THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The piezoelectronic stress transduction switch for very large-scale integration, low voltage sensor computation, and radio frequency applications

Citation for published version:

Magd??u, I, Liu, X, Kuroda, MA, Shaw, TM, Crain, J, Solomon, PM, News, DM & Martyna, GJ 2015, 'The piezoelectronic stress transduction switch for very large-scale integration, low voltage sensor computation, and radio frequency applications', *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 107, no. 7, 073505.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928681>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1063/1.4928681](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4928681)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Applied Physics Letters

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The piezoelectronic stress transduction switch for very large-scale integration, low voltage sensor computation, and radio frequency applications

I.-B. Magdău, X.-H. Liu, M. A. Kuroda, T. M. Shaw, J. Crain, P. M. Solomon, D. M. Newns, and G. J. Martyna

Citation: [Applied Physics Letters](#) **107**, 073505 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4928681

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928681>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/107/7?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Effect of low-frequency radio frequency on plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposited ultra low-k dielectric films for very large-scale integrated interconnects](#)

[J. Appl. Phys.](#) **115**, 144107 (2014); 10.1063/1.4870453

[Progress in the development and understanding of advanced low k and ultralow k dielectrics for very large-scale integrated interconnects—State of the art](#)

[Appl. Phys. Rev.](#) **1**, 011306 (2014); 10.1063/1.4861876

[Simulation of asymmetric doped high performance silicon on insulator metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors for very large scale integrated complementary metal oxide semiconductor technologies](#)

[J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B](#) **28**, C1G7 (2010); 10.1116/1.3292643

[Practical approach to gradient direction sensor method in very large scale integration thermomechanical stress analysis](#)

[J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A](#) **24**, 758 (2006); 10.1116/1.2186655

[A study on the capacitance–voltage characteristics of metal- Ta₂O₅-silicon capacitors for very large scale integration metal-oxide-semiconductor gate oxide applications](#)

[J. Appl. Phys.](#) **85**, 4087 (1999); 10.1063/1.370315

A promotional banner for Applied Physics Reviews. On the left is a thumbnail image of the journal cover, which features a diagram of a device structure. The main part of the banner has a blue background with a molecular model of a crystal lattice. The text 'NEW Special Topic Sections' is prominently displayed in white. Below this, on an orange background, it says 'NOW ONLINE' in yellow, followed by 'Lithium Niobate Properties and Applications: Reviews of Emerging Trends' in white. The AIP Applied Physics Reviews logo is in the bottom right corner.

NEW Special Topic Sections

NOW ONLINE
Lithium Niobate Properties and Applications:
Reviews of Emerging Trends

AIP Applied Physics Reviews

The piezoelectronic stress transduction switch for very large-scale integration, low voltage sensor computation, and radio frequency applications

I.-B. Magdău,^{1,2} X.-H. Liu,³ M. A. Kuroda,⁴ T. M. Shaw,³ J. Crain,^{1,2} P. M. Solomon,³ D. M. Newns,⁵ and G. J. Martyna^{1,5}

¹*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom*

²*Physical Sciences, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington TW11 0LW, United Kingdom*

³*Silicon Technology, IBM TJ Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA*

⁴*Department of Physics, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849, USA*

⁵*Physical Sciences, IBM TJ Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA*

(Received 15 June 2015; accepted 3 August 2015; published online 19 August 2015)

The piezoelectronic transduction switch is a device with potential as a post-CMOS transistor due to its predicted multi-GHz, low voltage performance on the VLSI-scale. However, the operating principle of the switch has wider applicability. We use theory and simulation to optimize the device across a wide range of length scales and application spaces and to understand the physics underlying its behavior. We show that the four-terminal VLSI-scale switch can operate at a line voltage of 115 mV while as a low voltage-large area device, ≈ 200 mV operation at clock speeds of ≈ 2 GHz can be achieved with a desirable 10^4 On/Off ratio—ideal for on-board computing in sensors. At yet larger scales, the device is predicted to operate as a fast (≈ 250 ps) radio frequency (RF) switch exhibiting high cyclability, low On resistance and low Off capacitance, resulting in a robust switch with a RF figure of merit of ≈ 4 fs. These performance benchmarks cannot be approached with CMOS which has reached fundamental limits. In detail, a combination of finite element modeling and *ab initio* calculations enables prediction of switching voltages for a given design. A multivariate search method then establishes a set of physics-based design rules, discovering the key factors for each application. The results demonstrate that the piezoelectronic transduction switch can offer fast, low power applications spanning several domains of the information technology infrastructure.

