



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Impact of deforestation and climate on the Amazon Basin's above-ground biomass during 1993-2012

**Citation for published version:**

Exbrayat, J-F, Liu, Y & Williams, M 2017, 'Impact of deforestation and climate on the Amazon Basin's above-ground biomass during 1993-2012', *Scientific Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15788-6>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1038/s41598-017-15788-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15788-6)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Scientific Reports

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1    **Impact of deforestation and climate on the Amazon Basin's above-ground biomass during 1993-**  
2    **2012**

3

4    Jean-François Exbrayat<sup>1\*</sup>, Yi Y. Liu<sup>2,3</sup> and Mathew Williams<sup>1</sup>

5    <sup>1</sup> School of GeoSciences and National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Edinburgh,  
6    Edinburgh UK

7    <sup>2</sup> School of Geography and Remote Sensing, Nanjing University of Information Science and  
8    Technology, Nanjing, China

9    <sup>3</sup> ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science and Climate Change Research Centre,  
10    University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

11

12    \*correspondence to: [j.exbrayat@ed.ac.uk](mailto:j.exbrayat@ed.ac.uk)

13

14    Last edited: 26 October 2017

15

16

17   **Abstract**

18

19   **Since the 1960s, large-scale deforestation in the Amazon Basin has contributed to rising global**  
20   **CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and to climate change. Recent advances in satellite observations enable**  
21   **estimates of gross losses of above-ground biomass (AGB) stocks due to deforestation. However,**  
22   **because of simultaneous regrowth, the net contribution of deforestation emissions to rising**  
23   **atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is poorly quantified. Climate change may also reduce the**  
24   **potential for forest regeneration in previously disturbed regions. Here, we address these points**  
25   **of uncertainty with a machine-learning approach that combines satellite observations of AGB**  
26   **with climate data across the Amazon Basin to reconstruct annual maps of potential AGB during**  
27   **1993-2012, the above-ground C storage potential of the undisturbed landscape. We derive a 2.2**  
28   **Pg C loss of AGB over the study period, and, for the regions where these losses occur, we**  
29   **estimate a 0.7 Pg C reduction in potential AGB. Thus, climate change has led to a decline of ~1/3**  
30   **in the capacity of these disturbed forests to recover and recapture the C lost in disturbances**  
31   **during 1993-2012. Our approach further shows that annual variations in land use change mask**  
32   **the natural relationship between the El Niño/Southern Oscillation and AGB stocks in disturbed**  
33   **regions.**

34

35

36 The terrestrial carbon sink helps offset about 25% of anthropogenic emissions of fossil-fuel  
37 responsible for climate change<sup>1,2</sup>. While tropical forests are a major contributor to this sink, recent  
38 large-scale deforestation has weakened the capacity of the Amazonian forest to remain a long-term  
39 carbon store. The extent of land cover change in the Amazon Basin can now be quantified with some  
40 degrees of confidence using satellite-based observations<sup>3</sup>. Merging these observations with maps<sup>4,5</sup> of  
41 Aboveground Biomass Carbon (AGB) provides a baseline estimation of gross losses from  
42 deforestation<sup>6</sup>. However, corresponding emissions may be partially compensated by regrowth in  
43 previously cleared areas<sup>1</sup> while climate change, and extremes in particular, may alter the capacity of  
44 Amazonian forests to sequester C<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, estimates of the long-term net impact of large-scale  
45 deforestation and degradation on the land carbon sink, and its potential for recovery, are challenging  
46 to establish.

47 A way to address these problems is to study the deviation of current AGB stocks from potential  
48 stocks, to determine and separate the human-induced and climate-induced biomass deficits. These  
49 potential stocks are those that would exist under current climate if previous large-scale deforestation  
50 and degradation had not occurred (potential AGB further noted as  $AGB_{pot}$ <sup>8</sup>; see Methods).  $AGB_{pot}$  can  
51 also be considered as a measure of local suitability for long-term carbon storage to inform  
52 reforestation and afforestation mitigation strategies. While it is not a directly measurable quantity,  
53  $AGB_{pot}$  is comparable to carbon stocks predicted by terrestrial ecosystem models that omit land use  
54 and land cover change activities<sup>8</sup> (such as those participating in the Intersectoral Impact Model  
55 Intercomparison Project, ISI-MIP<sup>9-11</sup>).

