THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Easily missed?

Citation for published version:

Feary, J, Pinnock, H & Cullinan, P 2016, 'Easily missed? Occupational asthma', *British Medical Journal (BMJ)*, pp. i2658. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2658>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/bmj.i2658](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2658)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

British Medical Journal (BMJ)

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

PRACTICE

EASILY MISSED?

Occupational asthma

Johanna Feary *senior clinical research fellow*¹, Hilary Pinnock *reader and general practitioner*^{2 3}, Paul Cullinan *professor of occupational lung disease*¹

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London SW3 6LR, UK; ²Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Medical School, Edinburgh, UK; ³Whitstable Medical Practice, Whitstable, UK

A 22 year old man thought he might have hay fever. Several months later his general practitioner diagnosed asthma. It later emerged that his symptoms were associated with his work in a bakery, and he was referred to an occupational lung disease specialist who diagnosed occupational asthma.

What is occupational asthma?

Occupational asthma is caused by an immune reaction to specific agents that are inhaled in the workplace. It differs from asthma that is aggravated at work by non-specific factors—for example, exposure to dusts or fumes, cold air, physical exertion, and even shift patterns.

Offer all adults with suspected occupational asthma referral to an occupational lung disease specialist. Diagnosis is based on a history of exposure to a sensitising agent and immunology tests, peak flow measurements, and specific challenge tests if appropriate.

How common is occupational asthma?

About one in seven cases of adult onset or recrudescing asthma will have a link with work, but it is unknown what proportion is “occupational asthma.”¹

About 400 causative agents are recognised, although fewer than a dozen are responsible for most cases. These include proteins (“high molecular mass” agents) that induce a specific IgE response and certain reactive chemicals (“low molecular mass” agents) for which the mechanism is often less clear (table 1).²

Why is it missed?

GPs may not routinely explore the role of occupation in patients with asthma or rhinitis. In a UK case series of almost 100

patients with occupational asthma, the mean interval between presentation to primary care and referral for specialist assessment was four years.² An audit of primary care electronic records found that, of 400 people with adult onset asthma, 14% had their occupations recorded, and 2% had documentation of the effect of work at their most recent asthma reviews.³ Because there is generally an asymptomatic “latent” period after first exposure to the sensitising agent, GPs and patients may not make the link with work (see “How is it diagnosed?”); furthermore, they may be unaware of the need to refer. Finally, patients may be reluctant to link their symptoms to their work for fear of losing employment.

Why does this matter?

Prognosis is better if cases are detected early.⁴ Occupational asthma has a clear, defined trigger and identifying and removing it has the potential to improve symptoms or render the patient asymptomatic. This is unique in asthma because the patient may show no residual evidence of non-specific bronchial hyper-responsiveness. Delayed diagnosis carries a risk that the disease will not respond to usual asthma treatment at best, and of fixed airflow obstruction and persistent symptoms at worst.⁵

How is it diagnosed?

Clinically

Rhinitis is always seen in occupational asthma caused by proteins and usually precedes chest symptoms. Patients might say: “ever since I started this job I’ve had a cold” or “I seem to have developed hay fever.” Latency is an important feature: occupational rhinitis and asthma usually start 6–18 months after

Correspondence to: J Feary j.feary@imperial.ac.uk

This is one of a series of occasional articles highlighting conditions that may be more common than many doctors realise or may be missed at first presentation. The series adviser is Anthony Harnden, professor of primary care, Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford. To suggest a topic for this series, please email us at practice@bmj.com.

first exposure (or change in exposure), and asthma symptoms are usually mild initially.⁶

For all adults with new symptoms of rhinitis, wheeze, or airflow obstruction, check for an occupational cause by asking standard screening questions (box 1).⁷ A systematic review found a sensitivity of 58-100% for occupational asthma in people referred to a specialist clinic who agreed that “symptoms are better on days away from work.”⁶ Have a high index of suspicion in those with high risk jobs (see table 1).⁸ However, its use as a positive or negative predictive question in the community is unclear.

Consider referring patients who answer “yes” to either question in box 1 to a specialist occupational respiratory unit to investigate for occupational asthma. There are about 15 occupational lung disease specialists across nine centres in the UK.⁷

Investigations

Serial peak flows—measured at least four times a day (preferably 2-3 hourly) for a minimum of three weeks, on days at and away from work—have a sensitivity and specificity of around 80% and 90%, respectively, in patients being assessed for occupational asthma (see fig 1).⁸ This investigation may be instigated in primary care but is best interpreted by specialists.⁶ A different characteristic pattern is also seen for those with work aggravated asthma, with evidence of poorer control on both days at work and days away from work.

Immunological testing with specific IgE assays or skin prick tests against relevant workplace sensitising agents is offered to most of those referred. The accuracy (and availability) of testing varies by sensitising agent, and results must be interpreted along with the clinical picture and peak flow summary to confirm or refute the working diagnosis. Specific bronchial provocation testing, the gold standard, may be needed in difficult cases, but it is not widely available.

How is it managed?

