THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Multilevel paravertebral nerve blockade for abdominal wall resection in a dog

Citation for published version:

Leigh, HE & Woodhouse, KJ 2017, 'Multilevel paravertebral nerve blockade for abdominal wall resection in a dog', *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Multilevel paravertebral nerve blockade for abdominal wall resection in a dog

Hannah E. Leigh, Kerry J. Woodhouse

PII: S1467-2987(17)30071-5

DOI: [10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005)

Reference: VAA 97

To appear in: *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*

Received Date: 11 October 2016

Revised Date: 2 February 2017

Accepted Date: 20 February 2017

Please cite this article as: Leigh HE, Woodhouse KJ, Multilevel paravertebral nerve blockade for abdominal wall resection in a dog, *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.vaa.2017.02.005.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Multilevel paravertebral nerve blockade for abdominal wall resection in a dog

Hannah E. Leigh, Kerry J. Woodhouse

Hospital for Small Animals, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, Easter Bush
Campus, Midlothian. EH25 9RG

Correspondence: Hannah E Leigh, Hospital for Small Animals, Royal (Dick) School of
Veterinary Studies, Easter Bush Campus, Midlothian. EH25 9RG

Email: Hleigh@exseed.ed.ac.uk, Telephone: +44(0)131 650 7650, Fax: +44(0)131 650
7652

Author contributions: HL: anaesthetist of the reported case, preparation of the
manuscript. KW: critical revision of the manuscript. Both approved the final manuscript
for publication.

1 LETTER TO THE EDITOR

2 Lumbar paravertebral nerve blockade has been well described in cattle for standing
3 surgical procedures (Skarda 1996), however there is little published information of this
4 technique being utilized in dogs. We report the use of multilevel paravertebral nerve
5 blocks as a local anaesthetic technique in a dog underdoing abdominal wall resection.

6 A 10 year 11 month old cross breed dog weighing 11.6 kg presented for left abdominal
7 wall resection to remove a large soft tissue sarcoma. Preanaesthetic physical
8 examination, haematology and blood biochemistry were unremarkable. Computed
9 tomography imaging revealed a large (8.7 x 8.4 x 6.8 cm) mass visible within the left
10 internal and external abdominal oblique muscles at the level of L3 to L6. Premedication
11 with methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1} , Comfortan; Dechra, UK) and acepromazine (0.025 mg
12 kg^{-1} , ACP; Elanco Animal Health, UK) was administered intramuscularly (IM) and an
13 intravenous (IV) cannula placed in the right cephalic vein 30 minutes later. Anaesthesia
14 was induced with IV propofol (5.2 mg kg^{-1} , Propoflo; Abbott Laboratories, UK), and
15 following endotracheal intubation with a 7.5 mm cuffed endotracheal tube; was
16 maintained with isoflurane in oxygen via a circle breathing system. Carprofen was
17 administered IV (2 mg kg^{-1} , Rimadyl; Zoetis, USA).

18 Based on the location of the mass we elected to block the left lumbar nerves L1 to L5 to
19 desensitize the appropriate dermatomes (Fletcher & Kitchell 1966). The cranio-caudal,
20 dorso-ventral path that the nerves follow from their exit point at the intervertebral
21 foramen means the lumbar nerve lies at the caudal edge of the lumbar vertebrae of the
22 same name (Evans & De Lahunta, 2013). A combination of 2 mg kg^{-1} lidocaine solution
23 (Lidocaine Hydrochloride Injection 2%; Hameln pharmaceuticals, UK) and 2 mg kg^{-1}
24 bupivacaine solution (Marcaine Polyamp Steripack 0.25%; AstraZeneca, UK) was used,

resulting in a total volume of 10 mL. This combination was chosen to increase the speed of onset of the block (Cruz et al. 1997); however combining local anaesthetics in this way is controversial due to differences in pKa and pH between the solutions. The dog was positioned in right lateral recumbency and an electrical nerve stimulator was used for nerve location (Plexygon Nerve Simulator; Vygon, UK) with a 35 mm 23 gauge insulated needle (Echoplex+; Vygon, UK). Needle insertion was at the caudal edge of the transverse process of the lumbar vertebrae, perpendicular to the skin in the horizontal plane. The nerve stimulator was set to deliver a current of 1 mA at 2 Hz, and the needle advanced slowly until a panniculus reflex was elicited. The current was then decreased until the motor response was no longer visible at 0.4 mA but returned when the current was increased. A volume of 2 mL of the local anaesthetic mixture was injected per site, after first aspirating to ensure no blood was present in the needle hub. No resistance to injection was encountered.

The paravertebral nerve blocks took 10 minutes to perform, and the first surgical incision made 50 minutes later. An IV infusion of fentanyl (Fentadon; Eurovet Animal Health, Netherlands) was administered in theatre at a rate of $5\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{ hour}^{-1}$ as part of a multimodal analgesic approach, and to provide a centrally mediated MAC sparing effect. This was reduced to $4\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{ hour}^{-1}$ 60 minutes after the first incision; was discontinued 70 minutes after this, and surgery finished 20 minutes later. No additional analgesia was required at any time, heart rate remained between 68 and 85 beats minute⁻¹, mean arterial blood pressure between 60 and 80 mmHg and end tidal isoflurane concentration (F_E Iso) between 0.75 and 1.00%.

Postoperatively the dog was assessed using the Glasgow short form composite measure pain score 30 minutes after extubation once fully recovered, and scored zero. No further pain scores were recorded as our hospital policy requires an analgesic plan to be

made by the anaesthetist and implemented at predetermined times by overnight nursing staff. Any concerns regarding pain or otherwise are directed to the night intern, and the anaesthetist called if necessary. The first postoperative dose of methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) was administered IV 90 minutes after extubation, and subsequently every four hours overnight. This timing was to ensure that opioid analgesia was adequate when the effect of the paravertebral block was anticipated to subside. We feel that the nerve block was successful based on the intraoperative cardiovascular stability, low $\text{F}_E \text{ Iso}$ concentrations, a pain score of zero 30 minutes post extubation, and no requirement for any intra or postoperative rescue analgesia.

We conclude that this technique is suitable for providing local anaesthesia for extensive abdominal wall surgery in dogs. The use of multilevel paravertebral blocks have been described for a wide range of procedures in humans, including inguinal hernia repair (Klein et al. 2002). We therefore feel there are more potential applications for this nerve block in canine patients, with additional research required to refine the technique and dose requirements.

References

- Cruz ML, Luna SPL, Clark RMO et al. (1997) Epidural anaesthesia using lignocaine, bupivacaine or a mixture of lignocaine and bupivacaine in dogs *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* Volume 24, Issue 1. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* 24, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-2995.1997.tb00265.x/abstract>
- Evans, H.E and De Lahunta, A. (2013) *Miller's anatomy of the dog* (4th edition). Saunders Elsevier, USA. pp. 634-644.

74

75 Fletcher TF, Kitchell RL (1966) The lumbar, sacral and coccygeal tactile dermatomes of
76 the dog. The Journal of comparative neurology 128, 171-180.

77

78 Klein SM, Pietrobon R, Nielsen KC et al. (2002) Paravertebral somatic nerve block
79 compared with peripheral nerve blocks for outpatient inguinal herniorrhaphy.
80 Regional anesthesia and pain medicine 27, 476-480.

81

82 Skarda RT (1996) Local and regional anesthesia in ruminants and swine. The
83 Veterinary clinics of North America Food animal practice 12, 579-626.

84