

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Oligodendrocyte precursor cells sculpt the visual system by regulating axonal remodeling

Citation for published version:

Xiao, Y, Petrucco, L, Hoodless, LJ, Portugues, R & Czopka, T 2022, 'Oligodendrocyte precursor cells sculpt the visual system by regulating axonal remodeling', Nature Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01023-7

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/s41593-022-01023-7

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Nature Neuroscience

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



OPEN Oligodendrocyte precursor cells sculpt the visual system by regulating axonal remodeling

Yan Xiao¹, Luigi Petrucco^{1,3}, Laura J. Hoodless⁴, Ruben Portugues^{2,3,5} and Tim Czopka^{1,4,5}

Many oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) do not differentiate to form myelin, suggesting additional roles of this cell population. The zebrafish optic tectum contains OPCs in regions devoid of myelin. Elimination of these OPCs impaired precise control of retinal ganglion cell axon arbor size during formation and maturation of retinotectal connectivity and degraded functional processing of visual stimuli. Therefore, OPCs fine-tune neural circuits independently of their canonical role to make myelin.

Oligodendrocytes play crucial roles in modulating information processing through regulation of axon conduction and metabolism^{1,2}. Myelinating oligodendrocytes arise by differentiation of OPCs, which are uniformly distributed across the central nervous system (CNS) and tile the tissue with their elaborate process networks³. The formation of new myelin through oligodendrocyte differentiation continues into adulthood and can dynamically change to shape axonal myelination^{4–6}. However, the CNS comprises more OPCs than ever differentiate, making about 5% of all CNS cells lifelong⁷. How this persistent population of resident CNS cells affects the CNS apart from being the cellular source of new myelin is largely unclear.

OPCs are a heterogenous population with different properties^{8,9}. Clonal analyses have shown that a large proportion of OPCs do not directly generate myelinating oligodendrocytes, suggesting that these cells might have additional physiological functions in the healthy CNS¹⁰. Indeed, OPCs express molecules that can affect form and function of neurons^{11–13}, and altered gene expression in OPCs has recently been linked to mood disorders in humans. However, as changes in OPCs also affect myelination, it remains unclear if roles in the formation of a functional neural circuit can be directly attributed to OPCs that are independent of myelination.

To reveal myelination-independent roles for OPCs, we identified the optic tectum of larval zebrafish as a brain area that is densely interspersed with OPCs that rarely differentiate to oligodendrocytes (Fig. 1, Extended Data Fig. 1a-c and Supplementary Videos 1 and 2). Transgenic lines labeling retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons as primary input to the tectum (Tg(isl2b:EGFP)), OPCs (Tg(olig1:memEYFP)) and myelin (Tg(mbp:memRFP)) allowed the carrying out of high-resolution, whole-brain imaging of neuron-oligodendrocyte interactions. Myelination of RGC axons was observed along the optic nerve and along tectal neuron axons projecting to deep brain areas (Extended Data Fig. 1a-c). However, the tectal neuropil where RGC axons connect to tectal neuron dendrites remained largely devoid of myelin until at least 14 days post-fertilization (d.p.f.) despite being interspersed with OPC processes throughout (Fig. 1b,c). Quantification of oligodendrocyte numbers confirmed that no more than 6% of oligodendrocyte lineage cells differentiated by 14 d.p.f., in strong contrast to hindbrain regions showing 56% differentiation (Extended Data Fig. 1d,e). Within the tectum, OPCs localized their soma either at the border between the neuropil and periventricular zone containing most tectal neurons or right within the neuropil (Fig. 1d–f and Extended Data Fig. 1f) and claimed non-overlapping territories, similarly to previous studies (Extended Data Fig. 1g and Supplementary Video 3)^{10,14,15}. OPCs can be highly dynamic and potentially migrate, proliferate or differentiate. However, sparse labeling revealed that soma positioning of individual tectal OPCs remained largely stable, with a low rate of division and differentiation between 6 d.p.f. and 10 d.p.f. (Fig. 1f,g).

The appearance of OPCs coincided with the time when RGC axons arrive in the developing tectum and establish their terminal arborizations (Extended Data Fig. 2a). Time-lapse imaging revealed that RGC arbors dynamically interact with OPC processes and that interactions frequently preceded retractions of arbor tips (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 2b,c and Supplementary Videos 4-7). This correlation prompted us to ask whether OPCs influence the organization of RGC arbors. We tested this using an inducible nitroreductase (NTR)-mediated cell ablation system specifically targeted to OPCs (Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR)) (Fig. 2b,c and Extended Data Fig. 3a). Early OPC ablation from 2 d.p.f. when RGC axons arrive at the tectum led to formation of erroneous axon branches reaching outside the tectal neuropil as well as enlarged sizes of individual RGC arbors (Fig. 2e,f,h,i). To exclude that this phenotype was mediated indirectly by microglia clearing dying OPCs or by diverting microglial activities, which have an established role at eliminating synapses¹⁶, we carried out two controls: (1) genetic depletion of OPCs without causing inflammation by morpholino injection against oligodendrocyte transcription factor 2 (olig2) and (2) depletion of microglia using a morpholino against interferon regulatory factor 8 (irf8) (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 3b-d). Although *olig2* morphants also exhibited ectopic branching and enlarged RGC arbors, none of these phenotypes was seen in *irf8* morphants (Fig. 2g,j and Extended Data Fig. 3e,f). Therefore, erroneous RGC arborizations and enlarged RGC arbors resulted directly from the absence of OPCs.

After their formation, RGC arbors undergo a phase of developmental pruning during larval stages when retinotectal connectivity is refined^{17,18}. To test whether OPCs also play a long-lasting role during the refinement of RGC arbors as they continue to persist and interact with each other, we carried out late OPC ablations starting from 7 d.p.f. when zebrafish have a functional visual system. Ablations were carried out analogously using NTR-mediated chemogenetics or by two-photon-mediated cell ablation to specifically eliminate OPCs from the tectum (Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 4). In control animals, individual RGC arbors underwent process remod-

¹Institute of Neuronal Cell Biology, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ²Max Planck Institute of Neurobiology, Sensorimotor Control Research Group, Martinsried, Germany. ³Institute of Neuroscience, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany. ⁴Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ⁵Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany. ^{Sen}email: tim.czopka@ed.ac.uk

BRIEF COMMUNICATION



Fig. 1 The tectal neuropil of larval zebrafish is interspersed with OPC processes but largely devoid of myelin. a, Transgenic zebrafish showing OPC processes throughout the brain. Dashed line indicates cross-sectional plane shown in **b** and **c**. Schematic of zebrafish brain delineates RGC axons, dendrites of PVINs and OPCs in tectal neuropil. **b**, **c**, Cross-sectional views of transgenic zebrafish showing that OPC processes intersperse the tectal neuropil (dashed lines), whereas myelin is largely absent. Scale bars, 50 µm. **d**, Sub-projection of OPC reporter lines (dorsal view) stained with BODIPY to outline tectal neuropil (NP) and the periventricular neuron zone (PVN). Dashed lines indicate the border between NP and PVN. Scale bar, 40 µm. **e**, Time lapse of four individual OPCs (lateral rotation view). Dashed lines depict tectal NP. Scale bar, 20 µm. **f**, **g**, Quantifications of individual OPCs as shown in **e**, showing low rates of soma position changes, division and differentiation.

eling with additions and eliminations of multiple neurites that lead to a net reduction in arbor size by about 14% between 7 d.p.f. and 10 d.p.f., similarly to previous reports (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Figs. 4g and 5a-d)¹⁸. This reduction in arbor size was significantly decreased in OPC-ablated animals (1.7% after OPC laser ablation and 6% after OPC NTR ablation), with some arbors even increasing in size due to a reduction in neurite eliminations and an increase in neurite additions after laser-mediated OPC ablation (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Figs. 4g and 5a–d). Despite changes in size, individual arbors remained stratified (Extended Data Figs. 4g and 5b,c). Furthermore, the effects on neurite remodeling were specific to axonal processes because remodeling of tectal neuron dendrites in the same tissue was unaffected upon OPC ablation, further corroborating that the effects observed did not result from unspecific collateral damage induced by our manipulations (Extended Data Fig. 5e–j).

