

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

## The effects of paternal depression on child and adolescent outcomes

Citation for published version:

Sweeney, S & Macbeth, A 2016, 'The effects of paternal depression on child and adolescent outcomes: A systematic review', Journal of Affective Disorders (JAD). https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.073

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 

doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.073

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In:** Journal of Affective Disorders (JAD)

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Title: The effects of paternal depression on child and adolescent outcomes: A systematic review

Shaun Sweeney<sup>a</sup>; Dr. Angus MacBeth<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Edinburgh

Corresponding Author: Dr Angus MacBeth School of Health in Social Science The University of Edinburgh Rm 1M2 Doorway 6, Medical Quad Teviot Place Edinburgh, EH8 9AG

Tel: (+44) 131 651 3960 Fax: (+44) 131 651 3971 e-mail: angus.macbeth@ed.ac.uk

Running head: Paternal depression and offspring outcomes

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Dr MacBeth has previously received funding from an NHS Research Scotland Career Researchers Fellowship to develop a programme of research into the mental health in the children of parents with complex mental health difficulties.

### Disclosure

The authors have no current disclosures pertaining to this manuscript.

### Contributors

Both authors designed the review and wrote the protocol. SS managed the literature searches and review. SS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Both authors contributed to revisions and have approved the final manuscript.

### **Role of Funding Source**

There was no external funding involved in this review.

### Acknowledgements

None.

### Abstract

**Background**: Paternal depression has been associated with suboptimal developmental outcomes in offspring. We sought to systematically review the research evidence from prospective studies for an association between paternal depression and child adolescent emotional and behavioural outcomes. We also reviewed potential mediators of this association and sources of methodological bias.

**Methods**: A systematic review was conducted using the following databases: Medline, EMBASE, PsycINFO and Google Scholar. Reference lists of the included papers were also searched.

**Results**: Twenty-one studies were included in the review. Findings suggested that paternal depression does negatively impact upon offspring development. This impact is observable when paternal depression is present in the antenatal and postnatal stages and during offspring adolescence. The strength of this association is strongly reliant upon a number of contextual mediators, namely; paternal negative expressiveness, hostility and involvement and marital conflict. A quality assessment rating showed the studies were relatively strong methodologically.

**Limitations**: Heterogeneity regarding method of assessment and the magnitude and timing of exposure hinder attempts to make strong conclusions regarding the trajectory of paternal depression and its effects on child and adolescent outcomes.

**Conclusions**: Paternal mental health screening during pregnancy is necessary in order to identify and prevent depression negatively impacting offspring functioning. Including both parents in this process should encourage the alleviation of the environmental mediators which dominate the negative association outlined within the review. Research examining gene-environment interaction is necessary to uncover more accurate details regarding paternal depression and subsequent offspring vulnerability.

Key words: Paternal, depression, child, adolescent, development

### Highlights

- Paternal depression is associated with negative offspring outcomes.
- This association is subject to mediating factors to transmit risk.
- Potential mediators were marital conflict and paternal parenting behaviors.
- Internalizing behaviours were a more common outcome than externalizing behaviours.

### **Introduction**

Depression is a significant mental health issue, commonly associated with functional impairments across the lifespan. Evidence suggests that depression in childhood and adolescence is associated with a heightened risk of psychiatric hospitalization, recurrent disorder and elevated risk of attempted suicide in adulthood (Harrington et al. 1990, Harrington et al. 1994). Depression is also highly comorbid and commonly associated with increased risk of alcoholism and anxiety disorders (Boden & Ferguson, 2011; Moffitt et al. 2007). Offspring of depressed parents are frequently exposed to an elevated risk of externalizing and internalizing problems, lower neurobiological development, social and academic difficulties and subsequent development of adult psychopathology (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999; Natsuaki et al. 2014; Weissman et al. 2006). Indeed, children of depressed parents are almost three times as likely to experience a lifetime episode of depression as offspring of nondepressed parents (Lieb et al. 2002; Weissman et al. 1997). These negative associations between perinatal maternal depression and adverse child developmental outcomes have been widely studied (Beck, 1998; Goodman et al. 2011). However, the literature has tended to focus on the impact of maternal depression on offspring outcomes. There has been a recent increase in empirical research investigating the effects fathers' depression can have on offspring outcomes, with some evidence for an effect. However, the magnitude, mediators and timing is poorly understood (Kane & Garber, 2004; Kvalevaag et al. 2013), particularly with regard to potential critical periods.

### Timing of Paternal Depression and Offspring Outcomes

Paternal depressive symptoms during pregnancy have been shown to increase likelihood of excessive infant crying (van den Berg et al. 2009). From a developmental perspective, excessive infant crying has been associated with higher levels of negative reactivity and lower emotional regulation, as well as hearing difficulties as development continues (Hestbaek et al. 2014; Stifter & Spinrad, 2002). This association has also been linked with higher scores on the emotional symptoms scale when compared with children of fathers without depression at that stage (Ramchandani et al. 2008) and a higher risk of internalizing and externalizing problems (Kane & Garber, 2009). Research on postnatal depression and child development is more abundant and results indicate a strong association, with increased risk of emotional and behavioural problems in school-aged children (Weitzman et al. 2011). These heightened risks have also been linked with poorer academic performance (Doctoroff et al. 2006; Metsapelto et al. 2015), prosocial behaviour and peer problems (Davé et al. 2008), as well as internalizing symptoms (Ramchandani et al. 2005) which are highly comorbid (Cummings et al. 2014). Moreover, fewer paternal depressive symptoms can act as a protective factor or "buffer" for offspring when maternal depression is present in the family environment (Melrose, 2010). Similar results exist regarding the association of paternal depression and adolescent functioning with increased likelihood of anxiety and depressive symptoms and Major Depressive Disorder (Klein et al. 2005; Reeb et al. 2010; Reeb et al. 2015). Functional impairments like these make adolescents 6 times as likely to receive a diagnosis of a disorder in adulthood when compared with their typically developing peers (Hofstra et al. 2002).

### **Mechanisms of Risk**

Although genetic risks have been identified in the transmission of depression (Merikangas et al. 2002), gene environment interactions may constitute important moderators of risk for developing children (Caspi et al. 2003). Cultural and societal changes may also be relevant as fathers spend more direct time with their children than historically (Cabrera et al. 2000) with a corresponding impact on child development (Ramchandani & Psychogiou, 2009). Being raised by a depressed father may thus constitute an 'environmental' risk for offspring development (Natsuaki et al. 2014). Epidemiological research suggests that approximately 10% of fathers are susceptible to depression in the prenatal and postpartum stage with the highest risk existing in the 3-6 month postpartum period (Paulson et al. 2010).

There is also evidence that suggests depressed mothers are more likely than nondepressed mothers to practice poor parenting behaviours and have negative interactions with their children (Hops, 1995). Depressed mothers are also less likely to promote safety behaviours that may prevent injury and harm among their children and are more likely to use corporal punishment (Chung et al. 2004; Mclennan & Kotelchuck, 2000). In light of this, it has been proposed that the impact of depression on parenting behaviours (Middleton et al. 2009; Ramchandani & Psychogiou, 2009) could be a mediating mechanism for transmission of risk to offspring. Indeed, an impaired caregiver is considered to be a major childhood risk in the Adverse Childhood Experience (ACE) literature. ACE literature has found that parental mental illness and subsequent parenting behaviours contribute to an increased risk of offspring developing a range of medical and psychological disorders (Anda et al. 2002; Chartier et al. 2010). In addition, the ACE literature indicates that exposure to stress has a greater detrimental effect of developmental outcomes on a doseequivalent basis, and if exposure to stress occurs in the first 2 -3 years of life (e.g. Shonkoff et al., 2012; Kelly-Irving et al., 2013). This corresponds with evidence that the parenting a child or adolescent receives can significantly impact on emotional and behavioural development (Bayer et al. 2008; Stormshak et al. 2000). As parenting behaviours change due to depressive symptoms, hostility and marital conflict may increase, whilst the quality and frequency of father-child interaction decreases, which consequently impacts on offspring functioning (Davé et al. 2008; Franck & Buehler 2007; Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015; Middleton et al. 2009; Wilson & Durbin, 2010). Parents who exhibit harsh parenting techniques or display low levels of warmth towards their children have been found to increase the risk of developing depressed mood and conduct problems for their offspring (Hipwell et al. 2008; Young et al. 1995). Moreover, research indicates that depression among fathers increases the likelihood of spanking their one-year-old infants (Davis et al. 2011) and they are more likely to express aggravation and stress in parenting, when compared with nondepressed fathers (Bronte-Tinkew et al. 2005). This is of significance as emotional and behavioural difficulties can manifest themselves because of severe discipline from the caregiver towards the child (Bayer et al. 2008). Depressive disorders may also impact on quantity and quality of paternal-offspring interaction time a father spends with their child (Paulson et al. 2006; Ramchandani & Psychogiou, 2009).

### Methodological Considerations

There are also methodological difficulties with this emergent literature. In particular, there is a lack of consensus regarding operationalized definitions of paternal perinatal depression and male postpartum depression (Pilyoung & Swain, 2007). Several studies have used the maternal postpartum depression definition to form the basis of a parental postpartum depression definition (Pilyoung & Swain, 2007). However, this rests on the proposition that perinatal maternal and paternal present in the same way.

In addition, there is evidence of variance in the measurement of paternal depression. It is possible that issues regarding methodology, and the broader questions regarding timing and transmission of risk could be best informed by focussing on prospective study designs.

### Aim of the Current Review

Although there have been narrative reviews of the field (Ramchandani & Psychogiou, 2009), there has as yet, been no systematic review of prospective studies investigating the outcomes for offspring of depressed fathers. Therefore, this review sought to synthesise and critically evaluate prospective studies that have examined the association between paternal depression and offspring outcomes. Our primary aim was to establish the strength of association between paternal depressive symptoms or diagnosis of depression and negative child and adolescent outcomes. Secondary aims were to identify whether parenting behaviour was likely to mediate the associations between paternal depression and child and adolescent outcomes. We also sought to identify and evaluate potential methodological sources of bias in the literature.

### **Methods**

### Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were articles that included (i) an assessment of paternal depressive symptoms or diagnosis based on self-report or interview measures, (ii) a measure of offspring internalizing and/or externalizing behaviours, (iii) offspring sample of 21 years or younger, (iv) data which is presented and extractable on the association between paternal depression and offspring outcome (v) follow-up, cohort designs, (vi) were published in English.

Exclusion criteria were (i) studies that measured mental health but a depression score could not be derived from the data, (ii) book chapters, (iii) case studies, (iv) previous meta-analyses/systematic reviews.

### **Outcomes**

Outcomes were defined as internalizing and externalizing behaviours assessed using reliable and validated parent-report/teacher-report/self-report measures.

### Literature Search

The review followed PRISMA guidelines (BMJ, 2009). The Electronic databases searched were: OVID (EMBASE: 1980-April 2015, Medline: 1946-April 2015, PsycINFO: 1806-April 2015) and Google Scholar (1980- April 2015). Search terms 'paternal OR father\*' were joined with the terms 'depress\*' and 'adj5', and then combined with the Boolean operator 'AND', alongside the following terms; 'infant\*', 'child\*', 'adolescen\*', 'offspring', 'emotion\*', 'behav\*, 'psychopatholog\*' and 'development\*'. The truncation [\*] was employed to increase the sensitivity of the search. Once all duplicates were removed, inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to titles, abstracts and full-texts to establish study eligibility. The reference lists and citing papers of the included full-text papers were also searched for additional relevant articles. The remaining articles were reviewed in full and included in the review provided they met the inclusion criteria. The first author conducted the search and identification of relevant studies. Where eligibility of inclusion in the review was unclear (n=6), texts were reviewed for inclusion by the second author and a consensus agreement was reached. Based on this consensus, n=6 full-text articles were excluded. Full details of the extraction process are given in Figure 1.