56 In a previous study<sup>8</sup>, maps of  $AGB_{pot}$  have been reconstructed over the Amazon Basin based on the  
57 relationship between climate<sup>12</sup> and maps of observed AGB in the tropics<sup>4,5</sup> ( $AGB_{obs}$ ) inside Intact  
58 Forest Landscapes<sup>13</sup> (IFL). This study estimated a current human-driven AGB deficit ( $AGB_{def} =$   
59  $AGB_{pot} - AGB_{obs}$ ) ranging from 7.3 to 8 Pg C, or 11.6-12.2% of the basin-wide  $AGB_{pot}$ . However, this  
60 previous approach relied on  $AGB_{obs}$  derived from data amalgamated over several years, which  
61 prevented any analysis of the evolution of  $AGB_{def}$ . Indeed,  $AGB_{def}$  continuously evolves through time  
62 as it is the difference between  $AGB_{pot}$ , which is only driven by climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>

63 concentrations, and  $AGB_{obs}$  which is driven by land use activities as well as climate and atmospheric  
64  $CO_2$  concentrations. For example, anthropogenic activities such as deforestation (regrowth) may lead  
65 to a decrease (increase) in  $AGB_{obs}$  stocks, resulting in positive (negative) trend in  $AGB_{def}$ . Meanwhile,  
66 the  $CO_2$ -fertilization effect may lead to a greater potential for forest regeneration (i.e. greater  $AGB_{pot}$ )  
67 as recent findings indicates it is the main driver of a global greening of the land surface<sup>14</sup>. However,  
68 locally changing climate conditions may lead to a reduction of the resilience of tropical forests and a  
69 transition toward less densely vegetated savannah landscapes<sup>15</sup>. There is a projected risk of Amazon  
70 die-back<sup>7</sup> due to climate change, albeit with large uncertainty on its occurrence and severity<sup>16</sup>. It  
71 would reduce the potential for biomass recovery associated with reforestation by the end of the 21<sup>st</sup>  
72 century. Therefore, it is important to estimate the resilience of  $AGB_{pot}$  to climate change to design  
73 efficient climate mitigation strategies based on reforestation.

74 In this study, we build on a previous approach<sup>8</sup> (see Methods) to address the evolution of  $AGB_{pot}$ , and  
75 hence  $AGB_{def}$ , using a new dataset<sup>17</sup> that provides annual estimates of  $AGB_{obs}$  from 1993 to 2012 at a  
76 0.25° spatial resolution. By doing so, we aim to answer the following questions:

- 77 - How did  $AGB_{def}$  evolve in disturbed regions of the Amazon Basin over these two decades?
- 78 - Can we apportion this evolution to climate conditions affecting  $AGB_{pot}$  versus human  
79 activities reducing  $AGB_{obs}$ ?
- 80 - Would reforestation-based mitigation strategies be resilient to climate change in previously  
81 cleared regions of the Amazon Basin?

82

## 83 **Results**

84 We estimate a change in  $AGB_{obs}$  from 26.3 Pg C (with a 4.1 Pg C confidence range) in 1993 to 24.1  
85 Pg C (with a 3.9 Pg C confidence range) in 2012, or a 2.2 Pg C (with a 0.2 Pg C confidence range)  
86 loss in regions of the Amazon basin which are not IFL. Using the machine-learning approach we  
87 derive a reduction of  $AGB_{pot}$  from 32.1 Pg C (with a 4.0 Pg C confidence range) in 1993 to 31.4 (with  
88 a 3.9 Pg C confidence range) in 2012 in the same regions. Comparing the evolution of  $AGB_{obs}$  and

89 AGB<sub>pot</sub> results in a human-driven increase in AGB<sub>def</sub> from 18.0% (AGB<sub>def</sub>/AGB<sub>pot</sub>) in 1993 (with a  
90 2.3% confidence range) to 23.3% in 2012 (with a 2.7 % confidence range). Overall, ~1.5 Pg C of the  
91 ~7.3 Pg C mean AGB<sub>def</sub> in 2012 was generated by combined anthropogenic activities and climate  
92 patterns since 1993 (Table 1). The evolution of AGB<sub>def</sub> is strongly linear during 1993-2005 ( $r = 0.99$ ;  
93  $p < 0.001$ ) before plateauing from 2005 onwards with no significant trend (Figure 1). The  
94 stabilisation of AGB<sub>def</sub> after 2005 is associated to a reduction of AGB<sub>obs</sub> stocks from 0.17 Pg C y<sup>-1</sup>  
95 (with a 6% relative uncertainty) to 0.04 Pg C y<sup>-1</sup> (with a 14% relative uncertainty) before and after  
96 2005 respectively (Figure 2). It corresponds to a reduction in deforestation rates over the Brazilian  
97 Amazon seen in data from INPE (Figure S1 in the Supplementary Information;  $r = 0.97$ ;  $p < 0.001$ )  
98 while the smooth decreases of AGB<sub>pot</sub> throughout the study period indicates a long-term negative  
99 impact of climate on the regeneration potential of disturbed regions (Figure 2).