It has been reported that enlarged RGC arbors impair visual processing¹⁹. To test if OPC ablation affects functional performance as the visual system matures, we first carried out prey capture assays in late ablations from 7 d.p.f. onwards. These experiments showed that about two times more paramecia remained uncaptured in OPC NTR-ablated animals, and about 70% more paramecia remained uncaptured after unilateral laser ablation of tectal OPCs (Fig. 3d,e and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). This effect was specific to tectal OPCs as OPC laser ablation from telencephalic regions did not impair paramecium capture (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 6a). None of our manipulations affected overall swimming activity, ruling out that gross locomotor defects account for reduced hunting (Extended Data Fig. 6c,d). Furthermore, we performed optomotor response (OMR) assays stimulated by moving gratings of different widths (Extended Data Fig. 6f,g and Supplementary Video 8). Narrower gratings become increasingly difficult to resolve, leading to longer latencies and ultimate failure to elicit OMR (Fig. 3f). After global NTR-mediated OPC ablation, animals were able to robustly elicit OMR in response to wide gratings (10-mm width), but there was a significantly increased probability of failure to initiate OMR when narrow gratings (3.3 mm) were presented (Fig. 3g,h and Extended Data Fig. 6h-k). It is known that OMR does not primarily require the tectum but, rather, pre-tectal areas to where RGC axons can extend collaterals in addition to the tectum^{20,21} and in which OPCs also reside (Extended Data Fig. 6e). Therefore, to test if OPCs within the tectum are of direct importance for functional sensory integration, we carried out in vivo calcium imaging of tectal neurons using Tg(elavl3:h2b-GCaMP6s) in response to light flashes in random positions around the visual field (Fig. 3i,j). Visual responses in OPC NTR-ablated animals were less reliable (Fig. 3k) and smaller in amplitude (Fig. 3l), whereas receptive field size and overall retinotopy along the tectum remained intact (Extended Data Fig. 7). Together, these data show that OPC ablation impairs visual processing.

In summary, our data reveal a physiological role for OPCs in fine-tuning the structure and function of neural circuits that is independent of their traditional role in myelin formation and which is mediated by regulating growth and remodeling of axon arbors. It remains an open question if regulation of arbor growth and remodeling by OPCs at different developmental stages might be mediated by different mechanisms, as OPCs constantly express growth-inhibitory molecules such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans²², but have also been reported to phagocytose axons²³, similarly to how microglia can prune axons²⁴. Do OPCs guide or prune axons, or both? Independent of the glial mechanism, how do

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE



Fig. 2 | Early OPC depletion causes formation of aberrant RGC arborizations. a, Time lapse showing dynamic interactions between RGC axon arbors and OPC processes. Dashed box indicates the position of time lapse shown. Arrows indicate when extending RGC process interdigitates with OPC process and subsequently retracts (see Supplementary Video 6 for 3D rotation to demonstrate contact). Pie chart shows frequency of RGC retractions with and without prior OPC contact. Scale bars, $10 \,\mu$ m (top) and $2 \,\mu$ m (bottom). **b**, Timelines of manipulations in this figure. **c**, **d**, Example images of NTR-mediated OPC ablation (**c**) and olig2 morpholino-mediated OPC depletion. Dashed lines indicate neuropil. Scale bars, $25 \,\mu$ m. **e**, **f**, Increased formation of ectopic RGC axon branches extending outside tectal neuropil (arrows) upon early ablation of OPCs (mean 7.5 ± 5.1 s.d. in control versus 22.0 ± 5.3 in OPC NTR ablation, n = 14/15 animals from four experiments, unpaired two-tailed *t*-test, t = 7.466, d.f. = 27). Dashed lines indicate the border between NP and PVN. Scale bar, $20 \,\mu$ m. **g**, Increased formation of ectopic RGC axon branches upon genetic OPC reduction (*olig2* morphants) but not upon microglial depletion (*irf8* morphants) (mean 7.9 ± 4.3 s.d. in control versus 16.2 ± 3.9 in *olig2* MO versus 9.7 ± 4.6 in *irf8* MO, n = 21/25/20 animals from three experiments, one-way ANOVA, $F_{2.63} = 23.69$). **h**, **i**, Increased size of single RGC arbors upon early OPC ablation (top) while maintaining single lamina layering (bottom) (median $287 \pm 403/235$ IQR in control versus $393 \pm 461/286$ in OPC NTR ablation, n = 26/27 axons in 24/23 animals from three experiments, two-tailed Mann-Whitney *U*-test, U = 210). Scale bars, $10 \,\mu$ m. **j**, Increased size of single RGC arbors upon genetic OPC depletion (*olig2* morphants) but not upon microglial depletion (*irf8* morphants) (median $304 \pm 391/255$ IQR in control versus $355 \pm 458/326$ in *olig2* MO versus $285 \pm 323/229$ in *irf8* MO, n = 21/30/1

changes in axon arbors translate into impaired neuronal connectivity underlying visual processing? Future work will reveal these mechanisms.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41593-022-01023-7. Received: 5 March 2021; Accepted: 25 January 2022; Published online: 3 March 2022

References

- Xin, W. & Chan, J. R. Myelin plasticity: sculpting circuits in learning and memory. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 21, 682–694 (2020).
- Simons, M. & Nave, K.-A. Oligodendrocytes: myelination and axonal support. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 8, a020479 (2015).
- Bergles, D. E. & Richardson, W. D. Oligodendrocyte development and plasticity. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 8, a020453 (2015).
- 4. McKenzie, I. A. et al. Motor skill learning requires active central myelination. *Science* **346**, 318-322 (2014).

BRIEF COMMUNICATION



Fig. 3 | Late OPC ablation impairs RGC arbor remodeling and circuit function. a, Timelines of manipulations for late OPC ablations. b, Examples showing unilateral laser ablation of OPCs in the tectum. Scale bar, 20 µm. c, Reconstructions of time-projected RGC arbors highlighting stable, eliminated and added processes. Quantifications show diminished developmental reduction of RGC arbors between 7 d.p.f. and 10 d.p.f in OPC-ablated animals (left graph), mediated by decreased branch eliminations (middle) and enhanced additions (right) (left: mean 13.6 ± 12.1 s.d. in control versus 1.7 ± 9.2 in OPC laser ablation; middle: 37.9 ± 6.7 versus 29.9 ± 6.6 ; right: 19.5 ± 5.2 versus 28.8 ± 10.2 ; n = 17/14 cells in 11/9 animals from four experiments, unpaired two-tailed t-test, left: t=3.022, d.f.=29; middle: t=3.372, d.f.=29; right: t=3.089, d.f.=18.44). d, e, Impaired paramecium capture rates upon tectal OPC laser ablation (d) and OPC NTR ablation (e); (d: mean 27.6 ± 4.9 s.e.m. in sham control versus 29.7 ± 6.3 in telencephalic OPC ablation versus 49.2 ± 4.2 in tectal OPC ablation at 2-h time point, n = 11/10/23 animals from four experiments, two-way ANOVA, $F_{4123} = 3.369$; (e: mean 35.2 ± 7.3 s.e.m. in MTZ control versus 70.2 \pm 5.1 in OPC NTR ablation at 2-h time point, n=18 animals per group from four experiments, two-way ANOVA, F_{2102} = 6.759). f, Experimental setup of OMR elicited by moving gratings of different spatial widths and example trace of tail bout recording. g, First bout latencies in OMR assays. Violin plots show distribution of individual data points at 10 mm and 3.3 mm spatial frequency (10 mm: median 1.2 ± 1.6/0.7 IQR in control versus 1.5 ± 2.9/1.0 in OPC NTR ablation; 3.3 mm: median 1.6 ± 3.9/0.9 in control versus 3.4 ± 9.9/1.1 in OPC NTR ablation; n = 35/37 animals from six experiments, two-way ANOVA, F₆₄₉₀=1.853). h, Enhanced possibility of failure to initiate swimming in response to narrow moving gratings upon OPC NTR ablation (one-tailed Fisher's exact test). i, Top, visual stimulation protocol for analyzing responses of tectal neurons. Bottom, example anatomies obtained from calcium imaging in two different planes. Dashed lines indicate optic tectum. j, Visual responses from an example neuron. Each plot reports individual (black) and average (red) responses; plot position indicates position of the stimulus (top is frontal). Polar histogram represents the reliability score for this neuron to each stimulus position. k, Decreased number of reliably responsive neurons in OPC-ablated animals (median 457 ± 351/633 IQR in control versus $307 \pm 123/386$ in OPC NTR ablation, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test, U = 2.6465, n = 12/11 animals from three experiments). I, Decreased response amplitudes in OPC-ablated animals (median 0.700 ± 0.658/0.791 IQR in control versus 0.624 ± 0.536/0.672 in OPC NTR ablation, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test, U = 2.4618, n = 12/11 animals from three experiments).