### **Quality Assessment**

Quality assessment was conducted with an adapted version of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) methodology checklist (Williams et al. 2010). The AHRQ checklist assesses methodological quality of papers (details in Supplemental Table 1). This checklist was adapted to create a bespoke 11-item quality criteria assessment. Potential outcome ratings for each question in the quality criteria were; 'Yes' (2 points), 'Partially' (1 point), 'No' (0 points), and 'Not applicable' (0 points) with a range of 0-22. An individual blind to the aims of this review rated 30% of the studies, with 91.5% agreement on criteria. Any studies in which discrepancies existed were reassessed. A supplementary checklist on reporting bias was also created using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist (Von Elm et al. 2007) (Supplemental Table 2). As it was predicted that the literature is heterogeneous, the application of a further checklist enabled evaluation of the quality of reporting within the literature.

### 3. Results

### **Characteristics of the Studies**

All 21 of the studies included in the review employed a prospective cohort design; with the exception of Pilowsky et al. (2014) and Gross et al. (2008) which both reported secondary analyses of longitudinal Randomised Control Trials and Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. (2013) which used a cross-cohort design. Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. (2013) combined findings from the prospective Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and their Children (ALSPAC; Fraser et al. 2013) cohort and the Generation R (Jaddoe et al. 2010) cohort. A further seven studies used data from the ALSPAC cohort (Gutierrez-Galves et al. 2015, Hanington et al. 2010; Hanington et al. 2012; Ramchandani et al. 2005; Ramchandani et al. 2008a; Ramchandani et al. 2008b; Ramchandani et al. 2010). Two studies analysed the Generation R cohort (Van Den Berg et al. 2009; Velders et al. 2011), with Reeb et al. (2010) and Reeb et al. (2015) both using the same, unnamed cohort. Finally, Fletcher et al. (2011) analysed data from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC; Sanson et al. 2002) cohort. Each of the remaining studies used a variety of different cohorts with followup information gathered at various stages throughout infancy, childhood and adolescence. With the exception of the Van Battenburg-Eddes et al. (2013) paper, studies are present developmentally. For a full breakdown of study characteristics, see Table 1.

### **Sample Population**

The review identified a combined total of n=21970 fathers with samples ranging from n=9 (Pilowsky et al. 2014) to n=10975 (Ramchandani et al. 2008b). Based on studies where it was reported, the age range of fathers was from 28 to 43. A total of n=23,385 offspring were included, ranging from n=19 (Pilowsky et al. 2014) to n=10,494 (Hanington et al. 2010). Offspring age ranged from 1 month old (Carro et al. 1993) to 21 years old (Reeb et al. 2015). Only four studies examined offspring outcomes beyond 13 years old.

### Measurement of Paternal Depression

Paternal depression (incorporating paternal depressive symptoms and clinical diagnoses of depression) was assessed using a variety of self-report or interview

measures with measurement points ranging from 18 weeks gestation to offspring age 21. The most commonly used assessment tool was the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), which was utilized in 10 of the studies. Full details of paternal depression measures are outlined in Table 1, Appendix A

### Measurement of Child and Adolescent Outcomes

Child and adolescent outcomes were measured at assessment points ranging from 2 months old to 21 years old. Externalizing and internalizing behaviours were assessed using a number of self-report, parent-report and/or teacher-report measurement tools.

### Paternal Depression and Offspring Internalizing and Externalizing Behaviours

As can be seen in Table 2, results across studies indicate a pattern of weak to moderate significant negative associations between paternal depression and offspring outcomes. This association is observable when paternal depression is present in the antenatal stage, infancy, childhood or adolescence. However, the effect of this relationship is significantly reliant on various mediators.

### Internalizing Behaviours

Offspring internalizing difficulties were found to be associated with paternal depression in 17 of the included studies (Carro et al. 1993, age = 2-3 years), (Cummings et al. 2013, age = 6-8 years), (Fletcher et al. 2011, age = 4-5 years) (Gross et al. 2008, age = 4 years), (Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015, age = 42 & 81 months), (Hanington et al. 2010, age = 24 months), (Hanington et al. 2012, age = 42 months), (Keller et al. 2009, age = 6-8years), (Ramchandani et al. 2005, age = 42 months), (Ramchandani et al. 2008a, age = 81 & 91 months); Ramchandani et al. 2008b, age = 3.5 & 7 years), (Reeb et al. 2010, age = 13 & 14 years), Reeb et al. 2015, age 12 & 21 years), (Shelton & Harold, 2008, age = 11-13 years), (Smith et al. 2013, age = 51 months), (Van Den Berg et al. 2009, age = 2 months), (Velders et al. 2011, age = 3.5 years). Moreover, specific associations included excessive crying (OR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.09 –1.52) (Van Den Berg et al. 2009), child temperament (Beta=0.049; p < 0.001) (Hanington et al. 2010) and anxiety symptoms (Beta=0.134, p=0.002) (Reeb et al. 2015). Similarly, maternal depression has also been significantly associated with increased levels of offspring internalizing symptoms and negative affect (Goodman et al. 2011).

### **Externalizing Behaviours**

The association was also found for externalizing problems in 9 of the included studies (Carro et al. 1993, age = 2-3 years), (Fletcher et al. 2011, age = 4-5 years), (Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015, age = 42 & 81 months); Ramchandani et al. 2005, age = 42 months), (Ramchandani et al. 2008a, age = 81 & 91 months), (Ramchandani et al. 2008b, age = 3.5 and 7 years), (Smith et al. 2013, age = 51 months), (Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. 2013, age = 3-4 years), (Velders et al. 2011, age = 3.5 years). More specifically, conduct problems ( $2 \cdot 66$ ,  $1 \cdot 67 - 4 \cdot 25$ ) (Ramchandani et al. 2005); attention problems (OR 1.11, 95% CI 1.00–1.24) (Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. 2013) and oppositional defiant disorder (adjusted OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.04-3.61) (Ramchandani et al. 2008a) were all associated with paternal depression. These findings are similar to those in the corresponding literature, with small to moderate significant associations found between maternal depression and higher levels of offspring externalizing symptoms, negative behaviour and general psychopathology (Beck et al. 1999; Goodman et al. 2011).

### **Timing of Depression**

These findings also suggest that timing of paternal depression can negatively impact upon many stages of offspring development. Although only measured in 5 of the included studies, antenatal paternal depression associated with emotional problems in all 5 studies and behavioural problems in 4 of 5 included studies, in children aged between 2 months and 7.5 years (Hanington et al. 2012; Ramchandani et al. 2008b; Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. 2013; Van Den Berg et al. 2009; Velders et al. 2011). In the postnatal period, paternal depression was also associated with both internalizing (11 included studies) and externalizing problems (7 included studies) in early to late childhood, with associations present in offspring from 2 months old to 8 years old (Carro et al. 1993; Cummings et al. 2013; Fletcher et al. 2011; Gross et al. 2008; Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015; Hanington et al. 2010; Hanington et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2009; Ramchandani et al. 2005; Ramchandani et al. 2008a; Ramchandani et al. 2008b; Smith et al. 2013; Velders et al. 2011). Finally, in 3 studies, paternal depression was also associated with an increased risk of negative adolescent functioning, specifically internalizing symptoms, in offspring ages 11 to 21 years old (Reeb et al. 2010; Reeb et al. 2015; Shelton & Harold, 2008). These results are echoed in the literature examining maternal depression and offspring development, where exposure at earlier developmental stages increases the strength of the associations between the two variables (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999; Goodman et al. 2011).

Van Batenburg-Eddes et al. (2013) (Generation R cohort) and Ramchandani et al. (2013) (age 3 & 12 months) found no significant association between paternal depression and offspring attention and externalizing problems, respectively. Ramchandani et al. (2010) also found no significant association for children aged 6 and 81 months, however paternal symptoms predicted less offspring prosocial behaviours and finally, the association was no longer significant following adjustment for covariates in both the Smith et al. (2013) (age = 51 months) and the Velders et al. (2011) (age = 3.5 years) studies. Additionally, 4 papers (Hanington et al. 2012; Gross et al. 2008; Keller et al. 2009; Shelton & Harold, 2008) found no association between paternal depression and offspring (age range = 3.5 years) externalizing behaviours. Numerous mediators impacted on the strength and formation of the associations observed in the studies.

### Indirect Effect of Paternal Depression

Although the above evidence suggests a consistent pattern of weak to moderate associations between paternal depression and negative offspring outcomes, the reviewed studies indicate the presence of multiple potential mediators that also contribute to this association. This suggests a multi-factorial model of offspring risk, with direct and indirect effects on offspring outcomes. (See figure 2).

### Mediators

The most common mediators of risk were parenting behaviours (paternal hostility, father involvement, father's negative expressiveness), with this association arising in 7 of the included studies and marital conflict, which was apparent in 5 of the included studies. Paternal depressive symptoms increased the risk of child and adolescent internalizing and externalizing problems as a function of paternal hostility (Reeb et al. 2010; Velders et al. 2011). Ramchandani et al. (2010) indicated that prosocial and problem behaviours were less common with high levels of father involvement but were more likely with higher levels of paternal depression. Gutierrez-Galve et al. (2015) showed fathers' non-involvement accounted for 5.4% of the total effect of paternal depression on child development at age 3.5 and 8.4% at age 7. Fathers'

negative expressiveness significantly mediated the impact of paternal symptoms on children's internalizing symptoms (Cummings et al. 2013; Keller et al. 2009; Shelton & Harold, 2008), externalizing symptoms (Shelton & Harold, 2008) and emotional insecurity (Cummings et al. 2013). Analogous to this, parenting difficulties as a result of depression play an important role in mediating the impact of maternal depression on offspring development (Murray & Cooper. 1997; Stein et al. 1991). Marital conflict accounted for 27.4% of the total effect of paternal depression on child outcomes at age 3.5 years and 27.2% of the total effect at follow-up (Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015). Similarly, Hanington et al. (2012) found that marital conflict increased the impact of fathers' symptoms on offspring outcomes by 17.6%. Marital conflict also strongly mediated the formation of complex associations between paternal depression and child emotional and behavioural difficulties (Keller et al. 2009; Shelton and Harold, 2008 Smith et al. 2013). These findings are again consistent with those in the literature examining maternal depression and offspring outcomes (Cummings & Davies, 1994).

It should be noted, in each study that controlled for maternal depression, paternal depression remained independently associated with increased risk of negative offspring outcomes. Although maternal depression is substantial in heightening the risk, significance of fathers' depression remains in the studies whether maternal depression was present or not.

### **Study Quality**

Study methodological quality scores are presented in Table 3. Scores ranged from 11 to 18. The most common methodological issues arose in relation to justification of sample size, with only a single study conducting a power analysis whilst also having a sufficiently large sample size to detect a clinically significant difference of 5% (Smith et al. 2013). The blinding of the assessors was unaccounted for consistently across the included studies, with again only one study employing partial blinding of the researchers (Pilowsky et al. 2014). This may have been prevented with the introduction of external assessors blind to participants' clinical status. Finally, bias in cohort selection existed, with only five studies outlining one or both of the inclusion/exclusion criteria (Cummings et al. 2013; Keller et al. 2009; Ramchandani

et al. 2013; Shelton & Harold, 2008; Smith et al. 2013). However, we note that the majority of the literature (10 papers) in this area are derived from analyses of three large cohort designs such as ALSPAC, Generation R and LSAC, and as such rely on these cohort protocols for these details. In addition to this, two studies employed bias in their sample selection techniques, with the use of flyers to obtain participants (Cummings et al. 2013; Keller et al. 2009). The highest rating achieved was 18 points (Ramchandani et al. 2008b; Smith et al. 2013), suggesting that these two studies are strongest methodologically. Question 2 of the quality criteria was not applicable to the majority of the studies due to their designs.

