

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Fire Resistance Tests on Thin CFRP Prestressed Concrete Slabs

Citation for published version:

Maluk, C, Terrasi, GP, Bisby, L, Stutz, A & Hugi, E 2015, 'Fire Resistance Tests on Thin CFRP Prestressed Concrete Slabs', Construction and Building Materials, vol. 101, no. Part 1, pp. 558-571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.031

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.10.031

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Construction and Building Materials

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 Fire Resistance Tests on Thin CFRP Prestressed Concrete Slabs

- 2 Cristian Maluk^{1,*}, Giovanni Pietro Terrasi², Luke Bisby¹, Alex Stutz², Erich Hugi²
- ³ School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, UK
- ² Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Material Science and Technology, Switzerland

5 Abstract

6 Optimized, high-performance concrete elements, prestressed with carbon fibre reinforced 7 polymer (CFRP) tendons offer great potential within the sustainable modern built 8 environment. However, the performance of these elements in fire is not well known and must 9 be better understood for applications where fire resistance is required. Findings from large-10 scale fire resistance tests on thin CFRP prestressed concrete slabs are presented and 11 discussed. Results show that explosive spalling in fire results in sudden collapse, and when 12 spalling is avoided failure occurs by loss of anchorage, which is in turn governed by the 13 temperature of the tendons.

14 Keywords

Carbon fibre reinforced polymer; precast concrete; prestressing; furnace testing; fire
resistance; heat-induced concrete spalling; loss of anchorage

^{*} Corresponding Author

<u>Currently at:</u> School of Civil Engineering, The University of Queensland, Australia <u>Address:</u> School of Civil Engineering, The University of Queensland, Brisbane QLD 4072, Australia <u>Email:</u> c.maluk@uq.edu.au <u>Tel:</u> +61 7 3365 3518

18 1 INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

19 Driven by the need for more durable and sustainable concrete structures; careful selection, 20 design, and optimization of concrete mixes and reinforcing materials used are now 21 commonplace in the precast concrete industry. Concrete elements incorporating high-22 performance, self-consolidating concrete (HPSCC) and novel reinforcing and prestressing 23 materials, such as carbon fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) tendons are one such example [1]. 24 The application of thin-walled elements as façade beams and columns in building envelopes 25 (see Figure 1) shows the potential of these structural elements to be widely used in the modern built environment. 26



- 27
- Figure 1 Application of CFRP prestressed concrete L-shaped beams for structural façade elements in
 Zurich, Switzerland [1].
- 30

The combined use of CFRP and HPSCC enables the design of optimized, low-weight prestressed elements with a reduced concrete cover and overall thickness [2, 3]; this gives excellent serviceability (corrosion resistance, high stiffness and fatigue strength). However, the performance of these elements in fire is not well known [4] and must be better understood before they can be used with confidence in load-bearing applications where structural fire resistance is required.

37 A limited number of fire resistance tests on fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) reinforced [5, 6, 38 7, 8, 9] or prestressed [10] concrete elements have been reported in the literature. 39 Unfortunately, however necessarily given the diversity of available FRP reinforcing or 40 prestressing products, each available study has used a specific FRP material, thus making it 41 difficult to draw general conclusions. Despite the scarcity of work studying the fire behaviour 42 of concrete structural elements incorporating FRP reinforcements, fundamental differences to 43 traditionally reinforced concrete structural elements have been reported [10]. Three important 44 failure mechanisms have been identified that may control the fire resistance (i.e. time-to-45 failure in a standard fire resistance test) of reinforced or prestressed concrete elements, 46 namely:

47 1. heat-induced explosive concrete spalling;

48 2. thermo-mechanical bond degradation; and

49 3. thermo-mechanically induced longitudinal splitting cracks.

50 1.1 Heat-induced concrete spalling

51 During (or even after) heating in fire, concrete at the exposed surface of structural elements 52 flakes away in a more or less violent manner. This phenomenon is known as 'heat-induced 53 concrete spalling' [11]. As a consequence, the concrete cover to the internal reinforcement (steel or FRP) is reduced, resulting in rapid temperature increase of the reinforcement and within the structural element, in addition to a direct influence on load bearing capacity due to the loss of physical or effective cross sectional area.

57 Two main mechanisms are widely considered to contribute to the occurrence of heat-induced 58 concrete spalling. The first is a thermo-hydraulic mechanism associated with the transport 59 and/or evaporation of free water (or capillary water) within the concrete microstructure; this is postulated to lead to generation of steam pressure and a 'moisture clog', and eventually to 60 61 spalling. It is almost universally agreed that higher moisture content results in increased heat-62 induced spalling, all other factors being equal [12]. The second is a thermo-mechanical 63 mechanism associated with internal mechanical stresses resulting from through-thickness 64 temperature distributions and incompatibilities in the thermal and thermo-mechanical 65 behaviour of the components within the concrete matrix (e.g. coarse and fine aggregates, cement paste, chemically bound water, etc). This mechanism can also be described at the 66 67 macro-scale, and linked to internal mechanical stresses resulting from external loading, 68 restraining forces, and/or differential thermal stresses arising due to uneven heating, through-69 thickness temperature distributions, and/or the presence of cold areas.

70 The relative significance of these two mechanisms for a particular concrete mix, under a 71 particular thermal exposure in a given application, are not well known. Regardless of the 72 unquantified risk of spalling, current design and construction guidance for spalling prevention 73 (e.g. [13, 14]) is based on prescribing a dose of polypropylene (PP) fibres which is presumed 74 to assure limited spalling in applications with 'relatively high' spalling risk (e.g. high-75 strength concrete, high in-service moisture content, high in-service compressive stress, 76 rapidly growing fires, etc). For example, European design guidelines for concrete in fire [13] recommends including at least 2 kg of monofilament PP fibres per cubic metre concrete for 77

high-strength (>55 MPa cube compressive strength), high moisture content (>3% by mass) and/or concrete with high inclusion of silica fume (>6% by mass of cement). Australian design guidance for concrete in fire [14] states that the addition of 1.2 kg of 6 mm long monofilament PP fibres per cubic metre concrete has a "dramatic effect in reducing the level of spalling".

83 1.2 Thermo-mechanical bond degradation

84 Reductions in bond strength between traditional steel reinforcement and concrete are not 85 generally considered to be a governing factor for the fire resistance of steel reinforced or 86 prestressed concrete elements [15]. Conversely, for FRP reinforcements it has been shown 87 that bond strength degradation between FRP tendons and concrete at elevated temperature 88 can be more critical than loss of FRP tensile strength [8, 16]. Thus, bond strength reduction is 89 widely considered a limiting factor for the fire safe structural design of FRP reinforced and/or 90 prestressed concrete elements [17]. The magnitude of bond strength reductions and their 91 impacts on the performance of FRP reinforced or prestressed concrete structures in fire 92 remain largely unknown, however, and have not been clearly demonstrated for many relevant 93 applications.