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE

- Hill, R. A., Li, A. M. & Grutzendler, J. Lifelong cortical myelin plasticity and age-related degeneration in the live mammalian brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 683–695 (2018).
- Hughes, E. G., Orthmann-Murphy, J. L., Langseth, A. J. & Bergles, D. E. Myelin remodeling through experience-dependent oligodendrogenesis in the adult somatosensory cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 696–706 (2018).
- Dawson, M. R. L., Polito, A., Levine, J. M. & Reynolds, R. NG2-expressing glial progenitor cells: an abundant and widespread population of cycling cells in the adult rat CNS. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 24, 476–488 (2003).
- Spitzer, S. O. et al. Oligodendrocyte progenitor cells become regionally diverse and heterogeneous with age. *Neuron* 101, 1–13 (2019).
- Dimou, L. & Simons, M. Diversity of oligodendrocytes and their progenitors. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 47, 73–79 (2017).
- Marisca, R. et al. Functionally distinct subgroups of oligodendrocyte precursor cells integrate neural activity and execute myelin formation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 23, 363–374 (2020).
- Sakry, D. et al. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells modulate the neuronal network by activity-dependent ectodomain cleavage of glial NG2. *PLoS Biol.* 12, e1001993 (2014).
- Goldberg, J. L. et al. An oligodendrocyte lineage-specific semaphorin, Sema5A, inhibits axon growth by retinal ganglion cells. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 4989–4999 (2004).
- Birey, F. et al. Genetic and stress-induced loss of NG2 glia triggers emergence of depressive-like behaviors through reduced secretion of FGF2. *Neuron* 88, 941–956 (2015).
- Kirby, B. B. et al. In vivo time-lapse imaging shows dynamic oligodendrocyte progenitor behavior during zebrafish development. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 1506–1511 (2006).
- Hughes, E. G., Kang, S. H., Fukaya, M. & Bergles, D. E. Oligodendrocyte progenitors balance growth with self-repulsion to achieve homeostasis in the adult brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 668–676 (2013).
- 16. Schafer, D. P. et al. Microglia sculpt postnatal neural circuits in an activity and complement-dependent manner. *Neuron* 74, 691–705 (2012).
- 17. Hua, J. Y. & Smith, S. J. Neural activity and the dynamics of central nervous system development. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 327–332 (2004).

- Meyer, M. P. & Smith, S. J. Evidence from in vivo imaging that synaptogenesis guides the growth and branching of axonal arbors by two distinct mechanisms. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 3604–3614 (2006).
- 19. Smear, M. C. et al. Vesicular glutamate transport at a central synapse limits the acuity of visual perception in zebrafish. *Neuron* **53**, 65–77 (2007).
- Roeser, T. & Baier, H. Visuomotor behaviors in larval zebrafish after GFP-guided laser ablation of the optic tectum. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 3726–3734 (2003).
- Robles, E., Laurell, E. & Baier, H. The retinal projectome reveals brain-area-specific visual representations generated by ganglion cell diversity. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 2085–2096 (2014).
- 22. Filous, A. R. et al. Entrapment via synaptic-like connections between NG2 proteoglycan+ cells and dystrophic axons in the lesion plays a role in regeneration failure after spinal cord injury. J. Neurosci. 34, 16369–16384 (2014).
- Buchanan, J. et al. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells prune axons in the mouse neocortex. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.05.29.446 047v1.full (2021).
- 24. Lim, T. K. & Ruthazer, E. S. Microglial trogocytosis and the complement system regulate axonal pruning in vivo. *eLife* **10**, e62167 (2021).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



• Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long provide activity of the acure provide a link to

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Methods

Zebrafish lines and husbandry. We used the following existing zebrafish lines and strains: Tg(mbp:nls-EGFP)^{zfb078425}, Tg(mbp:memRFP)^{um101g26}, Tg(mbp:me mCerulean)^{um102g26}, Tg(olig1:memEYFP)^{um107g10}, Tg(olig1:nls-mApple)^{tum109g10}, Tg(olig1:mScarlet-CAAX)³⁷ Tg(olig1:nls-Cerulean)^{um108g10}, Tg(mfap4:memCer ulean)^{tum104g10}, Tg(isl2b:EGFP)^{bc7g23}, Tg(elavl3:h2b-GCaMP6)^{ifsg29}, AB and nacre. The transgenic line Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR) was newly generated for this study. All animals were kept at 28.5 °C with a 14-h/10-h light/dark cycle according to local animal welfare regulations. All experiments carried out with zebrafish at protected stages were approved by the government of Upper Bavaria (Regierung Oberbayern, Sachgebiet 54; ROB-55.2-1-54-2532.Vet_02-18-153, ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-15-199 and ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-15-200 to T.C.) and the Animals in Science Regulation Unit of the UK Home Office (PP5258250 to David Lyons).

Transgenesis constructs. To generate the middle entry clone pME_EYFP, the coding sequence was PCR amplified from a template plasmid using the primers attB1_YFP_F (GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTGCCACCATG CTGTGCTGC) and attB2R_YFP_R (GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGG GTCTTACTTGTACAGGCTCGTCCATGC). The PCR product was recombination cloned into pDONR221 using BP clonase (Invitrogen).

The expression constructs pTol2_olig1:mScarlet, pTol2_olig1:EYFP, pTol2_olig1:tagCFP, pTol2_olig1:tagCFP-NTR, pTol2_cntn1b:mScarlet, pTol2_ foxp2A:mScarlet and pTol2_foxp2A:Synaptophysin-mScarlet were generated in multi-site LR recombination reactions with the entry clone described above, p5E_olig1 (ref. ²⁶), p5E_cntn1b³⁰, p5E_foxp2A (gift from Martin Meyer, King's College London)³¹, pME_tagCFP²⁶, pME_mScarlet¹⁰, pME_Synaptophysin-nostop¹⁰, p3E_NTR-pA²⁵, p3E_pA and pDestTol2_pA of the Tol2Kit³². The expression construct pTol2_isl2b:Gal4 using a published isl2b promoter clone³³ was a kind gift of Leanne Godinho (TU Munich) and originally provided by Rachel Wong (Washington University); pTol2_olig1:memEYFP and pTol2_10xUAS:mScarlet were published previously¹⁰.

DNA microinjection for sparse labeling and generation of transgenic lines. Fertilized eggs at one-cell stage were microinjected with 1 nl of a solution containing 5–20 ng μ l⁻¹ of DNA plasmid and 20 ng μ l⁻¹ of Tol2 transposase mRNA. Injected F₀ animals were either used for single-cell analysis or raised to adulthood to generate full transgenic lines. For this, adult F₀ animals were outcrossed with wild-type zebrafish, and F₁ offspring were screened for presence of the reporter transgene under a fluorescence stereo dissecting microscope (Nikon SMZ18). 'Tg(promoter:reporter') denotes a stable transgenic line, whereas 'promoter:reporter' alone indicates that a respective plasmid DNA was injected for sparse labeling of individual cells.