Regarding the statistical analyses utilized, the majority of the studies provide a comprehensive outline of effect sizes and confidence intervals. Just five of the studies refer to power calculations in their papers (Hanington et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2009; Ramchandani et al. 2008b; Ramchandani et al. 2010; Van Den Berg et al. 2009), however if the sample size is large, or the sample size is referred to as a limitation, it could be reasonably determined that power issues have been considered and addressed appropriately.

Concerning the validation and reliability of the measurement tools used to assess paternal depression, all studies scored highly on this criterion, however the reporting of testing for this validity is not always clear (Cummings et al. 2013; Fletcher et al. 2011, Gross et al. 2008; Keller et al. 2009; Pilowsky et al. 2014; Shelton & Harold, 2008). This issue also arose when reporting offspring measurements (Gross et al. 2008; Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015; Pilowsky et al. 2014; Ramchandani et al. 2005; Shelton & Harold, 2008; Van Den Berg et al. 2009).

All but one of the studies had at least one follow-up point a minimum of 6 months after collection of baseline data (Van Den Berg et al. 2009). Yet, the length of these follow-up points varied considerably, meaning the influence of time is unclear. With regards to attrition rates, drop-out figures are reported in all but two of the studies (Fletcher et al. 2011; Gross et al. 2008) and the calculation of missing data to account for any potential bias of the dropouts is reported in a minority of studies (Cummings et al. 2013; Gross et al. 2008; Ramchandani et al. 2008a; Reeb et al. 2015; Shelton & Harold, 2008; Smith et al. 2013; Van Batenburgh-Eddes et al. 2013; Van Den Berg et al. 2009; Velders et al. 2011).

The assessment of confounding variables and their inclusion in analyses was relatively strong throughout the included studies. Consideration of at least of one of maternal depression, parenting behaviours or marital conflict were considered by all of the studies.

In terms of quantifying study quality, the mean total score was 14, with only one study rated more than two points below this. The remaining studies scored within two points of this mean, with twelve rated equal to, or above this. Based on this pattern of results we suggest that the quality of the literature is relatively consistent with evidence that the findings are methodologically robust.

### **Discussion**

The results of our systematic review indicate a pattern of associations between paternal depression and increased risk of internalizing and externalizing behaviours in offspring. This association is evident across the developmental frame from offspring age of 2 months to 21 years old. Associations were stronger and more common in early childhood, suggesting this may be a particularly sensitive period of development. This is consistent with previous literature examining the impact of maternal depression on child outcomes, which states that earlier exposure can result in an increased vulnerability to atypical development (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999; Goodman et al. 2011). The reported association was evident both for depression measured at antenatal and postnatal time-points. Negative offspring outcomes incorporated a broad range of marker of suboptimal outcomes from increased risk for psychiatric disorders, oppositional defiant and conduct disorders (Ramchandani et al. 2008a), to excessive infant crying (Van Den Berg et al. 2009). Associations remain significant across offspring development at follow-up and into young adulthood, however fewer studies examined outcomes beyond the age of 13. Furthermore, the associations between paternal depression and offspring outcomes remained significant after controlling for key covariates, such as maternal depression. This does not necessarily suggest a direct causal link for transmission of risk, as the direct of paternal depression on offspring problem behaviours was of a relatively modest size. Therefore any transmission of psychopathological risk is likely to be subject to a number of indirect mechanisms to mediate the strength of this association, including

parenting behaviours and marital conflict. In addition, there is also a lack of specificity over the magnitude and timing of exposure, with duration of observation and assessment varying widely in the studies. Although the association is smaller and less direct, these findings are similar to those established in the corresponding literature on maternal depression and offspring outcomes.

In addition, we note that our results also need to be integrated with the substantial literature on sensitive periods within the child developmental neuroscience literature. (Cichetti, 2015). Our findings support the proposition from multiple domains of analysis that exposure to stress early in life had a dose-dependent effect on increasing the risk of later psychopathology, particularly in the domain of internalizing behaviours (Andersen 2015). That said, much of this literature is based on either animal models or in relation to the mother-infant dyad. Therefore, the review's findings sit within a translational developmental psychopathology paradigm, whilst highlighting the relevance of paternal psychopathology in this line of enquiry.

### Mechanisms of Transmissions of Risk

Fathers who exhibit depressive symptoms during the postnatal period have been found to obtain a lesser sense of parenting efficacy and increased levels of parental distress (Demontigny et al. 2013). These findings may be linked to the impact that depression also has on subsequent parenting behaviours and father involvement. In such a case, this would likely impact on the father-child relationship. One possibility is that deficits in the father-child bond increase the risk of childhood insecure attachment patterns, in response to stress. Research suggests that maternal depression decreases the likelihood of secure attachment and increases the risk for avoidant and disorganized attachment (Martins & Gaffan, 2000; Teti et al. 1995). These types of attachment have commonly been linked to a catalogue of internalizing and externalizing behaviours (Colennessi et al. 2014; Groh et al. 2012; Jinyao et al. 2012). If this same potentiation of risk applies to paternal attachment then this could constitute one developmental pathway from paternal depression to offspring vulnerability. Consistent with this model, father interaction and father noninvolvement are both associated with child internalizing and externalizing behaviours (Wilson & Durbin, 2010) and these are two primary mechanisms through which paternal depression can negatively impact upon child outcomes.

We also observed that in several studies (N=7), marital conflict significantly mediates the association between paternal depression and offspring development. For example, the presence of paternal depression increases the risk for marital conflict and this conflict has been suggested to increase by up to 50% between the antenatal and postnatal period in families with depressed parents, resulting in a large risk increase for children (Hanington et al. 2012). This supports the suggestion that paternal depression exerts its influence by acting as the catalyst of a series of actions that combine to negatively impact upon their children. This method is considerably different to how maternal depression impacts offspring, with the influence much more direct and less reliant on contextual mechanisms (Gutierrez-Galve et al. 2015). This finding corresponds with the ACE literature which notes that marital conflict can be considered an adverse childhood experience which may result in suboptimal development for offspring (Chartier et al. 2010).

### Limitations

We note several limitation of the review. Firstly, as discussed above, although there are consistent associations between paternal depression and offspring outcomes, these are of a small to moderate magnitude and subject to multiple mediators. A potential explanation for this may be that depressive symptoms of those who participated in the study at follow-up were lower than those who dropped out (Ramchandani et al. 2010; Van Den Berg et al. 2009). Children of fathers with higher symptoms were less likely to partake in the follow-up and this sub-group had higher rates of anxiety disorder (Ramchandani et al. 2008a). Therefore, we cannot comment on the impact of higher or more complex levels of paternal psychopathology on offspring outcomes. This suggests that clinical or more severe cases of depression may increase the negative effect on offspring development. We also note a potential Hawthorne Effect, given that most of these data arise from longitudinal cohorts with multiple follow-up points. Consequently, the act of being monitored within a cohort study may act as a form of additional support, buffering the effect for paternal symptoms, which again may explain the modest associations.

The timing of assessments may also have affected the results. This is reflected in differing rates for offspring emotional and behavioural based on whether paternal depression is assessed antenatally or postnatally. We also note that only 5 of the 21

included studies measured paternal antenatal depression. However, fathers who had both antenatal and postnatal depression posed the greatest risk to their offspring (Ramchandani et al. 2008b). This suggests that the literature needs a greater focus on antenatal depression measurement, in order to establish the reliability of findings, particularly across multiple developmental measurement points.

Paternal depression was almost exclusively measured using self-report questionnaires introducing a potential reporting bias. Indeed, men have been found to under-report their levels of depression to a greater extent than females (Allen-Burge et al. 1994; Eaton et al. 2000), which may contribute to the small effect, found relating to paternal depression and offspring outcomes. Additionally, there was a high degree of heterogeneity amongst depression measures used. The most frequent measure utilized was the EPDS, which although validated for use in men, also conflates depressive and anxiety symptoms (Green, 1998; Stuart et al. 1998). This also raises the possibility that paternal anxiety confounds the association between father and child characteristics.

Furthermore, owing to the restriction of including English-only papers, the review may not be open to cross-cultural comparisons. However, studies that were included examined cohorts in the UK, the USA, the Netherlands and Australia, meaning there is more than an element of generalizability for this review. There is also potential bias from over-representation of certain samples, given the included literature includes multiple reports from the ALSPAC, Generation R and LSAC cohorts.

In addition, several studies used parent reporting of offspring internalizing and externalizing behaviours. These self-report measures may introduce subjective bias as cognitive-affective aspects of paternal depressive symptoms may impact on fathers' perceptions of both the father-child relationship and their child's problem behaviours (Treutler & Epkins, 2003). This underscores the need to conceptualize associations between paternal mental health and child outcomes with appropriate reference to mediating mechanisms, particularly interpersonal factors such as paternal

involvement. Moreover, although evidence regarding the existence of bidirectional relationships of paternal depression and offspring characteristics may be limited (Gross et al. 2008), this may also impact on fathers' interpretation and subsequent rating of child symptoms. In addition, studies were only conducted in UK, Europe, Australia and USA limiting cross-cultural generalizability of the findings. The role of the father differs across cultures, with father-involvement varying in degree throughout the world and as such the effect of paternal depression may not be as strong on children in other cultures (Munroe & Munroe, 1992).

Finally, we note that the primary aim of this systematic review was to examine the association between paternal depressive symptoms or diagnosis of depression and negative child and adolescent outcomes looking across the published literature. Our findings suggest that a critical next step is to further clarify effects using meta-analysis or similar statistical modelling, in order to address cross-study effects. Our review highlights that meta-analytic approaches would need to incorporate methodological aspects within the existing literature, including heterogeneity of measures, time periods and outcomes across different studies.

### **Implications for Future Research**

A clear finding from the review is the need for more detailed examination of mediating factors between paternal depression and offspring outcomes – particularly with regard to environmental associations between paternal depression and offspring outcomes is necessary. Whilst the review has identified several potential mechanisms, it is still unclear *how* paternal depression enables or obstructs the intergenerational transmission of psychopathology. More detailed research would create a theoretical basis for treatments during the perinatal period. We also note that the data on severity of paternal depression is relatively sparse; therefore future researching that explores stratification of samples by depression severity would be a welcome addition to the area.

The research suggests that offspring differ in their susceptibility to paternal depression, with no clear pattern with regard to gender specific risk factors between girls or boys (Hanington et al. 2010; Reeb et al. 2010; Ramchandani et al. 2005). <u>This</u> is puzzling, given the well-known observation of differing patterns of risk to specific

forms of developmental psychopathology between genders, with girls more likely to exhibit internalizing disorders, and boys to present with externalizing disorders (Zahn-Waxler, Shirtcliff and Marceau, 2008). The existing literature proposes that these gender differences reflect a confluence of biological and environmental factors, operating at multiple domains of enquiry. To further investigate these gender difference in the case of paternal depression, one possibility would be to explore gene-environment interactions, with the potential for multi-level analyses as has been applied to the literature on conduct disorder (Meier et al., 2011, Moffit & Caspi, 2001). Another approach would be to use different study designs to inform potential associations, such as twin-studies (Kim-Cohen et al. 2005) or adoption studies (Tully et al. 2008), which may shed more light on this topic.

