Abstract: Pyrazabole (1) is a readily accessible diboron compound that can be transformed into ditopic electrophiles. In 1 (and derivatives), the B–B separation is ca. 3 Å, appropriate for one boron centre bonding to N and one to the C7 of indoles and indolines. This suitable B–B separation enables double E–H (E = N/C) functionalisation of indoles and indolines. Specifically, the activation of 1 with HNTf₂ generates an electrophile that transforms N–H indoles and indolines into N/C7-diborylated indolines, with N–H borylation directing subsequent C7–H borylation. Indole reduction to indoline occurs before C–H borylation and our studies indicate this proceeds via hydroboration—C3-protodeboronation to produce an intermediate that then undergoes C7 borylation. The borylated products can be converted in situ into C7-BPin-N-H-indolines. Overall, this represents a transient directed C–H borylation to form useful C7-BPin-indolines.

Organoboranes are ubiquitous in modern synthesis in part due to the power of the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction. Therefore there is a continued impetus to discover improved routes to known organoboranes and methods to form novel organoboranes. One efficient method to form organoboranes is by C–H borylation, with iridium-catalysed borylation methodologies particularly powerful. It-catalysed borylation proceeds under steric control while electrophilic C–H borylation is a precious metal free approach that is controlled by (hetero)arene electronics. Directed C–H borylation (metal catalysed and metal-free) is an established method to borylate C–H positions otherwise challenging to functionalise e.g. the C7 position in indoles and indolines. Directed C–H borylation most often proceeds by borylating the most reactive E–H site (E = C or N). This will position the second boron centre appropriately to transform a C–H into a C–B unit at a site otherwise hard to borylate (e.g. to form A, Figure 1 middle). Deliberate cleavage of the more reactive E–B bond, e.g. by selective protodeboronation during work up, then would leave only the desired C–B bond. In this process, that we term...
borylation directed borylation, the first borylation step fulfills the role of a transient directing group. Notably, this method is distinct to the more common traceless directing group approach which installs the directing group onto the substrate before adding a separate reagent/catalyst to effect C–H functionalisation. In borylation directed borylation the directing group is embedded into the borylating reagent. However, generating suitably structured ditopic B₂-electrophiles that are: i) sufficiently reactive for double electrophilic borylation, and ii) can be transformed into synthetically useful boron species post borylation is challenging. One notable report on addressing the first point comes from Wagner et al. using B (Figure 1, bottom) which possesses a B–B separation of ca. 3 Å to effect double vicinal C–H borylation of aromatics. While a powerful method for accessing B₂-containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (e.g. C), double electrophilic borylation using B has not been combined with further transformations to form organoboranes ubiquitous in synthesis (e.g. pinacol boronate esters (BPnin)). Thus, identifying readily accessible ditopic diboron electrophiles able to perform double E–H borylation and then be transformed readily into synthetically useful boron units is an unmet challenge. If realised this would streamline the synthesis of desirable borylated (hetero)arenes.

Pyrazabole, 1, is an attractive precursor to ditopic diboron-electrophiles as it: i) can be readily synthesised from inexpensive materials (pyrazole and L-BH₂)[12], ii) is bench stable,[13] iii) undergoes facile activation of B–H bonds to generate diboron-electrophiles.[14] Furthermore, pyrazaboles have a relatively flexible B₃N₆ core (able to adopt planar, chair and boat conformations)[15] and a B–B separation of ca. 3 Å comparable to the B–B separation expected in N/C7 or C3/C4 diborylated indolines/indoles.[17] This indicates that the double E–H borylation products, e.g. the polycyclic species A (Figure 1), will not be significantly strained thus should be accessible. Herein, we report that pyrazabole derived electrophiles enable the unprecedented transformation of N–H-indoles and indolines into C7-borylated-iodines by borylation directed borylation.

Due to the precedence in C–H bond formation using boron electrophiles derived from L-BR₂/H/NTf₂ combinations (L for example = N-heterocyclic carbenes, HNTf₂ = bis(trifluoromethane sulfonyl)amine)[16] our investigations started by activating 1 with commercially available HNTf₂. The addition of HNTf₂ to 1 resulted in immediate H₂ evolution and crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were formed directly from the reaction mixture. The solid-state structure of [Ti₂N(H)₂B(pyrazole)]₂, 2 (Figure 2), contained a trans arrangement of the NTf₂ units and an almost planar B₃N₆ ring with the two boron atoms being 0.128(3) Å out of the N₆-plane. The B–B separation in 2 is in the expected range at 3.126(2) Å, while the B–NTf₂ distance at 1.609(2) Å is unremarkable for a B–NTf₂ unit. Compound 2 could be isolated in good (74%) yield on multigram scale using two equiv. of HNTf₂ with respect to 1. While 2 is poorly soluble (in halocarbon solvents) it displays a δᵥ(BNTf₂) = −3 (Figure S6), shifted downfield from that of 1 (δᵥ(BNTf₂) = −8.3, Figure S4). Attempts to isolate a mono-NTf₂ derivative, compound 3, by using equimolar HNTf₂ and 1 led to broad

