THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Why patients with dementia need a motor examination

Citation for published version:

Bak, TH 2016, 'Why patients with dementia need a motor examination', *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, vol. 87, no. 11, 1157. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-313466>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/jnnp-2016-313466](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-313466)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Why dementia patients need a motor exam

Thomas H Bak, University of Edinburgh, UK

About 25 years ago, the world of neurodegenerative diseases was dominated by a clear and intuitively appealing distinction. On one hand, there were dementias, disorders of cognition, in which patients could develop problems with memory, language, attention or orientation, but in which motor functions were assumed to be preserved. On the other hand, there were motor disorders, affecting movement but leaving cognition intact. Dementia and movement specialists rarely interacted; they attended different conferences, read different journals (or at least articles) and used different assessment tools. The division was stronger in Anglo-saxon countries than in Continental Europe, with its tradition of linking neurology and psychiatry.

Since then, dozens of clinical studies have shown that cognitive symptoms are frequent in so-called motor disorders and vice versa ¹. Advances in basic sciences unearthed a large amount of overlap in molecular biology and genetics between “motor” and “cognitive” disorders. Theoretical models have been developed, in which movement and cognition form part of the same functional systems ². And yet, all these insights seem to have only limited influence on everyday clinical practice: a recent worldwide survey showed that motor functions are not examined routinely in a large proportion of dementia patients ³.

The importance of integrating movement and cognition is clearly illustrated by the recent paper of Ahmed et al ⁴, examining the prevalence of apraxia in 111 patients from an early dementia clinic. Limb apraxia affected 92% of patients with Posterior Cortical Atrophy (PCA), 69% with Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) and 67% with logopenic aphasia. Importantly, the presence of apraxia could discriminate between AD and Fronto-Temporal Dementia, making it useful in differentiating both diseases. The results extend substantially earlier observations of apraxia in Posterior Cortical Atrophy ⁵.

Apraxia is a good place to start the re-integration of movement and cognition. It is exactly at the intersection between both and one could argue whether it should be classified as a cognitive or a motor deficit. Cortico-basal degeneration (CBD), in which apraxia is considered as one of its pathognomonic features, has been originally classified as a motor and subsequently re-defined as a cognitive disorder ⁶. But in order to interpret the results of apraxia examination we need to assess both cognition (e.g. did the patient understand the instructions?) and movement (was the performance influenced by parkinsonism, dystonia, tremor, weakness, cerebellar dysfunction etc?). The authors of Ahmed et al 2016 study were fortunate in that all their patients received a full neurological examination. But as mentioned above, motor exam is currently not part of the routine assessment in many dementia clinics.

What we need, therefore, is a brief motor screening, easy to use, score and interpret, so that it could become part of the routine assessment in dementia clinics, whether run by neurologists, psychiatrists, geriatricians or any other specialty. Currently, no such tool is available, but the first steps in this direction have been done with the Edinburgh Motor Assessment (EMAS): a simple 7-10 minutes motor screening test, specifically designed for use in dementia patients ⁷. Hopefully, it will draw more attention to motor symptoms in dementia and help clinicians to assess them.

References:

1. Bak TH. Movement disorders: Why movement and cognition belong together. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 2010;7:10-12.
2. Bak TH, Chandran S. What wires together dies together: verbs, actions and neurodegeneration in motor neuron disease. *Cortex* 2012;48:936-944.
3. Symonds A, Bak T. What is the current practice of cognitive and motor screening in dementia clinics? A worldwide on-line survey. *European Journal of Neurology* 2015;22:424.
4. Ahmed S, Baker I, Thompson S, Husain M, Butler C. Utility of testing for apraxia and associated features in dementia. *JNNP* in press.
5. Ryan NS, Shakespeare TJ, Lehmann M, et al. Motor features in posterior cortical atrophy and their imaging correlates. *Neurobiology of aging* 2014;35:2845-2857.
6. Bak TH, Hodges JR. Corticobasal degeneration: clinical aspects. *Handbook of clinical neurology* 2008;89:509-521.
7. Bak T, Bennett G, Symonds A PM, et al. Motor symptoms in healthy ageing and dementia: frequency, patterns and the relation between motor and cognitive functions. *European Journal of Neurology* 2015;22:94.