With regard to methodological improvements, blind research measurement of fathers' depressive symptoms would provide a more objective assessment. Furthermore, greater uniformity of assessment tools and timings would also facilitate cross-study comparisons and may improve quality of evaluating child outcomes across developmental periods. We also highlight a paucity of research on antenatal paternal depression. Further research on fathers' with depression during the gestation period and subsequent offspring behaviours will provide supplementary information on risk transmission.

### **Implications for Clinical Practice**

The review highlights the potential value of mental health screening of both parents during pregnancy. Women are consistently screened for postnatal depression but this was until recently, rarely the case for men (Goodman, 2004). Both professional and public acknowledgement that men can experience depression during pregnancy and beyond and the negative implications this may have for offspring development presents an opportunity for the development of preventative interventions. This may also prove to be cost efficient, as paternal postnatal depression is resulting in augmented community care costs for primary care, mental health groups and hospital services (Edoka et al. 2011). In parallel to this, there would be value in considering what protective factors may be specific to the father-child relationship, in addition to more general protective factors such as attachment security (Brown et al, 2013).

In addition, these findings suggest that, from a more holistic perspective, interventions for paternal depression may be improved by engaging with the whole family, focussing on parenting behaviours, parent-child interaction and marital conflict as this could greatly reduce the negative impact of paternal depression. The assessment of the family environment and functioning may enhance couple and family relationships. This has the potential to improve family life, communication and reduce depressive symptoms in fathers (Pilyoung & Swain, 2007). This is especially important as the risk of depression co-existing in partners is higher, and normal paternal mental health can buffer the effects of maternal depression on offspring outcomes (Gere et al. 2013). Finally, the review highlights the complex interactional nature of parenting relationships, particularly when viewed longitudinally. Intervention frameworks may therefore need to accommodate an awareness of dynamic, bidirectional interactions within the family unit. For instance, an infants' constitutional difficulties at any given time (e.g. colic, dysregulated sleep patterns) may contribute to family stress, which in turn may contribute to increased levels of parental sleeplessness, distress and low mood, which can affect parenting, and lead to babies becoming more uncomfortable/difficult (Gross et al., 2008; Hestbaek et al., 2014).

### Conclusion

This review synthesises existing data demonstrating that paternal depression can play a significant role in negatively impacting upon infant, child and adolescent development. The strength of the association varied in the literature, however it supports the findings of recent literature that fathers can have a significant impact on offspring outcomes (Ramchandani & Psychogiou, 2009). This review has also identified and quantified the many mechanisms in which paternal symptoms exert their influence. This primarily occurs through family environment mechanisms. Further research is needed on mechanism of transmission in order to fully understand the extent of this association. Early intervention, which can identify and address both parents' mental health needs, is potentially required to provide the optimum opportunity for child development.

### List of Tables and Figures:

Table 1: Characteristics of included studiesTable 2: Results for significant associationsTable 3: Quality Assessment TableFigure 1: Flowchart of Literature searchFigure 2: Mediational model of risk

Supplementary Table 1: AHRQ Checklist

Supplementary Table 2: Strobe Checklist

Supplementary material (not for review) – PRISMA Checklist

### **References**

- Allen-Burge, R., Storandt, M., Kinscherf, D. A., & Rubin, E. H. (1994). Sex differences in the sensitivity of two self-report depression scales in older depressed inpatients. Psychology and Aging, 9, 443–445
- Anda, R. F., Whitfield, C. L., Felitti, V. J., Chapman, D., Edwards, V. J., Dube, S. R.,
  & Williamson, D. F. (2002). Adverse childhood experiences, alcoholic parents, and later risk of alcoholism and depression. *Psychiatric services*, *27*, *713 725*.
- Andersen, S.L., 2015. Exposure to early adversity: Points of cross-species translation that can lead to improved understanding of depression. Development and psychopathology, 27(02), pp.477-491.
- Batenburg-Eddes, V., Brion, M. J., Henrichs, J., Jaddoe, V. W. V., Hofman, A.,
  Verhulst, F. C., ... & Tiemeier, H. (2013). Parental depressive and anxiety
  symptoms during pregnancy and attention problems in children: a cross-cohort
  consistency study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(5), 591-600.
- Bayer, J, Hiscock, H, Ukoumunne, O, Price, A, & Wake, M. (2008). Early childhood aetiology of mental health problems: a longitudinal population-based study, Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, And Allied Disciplines, 49, 11, pp. 1166-1174.
- Beck, C. T. (1998). The effects of postpartum depression on child development: a meta-analysis. *Archives of psychiatric nursing*, *12*(1), 12-20.
- Beck, C. T. (1999). Maternal depression and child behaviour problems: a metaanalysis. *Journal of advanced nursing*, *29*(3), 623-629.
- Boden, J. M., & Fergusson, D. M. (2011). Alcohol and depression. *Addiction*,106(5), 906-914.
- British Medical Journal. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535.

- Bronte-Tinkew, J., Moore, K. A., Matthews, G., & Carrano, J. (2007). Symptoms of major depression in a sample of fathers of infants sociodemographic correlates and links to father involvement. *Journal of family Issues*, *28*(1), 61-99.
- Brown, G.L., Mangelsdorf, S.C. and Neff, C., 2012. Father involvement, paternal sensitivity, and father– child attachment security in the first 3 years. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *26*(3), p.421.

<u>Cicchetti, D., 2015. Neural plasticity, sensitive periods, and psychopathology.</u> <u>Development and Psychopathology, 27(02), pp.319-320.</u>

- Cabrera, N. J., Tamis-LeMonda, C. S., Bradley, R. H., Hofferth, S., & Lamb, M. E. (2000). Fatherhood in the twenty-first century. *Child development*, 127-136.
- Carro, M. G., Grant, K. E., Gotlib, I. H., & Compas, B. E. (1993). Postpartum depression and child development: An investigation of mothers and fathers as sources of risk and resilience. *Development and Psychopathology*, 5(04), 567-579.
- Caspi, A., Sugden, K., Moffitt, T. E., Taylor, A., Craig, I. W., Harrington, H., ... & Poulton, R. (2003). Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. *Science*, *301*(5631), 386-389.
- Chartier, M. J., Walker, J. R., & Naimark, B. (2010). Separate and cumulative effects of adverse childhood experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. *Child abuse & neglect*, *34*(6), 454-464.
- Chung, E. K., McCollum, K. F., and Elo, I. T., et al. (2004). Maternal depressive symptoms and infant health practices among low-income women. Electronic Article. *Pediatrics*, 113, e523-e529.
- Colonnesi, C., Draijer, E. M., Stams, G. M., Van der Bruggen, C. O., Bogels, S. M., & Noom, M. J. (2011). The Relation between Insecure Attachment and Child Anxiety: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal Of Clinical Child And Adolescent Psychology*, *40*(4), 630-645.
- Cummings, E. M., Cheung, R. Y., & Davies, P. T. (2013). Prospective relations between parental depression, negative expressiveness, emotional insecurity,

and children's internalizing symptoms. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 44(6), 698-708.

- Cummings, C. M., Caporino, N. E., & Kendall, P. C. (2014). Comorbidity of anxiety and depression in children and adolescents: 20 years after. *Psychological Bulletin*, *140*(3), 816-845.
- Davé, S., Sherr, L., Senior, R., & Nazareth, I. (2008). Associations between paternal depression and behaviour problems in children of 4–6 years. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*, 17(5), 306-315.
- Davies, P. T., & Cummings, E. M. (1994). Marital conflict and child adjustment: an emotional security hypothesis. *Psychological bulletin*, *116*(3), 387.
- Davis, R. N., Davis, M. M., Freed, G. L., & Clark, S. J. (2011). Fathers' depression related to positive and negative parenting behaviors with 1-year-old children. *Pediatrics*, *127*(4), 612-618.
- Demontigny F, Girard ME, Lacharité C, Dubeau D, & Devault A. (2013). Psychosocial factors associated with paternal postnatal depression. J Affect Disord. 150(1):44–49
- Doctoroff, G. L., Greer, J. A., & Arnold, D. (2006). The relationship between social behavior and emergent literacy among preschool boys and girls. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 27, 1–13.
- Eaton, W. W., Neufeld, K., Chen, L. S., & Cai, G. (2000). A comparison of self-report and clinical diagnostic interviews for depression: Diagnostic Interview Schedule and Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry in the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area follow-up. Archives of General Psychiatry, 57, 217–222.
- Edoka, I. P., Petrou, S., & Ramchandani, P. G. (2011). Healthcare costs of paternal depression in the postnatal period. *Journal of affective disorders*,*133*(1), 356-360.
- Fletcher, R. J., Feeman, E., Garfield, C., & Vimpani, G. (2011). The effects of early paternal depression on children's development. *Medical journal of Australia*, 195(11), 685-689.

- Franck, K. L., & Buehler, C. (2007). A family process model of marital hostility, parental depressive affect, and early adolescent problem behavior: The roles of triangulation and parental warmth. Journal of Family Psychology, 21, 614–625.
- Fraser, A., Macdonald-Wallis, C., Tilling, K., Boyd, A., Golding, J., Smith, G. D., & Lawlor, D. A. (2013). Cohort profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *International journal of epidemiology*, 42(1), 97-110.
- Gere, M. K., Hagen, K. A., Villabø, M. A., Arnberg, K., Neumer, S. P., & Torgersen,
  S. (2013). Fathers' mental health as a protective factor in the relationship
  between maternal and child depressive symptoms. *Depression and anxiety*, 30(1), 31-38.
- Goodman, J. H. (2004). Paternal postpartum depression, its relationship to maternal postpartum depression, and implications for family health. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 45, 26–35.
- Goodman, S. H., & Gotlib, I. H. (1999). Risk for psychopathology in the children of depressed mothers: a developmental model for understanding mechanisms of transmission. *Psychological review*, *106*(3), 458.
- Goodman, S. H., Rouse, M. H., Connell, A. M., Broth, M. R., Hall, C. M., & Heyward, D. (2011). Maternal depression and child psychopathology: a metaanalytic review. *Clinical child and family psychology review*, *14*(1), 1-27.
- Green, J. M. (1998). Postnatal depression or perinatal dysphoria? Findings from a longitudinal community-based study using the Edinburgh Postnatal
  Depression Scale. Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology, 16, 143–155.
- Groh, A. M., Roisman, G. I., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J.,
  & Fearon, R. P. (2012). The Significance of Insecure and Disorganized
  Attachment for Children's Internalizing Symptoms: A Meta-Analytic
  Study. *Child Development*, (2), 591.

