THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Identification of genetic loci simultaneously associated with multiple cardiometabolic traits

Citation for published version:

Wood, AC, Arora, A, Newell, M, Bland, VL, Zhou, J, Pirastu, N, Ordovas, JM & Klimentidis, YC 2022, 'Identification of genetic loci simultaneously associated with multiple cardiometabolic traits', *Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1027-1034.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2022.01.002>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.numecd.2022.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2022.01.002)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Identification of genetic loci simultaneously associated with multiple cardiometabolic traits

Alexis C. Wood ^{a,*}, Amit Arora ^b, Michelle Newell ^b, Victoria L. Bland ^g, Jin Zhou ^h, Nicola Pirastu ^c, Jose M. Ordovas ^{d,e}, Yann C. Klimentidis ^{b,f}

^a USDA/ARS Children's Nutrition Research Center, 1100 Bates Avenue, Houston, TX, USA

^b Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

^c Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

^d Nutrition and Genomics Laboratory, Jean Mayer U.S. Department of Agriculture Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging, Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA

^e IMDEA-Food, Madrid, Spain

^f BIOS Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

^g Division of Geriatric Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA

^h Department of Biostatistics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Received 2 July 2021; received in revised form 9 December 2021; accepted 4 January 2022

Handling Editor: A. Siani

Available online ■ ■ ■

KEYWORDS

Cardiometabolic disease;
Epidemiology;
Fat distribution;
GWAS;
Pleiotropy;
Risk alleles;
Risk factors

Abstract *Background and aims:* Cardiometabolic disorders (CMD) arise from a constellation of features such as increased adiposity, hyperlipidemia, hypertension and compromised glucose control. Many genetic loci have shown associations with individual CMD-related traits, but no investigations have focused on simultaneously identifying loci showing associations across all domains. We therefore sought to identify loci associated with risk across seven continuous CMD-related traits.

Methods and results: We conducted separate genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), low- and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C/HDL-C), waist-to-hip-ratio (WHR), and triglycerides (TGs) in the UK Biobank ($N = 356,574$ – $456,823$). Multiple loci reached genome-wide levels of significance ($N = 145$ – 333) for each trait, but only four loci (in/near *VEGFA*, *GRB14-COBL1*, *KLF14*, and *RGS19-OPRL1*) were associated with risk across all seven traits ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). We sought replication of these four loci in an independent set of seven trait-specific GWAS meta-analyses. *GRB14-COBL1* showed the most consistent replication, revealing nominally significant associations ($P < 0.05$) with all traits except DBP.

Conclusions: Our analyses suggest that very few loci are associated in the same direction of risk with traits representing the full spectrum of CMD features. We identified four such loci, and an understanding of the pathways between these loci and CMD risk may eventually identify factors

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CARDIOGRAM, Coronary Artery Disease Genome-wide Replication And Meta-analysis; CAD, coronary artery disease; CMD, cardiometabolic disorders; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DIAGRAM, Diabetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GERA, Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult Health and Aging cohort; GIANT, Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits consortium; GLGC, Global Lipids Genetics Consortium; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PPFC, posterior probability of full colocalization; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TGs, triglycerides; UK, United Kingdom; WHR, waist-to-hip-ratio; WHRadjBMI, waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI.

* Corresponding author. USDA/ARS Children's Nutrition Research Center, Rm 2036, 1100 Bates Avenue, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

E-mail address: lekkiwood@gmail.com (A.C. Wood).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numcd.2022.01.002>

0939-4753/© 2022 The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Wood AC et al., Identification of genetic loci simultaneously associated with multiple cardiometabolic traits, Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numcd.2022.01.002>

that can be used to identify pathologic disturbances that represent broadly beneficial therapeutic targets.

© 2022 The Italian Diabetes Society, the Italian Society for the Study of Atherosclerosis, the Italian Society of Human Nutrition and the Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Cardiometabolic risk, the chance of developing type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular disease (CVD) and/or stroke, is typically assessed through measures of adiposity, glucose control, lipid metabolism, and blood pressure [1]. The clustering of these risk factors has been termed CMD and is defined by The American College of Cardiology as the presence of hypertension, poor glucose control, dyslipidemia, and abdominal obesity [2]. Recent data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) indicate that among US adults, almost 90% of those with obesity, 50% with overweight and 25% of those at a normal weight met criteria for elevated risk in at least of these indicators [3]. While individual measures of cardiometabolic risk are linked with the development of CVD and T2D, studies are not unified in identifying which specific measures convey the greatest risk for these outcomes [4,5]. Where evidence does strongly converge is in showing that the number of cardiometabolic risk factors is directly proportional to the risk of developing endpoints such as T2D, CVD, and for predicting mortality [6].