$^1$H NMR spectra and precipitation of 2 on standing, with 0.5 equiv of 1 left unreacted in solution. NMR spectra from combining equimolar mixtures of 1 and 2 suggest an exchange process occurs as new broad $^1$H resonances not corresponding to 1 or 2 are observed (Figure S14–S18) along with new $^1$B resonances at −1.8 and −4.5 ppm (consistent with a lower symmetry pyrazabole such as 3). Thus, the mono-NTf₂ species 3, appears accessible in solution although only 1 and 2 can be isolated as crystalline solids in our hands.

Compound 2 is a ditopic electrophile, for example the addition of excess MeCN to 2 leads to displacement of both NTf₂ anions and formation of the salt 2-(MeCN)₂. This crystallises as the cis-isomer with both MeCN molecules on one face. Therefore, the formation of 2 does not lock a trans arrangement, important as a cis-configuration is essential for borylation directed borylation. Note, the displacement of [NTf₂]⁻ by MeCN indicates that 2 and 3 will be reactive borenium equivalents.[20] Assessment of the Lewis acidity of the borenium [3]+ (Scheme 1) towards a soft nucleophile (H⁻) using the hydride affinity relative to BE₃ methodology[21] revealed that [3]+ is indeed a strong electrophile. In fact, [3]+ has a hydride affinity greater than the [(catecholato)B(NEt₃)]⁺ borenium cation (ΔH = −43.6 kcal mol⁻¹),[22] which is effective in heteroarene C–H borylation.[22] Thus 3 should be sufficiently electrophilic to react with activated heteroarenes.

Figure 1. Formation of 2-(MeCN)₂ and 3 and bottom the solid-state structures of 2 and the cationic portion of 2-(MeCN)₂ (ellipsoids at 50% probability). Selected metrics [Å] for 2: B1–B1’ 3.126(2), B1–N3 1.609(2), B1–N1 1.532(2). For 2-(MeCN)₂: B1–B2 2.974(4), N1–B1 1.530(4), B1–N5 1.565(4), B2–N6 1.569(4).

Figure 2. Compound 2.
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With electrophilic pyrazabole derivatives accessible the functionalisation of indoles was explored targeting double E–H borylation (C3/C4 or N/C7). Work up using pinacol/base would then form mono-BPin indoles by selective protodeboronation of the more reactive E

\[ \text{M06-2x6-311G(d,p) // PCM(DCM)} \]

Scheme 1. The hydride affinity (relative to BEt$_3$) of [3].

...borylation using 1.1 equiv of pinacol/K$_2$CO$_3$$_{aq}$ at 100°C. In contrast, combining 0.55 equiv of methyl (4b–4e) or halide (4f–h) groups with 4 l (4j), a C2/C3 disubstituted indole (4k) and even with a 'Bu group at the C3 position (4l). Furthermore, the majority of BPin products can be isolated in good purity (>95%) without column chromatography. From these studies the functional group tolerance is comparable in scope to other electrophilic C–H borylation reactions using borocations (or functional equivalents of borocations), although groups prone to hydroboration (e.g. esters) are not compatible.

The mechanism leading to C7 borylated indolines also was explored, with the starting pyrazabole electrophile assumed to be 3 given the absence of any N–H-indole borylation using 2 without an exogenous base (e.g. under Figure 3 conditions). Studies from Fontaine et al. indicated that N–H-indole reduction with L-BH$_3$ can proceed by C2–C3 hydroboration and subsequent C3-protodeboronation (Scheme 2).