- Gross, H. E., Shaw, D. S., Moilanen, K. L., Dishion, T. J., & Wilson, M. N. (2008).
  Reciprocal models of child behavior and depressive symptoms in mothers and fathers in a sample of children at risk for early conduct problems. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(5), 742.
- Gutierrez-Galve, L., Stein, A., Hanington, L., Heron, J., & Ramchandani, P. (2015). Paternal depression in the postnatal period and child development: mediators and moderators. *Pediatrics*, *135*(2), e339-e347.
- Hanington, L., Heron, J., Stein, A., & Ramchandani, P. (2012). Parental depression and child outcomes–is marital conflict the missing link?. *Child: care, health and development, 38*(4), 520-529.
- Hanington, L., Ramchandani, P., & Stein, A. (2010). Parental depression and child temperament: Assessing child to parent effects in a longitudinal population study. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 33(1), 88-95.
- Harrington, R., Fudge, H., Rutter, M., Pickles, A., & Hill, J. (1990). Adult outcomes of childhood and adolescent depression: I. Psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 47(5), 465-473.
- Harrington, R., Bredenkamp, D., Groothues, C., Rutter, M., Fudge, H., & Pickles, A. (1994). Adult outcomes of childhood and adolescent depression. III Links with suicidal behaviours. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*,35(7), 1309-1319.
- Hestbaek, L., Sannes, M. M., & Lous, J. (2014). Large cohort study finds a statistically significant association between excessive crying in early infancy and subsequent ear symptoms. *Acta Paediatrica*, *103*(5)
- Hipwell, A, Keenan, K, Kasza, K, Loeber, R, Stouthamer-Loeber, M, & Bean, T.(2008). Reciprocal influences between girls' conduct problems and depression, and parental punishment and warmth: a six year prospective analysis, Journal Of Abnormal Child Psychology, 36, 5, pp. 663-677.
- Hofstra, M. B., Van Der Ende, J., & Verhulst, F. C. (2002). Child and adolescent problems predict DSM-IV disorders in adulthood: a 14-year follow-up of a

Dutch epidemiological sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *41*(2), 182-189.

- Hops, H. (1995). Age and gender specific effects of parental depression: A commentary. *Developmental Psychology*, 31(3), 428-431.
- Jaddoe, V. W., van Duijn, C. M., van der Heijden, A. J., Mackenbach, J. P., Moll, H. A., Steegers, E. A., & Hofman, A. (2010). The Generation R Study: design and cohort update 2010. *European journal of epidemiology*, 25(11), 823-841.
- Jinyao, Y., Xiongzhao, Z., Auerbach, R. P., Gardiner, C. K., Lin, C., Yuping, W., & Shuqiao, Y. (2012). Insecure attachment as a predictor of depressive and anxious symptomology. *Depression And Anxiety*, *29*(9), 789-796.
- Kane, P., & Garber, J. (2004). The relations among depression in fathers, children's psychopathology, and father–child conflict: A meta-analysis. *Clinical psychology review*, *24*(3), 339-360.
- Kane, P., & Garber, J. (2009). Parental depression and child externalizing and internalizing symptoms: Unique effects of fathers' symptoms and perceived conflict as a mediator. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 18(4), 465-472.
- Keller, P. S., Mark Cummings, E., Peterson, K. M., & Davies, P. T. (2009). Marital conflict in the context of parental depressive symptoms: Implications for the development of children's adjustment problems. *Social Development*,18(3), 536-555.
- Kelly-Irving, M., Lepage, B., Dedieu, D., Bartley, M., Blane, D., Grosclaude, P., Lang, T. and Delpierre, C., 2013. Adverse childhood experiences and premature all-cause mortality. *European journal of epidemiology*, *28*(9), pp.721-734.
- Klein, D. N., Lewinsohn, P. M., Rohde, P., Seeley, J. R., & Olino, T. M. (2005).
  Psychopathology in the adolescent and young adult offspring of a community sample of mothers and fathers with major depression. Psychological Medicine, 35, 353–365.

- Kim-Cohen, J., Moffitt, T. E., Taylor, A., Pawlby, S. J., & Caspi, A. (2005). Maternal depression and children's antisocial behavior: nature and nurture effects. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(2), 173-181.
- Kvalevaag, A. L., Ramchandani, P. G., Hove, O., Assmus, J., Eberhard-Gran, M., & Biringer, E. (2013). Paternal mental health and socioemotional and behavioral development in their children. *Pediatrics*, 131(2), e463-e469.
- Lieb, R., Isensee, B., Hofler, M., Pfister, H., & Wittchen, H. -U. (2002). Parental major depression and the risk of depression and other mental disorders in offspring. Archives of General Psychiatry, 59, 365–374.
- Martins, C., & Gaffan, E. A. (2000). Effects of early maternal depression on patterns of infant–mother attachment: A meta-analytic investigation. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *41*(06), 737-746.
- McLennan, J. D. and Kotelchuck, M. (2000). Parental prevention practices for young children in the context of maternal depression. *Pediatrics*. 105(5), 1090-1095.
- Meier, M. H., Slutske, W. S., Heath, A. C., & Martin, N. G. (2011). Sex Differences in the Genetic and Environmental Influences on Childhood Conduct Disorder and Adult Antisocial Behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *120*(2), 377– 388.
- Melrose, S. (2010). Paternal postpartum depression: How can nurses begin to help?. *Contemporary Nurse*, *34*(2), 199-210.
- Merikangas, K. R., Chakravarti, A., Moldin, S. O., Araj, H., Blangero, J., Burmeister, M., et al. (2002). Future of genetics ofmood disorders research. Biological Psychiatry,52, 457–477.
- Metsapelto, R., Pakarinen, E., Kiuru, N., Poikkeus, A., Lerkkanen, M., & Nurmi, J. (2015). Developmental dynamics between children's externalizing problems, task-avoidant behavior, and academic performance in early school years: a 4year follow-up. *Journal Of Educational Psychology*, (1)
- Middleton, M., Scott, S. L., & Renk, K. (2009). Parental depression, parenting behaviours, and behaviour problems in young children. *Infant and Child Development*, *18*(4), 323-336.

- Moffitt, T. E., & Caspi, A. (2001). Childhood predictors differentiate life-course persistent and adolescence-limited antisocial pathways among males and females. *Development and psychopathology*, *13*(02), 355-375.
- Moffitt, T. E., Harrington, H., Caspi, A., Kim-Cohen, J., Goldberg, D., Gregory, A.
  M., & Poulton, R. (2007). Depression and generalized anxiety disorder:
  cumulative and sequential comorbidity in a birth cohort followed
  prospectively to age 32 years. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64(6), 651-660.
- Munroe, R., & Munroe, R. (1992). Fathers in children's environments: A four culture study. In B. Hewlett (Ed.), Father-child relations: Cultural and biosocial contexts. (pps. 213-229). New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Murray, L., & Cooper, P. J. (1997). Effects of postnatal depression on infant development. *Archives of disease in childhood*, *77*(2), 99-101.
- Natsuaki, M. N., Shaw, D. S., Neiderhiser, J. M., Ganiban, J. M., Harold, G. T., Reiss,
  D., & Leve, L. D. (2014). Raised by Depressed Parents: Is it an Environmental
  Risk?. *Clinical child and family psychology review*, *17*(4), 357-367.
- Paulson, J. F., & Bazemore, S. D. (2010). Prenatal and postpartum depression in fathers and its association with maternal depression: a metaanalysis. *Jama*,303(19), 1961-1969.
- Paulson, J. F., Dauber, S., & Leiferman, J. A. (2006). Individual and combined effects of postpartum depression in mothers and fathers on parenting behavior.*Pediatrics*, *118*(2), 659-668.
- Pilowsky, D. J., Wickramaratne, P., Poh, E., Hernandez, M., Batten, L. A., Flament,
  M. F., ... & Weissman, M. M. (2014). Psychopathology and functioning among children of treated depressed fathers and mothers. *Journal of affective disorders*, *164*, 107-111.
- Pilyoung, K., & Swain, J. E. (2007). Sad dads: Paternal postpartum depression.*Psychiatry (edgmont)*, *4*(2), 35.
- Ramchandani, P. G., O'Connor, T. G., Evans, J., Heron, J., Murray, L., & Stein, A. (2008). The effects of pre-and postnatal depression in fathers: a natural

experiment comparing the effects of exposure to depression on offspring. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49(10), 1069-1078.

- Ramchandani, P. G., Stein, A., O'Connor, T. G., Heron, J. O. N., Murray, L., & Evans, J. (2008). Depression in men in the postnatal period and later child psychopathology: a population cohort study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(4), 390-398.
- Ramchandani, P., & Psychogiou, L. (2009). Paternal psychiatric disorders and children's psychosocial development. *The Lancet*, *374*(9690), 646-653.
- Ramchandani, P., Stein, A., Evans, J., O'Connor, T. G., & ALSPAC Study Team. (2005). Paternal depression in the postnatal period and child development: a prospective population study. *The Lancet*, *365*(9478), 2201-2205.
- Ramchandani, P. G., Domoney, J., Sethna, V., Psychogiou, L., Vlachos, H., & Murray,
  L. (2013). Do early father–infant interactions predict the onset of externalising
  behaviours in young children? Findings from a longitudinal cohort
  study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(1), 56-64.
- Ramchandani, P. G., IJzendoorn, M. V., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2010). Differential susceptibility to fathers' care and involvement: The moderating effect of infant reactivity. *Family science*, *1*(2), 93-101.
- Reeb, B. T., Conger, K. J., & Wu, Y. (2010). Paternal depressive symptoms and adolescent functioning: The moderating effect of gender and father hostility. *Fathering*, 8(1), 131.
- Reeb, B. T., Wu, E. Y., Martin, M. J., Gelardi, K. L., Chan, S. Y. S., & Conger, K. J. (2015). Long-Term Effects of Fathers' Depressed Mood on Youth Internalizing Symptoms in Early Adulthood. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, *25*(1), 151-162.
- Sanson, A., Nicholson, J., Ungerer, J., Zubrick, S., Wilson, K., Ainley, J., ... & Bittman, M. (2002). Introducing the longitudinal study of Australian children.
- Shelton, K. H., & Harold, G. T. (2008). Interparental conflict, negative parenting, and children's adjustment: bridging links between parents' depression and children's psychological distress. *Journal of family psychology*, *22*(5), 712.

- Shonkoff, J.P., Garner, A.S., Siegel, B.S., Dobbins, M.I., Earls, M.F., McGuinn, L., Pascoe, J. and Wood, D.L., 2012. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, *129*(1), pp.e232-e246.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network checklist. Edinburgh: <u>http://www.sign.ac.uk/methodology/checklists.html</u> (accessed 15 May 2015)
- Smith, H. R., Eryigit-Madzwamuse, S., & Barnes, J. (2013). Paternal Postnatal and Subsequent Mental Health Symptoms and Child Socio-Emotional and Behavioural Problems at School Entry. *Infant and Child Development*, 22(4), 335-348.
- Stein, A., Gath, D. H., Bucher, J., Bond, A., Day, A., & Cooper, P. J. (1991). The relationship between post-natal depression and mother-child interaction.*The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(1), 46-52.
- Stifter, C. A., & Spinrad, T. L. (2002). The effect of excessive crying on the development of emotion regulation. *Infancy*, *3*(2), 133-152.
- Stormshak, E. A., Bierman, K. L., McMahon, R. J., & Lengua, L. J. (2000). Parenting practices and child disruptive behavior problems in early elementary school. *Journal of clinical child psychology*, 29(1), 17-29.
- Stuart, S., Couser, G., Schilder, K., O'Hara, M. W., & Gorman, L. (1998). Postpartum anxiety and depression: Onset and comorbidity in a community sample.Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 186, 420–424.
- Teti DM, Gelfand D, Messinger D, Isabella R. Maternal depression and the quality of early attachment: An examination of infants, preschoolers, and their mothers. Developmental Psychology.1995;31:364–376.
- Treutler, C. M., & Epkins, C. C. (2003). Are discrepancies among child, mother, and father reports on children's behavior related to parents' psychological symptoms and aspects of parent–child relationships?. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*, *31*(1), 13-27.
- Tully, E. C., Iacono, W. G., & McGue, M. (2008). An adoption study of parental depression as an environmental liability for adolescent depression and

childhood disruptive disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165(9), 1148-1154.