The bond between steel or FRP reinforcement (prestressed and/or non-prestressed) and concrete deteriorates at elevated temperature [17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. These reductions are reasonably well known for steel reinforcement and are given in available guidelines [13, 15]; however they remain largely unknown for virtually all currently available FRP reinforcements [22, 23].

99 Design codes for the design of FRP reinforced or prestressed concrete structures typically100 assume perfect bond between FRP reinforcement and concrete for ambient temperature

analysis and design (e.g. [24, 25, 26]. The bond strength of FRP reinforcements relies
primarily on the strength and stiffness of the epoxy resin at the surface of the reinforcement,
which normally incorporates a sand coating, spiral fibre roving, and/or a ribbed shaped resin.
However, the resin at the surface of the FRP tendon will soften at temperatures below 200°C
for most available products [4]; hence the assumption of perfect bond at elevated temperature
is not generally appropriate.

107 1.3 Thermo-mechanically induced longitudinal splitting cracks

FRP reinforcements exhibit vastly different coefficients of thermal expansion (CTEs) in their longitudinal and transverse directions, and these also differ substantially from that of concrete. For example, CFRP reinforcements tend to have lower (even negative) CTEs in the longitudinal direction, while in the transverse direction their CTEs are governed by the matrix polymer [24] and can be up to an order of magnitude larger than for concrete [27]. CTE for FRPs is traditionally examined in the range of temperatures before decomposition of the matrix polymer; 300-350°C [4, 27].

Prior studies have aimed at understanding the effects of differential thermal expansion between FRP reinforcements and concrete [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. Presently, it is thought that the development of splitting stresses within the concrete cover leads to the development of heatinduced longitudinal (reflective) splitting cracks along the reinforcement, and possibly to loss of the concrete cover's ability to provide sufficient confining action for anchorage to be maintained. It is expected that this may be exacerbated by extreme through-thickness temperature distributions in the concrete during fire [10].

123 2 RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

124 It is widely perceived that reinforced or prestressed concrete structural elements 125 incorporating FRP reinforcements have lower fire resistance than equivalent steel reinforced 126 or prestressed elements [10]. However, comparatively few large-scale fire resistance tests (or 127 structural fire tests) have been performed on FRP reinforced or prestressed concrete elements; 128 little is known about the 'true' response of these elements during standard fire resistance tests 129 in furnaces. The current paper aims to understand the relative importance of the foregoing 130 issues on fire resistance of FRP prestressed concrete elements using standard fire testing.

131 **3 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM**

Five large-scale, loaded CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs were tested simultaneously in a single standard floor furnace test [32]. The design of the slabs was aimed to evaluate the influence of concrete mix and PP fibre dose (spalling), overall slab depth (tendons temperature), and the presence of CFRP grids within the anchorage zones (splitting cracking in the anchorage zones) (see Table 1).

Slab Co # mi	oncrete D ix tl [1	Depth of the slab sign	CFRP grids	Applied load per point [kg]	Slab utilization factor	CFRP utilization factor	Time-to- failure [mm' ss'']	Failure mechanism
1 1				1 01				
I A	4	5	No	25.0	0.23	0.42	42' 01"	Loss of anchorage
2 A	4	5	Yes	25.0	0.23	0.42	12' 37"	Explosive spalling
3 A	6	50	Yes	38.4	0.20	0.43	22' 10"	Explosive spalling
4 B	4	5	Yes	25.0	0.23	0.42	50' 27"	Loss of anchorage
5 B	6	50	Yes	38.4	0.20	0.43	93' 04"	Loss of anchorage

137 Table 1 – Evaluated parameters, time-to-failure and failure mechanisms for slabs discussed herein.

138 3.1 Test specimens

The tested slabs were similar to those used by the authors in prior research [10]. Their overall length was 3360 mm (see Figure 2) and they were prestressed with four circular pultruded, quartz sand-coated CFRP tendons stressed to an initial prestress level of 1,000 MPa. Initial prestress level was calculated based on the gross cross-sectional area of the tendons; i.e without considering the layer of sand coating (refer to Section 3.2.2 of this paper). It is noteworthy to point out that for prestressed concrete elements, the ends the slabs are commonly labelled as active end (stressing end) and passive end (dead end).

All CFRP tendons were located at the slab mid-depth, with a tolerance of ± 2 mm, to obtain a nominally concentric prestressing force (see Figure 3). The slabs were 45 or 60 mm thick (refer to Table 1), leading to clear concrete covers to the prestressed CFRP reinforcement of 19.5 mm and 27 mm, respectively. All slabs were 200 mm wide. Lateral clear concrete cover at the slab edges was 22 mm in all cases, with a tendon-to-tendon clear spacing of 44 mm (see Figure 3).



154Figure 2 –Plan view and side elevations for slabs 45 and 60 mm thick, also showing thermocouples155position (CFRP grids in the anchorage zones are not shown).



Figure 3 – Cross section for slabs 45 and 60 mm thick, also showing thermocouples position.

158 3.2 Constituent materials

159 3.2.1 High-Performance, Self-Consolidating Concrete (HPSCC)

All slabs were fabricated from a high-performance, self-consolidating concrete (HPSCC) of strength class C90 (minimum 28 day 150 mm cube compressive strength of 90 MPa). Given the high likelihood of spalling for this mix due to its high strength and the inclusion of microsilica in the mix [13], 2.0 kg of 3 mm long or 1.2 kg of 6 mm long PP monofilament fibres (32 μ m in diameter) were included for mixes A and B, respectively. Detailed of both mixes are given in Table 2.

Moisture content was measured by dehydration mass loss of control specimens. The average moisture contents at the time of testing were 3.6 and 3.9% by mass, for mixes A and B, respectively. Compressive and splitting tensile strengths [33] were measured at 28 days and 6 months (close to the time of testing), and are given in Table 2.

170 of testing), and are given in Table 2.

171

Table 2 – Mix composition and slump flow for the HPSCC mixes.