OPC ablation using NTR. For NTR-mediated OPC ablation at early developmental stages, Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR) zebrafish at 2 d.p.f. were incubated in 10 mM metronidazole (MTZ) dissolved with 0.2% DMSO in 0.3× Danieau's solution for 48 h at 28 °C in the dark, with a change of solution after 24 h. After MTZ incubation, embryos were rinsed and kept in 0.3× Danieau's solution until analysis.

For NTR-mediated OPC ablation at later larval stages, Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR) zebrafish at 7 d.p.f. were incubated in 10 mM MTZ dissolved with 0.2% DMSO in 0.3× Danieau's solution for 24 h at 28 °C in the dark. After MTZ incubation, larvae were rinsed and kept in nursery tanks with standard diet until 10 d.p.f. Non-NTR-expressing zebrafish treated with 10 mM MTZ were used as controls in all experiments.

OPC ablation using two-photon lasers. OPCs were laser ablated from Tg(olig1:nls-mApple) using an Olympus FV1000/MPE equipped with a MaiTai DeepSee HP (Newport/Spectra Physics) and a ×25 1.05 NA MP (XLPLN25XWMP) water immersion objective. Continuous confocal scans using a 559-nm laser were taken to locate individual OPC nuclei in the optic tectum, which was identified by additional transgenic genetic markers (Tg(olig1:memYFP) or Tg(isl2b:EGFP)). Each cell was ablated using a 500-ms line scan across the cell nucleus using the MaiTai laser tuned to 770 nm (1.75-W output). The wavelength was incrementally increased for ablating OPCs in deeper tissue. After successful ablation, previously bright, round nuclei appeared dim, irregular or fragmented. The ablation procedure was repeated when cells did not show this signature. Unilateral OPC ablations took 60–90 min in the tectum. For analysis of axon remodeling after OPC laser ablation, surviving and/ or repopulating OPCs were ablated again on the second day.

Morpholino-mediated depletion of microglia and OPCs. Microglia were depleted from zebrafish embryos by microinjection of 4.5 pg of a previously published morpholino targeting the start codon of *itf*8 (5'-TCAGTCTGCGACCGCCGAGTTCAT-3')³⁴. OPCs were depleted by microinjection of 7.5 pg of a previously published morpholino targeting the start codon of *olig2* (5'-ACACTCGGGTCGTGTGTGAGAGTCCAT-3')³⁵. Both morpholinos were synthesized by Gene Tools.

Neutral red staining. Zebrafish embryos were incubated for 2.5 h in the dark in $2.5\,\mu g\,m l^{-1}$ of neutral red solution (Sigma-Aldrich, n2889) diluted in Danieau's

solution. Afterwards, embryos were washed three times for 10 min with Danieau's solution. Bright-field images of the head of the fish were taken using a Leica DFC300 FX Digital Color Camera.

Prey capture assay. Next, 2 ml of $0.3 \times$ Danieau's solution with 30 *Paramecium multimicronucleatum* were added to a 35-mm dish, along with a single zebrafish larva. The number of remaining paramecia was determined at hourly intervals for 2h. To rule out batch-dependent effects resulting from 'natural' paramecia death followed by their disintegration, a control containing paramecia but no fish was run alongside each experiment. Spontaneous paramecia death occurred only rarely in 0–3%.

Locomotor activity assay. For all experiments, testing occurred between 9:00 and 17:00 using a randomized trial design to eliminate systematic effects due to the time of day. A tracking chamber was prepared by using a 35-mm Petri dish mold surrounded by 1% agarose situated in the center of a 85-mm Petri plate to eliminate mirroring that occurs at the wall of a plastic Petri dish. Single zebrafish were placed into the well filled with 2 ml of 0.3× Danieau's solution. The plate was positioned above an LED light stage to maximize contrast for facilitating zebrafish tracking (two dark eyes and swim bladder of zebrafish larva on a light background), with a high-speed camera (XIMEA MQ013MG-ON) equipped with a Kowa LM35JC10M objective positioned above the dish. The larvae acclimated to the recording arena for 5 min before the start of video acquisition. The subsequently, video of spontaneous free swimming was recorded for 10 min at 100 Hz using a custom-written Python script and the Stytra package¹⁶.

Optomotor response assay. Zebrafish larvae were embedded in 1% agarose in a 35-mm Petri dish. After allowing the agarose to set, the dish was filled with 0.3× Danieau's solution, and the agarose around the tail was removed with a scalpel, leaving the tail of fish free to move (hereby referred to as a head-restrained preparation)37. Visual stimuli were presented on the screen from below using an ASUS P3E micro projector and an infrared light (Osram 850-nm high-power LED). The fish's tail was tracked using a high-speed camera (XIMEA MO013MG-ON) and a 50-mm telecentric objective (Navitar TC-5028). A square-wave grating with variable spatial period and maximal contrast was achieved by the projector (black and white bars), and online tail tracking and stimulus control was performed using Stytra software 0.8.26 (ref. ³⁶). Experiments were performed in closed loop, meaning that the behavior of fish was fed back to the visual stimulus to provide the fish with visual feedback. Therefore, when the fish swam, the grating accelerated backward at a rate proportional to swim powerthat is, $[stimulus velocity] = 10 - [gain] \times [swim power]$. Swim power was defined as the standard deviation of the tail oscillation in a rolling window of 50 ms. To obtain a feedback that mimics the visual feedback that the animal would receive when freely swimming, the gain multiplication factor was chosen to result in an average fictive velocity of about 25 mm s-1 during the bout. When the fish was not swimming, [swim power] = 0, the grating moved in a caudal to rostral (forward) direction at a baseline speed of 10 mm s⁻¹. The stimulus scene was a square window that was centered on the head of fish and spanned a field of total 60×60 mm. For analysis, individual bouts were counted as episodes where the swim power was above 0.1 radian for at least 100 ms. Then, latency to first bout and total number of bouts were quantified for each trial (latency was set as a default value equal to the stimulus duration, when fish did not respond). Analysis was performed with custom scripts written in Python.

Zebrafish mounting for live-cell microscopy. Zebrafish larvae were anaesthetized with 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ of 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (MS-222). For confocal microscopy, animals were mounted ventral side up in 1% ultra-pure low-melting-point agarose (Invitrogen) onto a glass-bottom 3-cm Petri dish (MatTek). For two-photon microscopy, embryos were mounted ventral side up in low-melting-point agarose on a glass coverslip. The coverslip was then flipped over on a glass slide with a ring of high-vacuum grease filled with a drop of 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ of MS-222 to prevent drying out of the agarose. After imaging, the animals were either euthanized or released from the agarose using microsurgery forceps and kept individually until further use.

Immunohistochemistry. Samples were fixed overnight at 4°C in a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS solution containing 1% Tween 20. After fixation, the samples were washed in the same solution without fixative and blocked for 1.5 h at room temperature in PBS buffer, 0.1% Tween 20, 10% FCS, 0.1% BSA and 3% normal goat serum. Primary antibody incubation was conducted at 4°C overnight in blocking solution. Afterwards, samples were washed three times in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated secondary antibody. Stained samples were washed three times in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and subsequently mounted with ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primary antibody rabbit anti-HuC/D (Abcam, ab210554) was used at a dilution of 1:1,000. Images were obtained using a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP8).

Confocal microscopy. Images of embedded zebrafish were taken with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope (LASX 3.5.2.18963). We used 458 nm for excitation of Cerulean and tagCFP; 458 and 488 nm for EGFP; 514 nm for EYFP; 561 nm for mApple and mScarlet; and 633 nm for AF633 and BODIPY630/650. For overview images and analysis of cell numbers based on nuclear transgenes, we used a ×10 / 0.4 NA objective (acquisition with 568-nm pixel size (*x*-*y*) and 2-µm *z*-spacing). For all other analyses, we acquired 8-bit or 12-bit confocal images using a ×25 / 0.95 NA water objective with 114–151-nm pixel size (*x*-*y*) and 1-µm or 1.5-µm *z*-spacing.

