



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR

Citation for published version:

Larcombe, L, Hendricusdottir, R, Attwood, TK, Bacall, F, Beard, N, Bellis, L, Dunn, W, Hancock, J, Nenadic, A, Orengo, C, Overduin, B, Sansone, SA, Thurston, M, Viant, M, Winder, C, Goble, C, Ponting, C & Rustici, G 2017, 'ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR', *F1000Research*. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.12688/f1000research.11837.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

F1000Research

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy


The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





OPINION ARTICLE

ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR [version 1; referees: 4 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

L. Larcombe¹, R. Hendricusdottir¹, T.K. Attwood², F. Bacall², N. Beard², L.J. Bellis³, W.B. Dunn⁴, J.M. Hancock ⁵, A. Nenadic⁶, C. Orengo⁷, B. Overduin⁸, S-A Sansone⁹, M. Thurston⁹, M.R. Viant⁴, C.L. Winder⁴, C.A. Goble², C.P. Ponting¹, G. Rustici³

¹MRC Human Genetics Unit, The Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK

²School of Computer Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

³Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EH, UK

⁴Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

⁵Earlham Institute, Norwich, NR4 7UZ, UK

⁶The Software Sustainability Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

⁷University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

⁸Edinburgh Genomics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FL, UK

⁹Oxford e-Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3QG, UK

v1 First published: 21 Jun 2017, 6:952 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11837.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1))

Latest published: 21 Jun 2017, 6:952 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11837.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1))

Abstract

ELIXIR-UK is the UK node of ELIXIR, the European infrastructure for life science data. Since its foundation in 2014, ELIXIR-UK has played a leading role in training both within the UK and in the ELIXIR Training Platform, which coordinates and delivers training across all ELIXIR members. ELIXIR-UK contributes to the Training Platform's coordination and supports the development of training to address key skill gaps amongst UK scientists. As part of this work it acts as a conduit for nationally-important bioinformatics training resources to promote their activities to the ELIXIR community. ELIXIR-UK also leads ELIXIR's flagship Training Portal, TeSS, which collects information about a diverse range of training and makes it easily accessible to the community. ELIXIR-UK also works with others to provide key digital skills training, partnering with the Software Sustainability Institute to provide Software Carpentry training to the ELIXIR community and to establish the Data Carpentry initiative, and taking a lead role amongst national stakeholders to deliver the StaTS project – a coordinated effort to drive engagement with training in statistics.







This article is included in the **ELIXIR** gateway.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status: 

	Invited Referees			
	1	2	3	4
version 1				
published	report	report	report	report
21 Jun 2017				
5				
				
	report			

- 1 **Michelle D Brazas** , OICR, Canada
- 2 **Patricia M. Palagi** , Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), Switzerland
- 3 **Celia van Gelder** , Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences (DTL), Netherlands

4 **Nicola J. Mulder** , University of Cape Town, South Africa

5 **Manuel Corpas** , Repositiv, UK

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: G. Rustici (gr231@cam.ac.uk)

Author roles: **Larcombe L:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Hendricusdottir R:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Attwood TK:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Bacall F:** Software; **Beard N:** Software, Writing – Review & Editing; **Bellis LJ:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Dunn WB:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Hancock JM:** Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Nenadic A:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Orengo C:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Overduin B:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Sansone SA:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Thurston M:** Software; **Viant MR:** Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Winder CL:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Goble CA:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ponting CP:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing; **Rustici G:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Larcombe L, Hendricusdottir R, Attwood TK *et al.* **ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR [version 1; referees: 4 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** *F1000Research* 2017, **6**:952 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11837.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1))

Copyright: © 2017 Larcombe L *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: ELIXIR-UK is funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, the Medical Research Council and the Natural Environment Research Council (grant numbers BB/L005069/1 and BB/P017193/1). ELIXIR-EXCELERATE project is funded by the European Commission within the Research Infrastructures programme of Horizon 2020 (grant number 676559).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

First published: 21 Jun 2017, **6**:952 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11837.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1))

Introduction

ELIXIR-UK is at the forefront of bioinformatics training provision, across the UK and in the wider ELIXIR training programme. At the national level, ELIXIR-UK has surveyed bioinformatics training needs, has identified training gaps and developed strategies to address them. This resulted in the establishment of successful national training initiatives (see “ELIXIR UK training in the UK” section), focusing on up-skilling the UK research community, and developing a coherent bioinformatics training programme across the UK, coordinating the activities of a growing network of bioinformatics training centres. Three of these centres have also been recognised as ELIXIR-UK resources, through an open and transparent mechanism for identifying resources suitable for contribution to ELIXIR¹: these are the Bioinformatics Training programme of the [University of Cambridge](#); the [Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre](#); and the [Edinburgh Genomics training programme](#). Together, these centres offer in excess of 150 high-quality practical bioinformatics training courses each year, focusing on a wide range of topics, from basic bioinformatics skills to advanced data analysis; over 2,500 people (largely UK-based scientists) are trained every year by these three centres combined. Alongside ELIXIR’s flagship Training Portal, [TeSS](#), which is also developed and run by ELIXIR-UK, these three training centres are a major contribution from the Node to the ELIXIR-wide training programme, and are committed to adhere to the ELIXIR’s standards for quality, discoverability and interoperability.

Additionally, ELIXIR-UK has played an ELIXIR-wide strategic role in training by co-leading and coordinating, together with ELIXIR-NL and ELIXIR-CH, the ELIXIR Training Platform [Prof Ponting (Lead) and Dr Hendricusdottir (Coordinator), from 2014 to 2017; and currently Dr Bellis (Coordinator)], which aims to build a sustainable training infrastructure across Europe, and deliver training based on the evolving needs and requirements of the scientific community (See “ELIXIR-UK’s role in the ELIXIR Training Platform” section). ELIXIR-UK has also been involved in establishing and chairing the ELIXIR Training Coordinators Group (TrCG, Dr Hendricusdottir). The TrCG comprises training representatives from each of the ELIXIR Nodes, and plays an important role in coordinating ELIXIR-wide training efforts, leading the implementation of ELIXIR’s training strategy across Europe. This report provides an overview of ELIXIR-UK’s role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR.

ELIXIR-UK training in the UK

Please note that the activities listed in this section have been mapped to the original timetable of activities from the BB/L005069/1 grant ([Supplementary File 1](#)).

At the start of the ELIXIR-UK activities, a scoping exercise was undertaken (June to October 2014, Dr Larcombe), which involved working with UK sector leads to consider the training needs of their communities, and carrying out an industry survey of skills needs ([Supplementary File 1](#) | Year 1 - T2, T3). The result of this activity was the identification of 5 priority areas ([Supplementary File 1](#) | Year 1 - T2, T3, T5): Core Bioinformatics Skills, Metabolomics, Structural Bioinformatics, Clinical Genomics, and Applied Genomics. The rationale for prioritising these 5 thematic areas

came from community input and training-gap analysis. Additionally, the emergence of Core Bioinformatics Skills, representing a broad base of quantitative and computational skills, also arose from responses to the [ELIXIR-UK industry survey](#), and trends emerging from the [BBSRC/MRC vulnerable skills report](#) and the Global Organisation for Bioinformatics Learning, Education & Training (GOBLET) [survey](#).