		Mix #A	Mix #B
Water/(cement + microsilica + fly ash)	[-]	0.31	0.31
Cement (includes 20% microsilica)	[kg/m ³]	475	469
Fly ash	[kg/m ³]	120	120
Limestone aggregate (0-8 mm)	[kg/m ³]	1675	1669
Superplasticizer in % of cement	[%]	1.69%	1.75%
Polypropylene fibres	[kg/m ³]	2.0 (3 mm PPs)	1.2 (6 mm PPs)
Slump flow [34]	[mm]	830	785
Compressive strength (28 days / 6 months)	[MPa]	92.6 / 93.3	96.2 / 98.5
Splitting tensile strength (28 days / 6 months)	[MPa]	5.44 / 5.47	5.49 / 5.57
Moisture content (at the time of testing)	[% by mass]	3.6%	3.9%

173 3.2.2 CFRP prestressing tendons

The pultruded uniaxial CFRP tendons used herein were made from Tenax UTS carbon fibres, at a fibre volume fraction of 64%, and Bakelite 4434 epoxy resin. Their design tensile strength was 2,000 MPa, with a design elastic modulus of 150 GPa [35] and a characteristic ultimate strain of 1.33%. The quartz sand coating applied after the initial pultrusion process had an average grain size of 0.5 mm and was bonded using the same epoxy resin to promote a strong bond. The gross (or net) diameter of the CFRP tendons was 5.4 mm and the total diameter, including sand coating, was approximately 6.0 mm (see Figure 4).



181

182 Figure 4 – Cross section schematic and photo of the CFRP prestressing tendon used in the current study.

183 3.2.3 CFRP grid reinforcement

In an attempt to limit splitting cracking and improve the bond strength in the prestress anchorage zones at elevated temperatures, commercially available CFRP grids (C-GRID®) were placed locally within the anchorage zones of four of the five slabs (refer to Table 1). Prior to casting, these CFRP grids were placed above and below the CFRP tendons (see Figure 5). The aim was to minimize heat-induced longitudinal splitting cracks, and hence loss of confining action provided by concrete in the anchorage zones. The CFRP grids had

- transverse and longitudinal spacings of 46 mm and 41 mm, respectively, with a design tensile
- 191 elastic modulus of approximately 120 GPa in both directions.







194 3.3 Casting and curing procedures

The formwork (i.e. prestressing bed) allowed for simultaneous casting of three prestressed 195 196 slabs at one time (see Figure 6). The mixing and casting procedure was performed according 197 the exacting standards for typical precast concrete elements fabricated by the industrial 198 partner (a Swiss precast company). After casting, slabs were covered with polyethylene 199 sheeting for 72 hours before the prestress was transferred and the forms stripped. The slabs 200 were cured in a moist condition under polyethylene sheets for a further five days, and were 201 then left to cure under ambient conditions for 1.5 months in the production hall of the precast 202 company before being delivered to the Empa Fire Testing Laboratory where they were stored 203 indoors until testing. Slabs were tested at an age of 5.2 months (testing authorities typically 204 prescribe a minimum age of 3 months for furnace testing of concrete [32]). Cube and cylinder 205 specimens were also cast to determine compressive and splitting tensile strength, as well as 206 average moisture content at the time of testing.



Figure 6 – Photographic sequence showing (a) prestressing bed and formwork prior to casting, (b) casting
 procedure of large-scale specimens, and (c) close-up during casting of the anchorage zone (with CFRP
 grids and thermocouples).

213 4 LARGE-SCALE FURNACE TEST

A large-scale furnace test (standard fire resistance test) was performed at Empa's Fire Testing Laboratory in Dubendorf, Switzerland. The five specimens described previously were simultaneously loaded and exposed to a standard fire (see Figure 7) using a floor furnace [32].



218

Figure 7 – Photo of the fire resistance test setup showing positions of the respective slabs and sustained
 loading technique used.

222 4.1 Test setup

The test was performed in accordance to the European requirements of the standard fire resistance test [32].

225 4.1.1 Thermal loading

226 The setup of the specimens was aimed at assuring one-sided heating from below, so the sides 227 of the specimens were fully insulated. The heating regime was executed according to the 228 requirements of the standard time-temperature curve [32]. Since no clear influence of an 229 unheated anchorage length in excess of 160 mm had been observed during a prior series of 230 furnace tests performed by the authors [10], it was decided to maintain a constant but 231 conservative (and essentially arbitrary) unheated anchorage length of 195 mm for all five 232 specimens (refer to Figure 2). Thus, the overall exposed length of the 3360 mm long slabs was 2970 mm. 233

234 4.1.2 Mechanical loading

Sustained mechanical loading was applied to simulate an in-service condition for the slabs, in simply-supported four-point bending. End supports (rollers) were placed 155 mm from the slabs' ends, leading to a structural span of 3050 mm (see figures 8 and 9). The applied load was designed to be sufficient to achieve decompression at the extreme tension fibre within the constant moment region (i.e. $\sigma_{c,bottom} = 0$ [MPa]); this corresponds to a typical design service load condition for a façade element of this type in a real building [1]. Loading was imposed 30 minutes prior to start of heating. Prestressing losses due to elastic shortening, shrinkage and creep of the concrete were considered and calculated based on results from prior experimental studies performed for similar HPSCC mixes [36]. Total prestressing losses ($\Delta \sigma_p^{Total}$) were calculated as:

245
$$\Delta \sigma_p^{Total} = \Delta \sigma_p^{ES} + \Delta \sigma_p^{SR} + \Delta \sigma_p^{CR}$$
(1)

246 Losses due to elastic shortening of concrete were calculated as:

247
$$\Delta \sigma_p^{ES} = \frac{E_{CFRP}}{E_c} \cdot \sigma_{c,0}$$
(2)

where:

$$249 \qquad \sigma_{c,0} = \sigma_{CFRP,0} \cdot \frac{A_{CFRP}}{A_c - A_{CFRP}} \tag{3}$$

250 $E_c = 32.5 \text{ [GPa]}$ (at 2-3 days) [36] (4)

251
$$E_{CFRP} = 150 [GPa]$$
 [35] (5)

252 Losses due to shrinkage of concrete were calculated as:

$$253 \qquad \Delta \sigma_p^{SR} = E_{CFRP} \cdot \left(-\varepsilon_c^{SR}\right) \tag{6}$$

Concrete strains due to shrinkage (ε_c^{SR}) at the time of testing were calculated by interpolating between measurements on HPSCC control specimens at various ages [36]. Losses due to creep of concrete were calculated as:

257
$$\Delta \sigma_p^{CR} = \varphi_c \cdot \frac{E_{CFRP}}{E_c} \cdot \sigma_{c,creep}$$
(7)

where:

259
$$\sigma_{c,creep} = \varphi_{CFRP} \cdot \left(\sigma_{CFRP,0} \cdot \frac{A_{CFRP}}{A_c - A_{CFRP}} \right)$$
(8)

260 The concrete creep coefficient (φ_c) and bond creep coefficient (φ_{CFRP}) were defined based on 261 results from prior experimental studies performed for similar specimens [36].