Analysis of contact-mediated retraction between RGC axons and OPC

processes. For analyzing dynamic interactions between RGC axon arbors and OPC processes, images were taken over 2h within 2-min intervals and 1-µm z-spacing. Three-dimensional (3D) movies were subsequently generated for analyzing RGC retraction using Imaris software. Contact-mediated repulsion was classified as every event in which the tip of an extending RGC process directly opposed or apposed an OPC process, followed by RGC process retraction to resolve this apposition within the following seven frames. RGC processes, which changed from extension to retraction without such prior contact to OPC processes, were categorized as contact-independent retraction.

Analysis of axonal and dendritic arbor remodeling. Only RGC axons that arborized within the tectal neuropil and that could be traced back to the optic nerve were included for analysis. The neurites of periventricular interneurons (PVINs) extending to the superficial layers were randomly selected for analysis, as the dendrites of PVINs located in the superficial layers and their axons are located in deeper layers³⁸. Individual axonal and dendritic arbors were analyzed using the segmentation tool of 3D tracing in the simple neurite tracer plugin in Fiji/ImageJ³⁹. Each arbor was traced from its first branch point out to all branch tips, and each branch segment was counted from the branch point to the next branch point or branch tips. From this tracing, we extracted the measurement of total branch length and the total number of branch segments. The eliminated/added branch segment was obtained by comparing the tracing of the same neuron at two time points.

Lightsheet imaging for functional calcium imaging of tectal neurons. For lightsheet imaging, MTZ-treated Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR), Tg(elavl3:h2b-GCaMP6s) with late OPC ablation and MTZ-treated control Tg(elavl3:h2b-GCaMP6s) fish were embedded at the center of a custom-built plastic chamber using 2-2.5% low-melting-point agarose. The chamber was then placed onto the stage of a custom-built lightsheet microscope, previously described in ref. 40. In brief, light from a 473-nm laser (Cobolt) was scanned with galvanometric mirrors (Sigmann Electronik) horizontally to create a \sim 5-µm-thick excitation sheet. The sheet was then moved vertically together with the light collection objective, controlled with a piezo controller (Piezosystem Jena). The eyes were protected from the incoming light by two plastic screens positioned at the conjugate plane of the scanning laser focus. Two orthogonal sheets were generated-one impinged on the brain from the side, the other one from the front-to ensure extensive coverage of the whole brain without hitting the eyes. The image was filtered with a band-pass 525/50 filter and acquired using an ORCA-Flash version 4.0 camera (Hamamatsu Photonics). The microscope was controlled using the Sashimi package (https://zenodo.org/ record/4122062#.YQl7yC0RpQI). We acquired volumes of ~130×400×340 µm (dorso-ventral, left-right and anterior-posterior axes, respectively) at a resolution of 15×0.6×0.6µm and a frame rate of 3 Hz.

Visual stimuli were projected on a white plastic screen placed below the fish. The protocol consisted of a sequence of dark flashes on a red background, spanning 10° circular sectors of the area around the fish for a total of 36 different locations. Flashes were shown for 4 s, with a pause of 2 s of flat red background between them, and presented ten times each in a sequence randomized differently for every fish. The script for generating the experimental protocol in Stytra is available in the code repository. Synchronization between the imaging and the stimulus presentation was achieved by ZMQ-mediated triggering between Stytra and Sashimi.

Imaging data pre-processing for calcium imaging. Raw stacks from the lightsheet imaging were inspected, and fish with excessive drift were discarded, blindly to experimental group. Two of 25 fish were excluded, and all the remaining fish (n = 12 MTZ control fish and n = 11 OPC-ablated fish) were included for all subsequent analyses. The data were fed into suite2p for alignment and region of interest (ROI) segmentation. suite2p parameters were kept mostly at their standard values, adjusting values for cell side and temporal sampling frequency. The cell classification and the deconvolution steps were skipped in the suite2p pipeline, and Z-scored raw fluorescence extracted from all detected ROIs was used in all subsequent analyses. The script used for running the data pre-processing with suite2p is available in the code repository.

After alignment, a mask delineating the optic tectum was drawn manually for each fish using pipra⁴¹, to include only the ROI in this region for further analysis. However, responsiveness of all the best-scoring ROIs for this stimulus were located in the optic tectum, and the conclusions hold regardless of this selection criterion and the exact boundaries of the masks.

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE

Analysis of calcium responses to visual stimulus. To quantify responses of neurons to individual stimuli, the activity from individual ROIs was de-trended (subtracting the difference between the first and last points), Z-scored and chunked in a window of -2s to 5s from the stimulus onset. To compute the reliability score, we obtained the cross-correlation matrix across all stimuli repetitions and averaged all its off-diagonal values. For the average amplitude, the absolute value of the difference was calculated between the integral of the response in the 4s of the stimulus and during the 2s of the pre-stimulus pause. For the estimation of the receptive field size, 'visually responsive' (reliability score >0.5) ROIs were selected, and a Gaussian curve to the array of reliability scores was fit over stimulus positions. The variance of the Gaussian was taken as a measure of the width of the tuning curve. All statistical comparisons were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test (with the implementation in scipy.stats.ranksums⁴²).

Image and data presentation. Images were analyzed with Fiji and Imaris. Morphology reconstructions were carried out with the Imaris FilamentTracer module. Data were prepared and assembled using GraphPad Prism 7, 8 and 9, Fiji and Adobe Illustrator CS6 and 2021.

Statistics and reproducibility. For analyses that involved cohorts of animals or treatment groups, zebrafish embryos of all conditions were derived from the same clutch and selected at random before treatment. No additional randomization was used during data collection. For time-course analyses of OPCs and RGC, zebrafish were screened for single-cell labeling before imaging, and all animals with appropriate expression were used in the experiment. Two fish with excessive drift were discarded for calcium imaging, blindly to experimental group; no other data were excluded from the analyses. We selected sample sizes based on similar sample sizes that were previously reported^{18,37,43,44}. No statistical analysis was used to pre-determine sample sizes. Data collection and analysis were not performed blinded to the conditions of the experiments unless stated. Analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism. All data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test before statistical testing. In the figures, bar graphs are shown as mean \pm s.d.; line plots are shown as mean ± s.e.m. or median ± interquartile range (IQR); box and whisker plots are expressed as median ± IQR, minimum and maximum values; and violin plots represent the median ± IQR, minimum and maximum values. For statistical tests of normally distributed data that compared two groups, we used unpaired t-tests. Non-normally distributed data were tested for statistical significance using the Mann-Whitney U-test (unpaired data). For multiple comparisons test, one-way ANOVA was used for parametric data and the Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric data (both with Benjamini-Krieger and Yekutieli correction). Repeated measurements were tested using two-way ANOVA with Sidak's correction. We used Fisher's exact test to analyze contingency tables.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All data underlying this study will be made available upon reasonable request. Raw data for functional analysis have been deposited at https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.5894603.

Code availability

The codes used for running and analyzing behavioral and imaging experiments are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5894770.

References

- Karttunen, M. J., Czopka, T., Goedhart, M., Early, J. J. & Lyons, D. A. Regeneration of myelin sheaths of normal length and thickness in the zebrafish CNS correlates with growth of axons in caliber. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0178058 (2017).
- Auer, F., Vagionitis, S. & Czopka, T. Evidence for myelin sheath remodeling in the CNS revealed by in vivo imaging. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 549–559 (2018).
- 27. Vagionitis, S. et al. Clusters of neuronal neurofascin prefigure the position of a subset of nodes of Ranvier along individual central nervous system axons in vivo. *Cell Rep.* **38**, 110336 (2022).
- Pittman, A. J., Law, M.-Y. & Chien, C.-B. Pathfinding in a large vertebrate axon tract: isotypic interactions guide retinotectal axons at multiple choice points. *Development* 135, 2865–2871 (2008).
- Freeman, J. et al. Mapping brain activity at scale with cluster computing. Nat. Methods 11, 941–950 (2014).
- Czopka, T., french-Constant, C. & Lyons, D. A. Individual oligodendrocytes have only a few hours in which to generate new myelin sheaths in vivo. *Dev. Cell* 25, 599–609 (2013).
- Nikolaou, N. & Meyer, M. P. Lamination speeds the functional development of visual circuits. *Neuron* 88, 999–1013 (2015).
- Kwan, K. M. et al. The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. *Dev. Dyn.* 236, 3088–3099 (2007).