In situ NMR spectroscopy studies of N–H-indole borylation using 3 revealed multiple indole intermediates. For facilitating their identification the borylation of N–H-indole and 5-Ch–N–H-indole with 3 was monitored in situ (Figures S56–S66). The major intermediates formed at room temperature displayed NMR data consistent with hydroboration and binding of the second boron at N (e.g. 5–H/S–Cl Figure 4), this included diastereotopic proton resonances consistent with a chiral indoline. Further reaction proceeded...
Although a species consistent with 6-H/6-Cl (e.g., two indole CH$_3$ units appearing as triplets in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, Figure S58). Heating to 100 °C consumed mixtures of 5-H(Cl)/6-H(Cl) with formation of one new product in each case. These displayed resonances consistent with 7-H (7-H was also crystallographically characterised, see below) and 7-Cl (Figure S66–S77) and these are the major products formed at the end of the reaction. Based on this data, the precedence from Fontaine and co-workers and subsequent reactions using N-Me-indole and N-H-indole as substrates (see below) we propose the mechanism shown in Figure 4. An alternative process to form 6 proceeding via D (formed by N-B bond formation and tautomerisation) is feasible but is disfavoured by the absence of diagnostic iminium C2-H resonances (by $^1$H and $^1$C$[1^1]$H NMR spectroscopy).

Regarding the solid-state structure of 7-H (Figure 4) this confirmed the product is reduced at C2/C3 and contains a single pyrazabole unit that has borylated the N and C7 positions, with the indoline N (N5) quaternized by a pyrazabole unit that has borylated the N and C7 through a species consistent with the indoline N (N5) quaternized by a single pyrazabole unit that has borylated the N and C7 positions, with the indoline N (N5) quaternized by proto-

Regarding the mechanism for forming C7-borylated indolines from 2-N-H-indole in the presence of the hindered base, 2,6-Bu-4-Me-pyridine (DBP, used to preclude N→B dative bond formation), we propose this proceeds via a different sequence, starting with rapid indole/indoline double borylation at C7 and C5.

Thus, the formation of C7-borylated indolines was observed under these conditions. However, in the absence of an exogenous base (as per the conditions in Figure 3) we propose the initial $\text{N}_\text{indole}(\text{H})$–$\text{B}_{\text{pyrazabole}}$ interaction is reversible and this ultimately leads to formation of 5 from combining N–H-indoles and 3. Thus when using an exogenous base/2, reduction/C7-borylation still proceeds (see Figure S79), but it is via a different sequence of steps to that using 3/no exogenous base.

Returning to the more atom efficient borylation method involving using just 3, if the mechanism in Figure 4 is correct then N–H-indole should undergo C7-borylation when treated with equinolar 2/hindered base. The treatment of N–H-indole with one equiv. 2 and DBP rapidly forms the N-borylation product 6-H, as the major species and then 7-H (slowly at room temperature, Figure S86). Heating leads to faster consumption of 6-H with 7-H formed as the major product (Scheme 3 and Figure S88). The identical NMR spectra for the intermediate assigned as 6-H starting from both N–H-indole and N–H-indoline confirms reduction precedes C7-borylation starting from N–H-indole/3. Furthermore, it indicates that the formation of C7-borylated
indolines can use N–H–indole (with 3/no base or 2/base) or N–H–indole (with 2/base) starting materials. Note, when using N–H indoles in the absence of exogenous base the C3-B unit (formed by indole hydroboration) can be viewed as acting as the Brønsted base for the N–H borylation step (as observed by Fontaine, Scheme 1).

To further support the initial hydroboration step (e.g. to form 5, Figure 4), N–Me-indole was used in place of N–H–indole as the N–Me group would disfavour any further reactivity such as the acidic N–H unit in 5 reacting with the B–C3 unit by protodeboronation to form 6 (Figure 4). The addition of 3 to N–Me-indole results in the formation of 9 (Figure 6) as the major product at room temperature, which could be isolated in 87 % yield. Compound 9 is the product from N–Me-indole hydroboration with 3, with one boron bonded to C3 and the second boron centre coordinating to the indoline nitrogen. The 1H NMR spectra for 9 contains three diastereotopic resonances for the indoline unit as expected (Figure S93). It should be noted that the unactivated pyrazabole 1 does not react with N–H–indole or N–Me–indole (even on heating). In addition, attempts to hydroborate N–Me–indole with (IMe)BH₂NTf₂ also led to no reaction at room temperature, suggesting that the facile hydroboration observed on combining 3 and N–Me–indole maybe due to the bis-hydroborane structure of electrophilic 3.