262 Finally, initial prestressing level at the time of testing were calculated as:

263
$$\sigma_{CFRP,test} = \sigma_{CFRP,0} - \Delta \sigma_p^{Total}$$
(9)

and prestress losses could then be calculated as:

265 Prestressing losses (%) =
$$\frac{\Delta \sigma_p^{Total}}{\sigma_{CFRP,0}} \times 100$$
 (10)

Prestressing losses for 5.2 month old slabs with CFRP tendons initially prestressed to 1,000 MPa were calculated as 16% and 14% for the 45 and 60 mm thick slabs, respectively (refer to Table 1). The slab utilization factors, calculated as the ratio between the applied mechanical load during testing and the theoretical ultimate failure load at ambient temperature, assuming concrete crushing at the compressive zone [11], were 0.23 and 0.20 for the 45 and 60 mm thick slabs, respectively.

The CFRP utilization factor was calculated as the ratio between the initial strain of the CFRP tendons during testing (considering losses) and their characteristic ultimate failure strain. Before heating these were 42 and 43% of the CFRPs' design tensile strength capacity for the 45 and 60 mm thick slabs, respectively.



Figure 8 – Test setup, loading, and selected instrumentation for the fire resistance test (side elevation).





Figure 9 – Layout of test specimens during the fire resistance test (plan view).

281 4.2 Instrumentation

Furnace temperature gauges – In accordance with fire test standards [32] eight standard
 plate thermometers were positioned inside the furnace. These were used to record and
 control the temperatures inside the furnace during testing.

Temperature gauges inside the slabs – Through-thickness temperature measurements
 were taken at midspan at eleven distances from the exposed surface of each of the slabs
 (see Figure 3). Temperature measurements were also taken at several locations in the
 anchorage zones (active end only) along the lower edge of one central CFRP tendon (see
 Figure 2). Bare K-type thermocouples (TCs) were used in all cases, and special care was
 taken during the casting process to ensure precise placement of the TCs at the intended
 location inside the slabs.

Midspan vertical displacement gauges – Midspan vertical deflection was measured using
 linear voltage displacement string pot transducers, placed on a beam resting on top of the
 furnace.

295 Draw-in gauges - Aiming to measure possible draw-in of the CFRP tendons during • 296 testing due to loss of anchorage from heating or splitting cracking of the anchorage zones 297 high accuracy yet economical, semi-disposable custom-built "pi" displacement gauges 298 (pi-gauges) were designed and fabricated for the project described herein (one of these 299 gauges is shown in Figure 10). These were placed at either end of the slabs (active and 300 passive ends). These 'pi-gauges' consisted of foil strain gauges applied to a piece of 301 curved spring steel, which when flexed due to deformation could be correlated to 302 displacement. To obtain the required accuracy of the instruments, the gauges were 303 designed with a half-bridge connection with strain gauges placed on the top and bottom of each pi-gauge (see Figure 10). The pi-gauges were calibrated over a displacement range of ± 4 mm. Draw-in measurements were performed for all four CFRP tendons, at both ends of slabs #1, #2, and #3 (refer to Table 1).



307

308Figure 10 – Custom-fabricated 'pi-gauges' (left) and gauges installed for measuring tendon draw-in for309all four tendons at one end of Slab #1 (right).

310 5 TEST RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The observed time-to-failure of all five test specimens is shown in Table 1, along with their respective failure modes. Failure was driven by either:

- a single explosive heat-induced spalling event, which resulted in immediate and total
- 314 collapse of the test specimen (slabs #2 and #3); or
- progressive loss of bond between the tendon and the concrete in the anchorage zone,
- leading to more gradual collapse of the test specimen (slabs #1, #4, and #5).

317 5.1 Furnace temperature

318 Temperature measurements from the eight plate thermometers inside the furnace are shown 319 in Figure 11 along with the objective time-temperature curve. Although compliant with the testing standard [32], the temperature measurements show substantial deviation in the temperature measured inside the furnace, especially during the first 20 minutes (see Figure 12). Due to the obvious technical challenge of precisely controlling the furnace to follow the rapidly growing prescribed time-temperature curve [32] during early stages of the test, most testing standards do not prescribe an allowable deviation during the first 5 minutes (see Figure 12).



Figure 11 – Furnace gas temperatures measured by the plate thermometers along with the objective
 standard time-temperature curve [32].

329

330





333 5.2 Through-thickness temperatures at midspan

A comparison of through-thickness temperature distributions measured at midspan is shown in figures 13 and 14 for slabs 45 and 60 mm thick, respectively. Temperature for the first 12 minutes of the test are shown; the time at which the first of the slabs failed due to explosive spalling (refer to Table 1).

338 Considerable variation of through-thickness temperature distributions was observed for slabs 339 with equivalent thickness, possibly demonstrating poor homogeneity of the thermal 340 exposures for slabs tested simultaneously during a single furnace test; this is despite the 341 temperatures measured by the plate thermometers complying with the test standard. Figure 13 342 suggests a more rapid through-thickness temperature increase for 45 mm thick slabs 343 positioned near the centre of the furnace, slabs #2 and #4, relative to Slab #1 positioned near 344 the edge of the furnace (see Figure 7). A similar, however less severe, comparison is shown 345 in Figure 14 for Slab #3, positioned at the centre of the furnace, and Slab #5, positioned near 346 the edge of the furnace.



Figure 13 – Midspan through-thickness temperature distributions for 45 mm thick slabs at 2 minute
 intervals during the first 12 minutes of the furnace test.



352Figure 14 – Midspan through-thickness temperature distributions for 60 mm thick slabs at 2 minute353intervals during the first 12 minutes of the furnace test.

354 5.3 Temperature in the anchorage zone

351

Figure 15 shows a comparison of the temperature of the CFRP tendon at midspan and at 400 mm from the end of the slab (still within the heat exposed zone of the slab, see Figure 2) for slabs #1, #4, and #5 (all of which failed due to loss of anchorage). Figure 15 suggests that the temperature of the CFRP tendons was essentially constant over the exposed length of the slabs. It is noteworthy that thermocouples were placed along the lower edge of an interior CFRP tendon, and therefore recorded the temperature at the interface between the tendon and the concrete, rather than the temperature of the CFRP itself. Due to the low thermal inertia of the CFRP tendons relative to that of concrete, the temperature inside the tendon may be lower than that at the tendon-concrete interface in the fire exposed zone (e.g. at midspan); while the opposite may be true in the unheated overhangs.