- 33. Fredj, N. B. et al. Synaptic activity and activity-dependent competition regulates axon arbor maturation, growth arrest, and territory in the retinotectal projection. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 10939–10951 (2010).
- Li, L., Jin, H., Xu, J., Shi, Y. & Wen, Z. Irf8 regulates macrophage versus neut rophil fate during zebrafish primitive myelopoiesis. *Blood* 117, 1359–1369 (2011).
- Zannino, D. A. & Appel, B. Olig2⁺ precursors produce abducens motor neurons and oligodendrocytes in the zebrafish hindbrain. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 2322–2333 (2009).
- Štih, V., Petrucco, L., Kist, A. M. & Portugues, R. Stytra: an open-source, integrated system for stimulation, tracking and closed-loop behavioral experiments. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 15, e1006699 (2019).
- 37. Portugues, R. & Engert, F. Adaptive locomotor behavior in larval zebrafish. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 5, 72 (2011).
- Robles, E., Smith, S. J. & Baier, H. Characterization of genetically targeted neuron types in the zebrafish optic tectum. *Front. Neural Circuits* 5, 1 (2011).
- Meijering, E. et al. Design and validation of a tool for neurite tracing and analysis in fluorescence microscopy images. *Cytometry A* 58, 167–176 (2004).
- 40. Markov, D. A., Petrucco, L., Kist, A. M. & Portugues, R. A cerebellar internal model calibrates a feedback controller involved in sensorimotor control. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6694 (2021).
- 41. Gómez, P. et al. BAGLS, a multihospital Benchmark for Automatic Glottis Segmentation. *Sci. Data* 7, 186 (2020).
- Virtanen, P. et al. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nat. Methods 17, 261–272 (2020).
- Gahtan, E., Tanger, P. & Baier, H. Visual prey capture in larval zebrafish is controlled by identified reticulospinal neurons downstream of the tectum. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 9294–9303 (2005).
- Bene, F. D. et al. Filtering of visual information in the tectum by an identified neural circuit. *Science* 330, 669–673 (2010).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to W. Barkey for technical assistance and to T. Misgeld for providing generous access to two-photon microscopy equipment. We thank R. Almeida, D.

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Lyons and all members of the Czopka laboratory for discussion of the manuscript. We thank M. Meyer (King's College London) for the foxp2A plasmid, D. Lyons (University of Edinburgh) for the olig2 morpholino and L. Godinho and R. Wong (TU Munich/Washington University) for the isl2b:Gal4 plasmid. This work was funded by an ERC Starting Grant (MecMy 714440), German Research Foundation (DFG) SFB870/TP A14 (118803580) and the Emmy Noether Programme (Cz226/1-1 and Cz226/1-2 252784993) to T.C.; the DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC 2145 SyNergy 390857198) to T.C. and R.P.; and TRR 274/1 2020 (408885537) to R.P. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: T.C.; Methodology: Y.X., L.P. and L.J.H.; Software: L.P. and R.P.; Investigation: Y.X., L.P. and L.J.H.; Formal analysis: Y.X., L.P. and L.J.H.; Supervision: R.P. and T.C.; Visualization: Y.X., L.P. and T.C.; Writing: Y.X. and T.C.; Funding acquisition: R.P. and T.C.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01023-7.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01023-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Tim Czopka. **Peer review information** *Nature Neuroscience* thanks Bruce Appel, Akiko Nishiyama and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE



Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE | www.nature.com/natureneuroscience

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Characterization of OPCs and myelin in the zebrafish tectum. a and b) Confocal images showing dorsal views of the zebrafish visual system at 5 and 14 dpf in transgenic reporter lines for OPCs, myelin, and RGC axons as indicated. Grayed areas indicate position of the eyes. Arrows in (b) point to myelinated optic nerves. Dashed lines indicate RGC axons in tectal neuropil. Representative images from 8 animals in two independent experiments (a), 9 animals in two independent experiments (b). Scale bars: 50 µm. c) Confocal images and 3D reconstructions of individual neuron soma residing within the tectal neuropil and extending intermittently myelinated axon out of the tectal neuropil into deeper brain regions at 9 dpf. Dashed lines outline the tectal neuropil. Representative images from 4 animals in three independent experiments. Scale bars: 20 µm. d) Transgenic reporter animals showing mOLs and OPCs in the optic tectum and hindbrain. Left: dorsal view of Tg(mbp:nls-EGFP), Tg(olig1:nls-mApple) at 5 d.p.f. showing mOLs and OPCs, respectively. Dashed lines indicate optic tectum and hindbrain regions. Middle and right panels: Clipped subprojections showing OPCs and mOLs that specifically reside within optic tectum (top) and hindbrain (bottom) at 5 d.p.f (middle) and 14 d.p.f (right), respectively. n values are given in (e). Scale bars: 50 µm. e) Left: differentiating and differentiated mOLs expressed as ratio between mbp:nls-EGFP-positive and total OL lineage cells including OPCs (olig1:nls-mApple-pos; mbp:nls-EGFP-neg) and mOLs (mbp:nls-EGFP-pos).Data are expressed as mean \pm S.D. (0.0 \pm 0.0% in tectal vs. 37.7 \pm 8.1% in hindbrain at 5 d.p.f.; 5.7 ± 2.5% in tectal vs. 56.2 ± 7.4% in hindbrain at 14 d.p.f. Right: undifferentiated OPCs expressed as ratio between OPCs (olig1:nlsmApple-pos; mbp:nls-EGFP-neg) and all the olig1:nls-mApple-pos cells in the optic tectum and hindbrain. (mean 100 ± 0% S.D. in tectal vs. 90.0 ± 5.0% in hindbrain at 5 d.p.f.; 96.7 \pm 3.0% in tectal vs. 74.8 \pm 6.7% in hindbrain at 14 d.p.f.). n=14/12 at 5/14 dpf in tectum, and n=17/13 at 5/14 d.p.f.in hindbrain from two experiments in both left and right quantitative figures). f) Whole mount immunohistochemistry showing that OPCs labeled in transgenic olig1 reporter lines (green arrows) are negative for the pan-neuronal maker HuC/D (white arrows). Representative images from 20 animals in six independent experiments. Scale bar: 20 µm. g) Multicolor labeling and 3D reconstruction of OPCs in the tectal neuropil showing that processes of individual cells occupy non-overlapping territories at 7 dpf. Representative images from 23 animals in eight independent experiments. Dashed lines outline the tectal neuropil. Scale bar: 20 µm.

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Presence of OPCs during tectal development. a) Dorsal (left) and rotation (right) views of confocal images showing the presence of OPCs from 48 hours post fertilization when RGC axons arrive in the tectum. Dashed rectangles indicate area shown in rotation views. Representative images from 4 animals in two independent experiments. Dashed lines indicate tectal neuropil. Scale bars: 20 µm. b) Dorsal view (left), rotation view (middle) and individual z planes (right) of confocal images showing single RGC axon interspersed with OPC processes. Representative images from 12 animals in four independent experiments. Scale bars: 2 µm. c) Example z planes and fluorescence intensity plots along dashed lines showing RGC axons occasionally contacting OPC processes but largely devoid of OPC processes (n numbers as in (b)).