The complete results of the industry survey are available from the ELIXIR-UK website, but some key indicators are presented here:

- Around **70%** of bioinformaticians would like training in statistics and data-analysis methods, with a specific focus on sequencing and genomics.
- Similarly, around **60%** of wet-lab biologists would like to acquire skills in data visualisation, data manipulation and general statistics.
- Interestingly, the skills desired by wet-lab scientists are also those that bioinformaticians (**70–80%**) consider to be the most crucial competencies.
- The majority of wet-lab scientists (**74%**) have no programming experience, and **60%** perform their data analysis in Excel.
- When considering the use of statistical methods, **65%** are not confident with statistics, with a small percentage of respondents not even sure what statistical knowledge they should have (**6%**).
- Although most respondents do have a bioinformatician with whom they can collaborate, **34%** do not have a bioinformatician/statistician to whom they can turn for support.

ELIXIR-UK has been addressing the 5 priority training areas listed above through:

- Coordinating a combination of named ELIXIR-UK training centres;
- Developing the ELIXIR training portal, TeSS, which provides a snapshot of ELIXIR’s training landscape by making training (events, materials, etc.) discoverable and accessible;
- Collaborating with other institutes and training initiatives;
- Working with other infrastructure projects; and
- Developing new projects to fill existing training gaps.

How this has developed is outlined in the following sections for each of the 5 priority areas.

Core Bioinformatics Skills ([Supplementary File 1](#) | Year 2 - T2, T3, T5)

This area of the ELIXIR-UK training plan closely aligns with skills needs established by the BBSRC/MRC vulnerable skills report, and the skills gaps observed across ELIXIR and beyond. Increasing training in fundamental computational and quantitative skills is an area in which ELIXIR-UK has been very successful, with established partnerships with both [Software Carpentry](#) (SWC) and [Data Carpentry](#) (DC), and taking a leading role in the development of a national training strategy in statistics.

SWC/DC training. Through its partnership with the [Software Sustainability Institute](#) (SSI), **ELIXIR-UK has initiated and coordinated the provision of SWC/DC training across ELIXIR.** These courses teach the fundamental skills necessary for data manipulation and best practices for reproducible research. Over the past 3 years, more than 50 workshops have been organised in the UK, aiming to teach researchers with minimal or no computational skills some basic data-manipulation and software-development techniques to help them improve or speed up their research. Feedback from course participants is extremely positive, and the topics covered by SWC/DC training are considered fundamental for all researchers. **ELIXIR-UK has had a pivotal role** in establishing SWC/DC training programmes within ELIXIR during the 2015 Pilot project, and helping to build the capacity within Nodes for sustaining these programmes independently. In 2016, ELIXIR began drafting a collaboration agreement with the SWC/DC Foundations, which will cover the development of common training materials, the coordination of training workshops for researchers, and the construction of a community of certified ELIXIR trainers. ELIXIR-UK will continue to provide support to such coordination effort, and the SSI is now working on establishing a SSI in Europe.

In the future, ELIXIR-UK wishes to expand the delivery of SWC/DC courses across the UK, by organising courses in locations where these have not been run before, to increase training capability in the UK. The collaboration agreement between ELIXIR and the SWC/DC Foundations will only allow for the delivery of two workshops per year at each ELIXIR Node, which would not be sufficient to meet the UK Institutions' demand for such training. Some of the remaining funds from the original ELIXIR-UK BBSRC grant (BB/L005069/1) will enable this, while additional funding opportunities are being explored to continue sustaining this training provision in the long term.

Statistics training. Over the last few years, several professional bodies, societies, industry bodies and the [Research Councils](#) have surveyed skills requirements, with statistics consistently being highlighted as an acute need. Specifically, from the ELIXIR-UK skills survey distributed to industry wet-lab biologists, 63% of respondents were not confident in their use of statistics. Because of this trend, ELIXIR-UK contributed to the development of the [Statistics Training Signposting project](#), and has taken the lead in promoting statistics skill schools, helping researchers to: (i) realise that statistics is something that everyone should be able to do, and (ii) acquire a basic skill-set to enable them to learn "practical" statistics. BBSRC is currently funding the development of statistics skill schools, in partnership with ELIXIR-UK, and the dissemination of training materials through online resources. This activity is led by the University of Cambridge (Dr Rustici). The first course from this project was run in 2016, with financial support from Cancer Research UK, and resulted in extremely positive [feedback](#). Additional courses will run in Cambridge between 2017 and 2019, with support from a BBSRC STARS award (Dr Rustici). Course materials will be disseminated online, made discoverable through TeSS, and linked to follow-up training; this project also relies on additional financial contribution from the MRC, plus training materials from AstraZeneca. Statistics courses

will also be added to the DC training provision, to link these initiatives and increase sustainability.

The University of Oxford (Dr Sansone) will contribute to statistics training with the community-driven [STATistics Ontology](#) (STATO), which is being developed also as a didactic tool. STATO aims to cover processes such as statistical tests, their conditions of application, and information needed or resulting from statistical methods, such as probability distributions, variables, spread and variation metrics. STATO also covers aspects of experimental design, and description of plots and graphical representations commonly used to provide visual cues of data distribution or layout, and to assist the review of results. Funds will be sought to enhance the didactic aspect of STATO under the UK Node activities.

Statistics has also been identified as an area of need in other ELIXIR Nodes, so the statistics training developed by ELIXIR-UK will also contribute to and inform the wider ELIXIR training activities in this area.

[Metabolomics \(Supplementary File 1 | Year 2 - T2, T3, T5\)](#)

Metabolomics was quickly identified as an area of growing need: ELIXIR-UK (University of Birmingham: Prof Viant, Dr Dunn, Dr Weber and Dr Winder) carried out a metabolomics community survey to assess resource usage, researcher base, and skills needs in partnership with the international Metabolomics Society. This activity highlighted several important community needs, and specifically demonstrated the sector's rapid growth, and the resulting large number of researchers new to this subject, who therefore need training. A review of this survey is published², and a summary of all responses is available [online](#).

An early reaction to this was to facilitate funding and knowledge exchange (Dr Larcombe) between ELIXIR-UK partners (QMUL, Prof Bessant; and Birmingham) to maximise the impact of BBSRC MTP funds for developing proteomics and metabolomics bioinformatics training, with resulting courses being developed collaboratively. To extend its reach, the metabolomics bioinformatics course is being operated online as a Small Private Online Course (SPOC). The course was first run in February 2017, and 51 trainees completed the course from the UK, Europe and Asia. Additionally, observations from this survey formed part of the rationale for the proposal and development of the Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre, alongside the existing operation of two UK metabolomics cores: the Phenome Centre in Birmingham (through a £5M MRC-funded grant) and the NERC Metabolomics facility (NBAF-B). This was a significant development, and the Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre is now a named ELIXIR-UK resource, offering several annual face-to-face, hands-on courses, a well-subscribed [MOOC](#), through FutureLearn, and a SPOC focused on computational processing and analysis of metabolomics data. Industry (Thermo Fisher Scientific and Waters Ltd.) has recognised the importance of this training centre, and has provided funds (£1M) for scientific instrumentation and software to enhance training activities and capabilities for the trainees.