100 The increase in AGB<sub>def</sub> is heterogeneously distributed across disturbed areas of the basin (Figure 3).  
101 While the spatial distributions of AGB<sub>def</sub> are significantly correlated ( $r = 0.89$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) in 1993  
102 (Figure 3a) and 2012 (Figure 3b), AGB<sub>def</sub> increased by more than 50 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> in some parts of the  
103 Brazilian arc of deforestation (between 10°S and 15°S; Figure 3c) and in central Bolivia (south of  
104 15°S; Figure 3c). We note a reduction in AGB<sub>def</sub>, i.e. a recovery of AGB<sub>obs</sub> stocks toward AGB<sub>pot</sub>, in  
105 the south-eastern edge of the basin, and to a lesser extent in northern Brazil. This recovery indicates  
106 that non-primary vegetation, mostly rangeland in these regions, may have built up biomass stocks  
107 from 1993 to 2012. Over the period 1993-2012, local increases in AGB<sub>def</sub> can be explained by the  
108 erosion of primary land (Figure 4). Conversely, local recovery of stocks associated to decreases in  
109 AGB<sub>def</sub> corresponds to regions where the fraction of primary land was already low in 1993. This  
110 pattern indicates a recovery of AGB stocks in other land cover types, principally rangelands (Figure  
111 S2). Despite this apparent recovery of AGB stocks, the deficits in these regions were still >50 Mg C  
112 ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2012.

113 Our estimates indicate a significant negative correlation between inter-annual variations of the El  
114 Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), represented by a winter composite of the Multivariate ENSO  
115 Index (MEI<sub>w</sub>, see methods) and detrended  $\Delta$ AGB<sub>pot</sub> integrated over previously disturbed regions

(Figure S3 in the Supplementary Information;  $r = -0.57$ ;  $p \approx 0.01$ ). This relationship indicates that negative (La Niña) phases of ENSO would drive positive anomalies in  $\Delta\text{AGB}_{\text{pot}}$ , i.e. a stronger sink, while positive (El Niño) phases of ENSO are associated with negative anomalies in  $\Delta\text{AGB}_{\text{pot}}$ , a weaker sink. However, past and current human activities mean that this significant relationship between ENSO and the sink strength disappears when comparing with de-trended  $\Delta\text{AGB}_{\text{obs}}$  ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $p > 0.10$ ). We conclude that, through clearing and subsequent regrowth, human activities have become the main driver of inter-annual variability of the land-based sink, dominating natural climate drivers, in disturbed regions of the Amazon.

## Discussion

The annual biomass maps have allowed resolution of AGB changes across the Amazon Basin, indicating areas of heavy losses, but also some areas of AGB gain (Figure 2). By mapping the potential biomass, we show the evolution of the basin's capacity to store C, a baseline without human impacts. Because  $\text{AGB}_{\text{pot}}$  is determined from annual  $\text{AGB}_{\text{obs}}$  data in IFL, the annual variation in  $\text{AGB}_{\text{pot}}$  indicates the effect of climate on the storage capacity of the intact forest. We show that this potential has declined over 1993-2012 (Figure 2) similarly to AGB stocks in IFL (Figure S4 in the Supplementary Information), due to climate and in spite of rising atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations (Table 1). Indeed, the evolution of AGB stocks in IFL is significantly correlated with the vegetation water stress estimated by GLEAM<sup>18</sup> ( $r = 0.64$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ). The post-2005 decrease in AGB stocks in IFL follows a transition to stronger stress conditions around 2002 that prevail until the end of the study period in 2012. This transition toward more water-stressed conditions corresponds to the onset of the 2002-2003 El Niño episode<sup>19</sup> followed by the 2005 and the 2010 Amazonian droughts<sup>20,21</sup>. Overall, these results indicate that drying conditions have degraded the capacity of the disturbed regions to regain their lost biomass which is line with the projected risk of climate driven Amazon biomass loss<sup>7</sup>. This climate-driven reduction in the capacity for regeneration also corroborates with risks for tropical forests to be replaced by savannahs if drier conditions dominates<sup>15</sup>.

