while in the recB
mutant extensive DNA degradation from these dsDNA ends by various single-stranded DNA
exonucleases would cause DNA loss [35].

The amplification of terminal DNA in a recG mutant has been examined in detail [6, 34, 39,
40], while the DNA loss in the recB mutant has been less extensively explored, and the model
of merging forks has not been directly tested experimentally [35, 41]. Here we use Marker Fre-
cency Analysis (MFA) and live-cell fluorescence microscopy to further characterize this phe-

nomenon. As previously proposed [34, 35], we consider that loss of terminus DNA in the
recB mutant results from the degradation of unrepaired DNA double-stranded ends, but we show
that it is independent of the position of replication termination, which argues against the
model of merging forks. In search for an alternative source of chromosome breakage, we show
that terminus DNA loss in the recB mutant occurs during cell division and requires septum
formation. We propose that chromosome breakage in the E. coli terminus region is septum-
induced damage. In addition, we observed weak Tus-dependent DNA loss at Ter sites, which
was only detected when division was prevented by mutation or when replication terminates at
an ectopic Ter.

Results
Terminus DNA loss occurs in a sub-population of recB cells during cell
division and is hereditary

Marker frequency analysis (MFA) of the chromosome of wild-type and recB mutant cells in
exponential growth confirmed a deficit of DNA reads in the terminus region of the chromo-
some in the absence of RecB (Fig 2A, S1 Fig). To allow a direct comparison of MFA and
microscopy results, all experiments were done in minimal medium (M9). This DNA loss is
centred on the dif-TerC region when cells are grown exponentially in M9 glucose (Fig 2A), as
previously reported for cells grown in LB [34, 35]. We have developed a live-cell microscopy
approach to confirm that this phenomenon, which is observed by MFA in a population of
growing cells, in fact occurs in a sub-population of individual cells and we have quantified this
Fig 2. Loss of terminus DNA in the recB mutant is independent of the site of replication termination. A-D Sequence read frequencies of exponential phase cells normalized to the total number of reads were calculated for each strain. Ratios of normalized reads in isogenic RecB\(^+\) and recB mutants are plotted against chromosomal coordinates (in kb). Profile ratios of the terminus region are enlarged and profiles of the corresponding entire chromosomes are shown in insets. Original normalized profiles used to calculate ratios are shown in S1 and S2 Figs. The position of *dif* is
indicated by a red arrow. Ter sites that arrest clockwise forks (TerC, TerB, TerB*, green arrow) and counter-clockwise forks (TerA, TerD, blue arrow) are shown. E. Schematic representation of the counting of initial events. Divisions producing two focus-containing cells and first divisions producing one focus-containing cell and one focus-less cell were counted; the ratio of the latter to the total number of divisions corresponds to the percentage of initial events shown in Table 1. In the scheme shown here, we have counted two initial events (divisions # 2 and 7) out of a total of 9 divisions (“X” are divisions of inherited focus loss and were not counted). Division #2 is clearly hereditary, while division # 7 is counted as an initial event but is not taken into account to calculate the ratio of hereditary events among initial events, since the progeny cannot be seen. F. Micrographs showing an example of focus loss during growth of a recB mutant. Time-lapse experiments were carried out on M9 glucose agarose pads at 30°C with pictures taken every 10 min. All cells contain ydeV::parS<sub>pMT1</sub>, and express the ParB<sub>pMT1</sub> protein from the gene inserted into the chromosome. In this example a cell that generates a focus-less cell at the first generation has been cropped. The numbers in the upper left corner of the pictures indicate the frame number in the video. For reasons of space limitations only one out of two frames is shown (odd numbered frames are shown only), with the exception of frame 26. Other examples of focus-less cell production from a cropped bacterium, but for which all frames taken every 10 min are shown, can be seen in S1 and S2 Videos. The double white arrows indicate the presence of two foci before division, which shows that focus loss results from the degradation of a DNA sequence that has been previously replicated. The yellow stars show cells that have lost the focus following division. These focus-less cells generally do not divide while the sister cell that has kept the ydeV::parS<sub>pMT1</sub> site (the top cell in pictures 3 and 5 in this example) keeps growing and generates a focus-less cell at each division (pictures 3, 13, 21, 29, 37). G. Micrographs showing an example of focus loss during growth of a TerB* recB mutant. Frame numbers are at the bottom left of each frame. Arrows and stars are as in F. A focus-less cell is produced at each generation, at frames 3, 18, 28, and 38.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006895.g002

sub-population. Strains constitutively expressing the yGFP-ParB<sub>pMT1</sub> protein from a chromosomal-borne gene and carrying parS<sub>pMT1</sub> sites inserted at three different locations were used. Binding of the yGFP-ParB protein to its parS target site allows the visualisation of each chromosome parS sequence as a fluorescent focus. Three strain backgrounds carrying each a different parS site were used. These parS sites were ydeV:parS<sub>pMT1</sub> located between dif and TerC, 10 kb away from each site, yoaC:parS<sub>pMT1</sub> located about 300 kb away from dif on the left replicon and ycdN:parS<sub>pMT1</sub> located about 500 kb away from dif on the right replicon ([42], S1 Table, Fig 1B). Cells grown in exponential phase in M9 glucose medium were observed by fluorescence microscopy. In a wild-type context, nearly all cells showed foci and the proportion of cells with two foci increased with the distance from dif, as previously reported ([14, 43]). In the recB mutant, the proportion of cells without any focus was much higher than in recB* cells: the recB mutant with ydeV::parS<sub>pMT1</sub> (the locus between dif and TerC) showed 32% of cells with no focus and the control parS sites located 300 or 500 kb from dif showed 7–8% of cells with no focus (Table 1, S2 Table). These results argue that in a recB context about one third of cells have lost the dif-TerC region specifically, in agreement with the results of MFA experiments [34, 35] (Fig 2A).

To better characterize this chromosomal DNA loss, the dynamic behaviour of foci was tracked by time lapse microscopy of recB cells growing on M9 glucose agarose pads, as described in Materials and Methods. As shown in Fig 2F and S1 and S2 Videos, ydeV::parS<sub>pMT1</sub> foci were lost with the following characteristics: 1) the foci disappeared concomitantly with cell division, most often at the site of septum formation, and in one of the two daughter cells only (yellow stars), no loss at any other time point was observed, 2) the loss occurred after duplication of this region, since most of the time two foci were clearly visible at earlier time points (white arrows), 3) after cell division, the daughter cell that had lost a focus stopped growing and did not divide, whereas the cell that retained a focus divided again, and produced a focus-less cell at each generation after the first event (yellow stars). Although the production of focus-less cells was asymmetrical and hereditary, we did not observe any bias toward the old or new pole. We called the first division that produces one focus-containing cell and one focus-free cell “the initial event” and calculated that these represented 17.7% of cell divisions (not counting the “secondary events” that follow, Table 1). Because divisions that produced focus-less cells also produced a focus-containing cell, the proportion of focus-less cells in the population was expected to be one half of the proportion of divisions that produced them in the absence of transmission of the phenomenon to progeny. In contrast to this, the proportion of focus-less cells (32%) was higher than the proportion of divisions that produced them.
(17.7%), in agreement with the transmission of the phenomenon to following generations (Table 1). Nearly 75% of the initial events were transmitted to the progeny for as many generations as we could see (up to five). In addition, in about 17% of the cases transmission was interrupted for one generation (i.e. the cell that retained a focus produced two focus-containing cells, one propagated normally and the other one resumed the production of one focus-less cell at each generation). Although there is clearly some transmission in spite of the interruption,
this second category of events was observed in all recB mutants and is not counted as transmitted in Table 1.