The centre has taken an important lead in the development of the European metabolomics training initiative (EmTraG), which was formed in 2016 with the support of ELIXIR-UK. EmTraG was created to address a pressing need to harmonise the rapidly expanding portfolio of metabolomics training courses in Europe, and improve its scientific coverage, geographical reach, quality and impact; ultimately, to empower the next generation of analytical, computational and applied metabolomics scientists. EmTraG seeks close ties with the ELIXIR Training Platform at a European level, and the Metabolomics Society at an international level. It is intended that www.EmTraG.eu will serve as the principal European portal for metabolomics training with close links to other portals, including TeSS.

Whether ELIXIR-UK will continue to play a role in delivering further skills training in this area is unclear, given the resources currently in place in Birmingham. The UK Node will continue to seek funding in order to support the Birmingham Training Centre as a Node resource, and play a key advocacy role to provide routes to training at the European level. Some of the remaining funds from the original ELIXIR-UK BBSRC grant (BB/L005069/1) will be used to: (i) fund bursaries to enable BBSRC and MRC PhD students to attend face-to-face training courses, covering all of the course fee, and (ii) continue to operate an online course focused on metabolomics data processing and statistical analysis.

Structural bioinformatics (Supplementary File 1 | Year 2 - T2, T3, T5)

The field of structural bioinformatics, including both macromolecular structure and small chemical structure-related bioinformatics, is critical to translational medicine and the development of new therapeutics/medicines. The UK has a strong and historic community in this field, covering a great deal of expertise. Correspondingly, there are some key tools that have been developed, and for which the availability of training would be beneficial.

The early stages of ELIXIR-UK activity in this sector brought together members of this community to define the scope of training need, and to discuss training approaches for tackling complex concepts and methodologies (UCL, Prof Orengo). This process identified workflow-based approaches as an ideal way to present complex procedural tasks in structural bioinformatics, both as a high-level depiction of methodologies, and as a signpost to available training materials for distinct experimental/software stages. Unfortunately, the lack of funding made it impossible to implement these training workflows or develop face-to-face courses, with the exception of a small number of training activities (two events per year) in the analysis of protein structure data, which are run at UCL/EMBL-EBI and University of Cambridge.

The promotion of workflows as a high-level training “map” has nevertheless influenced the development of the ELIXIR-UK training portal, TeSS (see below); however, additional funding is needed to consolidate these activities and implement structural

bioinformatics workflows in TeSS. Some of the remaining funds from the original ELIXIR-UK BBSRC grant (BB/L005069/1) will be used to implement these workflows, to provide training in the use of 3D structures to predict the impacts of genetic variations.

Clinical Genomics (Supplementary File 1 | Year 2 - T2, T3, T5)

In 2014, a need for training in the field of clinical genomics became clear, given the aspirations of various groups to further the implementation of genomic medicine at a large scale. Principal amongst those groups was Genomics England, as it rapidly moved towards the start of the UK national 100,000 genomes project. As such, ELIXIR-UK felt that the development of training in this area was of critical importance to facilitate the clinical use, and interpretation, of genomics data.

Several universities across the UK are playing a strategic role in developing Clinical Bioinformatics training, particularly through collaboration with Health Education England (HEE) and Genomics England, focusing on training the healthcare workforce to make use of genomic data for patient care. Several UK Universities have recently set up [MSc courses in Genomics Medicine](#), and have developed online learning resources in Clinical Bioinformatics, such as the [MOOC](#) from the University of Manchester. In addition, HEE is improving their Scientific Training (STP) and Higher Specialist Scientific Training (HSST) programmes in clinical bioinformatics and clinical genomics by incorporating SWC/DC courses as part of the official curriculum, to ensure scientists have the right training in data management and analysis, and computational skills.

It is unclear how these MSc programmes will continue to be funded once the initial HEE sponsorship finishes, but discussions are taking place to ensure that clinical bioinformatics training provision continues in the long term. ELIXIR-UK (Dr Rustici) is working in partnership with HEE to establish best practices in clinical bioinformatics training, and to delineate a core clinical bioinformatics curriculum.

Applied Genomics (Supplementary File 1 | Year 3 - T2, T3, T5)

Two training centres (University of Cambridge and Edinburgh Genomics) heavily contribute to the provision of training in applied genomics. Courses on the analysis of High-Throughput Sequencing (HTS) data represent the largest subgroup of training events run every year at these two sites, and cover a wide spectrum of sequencing application (including whole genome sequencing, RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, methylation, DNA-seq, single cell RNA-seq, small-RNA-seq, *etc.*). The commitment from both centres to continue providing training in this area remains very high.

In this context, ELIXIR-UK has been collaborating with the Global Organisation for Bioinformatics Learning, Education & Training (GOBLET)³ on developing guidelines to enable training material sharing, dissemination and reuse. Common standards for describing training materials have been proposed and applied to the curation of existing HTS training materials⁴, during two face-to-face meetings of trainers from the HTS and metagenomics

communities. The first such workshops was sponsored by ELIXIR-UK. Additional curation events are planned in the near future; see the ‘External liaisons’ section for more details.

ELIXIR-UK’s role in the ELIXIR Training Platform

ELIXIR-UK is currently responsible for three core activities within the ELIXIR Training Platform: (i) development of measures of training impact and quality assessment, (ii) development of the Training portal, TeSS, and (iii) coordination of the ELIXIR Training Platform. These activities are dependent on ELIXIR-EXCELERATE funding, which will terminate in 2019. Between 2014 and 2017, the Training Platform leadership and coordination (Prof Ponting and Dr Hendricusdottir) were funded by both ELIXIR-UK and ELIXIR-EXCELERATE.

Training impact and quality assessment

The **training impact and quality assessment** work aims to **develop a framework for capturing and reporting on the impact of the ELIXIR training programme as a whole**, and to demonstrate the value gained from the time and money invested in training initiatives. As part of this work, a core set of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) has been identified, and all ELIXIR training providers are in the process of implementing mechanisms (primarily through short- and long-term surveys) for collecting these KPIs for the training events taking place in their Nodes. Since February 2017, data from over 40 training events has been captured, with contributions from nine ELIXIR Nodes. This process will be iterated until an appropriate set of KPIs is identified that allows us to “measure” how participating in an ELIXIR training event has influenced how trainees work. This activity is co-led by the University of Cambridge (Dr Rustici, Dr Bellis) and EMBL-EBI (Dr Morgan). Collection of data through short-term surveys is being complemented with data collected through face-to-face interviews with course participants; these will be used to capture qualitative information, such as the improvement of understanding of a topic, or a subsequent change in professional development. Selected participants will be interviewed at the time of the event, and then at a defined point in the future, normally after 6 months to 1 year. Some of the remaining funds from the original ELIXIR-UK BBSRC grant (BB/L005069/1) will be used to run interviews in Cambridge, Birmingham, Edinburgh and at another ELIXIR Node.

Impact assessment is currently a high-priority within ELIXIR, not just for training, but for all of its services and resources; the approach being developed for measuring the impact of training events is now being used to assess the impact of other event types, such as BYOD workshops and Industry events. It is unclear how impact assessment will be supported after the termination of the ELIXIR-EXCELERATE grant.