Our results are first-order estimates and we are aware that hard-to-quantify and potentially large uncertainties may arise from ground-level measurements<sup>22</sup>, the way they are used in combination with remote-sensing data to derive large-scale biomass maps<sup>23</sup>, and the identification of forest cover<sup>24</sup> and intact forest landscapes<sup>13</sup>. Therefore, we have validated the robustness of our machine-learning approach in several ways. First, it simulates annual  $AGB_{obs}$  with  $<0.1\%$  bias integrated over out-of-sample IFL regions (Figure S5a in the Supplementary Information). We note a tendency to overestimate AGB in less densely vegetated regions (Figure S5b and c in the Supplementary Information) but the local mean relative bias is  $<1.2\%$ . Second, pixel to country-scale estimates of the evolution of  $AGB_{def}$  through time are in agreement with independent datasets of deforestation (Figure S1) and land cover change rates (Figure 3). Finally, the  $\sim 7.3$  Pg C  $AGB_{def}$  estimated after 2005 is similar to the one reported previously<sup>8</sup>. Our highest confidence results indicate a  $\sim 0.08$  Pg C  $y^{-1}$  increase in  $AGB_{def}$  for the period 1993-2012. This net number is about half of recent estimates of gross C emissions from the Amazonian deforestation<sup>25</sup>. It is in agreement with the  $\sim 50\%$  compensation of gross C emissions from tropical deforestation by regrowth<sup>1</sup>. Assuming that large-scale deforestation started in 1960 (ref. 26), the initial  $AGB_{def}$  of  $\sim 5.8$  Pg C in 1993 corresponds to a higher  $0.18$  Pg C  $y^{-1}$  net biomass loss prior to this date. The decrease in  $AGB_{def}$  growth rate between 1993 and 2012, and especially after 2005 (Figure 1), matches reports of a slowing down of Brazilian deforestation during 2005-2012 (refs. 26-28) but is also a result of a decrease in  $AGB_{pot}$  in disturbed regions of the Amazon Basin.

Furthermore, field studies<sup>20,21</sup> and airborne measurements<sup>29</sup> have shown that climate variability, and especially El Niño-induced droughts, have a large impact on the carbon balance of undisturbed areas of the Amazon Basin. These previous results are in agreement with the negative correlation between  $MEI_w$  and  $\Delta AGB_{pot}$  (Figure S3 in the Supplementary Information). Overall, human-induced clearing and recovery processes mask the natural response of ecosystems to climate in disturbed parts of the Amazon Basin. While this impact is intuitive, we are able to demonstrate it quantitatively with the  $AGB_{pot}$  reconstructions. Finally, this result raises concerns on the viability of climate change mitigation strategies, as climate change is likely to challenge the resilience of forested landscapes.



**170 Conclusion**

171 We have recreated annual maps of potential AGB for the Amazon Basin, which allows the net  
172 impacts of global change on basin biomass to be determined. Compared to maps of historical biomass,  
173 these indicate an increase of ~1.5 Pg C in the biomass deficit ( $AGB_{def}$ ) for 1993-2012. This basin-  
174 wide number is a net estimate of climate-induced variation of  $AGB_{pot}$  and deforestation-induced  
175 erosion of AGB stocks, which are partly compensated by regrowth in some areas post-deforestation.  
176 Overall, our results indicate that land use change continues to erode the carbon storage of the Amazon  
177 basin while climate change is impairing its capacity to sequester carbon through natural processes of  
178 regrowth, raising concerns on the long-term resilience of land-based mitigation strategies.

## Methods

### Annual maps of AGB

We use annual Above Ground Biomass maps<sup>17</sup> ( $AGB_{obs}$ ) for the period 1993 through 2012 based on the passive microwave observed vegetation optical depth (VOD, dimensionless) from a series of satellites. VOD is an indicator of the total water content in the aboveground vegetation, i.e. including both canopy and woody components<sup>30-32</sup>. This VOD dataset can qualitatively capture the long-term and inter-annual variations in vegetation water content over different land cover types<sup>33-37</sup>. Annual  $AGB_{obs}$  maps were created by establishing a relationship between VOD and a pan-tropical map<sup>4</sup> of  $AGB_{obs}$  circa 2000. These annually resolved maps are comparable with previous independent estimates of AGB dynamics<sup>1,5,6</sup>. For more details about the methodology used to create  $AGB_{obs}$  maps, please refer to Liu et al. (2015, ref. 17).

### Creating potential AGB maps

To derive the evolution of the AGB deficit ( $AGB_{def}$ ) we first created annually resolved maps of potential Above Ground Biomass ( $AGB_{pot}$ ) in previously disturbed regions.  $AGB_{pot}$  corresponds to AGB stocks there would exist under current climate if deforestation had not occurred in these regions. It can also be conceptualized as the current forest regeneration potential if regrowth was instantaneous. The method to create  $AGB_{pot}$  maps was described in Exbrayat and Williams (2015; ref. 8) and is only briefly summarized hereafter.

First, we used a Random Forest machine-learning algorithm<sup>38,39</sup> to reproduce  $AGB_{obs}$  as a function of climatology in identified Intact Forest Landscapes (IFL) which cover about 55% of the Amazon Basin. The Random Forest technique relies on multiple decision trees (here  $n = 1,000$ ) to group data points as a function of driving data. Then, in each final node a multiple linear regression is trained to predict the target variable (here  $AGB_{obs}$ ) as a function of explanatory data. Each individual decision tree is trained on a randomly selected subset of the data and the final prediction is the average of all trees. Here, we use the CRU CL2.0 climatology dataset<sup>12</sup>, re-gridded to a matching  $0.25^\circ$  resolution