- van den Berg, M. P., van der Ende, J., Crijnen, A. A., Jaddoe, V. W., Moll, H. A., Mackenbach, J. P., ... & Verhulst, F. C. (2009). Paternal depressive symptoms during pregnancy are related to excessive infant crying. *Pediatrics*,124(1), e96-e103.
- Velders, F. P., Dieleman, G., Henrichs, J., Jaddoe, V. W., Hofman, A., Verhulst, F. C., ... & Tiemeier, H. (2011). Prenatal and postnatal psychological symptoms of parents and family functioning: the impact on child emotional and behavioural problems. *European child & adolescent psychiatry*, *20*(7), 341-350.
- Von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., Pocock, S. J., Gøtzsche, P. C.,
  Vandenbroucke, J. P., & Strobe Initiative. (2007). The Strengthening the
  Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement:
  guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Preventive medicine*, 45(4), 247-251.
- Weissman, M. M., Warner, V., Wickramaratne, P., Moreau, D., & Olfson, M. (1997).
  Offspring of depressed parents: 10 years later. Archives of General Psychiatry, 54, 932–940.
- Weissman, M. M., Wickramaratne, P., Nomura, Y., Warner, V., Pilowsky, D., & Verdeli, H. (2006). Offspring of depressed parents: 20 years later. American Journal of Psychiatry, 163, 1001–1008.
- Weitzman, M., Rosenthal, D. G., & Liu, Y. H. (2011). Paternal depressive symptoms and child behavioral or emotional problems in the United States.*Pediatrics*, *128*(6), 1126-1134.
- Williams, J., W, Plassman, B., L, Burke J, Holsinger T, Benjamin S. (2010).
  Preventing Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitive Decline. Evidence
  Report/Technology Assessment No. 193. (Prepared by the Duke evidence-based practice center under contract No. HHSA 290-2007-10066-I). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Rockville, MD.

- Wilson, S., & Durbin, C. E. (2010). Effects of paternal depression on fathers' parenting behaviors: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical psychology review*, *30*(2), 167-180.
- Young, M. H., Miller, B. C., Norton, M. C., & Hill, J. E. (1995). The Effect of Parental Supportive Behaviours on Life Satisfaction of Adolescent Offspring. Journal of Marriage and Family, Vol. 57, No. 3. pp. 813-822.
- Zahn-Waxler, C., Shirtcliff, E.A. and Marceau, K., 2008. Disorders of childhood and adolescence: Gender and psychopathology. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.*, *4*, pp.275-303.

Figure 1. Study extraction process



Figure 2. Hypothetical Mediational Model Outlining Transmission of Risk



| Ramchandani<br>(2008)b<br>UK  | Hanington<br>(2012)<br>UK   | Gutierrez-Galve<br>(2015)<br>UK   | Ramchandani<br>(2005)<br>UK   |   | Ramchandani<br>(2010)<br>UK   | Hanington<br>(2010)<br>UK   | & UK†   | Van Batenburg-<br>Eddes<br>(2013)<br>Netherlands   | First Author<br>Year<br>Location                            |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| ALSPAC<br>N=7601<br>Mean<br>age=28.8(SD=9.75)   | ALSPAC<br>N=9846  | ALSPAC<br>N=8431  | ALSPAC<br>N=8431  |   | ALSPAC<br>N=8431  | ALSPAC<br>N=7168 (T1)<br>6170 (T2)  | Generation R<br>N=2280  | ALSPAC<br>N=3442   | Paternal<br>Characteristics<br>N, age                       |  |
| N=6449<br>B=3297, g=3152  | N=9910  | N=10024 at 42 months<br>8401 at 81 months   | N=10024   |   | N=5064<br>Range=6 and 81 months<br>b=2586, g=2478                       | N=10494 (T1)<br>10317 (T2)  | Generation R<br>N=2280  | ALSPAC<br>N=3442   | Child Characteristics<br>N, age, gender                     |  |
| EPDS<br>18weeks gestation<br>8weeks postbirth   | EPDS<br>18 Weeks gestation (T2)<br>8 months postpartum<br>(T2)  | EPDS<br>8 weeks postpartum<br>8 months postpartum   | EPDS<br>8 weeks postpartum<br>21 months postpartum  |   | EPDS<br>18 months postpartum  | EPDS<br>8 months postpartum (T1)<br>24 months postpartum                              | Generation R<br>BSI<br>20 weeks gestation   | ALSPAC<br>EDPS<br>18 weeks gestation   | Paternal Depression<br>Assessment<br>Timing of Assessment   |  |
| Emotional/behavioural development<br>Rutter's Revised Preschool Scale<br>3.5 years old(parent)  | Emotional/behavioural problems<br>Rutter's Revised Preschool Scale<br>42 months old(parent)               | Emotional or behavioural problems<br>Rutter's Revised Preschool Scale<br>42 months old (parent)<br>SDQ<br>81 months old(parent)                     | <i>Emotional/behavioural development</i><br>Rutter's revised preschool scale<br>42 months(parent) | Prosocial and problem behaviour in<br>children<br>SDQ<br>81months old(parent) | <i>Temperament</i><br>ITQ<br>6months old(parent)                        | <i>Temperament</i><br>CTSs<br>6 months old(parent)<br>24 months old(parent)           | Generation R<br>CBCL/11/2– 5  | ALSPAC<br>SDQ  | Child & Adolescent Outcomes<br>Measure<br>Assessment Timing |  |
| Father's age at childbirth, number of<br>children in family home at childbirth,<br>paternal education, paternal ethnicity,<br>social class, marital status, past history of | Marital conflict, child age, gender,<br>ethnicity. Parent age, ethnicity, social<br>class, MDep symptoms. | MDep, couple conflict, paternal non-<br>involvement, father's antisocial traits,<br>alcohol & cannabis misuse, parental age,<br>parental education. | Child sex, MDep, paternal social class,<br>parental education.                                    |   | Parental involvement, father's highest<br>educational level, child sex. | Child sex, ethnicity, parent sex, ethnicity,<br>parent education, social class & MDep | Generation R<br>Maternal education, alcohol and smoking<br>intake during pregnancy, family income,<br>child ethnicity | ALSPAC<br>Infant gender, birthweight, maternal<br>education, family income, parent ethnicity,<br>maternal smoking and alcohol use. | Covariates  |  |

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

| Cummings                                    | Smith<br>(2013)<br>UK   | Gross*<br>(2008)<br>USA   | Carro<br>(1993)<br>USA  | Fletcher<br>(2011)<br>Australia   | Ramchandani<br>(2013)<br>UK   | Velders<br>(2011)<br>Netherlands  | Van Den Berg<br>(2009)<br>Netherlands   | Ramchandani<br>(2008)a<br>UK  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| N=206                                       | N=705<br>Mean age=34  | N=297   | N=70<br>Mean age=30.73<br>years (SD=4.28)   | LSAC<br>N=2620  | N=192<br>Mean age=35<br>(SD=5.7)  | Generation R<br>N=2698  | Generation R<br>N=5463  | ALSPAC<br>N=10975   |
| N=235 (b=106, g=129)                        | N=705   | N=731<br>Mean age=29.9 months<br>(SD=3.2) at T1<br>Age range=2-4 years        | N=70?<br>(M = 2.67 years,<br>SD=0.47).<br>Age range=2-3 years   | N=5104<br>Age=assessed at 3-19<br>months, 2-3 years and 4-5<br>years                                      | N=168<br>b=78, g=90   | N=2698  | N=4426  | N=8401<br>Range=6-7 years old   |
| CES-D Scale                                 | EPDS<br>3 months postpartum<br>GHQ<br>36 months postbirth   | CES-D<br>T1 (offspring age 2)<br>T2 (offspring age 3)<br>T3 (offspring age 4) | BDI<br>1 month postpartum   | K6<br>Offspring age 4-5   | EPDS<br>7 weeks postpartum  | BSI<br>20 weeks gestation   | BSI<br>20 weeks gestation   | EPDS<br>18 weeks gestation<br>8 weeks postpartum<br>8 months postpartum<br>21 months postpartum   |
| Emotional Insecurity                        | Emotional/behavioural development<br>SDQ<br>51 months old(parent)   | CBCL<br>4 years old(parent)   | CBCL<br>2-3 years old(parent)   | Emotional and behavioural functioning<br>SDQ(parent)<br>Overall development and wellbeing<br>DOIs(parent) | Behaviour problems<br>CBCL for ages 1½–5 years<br>1 year old(parent)<br>Temperament<br>GRS<br>3 months old(parent)  | Behaviour problems<br>CBCL for ages 1½–5 years<br>3 years old(parent)   | <i>Excessive Infant Crying</i><br>Wessel's Criteria<br>2 months old(parent)   | Development and Wellbeing<br>DAWBA<br>7 years old(parent & teacher)<br>Emotional/behavioural development<br>SDQ(parent)<br>81 months old<br>Development and wellbeing<br>DAWBA(parent)<br>91 months old   |
| Child ethnicity, gender, age. Parental age, | Infant sex, parent SES, marital status,<br>parent's occupational status, maternal<br>mental health, marital discord, mother lives<br>with partner, paternal ethnicity, parental<br>age. | Family demographics, child<br>noncompliance, MDep                             | MDep symptoms, marital satisfaction,<br>parental perceived stress, parental<br>perceived social support | Socioeconomic position, maternal<br>education, MDep, later paternal<br>depression, child sex.             | Infant sex, paternal education, age, social<br>class, SES, father-infant interactions,<br>maternal sensitivity, MDep, paternal<br>antisocial behaviour, paternal age,<br>paternal employment. | Prenatal and postnatal parent<br>psychological symptoms, prenatal family<br>functioning, parent ethnicity, infrant<br>birthweight, gender, ethnicity, birth order,<br>parental age at childbirth, parental<br>education. Maternal smoking and alcohol<br>use during pregnancy, prenatal scores on<br>BSI subscales. | MDep, age, education, ethnicity, number<br>of children, child birthweight, gender,<br>ethnicity, father education, ethnicity, age,<br>parent smoking and alcohol use. | severe depression.<br>Parental anxiety, history of severe<br>depression, family history of depression,<br>father's age at childbirth, paternal<br>education, degree of crowding in family<br>home, number of children in family at<br>childbirth. |