© 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928681>]

We are entering an era in information technology (IT) where a rapidly increasing number of interconnected devices at various scales are driving corresponding increases in both data volume, and the energy to process, archive and/or communicate it. Indeed, data centers, cell phones, laptops, tablets, etc., are drawing an increasingly large portion of the world's electrical power production.¹ Remediation by reducing energy/bit is no longer a viable strategy because the current computer technology, CMOS, has reached fundamental limits which prevent further Dennard (voltage) down-scaling with chip generation.² This has led to a power bottleneck^{3–5}—although the Moore's law shrink will continue for a few more generations.³ The development of architectures based on different physical principles, capable of populating critical applications spaces with *fast, low power* solutions, has therefore become crucial to support the evolution of IT into the mega-data era.

Recently, we have invented a post-CMOS technology, the PiezoElectronic Transistor (PET), shown in its 4-terminal form in Fig. 1, modeled its performance in the VLSI space as a fast, low power 3-terminal device,^{6–8} and fabricated several prototypes demonstrating proof of principle.^{9,10} The PET is potentially both low power and fast because of its stress transduction operating principle: A voltage signal arrives, charging a piezoelectric (PE) capacitor,^{11,12} which expands, compressing a piezoresistive (PR) channel material^{13,14} against a rigid surrounding yoke/frame, transducing the input signal into internal stress. The PR undergoes a continuous

insulator to metal transition with applied stress, turning the device On and thereby transducing the internal stress into an output voltage signal. The transduction of the input voltage to an internal stress state of the PET device means that the current through the channel is controlled by the stress-dependent resistivity of the PR. This is in contrast to the electrostatic control mechanism utilized by CMOS^{2–5} which can no longer scale down voltage and power with generation. PET performance relies on the integration of PR materials such as SmSe or $\text{Sm}_x\text{Eu}_{1-x}\text{S}$ (Refs. 13 and 14) and PE materials such as $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ - PbTiO_3 (PMN-PT)^{11,12,15} into structures such as Fig. 1. Speed is controlled by matching the RC time ($R_{\text{On}}^{(\text{PR})}C^{(\text{PE})}$) and sound crossing time (PE height/PE speed of sound) which can easily reach picoseconds. These results together with FEM simulations show that a VLSI-scale PET device can attain a resistive On/Off ratio of 10^4 at gate voltages of order 0.1 V while operating at 10 GHz (Refs. 6, 7, and 16)—provided near bulk properties of the materials SmSe and PMN-PT can be achieved at small scales as suggested by recent advances in materials scaling.^{14,15} Early device prototypes are described in Refs. 9 and 10.

The need for high power-performance devices throughout the IT landscape supports significantly extending the application space of the PET using its 4-terminal embodiment as a platform. First, sensor array monitors for structures (e.g., bridges and buildings) and human wearables, for example, are not inherently useful without computational

FIG. 1. Left: Schematic of the 4-terminal PET for VLSI and LVLA applications—a seven material layer stack consisting of a bottom PE metal (M1), PE, top PE metal (M2), insulator, bottom PR metal (M3), PR, top PR metal (M4), and a hard surround fabricated from a high yield strength material (HYM). A void space allows free motion of the components laterally. Right: PET as modeled by ANSYS in cylindrical coordinates—rectilinear components of the 7-layer device stack are replaced by cylindrical components allowing highly efficient computations. The table in the inset gives the important design parameters: fixed indicates that the quantity is kept constant during the optimization procedure because it does not strongly effect the switching voltage while variable indicates that the quantity is exhaustively sampled in a fine-grained optimization procedure.