with the Climate Data Operators version 1.6.9, and latitude, a proxy of intra-annual photoperiod amplitude, as explanatory variables to predict AGB in IFL. The assumption is made that regions identified as ‘intact’ may be subject to small-scale indigenous management<sup>40</sup> or disturbances<sup>41</sup> that are negligible at the coarser 0.25° resolution used here<sup>8</sup>. Compared to our previous study we used an updated IFL dataset<sup>13</sup> that represents the extent of intact regions for the year 2013. It ensures that training regions have remained intact throughout the whole period covered by the AGB<sub>obs</sub> dataset (i.e. 1993 – 2012). In addition to these continuous drivers, we used a categorical variable to separate pixels corresponding to large-scale open water regions in the Global Lakes and Wetlands Database<sup>42</sup>. As VOD values are strongly influenced by the open water dynamics, the pixels with large-scale open water are identified and the VOD values over these pixels are assumed constant among different years<sup>17</sup>.

Once trained the algorithm can then be used to estimate annual, climate-driven, AGB<sub>pot</sub> in previously disturbed regions (i.e. outside IFL) regions. Although it has been identified as the major driver of the recent greening of the land surface<sup>14</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> is not explicitly used in our approach because of the lack of availability of spatially-explicit data of atmospheric concentrations. However, we assume that the impact of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> on AGB stocks is intrinsically included in time series of AGB in IFL which also include the impact of changing climatic conditions. Using annual maps of AGB<sub>pot</sub> we can calculate an AGB deficit ( $AGB_{def} = AGB_{pot} - AGB_{obs}$ ) and derive time series of its evolution from 1993 to 2012. As the temporal evolution of AGB<sub>pot</sub> is only driven by climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, we assume that AGB<sub>def</sub> is representative of the net and cumulative impact of anthropogenic activities on biomass dynamics on AGB stocks. We perform the analyses using the mean AGB<sub>obs</sub> from Liu et al. (ref. 17) to derive AGB<sub>pot</sub> and AGB<sub>def</sub>. Furthermore, we evaluate the uncertainty in our approach by performing the analysis with the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of AGB<sub>obs</sub> data<sup>17</sup> to report the corresponding confidence ranges in AGB<sub>pot</sub> and AGB<sub>def</sub>. As a proof of concept, we first validate the method using ~50% of randomly selected pixels in IFL as training dataset and the remaining IFL pixels as target dataset to assess the robustness of the approach to recreate 20 years of AGB<sub>pot</sub>. Corresponding results are presented in Figure S5 of the supplement. We note a good

agreement between reconstructions and data in IFL although there is a tendency for the machine-learning to overestimate AGB in less densely vegetated regions.

## **Validation of results**

Our estimates of  $AGB_{pot}$  cannot be directly validated against field data. However, we expect the temporal evolution of  $AGB_{def}$  to be related to contemporary deforestation rates and land cover changes. Therefore, we compare time series of  $AGB_{pot}$  from pixel to country-scale with independent datasets of Land Use and Land Cover Change (LULCC). First, we compare annual deforestation rates reported by INPE for the Brazilian part of the Amazon Basin with the corresponding trend in  $AGB_{def}$  over the whole period 1993-2012. Second, we use spatially-explicit data from the Land-Use Harmonization project version 2 (LUH2v2h; data updated from ref. 43). LUH2v2h is a global driving dataset that provides annual land cover information for the period 850-2015 C.E. in the Land Use Model Intercomparison Project<sup>44</sup> (LUMIP) contribution to the upcoming sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project<sup>45</sup> (CMIP6). In LUH2v2h land covers are distributed between 12 classes (2 primary land classes, 2 secondary land classes, 5 cropland classes, 2 pasture and rangeland classes and 1 urban class) and the fraction they cover in each  $0.25^\circ$  pixel is reported annually.

## **Climate sensitivity**

We compare the evolution of  $AGB_{obs}$  in IFL with time series of the vegetation stress factor  $S$  from the GLEAM dataset v 3.1a (ref. 18). GLEAM is a data-assimilation system that uses satellite observations to constrain daily estimates of global terrestrial evaporation and root-zone soil moisture<sup>46</sup>. The factor  $S$  is an output of GLEAM and represents the ratio of actual evapotranspiration to potential evapotranspiration, an indicator of ecosystem's water stress. It is as a function of vegetation state and soil moisture availability and therefore takes long-term effects of precipitation conditions into account. We use the mean annual value of  $S$  across the IFL regions of the Amazon Basin, expressed as a z-score, to explain the evolution of  $AGB_{obs}$  (Figure S4).