RecBCD has two activities, a recombinase activity that requires RecB and RecC but not RecD (helicase and RecA loading activities), and an exonuclease activity, called exoV, which degrades linear dsDNA and is catalyzed by the entire RecBCD complex [36, 38]. DNA degradation by exoV occurs in the absence of RecA or in the absence of Chi sites. recD mutant cells are recombination proficient but do not degrade dsDNA, and it was previously reported that terminus DNA loss is not detected by MFA in the recD mutant [35]. Accordingly, analysis of ydeV-parS::pMT1 foci showed that the recD single mutant behaved like wild-type (Table 1), therefore we confirm that the presence of RecBC prevents DNA loss regardless of exoV activity. In contrast, the recC mutant that lacks both RecBC and RecBCD complexes and thus both recombination and exoV activities, behaved as the recB mutant (Table 1, S1 Fig).

DNA loss in the dif-TerC region is independent of the replication arrest protein Tus, and of the position of replication forks merging

DNA loss was previously shown by MFA to occur in the dif-TerC region of recB mutants in two different E. coli genetic backgrounds: MG1655 [34], in which replication terminates primarily at TerC and 4 to 5 times less often at TerA [7], and in W3110 [35]. W3110 carries a large inversion between rrnD and rrnE around the replication origin, which enlarges the right replicon and shortens the left one by about 220 kb [44, 45] (Fig 1A). As a consequence of this inversion, the closest replication terminator from oriC in this context is TerA and not TerC, and therefore replication is expected to terminate at TerA more often than at TerC. Nonetheless, the position of the peak of DNA loss was the same in W3110 as previously reported in MG1655 [35]. This surprising observation prompted us to directly measure the influence of the position of replication termination on DNA loss in the dif-TerC region. For that purpose, we first compared recB and recB tus mutants (in which Ter sites are non-functional) by MFA (Fig 2B, S1 Fig) and by snap-shot fluorescence microscopy of ydeV::parS::pMT1/yGFP-ParB::pMT1 foci (Table 1). Inactivation of tus did not prevent DNA loss in the dif-TerC region detected by MFA (Fig 2B) and did not modify the percentage of focus-less cells for the ydeV::parS::pMT1 locus close to dif, and for the yoaC::parS::pMT1 control locus (Table 1). Note that the ratio of reads in tus recB over the tus mutant increased in a large Ter region compared to the rest of the chromosome (Fig 2B). We do not know the reasons for this phenomenon, but the existence of a mixed population partially masks DNA loss at dif-TerC in the MFA experiment. Time lapse experiments showed that the loss of ydeV::parS::pMT1 foci followed the same scheme in tus recB as previously observed in Tus+ recB cells, i.e. loss of focus in one daughter cell, at the septum, at the time of division, and transmission of this defect to the progeny (S3 Video), with a similar percentage of initial events (Table 1, 15.8% vs 17.7%), and a high level of events transmitted to progeny (87%). Replication was previously shown by 2D gels to terminate mainly at TerA and TerB+ and the dif site is mainly replicated by the counter-clockwise fork, instead of the clockwise fork in the wild-type strain. Fluorescence microscopy showed that loss of ydeV::parS::pMT1 foci (close to dif) was increased to 48% in the strain containing TerB+, while loss of control yoaC::parS::pMT1 and ydvN::parS::pMT1 loci was
unchanged (Table 1, S2 Table). As expected, the increase from 32% in recB to 48% in TerB+ recB cells was Tus-dependent, as we counted 35% of ydeV::parSPMT1 focus-less cells in the TerB+ recB tus mutant (Table 1, S2 Table). Time lapse experiments showed that in TerB+ recB cells ydeV::parSPMT1 foci were still lost in one daughter cell, at the septum, at the time of division, with a transmission of this defect to the progeny (Fig 2G, Table 1), and the proportion of original divisions that yielded the first focus-less cells in an inheritable manner was increased from 17.7% to 25% (Table 1). Finally, measuring loss of control yoaC::parSPMT1 foci (Table 1) and MFA analysis showed that the position of the peak of DNA loss at dif was not affected by the creation of this new replication fork trap, away from TerC (Fig 2C, S2 Fig). Nevertheless, the MFA experiment also revealed a new Tus-dependent peak of DNA loss at TerB+, weaker than the DNA loss at dif (Fig 2C and 2D, S2 Fig). It was previously proposed that DNA double-strand ends, target for RecBCD, were formed in the terminus region of the recB mutant by erroneous merging of replication forks, and that degradation of these unrepaird DNA double-stranded ends by the combined action of helicases and single-stranded exonucleases was the cause of terminus DNA loss [34, 35]. Since in the presence of the additional TerB+ site, replication forks are expected to merge at this site or at TerA, and are therefore unlikely to merge at TerC, the strong DNA loss that we observed in the dif/TerC region of the TerB+ recB mutant (Fig 2C, Table 1) cannot result from replication fork merging. We also propose that DNA loss results from DNA degradation by the combined action of helicases and single-stranded exonucleases, but propose that the dsDNA ends on which these enzymes act are produced by a DNA DSB occurring in dif/TerC region of the recB mutant chromosome, regardless of the position of replication termination. In addition, our results also show that displacing replication termination to TerB+ creates a new hotspot of DNA loss, weaker than the dif/TerC hotspot, at the new replication termination site.