| list CRO Conflict Behaviour Que  | nent Scale, CBCL: Child Behaviour Check   | antan, O-DAC. Ohild Dlahal Acceccm                                   | ntory BSI Brief Symptoms Inv   | DI <sup>.</sup> Beck Depression Inve | Notes: b: boy, E           |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Social class, parent age, fa<br>parents' education, MDep n<br>gender, paternal hostility, in<br>effects.   | Depressive symptoms<br>SCL-90-R Depression Subscale<br>Mean age 13.2(Self-report)<br>Year 2(self-report)  | SCL-90-R Depression Subscale<br>Year 1 (1989)<br>Year 2 (1990)       | N=451<br>Mean age=13.2<br>b=215, g=236   | N=451                                | Reeb<br>(2010)<br>USA      |
| Family income, offspring se;<br>parental education, physical<br>anxiety, marital instability, h<br>parenting, marital discord, e<br>hardship, MDep symptoms. | Internalizing symptoms<br>SCL-90-R (depression subscale)<br>Time 1(1989)(self-report)<br>SCL-90-R (anxiety subscale)<br>Time 2(1997)(self-report)   | SCL-90-R Depression Subscale<br>Time 1(1989)                         | N=395<br>Mean<br>age=12.61(SD=0.55) at T1<br>Mean<br>age=20.66(SD=0.55) at T2<br>Age range=20-22 | N=395<br>Mean age=38                 | Reeb<br>(2015)<br>USA      |
|  | Externalizing symptoms<br>Aggression subscale of CBCL (self-<br>report)<br>Trait measure of antisocial behavior by<br>Buss and Durkee(self-report)<br>Aggression subscale of CBCL(teacher<br>report) at 11-13 years & 13–15 years |  |  |                                      |                            |
| MDep symptoms, parental r<br>insecurity, inter-parental cor<br>gender.   | C-GAS(assessor)<br>K-SADS-PL(parent & self-report)<br>Internalizing symptoms<br>Withdrawn and Anxious–Depressed<br>subscales of CBCL(self-report)<br>CDI(self-report) at 11-13 years & 13-<br>15 years                            | BDI<br>T1 (offspring age=11-13)                                      | N=352<br>B=179, g=173<br>Age range=11-13<br>Mean age=11.67<br>(SD=0.47)                          | N=352                                | Shelton<br>(2008)<br>UK    |
|  | CIS(self-report)  |  |  |                                      |                            |
| Parent gender, time of asse<br>sex of child, number of child   | Emotional/behavioural symptoms<br>CDI(self-report)<br>MASC(self-report)   | HAMDEP17<br>Baseline and 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9<br>months after            | N=19<br>Mean age:11.89<br>(SD=3.21)<br>Range=7-17  | N=9<br>Mean age=43.6                 | Pilowsky*<br>(2014)<br>USA |
| MDep, marital conflict, marit<br>child sex   | Internalizing and externalizing<br>symptoms<br>CBCL<br>6 years old & T3(parent)   | CES-D<br>T1 (offspring mean=age 6)                                   | N=235<br>Age=mean 6.0 (SD=5<br>B=106, g=129  | N=235                                | Keller<br>(2009)<br>USA    |
| expressiveness   | Internalizing Symptoms<br>CBCL<br>6 & 8 years old(parent)<br>TRF<br>6 & 8 years old(teacher)  |  |  |                                      |                            |
| ethnicity, marital status, par<br>education, income, marital o<br>symptoms, parental penativ   | SIMS-PR<br>8 years old(parent)  | T1 (offspring age 6)<br>T2 (offspring age 7)<br>T3 (offspring age 8) | Age=mean 6.0 (SD=0.45)   | Age=mean 36.84<br>(SD=6.14)          | (2013)<br>USA              |

CDI: Children's Depression Inventory, CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies- Depression, CIS: Columbia Impairment Scale, CTS: Carey Temperament Scale, DAWBA: Development and Well-Being Assessment, DOIs: Derived Outcomes Indices, EPDS: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, g: girl, GHQ: General Health Questionnaire, GRS: Global Rating Scale, HAMDEP17: Hamilton

cross-cohort design Non-Patient Version, SCID: Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis Disorders, SCL: 90-R: Symptoms Checklist 90- Revised, SD: Standard Deviation, SDQ: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, SF-12: Short Form 12, SIMS-PR: Security in the Marital Subsystem, T1: Time 1, T2: Time 2, T3: Time 3, TRF: Teacher Report Form; \*Denotes secondary analysis of RCT; †Denotes Rating Scale for Depression, HSCL-10: Hopkins Symptoms Checklist, IBQ: Infant Behavioural Questionnaire, ITQ: Infant Temperament Questionnaire, K-SADS-PL: Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children- Present and Lifetime Version, K-SADS: Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children, K6: Kessler MFQ: Mood and Feelings Questionnaire, N: Number, PHQ-2: Patient Health Questionnaire, PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire, SCID-NP: Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis Disorders-Psychological Distress Scale, LIFE: Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation, MASC: Multi-dimensional Anxiety Scale for Children, MCS: Mental Component Scale, MDep: Maternal Depression,

| First Author             | Year<br>Location | Van Batenburg-<br>Eddes<br>(2013)<br>UK   | Hanington<br>(2010)<br>UK  | Ramchandani<br>(2010)<br>UK  | Ramchandani<br>(2005)<br>UK   | Gutierrez-Galve<br>(2015)<br>UK  | Hanington<br>(2012)<br>UK   | Ramchandani<br>(2008b)<br>UK  | Ramchandani<br>(2008a)<br>UK   |
|--------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Main Findings            | c                | ALSPAC: Antenatal PDep associated<br>with increased risk of child attention<br>difficulties<br>Gen. R: No association found | PDep symptoms lead to more difficult<br>temperament at follow-up. Only<br>significant for boys.                          | No direct effects for PDep and infant reactivity were found.   | Postnatal PDep was associated with<br>poorer emotional and behavioural<br>outcomes in offspring (3-5 years old)<br>(AOR 2-09, 95% CI: 1-42–3-08).                                     | PDep was associated with negative<br>child outcomes.   | Marital conflict mediated the association between PDep and child outcomes.  | Prenatally and postnatally depressed<br>fathers children had the greatest risk<br>of psychopathology (3½ years) (OR<br>3.55; 95% CI: 2.07, 6.08) and<br>psychiatric diagnosis (7 years) (OR<br>2.54; 1.19, 5.41). | Postnatal PDep was significantly<br>associated with child psychiatric<br>disorder 7 years later (aOR 1.72,<br>95% Cl 1.07-2.77)  |
| Internalizing Behaviours |                  | **  | PDep at T1 significantly predicted child<br>mood (Beta=0.049; p < 0.001) and<br>intensity (Beta=0.038; p = 0.003) at T2. | Infant reactivity (6months) was correlated with father involvement ( $r=0.06$ ; $p < .01$ ) and PDep symptoms ( $r=0.03$ ; $p=0.04$ ).   | PDep strongly associated with increased risk for high scores on emotional problems scale, before controlling for covariates.  | Postnatal PDep effect on child (42 months<br>old) total psychological problems= 0.168<br>(95% CI: 0.133–0.202; P<.001). Direct<br>effect=34.5%. 81 months old: PDep effect<br>on total psychological problems=0.130<br>(95% CI: 0.098–0.161; P< .001). Direct<br>effect=32.1%                | Postnatal PDEP (OR 2.20, 95% CI 1.47–<br>3.28) predicted total child problems at 42<br>months. Antenatal PDep predicted later<br>child problems (OR 2.34, 95% CI 1.70–<br>3.23)   | Children of prenatal-only group had<br>increased likelihood of scoring higher on<br>emotional symptoms scale than non-<br>depressed group (19.1% vs. 12.9%;<br>unadjOR 1.60 (1.04, 2.47)).                        | Postnatal PDep was strongly associated<br>with later child psychiatric diagnoses 7<br>years later (aOR 1.72, 95% Cl 1.07-2.77)   |
| Externalizing Behaviours | c                | ALSPAC: PDep associated with increased risk of child attention problems (OR 1.11, 95% Cl 1.00–1.24).                        | ++   | Higher PDep predicted fewer prosocial behaviours in offspring. Effects were found for total behavioural problems; for father involvement ( <i>Beta</i> = -0.11; $p < .01$ ), PDep ( <i>Beta</i> =0.10; $p < .01$ ), and infant reactivity ( <i>Beta</i> =0.04; $p < .01$ ).                      | Higher risk of conduct problems in boys<br>(2·66, 1·67–4·25).   | Postnatal PDep effect on child (42<br>months old) total psychological<br>problems= 0.168 (95% CI: 0.133–0.202;<br>P<.001). Direct effect=34.5%. 81 months<br>old: PDEP effect on total psychological<br>problems=0.130 (95% CI: 0.098–0.161;<br>P< .001). Direct effect=32.1%                | Paternal depression did not predict<br>conduct problems.  | Sons of postnatal-only group had<br>increased scores for conduct problems<br>age 3½ years (OR 2.14; 1.22, 3.72),<br>boys of the prenatal group did not (OR<br>1.41; .75, 2.65).                                   | Strongest associations were found<br>between PDep and oppositional<br>defiant/conduct disorders (aOR 1.94,<br>95% Cl 1.04-3.61).   |
| Covariates               |                  | PDep anxiety symptoms were not significantly associated with child adjustment problems in either cohort.                    | Significance remained when controlling for earlier mood/intensity and later PDep.  | Infant reactivity (6 months) correlated with<br>father involvement (r=0.06; p < .01) and PDep<br>symptoms (r=0.03; p=0.04). Prosocial<br>behaviour main effects were found for father<br>involvement (Beta=0.06; p < .01), PDep<br>(Beta=-0.06; p < .01), infant reactivity (b=0.07;<br>p < .01) | Effects persisted after controlling for postnatal MDep (2·09, 1·42–3·08), later PDep (conduct problems (1·73, 1·06–2·85), hyperactivity (1·96, 1·12–3·43) but not emotional problems. | At 42 months: 3 indirect effects: MDep= 32.7%<br>(0.055/0.168); couple conflict= 27.4%<br>(0.046/0.168) paternal non-involvement= 5.4%<br>(0.046/0.168) At 81 months: MDep= 32.3%<br>(0.042/0.130); couple conflict= 27.2%<br>(0.035/0.130); paternal non-involvement=<br>8.4% (0.011/0.130) | Marital conflict attenuated score by 17.6% but<br>effect of PDEP remained strong (OR 1.98,<br>95% CI 1.31–2.99). Significance remained for<br>antenatal PDep when marital conflict included<br>(OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.54–3.05). | Little change in associations when controlling<br>for MDep and other covariates.  | Controlling for paternal eductaion and MDep, a<br>66% increase remained in likelihood of<br>psychiatric diagnosis (OR 1.66; 95% CI:<br>1.05Y2.63; p=0.030) and 50% increase of |