We seek to further understand the impact of large-scale human disturbances by quantifying their impact on the response of ecosystems to climate variability. We focus on the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), a main driver of global climate variability<sup>47</sup>. The state of ENSO, quantified through the calculations of an index, significantly correlates with the strength of the global land carbon sink<sup>48</sup>. Indeed, positive (negative) El Niño (La Niña) phases drive warmer and drier (cooler and wetter) conditions over large parts of the pan-tropical region, including the Amazon Basin, which explains spatial patterns of ecosystem carbon uptake<sup>48</sup>. Following previous studies<sup>48,49</sup> we use a winter composite of the Multivariate ENSO Index<sup>50,51</sup> calculated between Dec/Jan and Mar/Apr (referred as MEI<sub>w</sub>). To quantify the impact of human disturbances on the response of the Amazon terrestrial carbon sink to ENSO, we study the correlation between MEI<sub>w</sub> and detrended anomalies of annual  $\Delta\text{AGB}_{\text{obs}}$  and  $\Delta\text{AGB}_{\text{pot}}$  stocks integrated over disturbed (i.e. non-IFL) regions of the Amazon Basin. We choose to rely on a global index rather than actual data of temperature and precipitation for the Amazon Basin because past deforestation may have altered these quantities in regions where land-atmosphere coupling is strong<sup>52,53</sup>.

## **Data availability**

The data generated during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## **Acknowledgements**

JFE and MW are supported by the Natural Environment Research Council through the National Centre for Earth Observation. YYL is a recipient of Thousand Talents Plan for Young Outstanding Scientists in China. The authors are grateful to the community for the availability of data and software which made this study possible:

- Climate Data Operators are available from <http://www.mpimet.mpg.de/cdo>
- IFL geographical data was downloaded from <http://www.intactforests.org>

- 284 - INPE annual estimates of Brazilian deforestation are available online at  
285 [http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/prodes\\_1988\\_2012.htm](http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/prodes_1988_2012.htm)  
286 - LUH2 v2h data is available from <http://luh.umd.edu>  
287 - Monthly MEI time series were downloaded from <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/mei/>  
288 - GLEAM version 3.1a is available from <http://www.gleam.eu>

289

290

291 **Author contributions**

292 All authors designed the study, YYL provided annual AGB maps, JFE performed the analyses and  
293 wrote the paper with contribution from both co-authors.

294

295 **Additional information**

296 The author(s) declare no competing financial interests.

297

298 **References**

299

- 300 1. Pan, Y. *et al.* A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* **333**, 988-993  
301 (2011).
- 302 2. Le Quéré, C. *et al.* Global Carbon Budget 2015. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **7**, 349-396 (2015).
- 303 3. Hansen, M. C. *et al.* High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science* **342**,  
304 850–853 (2013).
- 305 4. Saatchi, S. S. *et al.* Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three  
306 continents. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 9899–9904 (2011).
- 307 5. Baccini, A. *et al.* Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by  
308 carbon-density maps. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2**, 182–185 (2012).
- 309 6. Harris, N. L. *et al.* Baseline map of carbon emissions from deforestation in tropical regions. *Science*  
310 **336**, 1573–1576 (2012).
- 311 7. Cox, P. M. *et al.* Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon dioxide  
312 variability. *Nature* **494**, 341-344 (2013).
- 313 8. Exbrayat, J.-F. & Williams, M. Quantifying the net contribution of the historical Amazonian  
314 deforestation to climate change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 2968–2976 (2015).
- 315 9. Friend, A. D. *et al.* Carbon residence time dominates uncertainty in terrestrial vegetation responses  
316 to future climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 3280–3285 (2014).
- 317 10. Nishina, K. *et al.* Quantifying uncertainties in soil carbon responses to changes in global mean  
318 temperature and precipitation. *Earth Syst. Dyn.* **5**, 197–209 (2014).
- 319 11. Warszawski, L. *et al.* The Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISI-MIP): project  
320 framework. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 3228–32 (2014).

- 321 12. New, M., Lister D., Hulme M. & Makin, I. A high-resolution data set of surface climate over  
322 global land areas. *Clim. Res.* **21**, 1–25 (2002).
- 323 13. Potapov, P. *et al.* Mapping the world's intact forest landscapes by remote sensing. *Ecol. Soc.* **13**,  
324 doi:Artn 51, (2008).
- 325 14. Zhu, Z. *et al.* Greening of the Earth and its drivers. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 791–795 (2016).
- 326 15. M. Hirota, Holmgren, M., Van Nes, E. H. & Scheffer M. Global resilience of tropical forest and  
327 savanna to critical transitions. *Science* **334**, 232–235 (2011).
- 328 16. Rammig, A. *et al.* Estimating the risk of Amazonian forest dieback. *New Phytol.* **187**, 694–706  
329 (2010).
- 330 17. Liu, Y. Y. *et al.* Recent reversal in loss of global terrestrial biomass. *Nat. Clim. Change* **5**, 470–  
331 474 (2015).
- 332 18. Martens *et al.* GLEAM v3: satellite-based land evaporation and root-zone soil moisture. *Geosci.*  
333 *Model Dev.* **10**, 1903–1925 (2017).
- 334 19. Zeng *et al.* Causes and impacts of the 2005 Amazon drought. *Env. Res. Lett.* **3**, 014002 (2008).
- 335 20. Phillips, O. L. *et al.* Drought sensitivity of the Amazon rainforest. *Science* **323**, 1344–1347 (2009).
- 336 21. Lewis, S. L. *et al.* The 2010 Amazon drought. *Science* **331**, 554 (2011).
- 337 22. Chave, J. *et al.* Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees.  
338 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **20**, 3177–3190 (2014).
- 339 23. Réjou-Méchain, M. *et al.* Local spatial structure of forest biomass and its consequences for remote  
340 sensing of carbon stocks. *Biogeosciences* **11**, 5711– 5742 (2014).
- 341 24. Sexton, J. O. *et al.* Conservation policy and the measurement of forests. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **6**, 192–  
342 196 (2015).