Figure 16 shows a comparison of the temperatures measured in the anchorage zone for Slab #5, demonstrating the effectiveness of maintaining a 'cool' anchorage zone when designing for unheated overhangs.

Within the scope of the work presented herein, no attempt was made to individually identify the relevance of the two potential mechanisms for loss of anchorage: thermo-mechanical bond degradation in the anchorage zone and/or thermo-mechanically induced longitudinal splitting cracking. Further work is needed to better understand the drivers for, and consequences of, both mechanisms.



374Figure 15 - Comparison between temperatures of the CFRP tendons measured at midspan (continuous375line) and at 400 mm from the end of the slab (segmented line) for slabs #1, #4, and #5.



Figure 16 – Typical temperature within the unheated overhang for a central CFRP tendon (Slab #5, 60
 mm thick), for distances of 60, 100, 150, and 200 mm from the end of the slab.

379 5.4 Midspan vertical displacement

376

380 Figure 17 shows the time-history of midspan vertical displacements for the slabs that failed 381 due to loss of anchorage. During the early stages of the test, midspan deflections were induced predominantly by thermal bowing. During this stage an obvious influence of the 382 383 slabs' thickness was observed [37]; wherein thicker slabs experienced less vertical midspan 384 deflection due to lower thermally induced curvatures. Comparison of midspan deflections for slabs #1 and #4 (both 45 mm thick), during the first 10 minutes of the tests, shows that the 385 more rapid increases of through-thickness temperature (observed for slab #4, refer to Figure 386 387 13) resulted in a more rapid increase in midspan deflection due to thermal bowing (see Figure 17). For slabs #1, #4, and #5, all of which failed due to loss of anchorage, a clear change of 388 389 slope in the time-history of midspan deflections, presumed to be associated with loss of 390 anchorage, was observed (discussed later).



Figure 17 – Time-history of midspan vertical displacement measurements for all slabs that failed due to
 loss of anchorage (slabs #1, #4, and #5).

394 5.5 Draw-in of CFRP tendons

391

395 Draw-in measurements were taken for all four CFRP tendons at both ends of slabs #1, #2, 396 and #3. Unfortunately, because slabs #2 and #3 failed catastrophically at an early stage of the 397 test due to explosive concrete spalling, no useful draw-in measurements were recorded for these slabs. For Slab #1 however, tendon draw-in measurements were taken until failure, at 398 399 42 minutes from the start of the test as shown in Figure 18. While no attempt was made to 400 quantify the relationship between draw-in measurements and the failure mechanism of Slab 401 #1, a qualitative analysis considering draw-in measurements, loss of anchorage, and failure is 402 presented later.



403

404 Figure 18 – Draw-in measurements for tendons at the active and passive ends of Slab #1 during testing.

405 6 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

406 6.1 Failure due to spalling

Failure of slabs #2 and #3 was driven by the occurrence of single explosive concrete spalling events, 12 and 22 minutes from the start of the test, respectively (refer to Table 1). Immediately after spalling, each of these slabs suffered catastrophic failure and collapsed into the furnace. Video stills recorded during testing showed the moment at which spalling occurred (shown for Slab #2 in Figure 19).

Slab #2 failed after 12 minutes, whereas the virtually identical Slab #1 failed due to loss of anchorage after 42 minutes of fire exposure (refer to Table 1); Figure 13 shows that Slab #2 experienced more rapid heating during the early stages of the test. This suggests a possible important influence of the time-history of through-thickness temperatures on the occurrence of heat-induced concrete spalling [11]. For instance, Slab #2 spalled when the measured temperature 1 mm from its exposed surface was 400°C, while for Slab #1 the temperature at the same location was only 300°C. The possibility that this was due to misplacement of thermocouples during casting was discarded since equivalent temperature differences
between slabs #1 and #2 were observed for temperatures measured at various positions in the
slab (e.g. 5, 10, and 15 mm from the exposed surface).

For slabs #4 and #5, both of which were cast from Mix B, no spalling was observed and thus it is not possible to determine whether time-history of through-thickness temperatures might influence the occurrence of spalling for this mix. The above demonstrates an inability to properly compare test results for multiple specimens simultaneously tested during a single furnace test when subtle differences in thermal gradients play important roles in the test outcomes.



428

429

Figure 19 – Explosive spalling and immediate collapse of Slab #2 at 12' 37".

430 6.2 Failure due to loss of anchorage

The failure mechanism for slabs #1, #4, and #5 was driven by loss anchorage of the CFRP tendons, which resulted in structural failure at 42, 50, or 93 minutes from the start of the test, respectively (refer to Table 1). As already noted, loss of anchorage for high-performance CFRP prestressed concrete structural elements during fire resistance tests has previously been postulated to be driven by a combination of thermo-mechanical bond degradation, thermomechanically induced longitudinal splitting cracks, or a combination of both mechanisms. Identifying (either experimentally or theoretically) the relative influences of these factors isnontrivial but is treated in this section using the data obtained from the tests presented herein.

Figure 17 shows the time-history of midspan deflections for slabs #1, #4, and #5 all of which failed due loss of anchorage. While deflections at an early stage of the test were governed by thermal bowing of the test specimens, the observed increase in the rate of midspan deflection slope is thought to be linked to loss of anchorage (i.e. tendon slip).

Table 3 shows (for slabs #1, #4, and #5) the time from the start of the test, the temperature ofthe CFRP tendons at midspan and 200 mm from the end of the slab for the following events:

A clear increase in the rate of midspan vertical displacement was observed – This occurs
when the temperature of CFRP tendons at midspan was about 310°C (refer to Table 3).
The temperature of the CFRP tendons 200 mm from the end of the slab at this moment
was about 70-78°C for the 45 mm thick slabs (slabs #1 and #4), and 124°C for the 60
mm thick slab (Slab #5).

The first longitudinal cracks were observed at the unexposed surface – This occurs when
 temperature of the CFRP tendons at midspan was between 320 and 390°C (refer to
 Figure 15), regardless of the temperature in the unheated overhangs (e.g. 200 mm from
 the end of the slab, refer to Figure 16). Longitudinal splitting cracks were first observed
 at the unexposed surface (i.e. top surface), near the midspan region (see Figure 20).