Each CMD feature results from a complex interplay between host genetics and lifestyle factors. Health behaviors such as physical activity and good dietary habits are associated with protection across multiple cardiometabolic risk factors [7], suggesting at least a partially shared behavioral etiology underlying CMD traits. However, the role that genetics plays in any shared etiology between CMD traits is not well understood [8,9]. For example, only two loci have previously been identified as being implicated across T2D and cardiovascular disease: *CDKN2A/B* and *IRS1* [9,10]. Identifying those genetic factors that convey risk across multiple CMD traits may increase our understanding of why risk factor clustering is not uniform across individuals, and further help identify those whose overall CMD profile suggests a greater risk of T2D and CVD and whom may especially benefit from behavioral interventions [11]. All CMD indicators show significant heritability [12,13], with modest genetic correlations in the range of 0.1–0.5, suggesting both shared and measure-specific genetic loci across all traits associated with CMD [14,15]. In addition, a small number of loci have been identified that increase the risk for one indicator (e.g., low-density lipoprotein cholesterol) but decrease the risk for another (e.g., glucose control [10,16–18]), mirroring some of the therapeutic effects of statins which reduce dyslipidemia but convey a slightly increased risk for T2D [19–21]. However, we are not aware of any studies that seek to identify variants

associated with all the cardiometabolic risk factors forming the features of CMD. The identification of such loci, if they exist, may help identify underlying etiological pathways shared across many risk factors, improve our risk stratification efforts and provide information on targets for therapeutics which, by seeking to address multiple CMD risk factors, may have a broader range of beneficial clinical changes [22–24].

The present study aims to identify loci that are associated with each of seven cardiometabolic traits, representing the four features of CMD, in the same (risk predisposing) direction. Using data from the UK Biobank, which allowed us to leverage large numbers of individuals with both genetic data and phenotype data across multiple indicators of cardiometabolic disease, we took a genome-wide approach to identify variants significantly associated with each of glucose control, central adiposity, and multiple measures of lipid metabolism, and blood pressure. We then validated any significant associations in the published summary statistics from independent large-scale meta-analytic GWAS for each trait.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

The UK Biobank is a multi-center prospective cohort study of 500,000 adults ages 39 to 72 living in the UK. At each one of the 21 assessment centers, participants answered questionnaires, were interviewed, and were physically measured as part of a baseline visit that occurred between 2006 and 2010. A blood draw in a non-fasting state was performed for subsequent genetic and biomarker analysis. Among the biomarkers tested were directly-measured circulating LDL-C, HDL-C, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), TG, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT). The UK Biobank was approved by the National Information Governance Board for Health and Social Care and the National Health Service North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee. All participants provided informed consent. Prevalent T2D was defined according to the following criteria: 1) self-reported T2D or generic diabetes in verbal interviews, 2) over the age of 35 years at diagnosis, and 3) not using insulin within one year of diagnosis, to exclude possible type-1 diabetes cases [25].

2.2. Genotype data

DNA of participants was genotyped with the Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array (Santa Clara, CA, USA), except for

10% of participants genotyped with the Affymetrix UK BiLEVE Axiom Array. Information about genotype imputation, principal components analysis, and quality control procedures can be found elsewhere [26]. Briefly, individuals were excluded if they exhibited unusually high heterozygosity, a high missing rate ($>5\%$), or exhibited a mismatch between genetically inferred sex and self-reported sex. SNPs were excluded from analyses based on Hardy Weinberg equilibrium ($p < 1 \times 10^{-6}$), high missing rate ($>1.5\%$), low minor allele frequency ($<0.1\%$), or a low imputation accuracy ($\text{info} < 0.4$).

2.3. GWAS and genetic correlations of trait measures

We first examined seven continuous cardiometabolic traits in the UK Biobank: HbA1c, LDL-C, HDL-C, TGs, waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI), and SBP and DBP adjusted for BMI. Although fasting glucose is a commonly used glycemic trait, since UKB participants are not fasted at the time of blood draw, we chose to use HbA1c instead. This allows us to achieve a similar sample size and power for each of the seven traits in the discovery analysis. Although HbA1c does have some limitations, is it more stable and reflective of long-term glycemia than fasting glucose. For the analyses of TG and HDL-C, we excluded individuals reporting cholesterol-lowering medications. For the analysis of LDL-C, we adjusted the values of people reporting cholesterol-lowering medication, by dividing their LDL-C values by a correction factor of 0.63. For the analysis of HbA1c, we excluded individuals with prevalent T2D (see above). For the analyses of SBP and DBP, we obtained the mean values across two manual measurements and adjusted for the effect of anti-hypertensive medications by adding 15 and 10 points to SBP and DBP, respectively, among individuals reported to be on anti-hypertensive medications (and an additional 2 measurements in a subset of individuals) [27]. We used LD-score regression to estimate genetic correlations across all pairs of trait [28].