ELIXIR Training Portal TeSS

The **ELIXIR Training Portal, TeSS⁵**, is ELIXIR’s flagship training service and one of its three portals. It provides a snapshot of ELIXIR’s training landscape by making training (events, materials, *etc.*) from all ELIXIR Nodes searchable and more easily discoverable in a single, central location. Significant effort has been put into providing information in ways that support user

decisions and choices, allowing organisation of materials and events into training packages (groups of resources that address a particular training topic), and training workflows (navigational tools that visualise learning steps and link to resources specific to the training tasks). Work is ongoing to develop links with **ELIXIR’s e-learning resources**. To date, TeSS includes more than 6,000 training resources (including upcoming and past training events, and training materials) automatically aggregated from 30 content providers, including ELIXIR Nodes and third-party organisations, such as SWC/DC, GOBLET, Coursera, Future-Learn, *etc.*; TeSS content also feeds into other dissemination services, such as iAnn widgets⁶ and Biocider⁷. Training resources within TeSS are linked to content from other ELIXIR registries, such as tools in the bio.tools registry⁸, and databases, standards and policies from Biosharing⁹. TeSS is jointly developed by the University of Manchester (Prof Goble and Prof Attwood) and the University of Oxford’s e-Research Centre (Dr Sansone). TeSS is aligning its efforts with comparable initiatives worldwide (see the “External liaisons” section), and the TeSS platform is to be adopted by **EMBL-Australia**.

Future of TeSS. Work on TeSS was initiated in the BBSRC Training award, and continues in the ELIXIR-EXCELERATE project. TeSS is a Node Service provided by ELIXIR-UK to ELIXIR, and will be subject to a Service Delivery Plan (in preparation) to a Service Delivery Plan. It is one of the three major, flagship Portals of ELIXIR. After 2019, the ELIXIR-EXCELERATE award will conclude; however, the TeSS will still need to be supported. The expectation of ELIXIR is that this is an obligation to be shouldered by the UK Node, as other National Nodes support key resources, but national funding has yet to be secured.

ELIXIR Training Platform leadership and coordination

ELIXIR-UK has played an ELIXIR-wide strategic role in training by co-leading and coordinating, together with ELIXIR-NL (Dr van Gelder) and ELIXIR-CH (Dr Palagi), the ELIXIR Training Platform [Prof Ponting (Lead) and Dr Hendricusdottir (Coordinator), from 2014 to 2017; and currently Dr Bellis (Coordinator)]. Dr Rustici is currently contributing to the Training Platform leadership until ELIXIR establishes a procedure for the election of Platform leaders and new leaders are elected.

ELIXIR-UK’s leading role in this Platform has had an impact on the UK training strategy as well as the ELIXIR wide strategy, and has helped shaping the ELIXIR training program, establishing its aims and priorities, and developing activities/resources that address the training need of the ELIXIR scientific community.

Also, ELIXIR-UK (Dr Larcombe and Dr Hendricusdottir), supported by the Swiss (Dr Palagi) and Dutch (Dr van Gelder) Nodes, established the ELIXIR Training Coordinator Group (TrCG), representing 15 ELIXIR Nodes, which grew to 21 members representing all of the ELIXIR nodes in 2017. Dr Hendricusdottir was elected Chair of the TrCG and coordinated/co-led this group until 2017. The ELIXIR TrCG was established to coordinate training efforts across ELIXIR, sharing best practices in training and representing the interests of national nodes in pan-European activities. The TrCG made an important contribution

to the H2020 ELIXIR-EXCELERATE grant proposal in which Dr Hendricusdottir coordinated the training work package (WP11). The TrCG is now an integral part of the ELIXIR Training Platform.

In addition, ELIXIR-UK (Dr Hendricusdottir) co-wrote the 'Coordinator guideline' and ensured that the TrCG worked alongside the ELIXIR Technical Coordinator Group (TeCG) and had as much weight in the governance as the TeCG. Dr Hendricusdottir also contributed to and co-wrote many ELIXIR documents, including annual reports, the industry strategy, the Training Platform Road map and the ELIXIR website.

External liaisons

Forming close ties with third-party organisations is an important outreach activity for ELIXIR as a whole, as it helps to bring wider perspectives and to avoid costly duplication of effort. This has been particularly important for the Training Platform, which has developed joint training strategies with several organisations, including (i) GOBLET, a foundation dedicated to providing a global, sustainable support and networking infrastructure for bioinformatics trainers and trainees, and (ii) the NIH-funded Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) Training Coordinating Centre (TCC). **Both of these agreements were initiated and coordinated by ELIXIR-UK** (Dr Hendricusdottir). ELIXIR-UK has also pumped the Bioschemas initiative for training material specifications (in collaboration with the Pistoia Alliance), which has now developed into a flagship project for the ELIXIR Interoperability, Data and Tools platforms, and spawned an international community activity under the W3C.

GOBLET

The **GOBLET-ELIXIR joint training strategy** sets out four key areas for collaboration:

1. work together on 'train-the-trainer' and 'train-the-researcher' activities;
2. jointly explore training 'accreditation' mechanisms;
3. share best practices and expertise on professionalising bioinformatics training; and
4. form close links between ELIXIR's TeSS and GOBLET's training portal¹⁰.

In particular, **GOBLET and ELIXIR-UK have been collaborating on developing best practices and guidelines to enable training material sharing, dissemination and reuse**. As mentioned above, common standards for describing training materials have been proposed and applied to the curation of existing HTS training materials during two face-to-face meetings of trainers from the HTS and metagenomics communities. The first workshop, sponsored by ELIXIR-UK, resulted in the creation of a **Git repository** for sharing annotated materials, which can now be reused, modified or incorporated into new courses⁴. All curated materials are discoverable through the TeSS and GOBLET portals. This work has helped shape the **Bioschemas specifications for training materials**.

The establishment and adoption of best practices for training materials is of fundamental importance, as it ensures that materials are properly described and easily comparable, for the benefit of users. Therefore, ELIXIR-UK will continue to collaboratively refine standards for training material dissemination, and apply them to a growing body of materials, starting with the **EXCELERATE/GOBLET/GTN hackathon on Galaxy training material re-use** that took place at the University of Cambridge in May 2017. This work will ultimately be beneficial to TeSS, enhancing material browsability and discoverability.

Bioschemas

Bioschemas aims to leverage off-the-shelf approaches to structured Web mark-up so that search engines and metadata harvesters can extract metadata that can easily be published by providers.

Bioschemas defines sets of properties for life-science training entities, such as training materials and events, and data entities, such as data repositories, data-sets, beacons (infrastructure to allow genomic data centres to make data discoverable), samples, phenotypes and protein annotations. The Bioschemas project is a high-profile activity for the ELIXIR Interoperability platform, with major input from ELIXIR-UK institutions, including the University of Manchester (Prof Goble), the University of Oxford (Dr Sansone), Heriot-Watt University (Dr Gray) and the Earlham Institute (Mr Horro and Miss Artaza). The work crosses over to the Data and Tools platform, and is part of a wider initiative drawing in major search-engine projects (e.g., Google) and the NIH BD2K BioCADDIE Centre.

Bioschemas was thought up by ELIXIR-UK and ELIXIR-Hub, and launched at the ELIXIR-UK 2015 All-Hands meeting, to overcome difficulties in feeding metadata from third-party training resources into TeSS.