- 343 25. Loarie, S. R., Asner, G. P. & Field, C. B. Boosted carbon emissions from Amazon deforestation.  
344 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36**, L14810 (2009).
- 345 26. Ramankutty, N. *et al.* Challenges to estimating carbon emissions from tropical deforestation.  
346 *Global Change Biol.* **13**, 51–66 (2007).
- 347 27. Nepstad, D. *et al.* Inhibition of Amazon deforestation and fire by parks and indigenous lands.  
348 *Conservat. Biol.* **20**, 65–73 (2006).
- 349 28. Malhi, Y. *et al.* Climate change, deforestation, and the fate of the Amazon. *Science* **319**, 169–72  
350 (2008).
- 351 29. Gatti, L. V. *et al.* Drought sensitivity of Amazonian carbon balance revealed by atmospheric  
352 measurements. *Nature* **506**, 76–80 (2014).
- 353 30. Jackson, T. J. & Schmugge, T. J. Vegetation effects on the microwave emission of soils. *Remote*  
354 *Sens. Environ.* **36**, 203–212 (1991).
- 355 31. Kerr, Y. H. & Njoku, E. G. A semiempirical model for interpreting microwave emission from  
356 semiarid land surfaces as seen from space. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing* **28**, 384–393  
357 (1990).
- 358 32. Guglielmetti, M. *et al.* Measured microwave radiative transfer properties of a deciduous forest  
359 canopy. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **109**, 523–532 (2007).
- 360 33. Liu, Y. Y., de Jeu, R. A. M., McCabe, M. F., Evans, J. P. & van Dijk, A. I. J. M. Global long-  
361 term passive microwave satellite-based retrievals of vegetation optical depth. *Geophys. Res.*  
362 *Lett.* **38**, L18402 (2011).
- 363 34. Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., McCabe, M. F., Evans, J. P. & de Jeu, R. A. M. Global  
364 vegetation biomass change (1988–2008) and attribution to environmental and human drivers.  
365 *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **22**, 692–705 (2013).

- 366 35. Andela, N., Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., de Jeu R. A. M. & McVicar T. R. Global changes in  
367 dryland vegetation dynamics (1988-2008) assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a  
368 new passive microwave vegetation density record with reflective greenness data.  
369 *Biogeosciences* **10**, 6657-6676 (2013).
- 370 36. Tian, F. *et al.* Remote sensing of vegetation dynamics in drylands: Evaluating vegetation optical  
371 depth (VOD) using AVHRR NDVI and in situ green biomass data over West African Sahel.  
372 *Remote Sens. Environ.* **177**, 265-276 (2016).
- 373 37. Tian, F., Brandt, M., Liu, Y. Y., Rasmussen, K., & Fensholt, R. Mapping gains and losses in  
374 woody vegetation across global tropical drylands. *Glob. Change Biol.* **23**, 1748-1760 (2017).
- 375 38. Breiman, L. Random forests. *Mach. Learn.* **45**, 5–32 (2001).
- 376 39. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* **12**, 2825–  
377 2830 (2011).
- 378 40. Ramankutty, N. & Foley, J. A. Estimating historical changes in global land cover: Croplands from  
379 1700 to 1992. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **13**, 997–1027 (1999).
- 380 41. Espírito-Santo, F. D. B. B. *et al.* Size and frequency of natural forest disturbances and the  
381 Amazon forest carbon balance. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3434; 10.1038/ncomms4434 (2014).
- 382 42. Lehner, B. & Doll, P. Development and Validation of a Global Database of Lakes, Reservoirs and  
383 Wetlands. *J. Hydrol.* **296**, 1–22 (2004).
- 384 43. Hurtt, G. C. *et al.* Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of  
385 global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands.  
386 *Climatic Change* **109**, 117–161 (2011).
- 387 44. Lawrence, D. M. *et al.* The Land Use Model Intercomparison Project (LUMIP) contribution to  
388 CMIP6: rationale and experimental design. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **9**, 2973-2998 (2016).