2.4. GWAS replication associations

We replicated identified associations by examining summary statistics of previously conducted large-scale GWAS of the same traits: LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG from the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium (GLGC; $n = 188,577$) [29], WHRadjBMI from the Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits (GIANT) consortium ($n = 224,459$) [30], SBP and DBP from Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult Health and Aging (GERA) cohort ($n = 99,785$) [31], and HbA1c from the Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-related traits Consortium (MAGIC; $n = 123,665$) [32].

2.5. Follow-up associations with CMD end points

We examined the association of the four identified SNPs with coronary artery disease (CAD), T2D, and stroke, some of the major outcomes arising from CMD, using GWAS meta-analysis results published by the Coronary Artery Disease Genome-wide Replication And Meta-

analysis (CARDIOGRAM; $n = \text{up to } 185,000$) [33], Diabetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM; $n = 898,130$) [33], and MEGASTROKE ($n = 521,612$) [34] consortia.

2.6. Statistical analysis

All UK Biobank phenotypes were inverse-normalized prior to GWAS. Although this limits our ability to interpret effect sizes, it does allow us to both normalize the variables and to compare effect sizes across traits. GWAS was performed with BOLT-LMM software which implements a linear mixed model regression that includes a random effect consisting of all SNP genotypes other than the one being tested [35]. We included the following variables as covariates: age, age², sex, center, genotyping chip, and the first 10 principal components. SNPs were selected if their beta coefficient had the same direction of effect (opposite direction for HDL-C) and a corresponding $p\text{-value} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for each of the seven traits. If several SNPs were within a 1 Mb region, we chose the one with the lowest $p\text{-value}$ based on a multivariate analysis conducted with metaCCA [36]. We also performed multiple-trait genetic colocalization using the “hyprcoloc” package in R to identify the best candidate variant given a genomic region for each locus, using a region ± 250 kb region around the lead SNP [37]. A posterior probability of full colocalization (PPFC) threshold of 0.72 (e.g. alignment probability = 0.85 and regional probability = 0.85) was considered. In the replication dataset, we examined SNPs identified in the UK Biobank discovery set, and considered that a consistent direction of effect, and with $p < 0.05$, qualified as a replication, given the smaller sample sizes in the replication datasets.

3. Results

3.1. UK Biobank GWAS

Phenotypic and genetic correlations among seven traits are shown in [Supplementary Fig. 1](#). Both phenotypic and genetic correlations were generally weak, with the exception of some correlations among some lipid traits and between SBP and DBP. In GWAS among UK Biobank participants, we identified 16 SNPs at four loci associated at genome-wide significance with each of seven quantitative traits in the same risk-conferring/protecting direction, in/near *VEGFA*, *GRB14-COBLL1*, *KLF14*, and *RGS19-OPRL1* ([Table 1](#) and [Fig. 1](#)). In sex-stratified analyses, there were no genome-wide significant SNPs for each trait ([Fig. 1](#), [Supplementary Table 1](#)). Colocalization analyses showed colocalization across all seven traits for the *GRB14-COBLL1* locus, across six traits for *KLF14* and *RGS19-OPRL1*, and across five traits for *VEGFA* (all PPFC > 0.72 ; [Supplementary Table 2](#)).

3.2. GWAS in replication samples

Among the four SNPs identified in UK Biobank, we found that all four exhibit the same direction of risk effect across

Table 1 SNPs with significant associations in Initial UK Biobank GWAS.