NIH BD2K Training Coordination Centre

In collaboration with the BD2K TCC, the Training Platform has developed the **ELIXIR Training Platform and BD2K TCC Training Collaboration**, which outlines five areas for collaboration between these two initiatives:

1. synergistic development of training portals: ELIXIR's TeSS and BD2K's BigDataU;
2. development of core competencies in bioinformatics;
3. organisation of data science summer schools in collaboration with RDA-CODATA;
4. collaboration with GOBLET; and
5. fostering international interactions and frameworks for developing standards for curating and disseminating educational materials.

The interaction of ELIXIR, GOBLET and BD2K TCC will be of direct benefit to the overall data science communities, as well as investigators seeking a broad basis for training in large-scale biomedicine around the world.

Future collaborations between the ELIXIR Training Platform and BD2K TCC were discussed in the upcoming “International Workshop on Data Science Training: Standards, Schemas, and Successes”, May 24–26, which brought together training experts from Europe, South Africa and Australia to join forces in key areas involving (i) training metadata standards, (ii) sharing content, resources and tools, (iii) training workflows, and (iv) sharing software, APIs and technical know-how/expertise.

Additional funds ELIXIR-EXCELERATE

ELIXIR-EXCELERATE is a four-year project (due to end in 2019) that was awarded to accelerate the implementation, and support early operation, of the ELIXIR research infrastructure (see the first [periodic report](#) for more in-depth information). The Training Work Package (WP11) is co-led by ELIXIR-CH, ELIXIR-NL and ELIXIR-UK (Prof Ponting - from 2014 to 2017 - and currently Dr Rustici). As already mentioned, several ELIXIR-UK training resources and initiatives are dependent on ELIXIR-EXCELERATE funding, including Bioschemas, TeSS and the impact/quality assessment work. It is currently unclear what funding will be available after 2019 to continue supporting these activities and ensure their sustainability.

Future plans

The training landscape in the UK has changed significantly since ELIXIR and ELIXIR-UK began their activities. We now have a wide network of universities, training centres and training initiatives across the UK, that deliver impactful training programmes, addressing the ever-changing training needs of the UK scientific community. Three of these centres have been named ELIXIR-UK Node resources, and contribute to the ELIXIR-wide training programme. Running these programmes requires training providers to be rooted in the scientific community, and to run training-gap analyses on a regular basis to ensure that training is developed and delivered in a timely way. **Training centres need to work together and in a coordinated fashion** to ensure the provision of a national training programme that is coherent and comprehensive. In many cases, the **demand for** a particular type of **training** (SWC, DC and analysis of HTS data, to name just a few) **greatly exceeds the capability of a single training centre to meet such demand**, both in terms of personnel and financial resources. Although this type of training is recognised as fundamental, **funding to support training activities is extremely limited, often sacrificed to support research activities, and training centres have to operate under cost-recovery models.** The situation is exacerbated by the fact that demand is growing, and more training providers – universities in particular – are turning to bioinformatics training centres to fill the bioinformatics training gaps in their undergraduate- and graduate-training programmes¹¹. Coordination at a national level is therefore of paramount importance to ensure that demand is met, offer is diversified, and the necessary resources needed to sustain bioinformatics training at the national level are identified and financially secured.

The ELIXIR-UK Node is currently seeking funding to hire a new Training Coordinator, to continue providing both training coordination at the national level and training outreach to external training initiatives. Appropriate funding is required

not only for coordinating training activities across the UK, but also to (i) consolidate the work already done, (ii) enable the development of new training activities, (iii) support existing training centres and their initiatives, (iv) maintain our international commitments to support the ELIXIR Training Portal, and (v) participate in an influential way in the ELIXIR Training Platform.

Specifically, appropriate funding (supporting key personnel) needs to be secured to sustain the following fundamentally important activities:

1. Sustain the development and maintenance of TeSS: as previously indicated, this currently relies on the ELIXIR-EXCELERATE award, which terminates in 2019. National funding needs to be secured to sustain this flagship ELIXIR portal.
2. Sustain the activities of the ELIXIR-UK Training centres (Cambridge, Birmingham and Edinburgh). As presented above, these deliver crucial training in priority areas, reaching a significant number of users (>2,500) every year, but they are operating under extremely limited budgets and cost-recovery models, which are insufficient to ensure long-term sustainability. Moreover, as they are Node contributions to the ELIXIR-wide training programme, they must adhere to ELIXIR's standards for quality, discoverability and interoperability, fulfilling their obligations to ELIXIR, without receiving any support from it. In some cases, the centres are the sole providers of training on a particular topic. For example, metabolomics training provision is extremely limited across Europe, and the Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre has developed several, successful face-to-face courses. These have high running costs and, in order to make them affordable, NERC is funding some bursaries, allowing NERC PhD students or early-career scientists to attend a course for free, while the running costs are recovered from NERC. This model has been extremely efficient and appropriate, as the training becomes free to those in need of it, and the metabolomics community's knowledge grows. We would recommend other Research Councils to consider implementing the same approach for "their" early-career researchers. Additional funding solutions need to be identified to sustain the provision of metabolomics training.
3. Continue playing an influential role in the ELIXIR-wide training programme. ELIXIR-UK contributes key services to the ELIXIR training programme, leads the training impact assessment work, and established the ELIXIR Training Coordinator Group, to ensure the provision of a coherent bioinformatics programme across ELIXIR Nodes. The TrCG is a unique feature of the ELIXIR Training platform, providing great support in running its activities; it represents a vital forum for Training Coordinators across ELIXIR to discuss collaborations, align initiatives and leverage each other's expertise. ELIXIR-UK needs to be represented on the TrCG, otherwise it will miss out on this crucial networking opportunity. This role is currently fulfilled by Dr Bellis and Dr Rustici (University of Cambridge), but this is not a sustainable solution.