BRIEF COMMUNICATION



RGC ectopic branches at 4 d.p.f. - Tg(isl2b:EGFP)

RGC single arbours at 6 d.p.f. - injected isl2b:Gal4, UAS:mScarlet



Extended Data Fig. 3 | Early ablation and depletion of OPCs and microglia. a) Example images of OPCs in tectal hemisphere and quantifications revealing efficient ablation from in olig1:CFP-NTR animals upon MTZ application from 2 d.p.f. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. (17.1 ± 2.5 in MTZ-control vs. 2.5 ± 3.0 in OPC NTR ablation at 4 d.p.f.; 30.6 ± 3.0 in MTZ-control vs. 1.5 ± 1.2 in OPC NTR ablation at 6 d.p.f.; n=10 animals per group from two experiments). Scale bar: 25 µm. b) Example images of OPCs in tectal hemisphere and quantifications revealing genetic depletion of OPCs in olig2 morphants. Data are expressed as mean \pm S.D. (11.4 \pm 1.8 in wildtype vs. 4.7 \pm 1.4 in *olig2* morphants at 3 d.p.f.; 31.2 \pm 2.5 in wildtype vs. 15.5 \pm 5.3 in *olig2* morphants at 6 d.p.f.; n=13/14 tectal hemisphere in 13/14 wildtype/olig2 MO from three experiments). Scale bar: 25 μm. c) Dorsal views of transgenic reporter lines showing presence of OPC within the tectal neuropil while microglia largely reside outside the neuropil. Dashed box indicates area shown in middle panel. Scale bar: 100 µm. Right panel showing the same transgenic reporter line injected with a morpholino against irf8 revealing the absence of microglia whilst OPCs remain in position. Representative images from 10 animals in three independent experiments both in control and in *ifr8* MO. Scale bar: 20 µm. d) Brightfield images of neutral red stained control and irf8 morphant animals showing the absence of phagocytic cells at 4 d.p.f. Boxes represent the median (center line) and I.Q.R. (52 ± 45/58.5 cells in control vs 3.5 ± 0.75/6 cells in *irf8* morphant animals), and whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values. (n=21/22 animals in control/irf8 MO from six experiments, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test, U=0). Scale bar: 100 µm. e) Confocal images showing RGC axons in tectal neuropil. Arrows indicate ectopic branches extending outside the tectal neuropil in wildtypes, olig2 and irf8 morphants, respectively. n values are given in Fig. 2g. Scale bar: 20 µm. f) Example images of single RGC axon arbors in wildtypes, olig2 morphants and irf8 morphants. n values are given in Fig. 2j. Scale bar: 10 $\mu m.$

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE



Extended Data Fig. 4 | Characterization of late OPC ablation methods. a) Top: drawing of zebrafish head delineating the position of the optic tectum and telencephalon as shown in panels (b) and (c). Bottom: Schematic of OPC laser ablation paradigm. b) Dorsal views of OPC reporter lines showing the optical tectum after unilateral OPC ablation using 2 photon laser pulses and quantification of OPC numbers per tectal hemisphere. Data are expressed as mean \pm S.D. (44.8 \pm 3.5 in control vs. 9.0 \pm 4.0 in ablated animals at 1 dpa; 46.8 \pm 3.7 in control vs. 15.1 \pm 5.2 in ablated animals at 2 dpa; n=9 animals per group from three experiments). Scale bar: 50 µm. c) Dorsal views of OPC reporter lines showing the telencephalon after unilateral OPC ablation using 2 photon laser pulses and quantification of OPC numbers per tectal hemisphere. Data are presented as mean ± S.D. (25.4 ± 3.7 in control vs. 7.1 ± 1.4 in ablated animals at 1 dpa; 26.7 ± 3.6 in control vs. 7.7 ± 1.6 in ablated animals at 2 dpa; n=10 animals per group from four experiments). Scale bar: 50 µm. d) Schematic of OPC NTR ablation paradigm in panels e and f. e) Example images with OPC NTR ablation and quantification of OPC numbers at different time points after MTZ treatment of Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR) animals. Boxes represent the median (center line) and I.Q.R. (32±30/33 cells in control vs. 30±29/36 cells in NTR animals before MTZ treatment; 32±30/34 cells in control vs. 8±4/10 cells in NTR animals at 1 day after MTZ treatment; 34±32/36 cells in control vs. 1±0/3 cells in NTR animals at 3 days after MTZ treatment), and whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values. n=8/14 animals in MTZ-control/OPC NTR ablation from three experiments. f) Left: 3D rotation view of RGC axon projections showing major projection layers in the tectal neuropil: stratum opticum (SO), stratum fibrosum et griseum superficiale (SFGS), stratum griseum centrale (SGC), stratum album centrale (SAC). Representative images from 12 animals in five independent experiments. Scale bar: 50 µm. Right: Overall layering of RGC projections remains intact after OPC ablation. Representative images from 7 animals in three experiments. Scale bar: 10 µm. g) Confocal images of individual RGC axon arbors at 7 and 10 dpf in control and OPC ablated animals (n numbers as in Fig. 3c). Arrowheads depict OPCs. Scale bars: 10µm. See Fig. 3c for traces.

BRIEF COMMUNICATION



Extended Data Fig. 5 | Remodeling of RGC axon arbors and periventricular interneuron (PVIN) dendrites after late OPC NTR ablation. a) Schematic of experimental paradigm used in this figure. **b-c)** Confocal images of individual RGC axon arbor in control and OPC NTR ablation animals. Tracing reveals stable, added, and eliminated processes (n numbers as in panel d). Scale bar: 10μ m. **d)** Quantification showing RGC arbor length reduction, eliminated and added processes; Boxes represent the median (center line) and I.Q.R. (left: $16.0 \pm 5.5/24.1\%$ in control vs. $6.6 \pm 1.5/10.9\%$ in OPC NTR ablation; middle: $42.1 \pm 34.0/45.7\%$ vs. $33.3 \pm 24.4/40.4\%$; right: $18.4 \pm 13.3/27.9\%$ vs. $16.2 \pm 9.8/31.9\%$), and whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum values; unpaired two-tailed t-test, left: t=2.278, d.f.=24; middle: t=2.652, d.f.=24; right: t=0.288, d.f.=24; n=13 cells per group in 12/10 animals in control/OPC NTR ablation from four experiments). **e)** Example image showing that pre-synapses of PVIN localize to deeper layers of tectal neuropil marked by Tg(isl2b:EGFP) labelling all RGC axons (two biological / one technical replicate). Scale Bar: 50μ m. **f)** Example image of individual PVIN co-labelled using foxp2a:tagCFP to outline cell morphology and pre-synapse marker to reveal its axon (three biological / one technical replicate). Scale bar: 10μ m. **g-i)** Confocal images and rotation views of individual PVIN at two timepoints in control animals (g) and in OPC ablated animals (h) (n numbers as in panel j). Traces show dendritic processes analyzed in panel i. Scale bars: 10μ m. **j)** Quantifications of dendritic PVIN arbor remodeling between 7-10dpf in control and OPC NTR ablation animals in OPC NTR from five experiments (left: mean 5.1 ± 7.9 S.D. in control vs. 4.2 ± 7.0 in OPC NTR ablation; middle: 15.4 ± 6.0 vs. 15.5 ± 6.6 ; right: 11.8 ± 5.5 vs. 11.5 ± 3.1 ; unpaired two-tailed t test, left: t=0.305, d.f.=21.68; middle: t=0.007, d.f.=21.84; right: t=0.157, d.f.=17.52).