	n	GRB14-COBL1			KLF14			RGS19-OPRL1			VEGFA-C6orf223		
		rs13389219:C/T			rs201632637:CTTTT/C			rs8126001: C/T			rs998584: C/A		
		β	SE	p	β	SE	p	β	SE	p	β	SE	p
TG (mmol/L)	356,574	0.037	0.002	7.7E-63	0.032	0.002	1.8E-50	0.017	0.002	2.6E-15	-0.040	0.002	2.6E-76
LDL-C (mmol/L)	431,167	0.018	0.002	2.4E-21	0.018	0.002	6.9E-22	0.017	0.002	1.0E-18	-0.017	0.002	1.3E-18
HDL-C (mmol/L)	397,721	-0.028	0.002	1.8E-50	-0.031	0.002	5.8E-63	-0.012	0.002	7.1E-11	0.035	0.002	3.2E-80
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	417,018	0.012	0.002	2.5E-09	0.011	0.002	2.6E-08	0.012	0.002	1.4E-09	-0.020	0.002	2.8E-26
SBP (mmHg)	453,413	0.016	0.002	3.4E-16	0.015	0.002	8.3E-14	0.018	0.002	7.1E-19	-0.011	0.002	2.4E-08
DBP (mmHg)	453,413	0.015	0.002	1.7E-14	0.017	0.002	3.8E-17	0.012	0.002	3.7E-10	-0.016	0.002	1.1E-16
WHRadjBMI	456,823	0.026	0.002	3.4E-64	0.012	0.001	1.7E-15	0.013	0.001	3.1E-17	-0.037	0.001	5.0E-139
metacca-p				5.4E-220			2.1E-149			1.0E-60			0.0E+00

Abbreviations: DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP: systolic blood pressure; TG: triglycerides; WHRadjBMI: waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI.

all seven traits, but do not reach $p < 0.05$ across all traits, likely due to the substantially smaller sample sizes in those studies as compared to the UK Biobank (Table 2).

3.3. Follow-up associations with CMD end points and phenome-wide association study

We found directionally consistent associations of the four loci identified in UK Biobank with T2D, CAD, and stroke, although not all associations achieved statistical significance, particularly for stroke (Supplementary Table 3). In silico phenome-wide association analyses (PheWAS) of

these four loci revealed associations with fat distribution patterns and cardiometabolic conditions and medications, with limited to no evidence of adverse associations with other conditions (see Supplementary Tables 4–8).

4. Discussion

This is the first study, of which we are aware, to take a broad and well-powered genome-wide approach to simultaneously identifying genetic loci associated with seven cardiometabolic risk factors representing the four domains of CMD. We observed only four loci that increase

Figure 1 Radar plot showing association p-values for all four genes identified in UK Biobank in A) both men and women, B) women only, and C) men only.

Table 2 Published associations for significant SNPs in GWAS discovery.

Sample size	GRB14-COBL1			KLF14			RGS19-OPRL1			VEGFA-C6orf223		
	beta	se	p	beta	se	p	beta	se	p	beta	se	p
TG (mg/dl)	0.0271	0.0034	2.60E-15	0.0247	0.0047	2.32E-07	0.009	0.0056	4.71E-02	0.0293	0.0037	3.42E-15
LDL-C (mg/dl)	0.022	0.0037	9.89E-08	0.0111	0.0052	3.26E-02	0.0093	0.0064	2.31E-02	0.0005	0.004	0.9359
HDL-C (mg/dl)	-0.0226	0.0035	4.54E-10	-0.0412	0.0048	3.11E-17	-0.0101	0.0059	9.65E-02	-0.026	0.0038	2.27E-11
HbA1C (%)	0.0062	0.0018	4.28E-04	0.0033	0.0018	6.08E-02	0.0051	0.0019	6.21E-03	-0.0083	0.0024	4.92E-04
SBP (mmHg)	0.122	NA	0.031	0.0863	NA	0.11	0.199	NA	7.70E-03	0.085	NA	0.23
DBP (mmHg)	0.0634	NA	0.085	0.0248	NA	0.48	0.142	NA	3.50E-03	0.0707	NA	0.12
WHRadjBMI	0.027	0.0035	3.20E-15	0.0088	0.0043	0.041	0.012	0.0045	7.70E-03	0.043	0.0038	1.10E-29

Note: Nominally significant associations ($P < 0.05$) in bold, standard errors (SE) not available in the published summary statistics for blood pressure.

rs11765979 at *KLF14* was used as a proxy for rs201632637 ($r^2 = 0.94$, CEU).

Abbreviations: DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP: systolic blood pressure; TG: triglycerides; HHRadjBMI: waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI.

the risk for all seven of our traits; raised TGs and LDL-C, lowered HDL-C, raised HbA1c, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Given that multiple robust genetic associations have been published for each of our seven traits individually, these findings suggest that loci which influence all seven features CMD are less common, and much of the genetic etiology is trait-specific.