resources to analyze and convert their output into safety and health semiotics.¹⁷ These computational capabilities should be co-located on-board with the sensors to avoid power hungry communication; this demands high efficiency computation in terms of Flops/Watt since only limited power resources are available to achieve both low weight and long lifetime at low cost. Here, the PET solution is a low voltage device with a high On/Off resistance ratio and moderate speed and density which we term Low Voltage-Large Area (LVLA). Second, Radio Frequency (RF) switches and devices with wide civilian and military uses such as cellphones and phased array radar have challenging specifications including power, bandwidth, and linearity, where the drive towards increased operating frequencies, and the need to reduce programming power, makes beyond CMOS solutions attractive.¹⁸ In the PET RF switch, the gate leads M1, M2 carry the switch control signal, while the output contacts M3, M4 carry the RF signal. Here, the key Figure of Merit is the time constant $\tau_{\text{FOM}} = R_{\text{On}}^{(\text{PR})} C_{\text{Off}}^{(\text{PR})}$, which can be as low as a few femtoseconds, lower than alternative RF switch implementations described in Ref. 18. Third, we revisit the VLSI niche using the 4-terminal device platform which has the advantage of complete gate isolation allowing complementary logic with unidirectional poling of the PEs (by reversing the wires), and gates such as a flip-flop static random access memory (SRAM) not possible to implement in CMOS.¹⁶

In this letter, we optimize the 4-terminal embodiment of the PET device (Fig. 1) for three applications of interest, the VLSI scale transistor, the low voltage-large area transistor for on-board computing in sensors, and the RF switch, and describe the underlying device physics. For each device concept, the current state of fabrication techniques and materials is given. The devices are presented from small scale to large as, paradoxically, the RF switch requires a more complex embodiment to achieve high performance whereas the smaller devices illustrate the basic physical concepts more cleanly.

We begin with a description of the 4-terminal PET switch of Fig. 1 and our modelling methodology. The 4-PET is a seven material layer stack, comprised of a bottom PE metal

(M1), PE, top PE metal (M2), insulator (INS), bottom PR metal (M3), PR, top PR metal (M4), and a hard surround. The void space allows for unhindered lateral motion of the PE and PR components. High work function metals are required to optimize the performance of the PR/metal interfaces; this choice pins the metal Fermi level near the PR valence band allowing for a maximal piezoresistive effect¹⁹ while for the PE, this limits gate leakage.^{11,12} The large areal difference between the PE and PR (as depicted in Fig. 1) serves an important purpose. As forces match at materials' interfaces and stress is force per unit area, the large area ratio, $A_{\text{PE}}/A_{\text{PR}}$, allows a small force generated by the PE to create a large stress in the PR—the hammer and nail effect—thereby facilitating low voltage operation. In the switch operation, the PE charges and extends to compress the PR against the hard surround when a voltage signal arrives. Since electronic time scales in the PR are of order femtoseconds, it can be assumed that the stress state of the PR determines the resistivity as the electrons can instantaneously adjust to adiabatic nuclear motion caused by PE actuation. We model PR resistivity as proportional to the negative exponential of the indirect band gap which is determined from a full deformation potentials analysis^{19,20} times a gauge factor fit to experimental data.^{16,19,20} The stress state in the PR material is generated from a cylindrically symmetric linear piezoelectric computation for a given voltage, V , applied across the PE using ANSYS as described in supplementary material.²¹ We assume Ohmic behavior and compute the current density, and hence the current, $I(V)$, from the continuity equation; the quantity $I(V)/I(0)$ defines the On/Off ratio as given in supplementary material.²¹

We next determine, for each application, the device geometry and materials choices that minimize the switching voltage, the voltage required to achieve an On/Off ratio of 10^4 , with the constraint that the current density be near uniform in the PR channel. The PR material is taken to be SmSe and the PE material, PMN-PT. The many device features, materials sizes, and types make statistical methods the ideal choice to develop/discover design rules and then discern the physics underlying them.

Consider the VLSI PET: the PR element is taken to be a cube of 3 nm on edge, which is sufficient to avoid the tunneling dominated transport regime.^{8,19} All the other parameters in the device were sampled from uniform finite distributions (hat functions) in the philosophy of equal *a priori* probability. We took the parameter sets of the top performers and by graphical methods identified the important variables (see inset table to Fig. 1 and supplementary material²¹). The key parameters are found to be the height (thickness) and width of the PE, the total thickness of M2, INS, and M3, termed H_{MIM} , and the Young's modulus of M2.