4. Coordinate the provision of bioinformatics training across existing, and new, ELIXIR-UK training centres and initiatives. ELIXIR-UK will launch another 'Expression of Interest' exercise for new resources to become part of ELIXIR-UK in 2018, and we foresee that new training centres will apply to join. Consequently, the coordination effort will need to expand to include such centres, as well as training initiatives like the **BBSRC Bioinformatics and Biomathematics Training Hub**, a collaborative project to coordinate the development and sharing of training materials and expertise across the UK's National Institutes of Bioscience (NIB), which is now seeking partnership with ELIXIR-UK to sustain its activities and make them discoverable through TeSS.
 5. Ensure that appropriate training solutions (including training materials and face-to-face training events) are put in place for showcasing ELIXIR-UK resources. With the recent increase in the number of recognised ELIXIR-UK resources (including data, tools and interoperability resources), we want to ensure that these are included and represented in training activities. In some cases, this might involve facilitating activities in which ELIXIR-UK resources are represented; in other cases, it could mean providing guidance on developing new training solutions.
 6. Facilitate and support the development of training materials/solutions in areas where ELIXIR-UK has strong expertise, including but not limited to:
 - *Data management and stewardship*: this has been identified as a high priority area by the ELIXIR Training Platform, and significant expertise is available in the UK Node in this domain: e.g., **FAIRDOM** (led by Prof Goble), which supports ISA-based project data and model management, and is partnering with ELIXIR-NO, ELIXIR-DE and ELIXIR-NL. A proposal to develop collaborative training solutions in this area has been drafted between the Oxford e-Research Centre (BioSharing, Dr Sansone), TeSS and the University of Cambridge (Dr Rustici), but no funds are currently available to enable this;
 - *Structural bioinformatics training workflows*: structural bioinformatics expertise in the UK Node is significant, and the desire to contribute to training activities is high; so far, however, this has not been possible owing to lack of funds and dedicated resources. Collaborative work is planned to implement structural bioinformatics workflows in TeSS (with Prof Orengo, UCL), but additional funding is needed to consolidate these activities. These are just one example of training workflows that could be implemented in TeSS; TeSS is actively pursuing the inclusion of a growing number of **workflows**, and the means to link them to external resources.
 7. Run SWC/DC courses at an increasing number of UK sites, to satisfy a growing demand for this basic training. The SSI's role in establishing the SWC/DC ELIXIR training programme has been pivotal, but the SSI is currently struggling to continue providing the coordination needed at the national level, given the extreme popularity of this training and the growing demand. Resources are needed to ensure that SWC/DC training is delivered to the UK scientists who need it, to support the organisation of courses, and increase the pool of UK trainers that can deliver this type of training, thereby increasing capacity. In the long term, this approach might enable the inclusion of such core training in a growing number of teaching/training programmes.
 8. Actively engage the UK scientific community. Resources are needed to engage with the UK community at large, to ensure that training activities are tailored to its needs. Regular gap analysis must be carried out to understand the training gaps across the rapidly evolving research landscape. This is a vital process, to ensure that training programmes are kept up to date. Community-engagement activities should target a diverse range of stakeholders, including academic and industry partners, both as consumers of and contributors to training activities. The ELIXIR Industry Advisory Committee has highlighted industry engagement as a priority for the coming year. To get these activities kick-started, ELIXIR-UK will host an SME event in January 2018 (Cambridge, UK), and will sponsor the UK Bioinformatics Core Facilities meeting, a nascent initiative that is trying to coordinate the activities of core facilities across the UK, and with which ELIXIR-UK wishes to engage.
 9. Continue leading the development of training best practices, to increase training quality, and help users to discover training solutions that best meet their needs. As the number and type of training resources increase, so does the degree of difficulty in finding the training that is most appropriate for trainees' skill levels and expectations. Mapping existing training to bioinformatics core competencies would not only help trainees to choose the training that best fits their needs, but would also provide clear learning paths, signposting what training activities they should attend over a certain period of time to acquire the competencies needed to carry out their work effectively. The ELIXIR Industry Advisory Committee (IAC) has identified this as an area that should be developed in TeSS. This exercise would also contribute to refining existing core competencies (ISCB)¹² and expand them as needed. ELIXIR-UK is currently in the process of proposing an Implementation Study focusing on developing solutions to signposting training through core competencies and learning paths.
- Additionally, ELIXIR-UK needs to play a leading role in the development of national, coherent training curricula in priority areas such as clinical bioinformatics, data management and stewardship, *etc.* In doing so, it should establish strategic partnerships with relevant initiatives, such as the MRC Health Data Research UK for clinical bioinformatics.

Conclusions

Since 2014, a significant amount of work has been done within ELIXIR-UK to coordinate, strengthen and expand bioinformatics training across the UK and ELIXIR as a whole. Crucial partnerships and collaborations have been established with several external initiatives, fostering interactions with international partners, and aligning efforts on a global scale.

Training remains a high priority for ELIXIR-UK and significant effort will be put into securing funding and recruiting a new Training Coordinator to drive training activities, to initiate new ones, and to continue participating in an influential way in the ELIXIR Training Platform.

Author contributions

LL was ELIXIR-UK Training Coordinator for Research Science (06/2014–05/2017); RH was ELIXIR-UK Training Outreach Manager, the Chair of ELIXIR Training Coordinator Group, and Training Platform Coordinator (09/2014 – 04/2017); TKA is Professor of Bioinformatics and TeSS Co-investigator at the University of Manchester; FB is TeSS developer at the University of Manchester; NB is TeSS manager at the University of Manchester; LJB is ELIXIR Training Platform Coordinator and Bioinformatics Training Quality/Impact Coordinator at the University of Cambridge; WBD is Director of the Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre; JMH is ELIXIR-UK Node Coordinator; AN is Training Lead at the Software Sustainability Institute; CO is Professor of Bioinformatics at University College London; BO is Training and Outreach Bioinformatician at Edinburgh

Genomics; SAS is Associate Director of the Oxford e-Research Centre and TeSS Co-investigator at the University of Oxford; MT is TeSS developer at Oxford e-Research Centre; MRV is Professor of Metabolomics at the University of Birmingham; CLW is Operations Manager at the Birmingham Metabolomics Training Centre; CAG was ELIXIR-UK Deputy Head of Node (2012–Jan 2016), and is ELIXIR-UK Head of Node, ELIXIR Interoperability Platform Lead, ELIXIR-EXCELERATE Work Package 5 (Interoperability) Lead, TeSS Co-investigator and Professor of Computer Science at the University of Manchester; CPP was ELIXIR-UK Head of Node (2012–Jan 2016) and ELIXIR Training Platform Lead (2014–2017); and GR is ELIXIR-UK Deputy Head of Node, ELIXIR-EXCELERATE Work Package 11 (Training) Lead, ELIXIR-EXCELERATE Impact/Quality Subtask Lead and Bioinformatics Training Manager at the University of Cambridge.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

ELIXIR-UK is funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, the Medical Research Council and the Natural Environment Research Council (grant numbers BB/L005069/1 and BB/P017193/1). ELIXIR-EXCELERATE project is funded by the European Commission within the Research Infrastructures programme of Horizon 2020 (grant number 676559).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Supplementary material

Supplementary File 1: Table with original timetable of activities from the BB/L005069/1 grant.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

References

- Hancock JM, Game A, Ponting CP, *et al.*: **An open and transparent process to select ELIXIR Node Services as implemented by ELIXIR-UK [version 2; referees: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]**. *F1000Res*. 2016; 5: pii: ELIXIR-2894.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Weber RJ, Winder CL, Larcombe LD, *et al.*: **Training needs in metabolomics**. *Metabolomics*. 2015; 11(4): 784–786.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Attwood TK, Bongcam-Rudloff E, Brazas ME, *et al.*: **Correction: GOBLET: The Global Organisation for Bioinformatics Learning, Education and Training**. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015; 11(5): e1004281.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Schiffthaler B, Kostadima M, NGS Trainer Consortium, *et al.*: **Training in High-Throughput Sequencing: Common Guidelines to Enable Material Sharing, Dissemination, and Reusability**. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2016; 12(6): e1004937.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Beard N, Attwood T, Nenadic A: **TeSS – Training Portal [version 1; not peer reviewed]**. *F1000Research*. 2016; 5(ISC Comm J): 1762(poster).
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jimenez RC, Albar JP, Bhak J, *et al.*: **iAnn: an event sharing platform for the life sciences**. *Bioinformatics*. 2013; 29(15): 1919–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Horro C, Cook M, Attwood TK, *et al.*: **BioCIDER: a Contextualisation INDEX for biological Resources discovery**. *Bioinformatics*. 2017.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