While the B–B separation in 9 is in the expected range, the B1-N1 bond in 9 is significantly longer than the B–NTf₂ bonds in 2 and the B2-N5 distance in 7-H, however it is comparable to the B–N distance in the Lewis adduct between N–H–indoline and B(C₆F₅)₃ (B–N 1.650(2) Å). To investigate if any dissociation of the B–Nindoline dative bond occurs 9 was heated with or without a hindered base present (targeting borylation at C4). This was unsuccessful leading to extremely slow reactivity at 100 °C (Figure S99), indeed 9 remains the major product even after 14 days at 100 °C while forming multiple intractable products at higher temperatures (160 °C). Finally, N-SiR₃–indoles were explored as the larger R,Si groups may disfavour boron coordination at N, e.g. in the R,Si analogues of 9. However, combining 3 with N-TMS-indole led to the hydroborated product 10 (Scheme 4) as the major species. Compound 10 forms at room temperature and while it frustrated isolation in our hands its assignment is based on its closely related NMR spectra to 9 (Figure S104). Heating of mixtures containing the hydroborated species 10 led to the formation of the C7-borylated indoline product 7-H as the major product (see Figure S105, S106). Using N-TIPS-indole (TIPS=trisopropylsilyl) also led on heating to the formation of 7-H, however in this case no hydroboration intermediate (e.g. 11) was observed. The major silane by-product observed by NMR spectroscopy was RSiH in both cases, presumably formed by abstraction of a hydride from a four coordinate at boron B–H unit of a pyrazabole species by a silicon electrophile, with related processes well-documented to occur. Regardless of the exact mechanism leading from N-R,Si–indoles/3 to 7-H, the fact that reduction/C7 borylation proceeds even using the large TIPS protecting group has to date frustrated our attempts to direct reactivity towards C3/C4 diborylation.

In conclusion, pyrazabole derived electrophiles are readily accessible reagents for converting N–H–indoles into C7-borylated indolines. The diboron core of pyrazabole, with a B–B separation of ca. 3 Å, is key for enabling the formation of two bonds from one pyrazabole unit to one indole/indoline. Importantly, while the B₂N₃ pyrazabole core is sufficiently robust to persist during electrophilic borylation (enabling borylation directed borylation), the B₂N₄ pyrazabole core is reactive enough that it can be converted into synthetically ubiquitous organoboron reagents (pinacol boronate esters) via a simple work-up. This balance between stability of the diboron core during E–H borylation and reactivity during work-up is essential to access the synthetic
desirable organoboron products. Work is ongoing in our laboratory using pyrazabole derived electrophiles and other ditopic diboron compounds to expand the borylation directed borylation approach.
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[8] While transient directing groups (DGs) that are installed and unmasked back to E–H in situ have not been reported previously in electrophilic borylation to our knowledge, a transient DG approach has been reported recently involving an aldehyde to imine conversion, see: a) S. Re, N. Chatani, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 2920; b) K. Yamazaki, S. Rej, Y. Ano, N. Chatani, Org. Lett. 2022, 24, 213. Selective protodeboronation of multi borylated indoles is well documented, for example see (and references therein): F. Shen, S. Tyagarajan, D. Perera, S. W. Krksa, P. E. Maligres, M. R. Smith III, R. E. Maleczka, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1554.


[15] A CCDC search in March 2022 for (C3H,N)2B2, based pyrazabole structures had B–B distances spanning 2.85–3.28 Å. While no N/C7 or C3/C4 diborylated indoles or indolines have been structurally characterised to date a C7-Bpin-N-pivaloyl-indole has been reported (see ref [5c]) and the distance for the B···B distances spanning 2.85–3.28 Å. While no N/C7 or C3/C4 diborylated indoles or indolines have been structurally characterised to date a C7-Bpin-N-pivaloyl-indole has been reported (see ref [5c]) and the distance for the B···B distances spanning 2.85–3.28 Å. While no N/C7 or C3/C4 diborylated indoles or indolines have been structurally characterised to date a C7-Bpin-N-pivaloyl-indole has been reported (see ref [5c]) and the distance for the B···B distances spanning 2.85–3.28 Å.


[30] Deposition Numbers 2114150, 2114151, 2114152, 2114153 and 2114154 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
Ditopic Boron Electrophiles


Borylation Directed Borylation of Indoles Using Pyrazabole Electrophiles: A One-Pot Route to C7-Borylated-Indolines

Electrophiles based on pyrazabole are simple to access reagents for the double E–H (E = N/C) borylation of N–H-indoles/indolines. Due to the robust B2N4 core of pyrazaboles and a B···B separation of ca. 3 Å, the first borylation directs the second to a proximal C–H. For N–H-indoles, C7-borylation occurs alongside reduction resulting, post work-up, in the one-pot formation of C7-BPin-indolines without requiring installation of a directing group.