We found four loci which simultaneously associated with risk across seven CMD traits at genome-wide levels of significance. Although this is the first study, of which we are aware to conduct discovery across all seven traits simultaneously, previous studies have identified associations between loci in *GRB14-COBL1*, and *RGS19-OPRL1* with each of our cardiometabolic traits independently. The intergenic SNP rs13889216 in *GRB14-COBL1*, or the nearby SNP rs10195252 in *GRB14* have previously been associated at genome-wide levels of significance with WHR ratio (sometimes with a larger effect size in females) [30,38,39] and levels of TGs [39–42], HDL-C [30,39,41–43] and LDL-C [30]. Other studies have associated these SNPs with additional traits often used as indicators of cardiometabolic disease or cardiometabolic disease risk, such as total cholesterol [42], T2D [30,42,44] and other indices of glycemic control [30,39,40,42], often at levels approaching genome-wide significance. Similarly, rs8126001 found in the 5' UTR of *OPRL1* and 2 kb upstream from *RGS19* has been previously associated with TG levels [41], HDL-C [41], SBP [45] and WHRadjBMI as well as waist circumference in UK Biobank [45,46], although its most replicated associations to date have been with alcohol use/abuse. Similarly, associations have been observed between variants at *KLF14* and *VEGFA* with both T2D [47] and HDL-C levels in large-scale GWAS [48]. Taken together, these previous data suggest our analysis approach is robust, and supporting the notion that our associations of each of these four loci with all seven traits is generalizable. In the light of evidence showing that the number of cardiometabolic risk factors is directly proportional to the risk of T2D, CVD and mortality [6], information that these loci associate with risk across multiple traits could be seen to raise the importance of these loci to the risk of developing these conditions when compared to loci which raise the risk profile of a fewer number of traits.

Understanding the mechanisms that link our four loci to CMD risk may yield insights into the full clinical profile of CMD. In the broader literature, two of our loci show multiple associations with mechanisms underlying, and/or patterns of, fat deposition. For example, *KLF14* regulates the expression of several genes in adipose tissue, and has been associated with favorable adiposity [49], and, in women with adipogenesis, shifts in central vs. gynoid adiposity, and the shift in the number and size of adipocytes [50]. In turn, *GRB14* and the *GRB14-COBL1* locus have both been associated with body fat distribution (reviewed in Ref. [51]), and variation in or near *GRB14-COBL1* to alter gene expression in subcutaneous fat, and in omental but not mesenteric visceral fat [52]. These findings suggest that at least some of our putative loci are united by their associations with patterns of fat deposition

— an unsurprising implication given that fat deposition patterning has recently emerged as a stronger indicator of cardiometabolic risk factor clustering than overall adiposity, particularly in women (e.g. Refs. [53,54]). In both genders, visceral fat is an indicator of increased cardiometabolic risk [53,55–61], however in women only, deposition of fat in the lower body (gluteal-femoral fat) is associated with protection from CMD risk factors [58,62] and their downstream endpoint of CVD [54]. Supporting the notion that CMD risk alleles may operate through fat patterning, a genetic risk score associated with fat deposition phenotypes such as WHR, gluteofemoral fat and abdominal fat volume, also associated CMD traits, as well as T2D and CVD [63], and the protective associations of *GRB14-COBL1* variants on adverse lipid profiles have been theorized to be mediated through alterations in body fat distribution [51].

There are of course limitations to our findings. Our sample is comprised of individuals with European-ancestry and generalizations beyond this population are not advised. In addition, while the rationale for the current analyses was to identify loci that most increase the risk of cardiometabolic disease by exerting effects across multiple risk indicators simultaneously, our loci have not been widely associated with hard outcomes such as CVD, except for associations of *GRB14-COBL1*, *KLF14*, and *VEGFA* with T2D (Supplementary Table 4) [30,42,44]. Finally, many of our loci have been observed to have sex-specific effects especially with regards to associations with fat patterning [50,52,64], and our analyses suggested sex-specific magnitudes of associations with the our seven CMD traits, we were not powered to detect these through formal interaction analyses. Future research should address these limitations, and also continue to examine the excess of risk associated with visceral fat and identify mechanisms linking fat deposition and cardiometabolic risk.

These current findings suggest that from all loci known to affect CMD related traits, only a few may have effects across multiple traits, which are strong enough to be readily detectable at genome-wide levels of significance. Although the effect sizes of these naturally occurring genetic variants are very small, the magnitude of the effect sizes does not necessarily reflect the importance of the pathways that they point to. Interventions may thus have a much larger, more clinically meaningful impact than immediately apparent from the effect sizes of the SNP-risk factor associations used to identify these pathways. This has been illustrated in the case of *HMGCR* and statins with LDL-C [17,65]. If these loci serve as an indicator of risk across the highest number of CMD features, and if future research identifies pathways linking these loci to CMD, such as via changes in fat patterning, this research may eventually give insights into therapeutic targets that will yield a broader range of clinical improvement.

Sources of support

The authors would like to acknowledge support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institutes (R01-HL136528).