The next step is to refine the design. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show that the PE width should be taken approximately equal to the PE height and H_{MIM} should be taken to scale linearly with PE height; however, the thickness, H_{MIM} , is constrained to be greater than 12 nm, to allow a physically realizable individual layer thickness of $\frac{1}{3}H_{\text{MIM}} \geq 4$ nm and simply taking $H_{\text{MIM}} = 12$ nm is shown to have little effect on performance. While all other metals in the stack optimize as elastically stiff materials, performance improves with the introduction of an elastically compliant M2 as shown in Fig. 2(c).

There are sound physics-based reasons which explain the results of our simple learning procedure; the fundamental tradeoffs in the device design are: (i) The PE aspect ratio ($H_{\text{PE}}/W_{\text{PE}}$) cannot be taken too low because the PE becomes clamped to the substrate and cannot expand sufficiently to strongly compress the PR.¹⁵ If taken too high, the hammer-nail effect is lessened and the tall-thin structure becomes too elastically compliant, again compromising performance. The optimal result, here, is $H_{\text{PE}}/W_{\text{PE}} \approx 1$; (ii) The clamping effect also occurs at the top electrode surface. Therefore, choosing a more elastically compliant M2 unclamps the PE resulting in greater extension for the same applied voltage. (iii) The M2/INS/M3 height, H_{MIM} , controls bending of the structure (scaling as H_{MIM}^{-3}); if H_{MIM} is taken too small, the M2/INS/

M3 bows as the PE expands reducing compression of the PR, raising the voltage required to generate the desired On/Off ratio. On the other hand, if H_{MIM} is taken too large, it begins to dissipate a significant fraction of the mechanical energy generated by PE and thereby decreases the efficiency of the device. For the VLSI PET, taking $H_{\text{MIM}} = 12$ nm works well in all cases as discussed above.

Further insight can be gleaned from a 1-dimensional compact model of the PET consisting of a PE element, a PR element, infinitely stiff and thin electrodes and insulator, and an infinitely stiff HYM, subject to an applied voltage, V .^{6,7} The model provides an estimate of the uniaxial stress in the device's PR element

$$T_{33}^{(\text{PR})}(V) \approx \frac{d_{33}V}{s_{33}^{(\text{PR})}h + s_{33}^{(\text{PE})}H_{\text{PE}}\frac{A}{A}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\{H_{\text{PE}}, W_{\text{PE}}, \text{ and } A = W_{\text{PE}}^2\}$ are the height, width, and area of the PE, $\{h, w, \text{ and } a = w^2\}$ are the height, width, and area of the PR, d_{33} is the piezoelectric constant of the PE, and $s_{33}^{(\text{PR})}/s_{33}^{(\text{PE})}$ is the elastic compliance of the PR/PE. Assuming the PR resistivity scales as $\rho \approx \rho_0 \exp(-\pi_u T_{33}^{(\text{PR})}(V))$ (Refs. 13, 14, and 19) and taking $\{H_{\text{PE}} = W_{\text{PE}}, h = w\}$ as above, the On voltage (i.e., the voltage required to create an On/Off ratio of 10^4) is

$$V_{\text{On}} \approx \left(\frac{4 \ln(10) s_{33}^{(\text{PR})} h}{\pi_u d_{33}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{s_{33}^{(\text{PE})}}{s_{33}^{(\text{PR})}} \frac{h}{H_{\text{PE}}} \right). \quad (2)$$

In Fig. 2(c), only $\{H_{\text{PE}} = W_{\text{PE}}\}$ is varied. Hence, following Eq. (2), $V_{\text{On}} \approx B(1 + C/H_{\text{PE}})$ with $\{B, C\}$ constant, a form obeyed well by the data, provided renormalized PE materials properties are introduced to treat the effects of the true multi-component, 3-dimensional, device structure.²⁰ Extrapolating the data to $H_{\text{PE}} \rightarrow \infty$ yields a lower bound for V_{On} of about 75 mV.