8. Ison J, Rapacki K, Ménager H, *et al.*: **Tools and data services registry: a community effort to document bioinformatics resources.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016; **44**(D1): D38–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
9. McQuilton P, Gonzalez-Beltran A, Rocca-Serra P, *et al.*: **BioSharing: curated and crowd-sourced metadata standards, databases and data policies in the life sciences.** *Database (Oxford).* 2016; **2016**: pii: baw075.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Corpas M, Jimenez RC, Bongcam-Rudloff E, *et al.*: **The GOBLET training portal: a global repository of bioinformatics training materials, courses and trainers.** *Bioinformatics.* 2015; **31**(1): 140–2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
11. Brazas MD, Blackford S, Attwood TK: **Training: Plug gap in essential bioinformatics skills.** *Nature.* 2017; **544**(7649): 161.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Welch L, Brooksbank C, Schwartz R, *et al.*: **Applying, Evaluating and Refining Bioinformatics Core Competencies (An Update from the Curriculum Task Force of ISCB's Education Committee).** *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2016; **12**(5): e1004943.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 1

Referee Report 14 August 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23887



Manuel Corpas 

Repositiv, Cambridge, UK

The article "ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the national level and across ELIXIR" reflects the developing training opportunities that ELIXIR-UK, as part of its training mandate, is performing throughout the UK and ELIXIR-Europe. My inside knowledge as Technical Coordinator for ELIXIR-UK from 2014-2016 may have influenced my review of this paper.

My main comments:

- I concur with another reviewer comments that the paper lacks a coherent structure. I do not see a clearly stated ELIXIR-UK's training strategy and how it is being coherently being fleshed out throughout the article. Instead, the reader is presented with a chronological description of activities according to the different grants that support the training work of the node. Different sections would benefit from more cohesion between them with some kind of 'story' thread that joins them. Thus I am not sure if this is a summary technical report or a review of the training activities for the node.
- ELIXIR-UK has more activities than training and it would add clarity to the narrative if the other activities in the node are enumerated in addition to how ELIXIR-UK's training leadership has helped in developing them.

A few explicit remarks now follow:

- You say "ELIXIR-UK is at the forefront of bioinformatics training provision, across the UK and in the wider ELIXIR training programme" (Page 3, 1st paragraph) but you do not qualify it. Is there any explicit independent confirmation of this?
- What is the ELIXIR-UK training strategy? Explicitly, what does it consist of? What is the plan, achievements, goals and milestones? How do you know you are being successful?
- How is the ELIXIR-UK Training operations building the community of bioinformatics in the UK? You mention this as one of its key priorities but miss the detail on how this is happening. This is related to my previous comment on the strategy development and is alluded to briefly in the community engagement paragraph of future work (page 9, bullet point 8) but I fail to clearly grasp current efforts.

- You mention the Training programs in Cambridge, Birmingham and Edinburgh. They seem disconnected. What are your plans to synchronise these resources at a national level other than just being named services?
- Even though the complete skill surveys are referenced, it would add credibility to the summary statistics of the survey if its design and people involved both in developing and responding it are also included.
- You say that you support the interoperability platform of ELIXIR, which is an external activity to training. What is the take of ELIXIR-UK training strategy to abide by FAIR-ness of data and materials involved in these courses/workshops? In what way are training courses/committed committed to FAIR values?
- Since FAIR is a cross-cutting initiative throughout ELIXIR and has so many ramifications in the spread of best practices, I feel this crucial aspect is missing in the paper (see above).
- Can you substantiate/measure in what way the excellent feedback from SWC/DC workshops has been collected and summarised?
- Page 7 paragraph 2: "co-wrote many ELIXIR documents, including annual reports, the industry strategy, the Training Platform Road map and the ELIXIR website" - I would appreciate references for this.

Thanks for giving me the opportunity to review this paper. The amount of activity presented here is indicative of the many efforts carried out by all ELIXIR-UK partners. By giving this paper more shape and a story thread, I am convinced it will provide an authoritative account of your contributions to training in the UK, Europe and beyond.

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Yes

Competing Interests: My inside knowledge as former ELIXIR-UK Technical Coordinator from 2014-2016 may have influenced my review of this paper. I state conflict of interest with these paper coauthors: 1) Terri Attwood for being my PhD supervisor and long standing collaborator through the Global Organisation for Bioinformatics Learning, Education and Training (GOBLET) and 2) John Hancock who was my former line manager at The Genome Analysis Centre, now Earlham Institute.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 27 July 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23885

**Nicola J. Mulder** 

Computational Biology Group, Department of Integrative Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

This paper provides a comprehensive description of the ELIXIR-UK training activities and plans, though it was unclear whether the aim was to focus on ELIXIR-UK or ELIXIR overall, as the focus changed between these in the paper. It describes a well thought out process for evaluating training needs and attempting to address these. Challenges and future plans are discussed, and the issue of funding is raised repeatedly. The paper is interesting and useful, though I have a few minor concerns raised below.

The paper is quite long and I think some fine details could be removed, as the reader would not necessarily be interested in every name of who did what. The continual reference to funding issues is a bit annoying for the reader, these could be discussed once off in a section under challenges.

On page 6 there is mention that it is unclear how impact assessment would be supported after the grant ends, but if there is funding for training then it is not much extra overhead to assess the courses. Personal interviews would not be necessary for every course if resources are limited.

Page 7 - mention of working with BD2K on developing core competencies, is the group also working with the ISCB core competency group? Is the BD2K portal not called ERuDIte now, rather than BigDataU?

Page 8 line 2: "...ND2K TCC were discussed in the upcoming.." doesn't make sense. The meeting has now already taken place. Note, the USA was of course also involved among the countries listed.

For long term sustainability and addressing the demands, there could perhaps be a stronger focus on training trainers, and potentially live streaming some courses to cover a wider audience.

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Yes

Competing Interests: I am on the ELIXIR Scientific Advisory Board, which may be a conflict of interest.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 19 July 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23698



Celia van Gelder 

Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences (DTL), Utrecht, Netherlands

This paper gives a good overview of the various and important contributions of ELIXIR-UK both to training in the UK node and to the ELIXIR Training Platform as a whole. It also gives insight in the coordination efforts that are needed throughout the UK to achieve a coherent training infrastructure. Throughout the paper it touches several times upon a major challenge that everyone in training and training coordination is facing, which is the struggle to get sustainable funding in place to ensure continuity and sustainability for the training activities. It will be a waste of time and effort if the training infrastructure that has been built will simply stop after specific projects end. It would be interesting to learn a bit more about the ambition of and opportunities for ELIXIR-UK to achieve a national approach to this sustainability challenge. Is the ELIXIR-UK structure helping to achieve this goal?

The ELIXIR Training Platform activities are built by coordinating efforts in all the ELIXIR nodes and as such is a combined effort of many countries. It would be good to make this a bit clearer to the reader, e.g. in the section where the ELIXIR Training Platform is introduced. In addition a reference to <https://zenodo.org/record/61411> could be included, which gives detailed background information about the setup of the ELIXIR Training Platform.