DNA loss in the dif/TerC region is independent of dimer resolution

The observation that the position of DNA loss in the dif/TerC region is independent of the position of replication fork merging raises the possibility that it might be determined by dif rather than TerC. dif is the site of chromosome dimers resolution, a XerCD- and FtsK-dependent reaction [18–20]. We tested a possible role of dimer resolution in DNA loss by inactivating xerC or removing the dif site. In RecB+ cells these mutations increased the proportion of ydeV::parSPMT1 focus-less cells from less than 1% to about 15% (Table 1, S2 Table) and, accordingly, a weak DNA loss of the dif region could be detected by MFA (S3 Fig). Time lapse experiments showed that focus-loss in the dif or xerC single mutants results from breakage of both chromosomes at the time of cell division (Fig 3E, S4 Video); Abnormal pattern of cell division in microcolonies of dif and xer mutants was previously observed and was proposed to result from breakage of chromosome dimers by septum closure, which was called guillotining [46, 47]. 40–42% of cells lacked ydeV::parSP MT1 in xerC recB or dif recB mutants (Table 1). We propose that this higher level of focus-less cells compared to the recB single mutant results from a combination of broken dimers and septum-induced breaks (about 50% of dimers are RecB-independent, [48]). Accordingly, time lapse microscopy confirmed that some focus-less cells result from the concomitant loss of both ydeV::parSPMT1 foci in the two daughter cells at the time of division (presumably dimer breakage), whereas most of them result from the transmitted, asymmetric loss of one focus in one daughter cell at the time of division (Fig 3E). Interestingly, in dif or xer cells that contain a dimer, cell division was delayed for more than an hour before we observed cell separation and focus-loss (S4 Video), while cell division of the recB mutant was not delayed upon focus-loss in one daughter cell compared to cells that do not lose foci. The proportion of initial events in the dif recB mutant was 17.4%, similar to the recB single
Division-induced DNA breaks in the chromosome terminus region of *Escherichia coli* recBC mutants

**Fig 3.** Loss of terminus DNA in the recB mutant is independent dimer resolution and FtsK translocation activity. A-D Sequence read frequencies of exponential phase cells normalized to the total number of reads were calculated for each strain. Ratios of normalized reads in isogenic RecB+ and recB mutants are plotted against chromosomal coordinates (in kb). Profile ratios of the terminus region are enlarged and profiles of the corresponding entire chromosomes are shown in insets. Original normalized profiles used to calculate ratios are shown in S3 Fig. The positions of dif, or of inversion of the GC skew at the new junction in dif mutants, is indicated by a red arrow. Ter sites that arrest clockwise forks (TerC, TerB, green arrow) and counter-clockwise forks (TerA, TerD, blue arrow) are shown. E-F. Time lapse experiments were carried out as described in the legend of Fig 2 and in the Material and Method section. As in Fig 2, the double white arrow indicate the two foci prior to foci loss, and
mutant, confirming that division-dependent loss of ydeV::parS_{pMT1} foci is independent from dimer resolution, and most of these events were transmitted to progeny (Table 1). Furthermore, the weaker loss of the control yoaC::parS_{pMT1} and ycdN::parS_{pMT1} foci compared to loss of the dif proximal ydeV::parS_{pMT1} site (Table 1, S2 Table), and MFA analyses of xerC and xerC recB mutant (Fig 3A, S3 Fig) confirmed that the loss of DNA remains centred on dif in the absence of dimer resolution.

Two dif deletions were tested: one that lacks only the dif site, and one that also inactivates the adjacent hipA locus. HipA is a toxin that blocks growth by inactivating translation and is counteracted by the short-lived anti-toxin HipB ([49] and ref therein). In the absence of both dif and HipA, the peak of DNA loss observed in MFA experiments in a recB mutant context was deeper and larger than in the recB single mutant (Fig 3B, S3 Fig). Accordingly, in microscopy snapshot experiments the proportion of cells that lack the ydeV::parS_{pMT1} or the control yoaC::parS_{pMT1} focus was much increased, to 65% and 40% respectively (Table 1, S2 Table). Time lapse experiments showed that in the absence of HipA, focus-less cells grew and divided for several generations, which increased the proportion of these cells in the population, and presumably allowed the degradation of more and more chromosomal DNA with generations (Fig 3F). This result showed that in recB single mutants the growth arrest of focus-less cells results from the degradation of the hipAB locus after chromosome breakage, which causes the accumulation of active toxin HipA. This phenomenon was previously described after breakage of chromosome dimers at the septum in a dif mutant [46]. It confirms genetically that chromosomes lacking the ydeV::parS_{pMT1} site originally conserve all genes required for growth and cell division, and that chromosome degradation is a slow process (essential genes are absent from the terminus region, [47, 50]).

The region of terminus DNA loss is enlarged in cells deficient for the ftsK translocase activity

If DNA loss results from DSBs occurring at the peak of loss followed by nearly symmetrical DNA degradation by single-stranded nucleases, why are these DSBs introduced in the dif region even in the absence of this site? The dif site is the last chromosome locus segregated in daughter cells because it is the site of convergence of the KOPS sequences, which are used by the FtsK protein to segregate replicated chromosomes to daughter cells ([14, 27], Fig 1A). KOPS sites are present all around the chromosome but FtsK is mainly active in a 400 kb region approximating the one of decreased reads in the recB mutant [15]. We tested a putative role of FtsK in the localisation of terminus breaks with the use of a ftsK_{ATPase} mutant, in which ftsK carries a nucleotide substitution that specifically inactivates the ATPase activity and thus prevents DNA translocation without affecting DNA binding. We first analyzed ftsK_{ATPase} RecB^{+} cells by microscopy. Quantification of focus loss showed that the proportion of focus-less cells was increased compared to wild-type cells, particularly for the ydeV::parS_{pMT1} site located next to the dif locus (from 0.6% to about 20%, Table 1, S2 Table). This DNA loss presumably resulted mainly from a lack of dimer resolution in the absence of FtsK translocation activity. Inactivation of recB in the ftsK_{ATPase} mutant led to a large increase in focus-less cells (nearly 55% of cells contain no ydeV-parS_{pMT1} focus and 14% contain no yoaC-parS_{pMT1} focus). Similar results were observed when FtsK translocation was inactivated by the deletion the entire
proteins C-terminal domain (Table 1, S2 Table). Time lapse microscopy showed two kinds of events leading to focus loss in the ftsK recB mutant context. In 15.5% of the divisions, one ydeV-parSpMT1 focus was lost at the septum, at the division time, in one daughter cell only, with a transmission of this defect to the progeny (Table 1, S5 Video). This result shows that the events occurring in the recB single mutant also occur in ftsK ATPase recB cells, with a similar frequency (Table 1). In addition, the two daughter cells lost the ydeV-parSpMT1 foci during 12% of the divisions, presumably owing to dimer breakage (S5 Video), and other types of focus loss could be observed, which presumably result from the segregation defect and account for the high percentage of focus-less cells in the ftsK ΔCTer recB mutant population (S6 and S7 Videos).