Based on the above results, a good choice of PE geometry for the VLSI PET is cube/pillar of edge 35 nm combined with a PR cube/pillar of 3 nm on edge as shown in Fig. 2(c)—a compromise between speed (the acoustic time increases linearly with PE height), line voltage, device switching energy, and keeping low strain ($<1\%$) and stress (<100 MPa) in the PE for stability under cycling. This device is estimated to switch at 115 mV with a clock speed of 8 GHz. The metal thicknesses are chosen such that they can be fabricated at the required scales using current methods. The PR and PE pillars are within fundamental physical limits,^{8,22} but neither thin films nor patterned structures of these materials are yet available at the VLSI scale. Lithographic advances arising from continued CMOS Moore's Law scaling and other technologies with small features²³ can be leveraged to fabricate PETs. Also, new PR materials resistant to oxidation and etch gases such as XeF_2 are highly desirable.

An important application space in the IT ecosystem is on-board low electrical power/high compute power computational capability for sensor networks, to which a LVLA PET seems well-adapted. In Fig. 2(c), a PE pillar of dimension 70 nm on edge is predicted to switch On at a line voltage of 95 mV. Since the PET obeys a Dennard-like scaling law,^{6,7,16} scaling all dimensions, the time scale and the

FIG. 2. (a) Depicts the average pressure in the PR (blue: lowest, yellow: highest) as a function of PE dimension, with all other variables including applied voltage fixed. (b) shows the dependence of pressure on the combined thickness of the middle layer (M2 + INS + M3) with the PE fixed in a 1:1 aspect ratio. Finally, in (c), the switching voltage and the switching energy obtained from the continuity equation assuming Ohm's Law inside the PR is given for different material compositions of the MIM stack: (M2, INS, and M3); the PR is a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ nm³ cuboid. We envision replacing gold by an appropriate relatively soft, high work function metallic oxide in practical applications.

voltage by $2\times$ allows for a device with a 140 nm on edge PE and a 6 nm on edge PR to operate with a line voltage of 190 mV and a clock speed of 2 GHz. Scaling by $3\times$ yields a 210 nm on edge PE pillar and a 9 nm on edge PR operating at 285 mV with a 1 GHz clock. Either of these two devices, optimized for On/Off ratios of 10^4 , would result in a breakthrough in the LVLA application space if realized. We note that high quality PE and PR films at the largest LVLA device size discussed are currently available,^{14,15} but fabrication into high performance pillars has yet to be demonstrated. Resistivity scaling with dimension, assumed in Dennard's scaling argument, can be achieved by varying composition of the $\text{Sm}_x\text{Eu}_{1-x}\text{S}$ type PR, for example.

The stress based 4-terminal transduction device implementation of a basic RF switch is presented in Fig. 3(b) with 1 switch per RF line. The difference between the RF PET and the PET of Fig. 1 are the protrusions of M3 and M4 matching the PR width which are referred to as the nails. This feature is implemented to give the device the flexibility necessary to generate nearly uniform current density in the PR as demonstrated below. In essence, the nails plus the PR form a “composite” PR structural element (M/PR/M) as the metal nails have the same width as the PR. The PR, itself, is selected to be of dimension $15\text{ nm} \times (300\text{ nm})^2$ such that the $R_{\text{On}}^{(\text{PR})}C_{\text{Off}}^{(\text{PR})}$ can be low and yet allow the device to perform well with practical feature sizes.

We apply the statistical analysis described above to determine the key variables (see Fig. 3(c)) which are the same as in VLSI study with the addition of the nails which together with the PR form the composite element. Due to the low aspect ratio of the PR itself, optimization of the PE results in a 1:1.5 height to width ratio as given in the Fig. 4(a). A nail height of 100 nm is sufficient to yield a uniform

FIG. 3. (a) Shows the schematic of a PET-based RF switch device structure made distinct from Fig. 1(a) by the addition of extensions to M3 and M4 referred to as nails. The scale of PR (300 nm wide) allows the nails to be fabricated using current techniques. In (b), the four terminal switch is implemented as an RF circuit. The switching lines are distinct from the RF signal lines for better isolation. Two RF sources are shown, each with a 4-terminal switch. In (c), following the same rationale as in Fig. 1, the design parameters are grouped into fixed and variable as given in the inset. The nails are necessary to reduce the non-uniformity of the stress distribution in the PR and hence the current density.