Failure occurred (i.e. collapse) – The midspan temperature of the CFRP tendon at failure of the slabs was higher for slabs that incorporated CFRP grids within the anchorage zones. Slab #1, which had no CFRP grids, failed when the temperature of the CFRP tendons at midspan was 459°C, while slabs #4 and #5, both of which included CFRP grids, failed when the temperature of the CFRP tendons at midspan was 594°C

and 597°C, respectively. It is noteworthy that despite slabs #4 and #5 having depths of
461 45 and 60 mm, respectively, their failure occurred when the temperature of the CFRP
462 tendons at midspan was essentially the same.

The increase in midspan vertical displacement, appearance of the first longitudinal splitting crack, and failure of the slabs were apparently unrelated to the temperature of the CFRP tendons in the unheated overhangs.

Table 3 – Time from the start of the test, the temperature of the CFRP tendons at midspan and 200 mm
from the end of the slab when: (1) a clear increase in the rate of midspan vertical displacement was
observed; (2) the first longitudinal crack was observed at the unexposed surface (i.e. the top surface); and
(3) at failure for Slabs #1, #4, and #5.

	Increase in vertic	the rate of al displace	'midspan nent	First longit	udinal split	ting crack	Failure (i.e. structural collapse)				
Slab #	Time	Midspan	Unheated overhang Time		Midspan	Unheated overhang	Time	Midspan	Unheated overhang		
	[mm' ss'']	[°C]	[°C]	[mm' ss'']	[°C]	[°C]	[mm' ss'']	[°C]	[°C]		
1	28' 00"	315°C	70°C	28' 40"	322°C	72°C	42' 01"	459°C	102°C		
4	21' 24"	310°C	78°C	26' 16"	376°C	94°C	50' 27"	594°C	165°C		
5	38' 00"	309°C	124°C	50' 40"	390°C	153°C	93' 04"	597°C	246°C		



470

Figure 20 – Longitudinal splitting cracks at the unexposed surface of Slab #4 shortly before failure.

Figure 18 shows draw-in measurements for CFRP tendons in Slab #1, evidencing that drawin initiated 16 minutes from the start of the test; at both the active and passive end of the slab. Thereafter, draw-in progressively increased only at the active end up to 30 minutes from the start of the start when a sudden increase in draw-in was measured at the passive end; around the time at which an increase in the rate of midspan vertical displacement was observed for Slab #1 (refer to Figure 17).

478 Interestingly, the time-history of midspan vertical displacement directly correlates with the 479 temperature of the CFRP tendons at midspan (see Figure 21), rather than temperature in the 480 unheated overhangs. This physical mechanism is thought to be associated with heat-induced 481 reduction for strength and stiffness of the epoxy resin within CFRP tendons; since the epoxy 482 resin is essential for anchorage to be maintained [4], and moreover for preserving interaction 483 and stress transfer between individual carbon fibres. Thermogravimetric analysis performed 484 by the authors on these particular CFRP tendons showed that decomposition of the epoxy 485 resin rapidly initiates at around 290°C [4]; in accordance with the temperature of the CFRP 486 tendons at midspan for which an increase in midspan vertical displacement was observed 487 (refer to Figure 21).



489 Figure 21 – Variation of midspan vertical displacement with temperature of the CFRP at midspan.

490 6.3 Influence of varying parameters

488

491 The following observations can be made regarding the influences of the various parameters492 investigated in the furnace test presented herein:

493 Concrete mix – Mix B has a lower risk of heat-induced concrete spalling than Mix A, 494 despite the fact that Mix A incorporated a higher dose of 2.0 kg of PP fibres (3 mm long) 495 per m³ of concrete (as compared with 1.2 kg of 6 mm long PP fibres for Mix B). This 496 suggests that not only PP fibre dose, as prescribed by most design guidelines (e.g. [13]), 497 but also the length of the individual PP fibres has an influence on the effectiveness of PP 498 fibres effectiveness at mitigating spalling; the current (limited) study therefore supports 499 the use of 6 mm long fibres, although additional research is needed to corroborate this 500 result.

Overall slab thickness – The fire resistance of CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs is directly
 associated to the overall slab thickness, with thicker slabs (unsurprisingly) having higher

fire resistances. The above is only valid when the slabs' failure mechanism is driven by loss of anchorage rather than heat-induced concrete spalling. The positive influence given by the slab thickness (hence concrete cover to the reinforcement) is fundamental to the fire safety of concrete structural elements reinforced with steel or FRP [38].

The presence of CFRP grids within the anchorage zones – For the CFRP prestressed
 HPSCC slabs that failed due to loss of anchorage rather than spalling, the presence of
 CFRP grids within the anchorage zones appears to increase the anchorage resistance of
 the prestressed CFRP tendons; hence the overall fire resistance of slabs.

511 7 CONCLUSIONS

Recognizing that it is challenging to draw categorical conclusions on the basis of a limited number of large-scale tests on CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs simultaneously tested during a furnace test, the following conclusions can be drawn on the basis of the data and analysis presented in this paper:

• The fire resistance of CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs during a standard fire resistance 517 test is influenced by the occurrence of heat-induced concrete spalling, and if no spalling 518 occurs, by loss of anchorage.

Although all five test specimens were tested simultaneously and exposed to the same notional time-history of temperature inside the furnace, variability was observed in the time-history of through-thickness temperatures for essentially identical slabs. This demonstrates the relatively poor, although 'test standard compliant', homogeneity of the thermal loading imposed during a standard furnace test [32]. Interestingly, more rapid through-thickness temperature increases were measured for slabs at the centre of furnace, relative to those near its walls.

Relevant to the failure of slabs induced by the occurrence of heat-induced concrete spalling,the following conclusions can be made:

Failure of slabs #2 and #3 was driven by the occurrence of a single explosive spalling
event leading to sudden failure.

• The occurrence of heat-induced concrete spalling appears to be subtly influenced by the 531 time-history of through-thickness temperature within a concrete slab. Comparison of 532 temperature measurements recorded for slabs #1, #2, and #3 (all Mix A) indicated an 533 influence of time-history of through-thickness temperatures on the occurrence of heat-534 induced concrete spalling. More rapid through-thickness temperature increases were 535 measured for slabs #2 and #3, which spalled at 12 and 22 minutes, respectively.