NATURE NEUROSCIENCE



Extended Data Fig. 6 | Analysis of zebrafish larvae after late OPC ablations. a and b) Quantification of paramaecium capture rates after OPC laser ablation (a) and OPC NTR ablation (b) showing measurements of individual animals over time. (a) n=11/10/23 animals from four experiments; (b) n=18 animals per group from four experiments. See also Fig. 3d and e. c) Example traces of the distance covered by individual freely swimming zebrafish larva within 10 minutes in control and OPC laser ablated animals. Right: quantification showing no significant change of freely swimming distance. (mean 1.37±0.53 S.D. in control vs. 1.51±0.47 in OPC laser ablation, unpaired two-tailed t test, t=0.586, d.f.=18, n=10 animals per group from four experiments). d) Example traces of the distance covered by individual freely swimming zebrafish larva within 10 minutes in control and OPC NTR ablated animals. Right: quantification showing no significant change of freely swimming distance. (mean 1.52±0.63 S.D. in control vs. 1.65±0.60 in NTR-OPC ablation, unpaired two-tailed t test, t=0.622, d.f.=34, n=18 animals per group from three experiments). e) Rotation view (top) and single planes (middle and bottom) of confocal images showing that OPCs also surround RGC arbors in pretectal regions. Dashed lines in top image indicate position of single plane images. Dashed white outline in single plane image demarcates tectal neuropil whereas magenta outlines indicate pretectal areas. Representative images from 3 animals in one experiment. Scale bar: 20 µm. f) Schematic of closed-loop OMR assay used. g) Representative tracing of an individual animal revealing different swim bouts to closed-loop stimuli in panel f. h) Reduced swimming probability in OPC NTR ablated animals with decreasing spatial distance of OMR stimulus grating; n=35/37 animals in control/OPC NTR from six experiments (mean with S.E.M., two-way ANOVA, F(6,490)=1.476). i) No significant changes observed in swim bout number exerted in either condition; n=35/37 animals in control/OPC NTR from six experiments. Data are expressed as median±1.Q.R.(4±3/6 in control vs. 3.5±2.5/5.5 OPC NTR ablation at 10mm; 4±2.5/5.5 in control vs. 3.5±2/5.5 OPC NTR ablation at 5mm; 2±1/3.5 in control vs. 1.5±0/3.5 OPC NTR ablation at 3.3mm; two-way ANOVA, F(6,490)=0.354). j) Quantification of animal body length between control and OPC NTR animals by 3 days post MTZ treatment shows no difference between treatment groups (mean 4.17±0.20 S.D. in control vs. 4.18±0.16 in OPC ablation, n=35/37 animals in control/OPC NTR from six experiments, unpaired two-tailed t test, t=0.184, d.f.=70). k) Quantification showing no correlation delay in first bout latency and animal body length. n=35/37 animals in control/OPC NTR from six experiments (two-tailed simple linear regression test, slope=-0.005/-0.012 in control and OPC NTR animals).

BRIEF COMMUNICATION



Extended Data Fig. 7 | Functional Responses of PVIN neurons. a) Histogram of the maximum reliability score in all ROI (neurons) of each animal (thin lines) and group average (thick lines). The vertical line represent threshold for ROI to be considered visually responsive. **b)** Histogram of the average absolute response amplitude of all ROI (neurons) in each animal (thin lines) and group average (thick lines). **c)** Scatter plot representing location of all responsive cells in the tectum, color-coded by the position of the stimulus to which they responded most reliably. Although overall number of responsive cells is reduced in the ablation group, the retinotopy of the responses is preserved. **d)** Top: reliability scores of all responsive neurons for all stimuli, centered on the position of maximum responsiveness for each neuron and normalized to its maximum reliability. Dark gray line represents the average, light grey areas represent individual data points. Bottom: a gaussian line (red) was fit to each neuron. The bar marks the 2 sigma interval from the sigmoidal fit of the reliability profile of an example cell. **e)** Histogram of the response curve variance of all ROI in each fish (thin lines) and group average (thick lines). (right) The average receptive field size was not significantly altered in the OPC ablated fish. Boxes represent median (center line) and I.Q.R. (1.541±1.329/1.633 in control vs. 1.590±1.306/1.861 in OPC-NTR ablation), the scatter dots represent the data for individual fish; two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test, U=-0.4308, n=12 MTZ-control animals and n=11 OPC-ablated animals from three experiments).

nature research

Corresponding author(s): Tim Czopka

Last updated by author(s): Jan 21, 2022

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

| For | all st | atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. |
|-------------|-----------|---|
| n/a | Cor | firmed |
| | \square | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| | \square | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| | | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| \boxtimes | | A description of all covariates tested |
| | \square | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| | | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| | | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> . |
| \boxtimes | | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| \boxtimes | | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| \boxtimes | | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |
| | | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. |
| | _ | |

Software and code

| Policy information | about <u>availability of computer code</u> |
|--------------------|---|
| Data collection | LASX 3.5.2.18963 (Leica), Stytra 0.8.26 (Stih et al., 2019; PMID: 30958870), Fluoview FV1000 - MPE (Olympus), custom build lightsheet microscope (Markov et al., doi:10.1101/2020.02.12.945956) |
| | |
| Data analysis | Fiji v1.52o, Imaris 8.4.2 (Bitplane), NeuronJ 1.4.3 (plugin for Fiji, open source), Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft), Prism V7, V8, V9 (GraphPad), Photoshop 2021 (Adobe), Illustrator 2021 (Adobe), Python 3.7, custom written analysis codes (https://github.com/portugueslab/xiao_et_al) |

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Field-specific reporting

K Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

| All studies must dis | sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative. |
|----------------------|--|
| Sample size | No sample-size calculations were performed. Sample size was determined to be adequate based on similar sample sizes that have previously reported using similar experiments reported in references 18,37,43,44 of this manuscript, as stated in the Methods. |
| Data exclusions | Two animals with excessive drift during recording of calcium imaging were discarded, blindly to experimental group. No other data were excluded from the analyses. |
| Replication | All experiments were repeated at least 3 times and results could be replicated each time. |
| Randomization | For analyses that involved cohorts of animals or treatment groups, zebrafish embryos of all conditions were derived from the same clutch and selected at random. For time-course analyses of OPCs and RGC, zebrafish were screened for single-cell labeling before imaging, and all animals with appropriate expression were used in the experiment. |
| Blinding | Investigators were not blinded during data collection and analysis. Descriptive imaging data that do not compare groups cannot be blinded. When treatment groups have been compared, internal cross-counting by group members who did not perform the experiment has been performed for all experiments at random |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems Methods n/a Involved in the study n/a Involved in the study Antibodies \times ChIP-seq Eukaryotic cell lines \times Flow cytometry \times Palaeontology and archaeology \mathbf{X} MRI-based neuroimaging \mathbf{X} Animals and other organisms \mathbf{X} Human research participants Clinical data \boxtimes Dual use research of concern \boxtimes

Antibodies

| Antibodies used | 1) anti-HuC/D (Abcam), catalog number: ab210554, polyclonal, dilution: 1/100. 2) anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 633 (Themo Fisher), catalog number: A-21071, polyclonal, lot number: 1889315, Dilution: 1/1000. |
|-----------------|---|
| Validation | This antibody has been validated previously in zebrafish (Lee et al., 2020; PMID:32396062) |

Animals and other organisms

| Policy information about <u>st</u> | udies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Laboratory animals | Species: Danio Rerio; wildtype strains: AB with mitfa mutation; transgenic lines in mixed backgrounds: Tg(olig1:CFP-NTR), Tg(mbp:nls-EGFP), Tg(mbp:memRFP), Tg(mbp:memCerulean), Tg(olig1:memEYFP), Tg(olig1:nls-mApple), Tg(olig1:mScarlet-CAAX), Tg(olig1:nls-Cerulean), Tg(mfap4:memCerulean), Tg(isl2b:EGFP), Tg(elavl3:h2b-GCaMP6)); Sex: n/a; Age: between 2dpf and 14 dpf |
| Wild animals | The study did not involve wild animals. |
| Field-collected samples | The study did not involve samples collected from the field. |

All experiments carried out with zebrafish at protected stages have been approved by the government of Upper Bavaria (Regierung Oberbayern - Sachgebiet 54; ROB-55.2-1-54-2532.Vet_02-18-153, ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-15-199, and ROB-55.2-289 2532.Vet_02-15-200 to TC) and the Animals in Science Regulation Unit of the UK Home Office (PP5258250 to Prof. David Lyons).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.