Avoidance of further exposure to the causative antigen is the cornerstone of management. How this is achieved depends on workplace circumstances, and liaison with the occupational health provider, if there is one, will be necessary. Exposure control starts with substitution of the sensitising agent, if possible, or redeployment of the employee to another area without exposure. Other options include the use of respiratory protective equipment. Residual asthma symptoms may be managed with inhalers according to standard guidelines.

After a diagnosis of occupational asthma, about 25% of employees continue working in their current job,⁹ but a third

are unemployed for up to six years afterwards.¹⁰ People with occupational asthma are eligible to claim industrial injuries disabilities benefit in the United Kingdom.¹¹ One case generally heralds others in the same workplace.¹²

Contributors: JF and PC conceived the idea; HP provided a primary care perspective. All authors contributed equally to drafting and revising the manuscript and approved the final version. JF is guarantor.

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare the following interests: PC is chair of the research working group for the Industrial Injuries Advisory Council, which advises the UK government on its industrial injuries disablement benefit scheme, and is a member of the workplace health expert committee, which advises the Health and Safety Executive on current and future occupational hazards. HP is a member of the executive and steering committee for the British Thoracic Society/Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network British asthma guidelines. JF has no interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Patient consent not required (patient anonymised, dead, or hypothetical).

- Balmes J, Becklake M, Blanc P, et al. Environmental and Occupational Health Assembly, American Thoracic Society. American Thoracic Society Statement: occupational contribution to the burden of airway disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167:787-97. doi:10.1164/rccm.167.5.787 pmid:12598220.
- Fishwick D, Bradshaw L, Davies J, et al. Are we failing workers with symptoms suggestive of occupational asthma? *Prim Care Respir J* 2007;16:304-10. doi:10.3132/pcrj.2007.00064 pmid:17934677.
- Walters GI, McGrath EE, Ayres JG. Audit of the recording of occupational asthma in primary care. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2012;62:570-3. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqs114 pmid:22837332.
- Rachiotis G, Savani R, Brant A, MacNeill SJ, Newman Taylor A, Cullinan P. Outcome of occupational asthma after cessation of exposure: a systematic review. *Thorax* 2007;62:147-52. doi:10.1136/thx.2006.061952 pmid:17040933.
- Descatha A, Leproust H, Choudat D, Garnier R, Paireon JC, Ameille J. Factors associated with severity of occupational asthma with a latency period at diagnosis. *Allergy* 2007;62:795-801. doi:10.1111/j.1398-9995.2007.01424.x pmid:17573728.
- Nicholson PCP, Burge P, Boyle C. Occupational asthma: prevention, identification and management. Systematic review and recommendations. British Occupational Health Research Foundation, 2010. <http://www.bohrf.org.uk/downloads/OccupationalAsthmaEvidenceReview-Mar2010.pdf>.
- British Thoracic Society/SIGN. British guideline on the management of asthma: a national clinical guideline. 2014. <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/clinical-information/asthma/btssign-asthma-guideline-2014/>.
- Fishwick D, Barber CM, Bradshaw LM, et al. Standards of care for occupational asthma: an update. *Thorax* 2012;67:278-80. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200755 pmid:22156958.
- Boyd R. The true cost of occupational asthma in Great Britain. Executive Health and Safety Executive. 2006. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr474.pdf>.
- Cannon J, Cullinan P, Newman Taylor A. Consequences of occupational asthma. *BMJ* 1995;311:602-3. doi:10.1136/bmj.311.7005.602 pmid:7663255.
- Department for Work and Pensions. Industrial injuries disability benefit. <https://www.gov.uk/industrial-injuries-disablement-benefit/overview>.
- Cullinan P, Harris JM, Newman Taylor AJ, et al. An outbreak of asthma in a modern detergent factory. *Lancet* 2000;356:1899-900. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(00)03264-5 pmid:11130389.

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

Box 1: What to ask patients

In patients with adult onset or reappearance of childhood asthma, ask:

- Are you better on days away from work?
- Are you better on holiday?

What you need to know

- Occupational asthma differs from pre-existing or work aggravated asthma
- The diagnosis will be missed if it is not considered by non-specialists
- Specialist referral is needed for diagnosis and management

Education into practice

Do you ask patients with asthma or rhinitis about the connection between their symptoms and work?

How patients were involved in the creation of this article

One of our patients (a woman with baker's asthma) reviewed the article favourably. She emphasised that it took several years for her diagnosis to be made and that consequently she had to retire and find alternative employment, which has been financially difficult; she is left with persistent symptoms of asthma.

Table

Table 1| Common causes of occupational asthma

Occupation	Agent	Molecular mass
Baker	Wheat flour, α amylase	High
(Laboratory) animal worker	Animal proteins	High
Detergent powder manufacturer	Biological enzymes	High
Fish and seafood processor	Fish proteins	High
Hairdresser	Persulphates (in bleaching mixtures)	Low
Paint sprayer	Di-isocyanates	Low
Foam manufacturer	Di-isocyanates	Low
Electronic solderer	Colophony fume	Low
Woodworker	Hardwood (tropical) dusts	Low

Figure

Fig 1 Peak expiratory flow diary suggesting occupational asthma. The minimal variation on days away from work (shaded white) contrasts with the 20-30% variability on workdays (shaded blue)