536 Results suggest that a lower risk of spalling exists for slabs cast with Mix B (containing • 537 1.2 kg/m³ of 6 mm long PP fibres) than for those cast with Mix A (2.0 kg/m³ of 3 mm long PP fibres). This may be related to the short PP fibres (3 mm long) included in Mix 538 539 A being less effective in mitigating heat-induced concrete spalling. It is noteworthy that 540 existing European (and other) design guidelines for concrete in fire [13] prescribe the inclusion of 2 kg/m³ of monofilament PP fibres to 'avoid' spalling; this is clearly 541 542 indefensible based on the tests presented herein. Furthermore, these guidelines provide 543 no guidance on the required PP fibre diameter or length.

544 Relevant to failure of slabs induced by loss of anchorage:

• Whilst no attempt was made to individually identify the influence of thermo-mechanical bond degradation versus thermo-mechanically induced longitudinal splitting cracking on the loss of anchorage in CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs, the tests confirmed that a combination of both mechanisms is probably relevant.

549 Irrespective of all design parameters assessed in this study, loss of anchorage appeared to • 550 begin when the temperature at the lower edge of a central CFRP tendon at midspan was 551 in the range of 310°C, regardless of the temperature at the unheated overhangs. This 552 confirms the potential triviality of prescribing an unheated overhang length for the fire 553 resistance design of precast CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs [10]. The precise reasons for 554 this remain unknown, although test results suggest influences of both longitudinal 555 thermal conduction (along and inside the CFRP tendons) and/or differential thermal 556 expansion (transverse to the tendon).

• The presence of CFRP grids within the anchorage zones appeared to increase the timeto-failure for slabs that failed due to loss of anchorage. Slabs #4 and #5, which incorporated CFRP grids, failed when the temperature at the bottom of the CFRP tendon at midspan was at about 600°C, while it occurred at 450°C for Slab #1 which did not incorporate grids. Increase in fire resistance is thought to be associated with increased longitudinal splitting crack resistance and confining action provided by concrete (and CFRP grids) in the anchorage zones.

564 The current study reveals some of the inadequacies of using standard furnace tests for 565 carefully investigating the fire resistance of CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs (or similarly 566 novel or highly optimized structural elements). The high risk of heat-induced concrete 567 spalling and the complexities associated with loss of anchorage, both of which are relevant to 568 the fire behaviour of CFRP prestressed HPSCC slabs, are difficult to be rationally 569 investigated with a low number of test specimens, despite considerable instrumentation 570 during testing. A proper understanding of the response of these elements is needed before 571 they can be designed and implemented with confidence; this is unlikely to be achieved by 572 performing additional standard fire resistance tests. Conversely, what is needed is scientific

understanding of the thermal and mechanical fire behaviour of these elements at the material,
member, and system levels; this can be accomplished using a range of conventional and
bespoke test methods and procedures, many of which are now being used by the authors (e.g.
[11]).

577 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

578 The authors would like to thank SACAC Schleuderbetonwerk AG, Empa, and The University 579 of Edinburgh, and in particular Lukas Bäurle, Nunzio Spano, Thomas Hofer, Marcel Steiner, 580 Angelo Demont, and Mauricio Fuentes. This research was partially funded by Empa, the 581 BRE Centre for Fire Safety Engineering at The University of Edinburgh, and The Royal 582 Society of Edinburgh. Arup and the Royal Academy of Engineering are gratefully 583 acknowledged for their ongoing support of Arup Prof Bisby.

584 NOTATION

585	A_c	concrete cross section area
586	A _{CFRP}	CFRP tendons cross section area
587	E_{c}	elastic modulus of concrete
588	E _{CFRP}	elastic modulus of CFRP tendons
589	\mathcal{E}_{c}^{SR}	concrete strains due to shrinkage
590	$\Delta\sigma_p^{\scriptscriptstyle Total}$	total prestressing losses
591	$\Delta \sigma_p^{_{ES}}$	prestressing losses due to elastic shortening of concrete
592	$\Delta\sigma_p^{\scriptscriptstyle SR}$	prestressing losses due to shrinkage of concrete
593	$\Delta \sigma_p^{C\!R}$	prestressing losses due to creep of concrete
594	$\sigma_{c,bottom}$	normal stress in concrete at the bottom fibre of slab
595	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle c,0}$	initial normal stress in concrete

- $\sigma_{CFRP,0}$ initial normal stress in CFRP tendons
- $\sigma_{c,reep}$ normal stress in concrete after creep
- $\sigma_{CFRP,test}$ initial prestressing level of the CFRP tendons during testing
- φ_c concrete creep coefficient
- φ_{CFRP} CFRP tendon-concrete bond creep coefficient

602 **REFERENCES**

[1] Terrasi G.P. Prefabricated thin-walled structural elements made from HPC prestressed
 with pultruded carbon wires. Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Fibre
 Reinforced Polymer Reinforcement for Concrete Structures, Patras, Greece, 2007, 10
 pp.

- 607 [2] Terrasi G.P., Battig G., and Bronnimann R. Pylons made of high-strength spun concrete
 608 and prestressed with carbon fibre reinforced plastic for high power transmission lines.
 609 *International Journal of Materials and Product Technology*, 2002, 17 (1-2), 32-45.
- 610 [3] Seica M.V. and Packer J.A. FRP Materials for the Rehabilitation of Tubular Steel
 611 Structures, for Underwater Applications. *Composite Structures*, 2007, 80 (3), 440-450.
- [4] Maluk C., Bisby L., Terrasi G.P., Hugi E., and Green M. Bond strength degradation for
 CFRP and steel reinforcing bars in concrete at elevated temperature. *American Concrete Institute Special Publication on Advances in Fire Design of Concrete Structures (ACI SP-297)*, 2011, 36 pp.
- 616 [5] Kodur V.K.R. and Baingo D. Fire Resistance of FRP reinforced concrete slabs. *Institute* 617 *for Research in Construction (internal report)*, 1998, 44 pp.
- 618 [6] Kodur V.K.R. and Bisby L.A. Evaluation of Fire Endurance of Concrete Slabs
 619 Reinforced with Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Bars. *Journal of Structural Engineering*,
 620 2005, 131 (1), 34-43.