FIG. 4. (a) Shows the pressure in the PR as a function of the dimensions of the PE with all other design parameters fixed. (b) illustrates the conductivity radially across the PR at mid height for different nail heights. In (c), the required switching voltage of the RF switch at different PE scales is presented (with the PE fixed in the optimal aspect ratio described in (a)) for several nail heights. The switching voltage was computed by solving the continuity equation assuming Ohm's Law is valid inside the PR and then used to estimate the switching energy.

current density in the PR (see Fig. 4(b)). Thus, a PE of dimension $900\text{ nm} \times (1750\text{ nm})^2$ in conjunction with a PR of dimension $15\text{ nm} \times (300\text{ nm})^2$ yields an effective RF switch with a RF Figure of Merit of $R_{\text{On}}^{(\text{PR})}C_{\text{Off}}^{(\text{PR})} \approx 4\text{ fs}$ and a switching voltage $<10\text{ V}$ (see Fig. 4(c)). The composite PR is nearly of dimension $(300\text{ nm})^3$ —Dennard scaling of VLSI PET to this PR size would indicate a thicker PE, and wider PE would be required ($3.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$),³ so the composite design offers important advantages as thicker PEs are harder to process. For the optimal dimensions, both thin film materials and patterning techniques are available for the PR and the PE^{14,15} and fabrication of a fully integrated RF switch is on the near horizon based on learning from our proof of concept designs.^{9,10} To achieve practical application, the RF switch has to be optimized within a package¹⁸ and for this work, we are developing a deep neural net approach.

The piezoelectronic stress transduction switch has been modeled as an RF switch at the $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ PE scale, as a large area-low voltage logic transistor at the 140 nm PE scale, and as a CMOS replacement in VLSI at the 35 nm PE scale and physics-based design rules described. We have shown that the 4-terminal device embodiment is sufficiently flexible to deliver a RF switch with a figure of merit of 4 fs, a low voltage-large area, logic switch with a line voltage of 200 mV, 2 GHz operating frequency and a 10^4 On/Off ratio for on-board compute in sensors, and a VLSI transistor operating at 115 mV line voltage and 8 GHz operating frequency for a potential CMOS replacement. This predicted high performance across a wide range of application spaces demonstrates that the piezoelectronic transduction device has a place in the rapidly approaching mega-data era. The results should spur the research into PR and PE scaling,^{14,15} and the piezoelectronic transduction switch fabrication techniques^{9,10} necessary to realize the across the board, fast, low power solutions modeled here.

This research was partially supported by the DARPA MESO (Mesodynamic Architectures) Program under Contract No. N66001-11-C-4109. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government. One of us (I.-B.M.) was funded under European Metrology Research Program Project IND54 Nanostrain and a Scottish University Physics Alliance Fellowship. D.M.N. and G.J.M. thank Dr. J. O. Plouchart, Dr. A. Valdes-Garcia, and Dr. D. J. Friedman for interesting discussions on the RF switch application.

- ¹B. Walsh, "The surprisingly large energy footprint of the digital economy," in *Time Magazine*, 14 August 2013.
- ²G. Baccarani, M. R. Wordeman, and R. H. Dennard, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **31**, 452 (1984).
- ³W. Haensch, E. J. Nowak, R. H. Dennard, P. M. Solomon, A. Bryant, O. H. Dokumaci, A. Kumar, X. Wang, J. B. Johnson, and M. V. Fischetti, *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **50**, 339 (2006); H. Iwai, *Microelectron. Eng.* **86**, 1520 (2009).
- ⁴T. N. Theis and P. M. Solomon, *Science* **327**, 1600 (2010).
- ⁵T. N. Theis and P. M. Solomon, *Proc. IEEE* **98**, 2005 (2010).
- ⁶D. M. Newns, B. G. Elmegreen, X. H. Liu, and G. J. Martyna, *Adv. Mater.* **24**, 367 (2012).
- ⁷D. Newns, B. Elmegreen, X. H. Liu, and G. Martyna, *MRS Bull.* **37**, 1071 (2012).
- ⁸Z. Jiang, M. A. Kuroda, Y. Tan, D. M. Newns, M. Povolotskyi, T. B. Boykin, T. Kubis, G. Klimeck, and G. J. Martyna, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 193501 (2013).
- ⁹P. M. Solomon, B. A. Bryce, M. A. Kuroda, R. Keech, S. Shetty, T. M. Shaw, M. Copel, L.-W. Hung, A. G. Schrott, C. Armstrong, M. S. Gordon, K. B. Reuter, T. N. Theis, W. Haensch, S. M. Rossmagel, H. Miyazoe, B. G. Elmegreen, X.-H. Liu, S. Troler-McKinstry, G. J. Martyna, and D. M. Newns, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 2391 (2015).
- ¹⁰J. B. Chang, H. Miyazoe, M. Copel, P. M. Solomon, X.-H. Liu, T. M. Shaw, A. G. Schrott, L. M. Gignac, G. J. Martyna, and D. M. Newns, "Realization of a fully integrated piezoelectronic stress-based transduction device," *Nanotechnology* (in press).
- ¹¹S.-E. Park and T. R. Shrout, *J. Appl. Phys.* **82**, 1804 (1997).