Dr. Wood's role on this project was funded, in part, by USDA/ARS cooperative agreement # 58-3092-5-001. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 15678. We thank the participants and organizers of the UK Biobank. The authors would also like to acknowledge the vital contributions of the GWAS consortia: GLGC, MAGIC, GIANT, DIAGRAM, CARDIOGRAM, as well as all organizers and participants of individual participating studies.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2022.01.002>.

References

- [1] Sasson C, Eckel R, Alger H, Bozkurt B, Carson A, Daviglus M, et al. American heart association diabetes and cardiometabolic health summit: summary and recommendations. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018;7:e009271.
- [2] Cardiology ACo. Cardiometabolic Initiatives. 2020.
- [3] Wildman RP, Muntner P, Reynolds K, McGinn AP, Rajpathak S, Wylie-Rosett J, et al. The obese without cardiometabolic risk factor clustering and the normal weight with cardiometabolic risk factor clustering: prevalence and correlates of 2 phenotypes among the US population (NHANES 1999–2004). *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:1617–24.
- [4] Saydah S, Bullard KM, Imperatore G, Geiss L, Gregg EW. Cardiometabolic risk factors among US adolescents and young adults and risk of early mortality. *Pediatrics* 2013;131:e679–86.
- [5] Danaei G, Lu Y, Singh GM, Carnahan E, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, et al. Cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes mortality burden of cardiometabolic risk factors from 1980 to 2010: a comparative risk assessment. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014;2(8):634–47.
- [6] Reddigan JJ, Ardern CI, Riddell MC, Kuk JL. Relation of physical activity to cardiovascular disease mortality and the influence of cardiometabolic risk factors. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;108:1426–31.
- [7] Waxman A, World Health A. WHO global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. *Food Nutr Bull* 2004;25:292–302.
- [8] Fernandes Silva L, Vangipurapu J, Laakso M. The "common soil hypothesis" revisited-risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Metabolites* 2021;11.
- [9] Strawbridge RJ, van Zuydam NR. Shared genetic contribution of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease: implications for prognosis and treatment. *Curr Diabetes Rep* 2018;18:59.
- [10] Zhao W, Rasheed A, Tikkanen E, Lee JJ, Butterworth AS, Howson JMM, et al. Identification of new susceptibility loci for