- [7] Abbasi A. and Hogg P.J. Fire Testing of Concrete Beams with Fibre Reinforced Plastic
 Rebar. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2006, 37 (8), 11421150.
- [8] Nigro E, Cefarelli G., Bilotta A., Manfredi G., and Cosenza E. Fire resistance of
 concrete slabs reinforced with FRP bars. Part I: Experimental investigations on the
 mechanical behavior. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2011, 42 (6), 1739-1750.
- 627 [9] Rafi M.M. and Nadjai A. Fire Tests of Hybrid and Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polymer
 628 Bar Reinforced Concrete Beams. *ACI Materials Journal*, 2011, 108 (3), 252-260.
- [10] Terrasi G.P., Bisby L., Barbezat M., Affolter C., and Hugi, E. Fire Behavior of Thin
 CFRP Pretensioned High-Strength Concrete Slabs. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 2012, 16 (4), 381–394.
- [11] Maluk C. Development and Application of a Novel Test method for Studying the Fire
 Behaviour of CFRP Prestressed Concrete Structural Elements. Ph.D Thesis, *The University of Edinburgh*, UK, 2014, 473 pp.
- [12] Bailey C.G. and Khoury G.A. Performance of Concrete Structures in Fire An Indepth Publication on the Behaviour of Concrete in Fire. *MPA The Concrete Centre*,
 Ruscombe Printing Ltd, Reading, UK, 2011, 187 pp.
- 638 [13] CEN. Eurocode 2: Design of Concrete Structures Parts 1-2: General Rules –
 639 Structural Fire Design (EN 1992-1-2). *European Committee for Standardization,*640 *Brussels*, 2004, 100 pp.
- 641 [14] CCAA. Fire Safety of Concrete Buildings. *Cement Concrete & Aggregates Australia*642 (*CCAA*), 2013, 33 pp.

- [15] Katz A. and Berman N. Modeling the Effect of High Temperature on the Bond of FRP
 Reinforcing Bars to Concrete. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 2000, 22 (6) 433-443.
- [16] Bisby L.A. and Kodur V.K.R. Evaluating the Fire Endurance of Concrete Slabs
 Reinforced with FRP Bars: Considerations for a Holistic Approach. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2007, 38 (5-6), 547-558.
- [17] Katz A. Bond Mechanism of FRP Rebars to Concrete. *Materials and Structures*, 1999,
 32 (10), 761-768.
- [18] Morley P.D. and Royles R. The Influence of High Temperature on the Bond in
 Reinforced Concrete. *Fire Safety Journal*, 1980, 2 (4), 243-255.
- [19] Bednarek Z. and Ogrodnik P. Testing steel-concrete bond in fire conditions.
 Proceedings of the 9th International Conference Modern Building Materials, Structures
 and Techniques, Vilnius, Lithuania, 2007, 1152–1158.
- [20] Haddad R.H., Al-Saleh R.J., and Al-Akhras N.M. Effect of Elevated Temperature on
 Bond Between Steel Reinforcement and Fiber Reinforced Concrete. *Fire Safety Journal*, 2007, 43 (5), 334-343.
- [21] Pothisiri T. and Panedpojaman P. Modeling of Mechanical Bond–Slip for SteelReinforced Concrete Under Thermal Loads. *Engineering Structures*, 2013, 48 (March),
 497-507.
- 661 [22] Aiello M.A., Leone M., and Pecce M. Bond Performances of FRP Rebars-Reinforced
 662 Concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 2007, 19 (3), 205-213.

663	[23]	Wang	Y.C.,	Wong	Р.М.Н.,	and	Kodur	V.K.R.	An	Experimental	Study	of	the
664		Mecha	nical P	ropertie	s of Fibre	e Rei	nforced	Polymer	(FR	P) and Steel Re	einforci	ng E	Bars
665		at Elev	rated Te	emperat	ures. Con	iposit	e Struct	ures, 200	97, 80	0(1), 131-140.			

- 666 [24] ACI. Prestressing Concrete Structures with FRP Tendons (ACI 440.4R-04). *American* 667 *Concrete Institute*, Farmington Hills, MI, US, 2004, 35 pp.
- [25] FIB. FRP Reinforcement for RC Structures. *Technical report prepared by Task Group*9.3 FRP (Fibre Reinforced Polymer) reinforcement for concrete structures. Lausanne,
 Switzerland, 2006, 157 pp.
- [26] CNR. Guide for the Design and Construction of Concrete Structures Reinforced with
 Fiber-reinforced Polymer Bars (CNR-DT 203/2006). *National Research Council*,
 Rome, Italy, 2007, 39 pp.
- [27] Maluk C., Bisby L., and Terrasi G.P. High temperature compatibility of CFRP versus
 steel reinforcement for concrete. *American Concrete Institute Special Publication Towards Sustainable Infrastructure with Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites (ACI*SP-440), 2015. (*in press*)
- 678 [28] Aiello M.A. Concrete Cover Failure in FRP Reinforced Beams under Thermal Loading.
 679 *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 1999, 3 (1), 46-52.
- 680 [29] Aiello M.A., Focacci F., and Nanni A. Effects of Thermal Loads on Concrete Cover of
- Fibre-Reinforced Polymer Reinforced Elements: Theoretical and Experimental
 Analysis. ACI Materials Journal, 2001, 98 (4), 332-339.
- [30] Masmouid R., Zaidi A., and Gérard P. Transverse Thermal Expansion of FRP Bars
 Embedded in Concrete. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 2005, 9 (5), 377-387.

- 685 [31] Abdalla H. Concrete Cover Requirements for FRP Reinforced Members in Hot
 686 Climates. *Composite Structures*, 2006, 73 (1), 61-69.
- 687 [32] CEN. Eurocode: Fire Resistance Tests Part 1: General Requirements (EN 1363688 1:2012). *European Committee for Standardization*, Brussels, 2012, 56 pp.
- [33] CEN. Eurocode: Testing Hardened Concrete Part 6: Tensile Splitting Strength of Test
 Specimen (EN 12390-6:2009). *European Committee for Standardization*, Brussels,
 2009, 14 pp.
- 692 [34] CEN. Eurocode: Testing Fresh Concrete Part 8: Self-compacting Concrete Slump693 Flow Test (EN 12350-8:2010). *European Committee for Standardization*, Brussels,
 694 2010, 14 pp.
- [35] Terrasi G.P., Affolter C., and Barbezat M. Numerical Optimization of a Compact and
 Reusable Pre-tensioning Anchorage System for CFRP Tendons. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 2010, 15 (2), 126–135.
- [36] Empa. Concrete Shrinkage and Creep Strain Measurements (Bestimmung des Schwind und Kriechwertes). Internal report from the *Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (Empa)*, Dübendorf, Switzerland, 2008, 4 pp.
- [37] Usmani A.F., Rotter J.M., Lamont S., Sanad A.M., and Gillie M. Fundamental
 Principles of Structural Behaviour Under Thermal Effects. *Fire Safety Journal*, 2001,
 36 (8), 721-744.
- [38] Buchanan A.H. Structural Design for Fire Safety. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., West
 Sussex, UK, 2001, 448 pp.