In contrast with the dif and xerC mutants, DNA loss around dif was not detected by MFA in the ftsK ATPase single mutant, and rather a weak DNA amplification was visible in the terminus region (S3 Fig). Since microscopy results show a loss of the dif region in 20% of ftsK ATPase and ftsK ΔCTer mutants, this amplification reflects the existence of a mixed population of cells, some that lose the dif/TerC region as observed by microscopy, and some that amplify it and mask the loss in the MFA experiments. DNA degradation around the dif locus was observed by MFA in the ftsK ATPase recB mutant (Fig 3C, S3 Fig), but a larger DNA region was degraded than in the recB single mutant (compare Fig 3C and Fig 2A). Furthermore, the MFA did not show the deep loss expected from the microscopy results. This loss could be masked if DNA amplification occurs in a subset of cells, as detected by MFA in single ftsK ATPase mutant. We conclude that the DNA translocation activity of FtsK plays an important role in the sharp targeting of DNA loss to the dif region in the recB mutant, leading to a wider distribution of DNA loss in the absence of the FtsK C-terminal domain or ATPase activity. Nevertheless, DNA loss and therefore DNA breaks still occur specifically in the dif/TerC chromosome region when DNA translocation by FtsK is inactivated.

Absence of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) in the dif/TerC region from RecBCD+ cells

To know whether in wild-type cells RecBCD acts in the dif/TerC region, we investigated RecA binding by ChIP followed by qPCR of sequences upstream and downstream of the first Chi site on each side of the dif/TerC region (Fig 4, RecBCD loaded at a DSB in the dif/TerC region unwinds DNA toward the origin, until it encounters properly oriented Chi sites at which it loads RecA). As previously reported [51] we detected a weak increase of RecA ChIP downstream of Chi when cells were grown in LB, but we did not detect any increase in cells grown in M9 glucose (Fig 4). Similar results were obtained in cells that over-express RecA owing to a mutation in the recA gene SOS operator (Fig 4). We conclude that in minimal medium, DNA breakage in the dif/TerC region does not occur in RecB+ cells, and thus only occurs in recB mutants.

DNA loss in the dif region is independent of Topo IV action next to dif and of the endonuclease Endo 1

To address the question of the origin of chromosome breaks in the terminus region of a recB mutant two enzymes that cleave DNA were tested, Topoisomerase IV (Topo IV) and endonuclease I (Endo 1). Topo IV, encoded by the parC and parE genes, catalyzes the decatenation of daughter chromosomes after replication. Topo IV interacts with both XerC and FtsK, its decatenation activity is stimulated by its interaction with FtsK in vitro and a hotspot of activity was detected in vivo close to dif, which is dependent on its interaction with XerCD [52–55]. We hypothesized that if catenated chromosomes persist in the path of septum closure, an erroneous action of Topo IV during decatenation could be responsible for chromosome breakage.
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Because the inactivation of Topo IV by a ts mutation prevents cell division, and cell division is required for the DSBs studied here (see below), the effects of a parE10ts mutation were tested by MFA at 37 °C, where Topo IV is impaired but cell division is not affected enough to prevent cell growth [56]. As shown in S4 Fig, the parE10ts mutation did not affect DNA loss in the dif region at this semi-permissive temperature. Furthermore, interaction of Topo IV with XerCD is required to target its action close to dif [55], therefore the lack of effect of xerC or dif inactivation described above argues against a direct action of Topo IV to break DNA next to dif.

This was confirmed by using the observation that the Topo IV hotspot next to dif is abolished by over-production of the C-terminal region of ParC from a plasmid, (parC-CTD plasmid, [55]): the presence of this plasmid did not affect focus loss (Table 1, S4 Fig). Therefore, the division-dependent DSBs studied here do not result from an erroneous action of Topo IV at dif.

The most abundant endonuclease in E. coli is the periplasmic enzyme Endo 1. We hypothesized that a leak of Endo 1 from the periplasm to the cytoplasm during division might cause cleavage of one chromosome in the terminus region. However, the inactivation of the endA gene encoding Endo 1 did not affect the proportion of focus-less cells in a recB context (Table 1, S2 Table). The enzyme(s) that introduces the DSBs responsible for DNA loss remain unidentified.

DNA loss in the dif region is dependent on cell division

Because time lapse experiments showed that the ydeV::parSpmT1 focus was often lost at the septum and always concomitantly with cell division, we tested whether septum formation plays a role in the loss of the dif region. We used three different conditions that affect cell division: ftsAts and ftsIts thermosensitive mutants, which block an early and a late step of divisome assembly at 42 °C, respectively, and cephalaxin, a drug that prevents the action of FtsI (reviewed in [24, 25]). Because blocking division produced cells that were highly elongated and difficult to analyse by microscopy, the loss of terminus DNA was examined by MFA. The ftsAts recB mutant was compared at 30 °C and after 2 hours of incubation at 42 °C, to block division (Fig 5A, S5 Fig). Loss of DNA centred on dif was observed at 30 °C where FtsA is active, but was much weaker at 42 °C. A similar result was obtained with the ftsIts recB mutant (Fig 5B, S5 Fig). Comparison of a cephalaxin-treated with an untreated recB mutant showed that cephalaxin also prevented DNA loss at dif (compare S5 with S1 Fig). Therefore, results in all three cases indicated that loss of DNA in the dif region of a recB mutant is decreased when septum assembly is prevented. Control experiments showed that DNA loss was similar in a recB single mutant at 37 °C and at 42 °C (S5 Fig). Ratios of recB over fts recB mutants grown at 42 °C revealed two phenomena (Fig 5C and 5D): (i) they confirmed that the loss of reads centred on
Fig 5. Loss of DNA in the terminus region of recB mutant requires cell division. Sequence read frequencies of exponential phase cells normalized to the total amount of reads were calculated for each strain. A and B: Ratios of normalized reads in fts recB mutants at 30˚C over 42˚C are plotted against chromosomal division-induced DNA breaks in the chromosome terminus region of Escherichia coli recBC mutants.
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The drop of reads ratio in the dif/TerC area reflects the reads deficit at 30°C (mainly active cell division) versus 42°C (mainly inactive cell division). C and D: Ratios of normalized reads in recB over fts recB mutants at 42°C are plotted against chromosomal coordinates. The drop of reads ratio in the dif/TerC area reflects the reads deficit in the recB mutant at 42°C (active cell division) versus the fts recB mutants at 42°C (mainly inactive cell division). Note an increased ratio of reads around TerAD, which corresponds to a deficit of Ter sequences in fts recB mutants (shown in panels E to H). Presumably owing to a partial cell division impediment in the fts recB mutants at 30°C, the expected increased ratio is only slightly visible in panel B and not in panel A. E to H: Ratios of normalized reads in recB mutants over isogenic RecB+ are plotted against chromosomal coordinates. The weak remaining reads deficit in the dif/TerC region is tus independent and could result from incomplete division blockage by ftsAts and ftsIIts mutations. The reads deficit around TerAD in both fts contexts and the reads deficit around TerB/C in the ftsIIts context disappear in the tus mutant. Profile ratios of the terminus region are enlarged and profiles of the corresponding entire chromosomes are shown in insets. Original normalized profiles used to calculate ratios are shown in S5 and S6 Figs. The positions of dif (red arrow), and of Ter sites that arrest clockwise forks (TerC, TerB, green arrow) and counter-clockwise forks (TerA, TerD, blue arrow) are shown.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006895.g005

dif/TerC is specific to dividing cells, (ii) they revealed 5–10% more reads in the TerA/TerD region in dividing versus non-dividing cells. The latter observation suggests that in a recB context blocking cell division causes a slight loss of TerA/TerD sequences. When results for the fts recB cells grown at 30°C and 42°C were compared this increase was not observed (ftsAts) or weak at TerD (ftsIIts), presumably because cell division is partially affected in these mutants at the permissive temperature (compare Fig 5A and 5B with 5C and 5D).