- type 2 diabetes and shared etiological pathways with coronary heart disease. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:1450–7.
- [11] Joseph PG, Pare G, Anand SS. Exploring gene-environment relationships in cardiovascular disease. *Can J Cardiol* 2013;29:37–45.
 - [12] Vattikuti S, Guo J, Chow CC. Heritability and genetic correlations explained by common SNPs for metabolic syndrome traits. *PLoS Genet* 2012;8:e1002637.
 - [13] Jermendy G, Horvath T, Littvay L, Steinbach R, Jermendy AL, Tarnoki AD, et al. Effect of genetic and environmental influences on cardiometabolic risk factors: a twin study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2011;10:96.
 - [14] Povel C, Boer J, Feskens E. Shared genetic variance between the features of the metabolic syndrome: heritability studies. *Mol Genet Metabol* 2011;104:666–9.
 - [15] Zhang S, Liu X, Yu Y, Hong X, Christoffel KK, Wang B, et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to phenotypic components of metabolic syndrome: a population-based twin study. *Obesity* 2009;17:1581–7.
 - [16] Klimentidis YC, Arora A, Newell M, Zhou J, Orдовas JM, Renquist BJ, et al. Phenotypic and genetic characterization of lower LDL-C and increased type-2 diabetes risk in the UK Biobank. *Diabetes* 2020; 69(10):2194–205.
 - [17] Lotta LA, Sharp SJ, Burgess S, Perry JR, Stewart ID, Willems SM, et al. Association between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol—lowering genetic variants and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2016;316:1383–91.
 - [18] Liu DJ, Peloso GM, Yu H, Butterworth AS, Wang X, Mahajan A, et al. Exome-wide association study of plasma lipids in > 300,000 individuals. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:1758–66.
 - [19] Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, Welsh P, Buckley BM, de Craen AJ, et al. Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet* 2010;375:735–42.
 - [20] Crandall JP, Mather K, Rajpathak SN, Goldberg RB, Watson K, Foo S, et al. Statin use and risk of developing diabetes: results from the Diabetes Prevention Program. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2017;5.
 - [21] Preiss D, Seshasai SR, Welsh P, Murphy SA, Ho JE, Waters DD, et al. Risk of incident diabetes with intensive-dose compared with moderate-dose statin therapy: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2011;305:2556–64.
 - [22] King EA, Davis JW, Degner JF. Are drug targets with genetic support twice as likely to be approved? Revised estimates of the impact of genetic support for drug mechanisms on the probability of drug approval. *PLoS Genet* 2019;15:e1008489.
 - [23] Plenge RM. Priority index for human genetics and drug discovery. *Nat Genet* 2019;51:1073–5.
 - [24] Fang H, Consortium U-D, De Wolf H, Knezevic B, Burnham KL, Osgood J, et al. A genetics-led approach defines the drug target landscape of 30 immune-related traits. *Nat Genet* 2019;51:1082–91.
 - [25] Yaghootkar H, Lotta LA, Tyrrell J, Smit RA, Jones SE, Donnelly L, et al. Genetic evidence for a link between favorable adiposity and lower risk of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease. *Diabetes* 2016;65:2448–60.
 - [26] Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* 2018;562:203–9.
 - [27] Warren HR, Evangelou E, Cabrera CP, Gao H, Ren M, Mifsud B, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel blood pressure loci and offers biological insights into cardiovascular risk. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:403.
 - [28] Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh PR, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:1236–41.
 - [29] Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet* 2013;45:1274–83.
 - [30] Shungin D, Winkler TW, Croteau-Chonka DC, Ferreira T, Locke AE, Magi R, et al. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. *Nature* 2015;518:187–96.
 - [31] Hoffmann TJ, Ehret GB, Nandakumar P, Ranatunga D, Schaefer C, Kwok P-Y, et al. Genome-wide association analyses using electronic health records identify new loci influencing blood pressure variation. *Nat Genet* 2017;49:54.
 - [32] Wheeler E, Leong A, Liu CT, Hivert MF, Strawbridge RJ, Podmore C, et al. Impact of common genetic determinants of Hemoglobin A1c on type 2 diabetes risk and diagnosis in ancestrally diverse populations: a transethnic genome-wide meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2017;14:e1002383.
 - [33] Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, Hall LM, Willenborg C, Kanoni S, et al. A comprehensive 1,000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:1121–30.
 - [34] Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, Sargurupremraj M, Okada Y, Mishra A, et al. Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:524–37.
 - [35] Loh PR, Kichaev G, Gazal S, Schoech AP, Price AL. Mixed-model association for biobank-scale datasets. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:906–8.
 - [36] Cichonska A, Rousu J, Marttinen P, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Lehtimäki T, et al. metaCCA: summary statistics-based multivariate meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies using canonical correlation analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2016;32:1981–9.
 - [37] Foley CN, Staley JR, Breen PG, Sun BB, Kirk PDW, Burgess S, et al. A fast and efficient colocalization algorithm for identifying shared genetic risk factors across multiple traits. *bioRxiv* 2019:592238.
 - [38] Randall JC, Winkler TW, Kutalik Z, Berndt SI, Jackson AU, Monda KL, et al. Sex-stratified genome-wide association studies including 270,000 individuals show sexual dimorphism in genetic loci for anthropometric traits. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003500.
 - [39] Liu CT, Monda KL, Taylor KC, Lange L, Demerath EW, Palmas W, et al. Genome-wide association of body fat distribution in African ancestry populations suggests new loci. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003681.
 - [40] Heid IM, Jackson AU, Randall JC, Winkler TW, Qi L, Steinthorsdottir V, et al. Meta-analysis identifies 13 new loci associated with waist-hip ratio and reveals sexual dimorphism in the genetic basis of fat distribution. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:949–60.
 - [41] Richardson TG, Sanderson E, Palmer TM, Ala-Korpela M, Ference BA, Davey Smith G, et al. Evaluating the relationship between circulating lipoprotein lipids and apolipoproteins with risk of coronary heart disease: a multivariable Mendelian randomisation analysis. *PLoS Med* 2020;17:e1003062.
 - [42] Morris AP, Voight BF, Teslovich TM, Ferreira T, Segre AV, Steinthorsdottir V, et al. Large-scale association analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* 2012;44:981.
 - [43] Kanoni S, Masca NG, Stirrups KE, Varga TV, Warren HR, Scott RA, et al. Analysis with the exome array identifies multiple new independent variants in lipid loci. *Hum Mol Genet* 2016;25:4094–106.
 - [44] Kooner JS, Saleheen D, Sim X, Sehmi J, Zhang W, Frossard P, et al. Genome-wide association study in individuals of South Asian ancestry identifies six new type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:984–9.
 - [45] Kichaev G, Bhatia G, Loh PR, Gazal S, Burch K, Freund MK, et al. Leveraging polygenic functional enrichment to improve GWAS power. *Am J Hum Genet* 2019;104:65–75.
 - [46] Zhu Z, Guo Y, Shi H, Liu C-L, Panganiban RA, Chung W, et al. Shared genetic and experimental links between obesity-related traits and asthma subtypes in UK Biobank. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020;145:537–49.
 - [47] Voight BF, Scott LJ, Steinthorsdottir V, Morris AP, Dina C, Welch RP, et al. Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through large-scale association analysis. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:579.
 - [48] Teslovich TM, Musunuru K, Smith AV, Edmondson AC, Stylianou IM, Koseki M, et al. Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. *Nature* 2010;466:707–13.
 - [49] Ji Y, Yiorkas AM, Frau F, Mook-Kanamori D, Staiger H, Thomas EL, et al. Genome-wide and abdominal MRI data provide evidence that a genetically determined favorable adiposity phenotype is characterized by lower ectopic liver fat and lower risk of type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension. *Diabetes* 2019;68:207–19.
 - [50] Small KS, Todorčević M, Civelek M, Moustafa JSE-S, Wang X, Simon MM, et al. Regulatory variants at KLF14 influence type 2 diabetes risk via a female-specific effect on adipocyte size and body composition. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:572–80.
 - [51] Loos RJ, Kilpeläinen TO. Genes that make you fat, but keep you healthy. *J Intern Med* 2018;284:450–63.
 - [52] Lu Y, Day FR, Gustafsson S, Buchkovich ML, Na J, Bataille V, et al. New loci for body fat percentage reveal link between adiposity and cardiometabolic disease risk. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:1–15.