# Table 2. Key findings from included studies.

| Pilowsky<br>(2014)<br>USA  | Keller<br>(2009)<br>USA  | Cummin<br>(2013)<br>USA  | Smith<br>(2013)<br>UK  | Gross<br>(2008)<br>USA   | Carro<br>(1993)<br>USA  | Fletcher<br>(2011)<br>Australi  | Ramcha<br>(2013)<br>UK   | Velders<br>(2011)<br>Netherla  | Van Den<br>(2009)<br>Netherla   |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
|  |  | S  |  |  |   | <u>w</u>  | ndani  | nds  | Berg  |
| Depressed fathers' offspring were<br>less likely to have internalizing or<br>externalizing problems and a<br>psychiatric disorder than offspring of<br>depressed mothers (11% vs .37%;<br>p=0.012).  | Fathers' covert negativity alongside<br>mothers' overt conflict behaviours<br>mediated the link between PDep and<br>child internalizing difficulties.  | PDep symptoms predicted offspring<br>internalizing symptoms through<br>fathers' negative expressiveness and<br>child's emotional insecurity.   | PDep symptoms (3 & 36 months)<br>predicted more father-reported child<br>problems (51 months) but not<br>mother-reported problems.         | Higher levels of PDep symptoms at age 2 were related to increased internalizing difficulties at age 4.   | PDep symptoms 1 month postpartum<br>predicted child internalizing and<br>externalizing problems at 2-3 years<br>old.  | Early PDep was a significant<br>predictor of numerous poor child<br>outcomes.   | No significant association between<br>PDep and child externalising<br>behaviours.  | PDep symptoms increased risk of<br>child problems. Paternal postnatal<br>hostility explained this increase.  | PDep was significantly associated<br>with increased risk of excessive<br>infant crying (OR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.09<br>–1.52) |
| Depressed fathers offspring were more<br>likely to have lower prevalence of K- SADS<br>symptoms, by child (0.2 vs. 1.2 symptoms;<br>p=0.063) and parental report (0.6 vs 2.0<br>symptoms; p=0.075) than offspring of<br>depressed mothers. | PDep symptoms at T1 were associated<br>with more overt destructive conflict<br>behaviours at T2 ( $\beta$ =0.17, $p$ < .05). This<br>was associated with offspring internalizing<br>symptoms at T3, ( $\beta$ =0.16, $p$ < .05).     | No direct effect of PDep on child<br>internalizing symptoms.(non-significant<br>standardised parameter estimate of 0.06)   | PDep symptoms significantly predicted child socio-emotional problems.  | Significant associations found between<br>PDep symptoms and mother-reported age<br>4 internalizing behaviour ( <i>Beta</i> =0.31,<br><i>SE</i> =0.10, $\rho$ < .01). | Postpartum PDep symptoms approached significance for child internalizing problems when entered before ( $p$ =0.06) and after ( $p$ =0.10) mothers' symptoms.  | Early PDep was associated with higher<br>risk of a low DOI score (OR, 2.70; 95% CI,<br>2.44–2.98)   | **   | Prenatal PDep symptoms predicted<br>offspring internalizing problems (OR 1.15,<br>95% CI: 1.05-1.26). (Not significant when<br>paternal hostility added).            | PDep was significantly associated with increased risk of excessive infant crying (OR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.09 –1.52)          |
| Depressed fathers offspring were less<br>impaired (CIS of 6.5 vs. 11.6; p=0.009)<br>than offspring of depressed mothers.   | PDep symptoms were not associated<br>with offspring externalizing behaviours.  | ++   | Postnatal PDep was a predictor for<br>father-reports of child behaviour but was<br>not significant when controlling for<br>marital discord | No association found.  | Postpartum PDep symptoms were<br>significantly related to externalizing<br>problems at entry ( <i>sr</i> 2=0.07, $p$ =0.02) but<br>less significant when entered after<br>mothers' symptoms ( <i>sr</i> 2=0.05, $p$ =0.07). | Early PDep was associated with<br>increased risk for behavioural difficulties<br>(OR, 3.34; 95% Cl, 3.06–3.65).   | PDep (Beta=0.102 t(1.163, p < .247))<br>was not associated with child<br>externalizing behaviours.   | Prenatal PDep symptoms predicted<br>higher offspring externalizing problems<br>(OR 1.12, 95% CI: 1.02; 1.23). (Not<br>significant when paternal hostility<br>added). | **  |
| Most offspring symptoms decreased with<br>treatment of MDep but not for offspring of<br>treated depressed fathers.   | PDep symptoms were significantly associated with covert marital negativity ( $\beta$ = 0.31, $\rho$ < .01). Covert marital negativity was associated with increased offspring internalizing symptoms ( $\beta$ =0.33, $\rho$ < .05). | PDep symptoms were significantly associated<br>(0.39, p<0.001) with paternal negative<br>expressiveness. This was significantly<br>associated with child emotional insecurity<br>(0.19, p<0.01). This was significantly<br>associated with child internalizing behaviours<br>(0.25, p<0.01). | Marital discord mediated the relationship between PDep symptoms and later child problems.  | Child noncompliance (age 2) and maternal education were associated with increased internalizing and externalizing behaviours.  | Postpartum PDep symptoms significantly<br>predicted child outcome before ( <i>sr</i> 2=0.14, <i>p</i> <<br>.001) and after ( <i>sr</i> 2=0.11, <i>p</i> =0.004) entering<br>MDep.   | Controlling for early MDep and later PDep,<br>significance remained.<br>(aOR behavioural difficulties=1.93 (95% CI,<br>1.75–2.14); OR, DOI score=1.65 (95% CI,<br>1.48–1.85). | Paternal age (Beta=.214, t(2.521) p<0.013)<br>and paternal remoteness (Beta=0.175,<br>t(2.001) p<.048) were significantly associated<br>with child externalizing behaviours. | Postnatal paternal hostility (OR= 1.30, p < 0.001) independently associated with child internalizing and externalizing problems.                                     | Significance remained after adjusting for confounders, including MDep.  |

| Reeb<br>(2010)<br>USA  | Reeb<br>(2015)<br>USA   | Sheltor<br>(2008)<br>UK  |
|--|---|--|
| PDep symptoms strongly predicted<br>adolescent outcomes. Daughters<br>experienced more depressive<br>symptoms than sons ( <i>Beta</i> =-0.249; <i>ρ</i><br>< .05). | PDep symptoms during early<br>adolescence (13) predicted<br>depression and anxiety symptoms in<br>later adolescence (21).   | PDep was associated with negative<br>child outcomes as a function of<br>numerous mediators.  |
| PDep strongly predicted adolescent<br>depressive symptoms.   | PDep symptoms made significant<br>contributions to children's depressive (H1;<br>Beta=0.117, p=0.030) and anxiety (H2;<br>Beta=0.134, p=0.002) symptoms at follow-<br>up.                                     | PDep symptoms were associated with<br>interparental conflict. Interparental conflict<br>was associated with child adjustment<br>problems ( $r$ =0.00, $p$ >0.10, to $r$ =0.22,<br>p>0.05).                           |
|  |   | No relations found between PDep and offspring externalizing symptoms.  |
| Paternal hostility increased the effect of PDep symptoms ( $\beta$ =0.159; $p$ < .01).   | MDep symptoms did not moderate the effects<br>of PDEP symptoms on offspring depressive<br>symptoms (R <sup>2</sup> =0.001), t(384)=0.52, ns, or<br>anxiety symptoms (R <sup>2</sup> =0.003), t(384)=1.11, ns. | PDep, MDep, parental insecurity, marital<br>discord, parent-child relationship and child<br>perceptions all resulted in various different<br>outcomes regarding offspring internalizing and<br>externalizing scores. |

Notes: MDep=Maternal Depression, PDep=Paternal Depression. ‡ denotes this outcome was not measured

| Supplementary  | Author Ui<br>Year se<br>of  | Van P∂<br>Batenburg-<br>Eddes<br>(2013) | Hanington Pa<br>(2010) | Ramchandani Pa<br>(2010) | Ramchandani Pa<br>(2005) | Gutierrez- Pa<br>Galve<br>(2015) | Hanington Pa<br>(2012) | Ramchandani Pa<br>(2008b) | Ramchandani Pa<br>(2008a) | Van Den Berg Pa<br>(2009) | Velders Pa<br>(2011) | Ramchandani Ye<br>(2013) | Fletcher Pa<br>(2011) | Carro Pa<br>(1993) | Gross Pa | (2008) |
|----------------|---|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|--------|
| Table 1        | nbiased<br>lection<br>cohort  | nrtial                                  | ırtial                 | ırtial                   | ırtial                   | rtial                            | ırtial                 | ırtial                    | ırtial                    | irtial                    | ırtial               | ŭ                        | ırtial                | ırtial             | rtial    |        |
| . Quality Rati | Selection<br>minimizes<br>baseline<br>differences in<br>prognostic<br>factors | N.A.                                    | N.A.                   | N.A.                     | N.A.                     | N.A                              | N.A.                   | Yes                       | N.A.                      | N.A.                      | N.A.                 | Partial                  | N.A.                  | N.A.               | N.A.     |        |
| ing for Inclu  | Sample size<br>calculation<br>& Number  | Partial                                 | Partial                | Partial                  | Partial                  | Partial                          | Partial                | Partial                   | Partial                   | Partial                   | Partial              | Partial                  | Partial               | No                 | Partial  |        |
| ded Studies    | Analysis<br>appropriate   | Yes                                     | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | Yes                              | Yes                    | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                  | Yes                      | Partial               | Yes                | Yes?     |        |
|                | Adequate<br>description<br>of the<br>cohort                                   | Partial                                 | Yes                    | Partial                  | Partial                  | Partial                          | Yes                    | Yes                       | Partial                   | Partial                   | Partial              | Partial                  | Partial               | Partial            | Partial  |        |
|                | Validated<br>method for<br>ascertaining<br>paternal<br>depression             | Yes                                     | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | Yes                              | Yes                    | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                | Yes      |        |
|                | Validated<br>method for<br>ascertaining<br>clinical<br>outcomes               | Yes                                     | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | Yes                              | Yes                    | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                       | Yes                  | Yes                      | Partial               | Yes                | Yes      |        |
|                | Outcome<br>assessment<br>blind to<br>paternal<br>status                       | No                                      | No                     | No                       | No                       | No                               | No                     | No                        | No                        | No                        | No                   | No                       | No                    | No                 | No       |        |
|                | Adequate<br>follow-up<br>period   | Yes                                     | Yes                    | Yes                      | Yes                      | Yes                              | Yes                    | Yes                       | Yes                       | Partial                   | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                | Yes      |        |
|                | Completeness<br>of follow up  | Yes                                     | Partial                | Partial                  | Partial                  | Yes                              | Partial                | Yes                       | Partial                   | Yes                       | Partial              | Yes                      | No                    | Partial            | Yes      |        |
|                | Control of<br>confounders   | Partial                                 | Partial                | Yes                      | Yes                      | Yes                              | Partial                | Yes                       | Yes                       | Partial                   | Partial              | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                | No       |        |
|                | Total<br>Score  | 14                                      | 14                     | 14                       | 14                       | 15                               | 14                     | 18                        | 14                        | 13                        | 13                   | 17                       | 12                    | 13                 | 13       |        |

|   |            | Reeb No N.A. Partial Yes Yes Partial Yes No Yes Yes Partice | Shelton Yes N.A. Partial Yes Partial Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes (2008) | Pilowsky No Yes No Partial No Yes Yes Partial Yes Partial No<br>(2014) | Keller Partial N.A. Partial Partial Yes Yes No Yes Yes No<br>(2009) | Cummings Partial N.A. Partial Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes (2013) | (2013) |
|---|------------|---|--|--|---|---|--------|
| Yes 1<br>No 1<br>No 1<br>Yes 1<br>Partial 1 | Yes        | Yes   | Yes  | Partial  | Yes   | Yes   |        |
| δ ω ω ω                                     | Partial 13 | Partial 13  | Yes 16   | No 11  | No 13   | Yes 16  |        |

N.A. = This question was not applicable to this study design

| <b>PRISMA</b> Checklist                     |   |                    |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Section/topic                               | Checklist item  | Reported on page # |
| TITLE                                       | Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.   | -                  |
| ABSTRACT<br>Structured summary              | Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. | <u>د</u>           |
| INTRODUCTION<br>Rationale                   | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.  | 2-4                |
| Objectives                                  | Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).  | 3 & 4              |
| <b>METHODS</b><br>Protocol and registration | Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.   | 4                  |
| Eligibility criteria                        | Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.  | 4                  |
| Information sources                         | Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.  | 4                  |
| Search                                      | Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.   | 5<br>& 6           |
| Study selection                             | State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).   | Ø                  |
| Data collection process                     | Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.  | 42                 |
| Data items                                  | List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.   | 42                 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies          | Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.  | 7,19,35-41         |
| Summary measures                            | State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).   | NA                 |
| Synthesis of results                        | Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I <sup>2</sup> ) for each meta-analysis.  | NA                 |

| With<br>submissio | Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.   | FUNDING<br>Funding                |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 23                | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.  | Conclusions                       |
| 22&23             | Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).  | Limitations                       |
| 20 & 22<br>& 24   | Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).                     | DISCUSSION<br>Summary of evidence |
| NA                | Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).  | Additional analysis               |
| 40&41             | Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).  | Risk of bias across studies       |
| NA                | Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.  | Synthesis of results              |
| NA                | For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot. | Results of individual studies     |
| 40&41             | Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).  | Risk of bias within studies       |
| 9-12              | For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.   | Study characteristics             |
| J                 | Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.  | RESULTS<br>Study selection        |
| NA                | Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.   | Additional analyses               |
| 19, 40            | Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).   | Risk of bias across studies       |