Comparisons of RecB+ and recB mutants in ftsIIts (or ftsAts) contexts at 42°C, revealed two regions of DNA loss caused by recB inactivation, weaker than in dividing cells and centred on TerA and on the dif/TerC region (Fig 5E and 5F). The effects of recB inactivation in ftsIIts tus and ftsAts tus mutants were analyzed (Fig 5G and 5H). The small peak in the TerA region disappeared in the tus context, showing that it results from replication arrest at TerA (compare Fig 5G and 5H with Fig 5E and 5F, S6 Fig). Accordingly, in the ftsIIts context the weak recB-dependent DNA loss in the TerB/TerC region was displaced to the dif region when tus was inactivated. The peak of DNA loss at dif in fts tus recB mutants is weaker than in dividing cells (compare Fig 5G and 5H with Fig 2B and 2D), accounting for the difference between dividing and non-dividing cells shown above (Fig 5A, 5B, 5C and 5D). We conclude from these experiments that (i) DNA loss around dif in the recB mutant is decreased by inactivating cell division (Fig 5A–5D), and (ii) weaker peaks of DNA loss that require the Tus protein can be observed at TerA in non-dividing recB mutants (Fig 5E–5H).

DNA loss occurs at a new GC skew convergence zone created by a terminus deletion

To further test whether the site of convergence of GC skew determines the localisation of DNA loss, we constructed mutants in which a new GC skew convergence zone was created in the terminus region. First, we used a strain where the entire terminus region from 1 379 810 to 1 379 885 was inactivated by plasmid substitution.

### Table 2. The sequence close to the new chromosome arms junction is lost in the ΔLC3-R111 mutant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pspE::parSP&lt;sub&gt;MM1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>% cells with 0 focus</th>
<th>initial events</th>
<th>transmitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>recB</td>
<td>15.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLC3-R111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLC3-R111 recB</td>
<td>49.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>19% (295)</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLC3-R111 tus</td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLC3-R111 recB tus</td>
<td>60.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006895.1002
DNA region degraded in the zone at the junction, next to which we inserted a ftsK and We then created a new GC skew convergence zone by inverting a region of the terminus. In the DNA loss remains at 102 kb replication fork trap between TerA and TerB and creates a new GC skew converging zone at the junction, next to which we inserted a parS site (pspE::parSpMT1). Because the ΔLC3-R111 mutant lacks the dif site, it showed 18% of focus-less cells. In the ΔLC3-R111 recB mutants 49% of cells were devoid of a pspE::parSpMT1 focus (Table 2). Time lapse analyses showed that the loss of a pspE::parSpMT1 focus in the ΔLC3-R111 recB resulted mostly from loss of one focus in one daughter cell at the time of division as in the original recB mutant (Fig 6A); we counted 19% initial events and 77% of them were transmitted to progeny (Table 2). Focus loss also occurred at a lower frequency in both daughter cells at the time of division, presumably resulting from dimer breakage (Fig 6A). The ΔLC3-R111 deletion removes dif and hipA, but shortens the region devoid of essential genes that can be degraded without preventing cell propagation. Accordingly, we observed one or two divisions of focus-less cells owing to the absence of hipAB.

For unknown reasons the MFA analysis of the ΔLC3-R111 chromosome (S7 Fig), showed a breakpoint in the read copy number around TerA, which was not detected in the recB mutant (Fig 7A). The ratio of reads in recB mutant over RecB+ cells is affected by this breakpoint, and in Fig 7A we present directly the MFA result of the ΔLC3-R111 recB mutant. The peak of DNA loss measured by MFA was located at the new junction of the chromosome arms, about 65 kb from TerB (Fig 7A). Similarly to the lack of effect of tus inactivation on DNA loss in the recB mutant (Table 1), inactivating tus in the ΔLC3-R111 recB mutant did not prevent DNA loss at the GC skew convergence point (Table 2). For unknown reasons, inactivation of the DNA translocation activity of FtsK in the ΔLC3-R111 mutant led to an amplification centred on the midpoint between TerA/D and TerF and the breakpoint between TerA and TerB was not detectable (ΔLC3-R111 ftsKΔCTer S7 Fig). However, DNA loss occurred in ΔLC3-R111 ftsKΔCTer recB as in ΔLC3-R111 recB, and the inactivation of FtsK translocase slightly widened the maximum point of DNA loss, to the entire 105 kb region between TerB and TerA (Fig 7B). These results show that the position of division-induced DSBs is determined by the point of GC skew convergence, in a way that is independent of the sequence of this junction, and is more precisely targeted to the KOPS convergence point in the presence of the FtsK translocation activity.

DNA loss remains at dif when an adjacent region is inverted

We then created a new GC skew convergence zone by inverting a region of the terminus. In the InvT3 mutant, a ~175 kb sequence is inverted on the right chromosome arm, which does not contain any Ter site and starts about 34 kb from dif (Fig 1B). In this strain the main GC skew convergence zone is moved 209 kb to the left of dif, and the dif position becomes a minor convergence zone with on its left only 34 kb of DNA in the original orientation. InvT3 and InvT3 recB strains were compared by MFA (Fig 7C, S8 Fig). Inactivation of recB in InvT3 created a new degraded region corresponding to the entire inverted sequence, but no peak of DNA loss at the new convergence zone. Importantly, the main DNA degradation peak in the dif region was still present (Fig 7C, S8 Fig). Microscopy analysis confirmed a specific loss of the dif region by showing that the proportion of ydevC::parSpMT1 focus-less cells was similar in InvT3 recB and recB mutants (38% and 32% respectively, Table 1, S2 Table; the additional focus-less cells observed in InvT3 RecB+ could result from a perturbation of segregation because of KOPS inversion, causing irreparable damage). The inactivation of the ATPase function of ftsK enlarged the degraded region but the maximum of DNA loss was still in the dif region (Fig 7D). Comparison of FtsK+ and ftsK mutant MFA in the recB context suggests that FtsK-mediated translocation slightly
protects the inverted region from degradation. It is also interesting to note that in the absence of the FtsK translocation activity, loss of the reads in the 1380–1554 kb region in the recB mutant was higher when this sequence was inverted than when it is in the original orientation (compare