- 389 45. Eyring, V. *et al.* Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6)  
390 experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **9**, 1937-1958 (2016).
- 391 46. Miralles, D.G. *et al.* Global land-surface evaporation estimated from satellite-based observations,  
392 *Hydrol. Earth Syst.Sci.* **15**, 453–469 (2011).
- 393 47. Trenberth, K. The Definition of El Niño. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **78**, 2771–2777 (1997).
- 394 48. Bastos, A., Running, S. W., Gouveia, C. & Trigo, R. M. The global NPP dependence on ENSO:  
395 La Niña and the extraordinary year of 2011. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **118**, 1247-1255  
396 (2013).
- 397 49. Vicente-Serrano, S. M. *et al.* A multiscalar global evaluation of the impact of ENSO on droughts.  
398 *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* **116**, D20109 (2011).
- 399 50. Wolter, K. & Timlin, M. S. Measuring the strength of ENSO events - how does 1997/98 rank?  
400 *Weather* **53**, 315-324 (1998).
- 401 51. Wolter, K. & Timlin, M. S. El Niño/Southern Oscillation behaviour since 1871 as diagnosed in an  
402 extended multivariate ENSO index (MEI.ext). *Intl. J. Climatology* **31**, 1074-1087 (2011).
- 403 52. Koster, R. D. *et al.* Regions of strong coupling between soil moisture and precipitation. *Science*  
404 **305**, 1138–1140 (2004).
- 405 53. Lorenz, R. & Pitman, A. J. Effect of land-atmosphere coupling strength on impacts from  
406 Amazonian deforestation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 5987–5995 (2014).
- 407

408

409 **Tables**


410

411 **Table 1. Total AGB<sub>obs</sub> in the disturbed regions of the Amazon Basin from Liu et al. (2015) and**  
 412 **AGB<sub>pot</sub> from this study in 1993 and 2012. Reported values are mean, with 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup>**  
 413 **percentiles between brackets. All values are in Pg C, rounded to the first decimal.**


1993			2012		
AGB <sub>obs</sub>	AGB <sub>pot</sub>	AGB <sub>def</sub> /AGB <sub>pot</sub>	AGB <sub>obs</sub>	AGB <sub>pot</sub>	AGB <sub>def</sub> /AGB <sub>pot</sub>
26.3	32.1	18.0%	24.1	31.4	23.3%
(24.0 / 28.1)	(29.8 / 33.8)	(17.0% / 19.3%)	(22.0 / 25.9)	(29.2 / 33.1)	(22.0% / 24.7%)

414


415



**Figure 1. Time series of AGB<sub>def</sub> in disturbed areas of the Amazon Basin expressed as a fraction of AGB<sub>pot</sub>. The green area represents the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile while the thick black line represents the mean. The shaded time period 1993-2005 highlights when the basin-wide increase in AGB<sub>def</sub> exhibits a linear trend ( $r = 0.99$ ;  $p \ll 0.001$ ) before this trend disappears after 2005.**




**Figure 2. Change in total AGB<sub>obs</sub> and AGB<sub>pot</sub> in previously disturbed regions since 1993. Differences between AGB<sub>pot</sub> and AGB<sub>obs</sub>, represented as a grey shading, correspond to the evolution of AGB<sub>def</sub> for 1993-2012. For clarity only the mean estimates are represented.**



**Figure 3. Aboveground Biomass Carbon deficit ( $AGB_{def}$ ) in (a) 1993, (b) 2012 and (c) the change in  $AGB_{def}$  over these two decades (c). Untouched IFL areas are represented in grey. In sub-panel c, positive (red) values indicate an erosion of AGB stocks while negative (green) values indicate a partial recovery. Maps were created using the cartopy module version 0.12.0 (<http://scitools.org.uk/cartopy/>) for python 2.7 (<http://www.python.org/>).**

442

443



444 **Figure 4. (a) Fraction of primary land outside IFL regions in 1993. Grey areas represent IFL regions. (b) Change in fraction of primary land**  
445 **between 1993 and 2012. Blue represents the decline in primary land during 1993-2012. (c) Temporal correlation between fraction of primary land**  
446 **and  $\text{AGB}_{\text{def}}$  from 1993 through 2012 over each  $0.25^\circ$  grid cell. Hatched areas represent statistically significant correlation ( $p < 0.05$ ). A negative**  
447 **correlation indicates an increase in  $\text{AGB}_{\text{def}}$  (i.e. an erosion of AGB stocks) when the fraction of primary land decreases through time. Maps were**  
448 **created using the cartopy module version 0.12.0 (<http://scitools.org.uk/cartopy/>) for python 2.7 (<http://www.python.org/>).**

449

450