Here are some of my specific comments and suggestions:

- There is a mentioning of three ELIXIR flagship portals, but it is not explained which three portals the authors are referring to. This might confuse the reader.
- Related to the TeSS portal it could be useful to give some insight in visitor statistics and also make the distinction between events and materials more clear, as well as the distinction between future and past events and materials. It should be realized that a large number of the 6000 entries mentioned are related to events that happened in the past. As such TeSS represents an important training archive in bioinformatics, and to my knowledge this is the only portal that offers this kind of information.
- Related to the ELIXIR-SWC/DC agreement:
 - Lesson development will definitively take place and will be taken up by the ELIXIR nodes, but this not an explicit part of the partnership agreement that is currently being prepared for signing.
 - The agreement contains workshops and instructor trainings. The agreement entails 2 workshops per ELIXIR node, and not 2 workshops per year per ELIXIR node, as is currently stated.
 - The authors could refer to the F1000 paper about the ELIXIR SWC/DC pilot that has now been published. ¹

- Related to establishing the GOBLET-ELIXIR collaboration agreement it would be good to mention that Teresa Attwood, who is both Chair of GOBLET, and part of ELIXIR-UK, played a major role.
- Related to the description of the process during WP11 grant writing it would be good to stress that this was a collaborative process of the WP11 leaders and several node representatives.
- In the section on BioSchemas the training implications could have been described clearer. Further, this section could refer to the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) principles, where BioSchemas mainly addresses findability.

References

1. Pawlik A, van Gelder C, Nenadic A, Palagi P, Korpelainen E, Lijnzaad P, Marek D, Sansone S, Hancock J, Goble C: Developing a strategy for computational lab skills training through Software and Data Carpentry: Experiences from the ELIXIR Pilot action. *F1000Research*. 2017; **6**. [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Yes

Competing Interests: I am one of the ELIXIR Training Platform Leaders

Referee Expertise: Bioinformatics Training and Education, Training Coordination

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 17 July 2017

doi:[10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23696](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23696)



Patricia M. Palagi 

SIB Training Group, Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), Lausanne, Switzerland

This article describes an extensive and comprehensive report of the ELIXIR-UK training resources and the ELIXIR-UK role in defining ELIXIR's training. It is very useful to other ELIXIR nodes and other countries willing to setup a bioinformatics training program. The manuscript is clear and well written, but it is often read as a long administrative report for funders. Hereafter you will find my suggestions, mainly to reduce redundancy and to improve clarity:

General remarks:

- Long term sustainability for training is a major issue, in UK and in several countries, and it is continuously repeated in this report. Instead of repeating at each session, it could have a much higher impact if it was stated only once, in the future plans only, with a full paragraph/session dedicated only for this.
- It would be useful to give more details on the funding opportunities the authors are envisioning and exploring to sustain training in the long term.
- Besides from funding, what are the other issues that ELIXIR-UK are facing regarding training? For instance, number of well-trained trainers and for each areas of expertise?
- The commitment from the expert areas is only explicitly stated in the Applied Genomics session. Is community commitment an issue in the other areas of training?

In the five thematic areas:

- The training content in the five thematic areas are of direct interest to other countries and could be better detailed in their respective sessions.
- In the session Statistics training, what is taught in the statistics skill schools? Does it go beyond what is covered in the STATO didactic tool?

Supplementary material:

The table in the supplementary materials is difficult to understand and does not support the content. There are acronyms that are not explained (TCRS, TOM, etc) and the time span is not anchored in the actual calendar. It would be worth: adding actual time periods, explaining the acronyms (or removing them if they are not useful for the content), explaining the tasks or removing them. This lighter and more self-explanatory version of the table (which could become an image) could then support the content of the manuscript and be inserted within the text, as a summary.

Some minor corrections:

- Some texts are highlighted in bold (**ELIXIR-UK has initiated and coordinated the provision of SWC/DC training across ELIXIR**; etc), but it seems to be an error (or at least the reason why is not explicit).
- In the Future of TeSS, “to a Service Delivery Plan” is written twice.
- In “Future collaborations between the ELIXIR Training Platform and BD2K TCC were discussed in the upcoming “International Workshop on Data Science Training: Standards, Schemas, and Successes”, May 24–26, “, the word upcoming is outdated.

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Expertise: training, education, bioinformatics training

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Referee Report 14 July 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12791.r23886



Michelle D Brazas 

Bioinformatics, OICR, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 0A3, Canada

This article provides an update on the ELIXIR-UK role in setting up and providing training resources and tools for both the UK and the broader ELIXIR community. It provides a good overview of the activities conducted and work achieved since 2014. The article then also provides an opinion of the funding situation supporting training activities.

Some general comments for improvement:

1. The title and abstract indicate that the article will be about ELIXIR-UK and its role as lead of ELIXIR Training, and the work it has accomplished both for the UK and ELIXIR in this training sphere. The introduction even ends with an excellent summary statement "This report provides an overview of ELIXIR-UK's role in bioinformatics training at the national level." However, the article scope goes beyond what is indicated by the abstract, and frequently includes funding issues and statements. While I recognize the need to acknowledge funding sources (can be done under Grant Information section) and to highlight funding shortfalls, I am not convinced that the current organization of repeating funding sources and problems in each section is the ideal organization as it appears to be a complaint rather than a constructive opinion. Grouping all funding related content into one section (Future Plans section) would greatly improve the read and tone of the article. In fact, splitting the article in 2 would be ideal - one article would be the report, as described by the abstract; and the second article would be the opinion, and could describe the future directions/funding opinions expressed at the end of the current article and throughout each section.
2. Some references are hyperlinks and others are superscripts to the Reference Section. It would be better to be consistent. For example, the GOBLET survey on page 3 is a hyperlink to the publication rather than being listed in the reference section.
3. Some resources are missing hyperlinks, particularly the ELIXIR-UK website on page 3. Please add hyperlinks to text missing them. Another example on page 6, for core Key Performance Indicators identified by the group. A hyperlink (or further text on the KPIs) to the KPIs in particular would be helpful to groups looking to implement similar metrics in their own training programs.
4. What is the model for resources used in the statistics training and metabolomics training? Is the training software free or commercial software? How does commercial software align with the

mandate of ELIXIR-UK and ELIXIR to train broadly?

5. Page 5, Clinical Genomics, are there not other institutes like EBI involved in Clinical Bioinformatics training? As a North American, EBI comes to mind as an important training centre in the UK, yet is not discussed in this article. In general, where do these other very visible training groups in the UK fit into ELIXIR-UK?
6. Page 7, External Liaisons - keep the same order of relationships as presented in the opening paragraph for this section. GOBLET section - the establishment and adoption of best practices is fundamental to what or whom? Bioschemas section - awkward location of the last paragraph. Consider moving up within the section. NIH section - North America was also a training expert brought together here. Please add.
7. Page 8, Future Plans - how is it known that the delivered training programs are impactful? What are the metrics of impactfulness? Is this related to the KPIs? If so, please hyperlink or share the KPIs so that other training groups may benefit from these. Why is the cost-recovery model not sustainable? The point of a cost-recovery model is to ensure sustainability, otherwise the model is wrong.
8. Page 8-9, Future Plans - in general the future plans section focuses more on the UK activities than the ELIXIR wide activities. Only the ELIXIR-wide TeSS and Coordination activities are described as going forward. Is the training impact and quality assessment work not continuing, and why not?

Overall, this article describes a significant body of work and expresses important opinions on funding its continuation, but would greatly benefit from a reorganization, and possibly a split into two articles - report and opinion.

Is the topic of the opinion article discussed accurately in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Partly

Are arguments sufficiently supported by evidence from the published literature?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn balanced and justified on the basis of the presented arguments?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.