Fig 6. Micrographs showing examples of focus loss during growth of ΔLC3-R111 recB and InvT2 recB mutants. Time lapse experiments were carried out as in Fig 2. The double white arrows indicate the presence of two foci before division, the yellow stars show cells that have no focus following division. A. In the ΔLC3-R111 recB mutant, two examples of hereditary focus loss in one daughter cell are shown (single yellow star). In addition, focus-less cells divide owing to the deletion of the hipAB genes (yellow arrowhead), but for fewer generations than in the dif hipA mutant because the large deletion brings the first essential genes closer to the site of breakage. B. In the InvT2 recB mutant an example of hereditary focus loss in one daughter cell is shown (single yellow star).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006895.g006
Fig 7D with Fig 3C). This observation suggests the existence of a system other than FtsK able to detect the sequence orientation. Nevertheless, these results also indicate that a 175 kb GC skew convergence zone is not sufficient to create a division-induced DSB.

In the InvT2 mutant a 150 kb region encompassing the TerA and TerD sites and located 209 kb from dif is inverted (Fig 1B). Clockwise replication forks are expected to be arrested in the TerA-TerD region in this mutant, and the replication fork trap is moved between the inverted TerA and TerE. Accordingly, the MFA profile of the InvT2 mutant shows that replication forks meet in the TerA-TerD region, ~250–300 kb away from dif (S9 Fig). As for the LC3-R111 mutant, direct results of the InvT2 MFA are shown in Fig 7E because the breakpoint of...
read copy number around TerA affects the ratio of RecB+ versus recB mutant reads (Fig 7, S9 Fig). Two peaks of DNA degradation were clearly detected by MFA in the InvT2 recB mutant: the one centred at dif observed in all recB mutants and a new one coincident with the inverted TerA site (Fig 7E, S9 Fig). The inactivation of tus suppressed the TerA-associated degradation but not DNA loss at dif, and allowed the detection of some DNA degradation associated with the inversion region, as in InvT3 (Fig 7F, S9 Fig). The observation that the InvT2 inversion did not affect inheritable division-dependent focus loss was confirmed by microscopy, as the proportion of ydeV:parS_pMT1 focus-less cells increased in InvT2 from 8% to 42% upon recB inactivation (Table 1, Fig 6B). The observation of Tus-dependent DNA loss at TerA confirms that DNA breakage occurs at an artificially introduced Ter site that creates a new replication fork trap, as observed with TerB+ (Fig 2C and 2D). In addition, these results confirm that division-induced DSBs in the dif region are not affected by the creation of a new GC skew convergence zone, as observed with InvT3. Furthermore, in InvT2 as in InvT3 the new DNA convergence zone does not show a peak of DNA loss, in contrast with ΔLC3-R111, but the number of reads in the whole inverted region is lower than when this sequence is not inverted (compare Fig 7C with Fig 2A and Fig 7F with Fig 2B).

Discussion

In the present study, we reveal that the DNA region of the E. coli chromosome terminus, previously shown to be under-represented in the recB mutant [34, 35], is lost following division-dependent chromosome breakage (Fig 5). We have demonstrated that DNA loss in the dif region of recB mutants occurs with the following characteristics: (i) after duplication of the region, (ii) at the time and often at the site of cell division, (iii) in one of the two daughter chromosomes, and (iv) is transmitted to progeny (Figs 2, 3 and 6 and S1–S6 Videos). This DNA loss is independent of the position of replication termination, as we observed that DNA loss at dif/TerC is unaffected in a tus mutant, or when replication forks are prevented from reaching this region by pspE::TerB+ or by the inversion of TerA-TerD in the InvT2 mutant (Figs 2, 6 and 7, Table 1). It occurs in the absence of chromosome dimer resolution (not affected in dif and xerC mutants, Fig 3, Table 1). In the absence of FtsK-driven DNA translocation, terminus DNA loss is less precisely targeted to the KOPS convergence sequence (Fig 3 and Fig 7), but follows the same pattern as in FtsK+ cells (Table 1, S5 Video). DNA loss can occur at least at two different GC skew convergence zones regardless of their sequence (recB and ΔLC3-R111 recB, Figs 6 and 7, Tables 1 and 2), but DNA loss at the natural GC skew convergence point is not affected by a nearby 150–175 kb inversion (InvT2 and InvT3 inversions, Figs 6 and 7, Table 1). The only mutations that strongly decrease terminus DNA loss in a recB context are those that block cell division (ftsAts and ftsIts mutants at 42˚C, cephalxin treatment at 37˚C; Fig 5). A schematic representation of terminus DNA loss according to our results is shown in Fig 8.

Chromosome breakage occurs at the time of cell division and requires division

Septum-induced breakage was previously reported in xer and dif mutants, in which chromosome dimers are not resolved to monomers and remain in the path of the closing septum [46]; as expected we observed dimer breakage in our experiments, which occurs specifically in mutants affected for dimer resolution (xer, dif, ftsK) and is characterized by a loss of ydeV-parS_pMT1 foci in both daughter cells along with a significant delay in cell division. Dimer breakage during septum formation was called guillotining, a term that does not describe precisely the molecular events leading to DNA DSBs. If we assume that chromosome dimer
breakage results from physical tension associated with the pulling of two linked chromosomes during segregation, then the breakage of one chromosome observed in recB cells implies that this chromosome is broken as a consequence of being attached in the terminus region while the origin is gradually pulled towards the daughter cell. This attachment could be a covalent link with the other daughter chromosome after replication completion, or a strong binding to a septum protein. It is unlikely that this link is topological, since DNA loss is unaffected in conditions that perturb Topo IV action. It is noticeable that breakage occurs without any delay in cell division, in contrast with dimer breakage. Alternatively, breakage could be enzymatic, but the nature of the nuclease remains mysterious.

Importantly, we did not detect any focus loss in the recB mutant at any other time point in the cell cycle than cell division, and our measures of replication speed based on the MFA results show that the recB mutation does not affect replication progression (the ratio of recB versus wild-type reads is constant all along the chromosome except at the terminus and equal to 1 in Fig 2A). This is in agreement with the recently published results, where authors using flow-cytometry analysis concluded that absence of RecB does not affect chromosome replication speed [57]. Hence, we propose that the main source of chromosome breakage in the recB mutant grown in M9 is not replication fork impediments but rather division-induced breaks in the terminus region of the chromosome.