- ¹²S. H. Baek, J. Park, D. M. Kim, V. A. Aksyuk, R. R. Das, S. D. Bu, D. A. Felker, J. Lettieri, V. Vaithyanathan, S. S. N. Bharadwaja, N. Bassiri-Gharb, Y. B. Chen, H. P. Sun, C. M. Folkman, H. W. Jang, D. J. Kreft, S. K. Streiffer, R. Ramesh, X. Q. Pan, S. Troler-McKinstry, D. G. Schlom, M. S. Rzchowski, R. H. Blick, and C. B. Eom, *Science* **334**, 958 (2011).
- ¹³A. Jayaraman, V. Narayanamurti, E. Bucher, and R. G. Maines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 1430 (1970); A. Jayaraman and R. G. Maines, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 4154 (1979).
- ¹⁴M. Copel, M. A. Kuroda, M. S. Gordon, X.-H. Liu, S. S. Mahajan, G. J. Martyna, N. Moumen, C. Armstrong, S. M. Rossmagel, T. M. Shaw, P. M. Solomon, T. N. Theis, J. J. Yurkas, Y. Zhu, and D. M. Newns, *Nano Lett.* **13**, 4650 (2013).
- ¹⁵R. Keech, S. Shetty, M. A. Kuroda, X. H. Liu, G. J. Martyna, D. M. Newns, and S. Troler-McKinstry, *J. Appl. Phys.* **115**, 234106 (2014).
- ¹⁶D. Newns, B. Elmegreen, X. H. Liu, and G. J. Martyna, *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 084509 (2012).
- ¹⁷C. Morris, "Signs and the act," in *Semiotics: An Introductory Anthology*, edited by R. E. Innis (Indiana University Press, Bloomington, 1985), ISBN: 0253203449.
- ¹⁸J.-O. Plouchart, "Applications of SOI technologies to communication," in *IEEE Compound Semiconductor IC Symposium* (2011), p. 1-4; F. Boccardi, R. W. Heath, Jr., A. Lozano, T. L. Marzetta, and P. Popovski, "Five disruptive technology directions for 5G," *IEEE Commun. Mag.* **52**, 74 (2014).
- ¹⁹M. A. Kuroda, Z. Jiang, M. Povolotskyi, G. Klimeck, D. M. Newns, and G. J. Martyna, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 245124 (2014).
- ²⁰I.-B. Magdău, M. A. Kuroda, J. Crain, D. M. Newns, and G. J. Martyna, "Nanoscale electroacoustic spectrometer: Multiscale modeling and simulation" (unpublished).
- ²¹See supplementary material at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928681> for details of FEM computations, statistical search procedures, current computations, and basic RF switch design principles.
- ²²M. J. Highland, T. T. Fister, M.-I. Richard, D. D. Fong, P. H. Fuoss, C. Thompson, J. A. Eastman, S. K. Streiffer, and G. B. Stephenson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 167601 (2010); See http://www.ti.com/pub/fram/fram_faq.html for Texas Instrument's FRAM fact sheet.
- ²³G. W. Burr, M. J. Breitwisch, M. Franceschini, D. Garetto, K. Gopalakrishnan, B. Jackson, B. Kurdi, C. Lam, L. A. Lastras, A. Padilla, B. Rajendran, S. Raoux, and R. S. Shenoy, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B* **28**, 223 (2010); M.-C. Chen, C.-M. Wu, Y.-F. Hou, Y.-J. Chen, C.-H. Lin, C.-Y. Lin, B.-W. Wu, and W.-K. Yeh, *Int. J. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 87 (2015).