- [53] Kuk JL, Katzmarzyk PT, Nichaman MZ, Church TS, Blair SN, Ross R. Visceral fat is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in men. *Obesity* 2006;14:336–41.
- [54] Lapidus L, Bengtsson C, Larsson B, Pennert K, Rybo E, Sjostrom L. Distribution of adipose tissue and risk of cardiovascular disease and death: a 12 year follow up of participants in the population study of women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1984;289:1257–61.
- [55] Kissebah AH, Alfarsi S, Adams PW, Wynn V. Role of insulin resistance in adipose tissue and liver in the pathogenesis of endogenous hypertriglyceridaemia in man. *Diabetologia* 1976;12:563–71.
- [56] Cassano PA, Segal MR, Vokonas PS, Weiss ST. Body fat distribution, blood pressure, and hypertension. A prospective cohort study of men in the normative aging study. *Ann Epidemiol* 1990;1:33–48.
- [57] Seidell JC, Cigolini M, Deslypere JP, Charzewska J, Ellsinger BM, Cruz A. Body fat distribution in relation to serum lipids and blood pressure in 38-year-old European men: the European fat distribution study. *Atherosclerosis* 1991;86:251–60.
- [58] Carey VJ, Walters EE, Colditz GA, Solomon CG, Willett WC, Rosner BA, et al. Body fat distribution and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. The Nurses' Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;145:614–9.
- [59] Chan JM, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* 1994;17:961–9.
- [60] Nazare J-A, Smith JD, Borel A-L, Haffner SM, Balkau B, Ross R, et al. Ethnic influences on the relations between abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adiposity, liver fat, and cardiometabolic risk profile: the international study of prediction of intra-abdominal Adiposity and its relationship with cardiometabolic risk/intra-abdominal adiposity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96:714–26.
- [61] Demerath EW, Reed D, Rogers N, Sun SS, Lee M, Choh AC, et al. Visceral adiposity and its anatomical distribution as predictors of the metabolic syndrome and cardiometabolic risk factor levels. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88:1263–71.
- [62] Tanko LB, Bagger YZ, Alexandersen P, Larsen PJ, Christiansen C. Peripheral adiposity exhibits an independent dominant anti-atherogenic effect in elderly women. *Circulation* 2003;107:1626–31.
- [63] Lotta LA, Wittemans LBL, Zuber V, Stewart ID, Sharp SJ, Luan J, et al. Association of genetic variants related to gluteofemoral vs abdominal fat distribution with type 2 diabetes, coronary disease, and cardiovascular risk factors. *JAMA* 2018;320:2553–63.
- [64] Wu Y, Broadaway KA, Raulerson CK, Scott LJ, Pan C, Ko A, et al. Colocalization of GWAS and eQTL signals at loci with multiple signals identifies additional candidate genes for body fat distribution. *Hum Mol Genet* 2019;28:4161–72.
- [65] Ference BA, Majeed F, Penumetcha R, Flack JM, Brook RD. Effect of naturally random allocation to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol on the risk of coronary heart disease mediated by polymorphisms in NPC1L1, HMGCR, or both: a 2 × 2 factorial Mendelian randomization study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65:1552–61.