**Broken chromosomes are degraded**

Following chromosome breakage, degradation of the DNA double-stranded ends by exonucleases is responsible for DNA loss. This step was postulated but never demonstrated [34, 35].
and so far the formal possibility of under-replication of the dif region being responsible for the low number of reads observed in MFA experiments could not be excluded. In time lapse experiments, we most often see two ydeV-parS_{pMT1} foci before one of them disappears, which ascertains for the first time the presumed assumption that DNA loss does not result from a lack of replication but from DNA degradation of a replicated chromosome. Furthermore, the dif hipA and the ΔLC3-R111 mutants behaved as expected i.e., in the absence of HipA-induced cell death, the broken chromosomes are slowly degraded and cells with a broken chromosome propagate until degradation by exonucleases reaches essential genes.

DNA loss occurs specifically in the GC skew convergence region

DNA loss occurs at the site of GC skew convergence, and is observed at the new GC skew convergence zone in the strain that carries a large terminus deletion, confirming that the phenomenon is not DNA sequence specific. In addition, DNA loss is not affected by replication orientation, which progresses across dif in the clockwise direction in the majority of wild-type cells [7], but not when replication is arrested prior to dif by an ectopic TerB site (pspE::TerB) or by inverting TerA and TerD (InvT2). We have shown that division-induced chromosome breakage is independent of any specific DNA sequence. These observations support a model in which the chromosome terminus region is somehow specifically and precisely positioned in the path of the division machinery. This positioning is more centred on the KOPS convergence zone when FtsK translocation is active, but remains centred on dif in FtsK mutants, and therefore relies on a so far unknown process. Division-induced chromosome breakage occurs in a sub-population of dividing recB cells when the positioning is inappropriately controlled. In addition to causing breakage of one chromosome, the improper processing of the terminus leaves a mark on the intact chromosome, which is responsible for the transmission of the defect to the next generation.

Ter-induced DNA DSBs

In addition to the septum-induced DNA DSBs described above, we observed a Tus-dependent loss of reads, suggesting DNA breakage in specific recB mutant conditions: (i) at ectopic or inverted Ter sites (pspE::TerB Fig 2C and 2D, TerA in InvT2 Fig 7E and 7F) and (ii) in the TerA-TerD region of cell division mutants (ftsAts and ftsIts at 42˚C, cephalexin treated cells, Fig 5). The loss of reads around Ter sites is symmetrical, indicating that DNA breakage does not occur after blockage of the first fork that reaches Ter (only the replicated side of Ter would then be degraded). Previous studies showed that forks blocked at ectopic Ter sites are stable, and that DNA double-strand ends are formed at such Ter sites upon arrival of a second round of replication behind the first blocked one, by rear-ending, but in these previous mutant strains fork-merging was prevented [58, 59]. The Tus-Ter specific DNA breaks observed in the present work could therefore result from abnormal replication forks merging at Ter sites. However, although our MFA experiments are only semi-quantitative, Tus-dependent DNA loss at Ter sites seems to occur in a lower proportion of cells than division-induced breaks, with a weaker peak of DNA loss than the peak of division-induced DNA loss. Furthermore, the absence of DNA loss at TerA in wild-type cells shows that Tus-Ter induced breaks do not occur at a natural Ter site in cells that divide normally. The observation that blocking cell division triggers Tus-dependent DNA loss at TerA suggests an unknown link between replication fork merging and cell division, a link which would also be perturbed in dividing cells by arresting forks at an ectopic Ter site. Our observation suggests that replication termination at TerC, or forks merging at other sequences than Ter sites, is the most favourable condition during normal cell division and any change in this arrangement leads to loss of DNA at the new active
Ter site. Further work will be needed to understand how Ter/Tus dependent DNA DSBs are made, and whether a common mechanism is involved upon division blockage at the natural TerA site and in dividing cells at ectopic Ter sites.

In conclusion, we have shown that DNA degradation in the GC skew convergence region occurs in a subpopulation of recB growing cells. The reaction is transmitted to progeny and is strongly decreased when cell division is prevented. It is targeted to the KOPS merging zone by the translocase activity of FtsK, and occurs in a broader chromosome terminus region in mutants that lack this activity. Since our time-lapse experiments did not show any growth defect or loss of focus in the recB mutant at any other time than cell division, we propose that division-induced DNA breakage could be responsible for the decreased viability of recB cells under normal laboratory growth conditions. These findings open new fields of investigation in search for the molecular mechanism responsible for this reaction.

Materials and methods

Strains and plasmids

All E. coli strains are derivatives of MG1655. Strains and plasmids are described in S1 Table. MM is M9 [60] supplemented with 0.4% glucose. Standard transformation and P1 transduction procedures were as described [60]. pspE::TerB-Cm^R, endA::Kan^R, araC::parB_pMT1-Cm^R, araC::parB_pMT1-Apra^R, ydeV::parS_pMT1-Apra^R mutations were constructed by gene replacement (recombineering) as described in [61], using DY330 [62]. All other strains were constructed by P1 transduction. All mutations introduced by P1 transduction were checked by PCR and all new mutations constructed by recombineering were checked by PCR and sequencing. recB mutations were tested by measuring UV sensitivity. Deletion ΔR111-LC3 and inversions InvT2 and InvT3 were made as described [63].

The araC open reading frame was replaced by the yGFP-parB_pMT1-Cm^R sequence, to express yGFP-ParB protein under the control of the constitutively expressed araC promoter. For this construction, the Cm gene was amplified from pKD3 plasmid using primers harboring an HindIII site (S3 Table). Amplified fragments were digested with HindIII and cloned into the HindIII site of pFHC2973 to make pFHC2973-yGFP-parB_pMT1-Cm^R plasmid (Nielsen et al., 2006). Clones were confirmed by PCR and sequencing using flanking primers. The yGFP-parB_pMT1-Cm fragment was then amplified from pFHC2973-yGFP-parB_pMT1-Cm^R plasmid using primers 582 and 583 (S3 Table) and inserted downstream of the araC promoter by the gene replacement method (recombineering) as described in [61], using DY330 [62].

Marker frequency analysis

Cells were grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.4% glucose to exponential phase (0.2 OD 650 nm). Chromosomal DNA was extracted using the Sigma GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit. 5 μg of DNA were used to generate a genomic library according to Illumina’s protocol. The libraries and the sequencing were performed by the High-throughput Sequencing facility of the I2BC (http://www.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/spip.php?article399&lang=en, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Genomic DNA libraries were made with the ‘Nextera DNA library preparation kit’ (Illumina) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Library quality was assessed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100, using an Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent technologies). Libraries were pooled in equimolar proportions. 75 bp single reads were generated on an Illumina MiSeq instrument, using a MiSeq Reagent kit V2 (500 cycles) (Illumina), with an expected depth of 217X. An in-lab written MATLAB-based script was used to perform marker frequency analysis. Reads were aligned on the Escherichia coli K12 MG1655 genome using BWA software. Data were normalized by dividing uniquely mapping sequence
reads by the total number of reads. Enrichment of uniquely mapping sequence reads in 1 kb non-overlapping windows were calculated and plotted against the chromosomal coordinates.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Cells were grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.4% glucose to exponential phase (0.2 OD 650 nm) and spread on a 1% (wt/vol) agarose pad for analysis. For snap-shot analyses, cell images were acquired using a DM6000-B (Leica) microscope with MetaMorph software (Version 7.8.8.0, Molecular Devices) and analyzed using ImageJ. Images were taken from 5–10 different fields in each experiment. Two to three independent experiments were carried out to calculate mean and standard deviation for distributions of foci for each strain. For time-lapse analyses, 0.4% glucose agarose pads were used, the slides were incubated at 30°C and images were acquired every 10 minute by an Evolve 512 electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera (Roper Scientific) attached to an Axio Observe spinning disk (Zeiss). Image acquisition was done using MetaMorph software (Version 7.8.11.0, Molecular Devices). At each time point, we took a stack of 32 bright-field images covering positions 1.6 μm below and above the focal plane. Image acquisition was performed on five selected different fields corresponding to different cell populations in each experiment. Final images were reconstructed from image stacks using an in-lab written MATLAB-based script. Image analysis was done manually using ImageJ software. For each mutant strain analyzed, two independent time-lapse experiments were realized, each providing five images with 5–10 bacteria per image at the start. The number of divisions that provided two foci-containing cells and the number of first divisions that provided one focus-containing and one focus-free cells were manually counted. Only cells that started with a normal division were taken into account (few cells produced a focus containing-cell and a focus-less cell from the start and were not counted as initial events, as they did not show any normal division preceding the initial event). The percentage of initial events (between parentheses in Table 1) corresponds to the ratio of cell divisions where a focus is lost in one daughter cell for the first time to the total number of cell divisions. For example, in the scheme shown in Fig 2E, we counted 2 initial events (#2 and 7) out of 9 total cell divisions, and 100% heredity.

**ChIP sample preparation**

Cells were grown in either M9 minimal medium supplemented with 0.4% glucose, 5 μM CaCl$_2$ and 1 mM MgSO$_4$ or LB medium supplemented with 0.5% glucose at 37°C as described in Cockram et al, 2015 [51].

**Library preparation for high-throughput sequencing and ChIP-seq data analysis.**

Input and ChIP samples were processed as described in [51]. Adaptor-ligated DNA was size-selected with an average size of ~350 bp Samples that were grown in LB medium were sequenced using 50 bp single-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform and samples that were grown in M9 medium were sequenced using 75 bp paired-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. ChIP-seq data analysis was performed as described in Cockram et al, 2015.

**ChIP-qPCR.** All real-time quantitative PCR reactions were performed as described in [51]. All qPCR primers are listed in S3 Table.

**Supporting information**

S1 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown except for the top middle panel where ratio of recC and wild-type data is shown. (TIF)
S2 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown except for the bottom panel where ratio of FtsATPase and wild-type data is shown. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown except for the top left panel which shows ratio of parEts recB and wild-type, and top right panel which shows the ratio of recB containing the indicated plasmids. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown except for the lowest right panel where ratio of cephalexin-treated recB and wild-type cells is shown. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. (TIF)

S7 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown. Lower left panel shows the magnified terminus region of ΔLC3-R111 mutant. In ΔLC3-R111 the two replication forks are expected to merge at equal distance from the origin in both directions, between TerA and TerB. However, the MFA shows an excess of reads in the region on the left of TerA on the figure compared to the region on the right, with a breakpoint around TerA, which suggests some DNA amplification left of TerA in a RecB+ context. There is no evidence for this amplification phenomenon in a recB mutant context (Fig 7A) and for this reason we present the results obtained in the ΔLC3-R111 recB mutant and not the ratio of ΔLC3-R111 recB to ΔLC3-R111 RecB+ in Fig 7A. Note that the breakpoint in the number of sequence reads around TerA is not detected in the FtsKCTer context, where instead an unexplained amplification is apparent between TerB and TerF. Further work will be needed to fully understand these phenomenon. (TIF)

S8 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. (TIF)

S9 Fig. Marker frequency analysis of exponential phase cells. Original normalized profiles are shown. Lower left panel shows the magnified terminus region of InvT2. (TIF)

S1 Table. Strain list. (PDF)

S2 Table. Percentage of cells with zero, one or two foci in mutant strains. (PDF)

S3 Table. Oligonucleotides used in this study. (PDF)

S1 Video. Time lapse microscopy of recB cells. Cells were mounted on M9 glucose agarose pad and incubated at 30˚C on stage of the microscope. Images were captured every 10 min. dif/TerC region of chromosome is visualized as green fluorescence focus by binding of
GFP-ParBpMT1 protein to ydeV::parSpMT1. All frames are labelled. The double white arrows indicate visualization of two foci before division, the yellow stars show cells that have lost focus following division. Note that the focus-less cells do not divide further while the sister cell that has kept the ydeV::parSpMT1 locus grows, divides and produces cells without focus for subsequent generations.

(AVI)

S2 Video. Time lapse microscopy of recB cells.

(AVI)

S3 Video. Time lapse microscopy of tus recB cells.

(AVI)

S4 Video. Time lapse microscopy of dif cells. In contrast to recB cells, where only one daughter cell loses focus, in some dif cells both daughter cells lose focus due to breakage of unresolved chromosome dimers during cell division (Frame 26). Importantly, there was a considerable delay in cell division observed before the loss of focus in dif cells (Frame 17–26).

(AVI)

S5 Video. Time lapse microscopy of ftsKΔC Ter recB cells. In addition to the recB phenotype (Frame 16, 26 etc.), where only one daughter cell loses focus, in ftsKΔC Ter recB cells, occasionally, both daughter cells lose focus due to breakage of DNA in unresolved chromosome dimers during cell division (Frame 31).

(AVI)

S6 Video. Time lapse microscopy of ftsKΔC Ter recB cells. In this example we show that in addition to recB phenotype (Frame 5, 15 and 24), where one daughter cell loses focus at the time of cell division, in ftsKΔC Ter recB cells, foci could also sometimes disappear randomly during the cell cycle (Frame 32). This unusual loss is indicated by a yellow cross.

(AVI)

S7 Video. Time lapse microscopy of ftsKΔC Ter recB cells. In this example we show that some ftsKΔC Ter recB cells lose focus and die due to other problems, which may arise because of the inhibition of FtsK translocation and need of RecB for repair.

(AVI)
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